

August 27, 2014

Melanie A. Bachman Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re:

Property Address: Applicant: Notice of Exempt Modification Proposal to Add Three (3) Remote Radio Heads 151 Waterbury Road, Prospect, CT 06712 (the "Property") New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T")

Dear Ms. Bachman:

AT&T currently maintains a wireless telecommunications facility on an existing 150-foot Monopole tower location on the Property, owned by Capstar Radio Operating Company (the "Tower"). AT&T's facility consists of nine (3) wireless telecommunication antennas at a height of 152'-feet.

The Connecticut Siting Council (the "Council) approved AT&T's use of the tower in the following prior decisions; EM-CING-115-120518, EM-CING-003-077-077-115-126-070726 & EM-AT&T-115-020923. In its decision dated June 6, 2012, (the "Decision"), the Council approved AT&T to install six (6) Remote Radio Heads ("RRUs"), but AT&T installed only three (3) RRUs. AT&T now intends to install the remaining RRUs to complete the installation. This exempt modification application is necessary because the Decision is over one year old. Please refer to <u>Tab 1</u> for further specifications of the RRUs.

Please accept this correspondence as notification pursuant to R.C.S.A. §16-50j-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. §16-50j-72(b)(2). In accordance with R.C.S.A. §16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to the Mayor of Prospect, CT. A copy of this letter is also being sent to Capstar Radio Operating Company, a Delaware corporation.

The planned modifications to AT&T's facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. §16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The proposed modifications will not result in an increase in the height of the Tower. AT&T's new RRUs will be installed at the 152-foot level of the 150-foot Monopole.



- 2. The proposed modifications will not involve any changes to ground-mounted equipment and, therefore, will not require and extension of the site boundary.
- 3. The proposed modifications will not increase the noise levels at the facility by six decibels or more, or to levels that exceed state and local criteria.
- 4. The operation of the modified facility will not increase radio frequency (RF) emissions at the facility to a level at or above the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) safety standard. A RF emissions calculation for AT&T's modified facility was provided in the application which led to the Decision. See <u>Tab 2</u> attached.
- 5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.
- 6. The Tower and its foundation can support AT&T's proposed modifications. (See Structural Analysis Report included in <u>Tab 3</u>).

For the foregoing reasons, AT&T respectfully submits that the proposed modifications to the above referenced telecommunications facility constitutes an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. §16-50j-72(b)(2).

Sincerely,

Adam F. Braillard

cc: Capstar Radio Operating Company, a Delaware corporation 400 North Ashley Drive Suite 3010 Tampa, FL 33602

Town of Prospect Attention: Robert J. Chatfield 36 Center Street, Prospect, CT 06712 **TAB 1**

	PROJECT INFORMATION				
SCOPE OF WORK:	ITEMS TO BE MOUNTED ON THE EXISTING TOWER: • NEW AT&T RRU'S: (1) RRU'S PER SECTOR WITH (3) SECTORS, FOR RRU'S ITEMS TO BE INSTALLED INSIDE THE EXISTING AT&T EQUIPMENT AREA: • (1) (850) RXAIT AND (1) (850) LLC MOUNTED IN NEW EQUIPMENT • (6) NEW AT&T DIPLEXERS TO REPLACE EXISTING (12) DIPLEXERS ITEMS TO REMAIN: • (6) GSM/UMTS ANTENNAS, (3) LTE ANTENNAS, (3) RRU'S, (6) TMA SUPRESSOR	R A TOTAL OF (3) RACK AS, & (1) SURGE	at&t	CLIENT REPRESENT/ COMPANY: ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL: SITE ACQUISITION	SM 19 PA AN TIN (9) tb
SITE ADDRESS:	151 WATERBURY ROAD PROSPECT, CT 06712			ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP:	33 33 SU MA
LATITUDE: LONGITUDE:	41.52279 N 41° 31' 22.04" N -72.9978 W 72° 59' 52.08" W		FA NUMBER: 10071211	CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL:	ТО (77 too
PROPERTY OWNER: USID:	RICHLAND TOWERS MANAGEMENT PARKVIEW LLC. 400 N ASHLEY DR. SUITE 3010 TAMPA FL 33602		SITE NUMBER: CT5626	ENGINEERING COMPANY: ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP:	HU 16 BU NO
TYPE OF SITE:	MONOPOLE/INDOOR EQUIPMENT		SITE NAME:	PHONE:	DA (9]
TOWER HEIGHT: RAD CENTER:	150'-0"± 152'-0"±				INI
CURRENT USE: PROPOSED USE:	TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY		PROSPECT NORTH		
	DRAWING INDEX	REV			
T-1 TITLE	SHEET	Q	DIRECTIONS TO SITE: START OUT GOING SOUTHEAST ON BURR ST TOWARD COCHITUATE RD/RT-30 F. 0.01 MJ. TAKE THE		

