

ORIGINAL

November 19, 2012

David Martin and Members of the Siting Council Connecticut Siting Council Ten Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

W 72° 31' 23.71"

RE: Notice of Exempt Modification 77 Redwood Lane Glastonbury, CT 06033 N 41° 39′ 21.20″

Dear Mr. Martin and Members of the Siting Council:


On behalf of Sprint Spectrum, SBA Communications is submitting an exempt modification application to the Connecticut Siting council for modification of existing equipment at a tower facility located at 77 Redwood Lane Glastonbury, CT.

The 77 Redwood Lane facility consists of a 180' MONOPOLE Tower owned and operated by SBA Communications. In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

As part of Sprint's Network Vision modification project, Sprint desires to upgrade their equipment to meet the new standards of 4G technology. The new antennas and associated equipment will allow customers to download files and browse the internet at a high rate of speed while also allowing their phones to be compatible with the latest 4G technology.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in Sprint's operations at the site along with the required fee of \$625.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be



significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

- 1. The overall height of the structure will be unaffected.
- 2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than the new equipment cabinets.
- 3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
- 4. The changes in radio frequency power density will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, SBA Communications on behalf of Sprint Spectrum, respectfully submits that he proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to cal me at (508) 614-0389 with any questions you may have concerning this matter.

Thank you,

Rick Woods
SBA Communications Corporation
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-1691 x 319 + T
508-251-1755 + F
508-614-0389 + C
rwoods@sbasite.com



Sprint Spectrum Equipment Modification

77 Redwood Lane Glastonbury, CT Site number CT33XC546

Tower Owner:

SBA Communications Corporation

Equipment Configuration:

MONOPOLE Tower

Current and/or approved:

Six (6) CDMA Antennas @ 157' Six (6) lines of 1-5/8" coax

Two (2) equipment cabinets

Planned Modifications:

Install One (1) Fiber Distribution Box

Install Three (3) RRHs on ground inside existing lease area

Replacing Two (2) equipment cabinets with Two (2) new equipment

cabinets

Structural Information:

No Structural information is required as there will be no work performed on the tower. Ground work only.

Power Density:

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are 5.244% of the allowable FCC established general public limit. The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is 40.124% of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level.

Harry Co.	MINE N
Carrier	MPE 4
Sprint	5.244%
T-Mobile	2160¥
Podet	3,15%
Verizon Wireless	12.44%
ATAT	17:13%
	Called Selection (1980) Called Selection
Total Site MPE 3	40.124%



November 19, 2012

Honorable Richard Johnson First Selectman Town of Glastonbury 2155 Main Street Glastonbury, CT 06033

RE: Telecommunications Facility-77 Redwood Lane Glastonbury, CT 06033

Dear Mr. Johnson,

In order to accommodate technological changes and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, Sprint Spectrum will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (R.C.S.A.) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review Sprint's proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes Sprint's proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council's procedures, please call me at (508) 614-0389.

Thank you,

Rick Woods
SBA Communications Company
33 Boston Post Road West Suite 320
Marlborough, MA 01752
508-251-1691 x 319 + T
508-251-1755 + F
508-614-0389 + C
rwoods@sbasite.com



RADIO FREQUENCY EMISSIONS ANALYSIS REPORT EVALUATION OF HUMAN EXPOSURE POTENTIAL TO NON-IONIZING EMISSIONS

Sprint Existing Facility

Site ID: CT33XC546

Glastonbury South SBA 77 Redwood Lane Glastonbury, CT 06033

October 29, 2012



October 29, 2012

Sprint Attn: RF Engineering Manager 1 International Boulevard, Suite 800 Mahwah, NJ 07495

Re: Emissions Values for Site: CT33XC546 - Glastonbury South SBA

EBI Consulting was directed to analyze the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint facility located at 77 Redwood Lane, Glastonbury, CT, for the purpose of determining whether the emissions from the proposed Sprint equipment upgrades on this property are within specified federal limits.

All information used in this report was analyzed as a percentage of current Maximum Permissible Exposure (% MPE) as listed in the FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01 and ANSI/IEEE Std C95.1. The FCC regulates Maximum Permissible Exposure in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μ W/cm2). The number of μ W/cm2 calculated at each sample point is called the power density. The exposure limit for power density varies depending upon the frequencies being utilized. Wireless Carriers and Paging Services use different frequency bands each with different exposure limits, therefore it is necessary to report results and limits in terms of percent MPE rather than power density.

