

#### 1280 Route 46 West, Suite 9, Parsippany NJ, 07054

Melanie Bachman Executive Director CT Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Notice of Exempt Modification Application 453 Loon Meadow Rd, Norwalk, CT 06058

Latitude: N42.00908 Longitude: W73.1811

Dear Ms. Bachman:

Sprint currently maintains 3 existing panel antennas and 6 remote radio units at the 158'3" centerline level of the existing guyed tower. Sprint proposes to add 3 panel antennas and 3 remote radio unit at the 158'3" centerline on the tower. Sprint further proposes to add 3 hybrid cable and 33 Antenna to RRH jumper cables. Sprint is performing a new high-performance upgrade for cellular mobile communications. It is designed to increase the capacity and speed of mobile telephone networks.

Please accept this letter as notification to the Council, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, for construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to 1<sup>st</sup> Selectman Matthew T. Riiska for the town of Norfolk as well as Michael Halloran, Zoning Officer for the Town of Norfolk and AT&T, owner of the tower.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in Sprint's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower with proposed modifications to accommodate the revised antenna configuration as well as the latest CSC decision, tax sheet and tax map.

#### **Existing Facility**

CSC Summary Statement – CT33XC116 – 453 Loon Meadow Rd, Norfolk CT 06058

The Communications Tower facility is located at 453 Loon Meadow Rd, Norfolk CT and is owned by AT&T, the Site coordinates are: N42.00908 W73.18117.

The existing facility consists of a 160' Guyed Tower. Sprint currently operates wireless communications equipment on a platform on a concrete slab at the facility and has 3 antennas and 6 RRU's mounted on at centerline of 158'3" feet.

#### **Statutory Considerations**

The planned modifications to the facility fall within the activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. 16-50j-72(b)(2)

1. The height of the overall structure will be unaffected.

2. The proposed changes will not require an extension of the property boundaries.

3. The proposed additions will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by

six decibels or more, or to levels that exceed state and/or local criteria

4. The changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the Federal Communications Commission safety standard.

5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.

6. The existing structure and its foundation can support the proposed loading.

For the foregoing reasons, Sprint respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A Section §16-50j-72(b)(2).

Respectfully submitted,

Ryan G Bailey Charles Cherundolo Consulting 856-625-1596 ryan@mackenzierealtyconsulting.com

Additional Recipients: 1<sup>st</sup> Selectman Matthew T Riiska for the Town of Norfolk– Via FedEx Michael Halloran, Zoning Officer for the Town of Norfolk - Via FedEx AT&T, owner of the tower – Via FedEx



SIGNATURE BLOCK:	
SPRINT REPRESENTATIVE:	DATE
SPRINT RF ENGINEER:	DATE
PROPERTY OWNER:	DATE



# "DO MACRO UPGRADE"

# **CT33XC116 453 LOON MEADOW ROAD** NORFOLK, CT 06058 **LITCHFIELD COUNTY**

## SITE LOCATION INFORMATION

SITE ID NUMBER SITE NAME: SITE ADDRESS:

PARCEL ID:

PROPERTY OWNER:

APPLICANT:

COUNTY:

CT33XC116 FISHS EDDY / SNET CORP 453 LOON MEADOW ROAD NORFOLK, CT 06058 6-14-7

NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS 5565 GLENRIDGE CONNECTOR **SUITE 1520** ATLANTA, GA 30342 SPRINT

LITCHFIELD COUNTY

	SHEET INDEX
SHEET NO.	SHEET DESCRIPTION
T-1	TITLE SHEET
C-1	SITE LAYOUT & GENERAL NOTES
C-2	EXISTING & FINAL ANTENNA PLANS
C-3	ELEVATION, B.O.M. & FINAL EQUIPMENT PLAN
C-4	CONSTRUCTION DETAILS & SCHEMATIC
E-1	ELECTRICAL & GROUNDING DETAILS, NOTES & COAX CO

## SITE CHARACTERISTICS

LATITUDE: LONGITUDE: STRUCTURE TYPE: LOCATION OF PROPOSED EQUIPMENT: STRUCTURE HEIGHT: ANTENNA (RAD CENTER):

42.00908055 -73.18117222 GUYED TOWER EXISTING EQUIPMENT PAD ±160'-0" AGL ±158'-3" AGL (ALPHA) ±158'-3" AGL (BETA) ±158'-3" AGL (GAMMA)

OLOR CODE

		DM DE EX n s u l t a n t s 115 Route 46 Suite E39 ountain Lakes, NJ 07046 PHONE: 862.209.4300 FAX: 862.209.4301			
	Sprint of the second se				
	6	herundolo Consulting			
	SCI	EDULE OF REVISIONS			
7					
6					
5					
4					
3					
2					
1	11/12/17	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION			
0 REV	08/23/17	INITIAL SUBMISSION			
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES			
DR	AWN BY:	.111/			
СН	ECKED BY:	NDB			
sc	ALE:	AS NOTED			
JO	B NO:	17109-CHE			
	Docu Consultant be used specific us Any exten by owner of express Consultar users own that spe Com-Ex	ments prepared by Com-Ex s, including this document, are to only for the specific project and se for which they were intended. sion of use to any other projects, or by any other party, without the ed written consent of Com-Ex ths, is done unlawfully and at the risk. If used in a way other than cifically intended, user will hold Consultants, harmless from all claims and losses.			
	453 LO NOR LITC	CT33XC116 ON MEADOW ROAD FOLK, CT 06058 HFIELD COUNTY			
	DRAWING S				



## GENERAL NOTES:

- TOWN OF NORFOLK, CT.

- INDICATED "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION".

- CONSTRUCTION OF THIS FACILITY.
- WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
- INSTALLATION.

- EFFECTS THEIR WORK.
- UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK.
- WORK.
- ARE ALLOWED.
- IDENTIFIED BY THE CONTACT DOCUMENTS.

- COMPANY.
- REGULATIONS MAY TAKE PRECEDENCE.

1. SUBJECT PROPERTY IS KNOWN AS TAX PARCEL ID 6-14-7 AS SHOWN THE OFFICIAL TAX MAP OF THE

2. THE APPLICANT PROPOSES TO INSTALL ONE (1) NEW ANTENNA PER SECTOR (3 TOTAL) ON EXISTING MOUNTING FRAME AND TWO (2) RRHs PER SECTOR (6 TOTAL) ON PROPOSED MOUNTING HARDWARE.

3. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT COMMENCE ANY WORK UNTIL HE OBTAINS, AT HIS OWN EXPENSE, ALL INSURANCE REQUIRED BY SPRINT, THE PROPERTY OWNER AND/OR PROPERTY MANAGEMENT COMPANY.

4. THIS SET OF PLANS HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE PURPOSES OF MUNICIPAL AND AGENCY REVIEW AND APPROVAL. THIS SET OF PLANS SHALL NOT BE UTILIZED AS CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS UNTIL ALL CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL HAVE BEEN SATISFIED AND EACH OF THE DRAWINGS HAVE BEEN REVISED TO

5. SITE INFORMATION SHOWN TAKEN FROM PLANS PREPARED BY ALCATEL-LUCENT FOR SPRINT'S INSTALLATION ON THIS FACILITY. DRAWINGS ENTITLED "SPRINT, SITE ID: CT33XC116, SITE NAME: FISHS EDDY / SNET CORP DATED 07/24/14. ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION WAS SUPPLEMENTED WITH A LIMITED SITE VISIT BY COM-EX CONSULTANTS ON 08/15/17.

6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, ORDINANCES, LAWS AND REGULATIONS OF ALL MUNICIPALITIES, UTILITIES OR OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITIES.

7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS THAT MAY BE REQUIRED BY ANY FEDERAL, STATE, COUNTY OR MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES.

8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER, IN WRITING, OF ANY CONFLICTS, ERRORS OR OMISSIONS PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS OR PERFORMANCE OF WORK. MINOR OMISSIONS OR ERRORS IN THE BID DOCUMENTS SHALL NOT EXCUSE SAID CONTRACTOR FROM COMPLETING THIS PROJECT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERALL INTENT OF THESE DRAWINGS.

9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ALL EXISTING SITE IMPROVEMENTS PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAIR ANY DAMAGE CAUSED AS A RESULT OF

10. THE SCOPE OF WORK FOR THIS PROJECT SHALL INCLUDE PROVIDING ALL MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT AND LABOR REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS PROJECT. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE

11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE PROJECT SITE PRIOR TO SUBMITTING A BID TO VERIFY THAT THE PROJECT CAN BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

12. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ANTENNA ELEVATION AND AZIMUTH WITH RF ENGINEERING PRIOR TO

13. ALL STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED STEEL.

14. THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR DETERMINING ALL CONSTRUCTION MEANS AND METHODS. THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTOR IS ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL JOB SITE SAFETY.

15. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, ANGLES AND EXISTING CONDITIONS AT THE SITE PRIOR TO FABRICATION AND/OR INSTALLATION OF ANY WORK IN THE CONTRACT AREA AND SUBMIT TO THE ENGINEER ANY DISCREPANCIES FROM THE DRAWINGS.

16. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO REVIEW ALL DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENT SET. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL WORK SHOWN IN THE SET OF DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A COMPLETE SET OF DRAWINGS TO ALL SUBCONTRACTORS AND RELATED PARTIES. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL EXAMINE ALL THE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE INFORMATION THAT

17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN A CURRENT SET OF DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS ON THE SITE AT ALL TIMES AND INSURE THE DISTRIBUTION OF NEW DRAWINGS TO SUBCONTRACTORS AND OTHER RELEVANT PARTIES AS SOON AS THEY ARE MADE AVAILABLE. OLD DRAWINGS SHALL BE MARKED VOID AND REMOVED FROM THE CONTRACT AREA CONTRACTOR FURNISH 3 SETS OF REDLINE "AS-BUILT" DRAWINGS TO SPRINT

18. DETAILS ARE INTENDED TO SHOW END RESULT OF DESIGN. MINOR MODIFICATIONS MAT BE REQUIRED TO SUIT JOB DIMENSIONS OR CONDITIONS, AND SUCH MODIFICATIONS SHALL INCLUDED AS PART OF THE

19. ALL MATERIAL PROVIDED BY IS TO BE REVIEWED BY THE CONTRACTOR AND ALL APPLICABLE SUB-CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO INSTALLATION. ANY DEFICIENCIES TO PROVIDE MATERIALS SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGERS ATTENTION IMMEDIATELY.

20. THE MATERIALS INSTALLED SHALL MEET REQUIREMENTS OF CONTRACTORS DOCUMENTS. NO SUBSTITUTIONS

21. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL CIVIL, STRUCTURAL AND ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR THE LOCATIONS OF ALL OPENINGS, RECESSES, BUILT-IN WORK, ETC..

22. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RECEIVE CLARIFICATION IN WRITING AND SHALL RECEIVE IN WRITING AUTHORIZATION TO PROCEED BEFORE STARTING WORK ON ANY ITEMS NOT CLEARLY DEFINED OR

23. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONSTRUCTION MANAGER OF ALL PRODUCTS OR ITEMS NOTED AS "EXISTING" WHICH ARE NOT FOUND TO BE IN THE FIELD.

24. ERECTION SHALL BE DONE IN A WORKMANLIKE MANNER BY COMPETENT EXPERIENCED WORKMEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE CODES AND THE BEST-ACCEPTED PRACTICE. ALL MEMBERS SHALL BE LAND PLUMB AND TRUE AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.

25. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE HIS WORK AND SCHEDULE HIS ACTIVITIES AND WORKING HOURS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROPERTY OWNER AND/OR PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

26. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COORDINATING HIS WORK WITH THE WORK OF OTHERS AS IT MAY RELATE TO RADIO EQUIPMENT, ANTENNAS AND ANY OTHER PORTIONS OF THE WORK.

27. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURE'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY INDICATED OR WHERE LOCAL CODES OR

28. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPAIR ALL EXISTING SURFACES DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION SUCH THAT THEY MATCH AND BLEND WITH ADJACENT SURFACES.

29. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP CONTRACT AREA CLEAN, HAZARD FREE AND DISPOSE OF ALL DEBRIS AND RUBBISH. LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION AND FREE FROM PAINT SPOTS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING ALL ITEMS UNTIL COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.

30. BEFORE FINAL ACCEPTANCE OF THE WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE ALL EQUIPMENT, TEMPORARY WORKS, UNUSED AND USELESS MATERIALS, RUBBISH AND TEMPORARY STRUCTURES.

31. DESIGN REQUIREMENTS PER INTERNATIONAL BUILDING CODE 2015 AND THE EIA/TIA-222-G STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL ANTENNA TOWERS AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES.

Consultants Consultants 115 Route 46 Suite E39 Mountain Lakes, NJ 07046 PHONE: 862.209.4300 FAX: 862.209.4301			
Sprint Difference of the second secon			
6	herundolo Consulting		
SCI	HEDULE OF REVISIONS		
<b>T</b>			
+			
444045			
08/23/17	INITIAL SUBMISSION		
DATE	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES		
	-		
AWN BY:	JW		
ALE:	AS NOTED		
B NO:	17109-CHE		
Documents prepared by Com-Ex Consultants, including this document, are to be used only for the specific project and specific use for which they were intended. Any extension of use to any other projects, by owner or by any other party, without the expressed written consent of Com-Ex Consultants, is done unlawfully and at the users own risk. If used in a way other than that specifically intended, user will hold Com-Ex Consultants, harmless from all claims and losses.			
SIGNATU	HOLAS DS BARILE SIONAL ENGINEER		
CT33XC116 453 LOON MEADOW ROAD NORFOLK, CT 06058 LITCHFIELD COUNTY			
SITE LAYOUT & GENERAL NOTES			
DRAWING SHEET: 2 OF 6			



ALL LOADING ASSOCIATED WITH PROPOSED EQUIPMENT CABINETS, ANTENNAS, AND CABLE ROUTING SHALL BE VERIFIED BY A PASSING STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY A LICENSED ENGINEER PRIOR TO INSTALLATION UNUSED SPRINT COAX CABLES TO BE REMOVED	<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header>		
APPHA SECTOR         PROPOSED B00 MH2 RRH         PROPOSED B00 MH2 RRH         (TPP. 0F 1 PER SECTOR)         PROPOSED B00/2500MH2         PROPOSED S00/0250MH2         PROPOSED S00/0250MH2      <	SCHEDULE OF REVISIONS         7		





ALL LOADING ASSOCIATED WITH PROPOSED EQUIPMENT CABINETS, ANTENNAS, AND CABLE ROUTING SHALL BE VERIFIED BY A PASSING STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS PERFORMED BY A LICENSED ENGINEER PRIOR TO INSTALLATION

		BILL	OF MATE	RIALS	
	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY EACH	DIMENSIONS (HxWxD)	<u>WEIGHT (LBS)</u> <u>EACH</u>	MANUFACTURER: PART/ MODEL#
	800/2500 MHz PANEL ANTENNA - SECTOR 1	1	72"x14"x8"	58 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	COMMSCOPE: DT465B-2XR
	800 MHz RRH, 2x50W, -48V	1	16"x13"x10"	69.1 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	ALU: RRH-2x50-800
	800MHz RRH NOTCH FILTER	1	8.9"x8.9"x4.5"	9.45 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	TBD
	2500 MHz RRH, 8x20-25	1	26"x18.6"x6.7"	76.2 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	ALU: TD-RRH8x20-25
	800/2500 MHz PANEL ANTENNA – SECTOR 2	1	72"x14"x8"	58 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	COMMSCOPE: DT465B-2XR
	800 MHz RRH, 2x50W, -48V	1	16"x13"x10"	69.1 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	ALU: RRH-2x50-800
	800MHz RRH NOTCH FILTER	1	8.9"x8.9"x4.5"	9.45 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	TBD
	2500 MHz RRH, 8x20-25	1	26"x18.6"x6.7"	76.2 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	ALU: TD-RRH8x20-25
	800/2500 MHz PANEL ANTENNA – SECTOR 3	1	72"x14"x8"	58 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	COMMSCOPE: DT465B-2XR
	800 MHz RRH, 2x50W, -48V	1	16"x13"x10"	69.1 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	ALU: RRH-2x50-800
	800MHz RRH NOTCH FILTER	1	8.9"x8.9"x4.5"	9.45 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	TBD
	2500 MHz RRH, 8x20-25	1	26"x18.6"x6.7"	76.2 LBS W/OUT MOUNTING HARDWARE	ALU: TD-RRH8x20-25
	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY EACH	DIMENSIONS (length)	WEIGHT (LBS/FOOT)	MANUFACTURER: SIZE/PART/MODEL#
	SECTOR 1 HYBRIFLEX RUN (BTS TO RRH)	1	±190'	1.3 LBS	RFS: 1-1/4" / HB114-1-08U4-M5J
	SECTOR 1 COAX CABLE JUMPERS	11	10'	N/A	LDF4–50 (OR EQUIVALENT)
	SECTOR 1 R.E.T. CABLES	4	(3) 10' / (1) 2'	N/A	TBD
С Ц					
Ш	SECTOR 2 COAX CABLE JUMPERS	11	10'	N/A	LDF4–50 (OR EQUIVALENT)
CA	SECTOR 2 R.E.T. CABLES	4	(3) 10' / (1) 2'	N/A	TBD
	SECTOR 3 COAX CABLE JUMPERS	11	10'	N/A	LDF4–50 (OR EQUIVALENT)
	SECTOR 3 R.E.T. CABLES	4	(3) 10' / (1) 2'	N/A	TBD





