

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

December 16, 2008

Steven L. Levine
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, CT 06067-3900

RE: **EM-CING-026-081125**- New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 39 Wig Hill Road, Chester, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Levine:


The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated November 25, 2008, including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.

Very truly yours,


S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/MP/laf

c: The Honorable Thomas E. Marsh, First Selectman, Town of Chester
Cathy Jefferson, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Chester
Crown Castle


Town of Chester
203 Middlesex Avenue
Chester Connecticut 06412-1200



telephone: 860-526-0013
facsimile: 860-526-0004
web page: chesterct.org

December 8, 2008

ORIGINAL



S. Derek Phelps, Executive Director
Connecticut Siting Council
Ten Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: EM-CING-026-081125-New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC Notice of Intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 39 Wig Hill Road, Chester, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Phelps:

This office is in receipt of a copy of your letter to The Honorable Thomas E. Marsh dated December 3, 2008 relative to modifying an existing telecommunications facility at 39 Wig Hill Road, Chester, Connecticut, enclosing a copy of letter and attachments from Steven L. Levine, Real Estate Consultant dated November 25, 2008.

First, I believe the site you are referring to is 49 Wig Hill Road.

Second, it would be appreciated if you would send me some further detailed information relative to the "installation of additional equipment cabinet in existing shelters or on existing or enlarged concrete pads" and "radome enlargement for flagpole and "stick" structures to accommodate larger antennas and additional associated equipment" as referred to in Mr. Levine's letter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

Judith R. Brown
Zoning Compliance Officer

/jrb

cc: Thomas E. Marsh, First Selectman
Michael Joplin, Planning & Zoning Chairman
Property File



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

December 3, 2008

The Honorable Thomas E. Marsh
First Selectman
Town of Chester
203 Middlesex Avenue
Chester, CT 06412-0218

RE: **EM-CING-026-081125**- New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 39 Wig Hill Road, Chester, Connecticut.


Dear Mr. Marsh:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal, please call me or inform the Council by December 17, 2008.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,



S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/jb

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Cathy Jefferson, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Chester

EM-CING-026-081125




New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

HAND DELIVERED

November 25, 2008



Honorable Daniel F. Caruso, Chairman,
and Members of the Connecticut Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

Re: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing tele-communications facility located at 39 Wig Hill Road, Chester (owner, Crown Castle)

Dear Chairman Caruso and Members of the Council:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System ("UMTS") capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

UMTS technology offers services to mobile computer and phone users anywhere in the world. Based on the Global System for Mobile (GSM) communication standard, UMTS is the planned worldwide standard for mobile users. UMTS, fully implemented, gives computer and phone users high-speed access to the Internet as they travel. They have the same capabilities even when they roam, through both terrestrial wireless and satellite transmissions.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in AT&T's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility

will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The height of the overall structure will be unaffected. Modifications to the existing site include all or some of the following as necessary to bring the site into conformance with the plan:

- Replacement of existing panel antennas with new antennas or, installation of additional antennas of a size required to accommodate UMTS.
- Installation of small tower mount amplifiers ("TMA's") and/or diplexers to the platform on which the panel antennas are mounted to enhance signal reception.
- Installation of additional or larger coaxial cables as required.
- Installation of an additional equipment cabinet in existing shelters, or on existing or enlarged concrete pads.
- Radome enlargement for flagpole and "stick" structures to accommodate larger antennas and additional associated equipment.

None of these modifications will extend the height of the tower.

2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than some enlarged equipment pads as may be noted in the attachments.

3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.

4. Radio frequency power density may increase due to use of one or more GSM channel for UMTS transmissions. However, the changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, New Cingular Wireless respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (860) 513-7636 with questions concerning this matter. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Attachments

**NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification**

39 Wig Hill Road, Chester
Site Number 2179
Docket 181 and Exempt Mod approved 7/02

Tower Owner/Manager: Crown Castle

Equipment Configuration: Monopole

Current and/or Approved: Nine CSS DUO-1417-8686 panel antennas @ 130 ft AGL
Nine runs 1 1/4 inch coax cable
Equipment Shelter

Planned Modifications: Remove all existing antennas
Install six Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) @ 132 ft
Install six TMA's and six diplexers @ 132 ft
Install three additional lines 1 1/4 inch coax

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 48.4 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 46.3 % of the standard.