All results were compared to the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) radio frequency exposure rules, 47 CFR 1.1307(b)(1) - (b)(3), to determine compliance with the Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for General Population/Uncontrolled environments as defined below.

General population/uncontrolled exposure limits apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Therefore, members of the general public would always be considered under this category when exposure is not employment related, for example, in the case of a telecommunications tower that exposes persons in a nearby residential area.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of microwatts per square centimeter (μ W/cm²). The general population exposure limit for the cellular band is approximately 567 μ W/cm², and the general population exposure limit for the PCS band is 1000 μ W/cm². Because each carrier will be using different frequency bands, and each frequency band has different exposure limits, it is necessary to report percent of MPE rather than power density.



Occupational/controlled exposure limits apply to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment and in which those persons who are exposed have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Occupational/controlled exposure limits also apply where exposure is of a transient nature as a result of incidental passage through a location where exposure levels may be above general population/uncontrolled limits (see below), as long as the exposed person has been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Additional details can be found in FCC OET 65.

CALCULATIONS

Calculations were done for the proposed upgrades to the existing Sprint Wireless antenna facility located at 77 Redwood Lane, Glastonbury, CT, using the equipment information listed below. All calculations were performed per the specifications under FCC OET 65. All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario. Actual values seen from this site will be dramatically less than those shown in this report. For this report the sample point is the top of a 6 foot person standing at the base of the tower.

For all calculations, all emissions were calculated using the following assumptions:

- 1) 2 CDMA Carriers (1900 MHz) were considered for each sector of the proposed installation.
- 2)
- 3) All radios at the proposed installation were considered to be running at full power and were uncombined in their RF transmissions paths per carrier prescribed configuration. Per FCC OET Bulletin No. 65 Edition 97-01 recommendations to achieve the maximum anticipated value at each sample point, all power levels emitting from the proposed antenna installation are increased by a factor of 2.56 to account for possible in-phase reflections from the surrounding environment. This is rarely the case, and if so, is never continuous.
- 4) For the following calculations the sample point was the top of a six foot person standing at the base of the tower. The actual gain in this direction was used per the manufactures supplied specifications.
- 5) The antenna used in this modeling is the DB980H90E-M. This is based on feedback from the carrier with regards to anticipated antenna selection. This antenna has a 14.95 dBd gain value at its main lobe at 1900 MHz. All calculations were performed assuming the main lobe of the antenna was focused at the base of the tower to present a worst case scenario.



- 6) The antenna mounting height centerline of the proposed antennas is **157.4 feet** above ground level (AGL)
- 7) Emissions values for additional carriers were taken from the Connecticut Siting Council active database. Values in this database are provided by the individual carriers themselves.

All calculation were done with respect to uncontrolled / general public threshold limits

