April 30, 2018

Melanie A. Bachman<br>Acting Executive Director<br>Connecticut Siting Council<br>10 Franklin Square<br>New Britain, CT 06051

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification for Sprint Crown Site BU: 876331 Sprint Site ID: CT03XC083
115 North Mountain Road, New Britain, Hartford County, CT 06053
Latitude: $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 35.72^{\prime \prime} /$ Longitude: $-72^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 17.09^{\prime \prime}$
Dear Ms. Bachman:

Sprint currently maintains (3) antennas at the 116 -foot level of the existing 116-foot monopole at 115 North Mountain Road, New Britain, Connecticut 06053. The tower and property on which it sits is owned by Crown Castle. Sprint intends to install (3) antennas, (1) hybrid, and (3) RRHs.

The Connecticut Siting Council's Telecommunications Database provides the Council approved the tower February 16,2000 , however a diligent search of the available online records was not fruitful for obtaining a copy of said decision.

Please accept this letter as notification pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies § 16-50j-73, for construction that constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. § $16-50 \mathrm{j}$ 72(b)(2). In accordance with R.S.C.A. § 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter is being sent to Ms. Erin Stewart, Mayor, City of New Britain, Ms. Marion Fischbein, Member of the City of New Britain's Zoning Board, and Crown Castle as the property and tower owner.

1. The proposed modifications will not result in an increase in the height of the existing tower.
2. The proposed modifications will not require the extension of the site boundary.
3. The proposed modification will not increase noise levels at the facility by six decibels or more, or to levels that exceed state and local criteria.
4. The operation of the replacement antennas will not increase radio frequency emissions at the facility to a level at or above the Federal Communication Commission safety standard.
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5. The proposed modifications will not cause a change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the site.
6. The existing structure and its foundation can support the proposed loading.

For the foregoing reasons, Sprint respectfully submits that the proposed modifications to the above-reference telecommunications facility constitutes an exempt modification under R.C.S.A. § 16-50j-72(b)(2). Please send approval/rejection letter to Attn: Anne Marie Zsamba.

Sincerely,
Morr Romule
Anne Marie Zsamba, Esq.
Real Estate Specialist
3 Corporate Park Drive, Suite 101, Clifton Park, NY 12065
(518) 350-3639
annemarie.zsamba.contractor@crowncastle.com
Attachments:
Tab A: Exhibit-1: Compound plan and elevation depicting the planned changes
Tab B: Exhibit-2: Structural Modification Report
Tab C: Exhibit-3: General Power Density Table Report (RF Emissions Analysis Report)
cc: Ms. Erin Stewart, Mayor
City of New Britain
27 West Main Street
New Britain, CT 06051
(860) 826-3300

Ms. Marion Fischbein
City of New Britain Zoning Board
27 West Main Street - Room 311
New Britain, CT 06051
(860) 826-3430

Crown Castle

City of New Britain, CT

## Property Information

| Property Location | 115 NORTH MOUNTAIN RD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Owner | OCTOBER TWENTY FOUR INC |
| Co-Owner |  |
| Mailing Address | CIO A AIUDI + SONS LLC <br> PLAINVILLE |
| Land Use | $4400 \quad$ Ind Ld De |
| Land Class | I |
| Zoning Code | TP |
| Census Tract | 416500 |


| Neighborhood | 101 G |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Acreage | 0.82 |  |
| Utilities | All Public |  |
| Lot Setting/Desc |  | Ledge |
| Additional Info |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Photo



## Sketch

## Valuation Summary (Assessed value $=70 \%$ of Appraised Value)

| Item | Appraised | Assessed |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Buildings |  |  |
| Extras |  |  |
| Improvements |  |  |
| Outbuildings |  |  |
| Land |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

## Sub Areas

| Subarea Type | Gross Area (sq ft) | Living Area (sq ft) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 |

## Sales History

| Owner of Record | Book/ Page | Sale Date |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| OCTOBER TWENTY FOUR INC | $1826 / 309$ | $9 / 29 / 2011$ |
| OCTOBER TWENTY FOUR INC | $733 / 284$ | $2 / 2 / 1978$ |
| GIUSEPPE CACCAMO SALVATORE | $431 / 424$ | $1 / 1 / 1900$ |


| Sprint ${ }^{4}$ |  | PROJECT: <br> SITE NAME: SITE CASCADE: SITE NUMBER SITE ADDRESS: SITE TYPE MARKET: | MW DEPLOYMEN <br> NEW BRITAIN GRAVEL PIT <br> CT03XC083 <br> 876331 <br> 115 NORTH MOUNTAIN ROA NEW BRITAIN CT 06053 MONOPOLE TOWER <br> NORTHERN CONNECTICUT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 边 |  |  |  | ? |  |
| 边 |  |  |  | 5es |  |
|  |  |  |  | 20 | 찬․ |
| $\pm$ |  |  |  |  | - |
| = |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | mammem |
| 51m |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {couxams }}$ |
| \% |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | , minmem |







