STATE OF CONNECTICUT

SITING COUNCIL

*

GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC * MARCH 14, 2013 * (3:05 p.m.)

(3.03 p.m.

PETITION FOR A DECLARATORY RULING

THAT NO CERTIFICATE OF

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED IS REQUIRED FOR THE

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION * PETITION NO. 1056

OF A 5.0 MEGAWATT SOLAR

PHOTOVOLTAIC RENEWABLE ENERGY
GENERATING PROJECT OFF GRASSY HILL

ROAD AND WALNUT HILL ROAD, EAST LYME, CONNECTICUT

BEFORE: ROBIN STEIN, CHAIRMAN

BOARD MEMBERS: Colin C. Tait, Vice Chairman

Robert Hannon, DEEP Designee Michael Caron, PURA Designee

Edward S. Wilensky
Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.
Philip T. Ashton
James J. Murphy, Jr.
Dr. Barbara Bell

STAFF MEMBERS: Linda Roberts, Executive Director

Robert Mercier, Siting Analyst Melanie Bachman, Staff Attorney

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PETITIONER, GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC:

PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC 90 State House Square

Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3702 BY: LEE HOFFMAN, ESQUIRE

1	Verbatim proceedings of a hearing
2	before the State of Connecticut Siting Council in the
3	matter of a petition by GRE 314 East Lyme, LLC, held at
4	the East Lyme Town Hall, 108 Pennsylvania Avenue,
5	Niantic, Connecticut, on March 14, 2013 at 3:05 p.m., at
6	which time the parties were represented as hereinbefore
7	set forth
8	
9	
10	CHAIRMAN ROBIN STEIN: Good afternoon,
11	ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order this
12	meeting of the Connecticut Siting Council today,
13	Thursday, March 14, 2013, at approximately 3:00 p.m. My
14	name is Robin Stein, Chairman of the Connecticut Siting
15	Council. We're here on Petition No. 1056.
16	Other members of the Council who are here
17	present are Professor Tait, Vice Chairman; Mr. Hannon,
18	who's the designee from the Department of Energy and
19	Environmental Protection; Director Caron, who is the
20	designee from the Public Utilities Regulatory Agency; Mr.
21	Ashton; Mr. Lynch; Senator Murphy; Dr. Bell; and Mr.
22	Wilensky.
23	Members of the staff present are Executive
24	Director Linda Roberts; Staff Attorney Melanie Bachman;

1	and Siting Analyst Robert Mercier.
2	The court reporter is Gail Gregoriades and
3	audio technician Aaron DeMarest.
4	This hearing is held pursuant to the
5	provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General
6	Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act
7	upon a petition from GRE 314 East Lyme, LLC for a
8	Declaratory Ruling that no Certificate of Environmental
9	Compatibility and Public Need is required for the
10	proposed construction and operation of a 5.0 megawatt
11	Solar Photovoltaic Renewable Energy Generating Project
12	located off Grassy Hill Road and Walnut Hill Road in East
13	Lyme, Connecticut. The petition was received by the
14	Council on December 17, 2012.
15	As a reminder to all, off-the-record
16	communication with any member of the Council or staff
17	upon the merits of this petition is prohibited by law.
18	There are no parties or intervenors to the
19	proceedings. As I mentioned before, the Petitioner is
20	GRE 314 East Lyme, LLC, and Attorney Lee Hoffman of
21	Pullman and Comley representing the applicant.
22	We will proceed in accordance with the
23	prepared agenda, copies of which are available in the
24	back. Also available here are copies of the Council's

1	Citizen Guide to Siting Council Procedures.
2	And at the end of this afternoon's
3	session, we will recess and resume again at 7:00 p.m.
4	The 7:00 p.m. hearing will be reserved specifically for
5	the public to make brief oral statements into the
6	record.
7	I want to also note for those who are here
8	and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are
9	unable to join us or will be unable to join us for the
10	public comment session, that you or they may send written
11	statements to the Council within 30 days of today. And
12	such written statements will be given the same weight as
13	if spoken at the hearing.
14	A verbatim transcript will be made of
15	this hearing and deposited with the East Lyme and
16	Montville Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the
17	public.
18	Do we have any public officials who wishes
19	to make a statement at this time? Okay.
20	So for administrative notice, I wish to
21	call your attention to those items shown on the hearing
22	program marked as Roman Numeral I-D, Items 1 through 35,
23	and Roman Numeral I-E, comments from the Department of

Transportation, dated March 1, 2013, and comments from

24

1 the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, 2 dated March 13, 2013. Does the Petitioner have any objection to these items that have been noticed? 3 4 MR. LEE HOFFMAN: No, we do not. 5 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. So we have -hereby notice administratively these documents. 6 7 We're going to now go to the appearance of the Petitioner. Attorney Hoffman, will you present your 9 witness panel for the purposes of taking the oath. 10 MR. HOFFMAN: Absolutely. Thank you, Chairman Stein --11 12 COURT REPORTER: Attorney --13 (pause - audio adjustment) 14 MR. HOFFMAN: Absolutely, Chairman Stein. 15 Starting at my very far left we have Robert Landino from Greenskies or GRE 314. Next to him is James Cowen. 16 17 Immediately to my left is Andrew Chester, also with 18 Greenskies. Immediately -- to my immediate right is Mr. 19 Michael Klein. To his right is Mr. Chris Albino. And to 20 the far right is Mr. Ray Gradwell. 21 CHAIRMAN STEIN: At this point would you 22 all please rise and we'll have the -- Attorney Bachman administer the oath. 23

MS. MELANIE BACHMAN: Please raise your

24

1	right hand.
2	(Whereupon, the Petitioner's witness panel
3	was duly sworn in.)
4	MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN STEIN: And please begin by
6	numbering the exhibits of the filings you've made and
7	request to notice these and verifying the exhibits.
8	MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	I'd like to mark as exhibits for identification the items
10	found in Roman Numeral II, Letter B. Item No. 1 is the
11	Petition itself, including bulk file exhibits of the Town
12	of Easy Lyme's Zoning Regulations, the Town of East
13	Lyme's Inland/Wetlands and Watercourses Regulations, and
14	the Town of East Lyme's Plan of Conservation and
15	Development. I'd also like to mark for identification
16	Items No. 2, GRE 314's Responses to the Council's
17	Interrogatories; Item No. 3, the Publisher's Certificate
18	of Notice in The Day; Item No. 4, the Sign Posting
19	Affidavit; No. 5 are the resumes of Michael Klein, James
20	Cowen, Eric Davison, Chris Albino, and Ray Gradwell; No.
21	6 is a letter from the State Historic Preservation
22	Office, dated March 6th; Item No. 7 are site plans that
23	were submitted to the Siting Council; and Item No. 8 is a
24	letter from Environmental Planning Services to the

1	Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, dated
2	March 13, 2013. I'd like to submit those items for
3	identification purposes at this time.
4	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Since there are no
5	parties or intervenors to question, we'll just continue.
6	MR. HOFFMAN: Very good. In that case, I
7	would since the witnesses have already been sworn, in
8	an effort to get these admitted as full exhibits, I will
9	start down the line to my far left and ask each of the
10	members of the panel did you cause or prepare to did
11	you prepare or cause to be prepared the items listed at
12	II-B, 1 through 8? Mr. Landino.
13	MR. ROBERT LANDINO: Yes, I did.
14	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Cowen.
15	MR. JAMES COWEN: Yes, I did.
16	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Chester.
17	MR. ANDREW CHESTER: Yes, I did.
18	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Klein.
19	MR. MICHAEL KLEIN: Yes.
20	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Albino.
21	MR. CHRIS ALBINO: Yes, I did.
22	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Gradwell.
23	MR. RAY GRADWELL: Yes, I did.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. HOFFMAN: And do you have any changes

24

- or amendments to those exhibits as they've been filed?

 Mr. Landino.
- MR. LANDINO: I have one small change. 3 In 4 the course of working with our construction team, we 5 thought of an idea that would eliminate or minimize the amount of tree removal from the site. And we thought 6 7 that if we could take the timber that was being cleared 8 as part of the proposed solar system and chip it and use 9 it as ground cover on-site and replace some of the no mow 10 grass seed mix and gravel pathways that were proposed in 11 the original application with the chipped wood, it would 12 serve several benefits; namely by using indigenous material, we would lessen or eliminate the amount of 13 14 trucks that would leave the site during construction. 15 would stabilize the site with the natural material that 16 would not require at least initially maintenance. And 17 then to the extent that we couldn't cover the entire site, we would continue to use something close to the 18 19 original design with a no mow grass mix, and we would 20 maintain the property as needed going forward. certainly require less maintenance with the wood chips. 21 22 And over time if we needed to replace some of the chips with additional seed mix, we would do so. 23
- MR. HOFFMAN: And other than that one

1	change, are there any other changes to your exhibits?
2	MR. LANDINO: No, there are not.
3	MR. HOFFMAN: And other than the change
4	mentioned by Mr. Landino, Mr. Cowen, are there any
5	changes to the exhibits?
6	MR. COWEN: No.
7	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Chester, any other
8	changes?
9	MR. CHESTER: No, there's not.
10	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Klein, any other
11	changes?
12	MR. KLEIN: No.
13	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Albino, any other
14	changes?
15	MR. ALBINO: No.
16	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Gradwell, any other
17	changes?
18	MR. GRADWELL: No.
19	MR. HOFFMAN: And with those changes are
20	the exhibits accurate to the best of your knowledge and
21	belief? Mr. Landino.
22	MR. LANDINO: Yes, they are.
23	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Cowen.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. COWEN: Yes.

