February 6, 2014

David Martin and<br>Members of the Siting Council<br>Connecticut Siting Council<br>Ten Franklin Square<br>New Britain, CT 06051

## RE: Notice of Exempt Modification <br> 15 North Granby Road <br> Granby, CT 06035 <br> N $41^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 12.89 \prime \prime$ <br> W $-72^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 37.44^{\prime \prime}$

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:

On behalf of Sprint Spectrum, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 15 North Granby road, Granby, CT.

The 15 North Granby Road facility consists of a 150' MONOPOLE Tower owned and operated by SBA Properties, LLC. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of Sprint's Network Vision modification project, Sprint desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in Sprint's operations at the site along with the required fee of $\$ 625$.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be
significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.
2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.
3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of Sprint Spectrum, respectfully submits that he proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (508) 251-0720 $\times 302$ with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x $302+$ T
$508-251-1755+F$
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

## Sprint Spectrum

Equipment Modification

15 North Granby Road, Granby, CT
Site number CT33XC563

## Tower Owner:

SBA Properties, LLC

Equipment Configuration: MONOPOLE Tower

## Current and/or approved:

- (9) Andrew DB844H90E-XY
- (9) $1-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ Lines
- (3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900 MHz RRUs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz RRUs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz Filters
- (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs
- (3) 1-1/4" Fiber


## Planned Modifications:

- (3) RFS APXVTM14-C-120
- (3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900 MHz RRUs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz RRUs
- (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz Filters
- (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs
- (3) Alcatel lucent TD-RRH8x20-25 RRUs
- (3) $1-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ Fiber
- (1) 0.7 " Fiber Cable


## Structural Information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed modifications.

## Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are $25.842 \%$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is $97.602 \%$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

| Site Composite MPE \% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | MPE \% |
| Sprint | $25.842 \%$ |
| AT\&T | $17.540 \%$ |
| MetroPCS | $6.810 \%$ |
| Nextel | $2.540 \%$ |
| T-Mobile | $1.920 \%$ |
| Town 1 | $10.110 \%$ |
| Town 2 | $32.840 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Total Site MPE \% | $97.602 \%$ |

February 6, 2014

Mr. William F. Smith, Jr.
Town Manager Town of Granby, CT Granby Town Hall 15 North Granby Road Granby, CT 06035

RE: Telecommunications Facility @ 15 North Granby Road, Granby, CT
Dear Mr. Smith,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Sprint's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Sprint's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at (508) 251-0720 x 302.

Thank you,

Kri Pelletier
SBA Communications Company
33 Boston Post Road West, Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-0720 x $302+\mathrm{T}$
508-251-1755 + F
203-446-7700 + C
kpelletier@sbasite.com

FDH Engineering, Inc., 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616, Ph. 919.755.1012

## Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc.

## 150' Monopole Tower

SBA Site Name: Granby-N. Granby<br>SBA Site ID: CT46134-A-00<br>Sprint Site ID: CT33XC563<br>Sprint Site Name: Granby Monopole

FDH Project Number 1421RY1400
Analysis Results

| Tower Components | $94.6 \%$ | Sufficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foundation | $78.5 \%$ | Sufficient |



Reviewed By:


Dennis D. Able, PE
Director - Structural Engineering CT PE License No. 23247

FDH Engineering, Inc.
6521 Meridian Drive Raleigh, NC 27616 (919) 755-1012 info@fdh-inc.com


January 29, 2014
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Granby, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Sudoorting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F and 2005 Connecticut Building Code (CBC). Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, foundation dimensions, geotechnical data, and member sizes was obtained from:

- Seeman Engineering Solutions, LLC (Site No. CT2010) 150ft EEI Monopole Structural Analysis dated October 29, 2008
[ Seeman Engineering Solutions, LLC (Site No. CT2010) Baseplate Modification Package dated February 6, 2009
E Engineered Endeavors, Inc. (Job No. 3934) 150' Monopole Structure \& Foundation Design Calculations dated June 26, 1998
- Tectonic Engineering Solutions, P.C. (W.O No. 1170.C938) Subsurface Investigation Report dated June 18, 1998
- Vertical Solutions, Inc. (Project No. 121657 Rev. 0) Rigorous Structural Analysis dated September 7, 2012
- Vertical Solutions, Inc. (Site No. CT2010) Modification Drawings for a 150' Monopole dated September 7, 2012
- FDH Engineering, Inc. (Project No. 1331731400) Modification Drawings for a $150^{\prime}$ 'Monopole dated September 11, 2013
- SBA Network Services, Inc.

