

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square New Britain, Connecticut 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

August 18, 1999

J. Brendan Sharkey **Omnipoint Communications** 100 Filley Street Bloomfield, CT 06002

Re:

EM-SNET-103-990728 - Southern New England Telephone notice of intent to modify an existing

telecommunications facility located at 10 Willard Road in Norwalk, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Sharkey:

At a public meeting held on August 16, 1999, the Connecticut Siting Council (Council) acknowledged your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility in Norwalk, Connecticut, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated July 28, 1999. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequency now used on this tower. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin No. 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Mortiner D. Helilon fine Mortimer A. Gelston

Chairman

MAG/RKE/tsg

c: Honorable Frank J. Esposito, Mayor, City of Norwalk



100 Filley Street, Bloomfield, CT 06002 (860) 692-7154 phone

(860) 692-7159 fax

28 July, 1999

Joel Rinebold, Executive Director Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Revision To Site Plan

SNET Landline Tower 10 Willard Road, Norwalk RECEIVED

JUL 28 1999

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Dear Joel:

On October 22, 1997, the Siting Council approved an application from Omnipoint to install three panel antennas on an existing 350-foot lattice tower owned by Southern New England Telephone ("SNET") at 10 Willard Road in Norwalk. At the time, Omnipoint's antennas were installed at 200 feet AGL, with a centerline at 198 feet AGL.

Omnipoint and SNET have recently entered into a modification agreement which would allow Omnipoint to relocate its existing antennas to a centerline of 262 feet AGL. There would be no change to any of the existing equipment at the site, as Omnipoint would simply move the antennas already on the tower to the higher location and extend its cable run to the same BTS cabinet as is currently on site. With this antenna relocation, power densities for the site would only decrease at the base of the tower, and no other external changes would be necessary.

I have enclosed the proposed new design as well as a "before and after" power density analysis for your review. Please advise as to whether you believe this antenna relocation requires review by the Council, or whether it may be considered a *de minimis* adjustment. Omnipoint will promptly follow your direction in either case.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

J. Brendan Sharkey, Esq.

for Omnipoint Communications

enclosures



Original Power Density

Power Density Calculation - Morst Case	ition - Worst Case		
Base Station TX output	20 W	43	43.01
Number of channels	2		
Antenna Model	EMS: RR-90-17/ RV-90-17	•	
Antanna Gain	16.5 dBi		
Cable Size	1 5/8"	Þ	
Cable Length	234.9 ft		
Jumper & Connector loss	1.5 dB		
Cable Loss per foot	0.0116		
Total Cable Loss	2.72484 dB		
Total Attenuation	4.22484 dB		
Total EIRP per channel	55.29 dB	337.71	W
Total EIRP per sector	58.30 dB	675.42	W
Ground Reflection	1.6		
Frequency	1930 MHz		
Antenna Height	200 ft	9609	Eo
nsg	12.27516		
Power Density (S) =	0.003705 mW / cm ²		
% MPE =	0.3705%		

Equation Used :

$$S = \frac{(1000(grf)^{2}(Powe))*10^{(nsg10)}}{4 \pi (R)^{2}}$$

Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) Bulletin 65, Edition 97-01, August 1997

Current Power Density Calculation for SNET LL Tower @ 10 Willard Road, Norwalk, CT

		Distance from	Height at Location,	Height at Location, Number of Times Below
•	Power per Sector	Base to	Relative to Base	Federal Safety Limit of
Inputted Parameters	(EiRP Watts)	(ft)	(ff)	1.0 mW/cm²
Antenna Type: EMS_RR901702DP	₹ 675.42	1	5	1,022,100
Antenna Centerline Height (Feet): 200	675.42	10	2	162,000
Mechanical Downtilt (Degrees): 0	675.42	100	ß	3,162,600
Base Station TX Power (dBm): 43.01	675.42	500	ഗ	134,200
Coax and Connector Loss (dB): 4.22484	675.42	1000	ĸ	47,300
Number of Channels per Sector (TXs): 2	675.42	5000	5	84,500

Notes: Equations given in "FCC OET Bulletin 65, Edition 97-01", in conjunction with manufactures specific antenna data were used in the field strength calculations. The resultant values represent worst case levels for field strength intensity.



Revised Power Density

Power Density Calculation - Worst Case	ation - Worst Case		
Base Station TX output	20 W	43	43.01
Number of channels	2		
Antenna Model	EMS: RR-90-17/ RV-90-17	>	
Antanna Gain	16.5 dBj		
Cable Size	1 5/8"	Þ	
Cable Length	310 ft]	
Jumper & Connector loss	1.5 dB		
Cable Loss per foot	0.0116		
Total Cable Loss	3.596 dB		
Total Attenuation	5.096 dB		
Total EIRP per channel	54.41 dB	276.33	3
Total EIRP per sector	57.42 dB	552.66	M
Ground Reflection	1.6		
Frequency	1930 MHz		
Antenna Height	200 ft	9609	Б
nsg	11.404		
Power Density (S) =	0.003031 mW / cm ²		
% MPE =	0.3031%		

Equation Used: $S = \frac{(1000)(grf)^2(Power) * 10^{(nsg10)}}{4 \pi (D)^2}$

4 /L (K)

Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) Bulletin 65, Edition 97-01, August 1997

Omnipoint Confidential - 7/28/99

7/28/99

New Power Density Calculation for SNET LL Tower @ 10 Willard Road, Norwalk, CT

Inputted Parameters	Power per Sector (EiRP Watts)	Distance from torul Base to Location (ft)	Height at Location, Relative to Base (ft)	Height at Location, Rumber of Times Below Relative to Base Federal Safety Limit of (ft) 1.0 mW/cm²
Antenna Type: EMS_RR901702DP	552.66		5	2,130,300
Antenna Centerline Height (Feet): 260	552.66	10	S	1,620,100
Mechanical Downtilt (Degrees): 0	552.66	100	S	104,900
Base Station TX Power (dBm): 43.01	552.66	200	5	48,300
Coax and Connector Loss (dB): 5.096	552.66	1000	S.	191,900
Number of Channels per Sector (TXs): 2	552.66	2000	S	121,600

Notes: Equations given in "FCC OET Bulletin 65, Edition 97-01", in conjunction with manufactures specific antenna data were used in the field strength calculations. The resultant values represent worst case levels for field strength intensity. 14: 02: 38 1999

11 Мау

Tue

\DWG\JOB-TYPE\99jobs\#100's\#110-119\119NDRWALK\S1-99119.dwg