## T. "Mobile: <br> Getmore from life

100 Filley Street, Bloomfield, CT 06002
860-692-7100 fax 860-692-7159
TS-T-MOBILE-058-051005

October 5, 2005

## BY HAND

Pamela B. Katz, Chairman and Members of the Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { RE: } & \text { Tower Sharing Request by T-Mobile } \\
\text { 2172 Glasgo Road Griswold, CT } \\
\text { Latitude: } 413213 \text { / Longitude: } 715223
\end{array}
$$

Dear Ms. Katz and Members of the Siting Council:
Pursuant to Connecticut General Statutes (C.G.S.) § 16-50aa, Omnipoint Communications, Inc. a.k.a. T-Mobile (formerly Voicestream Wireless Corp.) hereby requests an order from the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") to approve the proposed shared use of an existing communications tower, located at 2172 Glasgo Road, in Griswold ("Wireless Solutions Griswold "), owned by Wireless Solutions. T-Mobile and Wireless Solutions have agreed to the shared use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility, as detailed below.

## Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility

The Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility consists of a one hundred ninety (190) foot high-guyed tower ("Tower") owned and operated by Wireless Solutions. T-Mobile proposes to locate antennas at a mounting centerline height of one hundred eighty five (185) feet. The equipment will be located within the existing leased area located at the base of the tower.
~ ORIGINAL ~
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## Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility

As shown on the enclosed plans prepared by Westcott and Mapes, Inc. including a site plan and tower elevation of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility, annexed hereto as Exhibit 1, T-Mobile proposes a shared use of the Facility by placing antennas on the tower and equipment needed to provide personal communications services ("PCS") within the existing site plan. T-Mobile will install nine (9) antennas at the one hundred eighty-five (185) foot level of the Tower. Three (3) associated unmanned equipment cabinets will be located at the base of the tower.

Connecticut General Statutes § 16-50aa provides that, upon written request for shared use approval, an order approving such use shall be issued, "if the council finds that the proposed shared use of the facility is technically, legally, environmentally and economically feasible and meets public safety concerns." (C.G.S. § 16-50aa(c)(1).) Further, upon approval of such shared use, it is exclusive and no local zoning or land use approvals are required C.G.S. $\S 16-50 x$. Shared use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility satisfies the approval criteria set forth in C.G.S. § 16-50aa as follows:
A. Technical Feasibility The existing Tower and compound were designed to accommodate multiple carriers. A structural analysis of the Tower with the proposed T-Mobile installation has been performed and is attached as Exhibit 2. The structural analysis concludes that the tower can safely accommodate the proposed T-Mobile antennas. The proposed shared use of this Tower is technically feasible. There is sufficient room at the base of the facility, T -Mobile will locate their equipment within the existing leased area and then will replace the fence to surround their equipment.
B. Legal Feasibility Pursuant to C.G.S. § 16-50aa, the Council has been authorized to issue an order approving shared use of the existing Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility. (C.G.S. § 16-50aa (C)(1)). Under the authority vested in the Council by C.G.S. § 16-50aa, an order by the Council approving the shared use of a tower would permit the Applicant to obtain a building permit for the proposed installation.
C. Environmental Feasibility The proposed shared use would have a minimal environmental effect, for the following reasons:
1.) The proposed installation would have a de minimis visual impact, and would not cause any significant change or alteration in the physical or environmental characteristics of the existing facility,
2.) The proposed installation by T-Mobile would not increase the height of the tower nor expand the existing leased area at the Wireless Solutions Griswold and will be of minimal impact to the facility;
3.) The proposed installation would not increase the noise levels at the existing facility boundaries by six decibels or more;
4.) Operation of T-Mobile's antennas at this site would not exceed the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density level adopted by the FCC and Connecticut Department of Health. The "worst case" exposure calculated for the operation of this facility for all carriers would be approximately $4.9 \%$ of the standard. See Radio Frequency memo dated October 3, 2005 prepared by Marlon DePaz, annexed hereto as Exhibit 3;
5.) The proposed shared use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility will not require any water or sanitary facilities, or generate any air emissions or discharges to water bodies. Further, the installation will not generate any traffic other than for periodic maintenance visits.
D. Economic Feasibility The Applicant and the tower owner have agreed to share use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility on terms agreeable to both parties. The proposed tower sharing is therefore economically feasible.
E. Public Safety As stated above and evidenced in the Radio Frequency Field Survey annexed hereto as Exhibit 3, the operation of T-Mobile's antennas at this site would not exceed the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density level adopted by the FCC and Connecticut Department of Health. Further, the addition of T-Mobile's telecommunications service in the Griswold area through shared use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility is expected to enhance the safety and
welfare of local residents and travelers through the area resulting in an improvement to public safety in this area.
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## Conclusion