The basic design wind speed per the TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 CBC is 80 mph without ice and 38 mph with $1^{1 \prime}$ radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

## Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from Sprint in place at 126 ft , the tower meets the requirements of the TIA/EIA-222$F$ standards and 2005 CBC. Furthermore, provided the foundation was constructed per the original design drawings (see Engineered Endeavors, Inc. Job No. 3934) and given the soil parameters (see Tectonic Engineering Solutions, P.C. W.O No. 1170.C938), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support both the proposed and existing loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the Results section of this report. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the Results section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

## Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the TIA/EIA-222-F standards and 2005 CBC are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

1. The proposed coax should be installed inside the pole's shaft.
2. RRU/RRH Stipulation: The proposed equipment may be installed in any arrangement as determined by the client.
3. Modifications listed in FDH Engineering, Inc. (Project No. 1331731400) Modification Drawings for a 150' Monopole dated September 11, 2013 must be installed as specified for this analysis to be valid.

## APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in Table 1. If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.

## Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

## Existing Loading:

| Antenna Elevation (ft) | Description | Coax and Lines ${ }^{1}$ | Carrier | Mount Elevation (ft) | Mount Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 159 | (1) 18' Omni | (3) $1 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | Town of Granby | 150 | (1) Low Profile Platform |
| 155 | (1) $10{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Omni}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $150.7^{3}$ | (9) Andrew DB844H90E-XY | (9) 1-1/4" | Sprint/Nextel |  |  |
| 150 | (1) 10' Dipole <br> (1) 3' Yagi | (1) $7 / 81$ | Town of Granby |  |  |
| $138^{2}$ | (3) Powerwave P65-17-XLH-RR <br> (6) Ericsson RRUS-11 RRUs <br> (6) Powerwave 7770.00 <br> (6) Powerwave LGP21401 TMAs <br> (6) Powerwave LGP21903 Diplexers | (12) 1-1/4" <br> (3) Fiber Cables | AT\&T | 138 | (1) Low Profile Platform |
| 126 | (3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900 MHz RRUs <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz RRUs <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz Filters <br> (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs | (3) 1-1/4" <br> Fiber | Sprint | 126 | (1) Low Profile Platform |
| 115 | (3) EMS RR65-18-02DP <br> (3) TMAs | (6) 1-5/8" | T-Mobile | 115 | Flush Mounted |
| 100 | (3) Kathrein 742213 | (6) 1-5/8" | Pocket <br> Communications | 100 | Flush Mounted |
| 80 | --- | --- | --- | 80 | (1) Empty Standoff |

1. Coax installed inside the pole's shaft unless otherwise noted.
2. AT\&T has (3) Fiber Cables installed inside (1) $3^{\prime \prime}$ Conduit to 138 ft .
3. Loading and coax must be removed prior to installation of proposed loading.