|  |  | Sprint ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NFINGY |
|  |  | $\cdots$ |
|  |  | CCCRamit |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | = |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | =awamm |
|  |  | cmaxem |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | \% \#mumum |
| mememe | mamememer | A-3 |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $8$ |  |
|  |  |



|  |  |  | Sprint ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\text { NFINGY }}{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underline{\sim}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $=-60^{2} 0$ |  |
|  | $\cdots$ | $=0$ | $\cdots$ |
| 5maxm |  |  | \%emmam |
|  | $=$ |  | cmaxas |
| max |  | -1. $=$ | \% |
|  |  |  |  |

Date: March 22, 2018
Marianne Dunst
Crown Castle
3530 Toringdon Way Suite 300
Charlotte, NC 28277
(704)-405-6850

Paul J. Ford and Company
250 East Broad st., Suite 600
Columbus, OH 43215
(614) 221-6679
skadam@pjfweb.com

## Subject: <br> Carrier Designation: <br> Crown Castle Designation: <br> Engineering Firm Designation:

Slte Data:

## Structural Analysis Report

Sprint PCS Co-Locate
Carrier Site Number: CT03XC083
Carrier Site Name:
СТ03XC083
Crown Castle BU Number: 876331
Crown Castle Site Name: Crown Castle JDE Job Number:

NEW BRITAIN GRAVEL PIT
450509
1539492
399155 Rev. 5
Paul J. Ford and Company Project Number: 37518-1085.001.7805
115 North Mountain Rd, NEW BRITAIN, Hartford County, CT Latitude $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 35.72^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $-72^{\circ} 49^{\circ} 17.09^{\prime \prime}$
118 Foot - Monopole

Dear Ms. Dunst,
Paul J. Ford and Company is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above-mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 1160641, in accordance with application 399155, revision 5.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC7: Existing + Reserved + Proposed Equipment
Sufficient Capacity
Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing/reserved loading, respectively.
This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3 -second gust wind speed of 125 mph converted to a nominal 3 -second gust wind speed of 97 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix $N$ as required for use in the ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas", with ANSI/TIA-222-G-1-2007 and ANSI/TIA-222-G-2-2009 Addenda per Exception \#5 of Section 1609.1.1. Risk Category II, Exposure Category C and Topographic Category 1 with a maximum Topographic Factor, Kzt, of 1 were used in this analysis.

We at Paul J. Ford and Company appreciate the opportunity of providing our contipuithdordestional services to
 please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted by:


Shardul Kadam
tnxTower Report - version 7.0.5.1


Date: March 22, 2018

Marianne Dunst
Crown Castle
3530 Toringdon Way Suite 300
Charlotte, NC 28277
(704)-405-6850

Paul J. Ford and Company 250 East Broad st., Suite 600 Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 221-6679 skadam@pjfweb.com
Subject:
Carrier Designation:
Crown Castle Designation:

## Engineering Firm Designation:

Site Data:

Structural Analysis Report
Sprint PCS Co-Locate
Carrier Site Number: CT03XC083
Carrier Site Name: CT03XC083
Crown Castle BU Number: Crown Castle Site Name: Crown Castle JDE Job Number:
Crown Castle Work Order Number:
Crown Castle Application Number:

876331
NEW BRITAIN GRAVEL PIT
450509
1539492
399155 Rev. 5

Paul J. Ford and Company Project Number: 37518-1085.001.7805
115 North Mountain Rd, NEW BRITAIN, Hartford County, CT Latitude $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 35.72^{\prime \prime}$, Longitude $-72^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 17.09^{\prime \prime}$
118 Foot - Monopole
Dear Ms. Dunst,
Paul J. Ford and Company is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above-mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 1160641, in accordance with application 399155 , revision 5.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC7: Existing + Reserved + Proposed Equipment
Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing/reserved loading, respectively.
This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3 -second gust wind speed of 125 mph converted to a nominal 3 -second gust wind speed of 97 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix N as required for use in the ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas", with ANSI/TIA-222-G-1-2007 and ANSI/TIA-222-G-2-2009 Addenda per Exception \#5 of Section 1609.1.1. Risk Category II, Exposure Category C and Topographic Category 1 with a maximum Topographic Factor, Kzt, of 1 were used in this analysis.

We at Paul J. Ford and Company appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted by:

Shardul Kadam
Project Engineer I
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## 1) INTRODUCTION

This tower is a 118 ft Monopole tower designed by ROHN in October of 1996. The tower was originally designed for a wind speed of 85 mph per TIA/EIA-222-E.

