



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

December 4, 2012

Lee Hoffman, Esq.
Pullman & Comley, LLC
90 State House Square
Hartford, CT 06103-3702

RE: **PETITION NO. 983** - BNE Energy, Inc. petition for a declaratory ruling that no Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need is required for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a 4.8 MW Wind Renewable Generating facility located on Flagg Hill Road, Colebrook, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Hoffman:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than December 18, 2012. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office and an electronic copy. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

c: Paul Corey, Chairman, BNE Energy Inc.
Council Members
Parties and Intervenors

**Petition 983: BNE Energy
Colebrook, Connecticut
Revised D&M Interrogatories**

1. Provide a text narrative summarizing the proposed changes to the Development and Management Plan (D&M Plan) that was approved on November 17, 2011. Also include the reason(s) why the D&M Plan must be modified.
2. Do the turbine locations change from their locations in the approved D&M Plan? The northeastern turbine appears to be located farther to the south.
3. The November 2, 2012 cover letter states that, "...redesigned driveway... will involve less cutting and filling and less clearing than the original D&M Plan." On drawing C-003 of the October 28, 2011 (as date stamped by the Council) set of drawings, the note at the bottom of the page stated that 13.32 acres or 16.70 percent of the property would be cleared. The latest version of drawing C-003 (date stamped November 2, 2012) states that 16.07 acres or 20.15 percent of property would be cleared. Reconcile this discrepancy.
4. How many acres of the meadow area would be disturbed for the access drive and the crane assembly/staging/material processing area? Is this area already cleared? Explain.
5. Has BNE Energy, Inc. consulted with Dr. Michael Klemens regarding any possible environmental impacts associated with clearing/disturbing the meadows, such as effects on wildlife (e.g. the smooth green snake)? If yes, what were the results of such discussions?
6. Is it feasible for the access drive to avoid the meadow area completely? If no, explain why not.