

May 27, 2014

David Martin and Members of the Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

> RE: Notice of Exempt Modification 1825 South Main Street Middletown, CT 06457 Sprint Site #: NV2.5\_CT03XC158 N 41° 30' 40.43" W -72° 40' 14.68"

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of Sprint Spectrum, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 1825 South Main Street, Middletown, CT 06457.

The 1825 South Main Street facility consists of a 158' MONOPOLE Tower owned and operated by SBA Properties, LLC. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of Sprint's Network Vision modification project, Sprint desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in Sprint's operations at the site along with the required fee of \$625.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be



significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.

2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.

The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of Sprint Spectrum, respectfully submits that he proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (508) 251-0720 x 3804 with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier SBA Communications Corporation 33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320 Marlborough, MA 01752 508-251-0720 x 3804 + T 508-251-1755 + F 203-446-7700 + C kpelletier@sbasite.com



#### Sprint Spectrum Equipment Modification

1825 South Main Street, Middletown, CT Site number CT03XC158

Tower Owner:

SBA Properties, LLC

Equipment Configuration: MONOPOLE Tower

#### Current and/or approved:

- (3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/ Mount Pipe
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900MHz RRHs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz RRHs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz Filters
- (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs
- (1) GPS
- (3) 1-1/4" Feed lines
- (3) Kathrein 840 10054 [Clearwire]
- (3) RRUs [Clearwire]
- (2) ½" Feed lines [Clearwire]
- (6) 5/16" Feed lines [Clearwire]

#### **Planned Modifications:**

- (3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/ Mount Pipe
- (3) RFS APXVTM14-C-I20 w/ Mount Pipe
- (3) Alcatel Lucent TD-RRH8x20-25 RRHs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900MHz RRHs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz RRHs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz Filters
- (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs
- (1) GPS
- (3) 1-1/4" Feed lines
- (1) 1-1/4" Power/Fiber

#### Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

#### **Power Density:**

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are 12.468% of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 75.388% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

Carrier	MPE %
Sprint	12.468%
T-Mobile	3.750%
Nextel	3.650%
Clearwire	0.860%
Verizon Wireless	16.790%
MetroPCS	5.060%
AT&T	32.810%
Total Site MPE %	75.388%



May 27, 2014

Mayor Daniel T. Drew Town of Middletown City Hall 245 deKoven Drive Middletown, CT 06457

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 1825 South Main Street, Middletown CT

Dear Mayor Drew,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Sprint's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Sprint's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at (508) 251-0720 x 3804.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier SBA Communications Company 33 Boston Post Road West, Suite 320 Marlborough, MA 01752 508-251-0720 x 3804 + T 508-251-1755 + F 203-446-7700 + C kpelletier@sbasite.com



# RADIO FREQUENCY FCC REGULATORY COMPLIANCE MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE) ASSESSMENT

Sprint Existing Facility

# Site ID: CT03XC158

Long Hill SBA

1825 South Main Street Middletown, CT 06457

May 22, 2014

EBI Project Number: 62143090



May 22, 2014

Sprint Attn: RF Engineering Manager 1 International Boulevard, Suite 800 Mahwah, NJ 07495

## Re: Radio Frequency Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Assessment for Site: CT03XC158 - Long Hill SBA

#### Site Total: 75.388% - MPE% in full compliance

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint facility located at 1825 South Main Street, Middletown, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the radio frequency (RF) exposure levels from the proposed Sprint equipment upgrades on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01 and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu$ W/cm2). The number of  $\mu$ W/cm2 calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) - (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

<u>General population/uncontrolled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>). The general population exposure limit for the cellular band (850 MHz Band) is approximately 567  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>, and the general population exposure limit for the 1900 MHz and 2500 MHz bands is 1000  $\mu$ W/cm<sup>2</sup>. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.



<u>Occupational/controlled exposure</u> limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure and can exercise control over the potential for exposure and can exercise control over the potentia

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

# CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint Wireless antenna facility located at 1825 South Main Street, Middletown, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario. Actual values seen from this site will be dramatically less than those shown in this report. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all emissions were calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 2 channels in the 1900 MHz Band were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
- 2) 1 channel in the 800 MHz Band was considered for each sector of the proposed installation
- 3) 2 channels in the 2500 MHz Band were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
- 4) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
- 5) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications was used in this direction.