24

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Chester.

2 MR. CHESTER: Yes.

MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Klein.

4 MR. KLEIN: Yes.

5 MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Albino.

6 MR. ALBINO: Yes.

7 MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Gradwell.

8 MR. GRADWELL: Yes.

9 MR. HOFFMAN: With that, I would ask that

10 the exhibits be moved as full exhibits for the purpose of

11 this petition.

12 CHAIRMAN STEIN: These exhibits are hereby

made part of the record. Thank you.

14 (Whereupon, Petitioner Exhibit Nos. 1

15 through 8 were received into evidence as full exhibits.)

16 CHAIRMAN STEIN: We'll now begin our

17 cross-examination with our staff. Mr. Mercier.

18 MR. ROBERT MERCIER: Thank you. I'd just

19 like to begin with some of the things that were examined

20 and talked about at the field review today. I guess,

21 first of all, the project area, is that composed of four

22 separate parcels?

MR. LANDINO: I believe it's three, but

1	believe it's three separate parcels.
2	MR. MERCIER: Do you have the addresses?
3	MR. LANDINO: Mr. Hoffman.
4	MR. HOFFMAN: I can look for those, Mr.
5	Mercier, and
6	MR. MERCIER: Okay
7	MR. HOFFMAN: get them to you.
8	MR. MERCIER: thank you. As for
9	ownership of the parcels, what entity is it individual
10	landowners or is it GRE?
11	MR. LANDINO: GRE or its assigned
12	affiliates owns all of the land.
13	MR. MERCIER: When we were walking today,
14	over to the eastern portion of the property there was a
15	statement actually, it's repeated in Council Question
16	No. 20 that part of that property was set aside as
17	conservation purposes. Is it was the was the land
18	donated or is there a conservation easement?
19	MR. LANDINO: I originally purchased a
20	portion of the land that is a part of this application to
21	develop a residential subdivision. As part of the
22	entitlement of that subdivision in the Town of East Lyme
23	I'm probably not going to get the year right, but I

remember around 2006 or so -- that sounds about right --

24

- or '07 -- we donated land to the west of the wetland band
- 2 to the East Lyme Land Trust --
- A VOICE: Excuse me, to the east.
- 4 MR. LANDINO: Excuse me. To the east of
- 5 the wetland band. And there is an easement -- an access
- 6 easement that runs along the eastern perimeter for the
- 7 public and for members of the Land Trust to get back to
- 8 that site.
- 9 MR. MERCIER: Okay. If we could just use
- one of the diagrams that were submitted, say the March
- 7th submittal, Tab 6, the third diagram, it's an overall
- 12 aerial plan.
- 13 MR. LANDINO: One second, we're just going
- 14 to have to pull it out.
- MR. MERCIER: Sure.
- 16 (pause)
- MR. HOFFMAN: Which one specifically --
- 18 MR. MERCIER: The overall aerial -- the
- 19 overall aerial plan. It's their March 7th submittal. It
- 20 should be -- it was on top of your books I believe, Tab
- 21 6, the diagram --
- 22 MR. LANDINO: Is this -- is this the
- 23 document?
- MR. MERCIER: That is correct.

1	MR. LANDINO: Okay.
2	MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Mercier, while we're
3	paused, before you begin that line of questioning,
4	although I'm not a witness, if you look in the petition,
5	at the top of page 7, the first line lists the four
6	parcels that are involved. In the petition itself
7	it's
8	MR. MERCIER: So it is four?
9	MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, sir.
10	MR. MERCIER: Thank you. In regard to the
11	plan, I'm just trying to understand where the donation
12	was made. I if we can use some of the features on
13	this plan, I see the wetland corridor, I see the hundred-
14	foot inland/wetland buffer
15	MR. LANDINO: Yeah
16	MR. MERCIER: I see the eastern fields.
17	MR. LANDINO: This plan does not delineate
18	the actual internal property line that resulted in the
19	dedication of that parcel, but essentially, it's all of
20	the land to the east of the wetland band that roughly
21	bisects the site. It is now owned by the East Lyme
22	the East Lyme Land Trust.
23	MR. MERCIER: And when you say wetland
24	band are you including the buffer areas to the west of -

- it looks like a stream in the middle of the wetland
- 2 band?
- 3 MR. LANDINO: Yeah -- no, the property
- 4 line -- my recollection -- and this map doesn't show it,
- 5 so I'm going by memory that's now seven years old, so I'd
- 6 have to look for another exhibit that might have this --
- 7 but my recollection is that the property line roughly
- 8 bisects the wetlands band so that the wetland and the
- 9 buffer to the west of the wetland are on the property
- 10 subject to this hearing and the property to the east is
- 11 owned by others.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay. And do you know the
- approximate size of the donation?
- MR. LANDINO: I believe it was 22 acres or
- 15 so and change, but again I could -- with the exhibits I
- 16 could pin that down.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay, thank you.
- 18 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Senator Murphy.
- 19 MR. JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.: While we're on
- 20 -- you talked -- you talked about a donation of the land.
- 21 Was -- (indiscernible) --
- 22 COURT REPORTER: Microphone please.
- MR. MURPHY: It's on -- at least the green
- 24 button is working -- (pause) -- the question simply is

1	was it a dedication of an easement a conservation
2	easement or is it a fee simple type
3	MR. LANDINO: It was a fee conveyance.
4	MR. MURPHY: So the type of
5	(indiscernible)
6	MR. LANDINO: Yes, it
7	MR. MURPHY: (indiscernible)
8	MR. LANDINO: Yes, it did.
9	MR. MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	MR. MERCIER: Okay. I'm going to flip
11	ahead one sheet in that tab submittal, Tab 6, where it
12	says the Overall Erosion Control and Demolition Plan. In
13	the western portion of the property, it shows a
14	construction entrance. After completion of the project,
15	is this entrance also the main entrance to the facility?
16	MR. LANDINO: Do you want I'm sorry
17	I don't I don't know what you're referring to, but
18	that's not the entrance to the facility is is to
19	the is the right the southern at the southern
20	limit of the property.
21	MR. MERCIER: Okay, so that narrow
22	property access on Walnut Hill Road?
23	MR. LANDINO: Correct.
24	MR. MERCIER: Okay. And what kind of

- 1 upgrades do you have to do with that road?
- 2 MR. LANDINO: We're -- we're going to
- 3 clear it and grade it to the best of our ability without
- 4 impacting neighbors so that a truck can pass over that
- facility. Again, the -- the solar farm when completed
- 6 won't have regular visitors. It will just be used for
- 7 maintenance purposes. So we're just -- and we use it
- 8 right now in its current state, but we will do our best
- 9 to grade it out and make it stable and as passable as
- 10 possible.
- 11 MR. MERCIER: Are there any tree clearings
- 12 associated with that road only?
- 13 MR. LANDINO: No, there's some brush and a
- 14 few branches, but no -- no tree clearing. It's -- it's
- an old haul road, so it actually is in place.
- 16 CHAIRMAN STEIN: We have a question from
- 17 Mr. Lynch.
- 18 MR. DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.: In driving by
- 19 Walnut Hill Road, it looked like a rather steep grade.
- 20 Do you have any idea what the grading is on that?
- 21 MR. LANDINO: Maybe Chris or Ray do, but I
- believe it's probably in the 12 to 14 percent range.
- 23 It's fairly steep.
- MR. GRADWELL: That's an accurate --

- 1 that's an accurate assessment of the grade.
- MR. LANDINO: Yeah. And we've -- I've
- gone up it 50 times, so it's certainly a passable road.
- 4 It's not -- it's just something that you wouldn't want --
- if it was a public street, you'd certainly want to --
- 6 wouldn't want to have it at that grade.
- 7 MR. LYNCH: Thank you. I just observed it
- 8 in going by --
- 9 MR. LANDINO: Yeah --
- 10 MR. LYNCH: -- and I just wanted to ask.
- 11 Thank you.
- MR. MERCIER: Now just beyond that road we
- were just referring to when you get into the solar field
- area, the proposed solar field area, there's a couple of
- old buildings in there, like an old house or something.
- 16 I understand those are to be torn down. Has there been
- any environmental review yet of those?
- 18 MR. LANDINO: Yeah, we've done lead and
- 19 asbestos, and there's none. Just to clarify, there's a
- 20 couple of old shacks on the southern half of the site
- 21 that we actually haven't done that for, but they don't
- 22 look like they ever even had any mechanical equipment in
- 23 them.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay. For the portion of

1	the property near the construction entrance, at Walnut
2	Hill Road there's an old or historic looking home I
3	wouldn't call it historic, but it's an old farmhouse. Is
4	that going to be torn down?
5	MR. LANDINO: Our intention was to tear it
6	down. It doesn't appear to have any historic
7	significance and it's in pretty tough shape. But you
8	know, that was our intention.
9	MR. MERCIER: To not tear it down?
10	MR. LANDINO: To tear it down.
11	MR. MERCIER: Oh, to tear it down?
12	MR. LANDINO: Yeah, demolish it.
13	MR. MERCIER: In the I don't know if
14	you have it, but I think it's in Tab 5 of the March 7th
15	submittal, the State Historic Preservation Office letter.
16	In the second paragraph it's talking about a small
17	farmstead. And I'm not sure where on the property
18	they're referring to. If someone could just examine
19	that.
20	MR. LANDINO: I actually read the letter
21	and wasn't sure what they meant by that as well because
22	there's no home on the property. That's a small barn
23	that you just referred to. And I didn't know that there
24	was anything else that could be interpreted as a home of