Existing

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							38.63
AT&T TDMA *	130	880 - 894	16	100	0.0340	0.5867	5.80
AT&T GSM *	130	1900 Band	2	427	0.0182	1.0000	1.82
AT&T GSM *	130	880 - 894	2	296	0.0126	0.5867	2.15
Total							48.4%

* Per CSC records

Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							38.63
AT&T UMTS	132	880 - 894	1	500	0.0103	0.5867	1.76
AT&T GSM	132	1900 Band	2	427	0.0176	1.0000	1.76
AT&T GSM	132	880 - 894	4	296	0.0244	0.5867	4.16
Total							46.3%

* Per CSC records

Structural information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower and foundation have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed equipment modifications. (GPD Associates, 11/21/08)



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

November 25, 2008

Thomas E. March, 1st Selectman
Town of Chester
Town Hall, 203 Middlesex Ave.
Chester, CT 06412

Re: Telecommunications Facility – Wig Hill Road

Dear Mr. March:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“AT&T”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review AT&T’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes AT&T’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure

Date: November 21, 2008

Steve Tuttle
Crown Castle USA Inc.
349 West Commercial Street
East Rochester, NY 14445
(585) 899-3445



GPD Associates
520 South Main Street, Suite 2531
Akron, OH 44311
(330) 572-2100
bdaugherty@gpdgroup.com

Subject: Structural Analysis Report

Carrier Designation: AT&T Mobility Co-Locate
Carrier Site Number: 2179
Carrier Site Name: Chester-Wig Hill Road

Crown Castle Designation: Crown Castle BU Number: 800515
Crown Castle Site Name: CT CHESTER CAC 800515
Crown Castle JDE Job Number: 111835
Crown Castle Work Order Number: 239246

Engineering Firm Designation: GPD Associates Project Number: 2008282.51

Site Data: Wig Hill Road, Chester, CT 06412, Middlesex County
Latitude 41° 24' 13.93", Longitude -72° 28' 20.82"
150 Foot EEI Monopole Tower

Dear Mr. Steve Tuttle,

GPD Associates is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural integrity of the above mentioned tower. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural 'Statement of Work' and the terms of Crown Castle Purchase Order Number 311554, in accordance with application 70500, revision 1.

The purpose of the analysis is to determine acceptability of the tower stress level. Based on our analysis we have determined the tower stress level for the structure and foundation, under the following load case, to be:

LC1: Existing + Reserved + Proposed Equipment


Sufficient Capacity

Note: See Table I and Table II for the proposed and existing/reserved loading, respectively.

The analysis has been performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F standard and the Connecticut Building Code based upon a wind speed of 85 mph fastest mile.

We at GPD Associates appreciate the opportunity of providing our continuing professional services to you and Crown Castle USA Inc.. If you have any questions or need further assistance on this or any other projects please give us a call.

Respectfully submitted by:


David B. Granger P.E.
Connecticut #:17557




TABLE OF CONTENTS

1) INTRODUCTION

2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 3 - Documents Provided

3.1) Analysis Method

3.2) Assumptions

4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 4 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity

4.1) Recommendations

5) DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

6) APPENDIX A

RISATower Output

7) APPENDIX B

Base Level Drawing

8) APPENDIX C

Additional Calculations

1) INTRODUCTION

The monopole has 18 sides and is evenly tapered from 62" (flat-flat) at the base to 21" (flat-flat) at the top. It has four major sections connected with slip joints. The tower is galvanized and has no tower lighting.

The tower was originally designed for Bell Atlantic by Engineering Endeavors, Inc. of Mentor, Ohio for a 90 mph basic wind speed with ½" radial ice in accordance with TIA/EIA-222-F.

Modifications designed by GPD Project #: 2005078.33, dated 3/1/05 have been considered in this analysis. The modifications consisted of installing stiffeners to existing base plate.

2) ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The structural analysis was performed for this tower in accordance with the requirements of TIA/EIA-222-F Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures using a fastest mile wind speed of 85 mph with no ice, 73.6 mph with 0.5 inch ice thickness and 50 mph under service loads.

Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
130	132	6	Powerwave	7770.00	3	1-1/4	1
		6	Powerwave	LGP21401			
		6	Powerwave	LGP21901			

Notes:

- 1) See Appendix B for the proposed coax layout.

Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

Mounting Level (ft)	Center Line Elevation (ft)	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
150	152	6	Decibel	DB980H90E-M	6	1-5/8	
		3	Decibel	DB980H90E-M	3	1-5/8	1
	150	1		13' Platform			
147	157	2	Celwave	PD1142-1	4	7/8	
	152	2	Decibel	DB636-A			
140	142	6	Decibel	DB844H90E-XY	3	1	
		6	Decibel	DB948F85T2E-M	12	1-5/8	
	141	1	Decibel	DB809K-Y	1	7/8	
	140	1		13' Platform			
130	130	9	CSS	DUO4-8670	9	1-1/4	4
		1		13' Platform			
116	118	2		GPS	2	1/2	
		12	Allgon	7834.00	12	1-1/4	2
	116	1		13' Platform			
106	108	6	Dapa	59212	6	1-5/8	
	106	1		13' Platform			
96	96	3	Kathrein	742-213	6	1-5/8	1
75	75	1		GPS	1	1/2	
70	70	1	Kathrein	PR-950	1	WEP65	2

Notes:

- 1) Reserved Equipment.
- 2) Both the Existing and SLA loadings were considered during this analysis. The SLA loading was found to control.
- 3) Abandoned equipment to be removed.
- 4) Equipment to be removed and replaced by proposed loading. Feed lines to remain.

3) ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

Table 3 - Documents Provided

Document	Remarks	Reference	Source
Original Tower Drawings	EEI Job #: 4123, dated 8/7/98	Doc ID # 671925	Crown DMZ
Foundation Mapping	TEP Job #: 081974, dated 7/31/2008	Doc ID # 671930	Crown DMZ
Geotechnical Report	Dr. Clarence Welti, dated 10/27/98	Doc ID # 2301672	Crown DMZ
Modification Drawings	GPD Job#: 2005078.33, dated 2/22/05	Doc ID # 1037702	Crown DMZ

3.1) Analysis Method

RISATower (version 5.3.0.1), a commercially available analysis software package, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the tower and calculate member stresses for various loading cases. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

3.2) Assumptions

- 1) Tower and structures were built in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- 2) The tower and structures have been maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specification.
- 3) The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2 and the referenced drawings.
- 4) When applicable, transmission cables are considered as structural components for calculating wind loads as allowed by TIA/EIA-222-F.

This analysis may be affected if any assumptions are not valid or have been made in error. GPD Associates should be notified to determine the effect on the structural integrity of the tower.

4) ANALYSIS RESULTS

Table 4 - Section Capacity (Summary)

Section No.	Elevation (ft)	Component Type	Size	Critical Element	P (K)	SF*P_allow (K)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
L1	150 - 122.92	Pole	TP28.83x21x0.1875	1	-7.39	848.86	42.1	Pass
L2	122.92 - 84.26	Pole	TP39.51x27.2493x0.375	2	-18.22	2324.15	58.4	Pass
L3	84.26 - 41.55	Pole	TP50.99x37.1855x0.4375	3	-29.83	3506.36	66.3	Pass
L4	41.55 - 0	Pole	TP62x48.1335x0.5	4	-48.29	5073.96	63.2	Pass
							Summary	
							Pole (L3)	66.3 Pass
							Base Plate	63.2 Pass
							Rating =	66.3 Pass

Table 5 - Tower Component Stresses vs. Capacity - LC1

Notes	Component	Elevation (ft)	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
1	Anchor Rods		56.7%	Pass
1	Base Plate		33.1%	Pass
1	Base Foundation Soil Interaction		74.5%	Pass

Structure Rating (max from all components) =	74.5%
---	--------------

Notes:

- 1) See "Appendix C – Additional Calculations" for calculations supporting the % capacity consumed.

4.1) Recommendations

The designs of the tower and its foundation are sufficient for the proposed loading and do not require modifications.

5) DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES

GPD ASSOCIATES has not performed a site visit to the tower to verify the member sizes or antenna/coax loading. If the existing conditions are not as represented on the tower elevation contained in this report, we should be contacted immediately to evaluate the significance of the discrepancy. This is not a condition assessment of the tower or foundation. This report does not replace a full tower inspection. The tower and foundations are assumed to have been properly fabricated, erected, maintained, in good condition, twist free, and plumb.

