



Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

June 16, 2009

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, CT 06067-3900

RE: **EM-CING-022-090521** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at Westminster Road, Canterbury, Connecticut.

Dear Mr. Levine:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) hereby acknowledges your notice to modify this existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Section 16-50j-73 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies with the following conditions:

- The modifications referenced in the structural analysis report dated May 15, 2009 and sealed by Joseph Pachicarah Jacobs, P.E. shall be performed prior to the antenna swap;
- The tower shall not exceed 100 percent of its post-construction structural rating; and
- A signed letter from a Professional Engineer duly licensed in the State of Connecticut shall be submitted to the Council to certify that the modifications have been properly completed and the tower does not exceed 100 percent of its post-construction structural rating.

The proposed modifications are to be implemented as specified here and in your notice dated May 21, 2009, including the placement of all necessary equipment and shelters within the tower compound. The modifications are in compliance with the exception criteria in Section 16-50j-72 (b) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies as changes to an existing facility site that would not increase tower height, extend the boundaries of the tower site, increase noise levels at the tower site boundary by six decibels, and increase the total radio frequencies electromagnetic radiation power density measured at the tower site boundary to or above the standard adopted by the State Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to General Statutes § 22a-162. This facility has also been carefully modeled to ensure that radio frequency emissions are conservatively below State and federal standards applicable to the frequencies now used on this tower.

This decision is under the exclusive jurisdiction of the Council. Please be advised that the validity of this action shall expire one year from the date of this letter. Any additional change to this facility will require explicit notice to this agency pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-73. Such notice shall include all relevant information regarding the proposed change with cumulative worst-case



CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL
Affirmative Action / Equal Opportunity Employer

modeling of radio frequency exposure at the closest point of uncontrolled access to the tower base, consistent with Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, Bulletin 65. Any deviation from this format may result in the Council implementing enforcement proceedings pursuant to General Statutes § 16-50u including, without limitation, imposition of expenses resulting from such failure and of civil penalties in an amount not less than one thousand dollars per day for each day of construction or operation in material violation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation.


Very truly yours,



S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/MP/laf

c: The Honorable Brian H. Sear, First Selectman, Town of Canterbury
Steve Sadlowski, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Canterbury
Crown Castle USA, Inc.



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

Internet: ct.gov/csc

Daniel F. Caruso
Chairman

May 27, 2009

The Honorable Brian H. Sear
First Selectman
Town of Canterbury
P O Box 27
Canterbury, CT 06331-0027

RE: **EM-CING-022-090521** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at Westminster Road, Canterbury, Connecticut.


Dear Mr. Sear:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) received this request to modify an existing telecommunications facility, pursuant to Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 16-50j-72.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this proposal, please call me or inform the Council by June 10, 2009.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,



S. Derek Phelps
Executive Director

SDP/jb

Enclosure: Notice of Intent

c: Darlene L. Gannon, Zoning Enforcement Officer, Town of Canterbury



Cingular
EM-CING-022-090521

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
100 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

HAND DELIVERED

May 21, 2009

ORIGINAL RECEIVED
MAY 21 2009
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Honorable Daniel F. Caruso, Chairman,
and Members of the Connecticut Siting Council
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, Connecticut 06051

Re: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC notice of intent to modify an existing tele-communications facility located at Westminster Road, Canterbury (owner, Crown Castle)

Dear Chairman Caruso and Members of the Council:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System ("UMTS") capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T") plans to modify the equipment configurations at many of its existing cell sites. Please accept this letter and attachments as notification, pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2). In compliance with R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-73, a copy of this letter and attachments is being sent to the chief elected official of the municipality in which the affected cell site is located.

UMTS technology offers services to mobile computer and phone users anywhere in the world. Based on the Global System for Mobile (GSM) communication standard, UMTS is the planned worldwide standard for mobile users. UMTS, fully implemented, gives computer and phone users high-speed access to the Internet as they travel. They have the same capabilities even when they roam, through both terrestrial wireless and satellite transmissions.

Attached is a summary of the planned modifications, including power density calculations reflecting the change in AT&T's operations at the site. Also included is documentation of the structural sufficiency of the tower to accommodate the revised antenna configuration.