## 2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

This analysis has been performed in accordance with the 2016 Connecticut State Building Code based upon an ultimate 3 -second gust wind speed of 125 mph converted to a nominal 3 -second gust wind speed of 97 mph per Section 1609.3 and Appendix N as required for use in the ANSI/TIA-222-G-2005 Standard, "Structural Standard for Antenna Supporting Structures and Antennas", with ANSI/TIA-222-G-1-2007 and ANSI/TIA-222-G-2-2009 Addenda per Exception \#5 of Section 1609.1.1. Risk Category II, Exposure Category C and Topographic Category 1 were used in this analysis.

Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

| Mounting Level (ft) | Center Line Elevation (ft) | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Antennas } \end{array}\right\|$ | Antenna Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number of Feed Lines | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Feed } \\ \text { Line } \\ \text { Size (in) } \end{array}$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114.0 | 116.0 | 3 | alcatel lucent | TD-RRH8×20-25 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-1 / 4 \\ 1 / 2 \end{gathered}$ | - |
|  |  | 1 | andrew | VHLP1-23 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | Ifs celwave | $\begin{aligned} & \text { APXVTM14-C-120 } \\ & \text { w/ Mount Pipe } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | samsung telecommunications | WIMAX DAP HEAD |  |  |  |

Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

| Mounting Level (ft) | Center Line Elevation (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Antennas } \end{gathered}$ | Antenna Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number of Feed Lines | Feed Line Size (in) | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116.0 | 116.0 | 3 | alcatel lucent | $800 \mathrm{MHz} 2 \times 50 \mathrm{~W}$ RRH W/FILTER | - | - | 1 |
|  |  | 3 | alcatel lucent | $\begin{gathered} \text { PCS } 1900 \mathrm{MHz} 4 \times 45 \mathrm{~W}- \\ 65 \mathrm{MHz} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | tower mounts | Pipe Mount [PM 601-3] |  |  |  |
| 114.0 | 116.0 | 1 | rfs celwave | APXV9ERR18-C-A20 w/ Mount Pipe | 3 | $11 / 4$ | 1 |
|  |  | 2 | rfs celwave | APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  | 114.0 | 1 | tower mounts | Platform Mount [LP 502-1] |  |  |  |
| 108.0 | 108.0 | 3 | commscope | LNX-6515DS-VTM w/ Mount Pipe | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 8 \\ 15 / 8 \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
|  |  | 3 | ericsson | ERICSSON AIR 21 B2A B4P w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | ericsson | ERICSSON AIR 21 B4A B2P w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | ericsson | KRY 112 144/1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | ericsson | RRUS 11 B12 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | tower mounts | Sector Mount [SM 801-3] |  |  |  |
| 98.0 | 98.0 | 2 | cci antennas | HPA-65R-BUU-H6 w/ Mount Pipe | - | - | 2 |
|  |  | 1 | cci antennas | HPA-65R-BUU-H8 w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | ericsson | RRUS 32 B2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | powerwave technologies | 7020.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | powerwave technologies | TT19-08BP111-001 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | andrew | SBNH-1D6565C w/ Mount Pipe | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 12 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 / 8 \\ & 7 / 8 \\ & 3 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
|  |  | 3 | communication components inc. | DTMABP7819VG12A |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | ericsson | RRUS 11 B12 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | kmw communications | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET } \\ & \text { w/ Mount Pipe } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | powerwave technologies | 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | raycap | DC6-48-60-18-8F |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | tower mounts | Platform Mount [LP 712-1] |  |  |  |
| 85.0 | 86.0 | 3 | alcatel lucent | RRH2X60-AWS | 13 | 1-5/8 | 1 |
|  |  | 3 | alcatel lucent | RRH2X60-PCS |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | andrew | CBC721-DF |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6 | andrew | HBXX-6517DS-A2M w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |


| Mounting Level (ft) | Center Line Elevation (ft) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Antennas } \end{gathered}$ | Antenna Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number of Feed Lines | Feed Line Size (in) | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | antel | BXA-70040-6CF-EDIN-2 w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | antel | BXA-70063-6CF-2 w/ Mount Pipe |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | rfs celwave | DB-B1-6C-12AB-0Z |  |  |  |
|  | 85.0 | 1 | tower mounts | Platform Mount [LP 303-1] |  |  |  |
| 80.0 | 81.0 | 1 | lucent | KS24019-L112A | 1 | 1/2 | 1 |
|  | 80.0 | 1 | tower mounts | Side Arm Mount [SO 701-1] |  |  |  |
| 72.0 | 74.0 | 2 | argus technologies | LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe | - | - | 1 |
|  |  | 1 | dragonwave | HORIZON COMPACT |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | samsung telecommunications | WIMAX DAP HEAD |  |  |  |
|  | 73.0 | 1 | andrew | VHLP1-23 | - | - | 3 |
|  |  | 1 | samsung telecommunications | WIMAX DAP HEAD |  |  |  |
|  | 72.0 | 1 | argus technologies | LLPX310R w/ Mount Pipe | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 4 \\ & 5 / 8 \\ & 1 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
|  |  | 1 | dragonwave | A-ANT-18G-2-C |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | dragonwave | HORIZON COMPACT |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | samsung telecommunications | WIMAX DAP HEAD |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | tower mounts | Side Arm Mount [SO 101-3] |  |  |  |