1ST LEFT ONTO RT-30 E/COCHITUATE RD. 0.05 MI. TAKE THE RAMP TOWARD I-90/MASSPIKE/ THIS DOCUMENT IS THE CREATION, DESIGN, PROPERTY AND COPYRIGHTED WORK OF AT&T. ANY DUPLICATION OR USE WITHOUT EXPRESS WRITTEN CONSENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. 1. SPRINGFILED/BOSTON. 0.6 MI. MERGE ONTO I-90 W/MASS PIKE/MASSACHUSETTS TURNPIKE VIA THE **GN-1 GENERAL NOTES** Q RAMP ON THE LEFT TOWARD WORCESTER/SPRINGFIELD (PORTIONS TOLL). 38.3 MI. MERGE ONTO DUPLICATION AND USE BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONDUCTING I-84 W VIA EXIT 9 TOWARD US-20/HARTFORD/NEW YORK CITY (PORTIONS TOLL) (CROSSING INTO THEIR LAWFULLY AUTHORIZED REGULATORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS IS SPECIFICALLY CONNECTICUT). 41.7 MI. MERGE ONTO CT-15 S VIA EXIT 57 ON THE LEFT TOWARD I-91S/CHARTER ALLOWED. A-1 **COMPOUND & SHELTER PLANS** Q OAK BR/N.Y. CITY. 1.9 MI. MERGE ONTO I-91 S VIA EXIT 86 TOWARD NEW HAVEN/N.Y. CITY. 16.6 MI. MERGE ONTO I-691 W VIA EXIT 18 TOWARD MERIDEN/WATERBURY, 7.9 MI. MERGE ONTO I-84 W 2. THE FACILITY IS AN UNMANNED PRIVATE AND SECURED EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION. IT IS ONLY VIA EXIT 1 ON THE LEFT TOWARD WATERBURY/DANBURY. 5.9 MI. TAKE EXIT 24 TOWARD HARPERS A-2 **ANTENNA LAYOUTS & ELEVATIONS** Q ACCESSED BY TRAINED TECHNICIANS FOR PERIODIC ROUTINE MAINTENANCE AND THEREFORE FERRY RD. 0.2 MI. STAY STRAIGHT TO GO ONTO PLANK RD. 0.2 MI. TAKE THE 1ST LEFT ONTO DOES NOT REQUIRE ANY WATER OR SANITARY SEWER SERVICE. THE FACILITY IS NOT HARPERS FERRY RD. 0.5 MI. TURN LEFT ONTO HAMILTON AVE/CT-69/PROSPECT RD. CONTINUE TO GOVERNED BY REGULATIONS REQUIRING PUBLIC ACCESS PER ADA REQUIREMENTS. A-3 ANTENNA MOUNTING DETAILS Q FOLLOW CT-69. CT-69 IS 0.4 MILES PAST REIDVILLE DR. 1.6 MI. 151 WATERBURY RD IS ON THE RIGHT 3. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB SITE AND SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE AT&T REPRESENTATIVE IN WRITING OF DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME. **GROUNDING, ONE-LINE DIAGRAM & DETAILS** Q G-1 APPROVALS THE FOLLOWING PARTIES HEREBY APPROVE AND ACCEPT THESE DOCUMENTS & AUTHORIZE THE SUBCONTRACTOR TO PROCEED WITH CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED HEREIN. ALL DOCUMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY THE LOCAL BUILDING DEPARTMENT & MAY IMPOSE CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS. SIGNATURE: DATE: DISCIPLINE: CA SMARTLINK SITE ACQUISITION: SMARTLINK CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT&T PROJECT MANAGER: Ê

SITE NUMBER: CT5626 at&t SITE NAME: PROSPECT NORTH V 0 08/11/14 RE-DESIGN PER RFDS 151 WATERBURY ROAD A 1 04/18/14 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION PROSPECT, CT 06712 DATE REVISIONS B 550 COCHITUATE RD. NEW HAVEN COUNTY FRAMINGHAM, MA, 01701 SCALE: AS SHOWN DESIGNED BY: TH DRAWN B

smartlink 1997 ANNAPOLIS EXCHANGE PKWY SUITE 200 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401

Hudson

1600 OSGOOD STREET BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090

N. ANDOVER, MA 01845

G

TEL: (978) 557-5553 FAX: (978) 336-5586

PROJECT TEAM

IVE SMARTLINK, LLC 1997 ANNAPOLIS EXCHANGE PARKWAY, SUITE 200 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401 TIM BOYCE (980) 333–3640 tboyce@smartlinkllc.com	<u>RF ENGINEER</u> COMPANY: ADDRESS: CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT: PHONE: E-MAIL:	AT&T MOBILITYNEW ENGLAND 550 COCHITUATE ROAD SUITE 550 13 AND 14 FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701 CAMERON SYME (508) 596-7146 cs6970@att.com
33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST,	ADDRESS:	33 BOSTON POST ROAD WEST
MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752	CITY, STATE, ZIP: CONTACT:	MARLBOROUGH, MA 01752 MARK DONNELLY
(774) 369-3618 todd.oliver@smartlinkllc.com	PHONE: E-MAIL:	(617) 515-2080 mark.donnelly@smartlinkllc.com
HUDSON DESIGN GROUP, LLC. 1600 OSGOOD STREET BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 3090 NORTH ANDOVER, MA 01845 DANIEL P. HAMM, PE (978) 557-5553 info@hudsondesigngroupIIc.com		

GENERAL NOTES

72 HOU	JRS		
BEFORE Y	JU E	DIG Contraction	
LL TOLL FREEN 8C	0-92	22-4455	
and Va	pm	AT&T	
RP OPH		TITLE SHEET (LTE-2C)	
CHK APP'D	JOB NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
f: SG	5626.01	1-1	Q

GROUNDING NOTES

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW AND INSPECT THE EXISTING FACILITY GROUNDING SYSTEM AND LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM (AS DESIGNED AND INSTALLED) FOR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE NEC (AS ADOPTED BY THE AHJ), THE SITE-SPECIFIC (UL, LPI, OR NFPA) LIGHTING PROTECTION CODE, AND GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH TELCORDIA AND TIA GROUNDING STANDARDS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT ANY VIOLATIONS OR ADVERSE FINDINGS TO THE CONTRACTOR FOR RESOLUTION.
- 2. ALL GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS (INCLUDING TELECOMMUNICATION, RADIO, LIGHTNING PROTECTION, AND AC POWER GES'S) SHALL BE BONDED TOGETHER, AT OR BELOW GRADE, BY TWO OR MORE COPPER BONDING CONDUCTORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 3. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM IEEE FALL-OF-POTENTIAL RESISTANCE TO EARTH TESTING (PER IEEE 1100 AND 81) FOR NEW GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AND INSTALL SUPPLEMENTAL GROUND ELECTRODES AS NEEDED TO ACHIEVE A TEST RESULT OF 5 OHMS OR LESS.
- 4. METAL RACEWAY SHALL NOT BE USED AS THE NEC REQUIRED EQUIPMENT GROUND CONDUCTOR. STRANDED COPPER CONDUCTORS WITH GREEN INSULATION, SIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC. SHALL BE FURNISHED AND INSTALLED WITH THE POWER CIRCUITS TO BTS EQUIPMENT.
- 5. EACH BTS CABINET FRAME SHALL BE DIRECTLY CONNECTED TO THE MASTER GROUND BAR WITH GREEN INSULATED SUPPLEMENTAL EQUIPMENT GROUND WIRES, 6 AWG STRANDED COPPER OR LARGER FOR INDOOR BTS 2 AWG STRANDED COPPER FOR OUTDOOR BTS.
- 6. EXOTHERMIC WELDS SHALL BE USED FOR ALL GROUNDING CONNECTIONS BELOW GRADE.
- 7. APPROVED ANTIOXIDANT COATINGS (I.E., CONDUCTIVE GEL OR PASTE) SHALL BE USED ON ALL COMPRESSION AND BOLTED GROUND CONNECTIONS.
- 8. ICE BRIDGE BONDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE EXOTHERMICALLY BONDED OR BOLTED TO THE BRIDGE AND THE TOWER GROUND BAR.
- 9. ALUMINUM CONDUCTOR OR COPPER CLAD STEEL CONDUCTOR SHALL NOT BE USED FOR GROUNDING CONNECTIONS
- 10. MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND NON-ELECTRICAL METAL BOXES, FRAMES AND SUPPORTS SHALL BE BONDED TO THE GROUND RING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 11. METAL CONDUIT SHALL BE MADE ELECTRICALLY CONTINUOUS WITH LISTED BONDING FITTINGS OR BY BONDING ACROSS THE DISCONTINUITY WITH 6 AWS COPPER WIRE UL APPROVED GROUNDING TYPE CONDUIT CLAMPS.
- 12. ALL NEW STRUCTURES WITH A FOUNDATION AND/OR FOOTING HAVING 20 FT. OR MORE OF 1/2 IN. OR GREATER FLECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE REINFORCING STEEL MUST HAVE IT BONDED TO THE GROUND RING USING AN EXOTHERMIC WELD CONNECTION USING #2 AWG SOLID BARE TINNED COPPER GROUND WIRE, PER NEC 250.50