- 1 any type. There's -- is that the Tinker House is that
- 2 --
- A VOICE: Yeah, I think --
- 4 MR. LANDINO: There are shacks at the
- 5 south end of the site, but they weren't -- I wouldn't
- 6 call them a homestead or anything that looked like anyone
- 7 ever inhabited those other than for a night or so, so --
- 8 you know, so --
- 9 MR. MERCIER: Did the State Historic
- 10 Preservation Officer come out to the site and walk with
- 11 you or they just reviewed some plans?
- MR. LANDINO: I think they reviewed
- 13 plans.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay.
- 15 MR. LANDINO: They -- we did not conduct a
- 16 site visit with them.
- 17 MR. MERCIER: I wasn't sure if that -- if
- this particular building was the one we were just talking
- 19 about --
- 20 MR. LANDINO: Yeah, there is -- there is -
- 21 -
- MR. MERCIER: -- on Walnut Hill Road --
- 23 MR. LANDINO: -- there is an historic home
- 24 called the Tinker House, but it's not impacted by this

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

- 1 plan. And if you're looking at the site map, it's --
- 2 it's roughly in the -- the access to Walnut Hill Road
- 3 that's in the center of the site, there is a house that
- 4 dates I believe to the 18th century that is owned as part
- of this development, but is not being impacted --
- 6 MR. MERCIER: Okay, I think that's the
- 7 house that I was referring to earlier, called the Tinker
- 8 House, but it's an older home that's right near your
- 9 construction entrance --
- 10 MR. LANDINO: It's -- it's not -- it's
- 11 near the construction entrance, but there's sufficient
- 12 width for trucks to pass and that would not be impacted
- in any way.
- MR. MERCIER: So that particular home is
- not going to be torn down?
- 16 MR. LANDINO: Absolutely not. No, no.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay.
- MR. LANDINO: And actually, that's a
- 19 requirement of the original approval as well, that it not
- 20 be torn down.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay, thank you.
- MR. LYNCH: Mr. Chairman.
- 23 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Yes, Mr. Lynch.
- MR. LYNCH: Along that regards -- excuse

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 me -- I know you mentioned in the application that you --

- when you were looking to develop the property previously,
- 3 you checked with the Indian Tribal Councils as far as
- 4 their involvement in the preservation. I know the
- 5 Narragansetts control that. Did you -- why -- why didn't
- 6 you go back to them again?
- 7 MR. LANDINO: A little history. When we
- 8 entitled the original subdivision, we hired a consultant
- 9 to do an archeological study. And she determined at that
- 10 time through interviews and a site survey and research
- 11 that there was no significant -- no Native American
- 12 significance or historical impact related to that.
- 13 Subsequent to that, as part of this
- 14 application, we purchased an additional parcel to the
- south, which we did not do that same level of review for.
- We retained her to do that same review, and she's
- 17 currently completing that work as we speak. She needed
- 18 to wait for the snow cover to clear.
- 19 MR. LYNCH: Will we be getting a copy of
- that report?
- 21 MR. LANDINO: I believe within the next
- two or three weeks.
- MR. LYNCH: Thank you.
- MR. MERCIER: Is this additional review

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 related to the comments in the State Historic

- 2 Preservation Office letter?
- 3 MR. LANDINO: Yes.
- 4 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Still staying with
- 5 the same Tab 6 of March 7th, I'm just going to flip to
- the first plan now, it's rendered views. Okay?
- 7 MR. LANDINO: Yes.
- 8 MR. MERCIER: There's this -- in the
- 9 topographic overlay at the top of the page -- the center
- 10 page really, there's different various shades of green.
- 11 Can someone just tell me what -- what that means?
- MR. LANDINO: Yeah, let me -- on the map -
- 13 I think that -- is that a slope designation, Ray and
- 14 Chris, the darker green being steeper slopes --
- 15 A VOICE: I think it's trees --
- MR. LANDINO: -- or is it -- Ray --
- 17 MR. GRADWELL: I can answer that question.
- 18 The dark -- the olive color green on the top is the
- 19 limits of the wetlands. The next darker color green is
- the limits of the woods outside the wetland. And then
- 21 the lighter color green upslope of that would be kind of
- the open -- the open field.
- MR. MERCIER: Okay, thank you. Now
- looking at View 3 there, Rendered View No. 3, is that a

1	simulated view from No. 95 Walnut Hill Road?
2	MR. LANDINO: Yeah, it's from their home,
3	not from the street. There's virtually no views from the
4	street that create any type of visual impact. So what we
5	try to do to show the worse case is to kind of look at
6	people's backyards and try to simulate what they might
7	see.
8	MR. MERCIER: Okay. And and how did
9	you get the number of trees in the view?
10	MR. LANDINO: I'll leave that to the big
11	guys, so Ray and Chris.
12	MR. ALBINO: We used aerial imagery to
13	kind of count the number of trees and then put them in
14	digitally.
15	MR. MERCIER: So I guess my question is
16	you're going to be clearing up to the I think there's
17	a stonewall there
18	MR. ALBINO: Correct
19	MR. MERCIER: all the vegetation
20	there's a small band of trees I believe there, is that
21	correct, on your side of the property?
22	MR. ALBINO: At that particular location,

I believe there's not a lot of clearing being done on the

property, but -- so these trees represent what's on the

23

24

1	homeowner's property
2	MR. MERCIER: Okay
3	MR. ALBINO: which is outside of our
4	scope.
5	MR. MERCIER: Now I guess I have the
6	same question for View 1 and if you know the address of
7	that particular property?
8	MR. LANDINO: I don't have the street
9	address
10	MR. ALBINO: I don't have the exact
11	address.
12	MR. LANDINO: But it's essentially a home
13	that abuts the western boundary of our site. And so
14	we're giving a view from their backyard.
15	MR. MERCIER: And in looking at the map
16	there's an adjacent home just to the south?
17	MR. LANDINO: Correct.
18	MR. MERCIER: Would they have a similar
19	view?
20	MR. LANDINO: A similar view along that
21	entire segment of the development, yes.
22	MR. MERCIER: Are these homes on Mountain
23	View Avenue, if you know?

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. LANDINO: They're on Walnut Hill Road

24

- 2 Hill --
- 3 MR. MERCIER: Perhaps you could just check
- 4 that and get back to me later during the hearing.
- 5 MR. LANDINO: Isn't that Walnut Hill --
- 6 yeah, I believe it's Walnut Hill.
- 7 A VOICE: Walnut Hill.
- 8 MR. MERCIER: If you could determine the
- 9 addresses at some point, you know, at the break or
- 10 whatever.
- 11 Again -- so looking at View 1, it appears
- whatever woodland there may be on the GRE side would be
- 13 removed and it would be open -- an open view?
- 14 MR. LANDINO: Right --
- 15 A VOICE: That's correct --
- 16 MR. LANDINO: -- with a -- with a privacy
- 17 -- a timber privacy fence with a landscape screen
- 18 separating the development from adjacent properties.
- 19 MR. MERCIER: If we flip ahead four
- 20 drawings to the final overview of the Erosion Control and
- 21 Demolition Plan, I guess -- I guess for the properties we
- just looked at, one was 95 Walnut Hill, the other two
- 23 we're not sure of the addresses. Is it possible to --
- 24 well let's start with 95 -- looking at this plan, I see

- the wood fence directly on the property line. Is it
- 2 possible to move that fence backwards, eastward?
- MR. LANDINO: By how much, sir?
- 4 MR. MERCIER: Well I see a flat area where
- 5 there's some grading contours and then I can see the
- 6 perimeter road and then the solar field.
- 7 MR. LANDINO: Yeah, it looks like it can
- 8 be moved. Yeah, to some amount. Ray?
- 9 MR. GRADWELL: The fencing is shown right
- 10 outside the maintenance access path and then there's
- 11 slope --
- 12 MR. LANDINO: But the fence isn't on the
- 13 property line --
- MR. GRADWELL: It's not on the property
- 15 line.
- 16 MR. MERCIER: It's not on the red dot?
- MR. LANDINO: No, no --
- 18 MR. GRADWELL: It's actually right at the
- 19 limit of the development. And then that way we have room
- 20 to landscape on our property. So there's some buffer
- 21 between us and the adjacent property.
- 22 MR. ALBINO: It's a limited disturbance
- 23 line.
- MR. MERCIER: What's the line with the --

1	MR. LANDINO: You're looking at the
2	MR. MERCIER: little rectangles?
3	MR. LANDINO: You're looking at a silt
4	fence it's hard to see
5	MR. MERCIER: Okay
6	MR. LANDINO: these get real small.
7	MR. MERCIER: Thank you. If we flip to
8	the next page, the overall site grading and drainage
9	plan, it does show it right on the property line as a
10	line with little rectangles
11	A VOICE: No, that's the property
12	MR. MERCIER: defined as wood stockade
13	fence
14	MR. LANDINO: Yeah, it tapers it's on
15	the edge of our system and then begins to taper toward
16	that property corner on the, you know, northwestern or
17	south I guess that's the northwestern corner as you're
18	heading north. So it does it does get to the property
19	line at the point at which the property line turns the
20	corner, but for the entire length the the fence itself
21	is up on the top of the slope by the end of the system.
22	Are you following the same line? Oh, you're up you're
23	up at a different place
24	MR. MERCIER: I'm at the property we were