/









## COAXIAL CABLE COLOR CODE

SECTOR	CABLE	FIRST RING	SECOND RING	THIRD RING
1ALPHA	1	GREEN	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
1	2	BLUE	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
1	3	BROWN	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
1	4	WHITE	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
1	5	RED	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
1	6	SLATE	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
1	7	PURPE	NO TAPE	NO TAPE
1	8	ORANGE	ΝΟ ΤΑΡΕ	NO TAPE
2 BETA	1	GREEN	GREEN	NO TAPE
2	2	BLUE	BLUE	NO TAPE
2	3	BROWN	BROWN	NO TAPE
2	4	WHITE	WHITE	NO TAPE
2	5	RED	RED	NO TAPE
2	6	SLATE	SLATE	NO TAPE
2	7	PURPLE	PURPLE	NO TAPE
2	8	ORANGE	ORANGE	NO TAPE
3 GAMMA	1	GREEN	GREEN	GREEN
3	2	BLUE	BLUE	BLUE
3	3	BROWN	BROWN	BROWN
3	4	WHITE	WHITE	WHITE
3	5	RED	RED	RED
3	6	SLATE	SLATE	SLATE
3	7	PURPLE	PURPLE	PURPLE
3	8	ORANGE	ORANGE	ORANGE

<u>NO</u> 1.	TES: CON <sup>T</sup> CABL EXIS <sup>T</sup> THAN PROF AND	FRA LINO FIN V ( POS CO
2.	ALU MUST	SL F E

## TYPICAL POWER & GROUNDING ONE-LINE DIAGRAM

CONTRACTOR TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING GROUND BARS AND GROUND WIRES THROUGHOUT SITE INCLUDING COAX CABLE GROUNDING AND SECTOR GROUND BARS LOCATED AT ANTENNAS. CONTRACTOR IS TO ALSO REPLACE GROUND WIRE FROM GROUND BAR AT EQUIPMENT TO BUILDING WATER MAIN THROUGH EXISTING CONDUIT.

ACTOR (ELECTRICIAN) TO VERIFY IN FIELD THAT THE IG SERVICING THE EXITING BTS CABINET FROM THE NG POWER PANEL IS RATED EQUAL TO OR GREATER (2) #1 AWG AND (2) #8 AWG SPECIFIED FOR THE SED BTS 9927/9928 CABINET. UPGRADE THE CABLING CONDUIT IF NEEDED.

UPPLIED SEALTITE BETWEEN BTS AND DISTRIBUTION BOX BE SUPPORTED EVERY 24" MAX.

SPECIAL GROUNDING NOTE: FOR NEW OR REPAIRED GROUNDING EQUIPMENT, REFER TO SPRINT GROUNDING STANDARDS AND THE FOLLOWING (SUPPLEMENTS).

• ANTI-THEFT UPDATE TO SPRINT GROUNDING 08.24.12 • SPRINT ENGINEERING LETTER EL-0504 DATED 04.20.12 SPRINT CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS:

- GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL ADHERE TO THE FOLLOWING SPRINT CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS (AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME AND AVAILABLE ON THE ALU FST DATABASE):
- CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS: INTEGRATED CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS FOR WIRELESS SITES VERSION 4.0, INCLUDING EXHIBITS A-M. CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS: CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS EXHIBIT A -
- STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS FOR WIRELESS SITES (VERSION 4.0).
- GROUNDING STANDARDS: EXTERIOR GROUNDING SYSTEM DESIGN. GROUNDING STANDARDS (SUPPLEMENT): ANTI-THEFT UPDATE TO SPRINT GROUNDING 082412 AND SPRINT ENGINEERING LETTER EL-0504 DATED 04.20.12.
- WEATHER PROOFING STANDARDS: EXCERPT FROM CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS EXHIBIT A, SECTION 3.6 WEATHERPROOFING CONNECTORS AND GROUND KITS. COLOR CODING: SPRINT NEXTEL ANT AND LINE COLOR CODING (DRAFT) V3 09-08-11.

ELECTRICAL AND GROUNDING NOTES

- 1. ALL ELECTRICAL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE (NEC) AS WELL AS APPLICABLE STATE AND LOCAL CODES.
- 2. ALL ELECTRICAL ITEMS SHALL BE U.L. APPROVED OR LISTED AND PROCURED PER SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.
- 3. ELECTRICAL AND TELCO WIRING OUTSIDE A BUILDING AND EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE IN WATER TIGHT GALVANIZED RIGID STEEL CONDUITS OR SCHEDULE 80 PVC (AS PERMITTED BY CODE) AND WHERE REQUIRED IN LIQUID TIGHT FLEXIBLE METAL OR NONMETALLIC CONDUITS.
- 4. BURIED CONDUIT SHALL BE SCHEDULE 40 PVC.
- 5. ELECTRICAL WIRING SHALL BE COPPER WITH TYPE XHHW, THWN, OR THNN INSULATION.
- 6. RUN TELCO CONDUIT OR CABLE BETWEEN TELEPHONE UTILITY DEMARCATION POINT AND PROJECT OWNER CELL SITE TELCO CABINET AND BTS CABINET AS INDICATED ON THIS DRAWING PROVIDE FULL LENGTH PULL ROPE IN INSTALLED TELCO CONDUIT. PROVIDE GREENLEE CONDUIT MEASURING TAPE AT EACH END.
- WHERE CONDUIT BETWEEN BTS AND PROJECT OWNER CELL SITE PPC AND BETWEEN BTS AND PROJECT OWNER CELL SITE TELCO SERVICE CABINET ARE UNDERGROUND USE PVC, SCHEDULE 40 CONDUIT. ABOVE THE GROUND PORTION OF THESE CONDUITS SHALL BE PVC CONDUIT.
- 8. ALL EQUIPMENT LOCATED OUTSIDE SHALL HAVE NEMA 3R ENCLOSURE.
- 9. GROUNDING SHALL COMPLY WITH NEC ART. 250.
- 10. GROUND HYBRIFLEX CABLE SHIELDS AT 3 LOCATIONS USING MANUFACTURER'S HYBRIFLEX CABLE GROUNDING KITS SUPPLIED BY PROJECT OWNER.
- 11. USE #6 COPPER STRANDED WIRE WITH GREEN COLOR INSULATION FOR ABOVE GRADE GROUNDING (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED) AND #2 SOLID TINNED BARE COPPER WIRE FOR BELOW GRADE GROUNDING AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWING.
- 12. ALL GROUND CONNECTIONS TO BE BURNDY HYGROUND COMPRESSION TYPE CONNECTORS OR CADWELD EXOTHERMIC WELD. DO NOT ALLOW BARE COPPER WIRE TO BE IN CONTACT WITH GALVANIZED STEEL.
- 13. ROUTE GROUNDING CONDUCTORS ALONG THE SHORTEST AND STRAIGHTEST PATH POSSIBLE, EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE INDICATED. GROUNDING LEADS SHOULD NEVER BE BENT AT RIGHT ANGLE. ALWAYS MAKE AT LEAST 12" RADIUS BENDS. #6 WIRE CAN BE BENT AT 6" RADIUS WHEN NECESSARY. BOND ANY METAL OBJECTS WITHIN 6 FEET OF PROJECT OWNER EQUIPMENT OR CABINET TO MASTER GROUND BAR OR GROUNDING RING.
- 14. CONNECTIONS TO GROUND BARS SHALL BE MADE WITH TWO HOLE COMPRESSION TYPE COPPER LUGS. APPLY OXIDE INHIBITING COMPOUND TO ALL LOCATIONS.
- 15. APPLY OXIDE INHIBITING COMPOUND TO ALL COMPRESSION TYPE GROUND CONNECTIONS.
- 16. BOND ANTENNA MOUNTING BRACKETS, HYBRIFLEX CABLE GROUND KITS, AND RRHs TO EGB PLACED NEAR THE ANTENNA LOCATION.
- 17. BOND ANTENNA EGB'S AND MGB TO GROUND RING.
- 18. CONTRACTOR SHALL TEST COMPLETED GROUND SYSTEM AND RECORD RESULT FOR PROJECT CLOSE-OUT DOCUMENTATION. 5 OHMS MINIMUM RESISTANCE REQUIRED.
- 19. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONDUCT ANTENNA, HYBRIFLEX CABLES, AND RRH RETURN-LOSS AND DISTANCE- TO-FAULT MEASUREMENTS (SWEEP TESTS) AND RECORD RESULTS FOR PROJECT CLOSE OUT.
- 20. CONTRACTOR (CERTIFIED ELECTRICIAN) SHALL CHECK CAPACITY OF EXISTING SERVICE & PANEL ON SITE TO DETERMINE IF CAPACITY EXISTS TO ACCOMMODATE THE ADDED LOAD OF THIS PROJECT. ADVISE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCY.

	Consultants Consultants <sup>115</sup> Route 46 Suite E39 Mountain Lakes, NJ 07046 PHONE: 862.209.4300 FAX: 862.209.4301				
	Sprint Darkway OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251				
		herundolo Consulting			
	SCI	HEDULE OF REVISIONS			
7					
6					
5					
4					
3					
2					
1	11/12/17	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION			
0 REV.	08/23/17				
10.	DATE	DESURIFIION OF CHANGES			
DP	AWN RY.	.1W/			
СН	ECKED BY	NDB			
SC	ALE:	AS NOTED			
JO	JOB NO: 17109-CHE				
	Documents prepared by Com-Ex Consultants, including this document, are to be used only for the specific project and specific use for which they were intended. Any extension of use to any other projects, by owner or by any other party, without the expressed written consent of Com-Ex Consultants, is done unlawfully and at the users own risk. If used in a way other than that specifically intended, user will hold Com-Ex Consultants, harmless from all claims and losses.				
	INFORMATION ON THIS SET OF DRAWINGS IS NOT FOR OFFICIALIMSE UNLESS ACCOMPANIED BY THE STAMPED SEAL & SIGNATURE OF A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER NICHOLAS DE BARILE PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER OT L.C. No. 28643				
	CT33XC116 453 LOON MEADOW ROAD NORFOLK, CT 06058 LITCHFIELD COUNTY				
DRAWING TITLE: ELECTRICAL GROUNDING DETAILS, NOTES, & COAX COLOR CODE DRAWING SHEET: 6 OF 6					
	DRAWING SHEET: 6 OF 6				



AT&T Towers 5600 Glenridge Drive Atlanta, GA 30342 (678) 917-1026



GPD# 2018723.01.71285.02

June 28, 2018

#### **RIGOROUS STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS REPORT WITH MODIFICATION DESIGN**

AT&T DESIGNATION:	Site USID:	71285
	Site FA:	10035022
	Site Name:	NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD
	Sprint #:	CT33XC116
	Sprint Name:	Fishs Eddy / SNET Corp
	AT&T Project:	Sprint Modification 2.5 11-28-17
ANALYSIS CRITERIA:	Codes:	TIA-222-G, 2012 IBC, and 2016 CTBC 115-mph Ultimate (3-second gust) with 0" ice 89-mph Nominal (3-second gust) with 0" ice 40-mph (3-second gust) with 0.75" ice
SITE DATA:		402 Loon Meadow Dr., Norfolk, CT 06058, Litchfield County Latitude 42° 0' 32.004" N, Longitude 73° 10' 51" W Market: NEW ENGLAND 160' Guved Tower
Mr. Reggie Barrau,		

GPD is pleased to submit this Rigorous Structural Analysis Report With Modification Design to determine the structural integrity of the aforementioned tower. The purpose of the analysis is to determine the suitability of the tower with the existing and proposed loading configuration detailed in the analysis report.

#### **Analysis Results**

Tower Stress Level with Proposed Equipment:	54.9%	Pass
Foundation Ratio with Proposed Equipment:	61.9%	Pass

Note: In order for this analysis results to be valid for the proposed, existing, and reserved loading in Appendix A the modifications referenced in the design drawings by GPD (Project 2018723.04.103532.02, dated 6/28/2018) must be installed.

We at GPD appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and AT&T Towers. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please do not hesitate to call.



#### SUMMARY & RESULTS

The purpose of this analysis was to verify whether the existing structure is capable of carrying the proposed loading configuration as specified by Sprint to AT&T Towers. This report was commissioned by Ms. Reggie Barrau of AT&T Towers.

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3-second gust wind speed of 115 mph converted to a nominal 3-second gust wind speed of 89 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix N as required for use in the TIA-222-G Standard per Exception #5 of Section 1609.1.1. Exposure Category B with a maximum topographic factor, Kzt, of 1.000 and Risk Category II were used in this analysis.

Modifications by GPD Project #: 2018723.01.71285.02, dated 6/28/2018, have been considered in this analysis.

Member	Capacity	Results
Legs	44.9%	Pass
Diagonals	54.9%	Pass
Horizontals	36.4%	Pass
Guy Wires	51.4%	Pass
Guy Pull-Offs	29.0%	Pass
Torque Arms	15.5%	Pass
Bolt Checks	54.9%	Pass
Guy Anchor Foundation	28.1%	Pass
Tower Base Foundation	61.9%	Pass

#### TOWER SUMMARY AND RESULTS

#### **ANALYSIS METHOD**

tnxTower (Version 7.0.7.0), a commercially available software program, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate primary member stresses for various dead, live, wind, and ice load cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix B. The following table details the information provided to complete this structural analysis. This analysis is solely based on this information and is being completed without the benefit of a recent detailed site visit.

#### **DOCUMENTS PROVIDED**

Document	Remarks	Source
Site Lease Application	Sprint Colocation Application, dated 5/2/2018	AT&T
Tower Design	Not Provided	N/A
Foundation Design	Not Provided	N/A
Geotechnical Report	WEI Project # 2010-1212, dated 9/15/2010	AT&T
Previous Structural Analysis	GPD Job #: 2018702.82, dated 4/3/2018	AT&T
Modification Drawings	GPD Project #: 2018723.01.71285.02, dated 6/28/2018	GPD
Tower Mapping	GPD & MTSI Northeast, dated 7/21/2010	AT&T
Foundation Mapping	WEI Project # 2010-1212, dated 9/15/2010	AT&T

#### ASSUMPTIONS

This structural analysis is based on the theoretical capacity of the members and is not a condition assessment of the tower. This analysis is from information supplied, and therefore, its results are based on and are as accurate as that supplied data. GPD has made no independent determination, nor is it required to, of its accuracy. The following assumptions were made for this structural analysis.

- 1. The tower member sizes and shapes are considered accurate as supplied. The material grade is as per data supplied and/or as assumed and as stated in the materials section.
- 2. The antenna configuration is as supplied and/or as modeled in the analysis. It is assumed to be complete and accurate. All antennas, mounts, coax and waveguides are assumed to be properly installed and supported as per manufacturer requirements.
- 3. Some assumptions are made regarding antennas and mount sizes and their projected areas based on best interpretation of data supplied and of best knowledge of antenna type and industry practice.
- 4. All mounts, if applicable, are considered adequate to support the loading. No actual analysis of the mount(s) is performed. This analysis is limited to analyzing the tower only.
- 5. The soil parameters are as per data supplied or as assumed and stated in the calculations.
- 6. Foundations are properly designed and constructed to resist the original design loads indicated in the documents provided.
- 7. The tower and structures have been properly maintained in accordance with TIA Standards and/or with manufacturer's specifications.
- 8. All welds and connections are assumed to develop at least the member capacity unless determined otherwise and explicitly stated in this report.
- 9. All prior structural modifications are assumed to be as per data supplied/available and to have been properly installed.
- 10. Loading interpreted from photos is accurate to  $\pm 5'$  AGL, antenna size accurate to  $\pm 3.3$  sf, and coax equal to the number of existing antennas without reserve.
- 11. The existing loading was obtained from the previous structural analysis by GPD (Job #: 2018702.82, dated 4/3/2018), the provided Site Lease Application and site photos and is assumed to be accurate.
- 12. The azimuth orientation of Leg A was assumed to be at 340 degrees based on the tower mapping performed by GPD & MTSI Northeast (dated 7/21/2010).
- 13. Foundation steel was not able to be determined. Therefore it was assumed that the foundation steel in place is equal to the minimum required steel per code specifications.