The engineering services rendered by GPD ASSOCIATES in connection with this Structural Analysis are limited to a computer analysis of the tower structure and theoretical capacity of its main structural members. All tower components have been assumed to only resist dead loads when no other loads are applied. No allowance was made for any damaged, bent, missing, loose, or rusted members (above and below ground). No allowance was made for loose bolts or cracked welds.

GPD ASSOCIATES does not analyze the fabrication of the structure (including welding). It is not possible to have all the very detailed information needed to perform a thorough analysis of every structural sub-component and connection of an existing tower. GPD ASSOCIATES provides a limited scope of service in that we cannot verify the adequacy of every weld, plate connection detail, etc. The purpose of this report is to assess the feasibility of adding appurtenances usually accompanied by transmission lines to the structure.



It is the owner's responsibility to determine the amount of ice accumulation, if any, that should be considered in the structural analysis.

The attached sketches are a schematic representation of the analyzed tower. If any material is fabricated from these sketches, the contractor shall be responsible for field verifying the existing conditions, proper fit, and clearance in the field. Any mentions of structural modifications are reasonable estimates and should not be used as a precise construction document. Precise modification drawings are obtainable from GPD ASSOCIATES, but are beyond the scope of this report.

Miscellaneous items such as antenna mounts, etc. have not been designed or detailed as a part of our work. We recommend that material of adequate size and strength be purchased from a reputable tower manufacturer.

GPD ASSOCIATES makes no warranties, expressed and/or implied, in connection with this report and disclaims any liability arising from material, fabrication, and erection of this tower. GPD ASSOCIATES will not be responsible whatsoever for, or on account of, consequential or incidental damages sustained by any person, firm, or organization as a result of any data or conclusions contained in this report. The maximum liability of GPD ASSOCIATES pursuant to this report will be limited to the total fee received for preparation of this report.

Section	1	2	3	4
Length (ft)	27.08	42.83	48.21	48.47
Number of Sides	18	18	18	18
Thickness (in)	0.1875	0.3750	0.4375	0.5000
Lap Splice (ft)	4.17	5.50	6.92	
Top Dia (in)	21.0000	27.2483	37.1855	48.1335
Bot Dia (in)	28.8300	39.5100	50.9900	62.0000
Grade			A572-65	
Weight (K)	1.4	5.7	9.9	14.3



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING


TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
Valmont 13' Platform w/Rails	150	(2) LGP21901	130
(3) DB980H90E-M	150	(2) LGP21901	130
(3) DB980H90E-M	150	(2) LGP21401	130
(3) DB980H90E-M	150	(2) LGP21401	130
PD1142-1	147	(2) LGP21401	130
PD1142-1	147	Valmont 13' Platform w/Rails	130
DB636-A	147	Valmont 13' Platform w/Rails	116
DB636-A	147	(4) 7130.16.33.00 w/Mount Pipe	116
Valmont 13' Platform w/Rails	140	(4) 7130.16.33.00 w/Mount Pipe	116
(2) DB948F85T2E-M	140	(4) 7130.16.33.00 w/Mount Pipe	116
(2) DB948F85T2E-M	140	Valmont 13' Platform w/Rails	106
(2) DB948F85T2E-M	140	(2) 59212	106
(2) DB844H90E-XY	140	(2) 59212	106
(2) DB844H90E-XY	140	(2) 59212	106
(2) DB844H90E-XY	140	742-213 w/Mount Pipe	96
DB809K-Y	140	742-213 w/Mount Pipe	96
(2) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	130	742-213 w/Mount Pipe	96
(2) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	130	GPS	75
(2) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	130	PA6-65AC	70
(2) LGP21901	130		

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard.
3. Tower is also designed for a 74 mph basic wind with 0.50 in ice.
4. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
5. TOWER RATING: 66.3%

 GPD Associates 520 South Main Street, Suite 2531 Akron, OH 44311 Phone: (330) 572-2100 FAX: (330) 572 2101	Job: CT Chester BU#: 800515		
	Project: 2008282.51 Client: Crown Castle Code: TIA/EIA-222-F Path: G:\Telecom\2008282\51\RISA\800515.ei	Drawn by: bdaugherty Date: 11/21/08	App'd: Scale: NTS Dwg No. E-1