

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051
Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950
E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov
www.ct.gov/csc

November 2, 2012

Christopher Bisson New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC 154 General Patton Drive Naugatuck, CT 06770

RE: **EM-CING-028-121015A** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 29 Mahoney Road, Colchester, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Bisson:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the following conditions:

- The coax lines and accessory equipment shall be installed in accordance with the recommendations made in the Structural Analysis Report prepared by FDH Engineering dated August 23, 2012 and stamped by Christopher Murphy; and
- Following the installation of the proposed equipment, AT&T shall provide documentation certifying that the installation complied with the engineer's recommendation.
- Any deviation from the proposed modification as specified in this notice and supporting materials with Council shall render this acknowledgement invalid:
- Any material changes to this modification as proposed shall require the filing of a new notice with the Council;
- Not less than 45 days after completion of construction, the Council shall be notified in writing that construction has been completed;
- The validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter; and
- The applicant may file a request for an extension of time beyond the one year deadline provided that such request is submitted to the Council not less than 60 days prior to the expiration;

The proposed modifications including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated October 5, 2012. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General



Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Linda Roberts

Executive Director

LR/CDM/cm

c: The Honorable Gregg B. Schuster, First Selectman, Town of Colchester Adam Turner, Town Planner, Town of Colchester



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov www.ct.gov/csc

October 16, 2012

The Honorable Gregg B. Schuster First Selectman Town of Colchester 127 Norwich Avenue Colchester, CT 06415

EM-CING-028-121015A - New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an RE: existing telecommunications facility located at 29 Mahoney Road, Colchester, Connecticut. Dear First Selectman Schuster:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received a request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72. A copy of which has

If you have any questions or comments regarding the proposal, please call me or inform the Council by

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

LobertiNAB

Very truly yours,

Linda Roberts **Executive Director**

LR/cm

c: Adam Turner, Town Planner, Town of Colchester








EM-CING-028-121015A

Nave Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC 154 General Patton Dr. Naugatuck, CT 06770 Phone: (203)-217-6200 Christopher Bisson Real Estate Consultant

October 5, 2012

Hand Delivered

Ms. Linda Roberts
Executive Director
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051



RE: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 29 Mahoney Road, Colchester, CT 06415, known to AT&T as site CT2149.

Dear Ms. Roberts:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System ("UMTS") and/or Long Term Evolution ("LTE") capabilities, and enhance system performance in the state of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and its attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which affected cell site is located.

UMTS offers services to mobile computer and phone users anywhere in the world. Based on the Global System for Mobile ("GSM") communication standard, UMTS is the planned worldwide standard for mobile users. UMTS, fully implemented, gives computer and phone users high-speed access to the internet as they travel. They have the same capabilities even when they roam, through both terrestrial wireless and satellite transmissions.

LTE is a new high-performance air interface for cellular mobile communications. It is designed to increase the capacity and speed of mobile telephone networks.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in AT&T's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration based on the supplied structural modification plan dated 4/26/2012 requiring the restacking of the existing coaxial cables.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modification as defined Connecticut General Statues ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for the R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

- 1. The height of the overall structure will not be affected.
- 2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound as all proposed equipment will be located in the existing AT&T equipment shelter.
- 3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by 6 decibels or more.
- 4. Radio Frequency power density may increase due to the use of one or more GSM channels for UMTS transmissions. Moreover, LTE will utilize additional radio frequencies newly licensed by the FCC for cellular mobile communications. However, the changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (203)-217-6200 or email CBisson@Transcendwireless.com with questions concerning this matter. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Christopher Bisson Real Estate Consultant



C Squared Systems, LLC 65 Dartmouth Drive, Unit A3 Auburn, NH 03032 (603) 644-2800 support@csquaredsystems.com

Calculated Radio Frequency Emissions



CT2149

(Colchester 3)

29 Mahoney Rd., Colchester, CT 06415

September 13, 2012

Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Limits
3. RF Exposure Prediction Methods2
4. Calculation Results
5. Conclusion
6. Statement of Certification
Attachment A: References
Attachment B: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)6
Attachment C: AT&T Antenna Data Sheets and Electrical Patterns
List of Tables
Table 1: Carrier Information
Table 2: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)6
List of Figures
Figure 1: Graph of FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



1. Introduction

The purpose of this report is to investigate compliance with applicable FCC regulations for the proposed modifications to the existing AT&T antenna arrays mounted on the monopole tower located at 29 Mahoney Road in Colchester, CT. The coordinates of the tower are 41° 33′ 52.11″ N, 72° 15′ 6.31″W.

AT&T is proposing the following modifications:

1) Install three multi-band (700/850/1900/2100 MHz) antennas (one per sector) for their LTE network.

2. FCC Guidelines for Evaluating RF Radiation Exposure Limits

In 1985, the FCC established rules to regulate radio frequency (RF) exposure from FCC licensed antenna facilities. In 1996, the FCC updated these rules, which were further amended in August 1997 by OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01. These new rules include Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) limits for transmitters operating between 300 kHz and 100 GHz. The FCC MPE limits are based upon those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., (IEEE) and adopted by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

The FCC general population/uncontrolled limits set the maximum exposure to which most people may be subjected. General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure.

Public exposure to radio frequencies is regulated and enforced in units of milliwatts per square centimeter (mW/cm²). The general population exposure limits for the various frequency ranges are defined in the attached "FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)" in Attachment B of this report.

Higher exposure limits are permitted under the occupational/controlled exposure category, but only for persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment and who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure, and they must be able to exercise control over their exposure. General population/uncontrolled limits are five times more stringent than the levels that are acceptable for occupational, or radio frequency trained individuals. Attachment B contains excerpts from OET Bulletin 65 and defines the Maximum Exposure Limit.

Finally, it should be noted that the MPE limits adopted by the FCC for both general population/uncontrolled exposure and for occupational/controlled exposure incorporate a substantial margin of safety and have been established to be well below levels generally accepted as having the potential to cause adverse health effects.