If any of these assumptions are not valid or have been made in error, this analysis may be affected, and GPD should be allowed to review any new information to determine its effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

#### DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

GPD has not performed a recent site visit to the tower to verify the member sizes or antenna/coax loading. If the existing conditions are not as represented on the tower elevation contained in this report, we should be contacted immediately to evaluate the significance of the discrepancy. This is not a condition assessment of the tower or foundation. This report does not replace a full tower inspection. The tower and foundations are assumed to have been properly fabricated, erected, maintained, in good condition, twist free, and plumb.

The engineering services rendered by GPD in connection with this Rigorous Structural Analysis are limited to a computer analysis of the tower structure and theoretical capacity of its main structural members. No allowance was made for any damaged, bent, missing, loose, or rusted members (above and below ground). No allowance was made for loose bolts or cracked welds.

This analysis is limited to the designated maximum wind and seismic conditions per the governing tower standards and code. Wind forces resulting in tower vibrations near the structure's resonant frequencies were not considered in this analysis and are outside the scope of this analysis. Lateral loading from any dynamic response was not evaluated under a time-domain based fatigue analysis.

GPD does not analyze the fabrication of the structure (including welding). It is not possible to have all the very detailed information needed to perform a thorough analysis of every structural sub-component and connection of an existing tower. GPD provides a limited scope of service in that we cannot verify the adequacy of every weld, plate connection detail, etc. The purpose of this report is to assess the capability of adding appurtenances usually accompanied by transmission lines to the structure.

It is the owner's responsibility to determine the amount of ice accumulation in excess of the code specified amount, if any, that should be considered in the structural analysis.

The attached sketches are a schematic representation of the analyzed tower. If any material is fabricated from these sketches, the contractor shall be responsible for field verifying the existing conditions, proper fit, and clearance in the field. Any mentions of structural modifications are reasonable estimates and should not be used as a precise construction document. Precise modification drawings are obtainable from GPD, but are beyond the scope of this report.

Miscellaneous items such as antenna mounts, etc., have not been designed or detailed as a part of our work. We recommend that material of adequate size and strength be purchased from a reputable tower manufacturer.

Towers are designed to carry gravity, wind, and ice loads. All members, legs, diagonals, struts, and redundant members provide structural stability to the tower with little redundancy. Absence or removal of a member can trigger catastrophic failure unless a substitute is provided before any removal. Legs carry axial loads and derive their strength from shorter unbraced lengths by the presence of redundant members and their connection to the diagonals with bolts or welds. If the bolts or welds are removed without providing any substitute to the frame, the leg is subjected to a higher unbraced length that immediately reduces its load carrying capacity. If a diagonal is also removed in addition to the connection, the unbraced length of the leg is greatly increased, jeopardizing its load carrying capacity. Failure of one leg can result in a tower collapse because there is no redundancy. Redundant members and diagonals are critical to the stability of the tower.

GPD makes no warranties, expressed and/or implied, in connection with this report and disclaims any liability arising from material, fabrication, and erection of this tower. GPD will not be responsible whatsoever for, or on account of, consequential or incidental damages sustained by any person, firm, or organization as a result of any data or conclusions contained in this report. The maximum liability of GPD pursuant to this report will be limited to the total fee received for preparation of this report.

#### APPENDIX A

Tower Analysis Summary Form

#### **Tower Analysis Summary Form**

#### 

Site Number	71285
FA Number	10035022
Date of Analysis	6/28/2018
Company Performing Analysis	GPD

Tower Info	Description	Date
Tower Type (G, SST, MP)	G	
Tower Height (top of steel AGL)	160'	
Tower Manufacturer	n/a	
Tower Model	n/a	
Tower Design	n/a	
Foundation Design	n/a	
Geotech Report	WEI Project #: 2010-1212	9/15/2010
Tower Mapping	GPD & MTSI Northeast	7/21/2010
Previous Structural Analysis	GPD Job #: 2018702.82	4/3/2018
Foundation Mapping	WEI Project #: 2010-1212	9/15/2010

#### Steel Yield Strength (ksi) Legs

Braces 36 Member Bolts A325

Member Boils	A323
Guy Wires	EHS

Note: Steel grades assumed based on past experience with similar towers.

#### Existing / Reserved Loading

				Antenna				Mount			Transmission Line			
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth	Quantity	Manufacturer	Туре	Quantity	Model	Size	Attachment Leg/Face
AT&T Mobility	160	168	1	Dipole	Unknown	10' Dipole		1	Unknown	Pipe Mount	1	Unknown	7/8"	Face A
AT&T Mobility	160	160	1	Omni	Unknown	3' Omni				Flush Mount				
Sprint	158	158	3	Panel	RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20	50/170/250	3	Unknown	12' Boom Gate	3	Hybriflex	1-1/4"	Face A
											1	RET Cable	3/8"	Face A
Sprint	154	154	3	RRU	Alcatel Lucent	RRH2X50-800				Flush Mounted				
Sprint	154	154	3	RRU	Alcatel Lucent	RRH1900-4X40				Flush Mounted				
AT&T Mobility	148	148	1	Yagi	Unknown	4' Yagi		1	Unknown	Pipe Mount	1	Unknown	1/2"	Face A
AT&T Mobility	142	143	3	Panel	KMW	AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET	23/143/264	3	Unknown	8' Frame	12	Unknown	1-5/8"	Face B
AT&T Mobility	142	143	6	Panel	Powerwave	7770	23/143/264			on the same mounts	2	DC/Fiber Bundle	1-1/2"	Face B
AT&T Mobility	142	143	3	Panel	Kathrein	800-10965	23/143/264			on the same mounts				
AT&T Mobility	142	143	6	TMA	Powerwave	TT19-08BP111-001				on the same mounts				
AT&T Mobility	142	143	12	RET	Powerwave	7020				on the same mounts				
AT&T Mobility	142	143	3	RRU	Ericsson	RRUS 11				on the same mounts				
AT&T Mobility	142	143	3	RRU	Ericsson	B14 4478				on the same mounts				
AT&T Mobility	142	143	3	RRU	Ericsson	RRUS-32 B2				on the same mounts				
AT&T Mobility	142	143	2	Surge	Andrew	ABT-DFDM-ADBH				on the same mounts				
Unknown	137							2	Unknown	4' Sidearm				
T-Mobile	120	120	3	Panel	EMS	RR90-17-02DP	60/190/280	3	Unknown	4' Standoff	6	Unknown	1-1/4"	Face A
T-Mobile	120	120	3	Panel	Commscope	LNX-6515DS-VTM	60/190/280			on the same mounts	6	Unknown	1-5/8"	Face B
T-Mobile	120	120	6	TMA	RFS	1412D-1A20			]	on the same mounts	1	RET Cable	3/8"	Face B
		1		1		l			]					
AT&T Mobility	13	13	1	GPS	Unknown	GPS		1	Unknown	1' Sidearm	1	Unknown	1/2"	Face B

#### Proposed Loading

Antenna								Mo	ount	Transmission Line				
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth Quantity Manufacturer Type Quantity		ity Manufacturer Type Quantity		Quantity Model Siz		Size	Attachment Leg/Face
Sprint	158	158	3	Panel	Commscope	DT465B-2XR	50/170/250			on the existing mounts	1	Unknown	1/2"	Face A
Sprint	158	158	3	RRU	Alcatel Lucent	TD-RRH8X20-25				on the existing mounts				
Sprint	154	154	3	RRU	Alcatel Lucent	RRH-2x50-800				Flush Mounted				

Note: The proposed loading shall be in addition to the existing equipment at the same elevation.

Note: The proposed coas shall be installed in a single row with the existing Sprint feedlines on Face A in order for the analysis to be valid. See Appendix C for the proposed coas layout.

#### Future Loading

Antenna							Mo	ount	Transmission Line					
Antenna Owner	Mount Height (ft)	Antenna CL (ft)	Quantity	Туре	Manufacturer	Model	Azimuth	Quantity	Manufacturer	Туре	Quantity	Model	Size	Attachment Leg/Face
T&T Mobility	142	143	3	Panel	Kathrein	800-10965	23/143/264			on the existing mounts	6	Unknown	1-5/8"	Face B

Note: The future loading shall be in addition to the existing equipment at the same elevation.

Note: The future coax shall be stacked on top of the existing AT&T coax on Face B to form two different (3) on (3) on (3) configurations. See Appendix C for the proposed coax layout.

The information contained in this summary report is not to be used independently from the PE stamped tower analysis.

#### Design Parameters Design Code Used **11A-222-G**, 2012 IBC **& 2016 CTBC** Location of Tower (County, State) Litchtield, Connecticut Basic Wind Speed (mph) Basic Wind Speed (mph) B9-mph (3-second gust) ice Thickness (m) Location Classification (I, II, III) II Exposure Category (B, C, D) B Topographic Category (I to 5)

#### Modification drawings by GPD Project #: 2018723.01.71285.02, dated 6/28/2018, have been considered in this analysis.

#### Analysis Results (% Maximum Usage)

Existing/Reserved + Future + Propos	ed Condition (Modified)	
Tower (%)	54.9%	
Guy Wires (%)	51.4%	
Foundation (%)	61.9%	
Foundation Adequate?	Yes	
Analysis Results (% Maximum Usage	)	
Existing/Reserved (Unmodified)		
Tower (%)	51.1%	
Guy Wires (%)	49.7%	
Foundation (%)	118.3%	
Foundation Adequate?	No	
Analysis Results (% Maximum Usage	)	
Existing/Reserved + Proposed Condi	tion (Unmodified)	
Tower (%)	54.3%	
Guy Wires (%)	51.1%	
Foundation (%)	122.6%	
Foundation Adequate?	No	

### APPENDIX B

tnxTower Output File

	Job		Page	
tnx1ower		1 of 8		
<b>GPD</b> 520 South Main Street Suite 2531	Project	2018723.01.71285.02	Date 16:23:29 06/18/18	
Akron, Ohio 44311 Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235	Client	AT&T	Designed by mmoeller	

### **Tower Input Data**

The main tower is a 3x guyed tower with an overall height of 160.00 ft above the ground line.

The base of the tower is set at an elevation of 0.00 ft above the ground line.

The face width of the tower is 4.00 ft at the top and tapered at the base.

This tower is designed using the TIA-222-G standard.

The following design criteria apply:

Tower is located in Litchfield County, Connecticut. Basic wind speed of 89 mph. Structure Class II. Exposure Category B. Topographic Category 1. Crest Height 0.00 ft. Nominal ice thickness of 0.7500 in. Ice thickness is considered to increase with height. Ice density of 56 pcf. A wind speed of 40 mph is used in combination with ice. Temperature drop of 50 °F. Deflections calculated using a wind speed of 60 mph. Pressures are calculated at each section. Safety factor used in guy design is 1. Stress ratio used in tower member design is 1.

Local bending stresses due to climbing loads, feed line supports, and appurtenance mounts are not considered.

#### Feed Line/Linear Appurtenances - Entered As Round Or Flat

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Face	Lateral	#	#	Clear	Width or	Perimeter	Weight
	or	Shield	Type		Offset	Offset		Per	Spacing	Diameter		
	Leg			ft	in	(Frac FW)		Row	in	in	in	plf
Climbing	в	No	Af (CaAa)	160.00 - 8.00	-2.0000	0	1	1	3.8400	3.8400		7.90
Ladder												
LDF5-50A	Α	No	Ar (CaAa)	160.00 - 8.00	-2.0000	-0.35	1	1	1.0000	1.0900		0.33
(7/8 FOAM)												
Coax Bracket	Α	No	Af (CaAa)	160.00 - 8.00	0.0000	0	1	1	0.5000	0.5000		0.43
5/20' x 12"												
Hybriflex	Α	No	Ar (CaAa)	158.00 - 8.00	0.0000	-0.15	3	3	0.5000	1.5400		1.30
(1-1/4")												
LDF4-50A	Α	No	Ar (CaAa)	158.00 - 8.00	0.0000	-0.08	1	1	0.6300	0.6300		0.15
(1/2 FOAM)												
3/8" RET	Α	No	Ar (CaAa)	158.00 - 8.00	0.0000	-0.07	1	1	0.3750	0.3750		0.10
Cable												
LDF4-50A	Α	No	Ar (CaAa)	148.00 - 8.00	-2.0000	0.1	1	1	0.0000	0.6300		0.15
(1/2 FOAM)												
LDF7-50A	в	No	Ar (CaAa)	142.00 - 8.00	0.0000	0.4	9	3	1.0000	1.9800		0.82
(1-5/8 FOAM)												
1.5" DC/Fiber	в	No	Ar (CaAa)	142.00 - 8.00	0.0000	0.3	2	2	1.5000	1.5000		0.80
Bundle												
LDF7-50A	в	No	Ar (CaAa)	142.00 - 8.00	0.0000	-0.4	9	3	1.0000	1.9800		0.82
(1-5/8 FOAM)												
LDF6-50A	Α	No	Ar (CaAa)	120.00 - 8.00	-1.5000	0	6	6	1.0000	1.5500		0.66
(1-1/4 FOAM)												
LDF7-50A	С	No	Ar (CaAa)	120.00 - 8.00	0.0000	0	6	3	1.0000	1.9800		0.82
(1-5/8 FOAM)												
Coax Bracket	С	No	Af (CaAa)	120.00 - 8.00	0.0000	0	1	1	0.5000	0.5000		0.43

tnxTower	Job	71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	Page 2 of 8
<b>GPD</b> 520 South Main Street Suite 2531	Project	2018723.01.71285.02	Date 16:23:29 06/18/18
Akron, Ohio 44311 Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235	Client	AT&T	Designed by mmoeller

Description	Face	Allow	Component	Placement	Face	Lateral	#	#	Clear	Width or	Perimeter	Weight
	or	Shield	Type		Offset	Offset		Per	Spacing	Diameter		
	Leg			ft	in	(Frac FW)		Row	in	in	in	plf
5/20' x 12"												
3/8" RET	С	No	Ar (CaAa)	120.00 - 8.00	0.0000	0.1	1	1	0.3750	0.3750		0.10
Cable												
LDF4-50A	в	No	Ar (CaAa)	13.00 - 8.00	0.0000	-0.25	1	1	0.6300	0.6300		0.15
(1/2 FOAM)												