- 6) The antennas used in this modeling are the RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 and the RFS APXVTMM-C-120. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. The RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 has a 15.9 dBd gain value at its main lobe at 1900 MHz and 13.4 dBd at its main lobe for 850 MHz. The RFS APXVTMM-C-120 has a 15.9 dBd gain value at its main lobe at 2500 MHz. All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario.
- 7) The antenna mounting height centerline for the proposed antennas is**146 feet** above ground level (AGL).
- 8) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculation were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits

	Site ID	CT03	XC158 - Long Hi	II SBA	1											
	Site Addresss	1825 South Mair	n Street, Middle	town, CT 06457												
	Site Type		Monopole													
							Sector 1									
						Power			Antenna Gain							
						Out Per			in direction							Power
Antenna						Channel	Number of	Composite	of sample	Antenna	analysis		Cable Loss	Additional		Density
Number	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Radio Type	Frequency Band	Technology	(Watts)	Channels	Power		Height (ft)	height	Cable Size		Loss (dB)	ERP	Percentage
1a	RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20	RRH	1900 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	2	40	15.9	146	140	1/2 "	0.5	3	695.12033	1.27500%
1a	RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20	RRH	850 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	1	20	13.4	146	140	1/2 "	0.5	3	195.44744	0.63226%
1B	RFS	APXVTMM14-C-120	RRH	2500 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	2	40	15.9	146	140	1/2 "	0.5	3	695.12033	2.24868%
	Sector total Power Density Value: 4.156%															
							Sector 2									
					1		1					r –				
																1
						Power			Antenna Gain							i
						Out Per			in direction							Power
Antenna						Channel		Composite	of sample	Antenna	analysis		Cable Loss	Additional		Density
	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Radio Type	Frequency Band	Technology	(Watts)	Channels	Power		Height (ft)	-	Cable Size		Loss (dB)	ERP	Percentage
2a	RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20	RRH	1900 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	2	40	15.9	146	140 140	1/2 "	0.5	3	695.12033	1.27500%
2a 2B	RFS RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20 APXVTMM14-C-120	RRH	850 MHz 2500 MHz	CDMA / LTE CDMA / LTE	20	1	20	13.4 15.9	146 146	140	1/2 " 1/2 "	0.5	3	195.44744 695.12033	0.63226%
20	NF3	AFXV11VIIVI14=C=120		2300 10112	CDIVIA/LTE	20	2	40	13.5	140	140			Sensity Value:	4.156%	2.2480878
												50000 00		vensity value.	4.13070	
							Sector 3									
						Power			Antenna Gain							
						Out Per			in direction							Power
Antenna						Channel	Number of	Composite	of sample	Antenna	analysis		Cable Loss	Additional		Density
	Antenna Make	Antenna Model	Radio Type	Frequency Band	Technology	(Watts)	Channels	Power		Height (ft)	height	Cable Size		Loss (dB)	ERP	Percentage
3a	RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20	RRH	1900 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	2	40	15.9	146	140	1/2 "	0.5	3	695.12033	1.27500%
3a	RFS	APXVSPP18-C-A20	RRH	850 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	1	20	13.4	146	140	1/2 "	0.5	3	195.44744	0.63226%
3B	RFS	APXVTMM14-C-120	RRH	2500 MHz	CDMA / LTE	20	2	40	15.9	146	140	1/2 "	0.5	3	695.12033	2.24868%
												Sector to	otal Power D	Density Value:	4.156%	

Site Composite MPE %						
Carrier	MPE %					
Sprint	12.468%					
T-Mobile	3.750%					
Nextel	3.650%					
Clearwire	0.860%					
Verizon Wireless	16.790%					
MetroPCS	5.060%					
AT&T	32.810%					
Total Site MPE %	75.388%					



# Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) to radio frequency energy.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are **12.468%** (**4.156% from each sector**) of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **75.388%** of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at 6 feet above ground level. This total composite site value is based upon MPE values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government.

Scott Heffernan RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting 21 B Street Burlington, MA 01803



FDH Engineering, Inc., 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616, Ph. 919.755.1012

# Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc.

158' Monopole Tower

#### SBA Site Name: Long Hill #1 SBA Site ID: CT01080-S-01 Sprint Site ID: CT03XC158

FDH Project Number 1462FR1400

**Analysis Results** 

Tower Components	86.4%	Sufficient
Foundation	97.4%	Sufficient

Prepared By: Dine L. Chines

Dino L. Chirco, El **Project Engineer** 

FDH Engineering, Inc. 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616 (919) 755-1012 info@fdh-inc.com



Bradley R. Newman, PE Senior Project Engineer CT PE License No. 29630 AN111111 CONV THE PROVINE ESSIONAL જ્રાપ્ય

April 8, 2014

Prepared pursuant to TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures and 2005 Connecticut State Building Code