ANDOVER MA 0184



1997 ANNAPOLIS EXCHANGE PKWY SUITE 200 ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWING, THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY: CONTRACTOR - SMARTLINK

SUBCONTRACTOR - GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION) OWNER - AT&T MOBILITY

PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS. THE BIDDING SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE CELL SITE TO FAMILIARIZE WITH THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND TO CONFIRM THAT THE WORK CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. ANY DISCREPANCY FOUND SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF CONTRACTOR.

3. ALL MATERIALS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS, AND ORDINANCES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE NOTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL ORDERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK. ALL WORK CARRIED OUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL CODES, ORDINANCES AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.

DRAWINGS PROVIDED HERE ARE NOT TO BE SCALED AND ARE INTENDED TO SHOW OUTLINE ONLY

5. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.

"KITTING LIST" SUPPLIED WITH THE BID PACKAGE IDENTIFIES ITEMS THAT 6 WILL BE SUPPLIED BY CONTRACTOR. ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN THE BILL OF MATERIALS AND KITTING LIST SHALL BE SUPPLIED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR.

7. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.

IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE CONTRACTOR.

SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ACTUAL ROUTING OF CONDUIT, POWER AND T1 CABLES, GROUNDING CABLES AS SHOWN ON THE POWER, GROUNDING AND TELCO PLAN DRAWING. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE EXISTING TRAYS AND/OR SHALL ADD NEW TRAYS AS NECESSARY, SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE ACTUAL ROUTING WITH THE CONTRACTOR.

10. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES. ANY DAMAGED PART SHALL BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF OWNER

11. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEGALLY AND PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL SCRAP MATERIALS SUCH AS COAXIAL CABLES AND OTHER ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE EXISTING FACILITY. ANTENNAS REMOVED SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER'S DESIGNATED LOCATION

12. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION.

13. ALL CONCRETE REPAIR WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 301.

14. ANY NEW CONCRETE NEEDED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE AIR-ENTRAINED AND SHALL HAVE 4000 PSI STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS. ALL CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI 318 CODE REQUIREMENTS

15. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL WORK SHALL BE DETAILED. FABRICATED AND ERECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AISC SPECIFICATIONS. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE ASTM A36 (Fy = 36 ksi) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. PIPES SHALL BE ASTM A53 TYPE E (Fy = 36 ksi). ALL STEEL EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED. TOUCHUP ALL SCRATCHES AND OTHER MARKS IN THE FIELD AFTER STEEL IS ERECTED USING A COMPATIBLE ZINC RICH PAINT.

16. CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH SPECIFICATIONS AND "GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AT&T MOBILITY SITES.

17. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK. ALL DIMENSIONS OF FXISTING CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS MUST BE VERIFIED SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONTRACTOR OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL OR PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.

18. THE EXISTING CELL SITE IS IN FULL COMMERCIAL OPERATION. ANY CONSTRUCTION WORK BY SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DISRUPT THE EXISTING NORMAL OPERATION. ANY WORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE COORDINATED WITH CONTRACTOR. ALSO, WORK SHOULD BE SCHEDULED FOR AN APPROPRIATE MAINTENANCE WINDOW USUALLY IN LOW TRAFFIC PERIODS AFTER MIDNIGHT.

19. SINCE THE CELL SITE IS ACTIVE, ALL SAFETY PRECAUTIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING AROUND HIGH LEVELS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION. EQUIPMENT SHOULD BE SHUTDOWN PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORK THAT COULD EXPOSE THE WORKERS TO DANGER. PERSONAL RF EXPOSURE MONITORS ARE ADVISED TO BE WORN TO ALERT OF ANY DANGEROUS EXPOSURE LEVELS.

20. APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES:

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (AHJ) FOR THE LOCATION. THE EDITION OF THE AHJ ADOPTED CODES AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN

BUILDING CODE: 2003 IBC WITH 2005 CT SUPPLEMENT & 2009 CT AMENDMENTS

ELECTRICAL CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS LIGHTENING CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318; BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE:

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC)

MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, NINTH EDITION;

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-F, STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL

ANTENNA TOWER AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES; REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR SPECIFIC ELECTRICAL STANDARDS

FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.