The changes to the facility do not constitute modifications as defined in Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") Section 16-50i(d) because the general physical characteristics of the facility will not be significantly changed or altered. Rather, the planned changes to the facility fall

squarely within those activities explicitly provided for in R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

1. The height of the overall structure will be unaffected.
2. The proposed changes will not extend the site boundaries. There will be no effect on the site compound other than some enlarged equipment pads as may be noted in the attachments.
3. The proposed changes will not increase the noise level at the existing facility by six decibels or more.
4. Radio frequency power density may increase due to use of one or more GSM channel for UMTS transmissions. However, the changes will not increase the calculated "worst case" power density for the combined operations at the site to a level at or above the applicable standard for uncontrolled environments as calculated for a mixed frequency site.

For the foregoing reasons, New Cingular Wireless respectfully submits that the proposed changes at the referenced site constitute exempt modifications under R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

Please feel free to call me at (860) 513-7636 with questions concerning this matter. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Attachments

**NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS
Equipment Modification**

53 Westminster Road, Canterbury
Site Number 5775
Former AT&T cell site
Exempt Modification approved 7/02

Tower Owner/Manager: Crown Castle

Equipment Configuration: Monopole

Current and/or Approved: Three Allgon 7250 panel antennas @ 160 ft AGL
Six runs 1 ¼ inch coax cable
Concrete pad with outdoor cabinets

Planned Modifications: Remove all existing antennas
Install new low-profile platform
Install six Powerwave 7770 antennas (or equivalent) @ 161 ft
Install six TMA's and six diplexers @ 161 ft
Install six additional runs 1 ¼ inch coax
Remove one outdoor cabinet
Install one new outdoor cabinet for UMTS

Power Density:

Worst-case calculations for existing wireless operations at the site indicate a radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density, measured at ground level beside the tower, of approximately 6.9 % of the standard adopted by the FCC. As depicted in the second table below, the total radio frequency electromagnetic radiation power density following proposed modifications would be approximately 10.7 % of the standard.

Existing

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							5.54
AT&T GSM	160	1900 Band	4	250	0.0140	1.0000	1.40
Total							6.9%

* Per CSC records

Proposed

Company	Centerline Ht (feet)	Frequency (MHz)	Number of Channels	Power Per Channel (Watts)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Standard Limits (mW/cm ²)	Percent of Limit
Other Users *							5.54
AT&T UMTS	161	880 - 894	1	500	0.0069	0.5867	1.18
AT&T GSM	161	1900 Band	2	427	0.0118	1.0000	1.18
AT&T GSM	161	880 - 894	4	296	0.0164	0.5867	2.80
Total							10.7%

* Per CSC records

Structural information:

The attached structural analysis demonstrates that the tower will have adequate structural capacity to accommodate the proposed equipment modifications upon completion of recommended tower modifications. (Paul J. Ford & Co., 5/15/09)



New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
500 Enterprise Drive
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067-3900
Phone: (860) 513-7636
Fax: (860) 513-7190

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

May 21, 2009

Brian H. Sear, 1st Selectman
Town of Canterbury
Town Hall 1 Municipal Drive
Canterbury, CT 06331

Re: Telecommunications Facility – 53 Westminster Road

Dear Mr. Sear:

In order to accommodate technological changes, implement Uniform Mobile Telecommunications System (“UMTS”) capability, and enhance system performance in the State of Connecticut, New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC (“AT&T”) will be changing its equipment configuration at certain cell sites.

As required by Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies (“R.C.S.A.”) Section 16-50j-73, the Connecticut Siting Council has been notified of the changes and will review AT&T’s proposal. Please accept this letter as notification under Section 16-50j-73 of construction which constitutes an exempt modification pursuant to R.C.S.A. Section 16-50j-72(b)(2).

The accompanying letter to the Siting Council fully describes AT&T’s proposal for the referenced cell site. However, if you have any questions or require any further information on our plans or the Siting Council’s procedures, please call me at (860) 513-7636 or Mr. Derek Phelps, Executive Director, Connecticut Siting Council at (860) 827-2935.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Levine
Real Estate Consultant

Enclosure



PAUL J. FORD AND COMPANY
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS
 250 East Broad Street • Suite 1500 • Columbus, Ohio 43215-3708

May 15, 2009
 John Eigenbrode
 Crown Castle International
 3530 Toringdon Way
 Suite 300
 Charlotte, NC 28277
 (704) 405-6606

Modified Structure is Adequate
Modified Monopole is Adequate
Foundation is Adequate

Subject: Structural Analysis Report of Existing 180-Ft Monopole w/ Proposed Modifications