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

- 1 talking about before, 95 Walnut Hill --
- 2 MR. LANDINO: Yeah, okay. At that point
- 3 it does show it on the property line. And it certainly
- 4 looks like it can be shifted to the east if it needed to
- 5 be. Ray, can you just verify that?
- 6 MR. GRADWELL: Yep -- yeah.
- 7 MR. MERCIER: Okay. I guess -- I have the
- 8 same question for the two -- the two properties I just
- 9 talked about with the open views of the fence. Is it
- 10 possible just to move the grading back maybe -- I see it
- on top of an embankment, a fence, but it looks like when
- 12 you enter the solar field there's an empty area --
- 13 MR. LANDINO: Which -- which area are you
- 14 concerned about? I just want make sure --
- MR. MERCIER: The two properties I thought
- 16 potentially might be on Mountain View or Walnut Hill we
- 17 talked about before, rendered view --
- 18 MR. LANDINO: Yeah, I think we can move
- 19 that fence back if that was -- if I'm looking at the same
- 20 two that you are -- the ones right below the note that
- 21 says proposed well?
- MR. MERCIER: That's correct.
- 23 MR. LANDINO: Yes. Yeah, it looks -- I
- 24 mean it looks like we tapered the fence. It looks like

1	that could follow along our system line through the
2	entire length and then turn the corner more abruptly
3	when it needed to if that's if you follow what I'm
4	saying.
5	MR. MERCIER: Okay. While we're on this
6	overall drainage and utility plan, I see the three
7	detention basins on each side of the parcel. There's one
8	in the northeast corner?
9	MR. LANDINO: Yes.
10	MR. MERCIER: I'm not sure how that's tied
11	into the drainage of this site. Is for stormwater the
12	ground is sloped eastward, correct, so all the water will
13	run east into the detention basins?
14	MR. GRADWELL: Correct.
15	MR. MERCIER: How is the one in the
16	northeast corner incorporated
17	MR. GRADWELL: The north
18	MR. MERCIER: in the drainage plan?
19	MR. GRADWELL: The detention pond on the
20	northeast corner collects runoff from the west gradient,
21	the site that's the portion that's not going to be
22	developed. It's outside the limits of our array panel
23	and our maintenance path, but it does collect a small
24	portion of the array. There's a high point and a channel

1	between those two detention ponds, so a portion will flow
2	north to that detention pond, a small portion, but the
3	majority of the runoff to that detention pond comes from
4	upland slope that's north of the array panel field.
5	MR. MERCIER: So like non-disturbed areas
6	
7	MR. GRADWELL: Correct
8	MR. MERCIER: you're just ensuring
9	there's no additional runoff into
10	MR. GRADWELL: Correct
11	MR. MERCIER: into the wetlands.
12	MR. GRADWELL: Mmm-hmm.
13	MR. MERCIER: And staying with this plan,
14	I see three water wells on the property. What's
15	what's the purpose of the water wells?
16	MR. LANDINO: We we haven't made a firm
17	decision to do that, but we put them on the plan to the
18	extent that there would be maintenance required and water
19	was required. We thought we should show wells at points
20	you know, to have water sources so that we didn't have
21	to bring water trucks every time we needed to do
22	something related to washing the panels or maintaining
23	them. So that was our intention by locating those wells
24	on the plan.

1	MR. MERCIER: Okay.
2	MR. LANDINO: Infrequent use, you know,
3	once, twice a year maybe at the most.
4	MR. MERCIER: Now that we're talking about
5	the maintenance, is there regular cleaning or other
6	similar maintenance required for the panels?
7	MR. LANDINO: I'll kind of turn this over
8	to Andrew Chester, but, you know, there is the
9	maintenance is fairly minimal, but maybe, Andrew, you
10	could talk about what it might what it might include.
11	MR. CHESTER: Typically what we do with
12	solar panels for cleaning is let the natural rain wash
13	them. So throughout the year there is minimal manual
14	cleaning that needs to be done. The wells were put on
15	the drawings for the possibility that, you know, dirt or
16	debris gets on it and we would like to clean them off,
17	but traditionally nothing is done.
18	MR. MERCIER: If you do have to clean
19	them, do you use what do you use? Just water?
20	MR. CHESTER: Yeah, just a hose.
21	MR. MERCIER: Now the main solar field, I
22	know you talked about potentially using woodchips and the
23	rest is no mow grass. Does how often does no mow
24	grass have to be mowed I guess (laughter)

1	MR. LANDINO: That's like the hundred-year
2	storm happening every seven years, right my
3	understanding, and we have folks that are more expert
4	than I am, is that it grows to a height of about nine to
5	twelve inches. So because of our panel installation
6	heights, it wouldn't if it did do that, it would never
7	need to be mowed. But certainly we would monitor that
8	and if it needed to be maintained that's the reason
9	one of the reasons for the structure on site to
10	accommodate maintenance equipment and mowers, to be able
11	to do what's needed to be done.
12	MR. MERCIER: Is there any type of weed
13	control necessary?
14	MR. LANDINO: Not initially. One of the
15	advantages we thought of wood chipping especially because
16	at least for the first few years, you know, we wouldn't
17	have that issue. To the extent that it needed to be
18	done, you know, we could talk about using maybe a Round
19	Up product or something like that and maybe Jim or
20	Michael could speak to that, but something certainly that
21	is, you know, applied by a licensed user and someone that
22	and a chemical that certainly wouldn't have any
23	harmful effects, so Jim or Michael, I don't know if
24	you want to add to that.

1	MR. COWEN: I would say the main issue in
2	the development is going to be what we were tripping over
3	out there, the multi-floral rose thickets. Those are
4	likely to re-sprout and would probably need to be treated
5	with herbicides, which would kill them in a treatment or
6	two.
7	MR. MERCIER: I guess once the grass is
8	installed is there any reason to besides spot application
9	for some weeds is it you'd spray any type of chemical
10	or fertilizer or herbicides on the field?
11	MR. COWEN: The no mow seed is a seed
12	that's designed because it is environmentally safe. It's
13	a combination of six native fescues and they don't
14	require fertilizer or lime. They don't need to be
15	watered. They're drought resistant, so they may brown up
16	in the summertime, which is fine. And they are also
17	partially shade tolerant. One with the solar array
18	obviously it's to capture the sun, so there will be much
19	less sun available. So where grass is able to grow, this
20	can colonize those areas. But where it's shaded, there
21	will probably be less minimal vegetation.
22	MR. MERCIER: Does that seed mix actively
23	prevent weeds, like or I mean your seed will take
24	as grass, but will weeds grow up with it?

1	MR. COWEN: Weeds can grow. It doesn't
2	actively control weeds. It passively controls because
3	where there's one plant, another will get established.
4	So it does reduce weeds.
5	MR. MERCIER: Back to the multi-floral
6	rose, you said you might have to put some herbicide on
7	them. Is that specific to that plant or is this more of
8	a general application of a herbicide?
9	MR. COWEN: We would rely on a licensed
10	herbicide applicator to use the best herbicide available.
11	I'm not a herbicide expert, but we do we do rely on
12	experts for that application.
13	MR. MERCIER: Okay. I saw on the site
14	plans some proposed plantings, you know, between the
15	fence and some of the abutters. Does any of that
16	vegetation have to be maintained at a certain height or
17	are you just going to let it grow freely?
18	MR. LANDINO: It was meant to let grow
19	freely and just to act as a you know, trees
20	essentially, and let them act as a buffer that would
21	create a large canopy over time
22	MR. MERCIER: So
23	MR. LANDINO: and make the fence less
24	visible.

- 1 MR. MERCIER: So as they grow would that
- 2 affect any of your panels I guess?
- 3 MR. LANDINO: No. We've kind of taken
- 4 that into account and that's the reason for the distance
- 5 between the fence and the panels.
- 6 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Are there any noise
- 7 issues associated with this project?
- 8 MR. LANDINO: Not -- not that I'm aware of
- 9 unless grass cutting perhaps once a year if that was --
- or twice a year if that was -- that probably would be the
- only time that there would be any significant noise.
- MR. MERCIER: Do the inverters have any
- 13 noise characteristics?
- MR. LANDINO: Do they have a hum, do you
- 15 know?
- MR. CHESTER: Slight.
- 17 MR. LANDINO: Very slight -- a very slight
- 18 hum. Andrew, maybe -- if you want to respond.
- 19 MR. CHESTER: Yeah, there is a slight hum
- that will come from the inverters. I don't know the
- 21 actual decibel level -- was it submitted -- but it is --
- 22 it's very, very minimal.
- MR. LANDINO: And they're housed in cases,
- 24 right?