SITE NUMBER: CT5626 SITE NAME: PROSPECT NORTH

151 WATERBURY ROAD PROSPECT, CT 06712 NEW HAVEN COUNTY



550 COCHITUATE RD. FRAMINGHAM, MA. 01701



AGL

AWG

BCW

BTS

EXISTING EXISTING

ABBREVIATIONS

ABOVE GRADE LE	VEL	G.C.	GENERAL CONTRA	ACTOR RF	RADIO FREQUENC	Y
AMERICAN WIRE C	AUGE	MGB	MASTER GROUND	BUS		
BARE COPPER WI	RE	MIN	MINIMUM	TBD	TO BE DETERMINI	ED
BASE TRANSCEIVER	STATION	ROPOSED	NEW	TBR	TO BE REMOVED	
EXISTING		N.T.S. UNNEC	NOT TO SCALE	TBRR	TO BE REMOVED	
EQUIPMENT GROU	ND	REFL P. K	REFERENCE	TVD		
EQUIPMENT GROU	ND RING	REALES	REQUIRED	ITP	THUAL	
\longrightarrow	ance	10 10	amm	AT&T		
VF DN AF	RP OPH	CENSE		GENERAL (LTE-2	NOTES 2C)	
SIONS BY	CHK APP'D	SSIONAL FNG	JOB NUMBER	DRAWING	NUMBER	REV
r: TH DRAWN B	/: SG		5626.01	GN-	1	Q
1			· ·			









EXIST	TING & PR	OPOSED RRU	SCHEDULE
SECTOR	MAKE	MODEL#	<u>SIZE (INCHES)</u>
ALPHA:	ERICSSON	RRUS-12	20.4x18.5x7.5
	ERICSSON	RRUS-11	19.7x17.0x7.2
BETA:	ERICSSON	RRUS-12	20.4x18.5x7.5
	ERICSSON	RRUS-11	19.7x17.0x7.2
GAMMA:	ERICSSON	RRUS-12	20.4x18.5x7.5
	ERICSSON	RRUS-11	19.7x17.0x7.2

NOTE:

*RF DATA BASED ON PRELIMINARY RFDS. REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS.

NOTE:

AN ANALYSIS FOR THE CAPACITY OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSED EQUIPMENT SHALL BE DETERMINED RIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

M	FLO	OR				
ד ר	AI		NEL P. ALA			
Ļ	an	×	el Fra	mm	AT&T	
2	PD		No.24178	111		
>	TH	DPH	CENSE		DETAILS (LTE-2C)	
(СНК	APP'D	SSIONAL ENG	JOB NUMBER	DRAWING NUMBER	REV
Y:	SG		111111111	5626.01	A-3	Q





TAB 2

POWER DENSITY

EM-CING-115-120518	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	AT&T UMTS	2	649	154	0.0197	880	0.5867	3.35%	
EM-CING-115-120518	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	AT&T UMTS	2	1387	154	0.0421	1900	1.0000	4.21%	
EM-CING-115-120518	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	AT&T GSM	1	324	154	0.0049	880	0.5867	0.84%	
EM-CING-115-120518	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	AT&T GSM	4	832	154	0.0505	1900	1.0000	5.05%	
EM-CING-115-120518	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	AT&T LTE	1	1375	152	0.0214	734	0.4893	4.37%	
EM-ClearChannel-115-091208	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	T-Mobile GSM	8	183	136	0.0285	1945	1.0000	2.85%	
EM-ClearChannel-115-091208	Prospect - 151 Waterbury Road	T-Mobile UMTS	2	730	136	0.0284	2100	1.0000	2.84%	23.50%

TAB 3



Dewberry 600 Parisppany Road Parsippany, NJ 07054 (973) 576-8653



Brian Peterson 520 S. Main Street; Suite 2531 Akron, OH 44311 (330) 572-2100 bpeterson@gpdgroup.com

GPD# 2012702.35 May 17, 2012

STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT

SITE DESIGNATION:	Site USID: Site FA: Site Name:	26038 10071211 PROSPECT NORTH
ANALYSIS CRITERIA:	Codes:	TIA-222-G, 2009 IBC & 2005 CBC 100-mph 3 second gust with 0" ice 50-mph 3 second gust with 3/4" ice
SITE DATA:		151 Waterbury Road, Prospect, CT 06712, New Haven County Latitude 41° 31' 22.051" N, Longitude 72° 59' 52.076" W Market: New England 150' ERI Monopole

Mr. Greg Nawrotzki,

GPD is pleased to submit this Structural Analysis Report to determine the structural integrity of the aforementioned tower. The purpose of the analysis is to determine the suitability of the tower with the existing and proposed loading configuration detailed in the analysis report.

Analysis Results

Tower Stress Level with Proposed Equipment:	52.3%	Pass
Foundation Ratio with Proposed Equipment:	51.4%	Pass

We at GPD appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Dewberry. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please do not hesitate to call.

Respectfully submitted,

David B. Granger, P.E. Connecticut #: 17557



SUMMARY & RESULTS

The purpose of this analysis was to verify whether the existing structure is capable of carrying the proposed loading configuration as specified by AT&T Mobility to Dewberry. This report was commissioned by Mr. Greg Nawrotzki of Dewberry.

The proposed coax shall be installed internal to the monopole for the analysis results to be valid.

No intermediate flange or bolt information was available or provided for this report. However, based on the reserve capacity of the tower sections, it is our opinion that the intermediate flange plate and flange bolt information will be adequate for the proposed loading configuration. A more thorough and accurate assessment of intermediate flange plate and flange bolt capacity will require a mapping of the tower be performed.

Member	Capacity	Results
Monopole	52.3%	Pass
Anchor Rods	43.1%	Pass
Base Plate	43.3%	Pass
Foundation	51.4%	Pass

TOWER SUMMARY AND RESULTS

ANALYSIS METHOD

tnxTower (Version 6.0.4.0), a commercially available software program, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate primary member stresses for various dead, live, wind, and ice load cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix B. The following table details the information provided to complete this structural analysis. This analysis is solely based on this information and is being completed without the benefit of a detailed site visit.

DOCUMENTS PROVIDED

Document	Remarks	Source
Equipment Modification Form	Not Provided	N/A
RF Data Sheet	AT&T Loading Document V01, dated 03/30/2012	Dewberry
Construction Drawings	Dewberry Job #: 50048347, dated 03/02/2012	Dewberry
Tower Design	ERI Project #: 25148/001, dated 11/13/2009	Dewberry
Foundation Design	ERI Project #: 25148/001, dated 11/13/2009	Dewberry
Geotechnical Report	FDH Project #: 09-10144E G1, dated 11/09/2009	Dewberry

ASSUMPTIONS

This structural analysis is based on the theoretical capacity of the members and is not a condition assessment of the tower. This analysis is from information supplied, and therefore, its results are based on and are as accurate as that supplied data. GPD has made no independent determination, nor is it required to, of its accuracy. The following assumptions were made for this structural analysis.