CT2149 1 September 13, 2012



3. RF Exposure Prediction Methods

The emission field calculation results displayed in the following figures were generated using the following formula as outlined in FCC bulletin OET 65:

Power Density =
$$\left(\frac{1.6^2 \times EIRP}{4\pi \times R^2}\right)$$
 x Off Beam Loss

Where:

EIRP = Effective Isotropic Radiated Power

R = Radial Distance =
$$\sqrt{(H^2 + V^2)}$$

H = Horizontal Distance from antenna in meters

V = Vertical Distance from radiation center of antenna in meters

Ground reflection factor of 1.6

Off Beam Loss is determined by the selected antenna pattern

These calculations assume that the antennas are operating at 100 percent capacity and power, and that all channels are transmitting simultaneously. Obstructions (trees, buildings, etc.) that would normally attenuate the signal are not taken into account. The calculations assume even terrain in the area of study and do not take into account actual terrain elevations which could attenuate the signal. As a result, the predicted signal levels reported below are much higher than the actual signal levels will be from the finished modifications.

CT2149 2 September 13, 2012



4. Calculation Results

Table 1 below outlines the power density information for the site. Because the proposed AT&T antennas are directional in nature, the majority of the RF power is focused out towards the horizon. As a result, there will be less RF power directed below the antennas relative to the horizon, and consequently lower power density levels around the base of the tower. Please refer to Attachment C for the vertical pattern of the proposed AT&T antennas. The calculated results for AT&T in Table 1 include a nominal 10 dB off-beam pattern loss to account for the lower relative gain below the antennas.

Carrier	Antenna Height (Feet)	Operating Frequency (MHz)	Number of Trans.	ERP Per Transmitter (Watts)	Power Density (mw/cm²)	Limit	%МРЕ
Cingular GSM	157	880	4	296	0.0173	0.5867	2.94%
Cingular GSM	157	1900	2	427	0.0125	1.0000	1.25%
Cingular UMTS -	157	880	1	500	0.0073	0.5867	1.24%
Verizon	167	869	9	200	0.0232	0.5793	4.01%
Verizon	167	1900	6	500	0.0387	1.0000	3.87%
VoiceStream	182	1945	4	250	0.0109	1.0000	1.09%
AT&T UMTS	157	880	2	565	0.0016	0.5867	0.28%
AT&T UMTS	157	1900	2	875	0.0026	1.0000	0.26%
AT&T LTE	157	734	1	1615	0.0024	0.4893	0.48%
AT&T GSM	157	880	1	283	0.0004	0.5867	0.07%
AT&T GSM	157	1900	4	525	0.0031	1.0000	0.31%
						Total	10.35%

Table 1: Carrier Information 1 2 3

CT2149

¹ The existing CSC filing for Cingular should be removed and replaced with the updated AT&T technologies and values provided in Table 1. The power density information for carriers other than AT&T was taken directly from the CSC database dated 7/26/2012. Please note that %MPE values listed are rounded to two decimal points. The total %MPE listed is a summation of each unrounded contribution. Therefore, summing each rounded value may not reflect the total value listed in the table.

² In the case where antenna models are not uniform across all 3 sectors for the same frequency band, the antenna model with the highest gain was used for the calculations to present a worse-case scenario.

³ Antenna height listed for AT&T is in reference to the FDH Engineering Inc. Structural Analysis dated August 23, 2012.



5. Conclusion

The above analysis verifies that emissions from the existing site will be below the maximum power density levels as outlined by the FCC in the OET Bulletin 65 Ed. 97-01. Even when using conservative methods, the cumulative power density from the proposed transmit antennas at the existing facility is well below the limits for the general public. The highest expected percent of Maximum Permissible Exposure at ground level is 10.35% of the FCC limit.

As noted previously, obstructions (trees, buildings, etc.) that would normally attenuate the signal are not taken into account. As a result, the predicted signal levels are more conservative (higher) than the actual signal levels will be from the finished modifications.

6. Statement of Certification


I certify to the best of my knowledge that the statements in this report are true and accurate. The calculations follow guidelines set forth in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.3, ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1 and FCC OET Bulletin 65 Edition 97-01.

Daniel L. Goulet

C Squared Systems, LLC

September 13, 2012

Date



Attachment A: References

OET Bulletin 65 - Edition 97-01 - August 1997 Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology

ANSI C95.1-1982, American National Standard Safety Levels With Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 300 kHz to 100 GHz. IEEE-SA Standards Board

<u>IEEE Std C95.3-1991 (Reaff 1997), IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave.</u> IEEE-SA Standards Board



Attachment B: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure⁴

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (E) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm ²)	Averaging Time $ E ^2$, $ H ^2$ or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	$(900/f^2)*$	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500	-	-	f/300	6
1500-100,000	-	-	5	6

(B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure⁵

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (E) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm ²)	Averaging Time $ E ^2$, $ H ^2$ or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	$(180/f^2)*$	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500	-	-	f/1500	30
500-100,000	-	-	1.0	30

f = frequency in MHz * Plane-wave equivalent power density

Table 2: FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)

6

CT2149

⁴ Occupational/controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided those persons are fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Limits for occupational/controlled exposure also apply in situations when an individual is transient through a location where occupational/controlled limits apply provided he or she is made aware of the potential for exposure

⁵ General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure






Figure 1: Graph of FCC Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)



Attachment C: AT&T Antenna Data Sheets and Electrical Patterns

700 MHz

Manufacturer: Powerwave

Model #: P65-17-XLH-RR

Frequency Band: 698-806 MHz


Gain: 14.3 dBd

Vertical Beamwidth: 8.4°

Horizontal Beamwidth: 70°

Polarization: Dual Linear $\pm 45^{\circ}$

Size L x W x D: 96.0" x 12.0" x 6.0"



850 MHz

Manufacturer: Powerwave

Model #: 7770.00

Frequency Band: 824-896 MHz


Gain: 11.5 dBd

Vertical Beamwidth: 15°

Horizontal Beamwidth: 82°

Polarization: Dual Linear $\pm 45^{\circ}$

Size L x W x D: 55.0" x 11.0" x 5.0"



1900 MHz

Manufacturer: Powerwave

Model #: 7770.00

Frequency Band: 1850-1990 MHz


Gain: 13.4 dBd

Vertical Beamwidth: 7°

Horizontal Beamwidth: 86°

Polarization: Dual Linear ± 45°

Size L x W x D: 55.0" x 11.0" x 5.0"





FDH Engineering, Inc., 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616, Ph. 919.755.1012

Structural Analysis for SBA Network Services, Inc.