1	MR. CHESTER: Right.
2	MR. LANDINO: They're housed in cases that
3	would muffle that noise.
4	MR. MERCIER: What's the current status of
5	your feasibility study?
6	MR. LANDINO: Andrew.
7	MR. CHESTER: Yeah, I can take that. We
8	have submitted our application to Connecticut Light and
9	Power. We have had our scoping meeting, which launches
10	the facility I'm sorry which launches the
11	feasibility study, which will then turn into a facility
12	study. Right now our projected timeline is to have that
13	completed by June. So we we have answers that we are
14	getting back to them actively this week and next, and we
15	will shift directly into the facility study with their
16	third-party engineers.
17	MR. MERCIER: Do you know if you'll need
18	an overhead line to get to the interconnection point?
19	MR. CHESTER: We do not know at this time.
20	That's what they are starting (pause)
21	MR. MERCIER: Getting back to the parcel
22	itself, I know you did a donation of 22 acres or so. Do
23	you know if this property the remaining property or
24	any portion is part of the Department of Agriculture's

1	Farmland Preservation Program?
2	MR. LANDINO: It's not.
3	MR. MERCIER: Let's see in the in
4	Section 9.3 of the Natural Resource Survey, that's in the
5	application at Tab D, there was a recommendation to
6	restrict clear tree cutting during the period of March
7	through November. Is that something GRE intends on
8	doing?
9	MR. LANDINO: Michael Klein.
10	MR. KLEIN: The recommendation to restrict
11	tree clearing is based on potential use of the site by
12	bat species that roost individually in trees.
13	There are the other option that could
14	be explored if the timing was not feasible, would be to
15	actually survey to see if these listed species of bats
16	are present. Surveys for them are restricted to certain
17	times of the year, and those surveys were outside of the
18	dates that we were actively working on the site no, I
19	take that back the survey period is coming up where it
20	could be done. I believe it's in late April through May.
21	If those listed species of bats aren't present at the
22	site, then the restriction is not necessary. If
23	depending on the progress of this proceeding and other

1 doesn't occur during that restriction, then it's -- it's 2 not an issue. If it were to occur during that time frame, then an alternative would be to identify whether 3 4 or not the bats are present at the site. If they're not, 5 then it's not necessary. MR. LANDINO: And just to add to that, 6 7 we're -- we are planning on hiring a bat guy to do that 8 in April. 9 MR. MERCIER: Okay. What --10 A VOICE: (Indiscernible - laughter) --11 MR. LANDINO: That was a generic. Sorry. MR. MERCIER: What is your proposed 12 construction schedule? When would you want to commence? 13 14 MR. LANDINO: Ideally, we'd like to start 15 July 1 and finish by the end of this calendar year. 16 MR. MERCIER: On page 8 of the 17 application, Section 3, it talks about anti-islanding 18 features. If someone can just please explain a little 19 bit more as to what you're referring to, I'd appreciate 20 it? 21 MR. LANDINO: What section --22 MR. MERCIER: Page 8, Section 3, the third

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

Chris.

MR. LANDINO:

23

24

sentence.

1	MR. ALBINO: I think in talks with CL&P
2	that they're going to require a recloser. So I believe
3	that that sentence is going to need to be revised.
4	Although the the equipment does have that capability,
5	they want a redundant system, CL&P, so there will
6	actually be additional reclosers.
7	COURT REPORTER: One moment please.
8	(pause - tape change)
9	MR. MERCIER: So that's that's just an
10	automatic shutoff if there's an outage or something of
11	that nature?
12	MR. ALBINO: It's an outage or any
13	fluctuation in the in the line.
14	MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I have no
15	further questions.
16	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. We'll now go
17	with questions from the Council. Professor Tait.
18	MR. COLIN C. TAIT: On on Fred Reese's
19	letter, he raised the status of the farmland. Has that
20	been resolved? Has the Department of Agriculture
21	responded at all? On page 4 it says although the host
22	site is currently supporting only the Council should
23	solicit the input of the Department of Agriculture. If
24	we did and we haven't gotten anything back have you

1	guys gotten any feedback?
2	MR. LANDINO: We have not received
3	anything to date.
4	MR. TAIT: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Mr. Ashton.
6	MR. PHILIP T. ASHTON: Thank you. On the
7	site grading and drainage and utility plan, which have
8	I need a little clarification. As I understand it, the
9	total the control house is at the very south end of
10	the site and that you have a maintenance building that's
11	shown in the red block. Is that correct?
12	MR. LANDINO: Yes.
13	MR. ASHTON: Okay. Are there going to be
14	various sub-control structures scattered throughout the
15	fields where you're going from DC to AC?
16	MR. LANDINO: There are inverters, but
17	maybe Andrew or Chris Chris Albino would probably be
18	more
19	MR. ASHTON: Fine.
20	MR. ALBINO: Yes, there are inverters
21	scattered about the arrays.
22	MR. ASHTON: And they're not shown on

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. ALBINO: Yes, they are. They are in

23 here?

24

1	the red rectangles
2	MR. ASHTON: Oh, the red okay. At the
3	lower at the west side of the field, is that it?
4	MR. ALBINO: Correct.
5	MR. ASHTON: Okay.
6	MR. LANDINO: And they're in cabinets,
7	right
8	MR. ASHTON: Yeah. It's a metal
9	MR. ALBINO: Yeah.
10	MR. ASHTON: I understand. The has
11	has anybody examined whether or not in the morning sun
12	there would be any reflection off of the solar panels on
13	any of the abutting properties, any glare?
14	MR. LANDINO: The panels are all oriented
15	away from
16	MR. ASHTON: Yeah, they're tipped to the
17	south
18	MR. LANDINO: Yeah
19	MR. ASHTON: which if you get the right
20	solar insulation, could that possibly come up and
21	MR. CHESTER: Maybe I can answer that.
22	Typically the solar panels are designed to absorb all
23	sun, so they also have a plastic coating on top of them
24	that will reduce any glare. And other than that, they've

42

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 never been known to have any reflective properties.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. This grade drainage -grading and drainage and utility plan shows some pretty
severe and pretty significant fills near the temporary
construction road and not far from the permanent access
road. Where -- what -- where are you getting that fill

7 from? You're just going to scrape the field and push it

8 to the west? Is that the idea?

MR. LANDINO: Yeah, the intention is to leave the site in as natural state as possible and to -- in the field make the call as to where grades need to change in order to accommodate the racking for the solar modules. The grading plan is meant to be kind of a suggested grading. And it's -- it's absolutely meant to show a balanced condition where there's no imported or exported materials. It's just basically pushing dirt around to make it work within the tolerances of the racking system.

MR. ASHTON: Have you done any crosssections through that -- through those fills to show what they look like? I'm looking at --

MR. LANDINO: Ray -- Mr. Gradwell. Yeah,

we have.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. GRADWELL: We have done sections, Mr.

43

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 Ashton. They weren't included as part of the document.

2 We have sections we've drawn in-house to make sure of the

3 constructability of the field from east to west as well

as north to south. So as we were developing the plans,

we were cutting sections in periodic locations to make

6 sure --

5

7 MR. ASHTON: Would --

8 MR. GRADWELL: -- the site would be

9 buildable.

10 MR. ASHTON: Would it be reasonable to

11 file a couple of those, not every one, but that are

12 representative of the most significant fill?

13 And the other -- I've got a couple of

other alternatives that I wondered about. Have you

15 thought about (a) eliminating the westerly most row near

16 where the construction access is, and would that

17 eliminate the need for some fill?

18 And question 2, if you stepped the -- you

19 know, had a stepped grading plan, would that (a) give

20 you adequate area for installation of panels but at the

21 same time cut down the amount of fill you've got -- or

22 cut down the amount of cutting and filling you've got to

23 do?

MR. ALBINO: We were trying to get to a

1	certain number of panels, so we're trying to maximize the
2	site
3	MR. ASHTON: Yeah, I I can understand
4	that
5	MR. ALBINO: your suggestion certainly
6	
7	MR. ASHTON: but instead of doing this,
8	could you do this?
9	MR. ALBINO: Well they are although
10	what you're saying is a stepped version, and this is
11	pretty a linear graded version, but the panel sections
12	will actually do that stepping
13	MR. ASHTON: Okay
14	MR. ALBINO: doing a drastic step would
15	create a shading problem at some portion of
16	MR. ASHTON: Yeah, I understand you don't
17	want to create a shadow, so that's another
18	MR. LANDINO: To your point though, Mr.
19	Ashton, there may be some switching of panels, to move
20	some of them more to the north to the north
21	MR. ASHTON: Mmm-hmm
22	MR. LANDINO: that would lessen some of
23	that impact, and we could look at that. We've we've
24	been playing around with some of that ourselves to try to

1	leggen	that	impact.
T	TESSEII	LIIaL	Illipact.

- 2 MR. ASHTON: I was going to say -- there's
- 3 also an economic impact --
- 4 MR. LANDINO: There is and the cost --
- 5 MR. ASHTON: -- moving dirt doesn't come -
- 6 –
- 7 MR. LANDINO: Yeah. The plan makes it
- 8 look worse than it is because the site is -- really we're
- 9 maintaining the natural grade --
- 10 MR. ASHTON: Yeah --
- 11 MR. LANDINO: -- for most of the site.
- 12 It's really just in that one corner --
- MR. ASHTON: Yeah --
- 14 MR. LANDINO: -- that it gets severe.
- 15 MR. ASHTON: Okay. Going back to the
- 16 interconnection with Connecticut Light and Power, the key
- on this utility plan shows a red line with interspersed
- along it OH, which is indicative of an overhead utility
- 19 line. I couldn't find it. Where's the utility line?
- 20 MR. ALBINO: It comes along the access off
- of Walnut Hill, the construction access in the middle of
- 22 the site. There's a short little stretch that gets you
- 23 up --
- MR. ASHTON: I don't see it. I see -- oh,

- 1 it comes along the construction access area?
- 2 MR. ALBINO: Correct.
- 3 MR. ASHTON: Oh, okay. It didn't have the
- 4 OH in the middle of the line. I guess that's what was
- 5 fooling me --
- MR. ALBINO: It's there. It's just too
- 7 small to read on this particular plan.
- 8 MR. ASHTON: Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not
- 9 complaining about the drawing. What's the voltage of the
- incoming connection? Is it 23-kV?
- 11 MR. ALBINO: Actually that is part of the
- 12 line study that CL&P is doing right now.
- MR. ASHTON: Well they have it pretty well
- established around here now, I can -- I'm sure that's the
- 15 case. I saw somebody nodding 23-kV is in the area.
- MR. ALBINO: It will likely be that.
- MR. ASHTON: Do you know or not?
- 18 MR. ALBINO: It's part of that study that
- we haven't gotten yet from CL&P.
- 20 MR. ASHTON: Okay, I'll hold my -- and
- obviously it's going to have to be a three-phase
- 22 interconnection --
- MR. ALBINO: Correct.
- MR. ASHTON: Is there any ground cover