Notes:

1) Existing Equipment
2) Reserved Equipment
3) Equipment Relocated to 114' Elevation

Table 3 - Design Antenna and Cable Information

| Mounting <br> Level (ft) | Center <br> Line <br> Elevation <br> (ft) | Number <br> of <br> Antennas | Antenna <br> Manufacturer | Antenna Model | Number <br> of Feed <br> Lines | Feed <br> Line <br> Size (in) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## 3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 4 - Documents Provided

| Document | Remarks | Reference | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS | FDH, 07-11435G, 01/23/2008 | 2192549 | CCISITES |
| POST-MODIFICATION <br> INSPECTION | TEP, 126879, 03/07/2013 | 3684848 | CCISITES |
| TOWER FOUNDATION <br> DRAWINGS/DESIGN/SPECS | Rohn, 34738SW, 10/24/1996 | 1947809 | CCISITES |
| TOWER MANUFACTURER <br> DRAWINGS | Rohn, 34738SW, 10/24/1996 | 1947800 | CCISITES |
| POST-MODIFICATION <br> INSPECTION | SGS, 145041, 11/21/2014 | 5407775 | CCISITES |
| POST-MODIFICATION <br> INSPECTION | SGS, 146127, 3/12/2015 | 5596857 | CCISITES |
| POST-MODIFICATION <br> INSPECTION | TEP, 25663.40942, 3/9/2016 | 6131239 | CCISITES |
| TOWER REINFORCEMENT <br> DESIGN/DRAWINGS/DATA | PJF, 41707-0508, 5/23/2008 | 2268906 | CCISITES |

## 3.1) Analysis Method

tnxTower (version 7.0.5.1), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various loading cases.
Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

## 3.2) Assumptions

1) Tower and structures were built in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
2) The tower and structures have been maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specification.
3) The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2 and the referenced drawings.
4) Monopole was reinforced in conformance with the referenced modification drawings.

This analysis may be affected if any assumptions are not valid or have been made in error. Paul J. Ford and Company should be notified to determine the effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

## 4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 5-Section Capacity (Summary)

| Elevation (ft) | Component Type | Size | Critical Element | \% Capacity | Pass / Fail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118-113 | Pole | TP24×24×0.25 | Pole | 3.5\% | Pass |
| 113-108 | Pole | TP24×24×0.25 | Pole | 10.6\% | Pass |
| 108-103 | Pole | TP24x24×0.25 | Pole | 23.9\% | Pass |
| 103-98 | Pole | TP $24 \times 24 \times 0.25$ | Pole | 37.4\% | Pass |
| 98-93 | Pole | TP $24 \times 24 \times 0.25$ | Pole | 58.2\% | Pass |
| 93-90 | Pole | TP24×24×0.25 | Pole | 70.5\% | Pass |
| 90-85 | Pole | TP24×24×0.375 | Pole | 58.5\% | Pass |
| 85-80 | Pole | TP24×24×0.375 | Pole | 77.7\% | Pass |
| 80-76.5 | Pole | TP24×24×0.375 | Pole | 90.8\% | Pass |
| 76.5-76.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP24×24×0.5875 | Reinf. 18 Tension Rupture | 66.2\% | Pass |
| 76.25-74 | Pole + Reinf. | TP24×24×0.5875 | Reinf. 18 Tension Rupture | 72.4\% | Pass |
| 74-73.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP24×24×0.8375 | Reinf. 18 Tension Rupture | 53.4\% | Pass |
| 73.75-68.88 | Pole + Reinf. | TP $24 \times 24 \times 0.8375$ | Reinf. 18 Tension Rupture | 63.8\% | Pass |
| 68.88-68.63 | Pole + Reinf. | TP $24 \times 24 \times 0.825$ | Reinf. 13 Tension Rupture | 64.5\% | Pass |
| 68.63-64.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP $24 \times 24 \times 0.825$ | Reinf. 13 Tension Rupture | 73.7\% | Pass |
| 64.5-64.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP $24 \times 24 \times 1.025$ | Reinf. 9 Compression | 67.4\% | Pass |
| 64.25-63 | Pole + Reinf. | TP24x24×1.025 | Reinf. 9 Compression | 70.0\% | Pass |
| 63-62.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP24x24×0.9625 | Reinf. 12 Tension Rupture | 73.6\% | Pass |
| 62.75-60 | Pole + Reinf. | TP24×24×0.9625 | Reinf. 12 Tension Rupture | 79.6\% | Pass |
| 60-59.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.6375 | Pole | 64.3\% | Pass |
| 59.75-54.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.6375 | Pole | $73.4 \%$ | Pass |
| 54.75-49.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.6375 | Pole | 82.8\% | Pass |
| 49.75-49.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.6375 | Pole | 83.7\% | Pass |
| 49.25-49 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.7875 | Reinf. 17 Tension Rupture | 76.0\% | Pass |
| 49-44 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.7875 | Reinf. 17 Tension Rupture | 84.7\% | Pass |
| 44-42 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30x30×0.7875 | Reinf. 17 Tension Rupture | 88.3\% | Pass |
| 42-41.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30x30x0.9125 | Reinf. 11 Tension Rupture | 78.8\% | Pass |
| 41.75-36.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.9125 | Reinf. 11 Tension Rupture | 86.9\% | Pass |
| 36.75-34.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30x30×0.9125 | Reinf. 11 Tension Rupture | 90.6\% | Pass |
| 34.5-34.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×1.025 | Reinf. 8 Compression | 82.2\% | Pass |
| 34.25-34 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×1.025 | Reinf. 8 Compression | 82.6\% | Pass |
| 34-33.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.925 | Reinf. 8 Compression | 93.1\% | Pass |
| 33.75-30 | Pole + Reinf. | TP30×30×0.925 | Reinf. 8 Compression | 99.5\% | Pass |
| 30-29.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.6875 | Pole | 80.8\% | Pass |
| 29.75-28.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.6875 | Pole | 82.5\% | Pass |
| 28.5-28.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36×0.8375 | Reinf. 16 Tension Rupture | 70.1\% | Pass |