Power		Monopole	Lane, Glastonbury , CT, D6033 Monopole													
Power Court Per Composite Of simple Power Composite Of simple Power Composite Of simple Of s					40% 20%	Secto	7									92.19
RRH					Power. Out Per Channel		Composite		Antenna	siskjeue		Cable Loss	Additional		Power Density	Power Density
Radio Type Frequency Band Frequency	DB980H906-M	RRH PE	rrequency band	CDMA / LTE		Channels 2	40	14.95	157.4	151.4	1/2 "	(ap)	0	1114,4485	- 16 -	1.74789%
Radio Type Frequency Band Technology (Watts) Composte of sample Antenna Cain (Ball Height (II) height (Ball Height (II) height (Ball Height (II) height (II) height (II) height (III) height (IIII) height (III) heig	35.22.23				01		375 (65 (56)	the state of		0	Sector tota	Power De	nsity Value:	1.748%		
Radio Type Frequency Band Technology Watts Channel Radio Type Technology Watts Channel Radio Type Frequency Band Technology Watts Channel Radio Type Frequency Band Technology Watts Channel Power Channel Radio Type Frequency Band Technology Watts Channel Power Channel Power Channel Radio Type Technology Watts Channel Power Channel Radio Type Frequency Band Technology Watts Channel Power Channel Power Channel Power Channel Radio Type Frequency Band Technology Watts Channel Power Channel						Š.	1.2									
Refer Frequency Band Technology Watts Channels Power Pow					Power Out Per		alstratury	Antenna Gain In direction		- Sana		aple Inec	Additional		Power	Power
RRH	Number Antenna Make Antenna Model	Radio Type	Frequency Band	Technology		Channels	Power	point (dBd)	Height (ft)	height	Cable Size	,	loss	ERP	Vafue	Percentage
Section to the Power Composite of sample Antenna Gain Power Composite of sample Antenna Gain Humber of Composite of sample Antenna analysis Cable Loss Additional Radio Type Frequency Band Technology (Watris) Channels Power Down (1994) Height (1911) House Size (1913) Loss (1994)	DB980H90E-M	RRH	1500.MHz	CDMA/LTE	20	. 2	40	_			1/2"	0.5	0	1114.4485	17.4789	1.74789%
Sector 3 Sec					25.305.3455		NAME OF THE PERSON NAME OF THE P			S	Sector tota	Power De	nsity Value:	1.748%	SIMPLEMENT	9634888888
Power Our Per Our Per Chainnel Number of Composite of sample Antenna analysis Radio Type Frequency Band Technology: (Watris Chainnels Power) Radio Type Frequency Band Technology: (Watris Chainnels Power)				10.00		Sacto	63			600						
Radio Type Frequency Band Technology (Watts) Channels Power point (88d) Height (ft) height Cable Size (dB) Loss ERP						Number of	Composite	Antenna Sain in direction of sample	Antenna	analysis		Cable Loss	Additional		Power Density	Power Density
M RRH 1900 MHs CDMA / ITE 20 2 68 14.95 157.4 15.4 10.0 (1.5 0 1114.4485 17.4789	Antenna Model D8980H90E-M	Radio lype	requency Band 1900 MHz	CDMA / LTF		Channels		14.95	157.4	height 151.4	Lable Size	(dB)	Loss	1114.44BS	Value 17.4789	Percentage 1.74789%

		10.3	222	1.22		15	CONTRACTOR OF
			244	534			386.14
100000		· w -		V.		.44	10000
ASSESS.		100	4416	373	37	200	1000
2,000		Oct	:200	233	222	.00	1 (353)
200	17.3		25.77	100	****	:::::::	
			2600	Y			
		200	100	20	2323	156	100
0.000000000		:00	22.5	.00	22.	133.5	
1000	1. 1	:000	1135	250	77.1	227	200000
	· 20	æ	姥	3	×	12	Linear S
500	•		120	4	-	m	
	щ.	4	0	2	4	-	100
	ı	\sim			A.	1.0	
200	~	in:	l de la la	53.1	**	-	10.000
333		m		5:4	· (::::	400	133
200		0.00	250	77.5		35.7	1000
38 E.			133	137	201	100	
1880 C			V37	200	2021	1.00	
20.0		***	****	202			100
1		200	0.30	200		200	10.2
388.C		200	· ***	.030		177	1.0
0000	·	:#3	32.50	263	254	****	
000		183	296	260	***	22.6	1500
(88) S	ш.,	1.50	min	20	3	2.7	480.00
100	·		2000	200	:A.	.20	S
300		000		422	22:		HARRY
W-2	i a. '		24.55	7.07		. (0)	100000
.85				***	6		orcidi.
1000	100	.663	1440	20			1300000
100		100	100	45	T		1333
200	-	-	*	1.	*	-	2000
100	×.	. ⊆	Ä	3	2	64	
1943	=	-	-	3.	-	ΙF	
30283	J.C.		-		×	4	2.00
	~	103	2		×	477	1000
		223	A	***	-=	V >> *	110000
	÷.,	÷					
		Ŷ	78	535	3	:63	
	2.	300	38	83	Š	83	
	17.	3000		8.00	Ą	£ 1	



Summary

All calculations performed for this analysis yielded results that were well within the allowable limits for general public exposure to RF Emissions.

The anticipated Maximum Composite contributions from the Sprint facility are **5.244%** (**1.748%from each sector**) of the allowable FCC established general public limit considering all three sectors simultaneously sampled at the ground level.