- 1 underneath the panels?
- 2 MR. LANDINO: That was the chips and/or no
- 3 mow grass, so --
- 4 MR. ASHTON: Okay. That's under the
- 5 panels --
- 6 MR. LANDINO: Under the panels
- 7 absolutely.
- 8 MR. ASHTON: Okay. And the no mow grass
- 9 will survive in no direct sunlight --
- 10 MR. LANDINO: That's what we've been told.
- 11 So our view is to start -- to maximize the use of the
- 12 chips --
- MR. ASHTON: Okay --
- 14 MR. LANDINO: -- and to the extent that we
- have enough, we'll do that everywhere. If we don't, then
- 16 we'll use the no mow mix.
- MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Those are my
- 18 questions.
- 19 CHAIRMAN STEIN: The question that Mr.
- 20 Ashton raised about those sections, would you -- is there
- 21 any possibility of getting them before the close of the
- 22 hearing today or are they --
- MR. ALBINO: Seeing that the engineer is
- in the room, not likely.

1	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Not likely? Okay.
2	MR. LANDINO: You mean tonight, after
3	7:00?
4	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Yeah, so we can avoid a
5	late filing.
6	MR. LANDINO: Can we can we have
7	something printed out by tonight?
8	MR. ALBINO: By tonight?
9	MR. LANDINO: Yeah.
10	MR. ALBINO: I think we could do that.
11	MR. LANDINO: Yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN STEIN: That would be helpful.
13	Okay. Dr. Bell.
14	DR. BARBARA C. BELL: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chair.

Just a couple of housekeeping details 16

17 first. Do you have a page missing after page 3 of the

18 narrative?

MR. HOFFMAN: No, Dr. Bell. That's what 19

20 happens when a lawyer runs a color printer -- (laughter)

-- the -- the electronic version that the Siting -- this 21

was brought to my attention today -- the electronic 22

23 version that the Siting Council has of the petition is

24 the complete petition, the one that's up on the website.

1 Unfortunately in changing the formatting from the black 2 and white printer to the color printer, one sentence off of the top of page 4 was removed. If -- if the Council 3 4 wants, I will find out what that sentence says and read 5 it into the record, but it is one sentence and not one 6 page. 7 DR. BELL: Okay, that's good. But I do 8 think that it has some information in there that is 9 important, such as what portion of the project is -- the 10 -- the indication of acres that the project is going to 11 be constructing and the acres of the solar panels, which 12 is a question that would be important, so I'll just ask it right now, failing that line, I believe -- I don't see 13 14 a statement of those numbers. How many acres are going to be affected -- of the 75, roughly 76, overall how many 15 16 acres will be affected by construction and how much 17 specifically solar panels? 18 MR. HOFFMAN: Dr. Bell, if you look at --19 on page 3 at the bottom, paragraph C where it says key 20 project elements, the second line is approximately 35 acres of the property will have solar panels on it. So 21 that is there in the record. 22 DR. BELL: Okay. Alright, then that's --23 24 then that's not in the missing line?

1	MR. HOFFMAN: No, ma'am.
2	DR. BELL: Okay. So 35 acres have solar
3	panels. And so what area I'm assuming if you count
4	in the roads going around and so forth, what number
5	greater than 35 would be that number?
6	MR. GRADWELL: Dr. Bell, could you please
7	repeat that question?
8	DR. BELL: The whole the whole property
9	is 76 acres.
10	MR. GRADWELL: Correct.
11	DR. BELL: Some smaller number would be
12	the total area that you're going to have construction
13	activities on
14	MR. GRADWELL: That's correct
15	DR. BELL: and then some the third
16	smaller number would be the just the simple area with
17	solar panels on it and nothing else, not counting the
18	roads or the control structure or anything else. So I'm
19	looking for those the two numbers, less that are
20	lesser numbers than 76.
21	MR. GRADWELL: Yeah. The the
22	construction area is approximately as Mr. Hoffman
23	or Attorney Hoffman said is about 35 acres
24	DR. BELL: Okay

51

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

- 1 MR. GRADWELL: -- the area for the
- 2 photovoltaic cells themselves is likely around 30 acres -
- 3 -
- 4 DR. BELL: Okay --
- 5 MR. GRADWELL: -- there's about five acres
- of external work that we're doing --
- 7 DR. BELL: Five, fine --
- 8 MR. GRADWELL: -- the slopes and --
- 9 DR. BELL: -- okay. That's good. Now my
- 10 understanding --
- 11 MR. HOFFMAN: If I may?
- DR. BELL: Yeah.
- 13 MR. HOFFMAN: The -- the line that is
- missing on the top of page 4 is that the solar panels
- 15 cover, according to the petition, actually 27 acres --
- DR. BELL: Okay --
- 17 MR. HOFFMAN: -- of that 35. And also
- 18 that the property is in a rural district zone, zoned RU-
- 19 40. The line verbatim is solar panels covering
- 20 approximately 27 acres, the property is in a rural
- 21 district zoned RU-40.
- DR. BELL: Thank you. My understanding is
- that the project that we're looking at is somewhat
- 24 different from the project that was submitted to DEEP,

1 and approved by DEEP. So my question is, is there any --2 or my first question about that is, is there a difference in the number of solar panels in the project 3 4 submitted to DEEP as opposed to this project we're 5 looking at? MR. LANDINO: I'm not sure what the 6 7 difference is, I don't know -- but there's -- the solar 8 panels are pretty much exactly the same. I don't want to 9 say that unless someone else verifies that. What -- what 10 differences were there in the application? And I didn't 11 know we submitted --12 DR. BELL: Well the --MR. LANDINO: There's no -- there's no 13 14 DEEP submission --15 A VOICE: No --16 MR. LANDINO: -- because there's no 17 wetland impacts or outside of the hundred-foot buffer. 18 DR. BELL: Okay, what I'm looking at --19 when I say my understanding, what I'm looking at is -- in 20 your Exhibit B of the application you have a portion -- a stapled together portion that says the East Lyme Solar 21 22 Park. And in there on page 9 there's a diagram of the

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. LANDINO: Okay --

site --

23

24

1	DR. BELL: and that diagram is
2	different from the diagrams that we're looking at here
3	that you gave us that you've given us several
4	MR. LANDINO: I see
5	DR. BELL: a lot of versions of,
6	because it doesn't have it's it's a the diagram
7	that's in this East Lyme Solar Park submission is
8	basically an east/west oriented well actually yeah,
9	there's no north arrow on the diagram, so I I tried to
10	figure it out but it's basically an east an
11	east/west it doesn't have the southern
12	MR. LANDINO: Correct
13	DR. BELL: the basic difference is it
14	doesn't have the southern portion.
15	MR. LANDINO: Right. I thought you meant
16	a regulatory submission. That drawing shows the original
17	parcel that we owned as part of the approved subdivision.
18	We subsequently added the additional land that's
19	triangular shaped in nature that makes up the balance of
20	the site.
21	DR. BELL: Right, but that was so was
22	that part of the property added was that part of the
23	submission to DEEP
24	MR. LANDINO: No, that was

1	DR. BELL: or did that happen that
2	happened afterwards, right?
3	MR. LANDINO: No, it was under control
4	DR. BELL: Okay
5	MR. LANDINO: but we didn't identify it
6	as part of the property because we didn't think we would
7	need it. We had it under contract. We didn't actually
8	own it. When it turned out that we needed it, when it
9	became clear that the physical requirements exceeded the
10	original parcel, we purchased that property in
11	anticipation of completing this application, but we had
12	it under control at that time, if that makes sense. We
13	had it under contract to purchase. We just didn't
14	identify it as such as part of the original application.
15	DR. BELL: But what I'm trying to get at
16	is when you submitted the proposal to DEEP, did you have
17	had you mapped out all the solar panels that you were
18	going to need for providing five megawatts of power onto
19	the smaller piece of property or was that
20	MR. LANDINO: We we
21	DR. BELL: that wasn't
22	MR. LANDINO: No, we
23	DR. BELL: that wasn't required?
24	MR. LANDINO: If you'll recall, we did

we had about two weeks to do the entire submission. The
time frame was incredibly short. We did a rough layout.

And we thought in fact that we could make it work. After
the post-submission and we realized we needed more land,
we had that additional land under control and we knew
that going in, so we expanded the system to incorporate
that.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In addition, we also had -- we were in discussions and had a contract with the Town of East Lyme to install a one-megawatt system that would require virtual net metering. We executed a contract with the town and that one megawatt of panels is going to go on the balance of our land. So we were originally planning this to be a six-megawatt total field. When the legislature did not pass the virtual net metering portion of the solar bill last year, that opportunity, you know, did not materialize. So what also became clear during the course of planning is that to properly space the panels to have access roads, to have them set up in a way that made sense, that the original parcel was just too small. And it wasn't able to be confirmed with the limited due diligence we were able to do within the two weeks or so that we had to submit the bid.