180' Monopole Tower

SBA Site Name: Colchester 3
SBA Site ID: CT02652-S
Cingular Site ID: CT2149

Cingular Site Name: Colchester Mahoney Rd.

FDH Project Number 12-08604E S1

Analysis Results

Tower Components	96.4 %	Sufficient
Foundation	99.6 %	Sufficient

Prepared By:

Jordham C. Holmes

Jonathan C. Holmes, El Project Engineer Reviewed By:

Christopher M. Murphy, PE President

CT PE License No. 25842

FDH Engineering, Inc. 6521 Meridien Drive Raleigh, NC 27616 (919) 755-1012 info@fdh-inc.com



August 23, 2012

Prepared pursuant to TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Conclusions	3
Recommendations	ა
APPURTENANCE LISTING	∂
RESULTS	 5
GENERAL COMMENTS	6
LIMITATIONS	۰۰۰۰۰۰ ء
APPENDIX	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the request of SBA Network Services, Inc., FDH Engineering, Inc. performed a structural analysis of the monopole located in Colchester, CT to determine whether the tower is structurally adequate to support both the existing and proposed loads pursuant to the *Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures, TIA/EIA-222-F.* Information pertaining to the existing/proposed antenna loading, current tower geometry, geotechnical data, and member sizes was obtained from:

Valmont Microflect (Order No.	11277-00) original design drawings dated March 29, 2000
SBA Network Services, Inc.	

The basic design wind speed per the TIA/EIA-222-F standards is 85 mph without ice and 38 mph with 3/4" radial ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.

Conclusions

With the existing and proposed antennas from Cingular in place at 157 ft, the tower meets the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards provided the **Recommendations** listed below are satisfied. Furthermore, provided the foundation was designed and constructed to support the original design reactions (see Valmont Microflect Order No. 11277-00), the foundation should have the necessary capacity to support both the proposed and existing loading. For a more detailed description of the analysis of the tower, see the **Results** section of this report.

Our structural analysis has been performed assuming all information provided to FDH Engineering, Inc. is accurate (i.e., the steel data, tower layout, existing antenna loading, and proposed antenna loading) and that the tower has been properly erected and maintained per the original design drawings.

Recommendations

To ensure the requirements of the *TIA/EIA-222-F* standards are met with the existing and proposed loading in place, we have the following recommendations:

- 1. The proposed coax should be installed inside the pole's shaft.
- 2. The proposed TMAs should be installed directly behind the proposed panel antennas.

Document No. ENG-RPT-501S

APPURTENANCE LISTING

The proposed and existing antennas with their corresponding cables/coax lines are shown in **Table 1**. *If the actual layout determined in the field deviates from the layout, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis.*

Table 1 - Appurtenance Loading

Existing Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
177	(12) EMS RR90-17-02DP (3) TMAs	(12) 1-5/8"	T-Mobile	177	(1) Low Profile Platform
167	(6) Antel LPA-171080/8CF (6) Antel LPA-80080/6CF (6) Andrew ETM190G-12UB TMAs	(12) 1-5/8"	Verizon	167	(1) Low Profile Platform
157	(12) CSS DUO1417-8686-40 (6) ADC Clear Gain TMAs	(12) 1-5/8"	Cingular	157	(1) Low Profile Platform

Proposed Loading:

Antenna Elevation (ft)	Description	Coax and Lines	Carrier	Mount Elevation (ft)	Mount Type
157	(6) Powerwave 7770.00 (2) Powerwave P65-17-XLH-RR (1) Andrew SBNH-1D6565C (6) Powerwave LGP21401 (6) Ericsson RRUS-11 RRUs (6) Powerwave LGP21903 Diplexers (1) Raycap DC6-48-60-18-8F	(12) 1-5/8" (2) DC Cables (1) Fiber	Cingular	157	(1) Low Profile Platform

RESULTS

The following yield strength of steel for individual members was used for analysis:

Table 2 - Material Strength

Member Type	Yield Strength
Tower Shaft Sections	65 ksi
Base Plate	60 ksi
Anchor Bolts	75 ksi

Table 3 displays the summary of the ratio (as a percentage) of force in the member to their capacities. Values greater than 100% indicate locations where the maximum force in the member exceeds its capacity. *Note: Capacities up to 105% are considered acceptable.* **Table 4** displays the maximum foundation reactions.

If the assumptions outlined in this report differ from actual field conditions, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be contacted to perform a revised analysis. Furthermore, as no information pertaining to the allowable twist and sway requirements for the existing or proposed appurtenances was provided, deflection and rotation were not taken into consideration when performing this analysis.