Carrier Designation	AT&T Mobility Co-Locate	
	Carrier Site Number:	5775
	Carrier Site Name:	Canterbury-Westminster Road
Crown Castle Designation	Crown Castle BU Number:	876375
	Crown Castle Site Name:	CANTERBURY / LEMIRE
	Crown Castle JDE Job Number:	111862
	Crown Castle Application Number:	70507 Rev. 2
	Crown Castle PO Number:	331545
	Crown Castle WO Number:	272488
Engineering Firm Designation	Paul J. Ford and Company	37509-0930 BP
Site Data	53 Westminster Rd., CANTERBURY, Windham County, CT	
	Latitude 41° 42' 7.1", Longitude -71° 58' 50.1"	

Dear John Eigenbrode,



Paul J. Ford and Company is pleased to submit this "Structural Analysis Report" to determine the structural adequacy of the above monopole. This analysis has been performed in accordance with the Crown Castle Structural "Statement of Work", the terms of the Purchase Order, and the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard for the following Basic Wind Speeds: 85 mph without ice, 74 mph with 0.5" radial ice, and 50 mph (Operational) without ice.

The monopole was analyzed with the addition of the proposed antenna loading shown in the table below combined with the existing and reserved loading on the structure:

Elevation - ft	Count	Antenna Description
161	6	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe
	6	LGP21401
	6	Powerwave LGP21901
	1	12' Low Profile Platform

Based on our analysis, we have determined that (a.) the existing monopole structure is overstressed, and (b.) the foundation has sufficient capacity to support the existing, reserved, and proposed loading. When the specified modifications to the monopole structure are completed, the reinforced monopole and existing foundation will have sufficient capacity to support the existing, reserved, and proposed loading.

Respectfully submitted,


 Thomas J. Dehnke, E.I.T.
 Structural Engineer
 tdehnke@pjfweb.com 




TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3

ANALYSIS CRITERIA 3

 TABLE 1 - PROPOSED ANTENNA AND CABLE INFORMATION 3

 TABLE 2 - EXISTING AND RESERVED ANTENNA AND CABLE INFORMATION 3

 TABLE 3 - REFERENCE DOCUMENTS PROVIDED 3

ANALYSIS PROCEDURE 4

 ANALYSIS METHODS 4

 ASSUMPTIONS 4

ANALYSIS RESULTS 4

 TABLE 4 - COMPONENT STRESSES VS. CAPACITY (FOR REINFORCED CONDITION) 4

MODIFICATIONS 4

APPENDIX A 5

 OUTPUT FROM COMPUTER PROGRAMS 5

APPENDIX B 18

 CABLE ROUTING DRAWING 18

APPENDIX C 19

 TABLE C1 - LIST OF ATTACHED DOCUMENTS 19

INTRODUCTION

At the request of Crown Castle International, Paul J. Ford and Company has analyzed the monopole with proposed modifications at the CANTERBURY / LEMIRE site located in CANTERBURY, Windham County, CT. This structural analysis has been performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F-1996 Standard, "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures" to determine if the monopole structure has adequate capacity to support the existing, reserved, and proposed antenna loading.

ANALYSIS CRITERIA

The existing monopole with proposed modifications has been analyzed for the antenna and coax loading listed in Tables 1 and 2 below. The monopole has been analyzed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F-1996 Standard for the following fastest-mile Basic Wind Speeds: 85 mph without ice, 74 with 0.5" radial ice, and 50 mph without ice as recommended for Windham County, CT.

Table 1 - Proposed Antenna and Cable Information

Mounting Level ft	Center Line Elevation ft	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
160	161	6	Powerwave	7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	6	1-5/8"	Proposed
		6	Powerwave	LGP21401			
		6	Powerwave	LGP21901			
		1	-	12' Low Profile Platform			

Coax to be mounted internally and shielded from the wind. See coax layout in Appendix B.

Table 2 - Existing and Reserved Antenna and Cable Information

Mounting Level ft	Center Line Elevation ft	Number of Antennas	Antenna Manufacturer	Antenna Model	Number of Feed Lines	Feed Line Size (in)	Note
180	183	9*	EMS Wireless	FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	9	1-5/8"	MLA
	180	1	-	12' Low Profile Platform			Existing
170	170	12	Decibel	DB844H90 w/Mount Pipe	12	1-5/8"	Reserved
		1	-	12' T-Arm Mounts			
160**	161	3	Allgon	7250.00	6	1-5/8"	Existing
	160	3	-	Flush Mounts			
78	79	1	Spectracom	8225	1	1/2"	Existing
	78	1	-	3' Side Arm Mount			

*MLA antenna loading controls. Existing antenna loading consists of: (6) DB980F65E-M + (6) 1-5/8" coax

**Existing antennas and mounts to be removed. Existing coax to be reused.

Coax to be mounted internally and shielded from the wind. See coax layout in Appendix B.