tnxTower Report - version 7.0.5.1

| 28.25-23.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.8375 | Reinf. 16 Tension Rupture | 76.2\% | Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23.25-23 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.95 | Reinf. 16 Tension Rupture | 69.6\% | Pass |
| 23-21.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.95 | Reinf. 16 Tension Rupture | 71.3\% | Pass |
| 21.5-21.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36×36×0.8 | Pole | 84.7\% | Pass |
| 21.25-19 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.8 | Pole | 87.7\% | Pass |
| 19-18.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.95 | Pole | 73.8\% | Pass |
| 18.75-18.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.95 | Pole | 74.1\% | Pass |
| 18.5-18.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.85 | Pole | 80.9\% | Pass |
| 18.25-13.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.85 | Pole | 87.1\% | Pass |
| 13.25-12.7 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.85 | Pole | 87.8\% | Pass |
| 12.7-12.45 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.85 | Pole | 89.6\% | Pass |
| 12.45-11.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x0.85 | Pole | 90.8\% | Pass |
| 11.5-11.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36×0.9 | Reinf. 4 Compression | 87.0\% | Pass |
| 11.25-10.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP $36 \times 36 \times 0.9$ | Reinf. 4 Compression | 87.9\% | Pass |
| 10.5-10.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36×1.35 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 82.8\% | Pass |
| 10.25-7.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1. 35 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 86.0\% | Pass |
| 7.5-7.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.4 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 83.9\% | Pass |
| 7.25-6.25 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.4 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 85.1\% | Pass |
| 6.25-6 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.425 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 85.2\% | Pass |
| 6-3.73 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.8 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 72.5\% | Pass |
| 3.73-3.48 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36×36×1.8 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 72.7\% | Pass |
| 3.48-2.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.8 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 73.4\% | Pass |
| 2.75-2.5 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.675 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 78.8\% | Pass |
| 2.5-2 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36x1.675 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 79.3\% | Pass |
| 2-1.75 | Pole + Reinf. | TP $36 \times 36 \times 1.475$ | Reinf. 24 Compression | 88.0\% | Pass |
| 1.75-0 | Pole + Reinf. | TP36x36×1.475 | Reinf. 24 Compression | 90.0\% | Pass |
|  |  |  |  | Summary |  |
|  |  |  | Pole | 90.8\% | Pass |
|  |  |  | Reinforcement | 99.5\% | Pass |
|  |  |  | Overall | 99.5\% | Pass |

Table 6 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity - LC7

| Notes | Component | Elevation (ft) | \% Capacity | Pass / Fail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Flange Connection | 90 | 36.8 | Pass |
| 1 | Flange Connection | 60 | 77.8 | Pass |
| 1 | Flange Connection | 30 | 72.8 | Pass |
| 1 | Anchor Rods | 0 | 82.2 | Pass |
| 1 | Base Plate | 0 | 75.4 | Pass |
| 1 | Base Foundation <br> Steel | 0 | 70.7 | Pass |
| 1 | Base Foundation <br> Soil Interaction | 0 | 58.1 | Pass |


| Structure Rating (max from all components) $=$ | $99.5 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |

Notes:

1) See additional documentation in "Appendix C - Additional Calculations" for calculations supporting the \% capacity consumed.