See the Appendix for detailed modeling information

Table 3 - Summary of Working Percentage of Structural Components

Section No.	Elevation ft	Component Type	Size	% Capacity	Pass Fail
L1	180 - 127	Pole	TP49.14x35.77x0.219	52.0	Pass
L2	127 - 92.75	Pole	TP47.3776x42.34x0.3125	68.6	Pass
L3	92.75 - 45.75	Pole	TP51.35x40.9498x0.375	96.4	Pass
L4	45.75 - 0	Pole	TP60x49.1773x0.438	96.3	Pass
		Anchor Bolts	(20) 2.25" ø on a 68.625" ø B.C.	89.8	Pass
		Base Plate	74.62" x 2.75" thick round	66.6	Pass

Table 4 - Maximum Base Reactions

Base Reactions	Current Analysis (TIA/EIA-222-F)	Original Design (TIA/EIA-222-F)	
Axial	63 k	56 k	
Shear	42 k	40 k	
Moment	5,025 k-ft	5,045 k-ft	

GENERAL COMMENTS

This engineering analysis is based upon the theoretical capacity of the structure. It is not a condition assessment of the tower and its foundation. It is the responsibility of SBA Network Services, Inc. to verify that the tower modeled and analyzed is the correct structure (with accurate antenna loading information) modeled. If there are substantial modifications to be made or the assumptions made in this analysis are not accurate, FDH Engineering, Inc. should be notified immediately to perform a revised analysis.

LIMITATIONS

All opinions and conclusions are considered accurate to a reasonable degree of engineering certainty based upon the evidence available at the time of this report. All opinions and conclusions are subject to revision based upon receipt of new or additional/updated information. All services are provided exercising a level of care and diligence equivalent to the standard and care of our profession. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is offered. Our services are confidential in nature and we will not release this report to any other party without the client's consent. The use of this engineering work is limited to the express purpose for which it was commissioned and it may not be reused, copied, or distributed for any other purpose without the written consent of FDH Engineering, Inc.

Document No. ENG-RPT-501S

APPENDIX

5.25 16 5.3 127.0 ft 39.50 6.00 42.3400 0.3125 16 6.0 92.8 ft A572-85 53.00 7.25 0.3750 18 6 45.8 ft AXIAL 63 K 53.00 SHEAR MOMENT 0.4380 60.0000 1194 kip-ft 16 13.6 TORQUE 0 kip-ft 38 mph WIND - 0.7500 in ICE AXIAL 48 K SHEAR MOMENT 5025 kip-ft 0.0 ft TORQUE 1 kip-ft REACTIONS - 85 mph WIND 34.8 Number of Sides Thickness (in) Socket Length Top Dia (in) Bot Dia (in) Length (ft) Weight (K) Grade

DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING


TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Lightning Rod 5/8x4'	180	(2) Andrew ETM190G-12UB TMA	167
(1) Low Profile Platform (T-Mobile)	177	(Verizon)	107
(4) EMS RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	177	(1) Low Profile Platform	157
·		(2) Powerwave 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe	157
(4) EMS RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	177		
	177 (Cingular) (2) Powerwave 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (Cingular) (2) Powerwave 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (Cingular) (2) Powerwave 7770.00 w/Mount Pipe (Cingular) (Cingular	157	
(4) EMS RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe	177		
` '			157
			457
			157
			157
AA (T-Mobile) 177 AA (T-Mobile) 177 Low Profile Platform 167 Antel LPA-171080/8CF w/ Mount 167 e (Verizon) 167 e (Verizon) 167			107
(2) Antel LPA-171080/8CF w/ Mount 167 Pipe (Verizon)			157
(2) Antel LPA-171080/8CF w/ Mount Pipe (Verizon)	167		157
(2) Antel LPA-171080/8CF w/ Mount	167	(2) Powerwave LGP21401 (Cingular)	157
Pipe (Verizon)		(2) Powerwave LGP21401 (Cingular)	157
ghtning Rod 5/8x4' 180) Low Profile Platform (T-Mobile) 177) EMS RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe 177 -Mobile) MA (T-Mobile) 177 -MA (T-Mobile) 177 -MA (T-Mobile) 177 MA (T-Mobile) 177) Low Profile Platform 167) Antel LPA-171080/8CF w/ Mount 167 pe (Verizon)) Antel LPA-171080/8CF w/ Mount 167 pe (Verizon)) Antel LPA-80080/6CF w/ Mount 167 pe (Verizon)) Antel LPA-80080/6CF w/ Mount 167 pe (Verizon)	167	(2) Ericsson RRUS-11 (Cingular)	157
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(2) Ericsson RRUS-11 (Cingular)	157
	167	(2) Ericsson RRUS-11 (Cingular)	157
4) EMS RR90-17-02DP w/Mount Pipe 177 -Mobile 167 -Mobil		(2) Powerwave LGP21903 Diplexer	157
	167	(Cingular)	
<u>' ' '</u>	407	(2) Powerwave LGP21903 Diplexer (Cingular)	157
(Verizon)	107	· · · ·	
(2) Andrew ETM190G-12UB TMA	167	(2) Powerwave LGP21903 Diplexer (Cingular)	157
(Verizon)	101	Raycap DC6-48-60-18-8F (Cingular)	157
		(Cingular)	1101

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fv	Fu	_
A572-65	65 kei	90 kai				

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

- Tower is located in New London County, Connecticut.
 Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
 Tower is also designed for a 38 mph basic wind with 0.75 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
- Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
 TOWER RATING: 96.4%



PROJECT INFORMATION

SCOPE OF WORK:

TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY UPGRADE (LTE):

1. INSTALL (3) NEW LTE ANTENNAS, (6) RRH'S, (1) SURGE ARRESTOR, (1) FIBER LINE, (2) DC POWER LINES & (1) GPS ANTENNA

2. INSTALL (1) LTE 6601 CABINET

SITE ADDRESS:

29 MAHONEY ROAD COLCHESTER, CT 06415

LATITUDE: LONGITUDE: 41.56453 N

41° 33' 52.3" N 72° 15' 06.1" W

CURRENT USE:

TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

PROPOSED USE:

TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY



SITE NUMBER: CT2149 SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 2

	DRAWING INDEX	REV
T-1	TITLE SHEET	1
GN-	1 GENERAL NOTES	1
A-1	COMPOUND AND EQUIPMENT PLAN	1
A-2	ELEVATION AND ANTENNA PLAN	1
A-3	DETAILS	1
G-1	PLUMBING DIAGRAM & GROUNDING DETAILS	1