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Conclusions	3
Recommendations	3
APPURTENANCE LISTING	
RESULTS	5
GENERAL COMMENTS	6
LIMITATIONS	6
APPENDIX	7

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Middletown, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the *Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F* and 2005 *Connecticut State Building Code*. Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, foundation dimensions, geotechnical data, and member sizes was obtained from:

- Summit Manufacturing, Inc. (Job No. 5173) original design drawings dated November 8, 1999
- Paul J. Ford & Company (Job No. 29299-641) foundation design drawings dated October 22, 1999
- Jawarski Geotech, Inc. (Project No. C98590G) Geotechnical Evaluation dated February 4, 1999
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The basic design wind speed per TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 Connecticut State Building Code is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 3/4" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

#### Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from Sprint in place at 146 ft, the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and *2005 Connecticut State Building Code* provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was designed and constructed to support the original design reactions (see Paul J. Ford & Company Job No. 29299-641), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support the existing and proposed loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

#### Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards and *2005 Connecticut State Building Code* are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

- 1. The proposed feedlines should be installed inside the pole's shaft.
- 2. RRU/RRH Stipulation: The equipment may be installed in any arrangement as determined by the client.

#### **APPURTENANCE LISTING**

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in Table 1. If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.

#### **Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading**

#### **Existing Loading:**

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Feedlines <sup>1</sup>	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
158	(3) Antel BXA-70063-6CF (2) Antel BXA-171063-8BF-2 (2) Antel LPA-80063-6CF-4 (4) RFS APL866513-42TO (1) Antel BXA-171063-12BF-2 (6) RFS FD9R6004/2C-3L Diplexers (1) GPS		Verizon	156	(1) Low Profile Platform
151	(1) Andrew VHLP 2.5 Dish (1) ODU	(1) 1/2"	Clearwire	151	(1) Pipe Mount
146 <sup>2</sup>	(3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/ Mount Pipe (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900MHz RRHs (3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz RRHs (3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz Filters (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs (1) GPS (3) 1-1/4" Sprint		144	(1) Low Profile Platform	
	(3) Kathrein 840 10054 (3) RRUs	(2) 1/2" (6) 5/16"	Clearwire		
137	(6) EMS RR90-17-02DP	(6) 1-5/8"	Omnipoint	136	(1) Low Profile Platform
126	(12) Decibel DB844H90E-XY	(12) 1-1/4"	Nextel	126	(1) Low Profile Platform
116	(3) Kathrein 742 213	(6) 1-5/8"	Pocket	116	Direct Mount
107	(6) KMW AM-X-CD-16-65-00T (3) Powerwaye 7770		AT&T	105	(1) Low Profile Platform
103	(6) Ericsson RRU-11 RRUs (1) Raycap DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Arrestor	(3) WR- VG122ST- BRDA/12 Gage		103	(1) Collar Mount

Feedlines installed inside monopole's shaft unless otherwise noted.
 The existing Sprint loading at 146' will be replaced by the proposed loading as shown in the table below. However the existing Clearwire loading at 146' will remain.

#### **Proposed Loading:**

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Feedlines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
146	<ul> <li>(3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/ Mount Pipe</li> <li>(3) RFS APXVTM14-C-I20 w/ Mount Pipe</li> <li>(3) Alcatel Lucent TD-RRH8x20-25 RRHs</li> <li>(3) Alcatel Lucent 1900MHz RRHs</li> <li>(3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz RRHs</li> <li>(3) Alcatel Lucent 800MHz Filters</li> <li>(4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs</li> <li>(1) GPS</li> </ul>	(3) 1-1/4" (1) 1-1/4" Power/Fiber	Sprint	144	(1) Low Profile Platform

### RESULTS

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:

#### Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type	Yield Strength
Tower Shaft Sections	65 ksi & 60 ksi
Base Plate	50 ksi
Anchor Bolts	75 ksi

**Table 3** displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the **Appendix** for detailed modeling information

#### Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section No.	n Elevation Component ft Type		Size	% Capacity*	Pass Fail
L1	158 - 132.75	Pole	TP28.88x23x0.25	28.5	Pass
L2	132.75 - 91.25	Pole	TP38.07x27.5067x0.25	86.4	Pass
L3	91.25 - 45	Pole	TP48.5x36.4028x0.375	81.7	Pass
L4	45 - 0	Pole	TP58.38x46.2865x0.4375	78.8	Pass
	0	Anchor Rods	(24) 2.25" Ø w/ BC = 66"	59.2	Pass
	0	Base Plate	67" Sq. PL x 2.75" Thick	68.2	Pass

\*Capacities include a 1/3 allowable stress increase for wind.

#### Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

Base Reactions	Current Analysis (TIA/EIA-222-F)	Original Design (TIA/EIA-222-F)
Axial*	45 k	46 k
Shear*	34 k	34 k
Moment	3,872 k-ft	3,974 k-ft

\*Per our experience with foundations of similar type, the axial and shear loading should not control the foundation analysis

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**


This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

#### LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

Structural Analysis Report SBA Network Services, Inc. SBA Site ID: CT01080-S-01 April 8, 2014

# APPENDIX



TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod	158	APXVTM14-C-I20 w/ Mount Pipe	144
GPS	156	APXVTM14-C-I20 w/ Mount Pipe	144
(1) Platform Mount	156	APXVTM14-C-I20 w/ Mount Pipe	144
BXA-70063/6CF W/Mount Pipe	156	TD-RRH8x20-25	144
BXA-70063/6CF W/Mount Pipe	156	TD-RRH8x20-25	144
BXA-70063/6CF W/Mount Pipe	156	APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	144
BXA-171063/12BF-2 w/Mount Pipe	156	(1) Platform Mount	136
BXA-171063/8BF-2 W/ Mount Pipe	156	(2) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	136
BXA-171063/8BF-2 W/ Mount Pipe	156	(2) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	136
(2) LPA-80063/6CF-4 w/Mount Pipe	156	(2) RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	136
(2) APL866513-42T0 w/ Mount Pipe	156	(2) Mount Pipe	136
(2) APL866513-42T0 w/ Mount Pipe	156	(2) Mount Pipe	136
(2) FD9R6004 Diplexer	156	(2) Mount Pipe	136
(2) FD9R6004 Diplexer	156	(1) Platform Mount	126
(2) FD9R6004 Diplexer	156	(4) DB844H90E-XY w/Mount Pipe	126
ODU 300	151	(4) DB844H90E-XY w/Mount Pipe	126
Pipe Mount	151	(4) DB844H90E-XY w/Mount Pipe	126
VHLP2.5	151	742 213 W/Pipe Mount	116
APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe	144	742 213 W/Pipe Mount	116
(2) ACU-A20-N RET	144	742 213 W/Pipe Mount	116
ACU-A20-N RET	144	7770.00 W/Mount Pipe	105
ACU-A20-N RET	144	7770.00 W/Mount Pipe	105
800MHZ RRH	144	7770.00 W/Mount Pipe	105
800MHZ RRH	144		105
800MHZ RRH	144	Pipe	
800 MHz Filter	144	(2) AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe	105
800 MHz Filter	144		
800 MHz Filter	144	(2) AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe	105
1900 MHz RRH	144	LGP21401 TMA	105
1900 MHz RRH	144	LGP21401 TMA	105
1900 MHz RRH	144	LGP21401 TMA	105
GPS	144	DTMABP7819VG12A TMA	105
(1) Platform Mount	144	DTMABP7819VG12A TMA	105
TD-RRH8x20-25	144	DTMABP7819VG12ATMA	105
840 10054 W/Mount Pipe	144	(1) Platform Mount	105
840 10054 W/Mount Pipe	144	DC6-48-60-18-8F Surge Arrestor	103
840 10054 W/Mount Pipe	144	Collar Mount	103
RRU	144	(2) RRU-11	103
RRU	144	(2) RRU-11	103
RRU	144	(2) RRU-11	103

#### MATERIAL STRENGTH

[	GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
[	A607-60	60 ksi	75 ksi	A607-65	65 ksi	80 ksi

#### **TOWER DESIGN NOTES**

- Tower is located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.
   Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
   Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
   Deficience are based wines. 50 mph wind
- Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
   TOWER RATING: 86.4%

FDH
Tower Analysis

AXIAL 61 K

TORQUE 1 kip-ft

AXIAL 45 K

TORQUE 2 kip-ft

MOMENT

974 kip-ft

MOMENT

3872 kip-ft

FDH Engineering, Inc 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616 Phone: (919) 755-1012 FAX: (919) 755-1031

с.	<sup>Job:</sup> Long Hill #1, CT01080-S-01			
	Project: 1462FR1400			
	Client: SBA Network Services, Inc.	Drawn by: Dino L. Chirco	App'd:	
	<sup>Code:</sup> TIA/EIA-222-F	Date: 04/08/14	Scale: NTS	
	Path: C:Users/DChrico/Desidop/CT01080-5_Long Hill # 1-CT\1462FR1400/Analysis/	Long Hill #1. CT01080-S-01.eri	Dwg No. E-1	