As delineated above, the proposed shared use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility satisfies the criteria set forth in C.G.S. § 16-50aa, and advances the General Assembly's and the Siting Council's goal of preventing the proliferation of tower in the State of Connecticut. T-Mobile therefore requests the Siting Council issue an order approving the proposed shared use of the Wireless Solutions Griswold Facility.


Karina Fournier
Zoning Dept.
T-Mobile
100 Filley St.
Bloomfield, CT 06002
(860) 692-7100
cc: Griswold First Selectmen, Paul J. Brycki

## Exhibit 1

## WIRELESS SOLUTIO

> 2172 GLASGO RO GRISWOLD, CT Of


## GENERAL NOTES

1. THE CONIRACIOR SHALL GYE ALL NONCES AND COMPLY MTH ALL AMYS, CROINANCES, RULES, REGULATONS AND LAMFUL OFOERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY, MUNICIPAL AND UTLITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS, AND LOCAL AND STATE MURISOICTIONAL COOES PERFORMED ON THE PROACCI AND THE MATERIALS INSIAU ERF ORMED ON THE PRONEC ANO TLE APLCABIE NOIALED SHALL REGULATIONS, AND ORONNANCES
2. THE ARCHITECT/ENGNEER HAVE MADE EVERY EFFORT TO SET FORTH IN THE CONSTRUCTON AND CONTRACI DOCUWENTS THE COMPLETE
 THE ORAWNGS AND OR SPECFICATONS SHAII NOI EXCUSE SAI CONTRACTOR FROH COMPIETNG THE PRONECT AND IMPROYEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE MTH THE INIENT OF THESE DOCUMENTS.
3. THE CONIRACTOR OR BIDDER SHALL BEAR THE RESPONSBBIUTY OF NOIFFING (NW WRTTING) THE LESSEE/UCENSEE REPRESENTATIE OF AN CONIRACTOR'S PROPOSAL OR PERTORMANCE OF WORK. IN IHE EY OF DISCREPANCIES THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PRICE THE MORE COSILY OR EXIENSIVE WORK, UNLESS DIRECIED IN WRITNG OTHERMSE.
4. THE SCOPE OF HORK SHALL INQUDE FURNISHING ALL MATERTALS, EQUPMENT, LABOR AND ALL OTHER MATERIALS AND LABOR DEEMED
5. THE CONIRACIOR SHAL VSII THE JOB SITE PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS OR PERFORMING WORK IO FAMILARIZE HIMSEL COISTPUCTED IN ACCOOANCE WTH TE COHTPACT OOCUMENIS.
6. THE CONIRACIOR SHALL OBTAN AUTHORIZATON TO PROCEEO MTH OONSIRUCION PRIOR TO DOCUMENTS.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL All EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL accorring to the manufacturer's / venoor's spechficamons mise OR MHERE local cooes or oronnances TAKE PRECEOENCE.
8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVDE A FULL SET OF CONSIRUCTIO DOCUMENTS AT THE SITE UPDATED WTH THE LATESI REVSIONS AND DODENDUMS OR CLARIFICATIONS AVALLABLE FOR THE USE BY AL PERSOHNEL INVOLVEO WITH THE PROJECT.
9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPERYSE AND DIRECT THE PRONECT OESCRIBED HEREIN. THE CONTRACIOR SHALI BE SOLELY
RESPONSIBIE FOR ALI CONSIRUCTOW MEANS METHOOS TECHNIOUES, SEOUENCES AND PROCEDURES AND FOR COOROINATNG ALL PORTIOWS OF THE MORK UNDER THE CONTRACT.
10. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSBBLE FOR PROWO:NG ALL NECESSAR CONSIRUCION CONIROL SURVESS, ESTABLSHING AND MAINTANING ALL LINES AND GRADES REOURED TO CONSTRUCT ALL IMPROVEMENTS as Shown herein.
11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALI BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTANING ALL PERMIS ANO INSPECIIONS WHICH HAY BE REQURED FOR HE WORK BY THE ARCHITECT/ENGNEER, HE STATE, COUNTY OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY.
12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALI MAKE NECESSARY PROUSIONS TO PROTECT EXISTNG IMPROVEMENTS, EASEMENTS, PAYNG, CURBING, EIC COUITACIOR SHALL REPAR ANY DAMAGE THAT MAY HAYE OCCURRED DUE TO CONSIRUCTION ON OR AGOUT THE PROPERTY.
13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP THE GENERAL MORK AREA CLEAN AND HAZARD FREE DURING CONSIRUCTON AND DISPOSE OF ALL REMANING ON THE PROPERTY PRENISES SHAII BE SFEECHED AS conionoy and free from paint spots puit or swices of ANY NATURE.
14. THE CONTRACTOR SHAL COMPLY MTH ALL OSHA REQUIREMENIS AS THEY APPLY TO THS PROUECT.
15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTRY THE LESSEE/ICENSEE REPRESENTATIV HHERE A CONFIICI OCOURS ON ANY OF THE CONTRACT DOCUHENTS THE CONTRACTOR IS NOT TO ORDER MATERIAL OR CONSIRUC ANY PORTION OF THE HORK THAT IS IN CONFLCT UNTLL CONFLC
IS RESOLVO BY THE LESSEE/UCENSEE REPRESENTAIVE.
16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERFY ALL DMMENSIONS, ELEVATONS, PROPERTY LINES, ETC. ON THE JOB.
17. ALL UNDERGROUND UTUITY INFORWATION WAS DETERMINED FROM SURFACE INVESTGATIONS ANO EXISTING PLANS OF RECORD. TE CONTRACTOR SHALL LOCATE ALL UNDERGROUND UTIUIIES OR ALL PRE-CONSTRU ANY SIE WORK. CALL IHE FOLOMN ANY EXCAVATON ACIMTY:
DIG SAFE SYSIEH (MA, ME, NH, R1, VI): 1-888-344-723 CALL BEFORE YOU DIG (CT):


## DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS

CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING dimensions and conditions on the job site and shall IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE LESSEE/LCENSEE. REPRESENTATVE IN WRITING OF DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WTH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.





Exhibit 2
T-Mobile Site No: CTNLO\&2B
A \& E Manager: $\quad D . D C$ Man Date: $\quad 9.23105$
Antenna Make: EMS Model No. DR C 5 iPA Number Antennas: Rad. Center (AGL): 185 Feet Coax Cables: Number: $24 \square 78^{\circ}$ (1-5/8" $\quad \square 2-1 / 4^{\circ}$ D. Tower and Foundation Acceptable: No Upgrades Required $\square$ Tower Upgrades Required
$\square$ Foundation Upgrades Required
Special Coax Placement or Bundling Required
TOWER ELEVATION

## APPROVED

$\qquad$ - in



| (en |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



## FOUNDATION NOTES

## SUMED TO BE 10000 pSF.

1. ALLOWABLE SOIL GEARING PRESSURE ASSUMED TO BE 10000 PSF. WATE TABLE ASSUMED TO BE AT GROUND LEVEL THE PURCHASEA \& OWNER/CONTRACTOR MUST VERIFY THAT THE ACTUAL SITE SOIL PARAMETERS MEET OR EXCEED THE ASSUMED SOIL PARAMETERS PER THIS NOTE. AND/OR SHOULD OBTAIN A SOIL REPORT TO DETERMINE TME SOIL