- 1. The tower shaft sizes and shapes are considered accurate as supplied. The material grade is as per data supplied and/or as assumed and as stated in the materials section.
- 2. The antenna configuration is as supplied and/or as modeled in the analysis. It is assumed to be complete and accurate. All antennas, mounts, coax and waveguides are assumed to be properly installed and supported as per manufacturer requirements.
- 3. Some assumptions are made regarding antennas and mount sizes and their projected areas based on best interpretation of data supplied and of best knowledge of antenna type and industry practice.
- 4. All mounts, if applicable, are considered adequate to support the loading. No actual analysis of the mount(s) is performed. This analysis is limited to analyzing the tower only.
- 5. The soil parameters are as per data supplied or as assumed and stated in the calculations.
- 6. Foundations are properly designed and constructed to resist the original design loads indicated in the documents provided.
- 7. The tower and structures have been properly maintained in accordance with TIA Standards and/or with manufacturer's specifications.
- 8. All welds and connections are assumed to develop at least the member capacity unless determined otherwise and explicitly stated in this report.
- 9. All prior structural modifications are assumed to be as per data supplied/available and to have been properly installed.
- 10. Loading interpreted from photos is accurate to $\pm 5'$ AGL, antenna size accurate to ± 3.3 sf, and coax equal to the number of existing antennas without reserve.
- 11. All existing loading was obtained from the Construction Drawings by Dewberry Job #: 50048347, dated 03/02/2012, site photos, and the provided RF Data Sheet and is assumed to be accurate.
- 12. The existing loading elevation found in site photos was found to vary from the listed elevation within the provided RF Data Sheet. The existing and proposed elevations have been modeled based on the elevation reflected within the site photos.
- 13. The models of the proposed Demark and RRUs and the sizes of the proposed Power and Fiber Cables have been assumed based on experience with LTE projects.
- 14. The proposed coax shall be installed internal to the monopole for the analysis results to be valid.
- 15. Tower geometry has been determined through the tower design by ERI Project #: 25148/001, dated 11/13/2009 as well as email correspondence with Mr. John Robinson of ERI.

If any of these assumptions are not valid or have been made in error, this analysis may be affected, and GPD Group should be allowed to review any new information to determine its effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

GPD GROUP has not performed a site visit to the tower to verify the member sizes or antenna/coax loading. If the existing conditions are not as represented on the tower elevation contained in this report, we should be contacted immediately to evaluate the significance of the discrepancy. This is not a condition assessment of the tower or foundation. This report does not replace a full tower inspection. The tower and foundations are assumed to have been properly fabricated, erected, maintained, in good condition, twist free, and plumb.

The engineering services rendered by GPD GROUP in connection with this Structural Analysis are limited to a computer analysis of the tower structure and theoretical capacity of its main structural members. All tower components have been assumed to only resist dead loads when no other loads are applied. No allowance was made for any damaged, bent, missing, loose, or rusted members (above and below ground). No allowance was made for loose bolts or cracked welds.

GPD GROUP does not analyze the fabrication of the structure (including welding). It is not possible to have all the very detailed information needed to perform a thorough analysis of every structural sub-component and connection of an existing tower. GPD GROUP provides a limited scope of service in that we cannot verify the adequacy of every weld, plate connection detail, etc. The purpose of this report is to assess the feasibility of adding appurtenances usually accompanied by transmission lines to the structure.

It is the owner's responsibility to determine the amount of ice accumulation in excess of the code specified amount, if any, that should be considered in the structural analysis.

The attached sketches are a schematic representation of the analyzed tower. If any material is fabricated from these sketches, the contractor shall be responsible for field verifying the existing conditions, proper fit, and clearance in the field. Any mentions of structural modifications are reasonable estimates and should not be used as a precise construction document. Precise modification drawings are obtainable from GPD GROUP, but are beyond the scope of this report.

Miscellaneous items such as antenna mounts, etc., have not been designed or detailed as a part of our work. We recommend that material of adequate size and strength be purchased from a reputable tower manufacturer.

GPD GROUP makes no warranties, expressed and/or implied, in connection with this report and disclaims any liability arising from material, fabrication, and erection of this tower. GPD GROUP will not be responsible whatsoever for, or on account of, consequential or incidental damages sustained by any person, firm, or organization as a result of any data or conclusions contained in this report. The maximum liability of GPD GROUP pursuant to this report will be limited to the total fee received for preparation of this report.

APPENDIX A

Tower Analysis Summary Form

Tower Analysis Summary Form

General Info

Site Name	PROSPECT NORTH
Site Number	CT5626 (26038)
FA Number	10071211
Date of Analysis	5/17/2012
Company Performing Analysis	GPD

Tower Info	Description	Date
Tower Type (G, SST, MP)	MP	
Tower Height (top of steel AGL)	150'	
Tower Manufacturer	ERI	
Tower Model	n/a	
Tower Design	ERI Project #: 25148/001	11/13/2009
Foundation Design	ERI Project #: 25148/001	11/13/2009
Geotech Report	FDH Project #: 09-10144E G1	11/9/2009
Tower Mapping	n/a	
Previous Structural Analysis	n/a	

Design Parameters	
Design Code Used	TIA-222-G
	2009 IBC & 2005 CBC
Location of Tower (County, State)	New Haven, CT
Basic Wind Speed (mph)	100
Ice Thickness (in)	0.75
Structure Classification (I, II, III)	Ш
Exposure Category (B, C, D)	С
Topographic Category (1 to 5)	1

The information contained in this summary report is not to be used independently from the PE stamped tower analysis.

Analysis Results (% Maximum Usage)							
Existing/Reserved + Future + Proposed Condition							
Tower (%)	52.3%						
Tower Base (%)	43.3%						
Foundation (%)	51.4%						
Foundation Adequate?	Yes						

Steel Yield Strength (ksi)

Pole	45
Base Plate	36
Anchor Rods (Ultimate)	105

Existing / Reserved Loading

	Antenna								M	ount	Transmission Line			
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth	Quantity	Manufacturer	Туре	Quantity	Model	Size	Attachment Internal/External
AT&T Mobility	148	154	6	Panel	Powerwave	7750.00 w/ RETs	100/230/350	1	Unknown	13' LP Platform	12	Unknown	1-5/8"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	148	154	6	ТМА	Powerwave	TT08-19DB111-001				on the same mount	1	RET Cable	3/8"	Internal
T-Mobile	138	140	6	Panel	Unknown	4' Panel	20/140/260	1	Unknown	13' LP Platform	6	Unknown	1-5/8"	Internal
T-Mobile	138	140	6	TMA	Unknown	ТМА				on the same mount				

Note: All existing loading shall remain.