## 4.1) Recommendations

The monopole and its foundation have sufficient capacity to carry the proposed loading configuration. No modifications are required at this time.

## EBI Consulting
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# RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS 

## SPRINT Existing Facility

Site ID: CT03XC083

New Britain Gravel Pit
115 North Mountain Road
New Britain, CT 06053
April 24, 2018
EBI Project Number: 6218002920

| Site Compliance Summary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Compliance Status: | COMPLIANT |
| Site total MPE\% of <br> FCC general <br> population <br> allowable limit: | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 1} \%$ |

environmental | engineering | due diligence

April 24, 2018
SPRINT
Attn: RF Engineering Manager
1 International Boulevard, Suite 800
Mahwah, NJ 07495

## Emissions Analysis for Site: CT03XC083 - New Britain Gravel Pit

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed SPRINT facility located at $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ North Mountain Road, New Britain, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the Proposed SPRINT Antenna Installation located on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (\% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ ). The number of $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR $1.1307(b)(1)-(b)(3)$, to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general population may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general population would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

General population exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter $\left(\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$. The general population exposure limits for the 850 MHz Band is approximately $567 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The general population exposure limit for the 1900 MHz (PCS), 2500 MHz (BRS) and the 23 GHz microwave bands are $1000 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.
environmental | engineering | due diligence

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

## CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed SPRINT Wireless antenna facility located at $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ North Mountain Road, New Britain, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65 . Since SPRINT is proposing highly focused directional panel antennas, which project most of the emitted energy out toward the horizon, all calculations were performed assuming a lobe representing the maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB for directional panel antennas and 20 dB for highly focused parabolic microwave dishes, was focused at the base of the tower. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 -foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all equipment was calculated using the following assumptions:

1) 1 CDMA channels ( 850 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 20 Watts per Channel.
2) 2 LTE channels ( 850 MHz ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 20 Watts per Channel.
3) 5 CDMA channels ( $1900 \mathrm{MHz}(\mathrm{PCS})$ ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 16 Watts per Channel.
4) 2 LTE channels ( $1900 \mathrm{MHz}(\mathrm{PCS})$ ) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 40 Watts per Channel.
5) 8 LTE channels ( 2500 MHz (BRS)) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation. These Channels have a transmit power of 20 Watts per Channel.
6) 1 microwave backhaul channel ( 23 GHz ) was analyzed for this facility. This channel has a transmit power of 1 Watt.

## EBI Consulting

environmental | engineering | due diligence
7) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
8) For the following calculations, the sample point was the top of a 6-foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB for directional panel antennas and 20 dB for highly focused parabolic microwave dishes, was used in this direction. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
9) The antennas used in this modeling are the RFS APXV9ERR18-C-A20, RFS

APXVSPP18-C-A20 and RFS APXVTM14-C-I20 for transmission in the $850 \mathrm{MHz}, 1900$ MHz (PCS) and 2500 MHz (BRS) frequency bands and the Andrew VHLP1-23 for the 23 GHz microwave backhaul. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. Maximum gain values for all antennas are listed in the Inventory and Power Data table below. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications, minus 10 dB for directional panel antennas and 20 dB for highly focused parabolic microwave dishes, was used for all calculations. This value is a very conservative estimate as gain reductions for these particular antennas are typically much higher in this direction.
10) The antenna mounting height centerlines of the proposed antennas are $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ feet above ground level (AGL) for Sector A, 116 feet above ground level (AGL) for Sector $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ feet above ground level (AGL) for Sector C.
11) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculations were done with respect to uncontrolled / general population threshold limits.

## EBI Consulting
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## SPRINT Site Inventory and Power Data by Antenna