Proposed Loading:

| Antenna Elevation (ft) | Description | Coax and Lines | Carrier | Mount Elevation (ft) | Mount Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126 | (3) RFS APXVTM14-C-I20 <br> (3) RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent 1900 MHz RRUs <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz RRUs <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent 800 MHz Filters <br> (4) RFS ACU-A20-N RETs <br> (3) Alcatel Lucent TD-RRH8×20-25 RRUs | (3) 1-1/4" Fiber <br> (1) $0.7^{\prime \prime}$ Fiber Cable | Sprint | 126 | (1) Low Profile Platform |

RESULTS
The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:
Table 2 - Material Strength

| Member Type | Yield Strength |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tower Shaft Sections | 65 ksi |
| Base Plate | 60 ksi |
| Anchor Bolts | 75 ksi |
| Flat Plate Modifications | 50 ksi |

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than $100 \%$ indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. Note: Capacities up to $100 \%$ are considered acceptable. Table 4 displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the Appendix for detailed modeling information.
Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

| Section No. | Elevation ft | Component Type | Size | \% Capacity | Pass <br> Fail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L1 | 150-111.213 | Pole | TP24.48x17.5×0.188 | 77.3 | Pass |
| L2 | 111.213-98 | Pole | TP30.29x23.4592x0.25 | 88.3 | Pass |
|  | 98-76.836 | Modified Pole | TP30.29x23.4592×0.25 | 90.4 | Pass |
| L3 | 76.836-43.499 | Modified Pole | TP35.79x29.0103x0.313 | 92.6 | Pass |
| L4 | 43.499-0 | Modified Pole | TP43x34.2641×0.375 | 76.9 | Pass |
| - | 0 | Anchor Bolts | (12) 2.25 "Ø w/ BC=51" | 78.0 | Pass |
|  |  |  | (3) 2.25 "Ø w/ BC=58" | 88.9 | Pass |
| - | 0 | Base Plate | 57"Ø PL x 1.75" thk | 94.6 | Pass |

[^0]Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

| Base Reactions | Current Analysis* <br> (TIA/EIA-222-F) | Original Design <br> (TIA/EIA-222-F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Axial | 38 k | 22 k |
| Shear | 26 k | 22 k |
| Moment | $2,604 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ | $2,128 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{ft}$ |

[^1]
## GENERAL COMMENTS

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

## LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

## APPENDIX

DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING

| TYPE | ELEVATION | TYPE | ELEVATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lightning Rod | 150 | 1900 MHz RRH | 126 |
| Beacon | 150 | 1900 MHz RRH | 126 |
| Beacon | 150 | 800 MHz RRH | 126 |
| 10' Dipole | 150 | 800 MHz RRH | 126 |
| 3' Yagi | 150 | 800 MHz RRH | 126 |
| 18' Omni | 150 | 800 MHz Filter | 126 |
| 10' Omni | 150 | 800 MHz Filter | 126 |
| (1) Low Profile Platform | 150 | 800 MHz Filter | 126 |
| P65-17-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe | 138 | (2) ACU-A20-N RET | 126 |
| P65-17-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe | 138 | ACU-A20-N RET | 126 |
| P65-17-XLH-RR w/Mount Pipe | 138 | ACU-A20-N RET | 126 |
| (2) RRUS-11 | 138 | APXVTM14-C-I20 w/Mount Pipe | 126 |
| (2) RRUS-11 | 138 | APXVTM14-C-I20 w/Mount Pipe | 126 |
| (2) RRUS-11 | 138 | APXVTM14-C-I20 w/Mount Pipe | 126 |
| (2) $7770.00 \mathrm{w} /$ Mount Pipe | 138 | TD-RRH8x20-25 | 126 |
| (2) $7770.00 \mathrm{w} /$ Mount Pipe | 138 | TD-RRH8x20-25 | 126 |
| (2) $7770.00 \mathrm{w} /$ Mount Pipe | 138 | TD-RRH8×20-25 | 126 |
| (2) LGP21401 TMA | 138 | (1) Low Profile Platform | 126 |
| (2) LGP21401 TMA | 138 | RR65-18-02DP w/Mount Pipe | 115 |
| (2) LGP21401 TMA | 138 | RR65-18-02DP w/Mount Pipe | 115 |
| (2) LGP21903 Diplexer | 138 | RR65-18-02DP w/Mount Pipe | 115 |
| (2) LGP21903 Diplexer | 138 | TMA | 115 |
| (2) LGP21903 Diplexer | 138 | TMA | 115 |
| (1) Low Profile Platform | 138 | TMA | 115 |
| APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe | 126 | 742213 w/ Mount Pipe | 100 |
| APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe | 126 | 742213 w/ Mount Pipe | 100 |
| APXVSPP18-C-A20 w/Mount Pipe | 126 | 742213 w/ Mount Pipe | 100 |
| 1900 MHz RRH | 126 | (1) Standoff | 80 |


| MATERIAL STRENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | Fy | Fu | GRADE | Fy | Fu |
| A572-65 | 65 ksi | 80 ksi |  |  |  |

## TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in Hartford County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 80 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 1.00 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.


