

From: Scott Wright [mailto:swright@townofdurhamct.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 02, 2017 6:47 AM
To: Mark Roberts <mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>
Cc: Beth Moncata <bmoncata@townofdurhamct.org>; Laura Francis <lfrancis@townofdurhamct.org>; Carl Aquilina <carl.aquilina@sai-comm.com>; 'rdarin@valleyshore911.org' <rdarin@valleyshore911.org>; CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>; Bachman, Melanie <Melanie.Bachman@ct.gov>; Daniel Geary jr <gearydan@me.com>
Subject: Re: Town Concern - CT5841, BU - 806364, Site Name - HRT 106(B) 943202, App ID - 383797, Customer Site ID - CT5841, Customer Site Name - Durham Central


Thank you!

Again, if we can figure out some engineering I'm not opposed to moving the antenna if it helps AT&T and Crown.

Anything we can do, please let us know!

From: Mark Roberts <mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 2, 2017 6:44:52 AM
To: Scott Wright
Cc: Daniel Geary jr; Laura Francis; Beth Moncata; Bachman, Melanie; 'rdarin@valleyshore911.org'; CSC-DL Siting Council; Carl Aquilina
Subject: RE: Town Concern - CT5841, BU - 806364, Site Name - HRT 106(B) 943202, App ID - 383797, Customer Site ID - CT5841, Customer Site Name - Durham Central

Scott – as promised, please see the attached revised drawings. These now show that the Town's whip antenna and the platform mount @ 173' AGL will remain.



Mark Roberts
 QC Development
 860-670-9068

From: Scott Wright [<mailto:swright@townofdurhamct.org>]
Sent: Monday, May 01, 2017 1:17 PM
To: Mark Roberts <mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>
Cc: Daniel Geary jr <gearydan@me.com>; Laura Francis <lfrancis@townofdurhamct.org>; Beth Moncata <bmoncata@townofdurhamct.org>; Bachman, Melanie <melanie.bachman@ct.gov>; 'rdarin@valleyshore911.org' <rdarin@valleyshore911.org>; CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>; Carl Aquilina <Carl.Aquilina@SAI-Comm.com>
Subject: RE: Town Concern - CT5841, BU - 806364, Site Name - HRT 106(B) 943202, App ID - 383797, Customer Site ID - CT5841, Customer Site Name - Durham Central

Mark,

Thank you for the fast work.

Certainly not an issue. That's why there is a review process, and the process worked.

We certainly appreciate your assistance.

Scott

From: Mark Roberts [<mailto:mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>]
Sent: Monday, May 01, 2017 10:28

To: Scott Wright <swright@townofdurhamct.org>

Cc: Daniel Geary jr <gearydan@me.com>; Laura Francis <Lfrancis@townofdurhamct.org>; Beth Moncata <bmoncata@townofdurhamct.org>; Bachman, Melanie <melanie.bachman@ct.gov>;

'rdarin@valleyshore911.org' <rdarin@valleyshore911.org>; CSC-DL Siting Council

<Siting.Council@ct.gov>; Carl Aquilina <Carl.Aquilina@SAI-Comm.com>

Subject: FW: Town Concern - CT5841, BU - 806364, Site Name - HRT 106(B) 943202, App ID - 383797, Customer Site ID - CT5841, Customer Site Name - Durham Central

Importance: High

Good Morning, Scott – please see the below e-mail from Crown Castle confirming that the municipal antenna and platform mount will remain in place.

We'll revise the Construction Drawings to match and send a set to all on this distribution.

Please let me know if you have any further questions and again, please accept my apologies for any confusion.

Thanks,

Mark Roberts
QC Development
860-670-9068

From: Gates, William [<mailto:William.Gates@crowncastle.com>]

Sent: Monday, May 01, 2017 9:58 AM

To: Carl Aquilina <Carl.Aquilina@SAI-Comm.com>; Mark Roberts <mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>

Cc: Edward Onessimo <Edward.Onessimo@SAI-Comm.com>; Bryan Huff - Dewberry <bhuff@Dewberry.com>

Subject: RE: Town Concern - CT5841, BU - 806364, Site Name - HRT 106(B) 943202, App ID - 383797, Customer Site ID - CT5841, Customer Site Name - Durham Central

Hi Carl and Mark,

Crown does not plan to relocate the platform or the whip mounted at 73'. Please revise the CD's to reflect the structural analysis, dated 3/22/17, to leave the platform and the town's whip at 73'.