DIRECTIONS TO SITE:

START OUT GOING NORTHEAST ON ENTERPRISE DR TOWARD CAPITOL BLVD. TURN LEFT ONTO CAPITOL BLVD. TURN LEFT ONTO WEST ST. MERGE ONTO I-91 N VIA THE RAMP ON THE LEFT TOWARD HARTFORD. MERGE ONTO CT-3 N VIA EXIT 25 TOWARD GLASTONBURY. MERGE ONTO CT-2 E TOWARD NORWICH 21.8 MILES. TAKE THE CHESTNUT HILL ROAD EXIT, EXIT 21. TURN LEFT ONTO CHESTNUT HILL RD. CHESTNUT HILL RD BECOMES MAHONEY RD. 29 MAHONEY RD IS

VICINITY MAP

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE CREATION, DESIGN, PROPERTY AND COPYRIGHTED WORK OF AT&T. ANY DUPLICATION OR USE WITHOUT EXPRESS WRITTEN CONSENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. DUPLICATION AND USE BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONDUCTING THEIR LAWFULLY AUTHORIZED REGULATORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS IS SPECIFICALLY


GENERAL NOTES

THE FACILITY IS AN UNMANNED PRIVATE AND SECURED EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION. IT IS ONLY ACCESSED BY TRAINED TECHNICIANS FOR PERIODIC ROUTINE MAINTENANCE AND THEREFORE DOES NOT REQUIRE ANY WATER OR SANITARY SEWER SERVICE. THE FACILITY IS NOT GOVERNED BY REGULATIONS REQUIRING PUBLIC ACCESS PER ADA REQUIREMENTS.

CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL PLANS AND EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON THE JOB SITE AND SHALL IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY THE AT&T REPRESENTATIVE IN WRITING OF DISCREPANCIES BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK OR BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.

SBA SITE ID: CT02652-S

SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 3 CT





CALL

BEFORE YOU DIG

UNDERGROUND SERVICE ALERT



1-800-922-4455 OR DIAL 811 CALL TOLL FREE

Hudson 1400 OSGOOD STREET BUILDING 20 NORTH, SUITE 2-101 N. ANDOVER, MA 01845 a UniTek GLOBAL SERVICES company

800 MARSHALL PHELPS ROAD UNIT#: 2A WINDSOR, CT 06095

SITE NUMBER: CT2149 SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 2

> 29 MAHONEY ROAD COLCHESTER, CT 06415 NEW LONDON COUNTY



500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067

									, iiii	,im	L CONNE	n, Cì
								نو	Ź	X	IEL P. A.	i,
								/ F		/		4
1	09/17/12	ISSUED	FOR	PERMITTING			CG/	Įξc.	ÞРН	N.	la de	
0	08/07/12	ISSUED	FOR	REVIEW			9		DP8	7	7271	
NO.	DATE			REVISI	ONS		BY	CHK	弘]./	79. 24170	
SCA	LE: AS SI	HOWN		DESIGNED BY:	DC	DRAWN	I BY:	RM	, jc	私	CENS	c
		-							7.	~	50 cc	20

AT&T

TITLE SHEET (LTE) DRAWING NUME T-1

GROUNDING NOTES

- 1. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW AND INSPECT THE EXISTING FACILITY GROUNDING SYSTEM AND LIGHTNING PROTECTION SYSTEM (AS DESIGNED AND INSTALLED) FOR STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE NEC (AS ADOPTED BY THE AHJ), THE SITE—SPECIFIC (UL, LPI, OR NFPA) LIGHTING PROTECTION CODE, AND GENERAL COMPLIANCE WITH TELCORDIA AND TIA GROUNDING STANDARDS. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT ANY VIOLATIONS OR ADVERSE FINDINGS TO THE CONTRACTOR FOR RESOLUTION.
- 2. ALL GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS (INCLUDING TELECOMMUNICATION, RADIO, LIGHTNING PROTECTION, AND AC POWER GES'S) SHALL BE BONDED TOGETHER, AT OR BELOW GRADE, BY TWO OR MORE COPPER BONDING CONDUCTORS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 3. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM IEEE
 FALL—OF—POTENTIAL RESISTANCE TO EARTH TESTING (PER IEEE
 1100 AND 81) FOR NEW GROUND ELECTRODE SYSTEMS. THE
 SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL FURNISH AND INSTALL
 SUPPLEMENTAL GROUND ELECTRODES AS NEEDED TO
 ACHIEVE A TEST RESULT OF 5 OHMS OR LESS.
- METAL RACEWAY SHALL NOT BE USED AS THE NEC
 REQUIRED EQUIPMENT GROUND CONDUCTOR. STRANDED
 COPPER CONDUCTORS WITH GREEN INSULATION, SIZED IN
 ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC, SHALL BE FURNISHED AND
 INSTALLED WITH THE POWER CIRCUITS TO BTS EQUIPMENT.
- 5. EACH BTS CABINET FRAME SHALL BE DIRECTLY
 CONNECTED TO THE MASTER GROUND BAR WITH GREEN
 INSULATED SUPPLEMENTAL EQUIPMENT GROUND WIRES, 6
 AWG STRANDED COPPER OR LARGER FOR INDOOR BTS 2 AWG
 STRANDED COPPER FOR OUTDOOR BTS.
- EXOTHERMIC WELDS SHALL BE USED FOR ALL GROUNDING CONNECTIONS BELOW GRADE.
- APPROVED ANTIOXIDANT COATINGS (I.E., CONDUCTIVE GEL OR PASTE) SHALL BE USED ON ALL COMPRESSION AND BOLTED GROUND CONNECTIONS.
- 8. ICE BRIDGE BONDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE EXOTHERMICALLY BONDED OR BOLTED TO THE BRIDGE AND THE TOWER GROUND BAR.
- ALUMINUM CONDUCTOR OR COPPER CLAD STEEL
 CONDUCTOR SHALL NOT BE USED FOR GROUNDING CONNECTIONS
- MISCELLANEOUS ELECTRICAL AND NON-ELECTRICAL METAL BOXES, FRAMES AND SUPPORTS SHALL BE BONDED TO THE GROUND RING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEC.
- 11. METAL CONDUIT SHALL BE MADE ELECTRICALLY
 CONTINUOUS WITH LISTED BONDING FITTINGS OR BY
 BONDING ACROSS THE DISCONTINUITY WITH 6 AWS COPPER
 WIRE UL APPROVED GROUNDING TYPE CONDUIT CLAMPS.
- 12. ALL NEW STRUCTURES WITH A FOUNDATION AND/OR FOOTIING HAVING 20 FT. OR MORE 1/2" OR GREATER ELECTRICALLY CONDUCTIVE REINFORCING STEEL MUST HAVE IT BONDED TO THE GROUND RING USING AN EXOTHERMIC WELD CONNECTION USING #2 AWG SOLID TINNED COPPER GROUND WIRE, PER NEC 250.50