Information for the existing monopole and foundation is based on the available drawings, documents, and/or information listed in Table 3 below.

Table 3 - Reference Documents Provided

Document	Source	Reference	Remarks
Proposed Antenna Loading	Crown Castle	876375	
Existing Antenna Loading	Crown Castle	876375	
Geotechnical Reports	CCISITES	1615348	Dr. Clarence Welti, 01/24/2000
Foundation Mapping	CCISITES	1615408	FDH, 09-04033E N1, 4/15/2009
Tower Mapping	CCISITES	2428368	FDH, 09-04023T T1, 05/04/2009
Tower Structural Analysis Reports	CCISITES	2431086	CCI, 271546, 05/11/2009

ANALYSIS PROCEDURE

ANALYSIS METHODS

RISA Tower (Version 5.3.1.0), a commercially available software program, was used to create a three-dimensional model of the monopole and calculate member stresses for various dead, live, wind, and ice load cases. The analysis was performed in accordance with the TIA/EIA-222-F Standard. Selected output from the analysis is included in Appendix A.

ASSUMPTIONS

1. Monopole was fabricated and installed in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
2. Monopole has been properly maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications.
3. The configuration of antennas, transmission cables, mounts and other appurtenances are as specified in Tables 1 and 2 and the referenced drawings.
4. Taper lengths were assumed per the minimum design standard per ASCE 48-05.
5. Mount models and areas were assumed per Crown Castle structural analysis dated 04/11/2009.

If any of the above assumptions are not valid or have been made in error, then the results of this analysis may be affected. In that case, please notify Paul J. Ford and Company immediately so that we can review any new and/or modified information and determine its affect on the analysis results regarding the structural adequacy of the monopole and foundation.

ANALYSIS RESULTS

Once the specified modifications to the existing monopole structure are completed, our structural analysis indicates that the reinforced monopole and existing foundation will have sufficient capacity to adequately support the existing, reserved, and proposed loading.

Table 4 - Component Stresses vs. Capacity (for Reinforced Condition)


Notes	Component	Elevation ft	% Capacity	Pass / Fail
Risa Tower Analysis Summary:				
	L1	180 - 134	67.0	Pass
	L2	134 - 88.497	78.9	Pass
	L3	88.497 - 43.294	75.3	Pass
	L4	43.294 - 0	79.8	Pass
Additional Components:				
See Proposed Modification Drawings	Base Plate	0 - 0	51.9	Pass
	Anchor Rods	0 - 0	69.8	Pass
	Foundation (Soil)	0 - 0	66.0	Pass
	Foundation (Structural)	0 - 0	90.3	Pass
Structural Rating (maximum capacity of all components) =				90.3

Our analysis indicates that (a.) the existing monopole structure is overstressed, and (b.) the foundation has sufficient capacity to support the existing, reserved, and proposed loading. When the specified modifications to the monopole structure are completed, the reinforced monopole and existing foundation will have sufficient capacity to support the existing, reserved, and proposed loading, as summarized in Table 4 above.

MODIFICATIONS

1. See proposed modification drawings

Section	Length (ft)	Number of Sides	Thickness (in)	Lap Splice (ft)	Top Dia (in)	Bot Dia (in)	Grade	Weight (K)
1	46.00	18	0.2500	3.33	17.6200	26.3740	A572-05	2.7
2	48.83	18	0.3125	4.33	25.2403	34.5330	A572-05	4.9
3	49.53	18	0.3750	5.25	33.0840	42.5100	A572-05	7.5
4	48.54	18	0.3750	5.25	40.7609	50.0000	A572-05	8.8
								23.9



DESIGNED APPURTENANCE LOADING


TYPE	ELEVATION	TYPE	ELEVATION
(3) FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	183	(2) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	161
(3) FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	183	(2) LGP21401	161
(3) FV65-14-00NA2 w/Mount Pipe	183	(2) LGP21401	161
12' Low Profile Platform (LP 717-1)	180	(2) LGP21401	161
(4) DB844H90 w/Mount Pipe	170	(2) Powerwave LGP21901	161
(4) DB844H90 w/Mount Pipe	170	(2) Powerwave LGP21901	161
(4) DB844H90 w/Mount Pipe	170	(2) Powerwave LGP21901	161
12' T-Arm Mounts (TA 602-3)	170	12' Low Profile Platform (LP 303-1)	160
(2) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	161	Spectracom 8225	79
(2) 7770.00 w/ Mount Pipe	161	3' Side Arm Mount	78