Proposed Loading

Antenna								M	ount	Transmission Line				
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth	Quantity	Manufacturer	Туре	Quantity	Model	Size	Attachment Internal/External
AT&T Mobility	148	152.25	2	Panel	Andrew	SBNH-1D6565C	100/230			on the existing mount	2	Power Cable	7/8"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	148	153.25	1	Panel	KMW	AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET	350			on the existing mount	1	Fiber Cable	1/2"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	149	149	6	RRU	Ericsson	RRUS 11		1	Valmont	Tri-Bracket	1	Flex Conduit	3"	Internal
AT&T Mobility	149	147	1	Demark	Raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F				on the same mount				

Note: Proposed loading is in addition to the remaining loading at the same elevation.

Future Loading

Antenna						Mount			Transmission Line					
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth	Quantity	Manufacturer	Туре	Quantity	Model	Size	Attachment Internal/External

APPENDIX B

tnxTower Output File

2012702.35

Dewberry

kfraleigh

GPD Group 520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531 Akron, OH Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-2101

Tower Input Data

There is a pole section.

There is a pole section.
This tower is designed using the TIA-222-G standard.
The following design criteria apply:

Tower is located in New Haven County, Connecticut.
Basic wind speed of 100 mph.
Structure Class II.
Exposure Category C.
Topographic Category 1.
Crest Height 0.00 ft.
Nominal ice thickness of 0.7500 in.
Ice thickness is considered to increase with height.
Ice density of 56 pcf.
A wind speed of 50 mph is used in combination with ice.
Temperature drop of 50 °F.

Job

Project

Client

Deflections calculated using a wind speed of 60 mph.

A non-linear (P-delta) analysis was used.

Pressures are calculated at each section.

Stress ratio used in pole design is 1.

Local bending stresses due to climbing loads, feedline supports, and appurtenance mounts are not considered.

Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Round Or Flat

Description	Sector	Component	Placement	Total	Number	Start/End	Width or	Perimeter	Weight
-		Type		Number	Per Row	Position	Diameter		-
			ft				in	in	plf
Climbing Pegs	С	Surface Ar	150.00 - 8.00	1	1	0.000	0.1500		0.31
		(CaAa)				0.000			
Safety Line 3/8	С	Surface Ar	150.00 - 8.00	1	1	0.000	0.3750		0.22
		(CaAa)				0.000			

Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Area

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Total		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Shield	Type		Number			
	Leg			ft			ft²/ft	plf
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	С	No	Inside Pole	148.00 - 8.00	12	No Ice	0.00	0.82
FOAM)						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.82
						1" Ice	0.00	0.82
RET Cable	С	No	Inside Pole	148.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.08
						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.08
						1" Ice	0.00	0.08
7/8" DC Power Cable	С	No	Inside Pole	148.00 - 8.00	2	No Ice	0.00	0.60
						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.60
						1" Ice	0.00	0.60
1/2" Fiber Cable	С	No	Inside Pole	148.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.15
						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.15
						1" Ice	0.00	0.15
3" Flex Conduit	С	No	Inside Pole	148.00 - 8.00	1	No Ice	0.00	0.48
						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.48
						1" Ice	0.00	0.48
LDF7-50A (1-5/8	С	No	Inside Pole	138.00 - 8.00	6	No Ice	0.00	0.82
FOAM)						1/2" Ice	0.00	0.82

trees Trees or	Job		Page
<i>inx1ower</i>		CT5626 (26038) PROSPECT NORTH	2 of 4
GPD Group	Project	2012702 35	Date
520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531 Akron OH	Client	2012/02.55	Designed by
Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-2101		Dewberry	kfraleigh

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Total		$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Shield	Type		Number			
	Leg			ft			ft²/ft	plf
						1" Ice	0.00	0.82

			Di	screte T	ower L	oads			
Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	$C_A A_A$ Side	Weight
			ft ft	0	ft		ft ²	ft^2	lb
PiPOD 13' Low Profile		From	$\frac{ft}{0.00}$	-10.0000	1/18 00	No Ice	15 70	15.70	1300.00
Platform (Monopole)	C	Centroid-Le	0.00	-10.0000	148.00	1/2" Ice	20.10	20.10	1765.00
i initioniti (initionopoite)		g	0.00			1" Ice	24.50	24.50	2230.00
(2) 7750.00 w/ mount pipe	А	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	6.58	4.94	75.53
(_) F-F-		8	0.00			1/2" Ice	7.21	5.86	127.72
			6.00			1" Ice	7.80	6.64	188.97
(2) 7750.00 w/ mount pipe	В	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	6.58	4.94	75.53
		e	0.00			1/2" Ice	7.21	5.86	127.72
			6.00			1" Ice	7.80	6.64	188.97
(2) 7750.00 w/ mount pipe	С	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	6.58	4.94	75.53
			0.00			1/2" Ice	7.21	5.86	127.72
			6.00			1" Ice	7.80	6.64	188.97
BNH-1D6565C w/ Mount	Α	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	11.45	9.12	82.70
Pipe			0.00			1/2" Ice	12.06	10.21	162.03
			4.25			1" Ice	12.69	11.18	254.15
SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount	В	From Leg	4.00	-20.0000	148.00	No Ice	11.45	9.12	82.70
Pipe			0.00			1/2" Ice	12.06	10.21	162.03
			4.25			1" Ice	12.69	11.18	254.15
M-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET	С	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	7.33	6.14	73.53
w/ Mount Pipe			0.00			1/2" Ice	7.98	7.13	134.57
			5.25			1" Ice	8.57	7.97	204.89
(2) TT08-19DB111-001	А	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	0.92	0.74	22.00
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.06	0.87	29.57
	-		6.00			1" Ice	1.20	1.01	39.04
(2) T108-19DB111-001	В	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	0.92	0.74	22.00
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.06	0.87	29.57
	a	- ·	6.00	10.0000	1 40 00	I" Ice	1.20	1.01	39.04
(2) 1108-19DB111-001	C	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	No Ice	0.92	0.74	22.00
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.06	0.87	29.57
(2) DET		Enour Los	6.00	10,0000	1 49 00	I lee	1.20	1.01	39.04
(2) KE I	А	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	1/0" Lo-	0.24	0.15	2.20
			0.00			1/2 Ice	0.31	0.20	4.44
(2) PET	D	From Log	4.00	10,0000	148.00	No Ioo	0.39	0.27	2.20
(2) KE 1	Б	Fioin Leg	4.00	-10.0000	148.00	1/2" Ice	0.24	0.13	2.20
			6.00			1/2 ICC	0.31	0.20	7.71
(2) RFT	C	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	1/18/00	No Ice	0.39	0.27	2 20
(2) KET	C	110III Leg	4.00	10.0000	140.00	1/2" Ice	0.24	0.15	4 44
			6.00			172 ICC	0.31	0.20	7.71
Valmont Light Duty	С	None	0.00	0.0000	149.00	No Ice	1.76	1.76	54.00
Tri-Bracket (1)	C	Tione		0.0000	112.00	1/2" Ice	2.08	2.08	70.00
III Diucket (1)						1" Ice	2.40	2.40	86.00
(2) RRUS 11	А	From Leg	1.00	-10.0000	149.00	No Ice	2.94	1.25	55.00
(=) 10000 11		1.000 2005	0.00	10.0000	1.9.00	1/2" Ice	3.17	1.41	74.32
			0.00			1" Ice	3.41	1.59	96.56
(2) RRUS 11	В	From Leg	1.00	-10.0000	149.00	No Ice	2.94	1.25	55.00
()	-		0.00			1/2" Ice	3.17	1.41	74.32
			0.00			1" Ioo	3 / 1	1.50	06 56