## Discrete Tower Loads

Description	Face or Leg	Offset Type	Offsets: Horz Lateral	Azimuth Adjustment	Placement		$C_A A_A$ Front	C <sub>A</sub> A <sub>A</sub> Side	Weight
	0		Vert ft	o	ft		$ft^2$	ft <sup>2</sup>	K
			ft G						
10' Dipole	Δ	From Leg	<u>ft</u>	0.0000	160.00	No Ice	2.00	2.00	0.02
To Dipole	л	1 Ioni Leg	0.00	0.0000	100.00	1/2" Ice	2.00	2.00	0.02
			8.00			172 ICC	3.02 4.07	3.02 4.07	0.04
Pine Mount 3'y2 375"	Δ	From Lea	0.25	0.0000	160.00	No Ice	0.58	0.58	0.00
Tipe Would 5 X2.575	Α	1 Ioni Leg	0.00	0.0000	100.00	1/2" Ice	0.58	0.58	0.01
			1.50			172 ICC	0.77	0.97	0.02
3' Omni	в	From Face	0.50	0.0000	160.00	No Ice	0.57	0.57	0.02
5 011111	Б	FIOIIFIACE	0.00	0.0000	100.00	1/2" Ice	0.52	0.52	0.02
			0.00			172 100	0.71	0.71	0.02
Pohn 12' Boom Gate	۸	From Lag	1.20	50,0000	158.00	No Ice	15 35	14.00	0.05
Rollin 12 Boolin Gate	А	FIOII Leg	1.29	50.0000	158.00	1/2" Ice	21.20	20.81	0.30
			0.00			1/2 ICC	21.29	20.81	0.02
Pohn 12' Boom Gate	в	From Lag	1 20	50,0000	158.00	No Ice	15 35	14.00	0.92
Rollin 12 Boolin Gate	Б	FIOIII Log	1.29	50.0000	138.00	1/2" Ice	21.20	20.81	0.30
			0.00			172 100	21.29	20.81	0.74
Pohn 12' Boom Gate	C	From Lag	1.20	50,0000	158.00	No Ice	15 35	14.00	0.92
Rollin 12 Boolin Gate	C	FIOIII Leg	1.29	30.0000	138.00	1/2" Loo	21.20	20.81	0.30
			1.55			1/2 100	21.29	20.81	0.74
ADVUSDD19 C A 20 m/	٨	From Log	0.00	70,0000	158.00	No Ice	8 02	6 71	0.92
Mount Dine	А	From Leg	2.57	/0.0000	138.00	1/2" Ice	8.02	7.66	0.08
Mount 1 ipe			0.00			172 100	8.40	7.00 8.40	0.14
ADVUSDD18 C A 20 m/	D	From Log	0.00	70,0000	158.00	No Ice	8.94 8.02	6.49	0.22
Mount Ding	Б	From Leg	2.37	/0.0000	138.00	1/2" Loo	8.02	0.71	0.08
Mount Pipe			3.03			1/2 100	0.40	7.00 8.40	0.14
ADVUSDD19 C A 20 m/	C	Enom Las	0.00	20,0000	159.00	I Ice	8.94 8.02	6.49	0.22
ArAvSrr10-C-A20 W/	C	FIOIII Leg	2.37	30.0000	138.00	1/2" Loo	8.02 8.49	0.71	0.08
Mount Pipe			5.03			1/2 Ice	0.40 8.04	7.00	0.14
DT465D 2VD w/ Mount Ding	٨	Enom Las	0.00	70,0000	159.00	No Ice	0.94	0.49 7.40	0.22
D1403B-2AK W/ Mount Pipe	A	From Leg	2.37	/0.0000	138.00	1/2" Loo	9.10	7.40 8.26	0.08
			5.05			1/2 100	9.57	0.10	0.13
DT/65B 2YP w/ Mount Pine	в	From Lea	0.00	70,0000	158.00	No Ice	0.10	9.19	0.24
D1403D-2XR w/ Would 1 lpc	Б	From Leg	2.57	/0.0000	138.00	1/2" Icc	9.10	9.26	0.08
			5.05			1/2 Ice	9.57	0.50	0.15
DT465P 2VP w/ Mount Bing	C	From Log	0.00	30,0000	158.00	No Ice	0.10	9.19	0.24
D1403B-2AK w/ Would Fipe	C	From Leg	2.57	30.0000	138.00	1/2" Ice	9.10	7.40 8.26	0.08
			5.05			1/2 100	9.57	0.10	0.13
TD PPH8v20 25	٨	From Lea	2.57	70,0000	158.00	No Ice	3 70	7.17 1 20	0.24
1D-KK118x20-25	А	From Leg	2.57	/0.0000	138.00	1/2" Loo	3.70	1.29	0.07
			5.05			1/2 ICe	5.95 1 20	1.40	0.09
TD DDU9 <sub>22</sub> 00 25	D	From Lac	0.00	70,0000	158.00	I ICC	4.20	1.04	0.12
1 D-ККП8х20-23	D	From Leg	2.57	/0.0000	138.00	1/2" La-	5.70 2.05	1.29	0.07
			5.05			1/2 ICe	5.95 4 20	1.40	0.09
TD DD119, 20 25	C	Enom Lat	0.00	20,0000	159.00	I ICE	4.20	1.04	0.12
1D-KKH8X20-23	C	From Leg	2.37	30.0000	138.00	ino ice	3.70	1.29	0.07

· <b>·</b>	Job		Page
tnxTower		71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	3 of 8
CDD	Project		Date
520 South Main Street Suite 2531		2018723.01.71285.02	16:23:29 06/18/18
Akron, Ohio 44311	Client		Designed by
Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235		AT&T	mmoeller

Description	Face	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	Placement		$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Type	Horz	Adjustment			Front	Side	
	Leg		Lateral						
			Vert				• 2	. 2	
			ft	0	ft		ft²	$ft^2$	K
			ft G						
			2.05			1/2" Las	2.05	1.46	0.00
			0.00			1/2 ICC	3.95 4.20	1.40	0.09
(2) RRH2X50-800	Δ	From Leg	0.00	0.0000	154.00	No Ice	1.70	1.04	0.05
(2) Idd12/030 000	11	I tom Leg	0.00	0.0000	154.00	1/2" Ice	1.70	1.20	0.05
			0.00			1" Ice	2.03	1.58	0.09
(2) RRH2X50-800	В	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	154.00	No Ice	1.70	1.28	0.05
()		0	0.00			1/2" Ice	1.86	1.43	0.07
			0.00			1" Ice	2.03	1.58	0.09
(2) RRH2X50-800	С	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	154.00	No Ice	1.70	1.28	0.05
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.86	1.43	0.07
			0.00			1" Ice	2.03	1.58	0.09
RRH1900-4X40	А	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	154.00	No Ice	2.32	2.24	0.06
			0.00			1/2" Ice	2.53	2.44	0.08
	_		0.00			1" Ice	2.74	2.65	0.11
RRH1900-4X40	В	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	154.00	No Ice	2.32	2.24	0.06
			0.00			1/2" Ice	2.53	2.44	0.08
DD11000 4140	G	<b>F T</b>	0.00	0.0000	154.00	I" Ice	2.74	2.65	0.11
RRH1900-4X40	C	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	154.00	No Ice	2.32	2.24	0.06
			0.00			1/2" Ice	2.53	2.44	0.08
4! Vagi	D	From Food	6.50	0.0000	148.00	I ICC	2.74	2.03	0.11
4 Tagi	Б	FIOIII Face	0.00	0.0000	148.00	1/2" Ice	1.03	1.03	0.01
			0.00			1" Ice	1.05	1.05	0.01
Pipe Mount 4'x2.375"	в	From Face	6.00	0.0000	148.00	No Ice	0.87	0.87	0.02
Tipe Mount TA2.575	В	1101111 400	0.00	0.0000	110.00	1/2" Ice	1.11	1.11	0.03
			0.00			1" Ice	1.36	1.36	0.04
8' Frame	А	From Leg	0.48	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	14.48	3.61	0.31
		e	0.57			1/2" Ice	18.67	4.62	0.45
			0.00			1" Ice	22.86	5.62	0.60
8' Frame	В	From Leg	0.48	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	14.48	3.61	0.31
			0.57			1/2" Ice	18.67	4.62	0.45
			0.00			1" Ice	22.86	5.62	0.60
8' Frame	С	From Leg	0.48	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	14.48	3.61	0.31
			0.57			1/2" Ice	18.67	4.62	0.45
ANAX CD 16 (5 00T /		г т	0.00	12 0000	142.00	I" Ice	22.86	5.62	0.60
AM-A-CD-10-05-001 W/	А	From Leg	0.96	43.0000	142.00	1/2" Lee	8.55	0.05	0.09
Would Pipe			1.15			1/2 ICC	9.10	7.08 8.56	0.10
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T w/	в	From Leg	0.96	43 0000	142.00	No Ice	8.55	6.50	0.23
Mount Pine	Б	I tom Leg	1.15	45.0000	142.00	1/2" Ice	9.18	7.68	0.16
Mount i pe			1.00			1" Ice	9.79	8.56	0.23
AM-X-CD-16-65-00T w/	С	From Leg	0.96	43.0000	142.00	No Ice	8.55	6.65	0.09
Mount Pipe		6	1.15			1/2" Ice	9.18	7.68	0.16
-			1.00			1" Ice	9.79	8.56	0.23
(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe	Α	From Leg	0.96	43.0000	142.00	No Ice	5.51	4.10	0.06
			1.15			1/2" Ice	5.87	4.73	0.11
			1.00			1" Ice	6.23	5.37	0.16
(2) 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe	В	From Leg	0.96	43.0000	142.00	No Ice	5.51	4.10	0.06
			1.15			1/2" Ice	5.87	4.73	0.11
	~		1.00	44.0000	1 42 00	1" Ice	6.23	5.37	0.16
(2) 77/0.00 w/Mount Pipe	С	From Leg	0.96	44.0000	142.00	No Ice	5.51	4.10	0.06
			1.15			1/2" Ice	5.87	4.75	0.11
(2) 80010065 w/ Manuel Dire	٨	From I ac	1.00	43 0000	142.00	I lee	0.23	5.5/ 7.62	0.10
(2) 80010905 w/ Mount Pipe	A	From Leg	1 15	45.0000	142.00	1/2" Log	14.05	7.05 8.00	0.15
			1.15			172 100 1" Ice	15 30	9.90	0.22
(2) 80010965 w/ Mount Pine	в	From Leg	0.96	43,0000	142.00	No Ice	14.05	7.63	0.13
(=, 00010,000 m/ mount i pe	2	1.0.0 D0B	0.00	.2.0000	1.2.00	1.0 100	1		0.10

4 <b>T</b>	Job		Page
tnxlower		71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	4 of 8
GPD	Project		Date
520 South Main Street Suite 2531		2018723.01.71285.02	16:23:29 06/18/18
Akron, Ohio 44311 Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235	Client	AT&T	Designed by mmoeller

Description	Face	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	Placement		$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Type	Horz	Adjustment			Front	Side	
	Leg		Lateral						
			Vert	0	C.		c.2	c.2	V
			ft L	Ū.	ft		Jt	Jt	K
			ji ft						
			1.15			1/2" Ice	14 69	8 90	0.22
			1.00			1" Ice	15.30	9.96	0.33
(2) 80010965 w/ Mount Pipe	С	From Leg	0.96	43.0000	142.00	No Ice	14.05	7.63	0.13
(_)		8	1.15			1/2" Ice	14.69	8.90	0.22
			1.00			1" Ice	15.30	9.96	0.33
(2) TT19-08BP111-001	Α	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	0.55	0.45	0.02
			1.15			1/2" Ice	0.65	0.53	0.02
			1.00			1" Ice	0.75	0.63	0.03
(2) TT19-08BP111-001	В	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	0.55	0.45	0.02
			1.15			1/2" Ice	0.65	0.53	0.02
(2) TT10 00DD111 001	C	<b>г</b> т	1.00	50.0000	142.00	I" Ice	0.75	0.63	0.03
(2) 1119-08BP111-001	C	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	0.55	0.45	0.02
			1.15			1/2" Ice	0.65	0.53	0.02
(4) 7020 00 PET	٨	From Log	1.00	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	0.75	0.63	0.03
(4) 7020.00 KET	A	FIOII Leg	0.90	50.0000	142.00	1/2" Ice	0.10	0.17	0.00
			1.15			1/2 ICC	0.15	0.24	0.01
(4) 7020 00 RET	в	From Leg	0.96	50,0000	142.00	No Ice	0.10	0.17	0.01
(4) 7020.00 KET	Б	I folli Leg	1.15	50.0000	142.00	1/2" Ice	0.15	0.17	0.00
			1.15			1" Ice	0.15	0.24	0.01
(4) 7020 00 RET	С	From Leg	0.96	50,0000	142.00	No Ice	0.10	0.17	0.01
(1) /020.00 1021	e	r tom Leg	1.15	20.0000	112.00	1/2" Ice	0.15	0.24	0.01
			1.00			1" Ice	0.20	0.31	0.01
RRUS 11	А	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	2.78	1.19	0.05
		U	1.15			1/2" Ice	2.99	1.33	0.07
			1.00			1" Ice	3.21	1.49	0.10
RRUS 11	в	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	2.78	1.19	0.05
			1.15			1/2" Ice	2.99	1.33	0.07
			1.00			1" Ice	3.21	1.49	0.10
RRUS 11	С	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	2.78	1.19	0.05
			1.15			1/2" Ice	2.99	1.33	0.07
214 4450			1.00		1 42 00	1" Ice	3.21	1.49	0.10
B14 4478	А	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	1.84	1.06	0.06
			1.15			1/2" Ice	2.01	1.20	0.08
D14 4479	р	Enom Lag	1.00	50.0000	142.00	I lee	2.19	1.34	0.09
B14 4478	Б	From Leg	0.90	30.0000	142.00	1/2" Ice	1.64	1.00	0.08
			1.15			172 ICC	2.01	1.20	0.08
B14 4478	С	From Leg	0.96	50,0000	142.00	No Ice	1.84	1.06	0.06
Birnio	e	r tom Leg	1.15	20.0000	112.00	1/2" Ice	2.01	1.20	0.08
			1.00			1" Ice	2.19	1.34	0.09
<b>RRUS 32 B2</b>	А	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	2.73	1.67	0.05
		8	1.15			1/2" Ice	2.95	1.86	0.07
			1.00			1" Ice	3.18	2.05	0.10
RRUS 32 B2	В	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	2.73	1.67	0.05
			1.15			1/2" Ice	2.95	1.86	0.07
			1.00			1" Ice	3.18	2.05	0.10
RRUS 32 B2	С	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	2.73	1.67	0.05
			1.15			1/2" Ice	2.95	1.86	0.07
			1.00			1" Ice	3.18	2.05	0.10
ABT-DFDM-ADBH	Α	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	0.02	0.04	0.00
			1.15			1/2" Ice	0.04	0.08	0.00
	F	<b>F</b>	1.00	50.0000	1.42.00	1" Ice	0.07	0.11	0.00
AB1-DFDM-ADBH	в	From Leg	0.96	50.0000	142.00	No Ice	0.02	0.04	0.00
			1.15			1/2" Ice	0.04	0.08	0.00
Al Sideoma El-t (CDD)	р	Enous I	1.00	0.0000	127.00	I lee	0.07	0.11	0.00
4 Sidearm - Flat (GPD)	В	From Leg	2.00	0.0000	13/.00	No Ice	0.80	3.20	0.06

	Job		Page
tnxTower		71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	5 of 8
<b>GPD</b> 520 South Main Street Suite 2531	Project	2018723.01.71285.02	Date 16:23:29 06/18/18
Akron, Ohio 44311 Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235	Client	AT&T	Designed by mmoeller

Description	Face	Offset	Offsets:	Azimuth	Placement		$C_A A_A$	$C_A A_A$	Weight
	or	Type	Horz	Adjustment			Front	Side	
	Leg		Lateral						
			Vert	0			e 2	e 2	
			ft	0	ft		$ft^2$	$ft^2$	K
			ft G						
			<u> </u>			1/2" Iaa	1.05	4.00	0.07
			0.00			1/2 ICe	1.05	4.00	0.07
4' Sidoorm Flot (CPD)	C	From Log	2.00	0.0000	127.00	No Ioo	0.80	4.80	0.09
4 Sidearin - Flat (GFD)	C	FIOIII Leg	2.00	0.0000	137.00	1/2" Loo	1.05	3.20	0.00
			0.00			1/2 ICC	1.05	4.00	0.07
<b>PP00 17 02DP w/ Mount</b>	٨	From Lag	4.00	60.0000	120.00	No Ice	1.50	4.00	0.03
Pine	А	FIOII Leg	4.00	00.0000	120.00	1/2" Ice	5.02	4.09	0.03
ripe			0.00			1" Ice	5 44	4.09	0.12
RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount	А	From Leg	4 00	-60,0000	120.00	No Ice	4 59	3 32	0.03
Pine	11	110III Leg	0.00	00.0000	120.00	1/2" Ice	5.02	4 09	0.03
ripe			0.00			1" Ice	5 44	4 78	0.07
RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount	в	From Leg	4 00	60 0000	120.00	No Ice	4 59	3 32	0.03
Pine	D	110III Leg	0.00	00.0000	120.00	1/2" Ice	5.02	4.09	0.07
- ·p•			0.00			1" Ice	5.44	4.78	0.12
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/	В	From Leg	4.00	-60.0000	120.00	No Ice	11.64	9.79	0.08
Mount Pipe	_	8	0.00			1/2" Ice	12.34	11.30	0.17
			0.00			1" Ice	13.04	12.80	0.27
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/	С	From Leg	4.00	60.0000	120.00	No Ice	11.64	9.79	0.08
Mount Pipe		8	0.00			1/2" Ice	12.34	11.30	0.17
			0.00			1" Ice	13.04	12.80	0.27
LNX-6515DS-VTM w/	С	From Leg	4.00	-60.0000	120.00	No Ice	11.64	9.79	0.08
Mount Pipe		0	0.00			1/2" Ice	12.34	11.30	0.17
*			0.00			1" Ice	13.04	12.80	0.27
(2) 1412D-1A20	А	From Leg	4.00	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	0.00	0.47	0.01
		-	0.00			1/2" Ice	0.00	0.57	0.02
			0.00			1" Ice	0.00	0.69	0.03
(2) 1412D-1A20	В	From Leg	4.00	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	0.00	0.47	0.01
			0.00			1/2" Ice	0.00	0.57	0.02
			0.00			1" Ice	0.00	0.69	0.03
(2) 1412D-1A20	С	From Leg	4.00	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	0.00	0.47	0.01
			0.00			1/2" Ice	0.00	0.57	0.02
			0.00			1" Ice	0.00	0.69	0.03
4' Standoff - Flat (GPD)	А	From Leg	2.00	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	1.96	6.13	0.07
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.08	8.58	0.11
			0.00			1" Ice	4.20	11.03	0.14
4' Standoff - Flat (GPD)	В	From Leg	2.00	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	1.96	6.13	0.07
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.08	8.58	0.11
			0.00			1" Ice	4.20	11.03	0.14
4' Standoff - Flat (GPD)	С	From Leg	2.00	0.0000	120.00	No Ice	1.96	6.13	0.07
			0.00			1/2" Ice	3.08	8.58	0.11
			0.00			1" Ice	4.20	11.03	0.14
GPS	В	From Leg	1.00	0.0000	13.00	No Ice	0.14	0.14	0.00
			0.00			1/2" Ice	0.21	0.21	0.00
	P	<b>F F</b>	0.00	0.0000	12.00	I" Ice	0.28	0.28	0.01
1' Sidearm - Flat (GPD)	В	From Leg	0.50	0.0000	13.00	No Ice	0.80	0.80	0.02
			0.00			1/2" Ice	1.05	1.00	0.02
			0.00			1" Ice	1.30	1.20	0.03