MATERIAL STRENGTH

GRADE	Fy	Fu	GRADE	Fy	Fu
A572-65	65 ksi	80 ksi			

TOWER DESIGN NOTES

1. Tower is located in Windham County, Connecticut.
2. Tower designed for Exposure C to the TIA-222-G Standard.
3. Tower designed for a 85 mph basic wind in accordance with the TIA-222-G Standard.
4. Tower is also designed for a 74 mph basic wind with 0.50 in ice. Ice is considered to increase in thickness with height.
5. Deflections are based upon a 50 mph wind.
6. TOWER RATING: 79.8%



Paul J. Ford and Company
 250 E. Broad Street Suite 1500
 Columbus, OH 43215
 Phone: 614.221.6679
 FAX: 614.448.4108

Job: **Ex. 180-Ft Monopole: Canterbury**

Project: **37509-0930 / BU #876375**


Client: CCI Drawn by: Thomas Dehnke App'd

Code: TIA-222-G Date: 05/20/09 Scale: NTS

Path: T:\375 Crown Castle\2009\37509-0930 BU 876375\37509-0930.dwg Dwg No: E-1


NOTE: NO DETAILED INFORMATION REGARDING INTERFERENCES WAS PROVIDED. THEREFORE, CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY ALL EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DIMENSIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. REPORT ANY AND ALL DISCREPANCIES TO PAUL J. FORD AND COMPANY AND CROWN CASTLE FIELD PERSONNEL IMMEDIATELY.

THIS POLE REINFORCEMENT DRAWING IS FOR THE POLE DESIGN AND ANTENNA LOADING DOCUMENTED IN THE PJF CO-LOCATION ANALYSIS FOR THIS SITE (PJF#57599-4930 BPT), DATED 5-15-2009.



BASE PLATE PLAN (1) S-2

NOTE: UNAPPROVED ANTENNA LOADS SHALL NOT BE PLACED ON THE POLE PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF BASE PLATE REINFORCEMENT.



STIFFENER (2) S-2

SPECIAL INSPECTION OF EXISTING SHAFT-TO-FLANGE WELD CONNECTIONS:
 (1.) PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, CONTRACTOR'S INSPECTION AGENCY SHALL INSPECT CONDITION OF EXISTING SHAFT-TO-BASE-PLATE WELD CONNECTION. ALSO INSPECT EXISTING STIFFENERS IF PRESENT. THE CONTRACTOR'S INSPECTION AGENCY SHALL USE THE FOLLOWING INSPECTION METHODS, OR COMBINATION OF METHODS, AS REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ANY CRACKS: VISUAL, MAGNETIC PARTICLE, AND/OR ULTRASONIC. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REPORT THE RESULTS OF THE INSPECTION TO THE OWNER AND THE ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE CAREFUL AND THOROUGH DOCUMENTATION OF THIS INSPECTION TO THE OWNER AND THE ENGINEER BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE THESE INSPECTION ACTIVITIES WITH THE OWNER'S REQUIRED PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES. IMPORTANT: THE TESTING AGENCY SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPORT ANY INDICATIONS OF CRACKS, FRACTURES, DISTRESS, AND/OR CORROSION TO THE OWNER AND ENGINEER.
 (2.) AFTER CONSTRUCTION, TESTING AGENCY SHALL INSPECT ANY AND ALL FIELD WELDS AND FIELD REPAIRS IMPLEMENTED AS REQUIRED BY THE OWNER FROM THE RESULTS OF THE INSPECTION IN THE PREVIOUS NOTE (1) ABOVE.



ISSUE DATE FOR PERMIT: 5-15-2009

EXISTING 180 FT. MONOPOLE REINFORCEMENT AND RETROFIT PROJECT
 BU#876375; CANTERBURY/LEMIRE; CANTERBURY, CT

CROWN CASTLE
 THE ONLY ONE-STOP SOURCE FOR ALL YOUR COMMUNICATIONS NEEDS
 1000 WEST 10TH AVENUE, SUITE 1000, DENVER, CO 80202
 TEL: (303) 733-3300 FAX: (303) 733-3300
 WWW.CROWNCASTLE.COM

PAUL J. FORD AND COMPANY
 STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS
 1000 WEST 10TH AVENUE, SUITE 1000, DENVER, CO 80202
 TEL: (303) 733-3300 FAX: (303) 733-3300
 WWW.PJF.COM