	Job		Page
tnx1 ower		CT5626 (26038) PROSPECT NORTH	3 of 4
GPD Group 520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2012702.35	Date 13:27:32 05/17/12
Akron, OH Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-2101	Client	Dewberry	Designed by kfraleigh

Description	Face	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	Placement		$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Type	Horz	Adjustment			Front	Side	
	Leg		Lateral						
			Vert				_	_	
			ft	0	ft		ft^2	ft^2	lb
			ft						
			ft						
(2) RRUS 11	С	From Leg	1.00	-10.0000	149.00	No Ice	2.94	1.25	55.00
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.17	1.41	74.32
			0.00			1" Ice	3.41	1.59	96.56
DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge	А	From Leg	4.00	-10.0000	149.00	No Ice	1.47	1.47	32.80
Suppression Unit			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.67	1.67	50.52
			-2.00			1" Ice	1.88	1.88	70.72
PiROD 13' Low Profile	С	From	0.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	15.70	15.70	1300.00
Platform (Monopole)		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	20.10	20.10	1765.00
		g	0.00			1" Ice	24.50	24.50	2230.00
(2) 4' x 2.5' Panel w/ Mount	А	From	4.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	14.00	3.73	64.60
Pipe		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	14.51	4.29	138.23
		g	2.00			1" Ice	15.03	4.88	221.09
(2) 4' x 2.5' Panel w/ Mount	В	From	4.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	14.00	3.73	64.60
Pipe		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	14.51	4.29	138.23
		g	2.00			1" Ice	15.03	4.88	221.09
(2) 4' x 2.5' Panel w/ Mount	С	From	4.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	14.00	3.73	64.60
Pipe		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	14.51	4.29	138.23
		g	2.00			1" Ice	15.03	4.88	221.09
(2) TMA	А	From	4.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	0.23	0.12	1.20
		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.30	0.17	3.05
		g	2.00			1" Ice	0.38	0.24	5.87
(2) TMA	В	From	4.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	0.23	0.12	1.20
		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.30	0.17	3.05
		g	2.00			1" Ice	0.38	0.24	5.87
(2) TMA	С	From	4.00	20.0000	138.00	No Ice	0.23	0.12	1.20
		Centroid-Le	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.30	0.17	3.05
		g	2.00			1" Ice	0.38	0.24	5.87

Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov. Load	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of Curvature
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	ft
149.00	Valmont Light Duty Tri-Bracket (1)	50	7.427	0.4474	0.0011	75303
148.00	PiROD 13' Low Profile Platform	50	7.333	0.4452	0.0011	75303
	(Monopole)					
147.50	(2) RRUS 11	50	7.286	0.4441	0.0011	75303
146.00	(2) RRUS 11	50	7.146	0.4408	0.0010	75303
138.00	PiROD 13' Low Profile Platform	49	6.407	0.4238	0.0008	31376
	(Monopole)					

Section Capacity Table

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P lb	${}^{ { $	% Capacity	Pass Fail
L1	150 - 130	Pole	P24x3/8	1	-7332.33	1127220.00	24.4	Pass
L2	130 - 115	Pole	P36x3/8	2	-10187.50	1565640.00	23.1	Pass
L3	115 - 100	Pole	P36x3/8	3	-13076.60	1565640.00	36.3	Pass
L4	100 - 80	Pole	P42x3/8	4	-17521.80	1757140.00	42.4	Pass
L5	80 - 60	Pole	P48x3/8	5	-22567.40	1948480.00	46.6	Pass
L6	60 - 40	Pole	P54x3/8	6	-28213.30	2139710.00	49.8	Pass
L7	40 - 20	Pole	P60x3/8	7	-34459.10	2330870.00	52.3	Pass
L8	20 - 0	Pole	P60x1/2	8	-42456.50	3293920.00	47.8	Pass

tnxTower	Job	CT5626 (26038) PROSPECT NORTH	Page 4 of 4
GPD Group 520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531	Project	2012702.35	Date 13:27:32 05/17/12
Akron, OH Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572-2101	Client	Dewberry	Designed by kfraleigh

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P lb	${}^{\phi P_{allow}}_{b}$	% Capacity	Pass Fail
						Summary	ELC:	Proposed
						Pole (L7) RATING =	52.3 52.3	Pass Pass