GENERAL NOTES

1. FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION DRAWING, THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS SHALL APPLY:

CONTRACTOR - NEXLINK
SUBCONTRACTOR - GENERAL CONTRACTOR (CONSTRUCTION)
OWNER - AT&T MOBILITY

- 2. PRIOR TO THE SUBMISSION OF BIDS, THE BIDDING SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VISIT THE CELL SITE TO FAMILIARIZE WITH THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND TO CONFIRM THAT THE WORK CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED AS SHOWN ON THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. ANY DISCREPANCY FOUND SHALL BE BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF CONTRACTOR.
- 3. ALL MATERIALS FURNISHED AND INSTALLED SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CODES, REGULATIONS, AND ORDINANCES. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL ISSUE ALL APPROPRIATE NOTICES AND COMPLY WITH ALL LAWS, ORDINANCES, RULES, REGULATIONS, AND LAWFUL ORDERS OF ANY PUBLIC AUTHORITY RECARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK. ALL WORK CARRIED OUT SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE MUNICIPAL AND UTILITY COMPANY SPECIFICATIONS AND LOCAL JURISDICTIONAL CODES, ORDINANCES AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS.
- 4. DRAWINGS PROVIDED HERE ARE NOT TO BE SCALED AND ARE INTENDED TO SHOW OUTLINE ONLY.
- 5. UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE, THE WORK SHALL INCLUDE FURNISHING MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, APPURTENANCES, AND LABOR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE ALL INSTALLATIONS AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS.
- 6. "KITTING LIST" SUPPLIED WITH THE BID PACKAGE IDENTIFIES ITEMS THAT WILL BE SUPPLIED BY CONTRACTOR. ITEMS NOT INCLUDED IN THE BILL OF MATERIALS AND KITTING LIST SHALL BE SUPPLIED BY THE SUBCONTRACTOR.
- 7. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS UNLESS SPECIFICALLY STATED OTHERWISE.
- 8. IF THE SPECIFIED EQUIPMENT CANNOT BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS, THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROPOSE AN ALTERNATIVE INSTALLATION SPACE FOR APPROVAL BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 9. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ACTUAL ROUTING OF CONDUIT, POWER AND T1 CABLES, GROUNDING CABLES AS SHOWN ON THE POWER, GROUNDING AND TELCO PLAN DRAWING. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL UTILIZE EXISTING TRAYS AND/OR SHALL ADD NEW TRAYS AS NECESSARY. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE ACTUAL ROUTING WITH THE CONTRACTOR.
- 10. THE SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT EXISTING IMPROVEMENTS, PAVEMENTS, CURBS, LANDSCAPING AND STRUCTURES. ANY DAMAGED PART SHALL BE REPAIRED AT SUBCONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE TO THE SATISFACTION OF OWNIED
- 11. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEGALLY AND PROPERLY DISPOSE OF ALL SCRAP MATERIALS SUCH AS COAXIAL CABLES AND OTHER ITEMS REMOVED FROM THE EXISTING FACILITY. ANTENNAS REMOVED SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER'S DESIGNATED LOCATION.
- 12. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL LEAVE PREMISES IN CLEAN CONDITION.
- 13. ALL CONCRETE REPAIR WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 301.
- 14. ANY NEW CONCRETE NEEDED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE AIR—ENTRAINED AND SHALL HAVE 4000 PSI STRENGTH AT 28 DAYS. AL CONCRETE WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACI 318 CODE PSCULIEDENTS

15. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL WORK SHALL BE DETAILED, FABRICATED AND ERECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AISC SPECIFICATIONS. ALL STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL BE ASTM A36 (Fy = 36 ksi) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. PIPES SHALL BE ASTM A53 TYPE E (Fy = 36 ksi). ALL STEEL EXPOSED TO WEATHER SHALL BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED. TOUCHUP ALL SCRATCHES AND OTHER MARKS IN THE FIELD AFTER STEEL IS ERECTED USING A COMPATIBLE ZINC RICH PAINT.

16. CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH UMTS SPECIFICATIONS AND "GENERAL CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AT&T MOBILITY SITES."

17. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK. ALL DIMENSIONS OF EXISTING CONSTRUCTION SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS MUST BE VERIFIED. SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE CONTRACTOR OF ANY DISCREPANCIES PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL OR PROCEEDING WITH CONSTRUCTION.

18. THE EXISTING CELL SITE IS IN FULL COMMERCIAL OPERATION. ANY CONSTRUCTION WORK BY SUBCONTRACTOR SHALL NOT DISRUPT THE EXISTING NORMAL OPERATION. ANY WORK ON EXISTING EQUIPMENT MUST BE COORDINATED WITH CONTRACTOR. ALSO, WORK SHOULD BE SCHEDULED FOR AN APPROPRIATE MAINTENANCE WINDOW USUALLY IN LOW TRAFFIC PERIODS AFTER MIDNIGHT.