	Page
71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	6 of 8
	Date
2018723.01.71285.02	16:23:29 06/18/18
AT&T	Designed by
	71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD 2018723.01.71285.02 AT&T

## Critical Deflections and Radius of Curvature - Service Wind

Elevation	Appurtenance	Gov.	Deflection	Tilt	Twist	Radius of
		Load				Curvature
ft		Comb.	in	0	0	ft
160.00	10' Dipole	63	0.724	0.0549	0.0113	605045
158.00	Rohn 12' Boom Gate	63	0.746	0.0558	0.0138	605045
154.00	(2) RRH2X50-800	63	0.791	0.0575	0.0188	504200
148.00	4' Yagi	63	0.860	0.0591	0.0249	252102
145.75	Guy	63	0.886	0.0593	0.0266	212306
142.00	8' Frame	63	0.929	0.0589	0.0300	199783
137.00	4' Sidearm - Flat (GPD)	63	0.987	0.0568	0.0335	190779
120.00	RR90-17-02DP w/ Mount Pipe	63	1.156	0.0381	0.0394	28458
86.00	Guy	63	1.211	0.0100	0.0831	45218
13.00	GPS	63	0.368	0.1242	0.1033	53437

## Bolt Design Data

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Bolt Grade	Bolt Size	Number Of Bolts	Maximum Load per Bolt	Allowable Load K	Ratio Load	Allowable Ratio	Criteria
	Ji				Dons	K	п	Allowable		
T1	160	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	3.73	22.75	0.164 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	2	3.43	14.38	0.239 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	2	0.39	7.19	0.054 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T2	141	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	5.23	22.75	0.230 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	3.68	7.83	0.470 🖌	1	Member Bearing
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	1.54	6.83	0.225 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T3	121	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	5.24	22.75	0.230 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	2.12	7.83	0.271 🖌	1	Member Bearing
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	1.07	6.83	0.157 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T4	101	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	5.21	22.75	0.229 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	3.66	7.83	0.468 🖌	1	Member Bearing
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	2.03	6.83	0.297 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T5	86	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	6.94	22.75	0.305 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	4.30	7.83	0.549 🖌	1	Member Bearing
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	1.00	6.83	0.147 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T6	66	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	7.73	22.75	0.340 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	2.96	12.43	0.238 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	1.09	6.83	0.159 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
Τ7	46	Leg	A325N	0.6250	12	7.86	22.75	0.345 🖌	1	Bearing
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	3.04	12.43	0.244 🖌	1	Bolt Shear
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	1.14	6.83	0.167 🖌	1	Member Block Shear
T8	26	Leg	A325N	0.7500	3	5.16	29.82	0.173 🖌	1	Bolt Tension

tnxTower	Job	71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	Page 7 of 8	
<b>GPD</b> 520 South Main Street Suite 2531 Akron, Ohio 44311 Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235	Project	2018723.01.71285.02	Date 16:23:29 06/18/18	
	Client	AT&T	Designed by mmoeller	

Section	Elevation	Component	Bolt	Bolt Size	Number	Maximum	Allowable	Ratio	Allowable	Criteria
No.		Type	Grade		Of	Load per	Load	Load	Ratio	
	ft			in	Bolts	Bolt	K	Allowable		
						K				
		Diagonal	A325N	0.6250	1	3.95	7.83	0.504 🖌	1	Member Bearing
		Horizontal	A325N	0.6250	1	1.15	6.83	0.168 🖌	1	Member Block Shear

## Section Capacity Table

	El	C	<b>C</b> :	Cultical	0	( D	0/	D
Section	Elevation ft	Component	Size	Critical Element	P K	$\phi P_{allow}$ K	% Capacity	Pass Fail
<u>No.</u>	<i>Ji</i>	Туре		Liement	N	Λ 105.51		P
T1 T2	160 - 141	Leg	V5x5x5/16	2	-22.40	106.64	21.0	Pass
12	141 - 121	Leg	V5x5x5/16	30	-31.40	104.91	29.9	Pass
T3	121 - 101	Leg	V5x5x5/16	57	-32.61	104.91	31.1	Pass
Τ4	101 - 86	Leg	V5x5x5/16	84	-31.58	104.91	30.1	Pass
T5	86 - 66	Leg	V5x5x5/16	105	-41.64	104.91	39.7	Pass
T6	66 - 46	Leg	V5x5x5/16	132	-46.37	104.91	44.2	Pass
T7	46 - 26	Leg	V5x5x5/16	159	-47.13	104.91	44.9	Pass
T8	26 - 6	Leg	V5x5x5/16	186	-46.89	104.91	44.7	Pass
T9	6 - 0	Leg	V5x5x5/16	212	-50.08	115.78	43.3	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Diagonal	2L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	7	-7.80	41.74	18.7 23.9 (b)	Pass
T2	141 - 121	Diagonal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	52	-4.61	11.48	40.2 47.0 (b)	Pass
Т3	121 - 101	Diagonal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	61	-2.92	11.48	25.4 27.1 (b)	Pass
T4	101 - 86	Diagonal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	88	-4.78	11.48	41.6 46.8 (b)	Pass
T5	86 - 66	Diagonal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	128	-5.23	11.48	45.6 54.9 (b)	Pass
Т6	66 - 46	Diagonal	$I = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{16}$	155	-2.96	11.48	25.8	Pass
T7	46 26	Diagonal	$L_2 1/2x_2 1/2x_3/10$ L 2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	165	-2.90	11.40	25.8	Pass
17 T8	40 - 20 26 6	Diagonal	$L_2 1/2x_2 1/2x_3/10$ L 2 1/2x 2 1/2x 3/16	105	-5.04	11.40	20.4	Pass
10	20-0	Diagonai	L2 1/2X2 1/2X3/10	190	-4.55	11.40	50.4 (b)	1 455
то	6 0	Diagonal	1.2.1/2x2.1/2x2/16	222	0.06	16 20	50.4(0)	Decc
19 T1	160 141	Diagonal	$L_2 1/2x_2 1/2x_3/10$ L 2 1/2x2 1/2x2/16	222	-0.90	17.51	1.2	Daga
	100 - 141		L2 1/2x2 1/2x5/10	24	-0.74	17.51	4.2 5.4 (b)	F 455
T2	141 - 121	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	31	1.54	18.73	8.2 22.5 (b)	Pass
Т3	121 - 101	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	60	1.07	18.73	5.7 15.7 (b)	Pass
T4	101 - 86	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	85	-1.52	12.12	12.6 29.7 (b)	Pass
T5	86 - 66	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	114	-0.72	12.12	6.0 14.7 (b)	Pass
T6	66 - 46	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	135	-0.80	12.12	6.6	Pass
T7	46 - 26	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	162	-0.82	12.12	15.9 (b) 6.7	Pass
Т8	26 - 6	Horizontal	L2x2x3/16	189	-0.82	12.12	16.7 (b) 6.7	Pass
<b>T</b> 0	<i>c</i> 0	** •			10.02		16.8 (b)	
19	6 - 0	Horizontal	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	214	10.63	29.22	36.4	Pass
TI	160 - 141	Top Girt	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	5	-0.55	16.64	3.3	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Guy A@145.75	3/4	235	11.51	34.98	32.9	Pass
T5	86 - 66	Guy A@86	5/8	243	12.32	25.44	48.4	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Guy B@145.75	3/4	230	12.12	34.98	34.6	Pass
T5	86 - 66	Guy B@86	5/8	242	13.07	25.44	51.4	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Guy C@145.75	3/4	224	11.93	34.98	34.1	Pass

Image: Constraint of the second sec	Anna Theory and	Job	Page
GPD         Project         Date           520 South Main Street Suite 2531         2018723.01.71285.02         16:23:29 06/18/18           Akron, Ohio 44311         Client         Designed by           Phone: (555) 555-1234         AT&T         Designed by           FAX: (555) 555-1235         Mmoeller         Mmoeller	<i>tnx1ower</i>	71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD	8 of 8
Of D         2018723.01.71285.02         16:23:29 06/18/18           520 South Main Street Suite 2531         Akron, Ohio 44311         Client         Designed by           Phone: (555) 555-1234         AT&T         mmoeller	GPD	Project	Date
Akron, Ohio 44311         Client         Designed by           Phone: (555) 555-1234         AT&T         mmoeller	520 South Main Street Suite 2531	2018723.01.71285.02	16:23:29 06/18/18
	Akron, Ohio 44311 Phone: (555) 555-1234 FAX: (555) 555-1235	Client AT&T	Designed by mmoeller

Section	Elevation	Component	Size	Critical	P	$\phi P_{allow}$	%	Pass
No.	ft	Type		Element	K	K	Capacity	Fail
T5	86 - 66	Guy C@86	5/8	241	12.84	25.44	50.5	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Top Guy Pull-Off@145.75	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	17	3.86	29.22	13.2	Pass
T5	86 - 66	Top Guy Pull-Off@86	L 2 x 2 x 3/16	106	6.71	23.17	29.0	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Bottom Guy Pull-Off@145.75	L2 1/2x2 1/2x3/16	11	-3.45	19.64	17.6	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Torque Arm Top@145.75	2L2 1/2x2x1/4	231	10.73	69.01	15.5	Pass
T1	160 - 141	Torque Arm Bottom@145.75	2L3x2 1/2x1/4	239	-7.88	75.42	10.4	Pass
						Summary	ELC:	Future
						Leg (T7)	44.9	Pass
						Diagonal (T5)	54.9	Pass
						Horizontal (T9)	36.4	Pass
						Top Girt (T1)	3.3	Pass
						Guy A (T5)	48.4	Pass
						Guy B (T5)	51.4	Pass
						Guy C (T5)	50.5	Pass
						Top Guy Pull-Off (T5)	29.0	Pass
						Bottom Guy Pull-Off	17.6	Pass
						(T1) Torque Arm	15.5	Pass
						Torque Arm Bottom (T1)	10.4	Pass
						Bolt Checks	54.9	Pass

Pass

54.9

Rating =

### APPENDIX C

Tower Elevation Drawing



### APPENDIX D

Foundation Analysis



#### Mat Foundation Analysis 71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD 2018723.01.71285.02

General Info					
Foundation Criteria	GPD				
TIA Code	TIA-222-G				
Soil Code	AASHTO 2012				
Concrete Code	ACI 318-11				
Seismic Design Category	в				
Tower Height	160 ft				
Bearing On	Rock				
Foundation Type	Guyed Pad				
Pier Type	None				
Reinforcing Known	Yes				
Max Bearing Capacity	105%				
Max Overturning Capacity	105%				

Tower Reactions				
Moment, M	0 k-ft			
Axial, P	136 k			
Shear, V	4 k			

Pad & Pier Geometry					
Pier N/A	0 ft				
Pad Length, L [y]	8 ft				
Pad Width, W [x]	4 ft				
Pad Thickness, t	3.5 ft				
Depth, D	3.5 ft				
Height Above Grade, HG	0.5 ft				
Tower Centroid, X	2 ft				
Tower Centroid, Y	4 ft				
Tower Eccentricity	0.0000 ft				

Pad & Pier Reinforcing					
Rebar Fy	60 ksi				
Concrete F'c	3 ksi				
Pier Reinforcing Clear Cover					
Shear Rebar Type	Tie				
Shear Rebar Size	# 4				
Pad Reinforcing Clear Cover	3 in				
Reinforced Top & Bottom?	No				
Direction of Reinforcing	L	W			
Pad Reinforcing Size	# 10	# 10			
Pad Quantity Per Layer	6	6			
Pier Rebar Size					
Pier Quantity of Rebar					

Soil Properties						
Soil Type	Cohesive					
Soil Unit Weight	135 pcf					
Cohesion, Cu (ksf)	2.5					
Base Friction Coeff. Provided in Geo?	Yes					
Base Friction Coefficient, $\mu$	0.4					
Bearing Type	Net					
Ultimate Bearing	15 ksf					
Water Table Depth	99 ft					
Frost Depth	3.33 ft					
GPD Mat Foundation Analysis - V3.2						

Bearing Summary								
Case	Demand/Limits	Capacity/Availability	Check	Eccentricity	Load Case			
Qxmax	5.34 ksf	9.28 ksf	OK, <= 105%	L/80.7	1.2D+1.6W			
Qymax	5.70 ksf	9.28 ksf	OK, <= 105%	W/40.6	1.2D+1.6W			
Qmax @ 45°	5.75 ksf	9.28 ksf	OK, <= 105%	W/57.5	1.2D+1.6W			
Controlling C	apacity	61.9%	Pass					

Overturning Summary							
Case	Demand/Limits	Capacity/Availability	Check		Load Case		
Ovtx	15.8 k-ft	636.2 k-ft	3.3%	ОК	0.9D+1.6W		
Ovty	15.7 k-ft	318.1 k-ft	6.6%	ОК	0.9D+1.6W		
Ovtxy	11.1 k-ft	318.1 k-ft	4.6%	ОК	0.9D+1.6W		
Controlling Capacity		6.6%	Pas	is is			

Sliding Summary							
Case	Demand/Limits	Capacity/Availability	Check		Load Case		
Slidingx	4.0 k	38.6 k	10.4%	ОК	0.9D+1.6W		
Slidingy	4.0 k	41.4 k	9.7%	ОК	0.9D+1.6W		
Controlling Capacity		10.4%	Pass				

Reinforcement Summary								
Component	Demand/Limits	Capacity/Availability	Check		Check		Load Case	
Pad Flexural Bending	36.0 k-ft	353.4 k-ft	10.2%	ОК	1.2D+1.6W			
One-Way Shear in Pad	7.5 k	169.9 k	4.4%	OK	1.2D+1.6W			
Two-Way Shear in Pad	97.2 k	1220.7 k	8.0%	ОК	0.9D+1.6W			
As Min Pad Met?	0.95 sq. in.	0.05 sq. in.	Yes					









#### Guyed Tower Anchor Foundation 71285 NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD 2018723.01.71285.02

Guy Anchor Location		
Azimuth/Leg	A/B/C	
Radius	131'	
Tower Height (ft)	160'	

Minimum steel has been assumed

Tower Reactions			
Vertical	24	k	
Horizontal	27	k	

Anchor Block Geometry			
Width	5	ft	
Height	5	ft	
Length	12.5	ft	
Depth	4.5	ft	

General Info			
Foundation Criteria	GPD		
TIA Code	TIA-222-G		

Soil Capacity Calculations			
Ws	0.00 k		
Wc	46.88 k		
Uplift Resistance	85.26 k		
Horizontal Resistance	110.57 k		
Uplift Capacity=	28.1%	ОК	
Horizontal Capacity=	24.4%	ОК	

Anchor Block Reinforcement					
Is Reinforcement Known?	assume min				
fc'	4	ksi			
Fy	60	ksi			

C <sub>u</sub> , psf	φ, degrees	γ <sub>soil</sub> , pcf	$\gamma_{\rm concrete}, {\rm pcf}$	d, ft	P <sub>p,top</sub> , psf	P <sub>p,bot</sub> , psf	f <sub>s</sub> ,psf
0	0	120	150	2.5	0	0	0
2500	0	135	150	2.5	5000	5000	1500
2.5	ft	Con	sider soil for u	olift	User Input	Angle (°)	
99	ft		Cohesive		Angle for U	lplift (°)	0
	C <sub>u</sub> , psf 0 2500 2.5 99	С <sub>и</sub> , psf ф, degrees 0 0 2500 0 2.5 ft 99 ft	C <sub>u</sub> , psf φ, degrees γ <sub>soil</sub> , pcf 0 0 120 2500 0 135 2.5 ft Con 99 ft C	C <sub>u</sub> , psf         φ, degrees         γ <sub>soli</sub> , pcf         γ <sub>concrete</sub> , pcf           0         0         120         150           2500         0         135         150           2.5 ft         Consider soil for up         99 ft         Cohesive	C <sub>u</sub> , psf         φ, degrees         γ <sub>soll</sub> , pcf         γ <sub>concrete</sub> , pcf         d, ft           0         0         120         150         2.5           2500         0         135         150         2.5           2.5 ft         Consider soil for uplift         99 ft         Cohesive         Cohesive	C <sub>u</sub> , psf         φ, degrees         γ <sub>soli</sub> , pcf         γ <sub>concrete</sub> , pcf         d, ft         P <sub>p,top</sub> , psf           0         0         120         150         2.5         0           2500         0         135         150         2.5         5000           2.5 ft         Consider soil for uplift         User Input           99 ft         Cohesive         Angle for U	C <sub>u</sub> , psf         φ, degrees         γ <sub>soli</sub> , pcf         γ <sub>concrete</sub> , pcf         d, ft         P <sub>p,top</sub> , psf         P <sub>p,bob</sub> , psf           0         0         120         150         2.5         0         0           2500         0         135         150         2.5         5000         5000           2.5         ft         Consider soil for uplift         User Input Angle (°)         99         Angle for Uplift (°)

ОК

ок

ОК



Capacity Summary

28.1%

4.2%

28.1%

Soil Capacity=

Reinforcing Capacity=

Controlling Capacity=

Block Moment and Shear Calculations				
Moment Check				
M <sub>ux</sub> =	37.50 k-ft	M <sub>uy</sub> =	42.19 k-ft	
φM <sub>nx</sub> =	2736.73 k-ft	φM <sub>ny</sub> =	2736.73 k-ft	
Capacity	1.4% <b>OK</b>	Capacity	1.5% <b>OK</b>	
Shear Check				
V <sub>ux</sub> =	12.00 k	V <sub>uy</sub> =	13.50 k	
φV <sub>nx</sub> =	318.76 k	φV <sub>ny</sub> =	318.76 k	
Capacity	3.8% <b>OK</b>	Capacity	4.2% <b>OK</b>	

Guy Anchor Shaft Calculations			
Shape of Anchor Shaft	Unknown		

GPD Guyed Tower Anchor Foundation Analysis - V3.6

### APPENDIX E

Modification Drawings

# NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD.

# USID #:71285

# **CLIENT #: CT33XC116**





QUALIFIED ENGINEERING SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE FROM GPD TO ASSIST CONTRACTORS IN CLASS IV RIGGING PLAN REVIEWS. FOR REQUESTING QUALIFIED ENGINEERING SERVICES PLEASE CONTACT GPD AT GPDMODS@GPDGROUP.COM.