Thanks,
Bill

WILLIAM GATES

Project Manager

T: (518) 373-3517 | M: (518) 265-3404

CROWN CASTLE

3 Corporate Park Drive, Suite 101, Clifton Park, NY 12065

CrownCastle.com

From: Mark Roberts [<mailto:mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 2:34 PM
To: Scott Wright <swright@townofdurhamct.org>
Cc: Laura Francis <Lfrancis@townofdurhamct.org>; Beth Moncata <bmoncata@townofdurhamct.org>;
 Bachman, Melanie <Melanie.Bachman@ct.gov>; Daniel Geary jr <gearydan@me.com>;
 'rdarin@valleyshore911.org' <rdarin@valleyshore911.org>
Subject: RE: Proposed modification CT5841/143R Old Blue Hill Rd, Durham, CT/EM-cing-038-170417

Mr. Wright – thank you for reaching out with this very valid concern. I quickly reviewed the project documents this afternoon and noticed a discrepancy between Crown Castle’s structural analysis and the AT&T project drawings in this respect. Crown Castle, who own the tower, show the Town’s antenna and the platform mount remaining (see below), whereas the Construction Drawings indicate that the mount will be removed. I’m sure that the Crown version of events is the correct one as they would not permit AT&T to remove a mount with someone else’s antenna equipment on it but I will get to the bottom of this right away and advise the group.

Here is the relevant excerpt from the Page 4 of the Crown Castle structural analysis. Only equipment noted with a “3” is to be removed, whereas the whip antenna (DB636-C) and platform mount (LP 1201-1) are both marked as “1” (existing).

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
73.0	79.0	1	decibel	DB636-C	1	7/8	1
	74.0	6	ericsson	RRUS 11	1 2 12 1	3/8 3/4 7/8 2" Conduit	3
		3	kmw communications	AM-X-CD-16-65-00T-RET w/ Mount Pipe			
		6	powerwave technologies	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe			
		6	powerwave technologies	LGP21401			
		6	powerwave technologies	LGP21903			
		1	raycap	DC6-48-60-18-8F			
	73.0	1	tower mounts	Platform Mount [LP 1201-1]	-	-	1

Notes:

- 1) Existing Equipment
- 2) Reserved Equipment
- 3) Equipment to be Removed, Not Considered in this Analysis

I’ll reach out to AT&T and Crown right away but wanted to provide some quick reassurance that this appears to be an unintentional error in the documentation. I’ll circle back with you as soon as I have a

definitive answer, but please feel free to contact me via phone or e-mail in the meantime with any further concerns.

Thanks

Mark Roberts
QC Development
860-670-9068

From: Scott Wright [<mailto:swright@townofdurhamct.org>]
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 12:25 PM
To: Mark Roberts <mark.roberts@qcdevelopment.net>
Cc: Laura Francis <Lfrancis@townofdurhamct.org>; Beth Moncata <bmoncata@townofdurhamct.org>;
Bachman, Melanie <Melanie.Bachman@ct.gov>; Daniel Geary jr <gearydan@me.com>;
'rdarin@valleyshore911.org' <rdarin@valleyshore911.org>
Subject: Proposed modification CT5841/143R Old Blue Hill Rd, Durham, CT/EM-cing-038-170417
Importance: High

Sir,

We have received the above captioned proposed modification notification from the Siting Council.

I have very significant concern regarding this proposed modification as it proposes removing the mount at 73' on this facility, upon which the Town of Durham has an existing antenna that is used for public safety purposes.

The Town, in fact, made significant modifications to their network to accommodate the installation of the AT&T equipment when the equipment was originally installed.

Please advise suggestions as to how to accommodate our public safety requirements while achieving your objectives. We must maintain at least 20' separation between the antenna mounted at 120'.

We look forward to working with AT&T on this project to a mutually agreeable resolution.

Thank you in advance.

Scott Wright, Communications Coordinator
Town of Durham, CT
860-558-2163

FCC Amateur Extra: N1KSX
FCC Global Marine Distress Operator/Maintainer: DB-1015
EMT/FF