19. SINCE THE CELL SITE IS ACTIVE, ALL SAFETY PRECAUTIONS MUST BE TAKEN WHEN WORKING AROUND HIGH LEVELS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION. EQUIPMENT SHOULD BE SHUTDOWN PRIOR TO PERFORMING ANY WORK THAT COULD EXPOSE THE WORKERS TO DANGER. PERSONAL RF EXPOSURE MONITORS ARE ADVISED TO BE WORN TO ALERT OF ANY DANGEROUS EXPOSURE LEVELS.

20. APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES: SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL APPLICABLE NATIONAL, STATE, AND LOCAL CODES AS ADOPTED BY THE LOCAL AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION (AHJ) FOR THE LOCATION. THE EDITION OF THE AHJ ADOPTED CODES AND STANDARDS IN EFFECT ON THE DATE OF CONTRACT AWARD SHALL GOVERN THE DESIGN.

BUILDING CODE: 2003 IBC WITH 2005 CT SUPPLEMENT & 2009 CT AMENDMENTS
ELECTRICAL CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS LIGHTENING CODE: REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS

SUBCONTRACTOR'S WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LATEST EDITION OF THE FOLLOWING STANDARDS:

AMERICAN CONCRETE INSTITUTE (ACI) 318; BUILDING CODE REQUIREMENTS FOR STRUCTURAL CONCRETE;

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION (AISC)

MANUAL OF STEEL CONSTRUCTION, ASD, NINTH EDITION;

TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION (TIA) 222-F, STRUCTURAL STANDARDS FOR STEEL

ANTENNA TOWER AND ANTENNA SUPPORTING STRUCTURES; REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR SPECIFIC ELECTRICAL STANDARDS.

FOR ANY CONFLICTS BETWEEN SECTIONS OF LISTED CODES AND STANDARDS REGARDING MATERIAL, METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION, OR OTHER REQUIREMENTS, THE MOST RESTRICTIVE REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN. WHERE THERE IS CONFLICT BETWEEN A GENERAL REQUIREMENT AND A SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT, THE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENT SHALL GOVERN.

ABBREVIATIONS GENERAL CONTRACTOR RF RADIO FREQUENCY ABOVE GRADE LEVEL G.C. AGL MGB MASTER GROUND BUS AWG AMERICAN WIRE GAUGE TO BE DETERMINED MINIMUM TBD BARE COPPER WIRE MIN BCW TO BE REMOVED TRR BTS BASE TRANSCEIVER STATION PROPOSED NEW TO BE REMOVED **TBRR** EXISTING EXISTING N.T.S. NOT TO SCALE AND REPLACED EQUIPMENT GROUND REFERENCE. REFERENCE TYP TYPICAL

AT&T

GENERAL NOTES

(LTE)

GN-1

Hudson Design Groupuc 1600 OSCOOD STREET SULDING 20 NOR! 1, SUITE 2-101 1, ANDOVER. MA 01845 FAX: 19781 334-5586



800 MARSHALL PHELPS ROAD UNIT#: 2A

WINDSOR, CT 06095


SITE NUMBER: CT2149 SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 2


> 29 MAHONEY ROAD COLCHESTER, CT 06415 NEW LONDON COUNTY



500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067

			EGR	EQUIPM	ENT GR	OUN	D R	ING	HILL	OF CO	NEC.	QUIRE	D
1			OR PERMITTING			/c _G	(bc)	OPE OF			Hair	1. 1. 1.	
0	08/07/12 DATE	ISSUED FO		VISIONS	/	RM.	CHK		[:/	24	178	0795	UMBER
NO.		HOWN	DESIGNED		DRAWI	N BY:		1/2		SONA	ENG.	¥2×4	





NOTE:


REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA SETTINGS

NOTE:

AN ANALYSIS FOR THE CAPACITY OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSED EQUIPMENT SHALL BE DETERMINED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

EXISTING GSM/UMTS ANTENNA PLAN

SCALE: N.T.S



EXISTING ANTENNA TOP OF EXISTING TOWER ELEV. 180'-0"± (AGL) BY OTHERS (TYP.) CENTER OF PROPOSED AT&T LTE ANTENNAS PROPOSED RRH MOUNTED TO ELEV. 157'-0"± (AGL) NEW MOUNTING PIPE (TYP. OF 2 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 6) CENTER OF PROPOSED AT&T RRH & SURGE ARRESTOR ELEV. 157'-0"± (AGL) PROPOSED ROTATE EXISTING GSM/UMTS ANTENNAS TO MATCH NEW LTE AZIMUTHS (TYP. OF 6) PROPOSED LTE ANTENNA MOUNTED TO NEW MOUNTING PIPE AT POSITION 3 ALPHA SECTOR: P65-17-XLH-RR PROPOSED SURGE ARRESTOR DC6-48-60-18-8F MOUNTED TO NEW MOUNTING PIPE BETA SECTOR: P65-17-XLH-RR GAMMA SECTOR: SBNH-1D6565C (TYP. OF 1 PER SECTOR, TOTAL OF 3) PROPOSED LTE GPS ANTENNA MOUNTED-- EXISTING MONOPOLE TO EXISTING ICE BRIDGE (10' MIN. FROM EXISTING GPS ANTENNA) PROPOSED 3" FLEX CONDUIT FOR-PROPOSED DC POWER & FIBER DC POWER & FIBER (TO FOLLOW EXISTING COAX ON ICE BRIDGE) (TO FOLLOW EXISTING COAX) FXISTING AT&T ICE BRIDGE WITH -(12) 1-5/8"ø COAX TO REMAIN EXISTING AT&T GPS ANTENNA EXISTING AT&T EQUIPMENT SHELTER -EXISTING CHAIN LINK FENCE (TYP.) GROUND LEVEL ELEV. 0'-0"± (AGL)

PROPOSED LTE ANTENNA PLAN

SCALE: N.T.S.



