

August 23, 2016

Melanie A. Bachman
Acting Executive Director
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: **EM-VER-078-150814 – Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless
82 North Eagleville Road, Mansfield, Connecticut**

Dear Ms. Bachman:

On September 10, 2015, the Siting Council acknowledged receipt of Cellco's notice of intent to modify its existing telecommunications facility at 82 North Eagleville Road in Mansfield, Connecticut. The modifications involved the replacement of antennas and remote radio heads and the installation of additional remote radio heads.

As a condition of the acknowledgement, Cellco was required to provide the Council with a letter stating that it had complied with the recommendations made in the structural report attached to that filing. Attached is a Professional Engineer's Tower Modification Certification letter verifying that the installation was completed according to that structural report.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Kenneth C. Baldwin

Attachment
Copy to:
Tim Parks

15142141-v1

August 23, 2016

Mr. Tim Parks

Verizon Wireless
99 East River Drive
East Hartford, Connecticut 06108

Re: Tower Modification Certification

Project: Verizon Storrs
82 North Eagleville Road, Mansfield, CT

Tower Owner: Connecticut State Police

Engineer: AECOM
500 Enterprise Drive, Suite 3B, Rocky Hill, CT 06067

Centek Project No.: 16003.17

CSC Exempt Mod Reference No.: EM-VER-078-150814

Dear Mr. Parks,

We are providing this "Tower Modification Certification" with regard to the structural components at the above referenced project.

The following are the basis for substantiating compliance with the tower modification documents prepared by AECOM:

- Review of AECOM Structural Analysis and Reinforcement report dated 03/16/2015 Rev. 2.
- Review of Centek Engineering, Inc. SSI Closeout Package dated 04/06/2016.

The modification design prepared by AECOM demonstrates the tower will not exceed 100 percent of the post construction structural rating. The work under this Contract has been reviewed and found, to the Engineer's best knowledge, information and belief, to be completed in general compliance with the documents referenced above. This certification is not a review of the adequacy or effectiveness of the modification/reinforcement solution.

Sincerely,



Carlo F. Centore, PE
Principal - Structural