## **CLIENT CONTACT:**

**REGGIE BARRAU** 5600 GLENRIDGE DRIVE ATLANTA, GA 30342 678-917-0899

#### **ENGINEER OF RECORD:**

GPD ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 520 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 2531 AKRON, OH 44311 FOR QUESTIONS PLEASE EMAIL: GPDMODS@GPDGROUP.COM



## TOWER INFORMATION:

TOWER MAPPING :		GPD/D	ATED
TOWER HEIGHT/TY	PE:	160'-0"	GUY
TOWER LOCATION:			
	LAT.:	42° 0' 3	2.004
L	ONG.:	-73° 10	' 51"
STREET ADDF	RESS:	402 LO	ON N
CITY, STAT	E ZIP:	NORFO	DLK,
COL	JNTY:	LITCHF	IELD
REFERENCED ANA	LYSIS:	GPD/PI	ROJ
ANALYSIS DATE:		05/09/2	018

CODE COMPL	IANCE:
GOVERNING CODES:	TIA-222-G, 20
WIND SPEEDS:	115 MPH 3-S 89 MPH 3 SE 40 MPH 3 SE
ICE THICKNESS:	3/4"
STRUCTURE CLASS: EXPOSURE CATEGORY:	ll B
TOPO CATEGORY:	1

T-01: TITLE SHEET
N-01: PROJECT NOTES
S-01: TOWER ELEVATION AND MODIF
S-02: GUY ANCHOR PLAN AND GUY V
F-01: FOUNDATION DETAILS AND SIT
MI-01: MODIFICATION INSPECTION C

D #: 7/21/10 YED TOWER

)4"

MEADOW DR. CT 06058 D COUNTY #:2018723.01.71285.01

#### 2012 IBC, AND 2016 CTBC

SECOND GUST (ULTIMATE) ECOND GUST (NOMINAL) ECOND GUST (W/ ICE)

FICATION SCHEDULE
WIRE SCHEDULE
TE PLAN
HECKLIST



#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- THESE MODIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE GOVERNING PROVISIONS OF TIA/EIA-222, AWS, ANSI TIA-322, AND AISC. MATERIALS, FABRICATION, INSTALLATION, AND ALL OTHER SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFORM TO THE ABOVE MENTIONED CODES AND THE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS.
- THIS DESIGN ASSUMES THE TOWER AND FOUNDATIONS HAVE BEEN WELL MAINTAINED, IN GOOD CONDITION, AND ARE WITHOUT DEFECT. BENT MEMBERS, CORRODED MEMBERS, LOOSE BOLTS, CRACKED WELDS AND OTHER MEMBER DEFECTS HAVE NOT BEEN CONSIDERED. THE TOWER IS ASSUMED TO BE PLUMB AND THE SITE IS ASSUMED TO BE LEVEL. THIS DESIGN IS BEING PROVIDED WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A CONDITION ASSESSMENT BY GPD GROUP. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMMISSION A COMPLETE CONDITION ASSESSMENT TO ENGINEER FOR REVIEW, SEE CONTRACTOR MATERIALS AND SUPPLY CONDITION ASSESSMENT TO ENGINEER FOR REVIEW, SEE CONTRACTOR MATERIALS AND SUPPLY CONDITION ASSESSMENT TO ENGINEER FOR REVIEW, SEE CONTRACTOR 2 NOTES.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE SITE PRIOR TO BIDDING; ANY PROBLEMS WITH ACCESS, INTERFERENCE, ETC. SHALL BE RESOLVED PRIOR TO MOBILIZATION. CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTE ANY EXISTING CONDITIONS THAT ARE NOT REPRESENTED ON THESE DRAWINGS OR THAT INTERFERE WITH THE CONTINUOUS INSTALLATION OF THE MODIFICATIONS. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTE ALL ATTACHMENT POINTS, ANTENNAS, MOUNTS, COAX, LIGHTING, CLIMBING SUPPORTS, STEP BOLTS, PORT HOLES, AND ANY OTHER TOWER APPURTENANCES IN THE REGION OF THE MODIFICATIONS. GPD GROUP SHALL BE CONTACTED IMMEDIATELY TO EVALUATE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF ANY DEVIATION PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL. 3. MATERIAL
- ALL MATERIAL SPECIFIED FOR THIS PROJECT MUST BE NEW AND FREE OF ANY DEFECTS. ANY MATERIAL SUBSTITUTIONS, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ALTERED SIZES AND/OR STRENGTHS, MUST BE APPROVED BY THE OWNER AND ENGINEER IN WRITING. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION TO ENGINEER FOR DETERMINING IF SUBSTITUTE IS SUITABLE FOR USE AND MEETS THE ORIGINAL DESIGN CRITERIA. DIFFERENCES FROM THE ORIGINAL DESIGN, INCLUDING MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT, SHALL BE NOTED. ESTIMATES OF COSTS/CREDITS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SUBSTITUTION (INCLUDING RE-DESIGN COSTS AND COSTS TO SUB-CONTRACTORS) SHALL BE PROVIDED TO THE ENGINEER.
- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENGAGING A MODIFICATION INSPECTOR AT THE TIME OF AWARD TO COORDINATE AN INSPECTION SCHEDULE AND ENSURE PROPER DOCUMENTATION IS RETAINED THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT. FOUNDATION WORK REQUIRES INSPECTION PRIOR TO THE CONCRETE POUR AND MAY INVOLVE A SEPARATE INSPECTION VISIT. REFER TO SHEET MI-01 FOR MODIFICATION INSPECTION CHECKLIST. REFERENCE THE GPD CONTACT INFORMATION ON THE TITLE SHEET TO OBTAIN PRICING TO COMPLETE FINAL AND/OR FOUNDATION INSPECTION SERVICES, IF NOT ALREADY COORDINATED WITH THE TOWER OWNER / PROGRAM MANAGER / CARRIER. INSTALLATION OF PROPOSED LOADING PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF POST MODIFICATION INSPECTION IS PROHIBITED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL FROM TOWER OWNER AND ENGINEER OF RECORD. INSTALLATION OF THE PROPOSED LOADING IS BY OTHERS AND IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THESE DRAWINGS. 5
- ALL CONTRACTORS AND LOWER TIER CONTRACTORS MUST ACKNOWLEDGE IN WRITING TO TOWER OWNER AND GPD GROUP THAT THEY HAVE OBTAINED, UNDERSTAND, AND WILL FOLLOW TOWER OWNER STANDARDS OF PRACTICE, CONSTRUCTION GUIDELINES, ALL SITE AND TOWER SAFETY PROCEDURES, ALL PRODUCT LIMITATIONS AND INSTALLATION PROCEDURES USED ON SITE, AND PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS DESCRIBED. RECEIPT OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT MUST OCCUR PRIOR TO BEGINNING CONSTRUCTION OR CLIMBING, IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE THIS DOCUMENTATION FOR TOWER OWNER AND GPD GROUP ON COMPANY LETTERHEAD AND THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTORS (ON SUBCONTRACTORS (ON SUBCONTRACTOR LETTERHEAD) AND DELIVER IT TO TOWER OWNER AND GPD GROUP. 6.
- IT IS ASSUMED THAT ANY STRUCTURAL MODIFICATION WORK SPECIFIED ON THESE PLANS WILL BE ACCOMPLISHED BY KNOWLEDGEABLE WORKMEN WITH TOWER CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE. THIS INCLUDES PROVIDING THE NECESSARY CERTIFICATIONS TO THE TOWER OWNER AND ENGINEER 7 FNGINEER
- THESE DRAWINGS DO NOT INDICATE THE METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPERVISE AND DIRECT THE WORK AND SHALL BE SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL CONSTRUCTION METHODS, MEANS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES.
- CONSTRUCTION WORK PRESENTS UNIQUE THREATS TO HEALTH AND SAFETY. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO EDUCATE THEIR WORK FORCE OF THESE DANGERS AND LIMIT THEIR EXPOSURE TO HAZARDS. THIS EDUCATION SHALL INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO APPLICABLE TRAINING COURSES AND CERTIFICATIONS, PROPER PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT USAGE, DAILY TAILGATE MEETINGS AND ANY OTHER PREVENTATIVE MEASURES WHICH MAY BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAFETY OF THE WORK AND ALL SUB-CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAFETY OF THE WORK AND ALL SUB-CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SAFETY OF THE WORK AND ALL SUB-CONTRACTORS SHALL REVIEW ALL LANDOWNER, PRIME CONTRACTOR, CARRIER, OSHA, AND LOCAL SAFETY GUIDELINES AND AT ALL TIMES SHALL CONFORM TO THE MOST RESTRICTIVE OF THESE STANDARDS TO ENSURE A SAFE WORKPLACE.
- TOWER WORK PRESENTS ADDITIONAL THREATS TO HEALTH AND SAFETY. ALL TOWER WORKERS WORKING ON A TOWER MUST BE ADEQUATELY TRAINED AND MONITORED TO ENSURE THAT SAFE WORK PRACTICES ARE LEARNED AND FOLLOWED. AS REQUIRED BY OSHA, WHEN WORKING ON EXISTING COMMUNICATION TOWERS, EMPLOYEES MUST BE PROVIDED WITH APPROPRIATE FALL PROTECTION, TRAINED TO USE THIS FALL PROTECTION PROPERLY, AND THE USE OF FALL PROTECTION MUST BE CONSISTENTLY SUPERVISED AND ENFORCED BY THE CONTRACTOR. 10.
- ALL SAFETY EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSPECTED ACCORDING TO ALL OSHA AND INDUSTRY SCHEDULED INTERVALS AND ALL INSPECTIONS SHALL BE DOCUMENTED PER APPLICABLE CODES 11. AND STANDARDS.
- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR TEMPORARILY REMOVING ALL COAX, T-BRACKETS, ANTENNA MOUNTS, AND ANY OTHER TOWER APPURTENANCE THAT MAY INTERFERE WITH THE TOWER MODIFICATIONS. ALL TOWER APPURTENANCES MUST BE REPLACED AND/OR RESTORED TO ITS ORIGINAL LOCATION. SOME ATTACHMENTS MAY REQUIRE CUSTOM MODIFICATIONS TO PROPERLY FIT THE MODIFIED REGION OF THE STRUCTURE. THESE CUSTOMIZATIONS ARE DESIGNED BY OTHERS AND MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO REMOVING SUCH ATTACHMENTS. ANY CARRIER DOWNTIME MUST BE COORDINATED WITH THE TOWER OWNER IN WRITING. 12

#### GENERAL NOTES CONTINUED

13

14

15

16

- CONTRACTOR SHALL ONLY WORK WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE TOWER OWNER'S PROPERTY OR LEASE AREA AND APPROVED EASEMENTS. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY WORK IS WITHIN THESE BOUNDARIES. CONTRACTOR SHALL EMPLOY A SURVEYOR AS REQUIRED. ANY WORK OUTSIDE THESE BOUNDARIES SHALL BE APPROVED IN WRITING BY THE LAND OWNER PRIOR TO MOBILIZATION. CONSTRUCTION STAKING AND BOUNDARY MARKING IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- TOWERS ARE DESIGNED TO CARRY GRAVITY, WIND, AND ICE LOADS. ALL MEMBERS, LEGS, DIAGONALS, STRUTS, AND REDUNDANT MEMBERS PROVIDE STRUCTURAL STABILITY TO THE TOWER WITH LITTLE REDUNDANCY. ABSENCE OR REMOVAL OF A MEMBER CAN TRIGGER CATASTROPHIC FAILURE UNLESS A SUBSTITUTE IS PROVIDED BEFORE ANY REMOVAL. LEGS CARRY AXIAL LOADS AND DERIVE THEIR STRENGTH FROM SHORTER UN-BRACED LENGTHS BY THE PRESENCE OF REDUNDANT MEMBERS AND THEIR CONNECTION TO THE DIAGONALS WITH BOLTS OR WELDS. IF THE BOLTS OR WELDS ARE REMOVED WITHOUT PROVIDING ANY SUBSTITUTE TO THE FRAME, THE LEG IS SUBJECTED TO A HIGHER UN-BRACED LENGTH THAT IMMEDIATELY REDUCES ITS LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY. IF A DIAGONAL IS ALSO REMOVED IN ADDITION TO THE CONNECTION, THE UN-BRACED LENGTH OF THE LEG IS GREATLY INCREASED, JEOPARDIZING ITS LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY. FAILURE OF ONE LEG CAN RESULT IN A TOWER COLLAPSE BECAUSE THERE IS NO REDUNDANCY. REDUNDANT MEMBERS AND DIAGONALS ARE CRITICAL TO THE STABILITY OF THE TOWER.
- WORK SHALL ONLY BE PERFORMED DURING CALM DRY DAYS (WINDS LESS THAN 10-MPH). CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL TEMPORARY LOCAL TOWER SHORING, TEMPORARY GLOBAL TOWER SHORING, AND ALL SHORING OF SURROUNDING BUILDINGS, PADS, AND OTHER OUTDOOR SITE OBSTRUCTIONS. ALL SHORING, TEMPORARY BRACING, AND TEMPORARY SUPPORTS ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. 5.
- MODIFICATIONS SHOWN SHALL BE INSTALLED ON ALL THREE (3) TOWER LEGS/FACES.
- ABSOLUTELY NO WELDING, TORCH CUTTING, OR OPEN FLAME OF ANY TYPE IS PERMITTED ON THIS STRUCTURE AND ON THIS CONSTRUCTION SITE UNLESS DIRECTLY SPECIFIED WITHIN THESE DRAWINGS.
- FAA/FCC FILING AND LIGHTING MAY BE REQUIRED. ALL GOVERNMENTAL REGULATORY DETERMINATIONS AND FILINGS BY OTHERS, NOT GPD. 18
- VERIFY IF THIS STRUCTURE IS AN FM TOWER AND TAKE NECESSARY ACTIONS TO PROVIDE SAFE WORKING CONDITIONS INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, HAVING FM SIGNAL TURNED OFF. CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE PROPER RADMAN FOR NOTIFICATION OF EXCESSIVE RF EXPOSURE FOR ALL INDIVIDUALS WORKING ON SITE IF FM ANTENNAS ARE PRESENT.
- ALL MANUFACTURERS HARDWARE AND ASSEMBLY INSTRUCTIONS SHALL BE FOLLOWED EXACTLY. DEVIATION FROM THE INSTRUCTIONS IS UNACCEPTABLE AND REQUIRES WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM ENGINEER. 20
- 21. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.
- 22. THE CLIMBING FACILITIES, SAFETY CLIMB AND ALL ASSOCIATED HARDWARE SHALL NOT BE IMPEDED OR MODIFIED WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF GPD GROUP.
- ANY WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT A PREFABRICATION MAPPING IS DONE AT THE RISK OF THE GC AND/OR FABRICATOR. 23.
- IMPROPER FIT-UP OF NEW BOLTED HARDWARE DUE TO OVERSIZED , DOUBLE-PUNCHED, OR SLOTTED HOLES FOUND ON THE EXISTING STRUCTURE SHALL BE REPORTED TO GPD AND THE TOWER OWNER IMMEDIATELY. INSTALLATION OF SUCH HARDWARE WILL NOT BE ACCEPTABLE AND ALL COSTS 11. ASSOCIATED WITH REMEDYING THE INSTALLATION WILL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GC.