| Sector: | A | Sector: | B | Sector: | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antenna \#: | 1 | Antenna \#: | 1 | Antenna \#: | 1 |
| Make/Model | APXV9ERR18-C- <br> A20 | Make / Model | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFS } \\ \text { APXVSPP18-C-A20 } \end{gathered}$ | Make/ Model | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFS } \\ \text { APXVSPP18-C-A20 } \end{gathered}$ |
| -, \% , , \% Gain | $11.9 / 14.9 \mathrm{dBd}$ |  | $13.4 / 15.9 \mathrm{dBd}$ |  | $13.4 / 15.9 \mathrm{dBd}$ |
| 4, Height (AGL). | 116 feet | - Height (AGL). | 116 feet | WWanght (AGL). | 116 feet |
| Frequency Bands | $\begin{gathered} 850 \mathrm{MHz} / \\ 1900 \mathrm{MHz}(\mathrm{PCS}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Frequency Bands | $\begin{gathered} 850 \mathrm{MHz} / \\ 1900 \mathrm{MHz}(\mathrm{PCS}) \end{gathered}$ | Frequency Bands | $\begin{gathered} 850 \mathrm{MHz} / \\ 1900 \mathrm{MHz}(\mathrm{PCS}) \end{gathered}$ |
| - Charnel Count | 10 | - Channel Count | 10 | W, Chamnel Count. | 10 |
| Y Yower(W). | 220 Watts |  | 220 Watts | , Bower(W) | 220 Watts |
| \%, \%, ERP(W) | 5,873.76 |  | 7,537.38 | W, <, <kRP(W) | 7,537.38 |
| Mntenna Al | 1.96 \% | KAntenna B1 | 2.54 \% | AntennaC1 | 2.54 \% |
| Antenna.\#: | 2 | Antenna \#:- | 2 | Antenna \#\%: | 2 |
| Make / Model: | RFS APXVTM14-C-I20 | Make/Model: | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFS } \\ \text { APXVTM14-C-I20 } \end{gathered}$ | Make / Model | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFS } \\ \text { APXVTM14-C-I20 } \end{gathered}$ |
| W, \%/ \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Gam. | 15.9 dBd | \% - 1.4 Gain, | 15.9 dBd |  | 15.9 dBd |
| V Merght (AGL): | 116 feet | V Height (AGL) | 116 feet | W Weight (AGL) | 116 feet |
| Frequency Bands. | 2500 MHz (BRS) | Frequency Bands | 2500 MHz (BRS) | Frequency Bands | 2500 MHz (BRS) |
| \%. Channel Count. | 8 | V, Channel Count | 8 | C. Channel Count | 8 |
| Kower(W) | 160 Watts | , Power(W) | 160 Watts | - Rower(W) | 160 Watts |
| \% ${ }^{*}$, ERP, (W) | 6,224.72 | Y, ERP(W) | 6,224.72 | S. Whe ERP(W) | 6,224.72 |
| - Antenia A2 | 1.85 \% | Antenna B2 MPE | 1.85 \% | Antennac2 | 1.85 \% |


| Microwave Backhaul Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Make <br> Model | Gain | Height <br> (AGL): | Frequency <br> Bands | Channel <br> Count | Total TX <br> Power(W) | ERP(W) | MPE \% | Sector |  |
| Andrew |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VHPPl-23 | 33.45 dBd | 116 | 23 GHz | 1 | 1 | $2,213.09$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | A |  |


| Site Composite MPE\% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | MPE $\%$ |
| SPRINT - Sectors B \& C | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9 \%}$ |
| AT\&T | $6.68 \%$ |
| Clearwire | $0.43 \%$ |
| T-Mobile | $2.65 \%$ |
| Verizon Wireless | $8.76 \%$ |
| Site Total MPE \%: | $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 1 \%}$ |


| SPRINT Sector A Total: | $3.88 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| SPRINT Sector B Total: | $4.39 \%$ |
| SPRINT Sector C Total: | $4.39 \%$ |
| Site Total: |  |


| SPRINT Frequency Band/ <br> Technology <br> Max Power Values <br> (Sectors B \& C) | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Channels } \end{gathered}$ | Watts ERP (Per Channel) | Height (feet) | Total Power Density ( $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) | Frequency (MIIz) | Allowable <br> MPE <br> $\left(\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | Calculated \% MPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sprint 850 MHz CDMA | 1 | 437.55 | 116 | 1.30 | 850 MHz | 567 | 0.23\% |
| Sprint 850 MHz LTE | 2 | 437.55 | 116 | 2.60 | 850 MHz | 567 | 0.47\% |
| Sprint 1900 MHz (PCS) CDMA | 5 | 622.47 | 116 | 9.25 | 1900 MHz (PCS) | 1000 | 0.92\% |
| Sprint 1900 MHz (PCS) LTE | 2 | 1,556.18 | 116 | 9.25 | 1900 MHz (PCS) | 1000 | 0.92\% |
| Sprint 2500 MHz (BRS) LTE | 8 | 778.09 | 116 | 18.49 | 2500 MHz (BRS) | 1000 | 1.85\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total: | 4.39\% |

## Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were within the allowable limits for general population exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated maximum composite contributions from the SPRINT facility as well as the site composite emissions value with regards to compliance with FCC's allowable limits for general population exposure to RF Emissions are shown here:

| SPRINT Sector | Power Density Value (\%) |
| ---: | :--- |
| Sector A: | $3.81 \%$ |
| Sector B: | $4.39 \%$ |
| Sector C: | $4.39 \%$ |
| SPRINT Maximum | $4.39 \%$ |
| Total (per sector): |  |
| Site Total: | $22.91 \%$ |
| Site Compliance Status: | COMPLIANT |

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is $\mathbf{2 2 . 9 1} \%$ of the allowable FCC established general population limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a $5 \%$ contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable $100 \%$ threshold standard per the federal government.