a UniTek GLOBAL SERVICES company
800 MARSHALL PHELPS ROAD UNIT#: 2A

WINDSOR, CT 06095

SITE NUMBER: CT2149 SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 2

29 MAHONEY ROAD COLCHESTER, CT 06415 NEW LONDON COUNTY



500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067

						٠,	F.
-						A	Z
						/宣	L
1	09/17/12	ISSUED FOR	PERMITTING		cg/	₽₩	4
0	08/07/12	ISSUED FOR	REVIEW		R/A	D	ÓРI
NO.	DATE		REVISIONS		BY	eAi	Ψį
SCA	LE: AS SI	HOWN	DESIGNED BY: DC	DRAWN	BY:	RM	3

WEST ELEVATION


SCALF: 1/16"=1'-0"

AT&T

ELEVATION & ANTENNA PLAN
(LTE)

OF MUMBER DRAWING NUMBER RI


149.01 A-2



MOUNT PER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS.

DC SURGE SUPPRESSOR DETAIL

SCALE: N.T.S.




SCALE: N.T.S.


NOTE:


REFER TO THE FINAL RF DATA SHEET FOR FINAL ANTENNA

NOTE:

AN ANALYSIS FOR THE CAPACITY OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURES TO SUPPORT THE PROPOSED EQUIPMENT SHALL BE DETERMINED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.








GPS MOUNTING DETAIL

SCALE: N.T.S.



PROPOSED LTE ANTENNA, RRH & SURGE ARRESTOR MOUNTING DETAIL

SCALE: N.T.S.



a UniTek GLOBAL SERVICES company

WINDSOR, CT 06095

800 MARSHALL PHELPS ROAD UNIT#: 2A

SITE NUMBER: CT2149 SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 2


29 MAHONEY ROAD COLCHESTER, CT 06415 NEW LONDON COUNTY



500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067

							J.	inii V	0	CONN	ECT	William .		
						Λ		7	Š		Willy.		AT&T	
			R PERMITTING		CG	/0¢					2	*	DETAILS	
0 NO.		ISSUED FOR	R REVIEW REVISIONS		Ry/	CHIC			/	MCENS	EQ	OR RUMBER	(LTE) DRAWING NUMBER	REV
SCA	LE: AS SI	HOWN	DESIGNED BY: DC	DRAWN	BY:		_74		Es	PONAL	innin, ENG	49.01	A-3	1


AMBROD.



2. OXIDE INHIBITING COMPOUND TO BE USED AT ALL LOCATIONS. 3. CADWELD DOWNLEADS FROM UPPER EGB, LOWER EGB, AND MGB.

TYPICAL GROUND BAR

CONNECTION DETAIL ANTENNA PROPOSED DUAL BAND ANTENNA TMA, RRH & SURGE-SUPPRESSOR -UMTS/GSM COAX GROUND KITS UPPER CIGBE-EXISTING #2G HOMERUN - POWER/FIBER LOWER CIGBE-JUNCTION BOX (AS APPLICABLE) (AS APPLICABLE) GROUND CABLE TRAY & ICE BRIDGE. EXISTING (2) #2 AWG-BCW TO EXISTING JUMPER ALL SPLICES -UMTS/GSM COAX GROUND RING GROUND KITS EXISTING #2G (ROOFTOP ONLY) GPS ANTENNA PIPE GROUNDING UMTS/GSM COAX-GROUND KITS GROUND ICE 1/2" GPS COAX BRIDGE POSTS MIGBE GROUNDING KIT -#2 GROUND TO EXISTING HALO OR MIGBE METER AND DISCONNECT EQUIPMENT CABINET -EXISTING GROUND OR RACK, RBS 6601 RING OR UTILITY & SURGE SUPPRESSOR #2 AWG SOLID TINNED COPPER (TYP) TO EXISTING SERVICE GROUND GROUNDING RISER DIAGRAM



NOTE:

CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM ALL PARTS & INSTALL ALL EQUIPMENT TO MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.






EACH GROUND CONDUCTOR TERMINATING ON ANY GROUND BAR SHALL HAVE AN IDENTIFICATION TAG ATTACHED AT EACH END THAT WILL IDENTIFY ITS ORIGIN AND DESTINATION.

SECTION "P" - SURGE PRODUCERS

CABLE ENTRY PORTS (HATCH PLATES) (#2) GENERATOR FRAMEWORK (IF AVAILABLE) (#2) TELCO GROUND BAR COMMERCIAL POWER COMMON NEUTRAL/GROUND BOND (#2) +24V POWER SUPPLY RETURN BAR (#2) -48V POWER SUPPLY RETURN BAR (#2) RECTIFIER FRAMES.

SECTION "A" - SURGE ABSORBERS

INTERIOR GROUND RING (#2) EXTERNAL EARTH GROUND FIELD (BURIED GROUND RING) (#2)
METALLIC COLD WATER PIPE (IF AVAILABLE) (#2) BUILDING STEEL (IF AVAILABLE) (#2)









a UniTek GLOBAL SERVICES company 800 MARSHALL PHELPS ROAD UNIT#: 2A WINDSOR, CT 06095

SITE NUMBER: CT2149 SITE NAME: COLCHESTER 2

29 MAHONEY ROAD COLCHESTER, CT 06415 NEW LONDON COUNTY



500 ENTERPRISE DRIVE, SUITE 3A ROCKY HILL, CT 06067

Ţ					32/	1	OF CON	44,00	E	<u> </u>	ATOT	
4 00 (47 (46	1001150 50			1					•	EL LIMBI	AT&T	'AII G
0 08/07/12	ISSUED FOR	PERMITTING REVIEW	CG RM	DC		///	705	78	4	<u> </u>	ING DIAGRAM & GROUNDING DET (LTE)	AIL
O. DATE	HOWN	REVISIONS DESIGNED BY: DC	DRAWN BY:	СНК	14	K.	CENS	EQ.	•	NUMBER 19.01	DRAWING NUMBER G-1	