DR. BELL: Okay, thank you. That also

1 answers another question I had in the course of it about 2 why you were talking about six megawatts, but -- so, 3 thank you --4 MR. LANDINO: Yeah -- yeah --5 DR. BELL: -- you actually answered two 6 questions in one. 7 I note from your completed project list, which is also in one of the -- in one tab of the original 8 9 application, that mostly you do commercial and municipal 10 sized projects. And I saw that several of them were on 11 roofs and so forth. And I just wanted -- it seemed to me 12 that this was certainly the largest by far project that you had done because all the -- on the list of projects 13 14 that you submitted they didn't come quite to five 15 megawatts. I think it was four point something or other was the total there. 16 17 MR. LANDINO: Yeah. 18 DR. BELL: So this seems to be 19 considerably larger than any of the other individual 20 projects you've done. And I just wondered what you would cite as your experience in site development for solar 21

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

minutes. We have under contract approximately 35

MR. LANDINO: That might take a few

22

23

24

projects of this size?

57

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

- 1 megawatts more -- maybe Andrew, you could tell us what
- 2 our current pipeline is --
- 3 MR. CHESTER: Yeah. If I could maybe take
- 4 a step back. This was submitted in 2011. And through
- 5 the year of 2012 we installed an additional eight
- 6 megawatts, bringing our overall total up.
- 7 You are correct in assuming that the
- 8 typical size that we have been installing is around 500
- 9 kilowatts. To that point, if you look at the plans that
- 10 you have in front of you, this system is basically a
- 11 repeat of 500 kilowatt systems. So we have 500 kilowatt
- inverters that we are familiar with that our construction
- teams and superintendents are all familiar with as well.
- 14 So where -- it is a larger system in total. It's very
- 15 similar to what we typically do. And so where Bob was
- 16 headed with our current pipeline, we have several one-
- megawatt and mostly megawatt projects under contract and
- in development that are very similar, if not identical to
- 19 this project. And that pipeline is in several different
- 20 states and exceeds 50 megawatts.
- 21 MR. LANDINO: Right now we're working, you
- 22 know, from Georgia to Massachusetts, and working on
- 23 several very large projects.
- I would also say -- to add to the

1	qualifications part, I've spent my entire life in land
2	development and civil engineering. I'm a professional
3	engineer and was a part of BL actually originally for 22
4	years, so the land portion of this is something that I've
5	spent most of my personal career with. And our team
6	that's assembled, which includes BL Companies and civil
7	engineers that are on staff at Greenskies and Center Plan
8	have all done a significant amount of land grading and
9	drainage and sedimentation and erosion control through
10	the last quarter century. We have our own construction
11	company, which most developers don't. So other
12	applications that you might see would have developers
13	hire contractors and rely on their expertise. We are a
14	design, build, own and manage operation vertically
15	integrated, albeit smaller than most, but we take every
16	piece seriously and do it in-house. And so we have kind
17	of a very unique perspective from many of our competitors
18	in that we control the process through inception to
19	stabilization. So with that we've been able to attract
20	very large clients like Wal-Mart and Target and some
21	states and municipalities that are giving us multi-
22	megawatt commitments and we're competing and typically
23	leading much larger competitors because of our expertise
24	and our track record.

1	DR. BELL: Thank you. I have a question
2	regarding the output of the power. You say in Exhibit B
3	of the application that all the power will go to CL&P.
4	Okay, I understand that. But on page 3 of the narrative
5	you say that the qualifying capacity will go to ISO New
6	England. So I'm wondering how is it how is this
7	actually happening? Are you bidding the capacity on this
8	project into the into ISO's forward capacity market or
9	does CL&P who gets the power bid it in, or how does it
10	work?
11	MR. CHESTER: No, our our agreement is
12	with CL&P to sell kilowatt hours at a fixed rate that you
13	see in the proposal in front of you over a 20-year
14	period. They will then report and take that to ISO New
15	England, who we have to meet certain qualifications in
16	our design, but they take the they will report the
17	capacity to ISO.
18	MR. LYNCH: Mr. Chairman. Could I just
19	follow up, Dr. Bell?
20	So you don't have to go to the cue, to the
21	ISO to get a need analysis or anything? And if that's
22	the case, how do you you're a peaking plant, how do
23	you get dispatched?
24	MR. HOFFMAN: The long and short of it is

- because we're a renewable, we don't have to go to the ISO
- 2 cue. And renewables are treated differently than your
- 3 traditional fossil fuels. So the long-term bilateral
- 4 agreements supersedes the needs of peaking and everything
- 5 else.
- 6 MR. LYNCH: But you -- Mr. Hoffman,
- 7 correct me if I'm wrong, but doesn't -- aren't you still
- 8 dispatched by the ISO once you qualify for --
- 9 MR. HOFFMAN: Well you can't dispatch a
- 10 solar on-call like you can other things because --
- 11 MR. LYNCH: Okay --
- 12 MR. HOFFMAN: -- they can't turn a switch
- in Holyoke and turn on this plant like they can say in
- 14 Milford or -- so it's a -- it's a different sort of
- 15 dispatch altogether.
- MR. LYNCH: Yeah. I was confused when I
- was reading it. Thank you very much for explaining that.
- 18 Thank you, Dr. Bell.
- 19 DR. BELL: No problem. But just in trying
- 20 to stick with this, so the statement that all the
- 21 capacity -- you're allocating the capacity to ISO, it's -
- 22 that's really gratuitous in a sense because basically
- you're selling the power to CL&P, and CL&P takes it from
- 24 there --

1	MR. HOFFMAN: Well but the important
2	thing to remember is that CL&P is able to use solar as a
3	load reducer because solar tends to be generated and
4	during New England's peak demand time. So when you have
5	a hot sunny August day, the solar array will be at its
6	most efficient and you will wind up acting as a load
7	reducer for that. And that's part of what happens with
8	the Section 127 contracts that were approved by both
9	DR. BELL: That I understand completely -
10	_
11	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay
12	DR. BELL: I'm just trying to work out
13	to to work with that statement that's in there
14	which now seems a lot more difficult to interpret
15	we're going through the process of interpreting and so I
16	understand.
17	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.
18	DR. BELL: Thank you. In terms of the
19	environmental benefits from the project that are listed
20	in the narrative, you state that the project compares
21	favorably with fossil fuel alternatives. Now certainly
22	we all know that solar power doesn't cause as much
23	pollution as fossil fuel alternatives, but just to try to
24	get a little perspective on this, my simple question is

- 1 traditional fossil fuel alternatives, which is the phrase
- 2 that you use there, what do you mean by that? What is
- 3 that -- we -- are you talking about coal? Are you
- 4 talking about oil? Are you talking about gas or are you
- 5 talking about an average of those? And if so an average,
- 6 what -- whose figures are you using as a comparison for
- 7 the amount of fossil fuel that you're displacing? Do you
- 8 see what I'm asking?
- 9 MR. HOFFMAN: Well I guess I would start
- 10 by asking are you talking about the numbers that are on
- 11 page 10 to page 11 --
- DR. BELL: Yes --
- 13 MR. HOFFMAN: -- just so we're all talking
- 14 about the same --
- DR. BELL: -- page 10, yes.
- 16 MR. CHESTER: Okay, I see. There are many
- different calculation tools that we use in the renewable
- industry. And typically -- and what we see here is from
- 19 INRL. So we have gone on and we do simulations on a tool
- 20 called SAM, Solar Advisory Model, and it's produced from
- 21 the National Renewable Laboratories, and it allows you to
- 22 both show production in a given area and it also allows
- you to cross-reference that with offsets; how many trees
- it would save, how many, you know, barrels of oil it

- 1 would offset. So that's -- that's where those numbers
- 2 are derived from.
- DR. BELL: Okay, so the conversion
- 4 factors, the number of tons of carbon dioxide displaced,
- 5 and all those numbers that you just mentioned, the trees,
- 6 the cars off the road, they come from that SAM model from
- 7 INRL?
- 8 MR. CHESTER: There are many different
- 9 universities and think-tanks that produce this. And this
- is from that one, from INRL, through the Solar Advisory
- 11 Model.
- DR. BELL: Okay. I -- thank you for
- answering that question. I know there are a lot of
- 14 different ones --
- MR. CHESTER: Mmm-hmm --
- DR. BELL: -- EPA -- the Federal EPA has
- its own conversion factors and so forth. I think it
- 18 would be a help -- it is a help to people like us who are
- 19 having these figures thrown at us to know where they came
- from, to get that source in some way because we all know
- 21 that there are a lot of different figures possible to
- use, all quite justifiable. It's not as if there's only
- one accurate -- there's a whole bunch of assumptions in
- those figures and so it helps for us just to get a sense

- of where we are in this universe of estimating
- 2 environmental benefits and what point are we starting
- 3 from, that's all.
- 4 MR. CHESTER: Okay.
- DR. BELL: Those are my questions, Mr.
- 6 Chair, thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. Senator
- 8 Murphy.
- 9 MR. MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The
- 10 letter from DEP giving their comments was -- is -- was
- 11 dated yesterday. I think really we've got to perhaps
- 12 review and address those as best we can and I realize --
- it didn't the thing until I sat down here myself -- but
- 14 there's a comment starting on page 4 -- and as the
- 15 Chairman has indicated, we're not looking for any late
- 16 files after today, so maybe as best you can knock of the
- 17 comments now. And if you need to do something before we
- adjourn tonight, maybe we can wrap them up --
- 19 MR. HOFFMAN: Senator Murphy, I would -- I
- 20 would -- you were handed a document today that is our
- 21 response to the DEEP's comments --
- 22 MR. MURPHY: Okay -- well I haven't gotten
- 23 to that --
- MR. HOFFMAN: Understood you were handed