The anticipated composite MPE value for this site assuming all carriers present is **40.124%** of the allowable FCC established general public limit sampled at the ground level. This is based upon values listed in the Connecticut Siting Council database for existing carrier emissions

FCC guidelines state that if a site is found to be out of compliance (over allowable thresholds), that carriers over a 5% contribution to the composite value will require measures to bring the site into compliance. For this facility, the composite values calculated were well within the allowable 100% threshold standard per the federal government

Scott Heffernan

RF Engineering Director

EBI Consulting

21 B Street

Burlington, MA 01803



OWNER AND TENANT MAY, FROM TIME TO TIME AT TENANT'S OPTION, REPLACE THIS EXHIBIT WITH AN EXHIBIT SETTING FORTH THE LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE SITE, OR WITH ENGINEERED OR AS-BUILT DRAWING DEPICTING THE SITE OR ILLUSTRATING STRUCTURAL MODIFICATIONS OR CONSTRUCTION PLANS OF THE SITE. ANY VISUAL OR TEXTUAL REPRESENTATION OF THE EQUIPMENT LOCATED WITHIN THE SITE CONTAINED IN THESE OTHER DOCUMENTS IS ILLUSTRATIVE ONLY, AND DOES NOT LIMIT THE RIGHTS OF SPRINT AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE AGREEMENT. THE LOCATIONS MAY BE DETERMINED BY TENANT AND/OF THE SERVICING UTILITY COMPANY IN COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

CONSTRUCTION DRAWING SHEET INDEX

APPROVALS

DATE

DATE

DATE

DATE



1 INTERNATIONAL BLVD, SUITE 800 MAHWAH, NJ 07495 PHONE: (201) 684-4000 FAX (201) 664-4223



1 ROBBINS ROAD WESTFORD, MA 01886 OFFICE: (978) 692-1153



BOCA RATON, FL 33487

Com Ex

Consultants

INFORMATION ON THIS SET OF DRAWINGS IN NOT FOR OFFICIAL USE UNLESS ACCOMPANIED BY THE STAMPED SEAL & SIGNATURE OF A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER



NICHOLAS D. BARILE

SCHEDULE OF REVISIONS

SCALE: AS NOTED JOB NO: 12037-S8A CT33XC546 S. GLASTONBURY - GLASTONBURY SOUTH -SBA 77 REDWOOD LANE **GLASTONBURY, CT**

10/05/12 REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS

CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

ESCRIPTION OF CHANGES

PEC

DRAWING TITLE:

09/18/12

DATE

DRAWN BY

CHECKED BY

TITLE SHEET

DRAWING SHEET: 1 OF 9


SITE NUMBER: CT33XC546

SITE NAME:

S GLASTONBURY - GLASTONBURY SOUTH - SBA

SITE ADDRESS:

77 REDWOOD LANE **GLASTONBURY, CT**



PHONE: (978) 952-1600

WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581

COM-EX CONSULTANTS

4 SECOND AVENUE DENVILLE, NJ 07834

PHONE (862) 209-4300

SBA COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION ONE RESEARCH DRIVE, SUITE 200C

SHEET NUMBER SHEET

SHEET DESCRIPTION TITLE SHEET T-1 GN-1 **GENERAL NOTES** COMPOUND PLAN AND ELEVATION A-1 **EQUIPMENT LAYOUT** A-2 **DETAILS & ANTENNA SCENARIO** A-3 RF DATA SHEET A-4 CABINET AND ANTENNA WIRING DIAGRAM A-5 ELECTRIC, TELCO, GROUNDING PLANS AND DETAILS E-1 TYPICAL POWER AND GROUNDING ONE-LINE DIAGRAM E-2 **AAV DRAWING SHEET INDEX** SHEET DESCRIPTION NUMBER TITLE SHEET T-1 SITE SURVEY PHOTOS 1 C-1 SITE SURVEY PHOTOS 2 C-2 C-3 SITE PLAN C-4 **SPECIFICATIONS & DETAILS**

GENERAL NOTES

- THIS IS AN UNMANNED TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AND NOT FOR HUMAN HABITATION: HANDICAP ACCESS REQUIREMENTS ARE NOT REQUIRED
- FACILITY HAS NO PLUMBING OR REFRIGERANTS

(*) SOURCE OF COORDINTES/ELAVATION - SBA AND SPRINT SITERRA DATABASE

SECTOR 1: ±157,4' AGL

SECTOR 2: ±157,4' AGL

SECTOR 3: ±157.4' AGL

SBA PROPERTIES, INC. 5900 BROKEN SOUND PARKWAY

BOCA RATON, FL 33487

SBA PROPERTIES, INC. 5900 BROKEN SOUND PARKWAY

ANTENNA HEIGHT

(RAD CENTER) (**):

PROPERTY OWNER:

STRUCTURE OWNER:

SBA SITE #: CT02216-S-02

- THIS FACILITY SHALL MEET OR EXCEED ALL FAA AND FCC REGULATOR REQUIREMENTS
- 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB SITE AND SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE PROJECT OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE IN WRITING OF DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.