2 CONCRETE TO BE 4000 OSI Q2B DAYS. REINFORCING BAR TO CONFORM TO ASTM AGI5 GRADE GO SPECIFICATIONS
 a minimum of three inches of concrete shall cover all reinforcement. welding of rebar not permitted. 3. all fill should be placed in loose level lifts of no more than 12- thick. fill materials shoulo be clean COMPACT FILL TO $97 \%$ OF STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM DE9B. a. a colo joint is permissible. at the tower base only. upon consultation with pirdo. all cold joints ghall be coateo with bonding agents prior to second polif. THE TOWER GASE FOUNDATION MUST GEAR ON SOLID. COMPETENT BEDROCK ANY LOOSE, WEATHERED OR FRACTUREO
MATERIAL MUST BE REMOVEO FROM THE EXCAVATION PRIDR TO INSTALLATION OF TME FOUNDATION. 6. A QUALIFIED ON-SITE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER IS TO INSPECT THE GE ARING SURFACE TO ENSURE THAT IT MEETS OR
EXCEEDS THE ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY THAT HAS BEEN ASSUMED, SEE NOIE 11 ABOVE. 7. DIFFICULTJES DURING EXCAVATION ARE TO GE EXPECTED DUE TO THE PRESENCE OF SHALLON BEDROCK. PNEUMATIC
HAMMERS ANDJOR RIPPERS MAY BE REQUIRED TO GEMOVE MATERIAL FROM THE EXCAVATION.
a.a sump pump or other oewatering system may be reguired to lower the water table to facilitate the
2. the suil parameters were assumed based on the soll classifications by new england environmental
10 a conchete mat may be used to level the bearing surface. the concrete in the leveling mat is to have a
minimum compressive strength of aooo psi at $2 \theta$ days and can not exceed $12^{-}$in thicikness.



CAGE P/N 10726: CENTERED IN PIER
INSTALL WITH $6^{\circ}$ OF THREADS EXPOSED.
FOUNDATION PLATE P/N 107260 BEFORE ERECTING TOWER

NOTE: ALL REGAR IS EOUALLY SPACED AND
REOUIRES MIN. $3^{\prime \prime}$ CONCRETE COVER.


 EXHIGIT SUFFICIENT STRENGTH TO COMPLY WITH THE ASSUMED STRENGTHS.
$1 T$ IS POSSIGLE THAT THE SOIL MAY NOT EXHIEIT THE REQUIRED STRENGTHS.
THEREFORE. IT IS HIGHLY RECOMM

ENGINEER VIA A SOIL REPORT OR AN ON
NOILVTIVISNI ONIGNG NOIIOJdSNI 3IIS




## Exhibit 3

## Technical Memo

To: Karina Fournier
From: Marlon DePaz-RadioFrequencyEngineer
cc: Jason Overbey
Subject: Power Density Report for CTNL082B
Date: October 3, 2005

## 1. Introduction:

This report is the result of an Electromagnetic Field Intensities (EMF - Power Densities) study for the T-Mobile PCS antenna installation on a Guyed Tower at 2172 Glasgo Road, Griswold, CT. This study incorporates the most conservative consideration for determining the practical combined worst case power density levels that would be theoretically encountered from locations surrounding the transmitting location.

## 2. Discussion:

The following assumptions were used in the calculations:

1) The emissions from T-Mobile transmitters are in the $1935-1945 \mathrm{MHz}$ frequency band.
2) The antenna array consists of three sectors, with 3 antennas per sector.
3) The model number for each antenna is EMS RR90-17-02DP.
4) The antenna center line height is 185 ft .
5) The maximum transmit power from any sector is 1447.17 Watts Effective Radiated Power (EiRP) assuming 8 channels per sector.
6) All the antennas are simultaneously transmitting and receiving, 24 hours a day.
7) Power levels emitting from the antennas are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
8) The average ground level of the studied area does not change significantly with respect to the transmitting location

Equations given in "FCC OET Bulletin 65, Edition 97-01" were then used with the above information to perform the calculations.

## 3. Conclusion:

Based on the above worst case assumptions, the power density calculation from the T-Mobile PCS antenna installation on a Guyed Tower at 2172 Glasgo Road, Griswold, CT, is $0.00991 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$. This value represents $0.991 \%$ of the Maximum Permissible Emission (MPE) standard of 1 milliwatt per square centimeter ( $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{\wedge} 2$ ) set forth in the FCC/ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991. Furthermore, the proposed antenna location for T-Mobile will not interfere with existing public safety communications, AM or FM radio broadcasts, TV, Police Communications, HAM Radio communications or any other signals in the area.
The combined Power Density from other carriers is $3.86 \%$. The combined Power Density for the site is $4.851 \%$ of the M.P.E. standard.


| Co-Location Total |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | \% of Standard |
| Verizon |  |
| Cpingular |  |
| ATSCS Wireless | $3.8600 \%$ |
| Nextel |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Total Excluding T-Mobile | $3.8600 \%$ |
| ToMobile | 0.9909 |
| Total \% MPE for Site | $4.8509 \%$ |