APPENDIX C

Tower Elevation Drawing



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION	
Valmont Light Duty Tri-Bracket (1)	149	PiROD 13' Low Profile Platform	148	
(2) RRUS 11	149	(Monopole)		
(2) RRUS 11	149 - 146	(2) 7750.00 w/ mount pipe	148	
(2) RRUS 11	149	(2) 7750.00 w/ mount pipe	148	
DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Suppression	149	(2) 7750.00 w/ mount pipe	148	
Unit	-	SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount Pipe	148	
SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount Pipe	148	PiROD 13' Low Profile Platform	138	
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount	148	(Monopole)		
Pipe		(2) 4' x 2.5' Panel w/ Mount Pipe	138	
(2) TT08-19DB111-001	148	(2) 4' x 2.5' Panel w/ Mount Pipe	138	
(2) TT08-19DB111-001	148	(2) 4' x 2.5' Panel w/ Mount Pipe	138	
(2) TT08-19DB111-001	148	(2) TMA	138	
(2) RET	148	(2) TMA	138	
(2) RET	148	(2) TMA	138	
(2) RET	148			

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A139-45	45 ksi	60 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

TOWER DESIGN NOTES
 Tower is located in New Haven County, Connecticut.
 Tower designed for Exposure C to the TIA-222-G Standard.
 Tower designed for a 100 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA-222-G Standard.
 Tower is also designed for a 50 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
 Deflections are based upon a 60 mph wind.
 Tower Structure Class II.
 Topographic Category 1 with Crest Height of 0.00 ft
 TOWER RATING: 52.3%

MOMENT

MOMENT

	GPD Group	^{Job:} CT5626 (2603	8) PROSPECT NC	DRTH
	520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531	Project: 2012702.35		
GPD GROUP	Akron, OH	Client: Dewberry	Drawn by: kfraleigh	App'd:
	Phone: (330) 572-2100	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 05/17/12	Scale: NTS
	FAX: (330) 572-2101	Path: 0:2012/2012702/35 - Dewberry si	te\TNX\CT5626 26038 PROSPECT NORTH.er	Dwg No. E-1

Feedline Distribution Chart

Flat

Round

0' - 150' App In Face

App Out Face _____ Truss Leg



GPD Group	^{Job:} CT5626 (26038	B) PROSPECT NC	DRTH
520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531	Project: 2012702.35		
GPD GROUP Akron, OH	Client: Dewberry	Drawn by: kfraleigh	App'd:
Phone: (330) 572-2100	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 05/17/12	Scale: NT
FAX: (330) 572-2101	Path: O:\2012\2012702\35 - Dewberry site	NTNX/CT5626 26038 PROSPECT NORTH.ei	Dwg No. E-

Elevation (ft)

Feedline Plan



GPD Group	^{Job:} CT5626 (260	38) PROSPECT NO	DRTH
520 S. Main Street, Suite 2531	Project: 2012702.35		
GPD GROUP Akron, OH	Client: Dewberry	Drawn by: kfraleigh	App'd:
Phone: (330) 572-2100	^{Code:} TIA-222-G	Date: 05/17/12	Scale: NTS
FAX: (330) 572-2101	Path: 0:/2012/2012702/35 - Dewbern	y site\TNX\CT5626 26038 PROSPECT NORTH.e	Dwg No. E-7

APPENDIX D

Base Plate & Anchor Rod Calculations



Anchor Rod and Base Plate Stresses, TIA-222-G-1 CT5626 PROSPECT NORTH

2012702.35

Overturning Moment =	2403.52	k*ft
Axial Force =	42.46	k
Shear Force =	24.64	k



*This analysis assumes the clear distance from the top of the concrete to the bottom of the leveling nut is less than the diameter of the anchor rod. Notify GPD Group immediately if existing field conditions do not meet this assumption.

Stiffeners		
Configuration =	None	

Acceptable Stress	
Ratio =	105.0%

Base Plate				
Location =	External			
Plate Strength (Fy) =	36	ksi		
φ =	0.9			
Outside Diameter =	72	in		
Plate Thickness =	2.25	in		
wcalc =	27.50	in		
wmax =	45.07	in		
W =	27.50	in		
Z =	34.80	in ³		
$M_u =$	488.48	k-in		
$\phi M_n =$	1127.49	k-in		
BP Capacity =	43.3%	ОК		

Pole				
Pole Diameter =	60	in		
Number of Sides =	Round			
Thickness =	0.5	in		
Pole Yield Strength =	45	ksi		

GPD Round Base Plate Stress (Rev G) - V1.07a

APPENDIX E

Foundation Analysis



Mat Foundation Analysis CT5626 PROSPECT NORTH 2012702.35

General Info			
Code	TIA-222-G		
Bearing On	Soil		
Foundation Type	Mono Pad		
Pier Type	Square		
Reinforcing Known	Yes		
Max Capacity	1.05		

Tower Reactions			
Moment, M	2403.52	k-ft	
Axial, P	42.46	k	
Shear, V	24.64	k	

Pad & Pier Geometry			
Pier Width, ø	7	ft	
Pad Length, L	25	ft	
Pad Width, W	25	ft	
Pad Thickness, t	2	ft	
Depth, D	4	ft	
Height Above Grade, HG	1	ft	

Pad & Pier Reinforcing				
Rebar Fy	60	ksi		
Concrete Fc'	4	ksi		
Clear Cover	6	in		
Reinforced Top & Bottom?	Yes			
Pad Reinforcing Size	# 9			
Pad Quantity Per Layer	27			
Pier Rebar Size	# 7			
Pier Quantity of Rebar	60			

Soil Properties				
Soil Type	Granular			
Soil Unit Weight	130	pcf		
Angle of Friction, ø	40	0		
Bearing Type	Net			
Ultimate Bearing	50	ksf		
Water Table Depth	999	ft		
Frost Depth	3.33	ft		

GPD Mat Foundation Analysis - V1.01

Bearing S	ummary		Load Case
Qxmax	1.24	ksf	1.2D+1.6W
Qymax	1.24	ksf	1.2D+1.6W
Qmax @ 45°	1.39	ksf	1.2D+1.6W
Q _{(all) Gross}	37.89	ksf	
Controlling Capacity	3.7%	Pass	

Overturning Summary (Required FS=1.0)			Load Case
FS(ot)x	1.94	≥1.0	0.9D+1.6W
FS(ot)y	1.94	≥1.0	0.9D+1.6W
Controlling Capacity	51.4%	Pass	