SITE ACQUISITION

REPRESENTATIVE:

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER:

3. DEVELOPMENT AND USE OF THE SITE WILL CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND ORDINACES. BUILDING CODE: 2003 IBC; 2003 IRC (STATE BUILDING CODE, 2005 CT SUPPLEMENT) ELECTRICAL CODE: 2005 NEC (NEPA-70)

SCOPE OF WORK

- SPRINT PROPOSES TO MODIFY THIS EXISTING WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS ONE (1) EXISTING CDMA OUTDOOR EQUIPMENT CABINET TO BE REPLACED
- WITH ONE (1) MULTIMODAL EQUIPMENT CABINET WITHIN THE EXISTING SPRINT LEASE AREA 2. ONE (1) EXISTING POWERHOUSE CABINET TO BE REPLACED WITH ONE (1)
- ONE (1) PROPOSED FIBER DISTRIBUTION BOX (FIBER DISTRIBUTION BOX)
- INSTALLED ON PROPOSED H-FRAME WITHIN EXISTING SPRINT LEASE AREA THREE (3) PROPOSED RRH'S INSTALLED ON PROPOSED H-FRAME WITHIN

EXISTING SPRINT LEASE AREA.

- SIX (6) EXISTING ANTENNAS AND COAXIAL CABLE TO REMAIN, NO CHANGES EXCEPT FOR BOTTOM JUMPERS.
- EXISTING LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER LANDLINE BACKHAUL FACILITIES TO BE REPLACED WITH PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ACCESS VENDOR (AAV) FIBER OPTIC FACILITIES INCLUDING PROPOSED OVERHEAD/UNDERGROUND CONDUITS AND NETWORK INTERFACE DEVICE

THE FOLLOWING PARTIES HEREBY APPROVE AND ACCEPT THESE DOCUMENTS AND AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTOR TO PROCEED WITH THE CONSTRUCTION DESCRIBED HEREIN. ALL DOCUMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY THE LOCAL BUILDING DEPARTMENT AND MAY IMPOSE CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS.


CONSTRUCTION:


LEASING/ SITE ACQUISITION


R.F. ENGINEER

1 ANDLORD/ PROPERTY OWNER

HARTFORD COUNTY









1 INTERNATIONAL BLVD, SUITE 800 MAHWAH, NJ 07495 PHONE: (201) 684-4000 FAX (201) 684-4223



1 ROBBINS ROAD WESTFORD, MA 01886 OFFICE: (978) 692-1153



SBA COMMUNICATION CORP. 5901 BROKEN SOUND PARKWAY BOCA RATON, FL 33487 TEL: (561) 226-9523 FAX: (561) 228-3572

COM≯EX Consultants

4 SECOND AVENUE SUITE 204 DENVILLE, NJ 07834 PHONE: 862.209.4300 FAX: 862.209.4301





NICHOLAS D. BARILE

	sc	HEDULE OF REVISIONS
9		
•		
7		
٠		
5		
4		
3		-
2	10/05/12	REVISED PER CLIENT COMMENTS
1	09/18/12	CONSTRUCTION REVIEW
REV. NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES
DRAWN BY:		PEC
CHECKED BY:		: NB
\$C#	\LE;	AS NOTED
JOE	NO:	12037-SBA


CT33XC546
S. GLASTONBURY-GLASTONBURY SOUTH -SBA
77 REDWOOD LANE
GLASTONBURY, CT
HARTFORD COUNTY

DRAWING TITLE:

COMPOUND PLAN & ELEVATION

DRAWING SHEET: 3 OF 9

A-1



Com **E**X Consultants



I INTERNATIONAL BLVD, SUITE 800 MANWAN, NJ 07495 PHONE: (201) 684-4000 FAX (201) 684-422





	SCI	HEDULE OF REVISIONS
5		
4		
3		
2		
+		
٥	09/17/12	INITIAL SUBMISSION
REV. NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES

AS NOTED 12037-SBA

> Consultants, including this document, are to be used only for the specific project and specific use for which they were intended. Any extension of use to any other projects by owner or by any other party, without the expressed written consent of Com-Ex expressed written consent of Corn-Ex Consullants, is done unlawfully and at the users own risk. If used in a way other than that specifically intended, user will hold Corn-Ex Consultants, harmless from all claims and losses.

GLASTONBURY, CT 06073