#### **GUY WIRE NOTES**

THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL TENSION THE GUY WIRE TO WITHIN A RANGE FROM THE TARGET TENSION LISTED WITHIN THE GUY WIRE SCHEDULE TO + OR - 10% OF THE TARGET TENSION LISTED FOR GUY WIRES <= 1" AND WITHIN + OR - 5% FOR GUY WIRES <1" IN DIAMETER. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHOULD TENSION THE UPPER 75TH PERCENTILE OF THE LIMITS.



#### FOUNDATION NOTES

- CONCRETE SHALL DEVELOP A MINIMUM COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 4500 PSI IN 28 DAYS.
- ALL FOUNDATIONS SHALL REST ON AND AGAINST FIRM UNDISTURBED SOIL FREE FROM WATER ORGANIC MATTER, AND FORM WORK. CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPACT SUBGRADE AS REQUIRED.
- REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE DEFORMED AND CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM A615 GRADE 60 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. SPLICES IN REINFORCEMENT SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. WELDING IS PROHIBITED ON REINFORCING STEEL AND EMBEDMENTS.

- ULTIMATE BEARING = 15 KSF AT 3'-6" BELOW GRADE UNIT WEIGHT OF SOIL = 135 PCF GROUNDWATER = NOT ENCOUNTERED
- 10.

13

- ALL GROUNDING SHALL ACHIEVE 50 OR LESS RESISTANCE UPON COMPLETION. ALL GROUNDING ENCOUNTERED SHALL BE RELOCATED 3'-0" BEYOND FOUNDATION. ALL GROUNDING SHALL BE CONTINUOUS AND COORDINATED WITH OWNER. GROUNDING DESIGN AND ENGINEERING IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THESE DESIGN DRAWINGS AND IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO COMMISSION.
- 15. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING PUBLIC AND PRIVATE UTILITIES PRIOR TO EXCAVATION. IF NECESSARY UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED PRIOR TO FOUNDATION MODIFICATION. CONSENT FROM THE TOWER OWNER AND EOR MUST BE OBTAINED TO ENCASE UTILITIES IN CONCRETE.
- 16. EQUIPMENT PAD, SHELTER, AND ICE BRIDGE SUPPORT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO COMMISSION. CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE GREAT CARE AND ALL NECESSARY PROVISIONS WHEN SHORING IS REQUIRED.
- 17. ALL FOUNDATION UPGRADES REQUIRE A PRETEST ON THE EXISTING GROUNDING SYSTEM. IF THE RESULTS OF THE TESTING IS ABOVE 5 $\Omega$  A CALL TO THE TOWER OWNER IS REQUIRED BEFORE FURTHER CONSTRUCTION CAN BE DONE.
- 19. THE ADHESIVE ANCHOR SYSTEM USED FOR POST-INSTALLED DOWELS TO CONCRETE SHALL CONFORM TO THE MOST RECENTLY PUBLISHED ACI 355.4, ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR QUALIFICATION OF POST-INSTALLED ADHESIVE ANCHORS IN CONCRETE AND COMMENTARY. THE ANCHOR SYSTEM SHALL BE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: A. HILTI HIT-HY200 (ICC #: ESR-3187) EPOXY
- 20. DOWEL DESIGN IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI 318. FOR DOWELS, THE FOLLOWING MINIMUM VALUES FOR BOND STRESS WERE ASSUMED FOR THE DESIGN: A. UNCRACKED CONCRETE BOND STRESS (BASED ON HAMMER DRILLING):  $T_{\rm UNCR}$  = 1560 PSI

CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ENGAGING A MODIFICATION INSPECTOR AT THE TIME OF AWARD TO COORDINATE AN INSPECTION SCHEDULE AND ENSURE PROPER DOCUMENTATION IS RETAINED THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT. FOUNDATION WORK REQUIRES INSPECTION PRIOR TO THE CONCRETE POUR. CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE PREVIOUSLY ENGAGED MODIFICATION INSPECTOR A MINIMUM OF 5 BUSINESS DAYS, PREFERABLY 10, PRIOR FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION IN ORDER TO COORDINATE INSPECTION. REFER TO SHEET MI-01 FOR MODIFICATION INSPECTION CHECKLIST. REFERENCE THE GPD CONTACT INFORMATION ON THE TITLE SHEET TO OBTAIN. PRICING TO COMPLETE FINAL AND/OR FOUNDATION INSPECTION SERVICES, IF NOT ALREADY COORDINATED WITH THE TOWER OWNER / PROGRAM MANAGER / CARRIER

EXISTING FOUNDATION INFORMATION BASED UPON A FOUNDATION MAPPING BY WEI (PROJECT #: 2010-1212, DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 2010). CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN AND BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE REFERENCED FOUNDATION DOCUMENT. IF EXISTING FOUNDATION CONDITIONS DIFFER FROM THE REFERENCED DOCUMENT, CONTACT ENGINEER AND TOWER OWNER IMMEDIATELY.

CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL CODES, SAFETY REGULATIONS AND UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED, THE LATEST REVISION OF ACI 318, "BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE", PROCEDURES FOR THE PROTECTION OF EXCAVATIONS, EXISTING CONSTRUCTION AND UTILITIES SHALL BE ESTABLISHED PRIOR TO FOUNDATION INSTALLATION.

MAXIMUM SIZE OF AGGREGATE SHALL NOT EXCEED SIZE SUITABLE FOR INSTALLATION METHOD UTILIZED OR 1/3 CLEAR DISTANCE BEHIND OR BETWEEN REINFORCING, MAXIMUM SIZE MAY BE INCREASED TO 2/3 CLEAR DISTANCE PROVIDED WORKABILITY AND METHODS OF CONSOLIDATION SUCH AS VIBRATING WILL PREVENT HONEYCOMBS OR VOIDS.

MINIMUM CONCRETE COVER FOR REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE 3 INCHES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. APPROVED SPACERS SHALL BE USED TO ENSURE A 3 INCH MINIMUM COVER OF REINFORCEMENT. ALL REINFORCING SHALL BE EQUALLY SPACED UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

SOIL INFORMATION IS BASED ON A GEOTECHNICAL REPORT BY WEI (PROJECT #: 2010-1212, DATED SEPTEMBER 15, 2010). CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN AND IMPLEMENT ALL RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THE REFERENCED GEOTECHNICAL REPORT. IF SOIL CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED ARE DIFFERENT FROM REFERENCED GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, NOTIFY ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY.

BACKFILL SHALL BE CLEAN, FREE OF DEBRIS, AND ORGANIC FREE. CONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE CLEAN FILL AS REQUIRED. (MIN. UNIT WEIGHT = 120 PCF). ALL BACKFILL SHALL BE CONTROLLED-COMPACTED, PLACED IN A MAXIMUM OF 8" LIFTS, MOISTURE CONDITIONED TO WITHIN THREE PERCENT OF OPTIMUM MOISTURE, AND COMPACTED TO 95% OF STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY PER ASTM D698.

CARE SHALL BE TAKEN DURING INSTALLATION OF DOWELS SO THAT EXISTING REINFORCING STEEL AND ANCHOR BOLTS ARE NOT DAMAGED. CONTRACTOR SHALL USE X-RAY OR OTHER ENGINEER APPROVED NON-DESTRUCTIVE MEANS TO LOCATE EXISTING REINFORCING STEEL AND ANCHOR BOLTS. CONTACT ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY IF EXISTING STEEL IS ENCOUNTERED.

12. CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN AND BECOME FAMILIAR WITH REFERENCED EPOXY INSTALLATION PROCEDURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS. PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF NEW CONCRETE, CLEAN FACE OF EXISTING FOUNDATION SUCH THAT IT IS FREE FROM ALL DIRT, DEBRIS, AND FOREIGN

CONTRACTOR SHALL SECURE SITE BACK TO EXISTING CONDITION UNDER SUPERVISION OF OWNER. ALL FENCE, STONE, GEOFABRIC, GROUNDING, AND SURROUNDING GRADE SHALL BE REPLACED AND REPARED AS REQUIRED TO ACHIEVE OWNER APPROVAL. POSITIVE DRAINAGE AWAY FROM TOWER SITE SHALL BE MAINTAINED.

A POST OHMS TEST OF THE GROUNDING SHALL BE CONDUCTED. THE RESULTS OF THE TESTING SHALL BE AT OR BELOW THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION TEST RESULTS.

B. AN ENGINEER APPROVED EQUAL MEETING ACI 355.4 AND THE MINIMUM BOND STRESS VALUES BELOW. BULK MIXED ADHESIVES ARE NOT PERMITTED.



MODIFICATION SCHEDULE									
MEMBER TYPE	ELEVATION	EXISTING MEMBER	NEW MEMBER	REFERENCE DETAIL	NOTES	GPD Engineering and Architecture			
PLUMB AND TENSION	-	-	-	SHEET S-02	THE TOWER SHALL BE PLUMB AND ALL EXISTING WIRES TENSIONED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THESE PLANS	Professional Corporation:			
FOUNDATION	GRADE	CONCRETE PAD	ADDITIONAL CONCRETE	F-01	INSTALL ADDITIONAL CONCRETE TO THE EXISTING TOWER BASE FOUNDATION PAD	520 South Main Street Akron, Ohio 44311 330.572.2100 Fax 330.572.2102			
LE			BOO LEG B	(EXI (18) (2) 1. (1) 1/ (1) 1/ (6) 1 (1) 3	STING) I-5/8" COAX TO 142 FT LEVEL 5" DC/FIBER BUNDLE TO 142 FT LEVEL 2" COAX TO 13 FT LEVEL 2" COAX TO 13 FT LEVEL 5/8" COAX TO 120 FT LEVEL /8" RET CABLE TO 120 FT LEVEL	AORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD. NORFOLK-LOON MEADOW RD. Sign # 11282 REV 1282 REV 1282 REV 1282 REV 1283 REV 1282 REV 1283 REV 1283 RE			
			Ch	CONTRACTOR OF AND	300220 AL ENGININ 6/28/18	ISSUED FOR: PERMIT 6/28/2018 BID - CONSTRUCTION - RECORD - ENGINEER DESIGNER MM JW PROJECT MANAGER APPROVED BY CB C.JS JOB NO. 2018723.01.71285.02 S-01			





ત્ર



NOTE: X DENOTES A DOCUMENT NEEDED FOR THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION REPORT - DENOTES A DOCUMENT THAT IS NOT REQUIRED FOR THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION REPORT

#### STANDARD MODIFICATION DOCUMENTATION REQUIREMENTS

CONSTRUCTION PROCESS		ROCESS		STAMPED REPORT
BEFORE	DURING	AFTER		REQUIRED
Х	-	-	SHOP DRAWINGS	
Х	-	-	MATERIAL TEST REPORT (ALL MODIFICATION MATERIALS)	
Х	-	-	PACKING SLIPS	
			• PHOTOS:	
х	-	-	PRE-CONSTRUCTION PHOTOS (COMPOUND, ACCESS DRIVE, ROAD ENTRANCE, TOWER)	
-	X - • DURING CONSTRUCTION			
-	-	Х	POST CONSTRUCTION	
-	-	-	BASE PLATE GROUT VERIFICATION	
-	-	Х	ON SITE COLD GALVANIZING VERIFICATION	
-	-	Х	GC AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	
-	-	Х	LIEN RELEASE (GPD SERVICES ONLY)	

#### **GUYED TOWER REQUIREMENTS**

CONSTRUCTION PROCESS		ROCESS		STAMPED REPORT	
I	BEFORE	DURING	AFTER		REQUIRED
	Х	-	-	PRE-TENSION, PLUMB, & TWIST RESULTS	
	-	-	Х	POST TENSION REPORT	
	-	-	Х	POST PLUMB AND TWIST REPORT	
	-	Х	-	PHOTOS OF TENSION GAUGE FOR ALL GUY CABLES	
	х	-	-	PACKING SLIP FROM MANUFACTURER WITH ALL GUY WIRE HARDWARE	

#### FOUNDATION REQUIREMENTS

CONSTRUCTION PROCESS		ROCESS	REPORT ITEM	STAMPED REPORT	
BEFORE	DURING	AFTER	REPORTITEM	REQUIRED	
Х	-	-	PRE-POUR REBAR INSPECTION	ENGINEER	
Х	Х	-	EPOXY VERIFICATION - ONSITE PHOTO OF EPOXY LABEL		
Х	-	-	EPOXY PACKING SLIP		
Х	х	-	<ul> <li>CONCRETE MIX DESIGN, LOAD TICKETS, AND SLUMP TESTS</li> </ul>		
-	х	-	PRE-POUR CONCRETE SURFACE ROUGHENING VERIFICATION		
-	х	Х	CONCRETE COMP. STRENGTH TEST RESULTS	ENGINEER	
-	-	-	• EARTHWORK: LIFT AND DENSITY (REPORT REQUIRED)	ENGINEER	
	CONSTF BEFORE X X X X X - - -	CONSTRUCTION P BEFORE DURING X - X X X - X - X X - X X - X X - X - X	CONSTRUCTION PROCESS BEFORE DURING AFTER X X X - X X - X - X - X	CONSTRUCTION PROCESS         REPORT ITEM           3EFORE         DURING         AFTER           X         -         • PRE-POUR REBAR INSPECTION           X         X         -           X         X         -           X         X         -           X         -         • EPOXY VERIFICATION - ONSITE PHOTO OF EPOXY LABEL           X         -         • EPOXY VERIFICATION - ONSITE PHOTO OF EPOXY LABEL           X         -         • EPOXY PACKING SLIP           X         X         -           X         X         • CONCRETE MIX DESIGN, LOAD TICKETS, AND SLUMP TESTS           -         X         -           -         X         • CONCRETE SURFACE ROUGHENING VERIFICATION           -         X         X           -         • CONCRETE COMP. STRENGTH TEST RESULTS           -         -         • EARTHWORK: LIFT AND DENSITY (REPORT REQUIRED)	

#### MODIFICATION INSPECTION NOTES:

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

- THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION IS A VISUAL INSPECTION OF TOWER MODIFICATIONS AND A REVIEW OF CONSTRUCTION INSPECTIONS AND OTHER REPORTS TO ENSURE THE INSTALLATION WAS CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. VAMELY THE MODIFICATION DRAWINGS, AS DESIGNED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD
- 2. THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION IS TO CONFIRM INSTALLATION CONFIGURATION AND WORKMANSHIP ONLY AND IS NOT A REVIEW OF THE MODIFICATION DESIGN ITSELF, NOR DOES THE MODIFICATION INSPECTOR TAKE OWNERSHIP OF THE MODIFICATION DESIGN OWNERSHIP OF THE STRUCTURAL MODIFICATION DESIGN EFFECTIVENESS AND INTENT RESIDES WITH THE ENGINEER OF RECORD AT ALL TIMES.
- 3. TO ENSURE THAT THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION ARE MET, IT IS VITAL THAT THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR (GC) AND THE MODIFICATION INSPECTOR BEGIN COMMUNICATING AND COORDINATING AS SOON AS A PO OR PAYMENT IS RECEIVED. IT IS EXPECTED THAT EACH PARTY WILL BE PROACTIVE IN REACHING OUT TO THE OTHER PARTY CONTACT LISTED ON THE TITLE SHEET SHALL BE CONTACTED IF SPECIFIC INSPECTOR CONTACT INFORMATION IS NOT KNOWN.

#### MODIFICATION INSPECTOR

- THE MODIFICATION INSPECTOR IS REQUIRED TO CONTACT THE GC AS SOON AS RECEIVING A PO OR PAYMENT FOR THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION TO
  - REVIEW THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION CHECKLIST WORK WITH THE GC TO DEVELOP A SCHEDULE TO CONDUCT ON-SITE INSPECTIONS, INCLUDING FOUNDATION INSPECTIONS DISCUSS ANY SITE SPECIFIC INSPECTIONS OR CONCERNS
- THE MODIFICATION INSPECTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR COLLECTING ALL GENERAL 2. CONTRACTOR (GC) INSPECTION AND TEST REPORTS, REVIEWING THE DOCUMENTS FOR ADHERENCE TO THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, CONDUCTING THE IN-FIELD INSPECTIONS, AND SUBMITTING THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION REPORT.