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

TrackingUpdates@fedex.com
Wednesday, May 2, 2018 9:04 AM
Zsamba, Anne Marie (Contractor)
FedEx Shipment 772108309199 Delivered

## Your package has been delivered

Tracking \# 772108309199


## Shipment Facts

Our records indicate that the following package has been delivered.

| Tracking number: | $\underline{772108309199}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Status: | Delivered: |
|  | 05/02/2018 09:01 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> AM Signed for By: <br> C.BAILEY |
| Door Tag number: | DT104897134092 |
| Invoice number: | 982896 |
| Reference: | $\mathbf{1 7 6 5 . 6 6 8 0}$ |

Delivery location: NEW BRITAIN,

| CT |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Delivered to: | Receptionist/Front <br> Desk |
| Service type: | FedEx Priority <br> Overnight |
| Packaging type: | FedEx Pak |
| Number of pieces: | 1 |
| Weight: | 1.00 lb. |
| Special |  |
| handling/Services: | Required Signature |
|  | Deliver Weekday |
| Standard transit: | $5 / 1 / 2018$ by $10: 30$ <br> am |

Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 8:04 AM CDT on 05/02/2018.

All weights are estimated.
To track the latest status of your shipment, click on the tracking number above. Standard transit is the date and time the package is scheduled to be delivered by, based on the selected service, destination and ship date. Limitations and exceptions may apply. Please see the FedEx Service Guide for terms and conditions of service, including the FedEx Money-Back Guarantee, or contact your FedEx Customer Support representative.
© 2018 Federal Express Corporation. The content of this message is protected by copyright and trademark laws under U.S. and international law. Review our privacy polley. All rights reserved.
Thank you for your business.


## After printing this label:

1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.
2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.
3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and couid result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.
Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com. FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of $\$ 100$ per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery,misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of $\$ 100$ or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss.Maximum for items of extraordinary value is $\$ 1,000$, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our ServiceGuide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

TrackingUpdates@fedex.com
Wednesday, May 2, 2018 9:04 AM
Zsamba, Anne Marie (Contractor)
FedEx Shipment 772108316720 Delivered

## Your package has been delivered

Tracking \# 772108316720


## Shipment Facts

Our records indicate that the following package has been delivered.

| Tracking number: | 772108316720 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Status: | Delivered: |
|  | 05/02/2018 09:01 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> AM Signed for By: <br> C.BAILEY |
| Door Tag number: | DT104897134092 |
| Invoice number: | 982896 |
| Reference: | 1765.6680 |


| Signed for by: | C.BAILEY |
| :---: | :---: |
| Delivery location: | NEW BRITAIN, CT |
| Delivered to: | Receptionist/Front Desk |
| Service type: | FedEx Priority Overnight |
| Packaging type: | FedEx Pak |
| Number of pieces: | 1 |
| Weight: | 1.00 lb . |
| Special handling/Services: | Adult Signature Required |
|  | Deliver Weekday |
| Standard transit: | 5/1/2018 by $10: 30$ am |
| Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 8:04 AM CDT on 05/02/2018. |  |
| All weights are estimated. |  |
| Standard transit is the date and time the package is scheduled to be delivered by, based on the selected service, destination and ship date. Limitations and exceptions may apply. Please see the FedEx Service Guide for terms and conditions of service, including the FedEx Money-Back Guarantee, or contact your FedEx Customer Support representative. |  |
| (c) 2018 Federal Express Corporation. The content of this message is protected by copyright and trademark laws under U.S. and international law. Review our prlvacy policy. All rights reserved. |  |
| Thank you for your business. |  |



## After printing this label:

1. Use the 'Print' button on this page to print your label to your laser or inkjet printer.
2. Fold the printed page along the horizontal line.
3. Place label in shipping pouch and affix it to your shipment so that the barcode portion of the label can be read and scanned.

Warning: Use only the printed original label for shipping. Using a photocopy of this label for shipping purposes is fraudulent and could result in additional billing charges, along with the cancellation of your FedEx account number.
Use of this system constitutes your agreement to the service conditions in the current FedEx Service Guide, available on fedex.com.FedEx will not be responsible for any claim in excess of $\$ 100$ per package, whether the result of loss, damage, delay, non-delivery,misdelivery, or misinformation, unless you declare a higher value, pay an additional charge, document your actual loss and file a timely claim. Limitations found in the current FedEx Service Guide apply. Your right to recover from FedEx for any loss, including intrinsic value of the package, loss of sales, income interest, profit, attorney's fees, costs, and other forms of damage whether direct, incidental, consequential, or special is limited to the greater of $\$ 100$ or the authorized declared value. Recovery cannot exceed actual documented loss.Maximum for items of extraordinary value is $\$ 1,000$, e.g. jewelry, precious metals, negotiable instruments and other items listed in our ServiceGuide. Written claims must be filed within strict time limits, see current FedEx Service Guide.
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