TORQUE 0 kip-ft 38 mph WIND - 1.0000 in ICE


TORQUE 1 kip-ft REACTIONS - 80 mph WIND

| FDH | FDH Engineering, Inc. 6521 Meridien Drive, Suite 107 Raleigh, North Carolina Phone: 9197551012 FAX: 9197551031 | ${ }^{\text {oob: }}$ Granby-N. Granby CT46134-A-00 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Project: 1421RY1400 |  |  |
|  |  | Client: SBA | Drawn by: Jeffrey B. Ray | App'd: |
| Tower Analysis |  | Code: TIA/EIA-222-F | Date: 01/29/14 | Scale: NTS |
|  |  | Path: |  | Dwg No. E-1 |

environmental | engineering | due diligence

# RADIO FREQUENCY FCC REGULATORY COMPLIANCE MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EXPOSURE (MPE) ASSESSMENT 

Sprint Existing Facility

Site ID: CT33XC563
Granby Monopole
15 North Granby Road
Granby, CT 06035
January 13, 2014

January 13, 2014

Sprint
Attn: RF Engineering Manager
1 International Boulevard, Suite 800
Mahwah, NJ 07495
Re: Radio Frequency Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) Assessment for Site:
CT33XC563- Granby Monopole

## Site Total: $\underline{\mathbf{9 7 . 6 0 2} \%}$ - MPE \% in full compliance

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint facility located at 15 North Granby Road, Granby, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the radio frequency (RF) exposure levels from the proposed Sprint equipment upgrades on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (\% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter ( $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ ). The number of $\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm} 2$ calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR $1.1307(b)(1)-(b)(3)$, to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter $\left(\mu \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$. The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is approximately $567 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, and the general population exposure limit for the 1900 MHz and 2500 MHz bands band is $1000 \mu \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.

Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

## CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint Wireless antenna facility located at 15 North Granby Road, Granby, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65 . All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario. Actual values seen from this site will be dramatically less than those shown in this report. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all emissions were calculated using the following assumptions:

1) 2 channels in the 1900 MHz Band were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
2) 1 channel in the 800 MHz Band was considered for each sector of the proposed installation
3) 2 channels in the 2500 MHz Band were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
4) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 - Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
5) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The maximum gain of the antenna per the antenna manufactures supplied specifications was used in this direction.
environmental | engineering | due diligence
6) The antennas used in this modeling are the RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 and the RFS APXVTMM-C-120. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. The RFS APXVSPP18-C-A20 has a 15.9 dBd gain value at its main lobe at 1900 MHz and 13.4 dBd at its main lobe for 850 MHz . The RFS APXVTMM-C-120 has a 15.9 dBd gain value at its main lobe at 2500 MHz . All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario.
7) The antenna mounting height centerline for the existing and proposed antennas is $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ feet above ground level (AGL).
8) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculation were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits

| Site ID <br> Site Addresss <br> Site Type |  | CT33XC563-Granby Monopole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15 North Granby Road, Granby, CT 06035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Monopole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sector 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antenna Number | Antenna Make | Antenna Model | Radio Type | Frequency Band | Technology | Power Out Per Channel (Watts) | Number of Channels | Composite Power | Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd) | Antenna Height (ft) | analysis height | Cable Size | Cable Loss <br> (dB) | Additional Loss | ERP | Power Density Value | Power Density Percentage |
| 1a | RFS | APXVSPP18-C-A20 | RRH | 1900 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 20 | 2 | 40 | 15.9 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 1386.9474 | 34.62609 | 3.46261\% |
| 1a | RFS | APXVSPP18-C-A20 | RRH | 850 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 20 | 1 | 20 | 13.4 | 126 | 120 | 1/2 " | 0.5 | 0 | 389.96892 | 9.735841 | 1.71708\% |
| 1B | RFS | APXVTMM14-C-120 | RRH | 2500 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 40 | 1 | 40 | 13.4 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 779.93784 | 19.47168 | 3.43416\% |
| Sector total Power Density Value: $\quad 8.614 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sector 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antenna Number | Antenna Make | Antenna Model | Radio Type | Frequency Band | Technology | Power Out Per Channel (Watts) | Number of Channels | Composite Power | Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd) | Antenna Height ( ft ) | analysis height | Cable Size | Cable Loss <br> (dB) | Additional Loss | ERP | Power Density Value | Power <br> Density Percentage |
| 2a | RFS | APXVSPP18-C-A20 | RRH | 1900 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 20 | 2 | 40 | 15.9 | 126 | 120 | 1/2 " | 0.5 | 0 | 1386.9474 | 34.62609 | 3.46261\% |
| 2a | RFS | APXVSPP18-C-A20 | RRH | 850 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 20 | 1 | 20 | 13.4 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 389.96892 | 9.735841 | 1.71708\% |
| 2B | RFS | APXVTMM14-C-120 | RRH | 2500 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 40 | 1 | 40 | 13.4 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 779.93784 | 19.47168 | 3.43416\% |
| Sector total Power Density Value: $8.614 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sector 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antenna Number | Antenna Make | Antenna Model | Radio Type | Frequency Band | Technology | Power Out Per Channel (Watts) | Number of Channels | Composite Power | Antenna Gain in direction of sample point (dBd) | Antenna Height (ft) | analysis height | Cable Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cable Loss } \\ \text { (dB) } \end{gathered}$ | Additional Loss | ERP | Power <br> Density <br> Value | Power <br> Density <br> Percentage |
| 3 a | RFS | APXVSPP18-C-A20 | RRH | 1900 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 20 | 2 | 40 | 15.9 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 1386.9474 | 34.62609 | 3.46261\% |
| 3 a | RFS | APXVSPP18-C-A20 | RRH | 850 MHz | CDMA/LTE | 20 | 1 | 20 | 13.4 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 389.96892 | 9.735841 | 1.71708\% |
| 3B | RFS | APXVTMM14-C-120 | RRH | 2500 MHz | CDMA / LTE | 40 | 1 | 40 | 13.4 | 126 | 120 | 1/2" | 0.5 | 0 | 779.93784 | 19.47168 | 3.43416\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sector tota | tal Power De | ensity Value: | 8.614\% |  |  |


| Site Composite MPE \% |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Carrier | MPE \% |
| Sprint | $25.842 \%$ |
| AT\&T | $17.50 \%$ |
| MetroPCS | $6.810 \%$ |
| Nextel | $2.540 \%$ |
| T-Mobile | $1.920 \%$ |
| Town 1 | $10.110 \%$ |
| Town 2 | $32.840 \%$ |
|  |  |
| Total Site MPE \% | $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 0 2 \%}$ |

environmental | engineering | due diligence

## Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were within the allowable limits for general public Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) to radio frequency energy.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are $\mathbf{2 5 . 8 4 2 \%}$ ( $\mathbf{8 . 6 1 4 \%}$ from each sector) of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is $\mathbf{9 7 . 6 0 2 \%}$ of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at 6 feet above ground level. This total composite site value is based upon MPE values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions.

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a $5 \%$ contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable $100 \%$ threshold standard per the federal government.


## Scott Heffernan

RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting
21 B Street
Burlington, MA 01803




[^0]:    *Capacities include a $1 / 3$ allowable stress increase for wind.

[^1]:    * Foundation determined to be adequate per independent analysis.