#### **GENERAL CONTRACTOR**

THE GC IS REQUIRED TO CONTACT THE MODIFICATION INSPECTOR AS SOON AS RECEIVING A PO OR PAYMENT FOR THE MODIFICATION INSTALLATION OR TURNKEY PROJECT TO:

- REVIEW THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION CHECKLIST WORK WITH THE MI INSPECTOR TO DEVELOP A SCHEDULE TO CONDUCT ON-SITE MODIFICATION INSPECTIONS, INCLUDING FOUNDATION INSPECTIONS - BETTER UNDERSTAND ALL INSPECTION AND TESTING REQUIREMENTS

THE GC SHALL PERFORM AND RECORD THE TEST AND INSPECTION RESULTS IN 2. ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION CHECKLIST.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS ARE OFFERED TO ENHANCE THE EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF DELIVERING A MODIFICATION INSPECTION REPORT

- IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE GC PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF 5 BUSINESS DAYS NOTICE, PREFERABLY 10, TO THE MODIFICATION INSPECTOR AS TO WHEN THE SITE WILL BE READY FOR THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION TO BE CONDUCTED - THE GC AND MODIFICATION INSPECTOR COORDINATE CLOSELY THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE PROJECT

- WHEN POSSIBLE, IT IS PREFERRED TO HAVE THE GC AND MODIFICATION INSPECTOR ON-SITE SIMULTANEOUSLY FOR ANY GUY WIRE TENSIONING OR E-TENSIONING OPERATIONS.

- IT MAY BE BENEFICIAL TO INSTALL ALL TOWER MODIFICATIONS PRIOR TO CONDUCTING THE FOUNDATION INSPECTIONS TO ALLOW FOUNDATION AND MODIFICATION INSPECTION(S) TO COMMENCE WITH ONE SITE VISIT. - WHEN POSSIBLE, IT IS PREFERRED TO HAVE THE GC AND MODIFICATION INSPECTOR ON-SITE DURING THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION TO HAVE ANY DEFICIENCIES CORRECTED DURING THE INITIAL MODIFICATION INSPECTION. THEREFORE THE GC MAY CHOOSE TO COORDINATE THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION CAREFULLY TO ENSURE ALL CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES ARE AT THEIR DISPOSAL WHEN THE MI INSPECTOR IS ON SITE.

#### CANCELLATION OR DELAYS

INVOLVED.

#### FAILING INSPECTION CORRECTIONS

- COORDINATE A REMEDIATION PLAN IN ONE OF TWO WAYS
- MODIFICATION INSPECTION
- AS-BUILT CONDITION.

#### VERIFICATION INSPECTIONS

- INSPECTION(S) ON TOWER MODIFICATION PROJECTS.
- 2

#### **REQUIRED PHOTOS**

- PRE-CONSTRUCTION GENERAL SITE CONDITION - PHOTOGRAPHS DURING THE REINFORCEMENT MODIFICATION CONSTRUCTION/ERECTION AND INSPECTION RAW MATERIALS

- PHOTOS OF ALL CRITICAL DETAILS
- FOUNDATION MODIFICATIONS
- WELD PREPARATION BOLT INSTALLATION AND TORQUE
- FINAL INSTALLED CONDITION
- SURFACE COATING REPAIR
- POST CONSTRUCTION PHOTOGRAPHS FINAL INFIELD CONDITION MODIFICATIONS.

2. PHOTOS OF ELEVATED MODIFICATIONS TAKEN FROM THE GROUND SHALL BE CONSIDERED 



IF THE GC AND MODIFICATION INSPECTOR AGREE TO A DATE ON WHICH THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION WILL BE CONDUCTED, AND EITHER PARTY CANCELS OR DELAYS, THE TOWER OWNER SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY COSTS FEES LOSS OF DEPOSITS AND/OR OTHER PENALTIES RELATED TO THE CANCELLATION OR DELAY INCURRED BY EITHER PARTY FOR ANY TIME (E.G. TRAVEL AND LODGING, COSTS OF KEEPING EQUIPMENT ON-SITE, ETC.). EXCEPTIONS MAY BE MADE IN THE EVENT THAT THE DELAY/CANCELLATION IS CAUSED BY WEATHER OR OTHER CONDITIONS THAT MAY COMPROMISE THE SAFETY OF THE PARTIES

IF THE MODIFICATION INSTALLATION WOULD FAIL THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION ("FAILED MODIFICATION INSPECTION"), THE GC SHALL WORK WITH MODIFICATION INSPECTOR TO

- CORRECT FAILING ISSUES TO COMPLY WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND COORDINATE A SUPPLEMENT

OR, WITH TOWER OWNER'S APPROVAL, THE GC MAY WORK WITH THE ENGINEER OF RECORD TO RE-ANALYZE THE MODIFICATION/REINFORCEMENT USING THE

TOWER OWNER RESERVES THE RIGHT TO CONDUCT A VERIFICATION INSPECTION TO VERIFY THE ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS OF PREVIOUSLY COMPLETED MODIFICATION

VERIFICATION INSPECTION MAY BE CONDUCTED BY AN INDEPENDENT FIRM AFTER A MODIFICATION PROJECT IS COMPLETED, AS MARKED BY THE DATE OF AN ACCEPTED "PASSING MODIFICATION INSPECTION" OR "PASS AS NOTED MODIFICATION INSPECTION" REPORT FOR THE ORIGINAL PROJECT.

BETWEEN THE GC AND THE MI INSPECTOR THE FOLLOWING PHOTOGRAPHS ARE TO BE TAKEN AND INCLUDED IN THE MODIFICATION INSPECTION REPORT:

- ANY OTHER PHOTOS DEEMED RELEVANT TO SHOW COMPLETE DETAILS OF





## RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

**SPRINT Existing Facility** 

Site ID: CT33XC116

Fishs Eddy / SNet Corp 453 Loon Meadow Road Norfolk, CT 06058

July 12, 2018

## EBI Project Number: 6218004977

Site Compliance Summary				
Compliance Status: COMPLIANT				
Site total MPE% of				
FCC general	6 00 %			
population	0.90 %			
allowable limit:				



July 12, 2018

SPRINT Attn: RF Engineering Manager 1 International Boulevard, Suite 800 Mahwah, NJ 07495

#### Emissions Analysis for Site: CT33XC116 - Fishs Eddy / SNet Corp

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed SPRINT facility located at **453 Loon Meadow Road, Norfolk, CT**, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed SPRINT Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01 and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu$ W/cm2). The number of  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup> calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) - (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

<u>General population/uncontrolled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which the general population may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general population would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

General population exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>). The general population exposure limits for the 850 MHz Band is approximately 567  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>. The general population exposure limit for the 1900 MHz (PCS) and 2500 MHz (BRS) bands is 1000  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.



<u>Occupational/controlled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

### CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed SPRINT Wireless antenna facility located at **453 Loon Meadow Road, Norfolk, CT**, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. Since SPRINT is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, all calculations were performed assuming a lobe representing the maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB, was focused at the base of the tower. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6-foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 1 CDMA channels (850 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 20 Watts per Channel.
- 2) 2 LTE channels (850 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 50 Watts per Channel.
- 3) 5 CDMA channels (1900 MHz (PCS)) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 16 Watts per Channel.
- 4) 2 LTE channels (1900 MHz (PCS)) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 40 Watts per Channel.
- 5) 8 LTE channels (2500 MHz (BRS)) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 20 Watts per Channel.



- 6) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
- 7) For the following calculations, the sample point was the top of a 6-foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications minus 10 dB was used in this direction. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
- 8) The antennas used in this modeling are the Commscope DT465B-2XR and the RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 for transmission in the 850 MHz, 1900 MHz (PCS) and 2500 MHz (BRS) frequency bands. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. Maximum gain values for all antennas are listed in the Inventory and Power Data table below. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB, was used for all calculations. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
- 9) The antenna mounting height centerlines of the proposed antennas are **158 feet** above ground level (AGL) for **Sector A**, **158 feet** above ground level (AGL) for **Sector B** and **158 feet** above ground level (AGL) for **Sector C**.
- 10) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general population threshold limits.



#### SPRINT Site Inventory and Power Data by Antenna

Sector:	А	Sector:	В	Sector:	С
Antenna #:	1	Antenna #:	1	Antenna #:	1
Make / Model:	RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20	Make / Model:	RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20	Make / Model:	RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20
Gain:	13.4 / 15.9 dBd	Gain:	13.4 / 15.9 dBd	Gain:	13.4 / 15.9 dBd
Height (AGL):	158 feet	Height (AGL):	158 feet	Height (AGL):	158 feet
Frequency Bands	850 MHz / 1900 MHz (PCS)	Frequency Bands	850 MHz / 1900 MHz (PCS)	Frequency Bands	850 MHz / 1900 MHz (PCS)
Channel Count	8	Channel Count	8	Channel Count	8
Total TX Power(W):	180 Watts	Total TX Power(W):	180 Watts	Total TX Power(W):	180 Watts
ERP (W):	6,662.27	ERP (W):	6,662.27	ERP (W):	6,662.27
Antenna A1 MPE%	1.09 %	Antenna B1 MPE%	1.09 %	Antenna C1 MPE%	1.09 %
Antenna #:	2	Antenna #:	2	Antenna #:	2
Make / Model:	Commscope DT465B-2XR	Make / Model:	Commscope DT465B-2XR	Make / Model:	Commscope DT465B-2XR
Gain:	15.05 dBd	Gain:	15.05 dBd	Gain:	15.05 dBd
Height (AGL):	158 feet	Height (AGL):	158 feet	Height (AGL):	158 feet
Frequency Bands	2500 MHz (BRS) / 850 MHz	Frequency Bands	2500 MHz (BRS) / 850 MHz	Frequency Bands	2500 MHz (BRS) / 850 MHz
Channel Count	10	Channel Count	10	Channel Count	10
Total TX Power(W):	260 Watts	Total TX Power(W):	260 Watts	Total TX Power(W):	260 Watts
ERP (W):	7,280.95	ERP (W):	7,280.95	ERP (W):	7,280.95
Antenna A2 MPE%	1.39 %	Antenna B2 MPE%	1.39 %	Antenna C2 MPE%	1.39 %

Site Composite MPE%					
Carrier MPE%					
SPRINT – Max per sector	2.48 %				
AT&T	1.74 %				
T-Mobile	2.34 %				
PageNet	0.34 %				
Site Total MPE %:	6.90 %				

SPRINT Sector A Total:	2.48 %
SPRINT Sector B Total:	2.48 %
SPRINT Sector C Total:	2.48 %
Site Total:	6.90 %

SPRINT _ Frequency Band / Technology (All Sectors)	# Channels	Watts ERP (Per Channel)	Height (feet)	Total Power Density (µW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Frequency (MHz)	Allowable MPE (µW/cm²)	Calculated % MPE
Sprint 850 MHz CDMA	1	437.55	158	0.68	850 MHz	567	0.13%
Sprint 1900 MHz (PCS) CDMA	5	622.47	158	4.84	1900 MHz (PCS)	1000	0.48%
Sprint 1900 MHz (PCS) LTE	2	1,556.18	158	4.84	1900 MHz (PCS)	1000	0.48%
Sprint 2500 MHz (BRS) LTE	8	639.78	158	7.96	2500 MHz (BRS)	1000	0.80%
Sprint 850 MHz LTE	2	1,081.36	158	3.37	850 MHz	567	0.59%
						Total:	2.48%



#### **Summary**

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were **within** the allowable limits for general population exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated maximum composite contributions from the SPRINT facility as well as the site composite emissions value with regards to compliance with FCC's allowable limits for general population exposure to RF Emissions are shown here:

SPRINT Sector	Power Density Value (%)
Sector A:	2.48 %
Sector B:	2.48 %
Sector C:	2.48 %
SPRINT Maximum	2 48 0/
Total (per sector):	2.48 %
Site Total:	6.90 %
Site Compliance Status:	COMPLIANT

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **6.90** % of the allowable FCC established general population limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.

# Town of Norfolk

## "Icebox" of Connecticut

Recent Sales in Neighborhood	Previous Parcel	Next Parcel	Field Definitions	Return to Main Search	Norfolk Home	
		Owner and Parcel	Information			
Owner Name	NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PC ATTN PROPERTY TAX DEPT	S LLC	Today's Date	July 30, 2018		
Mailing Address	1010 PINE; 9E-L-01		Parcel ID	574 (Account #: 6-14/007-0)		
	ST LOUIS, MO 63101		Fire District			
Location Address	453 LOON MEADOW DR		Census Tract	3081		
Map/Lot	6-14/7		Acreage	4.98		
Use Class / Description	5-2 VAC COMM					
Assessing Neighborhood	0001A		Utilities			

Current Appraised Value Information							
<b>Building Value</b>	XF Value	OB Value	Land Value	Special Land Value	Total Appraised Value	Net Appraised Value	Current Assessment
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,028,780	\$ 127,860		\$ 1,156,640	\$ 1,156,640	\$ 809,650

	Assessment History						
Year	Building	OB/Misc	Land	Total Assessment			
Current	0	\$ 720,150	\$ 89,500	\$ 809,650			
2017	0	\$ 720,150	\$ 89,500	\$ 809,650			
2016	0	\$ 720,150	\$ 89,500	\$ 809,650			

	Land Information						
Use	Class	Zoning	Area	Value			
VAC COMM	С	RU	1 AC	\$ 100,000			
VAC COMM	C		3.98 AC	\$ 27,860			

	Building	Information		
	No Building Informat	ion available for this parcel.		
	Out Building	s / Extra Features		
Description	Sub Description	Area	Year Built	Value
1 STORY FRAME		408 S.F.		\$ 20,400
1 STORY FRAME		256 S.F.		\$ 6,400
8' FENCE		360 L.F.		\$ 1,980
CELL TOWER C		1 UNITS		\$ 1,000,000

	Sale Information							
Sale Date	Sale Price	Deed Book/Page	Sale Qualification	Reason	Vacant or Improved	Owner		
06/22/2015		118/1088	Unqualified	Unqualified Sale - Nonspecific	Vacant	NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS LLC ATTN PROPERTY TAX DEPT		
10/28/2014		118/ 311	Unqualified	No Consideration Sale	Improved	AT&T CAPITAL SERVICES INC		
08/02/1957		42/29	Unqualified			SOUTHERN NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE		

Permit Information								
Permit ID	Issue Date	Туре	Description	Amount	Inspection Date	% Complete	Date Complete	Comments
828E	03/27/2015	EL	Electric	\$ 15,000		0		UPG 3 ANTENNAS
388-E	03/02/2013	EL	Electric	\$ 12,000		0		6 NEW ANTENNAS
7470-E	01/18/2001	EL	Electric	\$ 54,000		0		ADD ANTENNAS TO TOWER
7457	12/18/2000	EL	Electric	\$ 10,000		0		INSTALL CONDUITS

Return to Main Search Page Norfolk Home Recent Sales in Neighborhood Previous Parcel Next Parcel Field Definitions The Town of Norfolk Assessor's Office makes every effort to produce the most accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use or interpretation. Website Updated: July 29, 2018

© 2012 by the Town of Norfolk, CT | Website design by apublic.net



## STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

CT33XC116

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov www.ct.gov/csc

December 14, 2012

Jennifer Palumbo Sprint 48 Spruce Street Oakland, NJ 07436

RE: **EM-SPRINT-098-121129** – Sprint Spectrum L.P. notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 453 Loon Meadow Road, Norfolk, Connecticut.

Dear Ms. Palumbo:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the following conditions:

- The proposed coax shall be installed in accordance with the recommendations made in the Structural Analysis Report prepared by GPD Group dated November 15, 2012 and stamped by David Granger; and
- Not more than 45 days following completion of the antenna installation, Sprint shall provide documentation certifying that its installation complied with the engineer's recommendation.
- Any deviation from the proposed modification as specified in this notice and supporting materials with Council shall render this acknowledgement invalid;
- Any material changes to this modification as proposed shall require the filing of a new notice with the Council;
- Not more than 45 days after completion of construction, the Council shall be notified in writing that construction has been completed;
- The validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter; and
- The applicant may file a request for an extension of time beyond the one year deadline provided that such request is submitted to the Council not less than 60 days prior to the expiration;

The proposed modifications including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated November 26, 2012. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.



This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Linda Roberts Executive Director

LR/CDM/cm

c: The Honorable Susan M. Dyer, First Selectman, Town of Norfolk Joanne M. Munch, Chm, Zoning Bd. Of Appeals, Chm., Town of Norfolk



#### After printing this label:

- 1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.
- 2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.
- 3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com.FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim.Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss.Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1,000, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our ServiceGuide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.



#### After printing this label:

- 1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.
- 2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.
- 3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com.FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim.Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss.Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1,000, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our ServiceGuide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.



#### After printing this label:

- 1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.
- 2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.
- 3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.

Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com.FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of \$100 per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery, misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim.Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of \$100 or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss.Maximum for items of extraordinary value is \$1,000, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our ServiceGuide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.