- it an hour ago, but we believe that that addresses --
- 2 MR. MURPHY: All the items in there?
- MR. HOFFMAN: We believe so, yes.
- 4 MR. MURPHY: Most of them you've already
- 5 covered, like the chips and no now grass and what have
- 6 you, alright. Well I apologize for not seeing your
- 7 response, but I -- I haven't seen it, so --
- 8 MR. HOFFMAN: That's quite alright. We
- 9 were only given a day to come up with it, so we did our
- 10 best.
- 11 MR. MURPHY: Okay. I'm sure you did a
- 12 fine job. Okay.
- I have no other questions then, Mr.
- 14 Chairman. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. Director
- 16 Caron.
- 17 MR. MICHAEL CARON: I have no questions,
- 18 Mr. Chairman.
- 19 CHAIRMAN STEIN: (Indiscernible) --
- MR. ROBERT HANNON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 21 Just for the record, my understanding is -- and this is
- 22 based on the March 13, 2013 letter from DEEP -- my
- 23 understanding is that the Natural Diversity Database
- 24 folks have in fact received the report, and they will be

1 reviewing that, and there may be some comments subsequent 2 to their review, but they have in fact received it. I want to start off by just sort of 3 4 following up with a couple of questions I asked out in 5 the field. One of the issues that I was looking at ties in with the comments earlier about the steep slopes in a 6 7 couple of areas, and I had some questions about the 8 permanent turf reinforcement material that was being 9 proposed. I've also seen in the past reverse slope 10 benches used. So can you talk a little bit about that in 11 terms of the material that's being proposed to stabilize 12 the embankment and whether or not reverse slope benches might work in that area? 13 14 MR. GRADWELL: With respect to those two 15 areas, the one on the southeast and I think on the 16 southwest corners of the site, the drainage areas that 17 would be tributaries to those slopes are very, very 18 small, most of the water will flow north or south away 19 from those slopes. So the slopes will be protected 20 themselves by minimizing any drainage or any water that 21 flows across those slopes. 22 And then the slopes will be protected with another measure, another permanent soil stabilization 23 24 measure such as that permanent erosion control blanket

- that we're proposing, it's a P300 North American green.
- 2 So that will be a permanent measure stapled to the earth
- 3 to allow the soil to be stabilized underneath that
- 4 erosion control matting. So that's how we're proposing
- 5 to stabilize that area.
- 6 We didn't think the reverse bench was
- 7 necessary because it was such a small area on the site.
- 8 And to create a reverse bench you need additional further
- 9 space to do that. And also that reverse bench requires
- some drainage to be installed, you know, another point
- 11 source. We kind of want to minimize any point sources
- that would be leaving the site such as an all-fault. So
- 13 we chose not to create a reverse bench to create a
- drainage point that would have to be protected with rip-
- 15 rap or anything like that.
- 16 So -- so we minimized the volume of water
- going there by grading it away from the slope and then we
- 18 protected the slope with an erosion control mat.
- 19 MR. HANNON: Okay, so you did at least
- 20 though think about the reverse --
- MR. GRADWELL: We did, correct.
- 22 MR. HANNON: Okay, that's fine. Another
- question that I was asking about as we were out walking
- the site is there are a bunch of like white stand pipes,

68

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 and I was just specifically asking what those were for? 2 MR. LANDINO: Yeah, they all relate to the original subdivision that was approved, again I believe 3 4 it was 2006, possibly '07, but I think 2006. But they 5 were all part of the original -- there's no environmental issues. They were all related to 6 7 permeability, perk tests, and test pits associated with 8 septic design. 9 MR. HANNON: Okay. Then the last comment 10 that I made -- I'll actually go back to the petition of 11 GRE 314, part of the original submittal, and I think it's 12 sort of the overview, and on page 20, the first line under community relations and public input, I believe 13 14 there's a typo, it should be GRE and not BNE, so --15 MR. ALBINO: You are correct, sir, that is 16 a typo, it should be GRE. 17 MR. HANNON: Then to follow up on a 18 question that was asked earlier dealing with the multi-19 floral rose, saying that herbicides may be required, if 20 that is in fact the case, would you be -- and I don't know if you can answer this or if you'd leave it up to 21 22 the applicators, but do you have any idea whether or not it would be spray or painted? 23

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. KLEIN: Mr. Chair -- or sorry -- Mr.

24

1 Hannon, it would be left up to the applicator. The -- my 2 first impression is that due to the fact that these spot treatments would be conducted in and around the existing 3 4 arrays that would be already in place, cutting is 5 probably not the first choice. It would probably be 6 spray --7 MR. HANNON: Okay --8 MR. KLEIN: -- but it's going to be the 9 applicator's choice. 10 MR. HANNON: Being sort of the newest 11 member up here, this is a question I have that other 12 people may know, but on page 8 of this document you talk about state-of-the-art anti-islanding features. What is 13 14 that? 15 MR. ALBINO: It's measures within the 16 electrical system that will force the system into 17 protection when it sees fluctuations in things like 18 voltage. 19 MR. HANNON: Okay. I just had no clue 20 what you were referring to there. 21 On the -- okay, then let me go back to

page -- on page 7, the anticipated useful life of the

project is 25 years. At the end of the useful life, what

is the intention of dealing with everything that's on the

22

23

24

1 site? 2 MR. LANDINO: Well our first intention would be to ask CL&P if they keep wanting to buy power 3 4 from us. But assuming that's not the case, we would 5 restore the site. You know, remove the panels, dispose of them properly, and then go back to the neighborhood 6 7 and ask them if they want to see a subdivision -- just kidding -- but you know, then it would -- then it would 8 9 be land available for future use, yeah.

10 MR. HANNON: Okay.

11 MR. ASHTON: Could -- could you not

12 replace the panels with new ones?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LANDINO: You know, there's -- there's not -- at least my -- I've been involved in this business now for almost five years, so I'm still a baby in some places, but there's not a lot of documentation to show what happens to these things after 20 or 25 years, but the degradation seems to be conservatively estimated. And by everyone's estimation so far -- and Andrew would probably know better than I -- even though he's younger, he's much more knowledgeable -- but it seems that the degradation will likely not be nearly what the experts and designers think it will be, and the panels will still be extremely functional at that time. And if they are,

1	there should be an opportunity to keep generating
2	electricity for someone perhaps the town, but
3	certainly for someone.
4	MR. LYNCH: Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Mr. Lynch.
6	MR. LYNCH: Just to follow up on that
7	theme, Mr. Chester, you were going to answer and go ahead
8	if you want?
9	MR. CHESTER: No, I'm fine.
10	MR. LYNCH: In the world of technology, I
11	guess it's More's Law or More's Principal that everything
12	changes after 18 months or two years. Now if you if
13	your project if a new bounce trap comes along five
14	years from now that are better solar panels that can
15	increase your output from maybe five megawatts to ten
16	megawatts, would it behoove you to retool and replace
17	these panels? I don't know what the capital cost might
18	be, but I know you've got to take that into
19	consideration, but would that be something you would
20	consider as you go 20 years is a long time and the
21	technological world changes instantly almost.
22	MR. CHESTER: To your point, they have
23	been getting more and more efficient. The technology has

remained static for the past several decades. It's still

1 silicon, you know, ingots cut into thin wafers, but they 2 do get more efficient. So what we've seen over just our short five years of developing these is yes they've --3 4 they're able to produce more watts, but they still work. 5 So when you're putting -- you tell CL&P you're putting up five megawatts, if we find technology that is more 6 7 efficient that would allow us to put up ten megawatts, it 8 would be a discussion that we would have to have with the 9 power company. 10 But what I was going to say earlier and is 11 kind of still relevant is there are also abilities to 12 recycle solar panels. It can be -- all components can be broken down, the silicon can be remelted and turned into 13 14 ingot again in its rawest form and recut into new solar 15 panels. So there are several companies that are 16 recycling solar panels. So that is another option for us 17 as well. 18 MR. LANDINO: And to --19 MR. LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Chester. 20 you -- go ahead, Mr. Landino. 21 MR. LANDINO: I was just going to add the 22 only way we see technology kind of radically changing in the industry is if you can produce the same amount of 23 24 power in a much smaller area. And that would just simply

1	be a that would be a business decision at the time			
2	that that technology might be available			
3	MR. LYNCH: That was actually the second			
4	part of my question, but			
5	MR. LANDINO: And CL&P would have to be			
6	extremely cooperative in that endeavor and trying to			
7	amend whatever agreement we had, to either increase the			
8	amount of power we sell to them or do it over a smaller			
9	system.			
10	MR. LYNCH: Thank you for adding that.			
11	MR. LANDINO: Yeah.			
12	COURT REPORTER: One moment please.			
13	(pause - tape change)			
14	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Mr. Hannon again.			
15	MR. HANNON: On the Natural Resource			
16	Inventory and Impact Assessment, I have a question or			
17	this is an inconsistency I kind of read it that way			
18	on page 24, this deals with I think the no mow seed, and			
19	you say the seed mix chosen does not require irrigation			
20	or fertilization. But on page 27 you say that it doesn't			
21	require regular mowing, fertilization, or pesticide			
22	application. To me, I read those as two different			
23	scenarios.			
24	MR. KLEIN: Mr. Hannon, I think it it's			

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	poor English sentence construction perhaps. The it				
2	doesn't require regular mowing. It doesn't require				
3	irrigation or fertilization				
4	MR. HANNON: It does or does not?				
5	MR. KLEIN: It does not.				
6	MR. HANNON: Okay.				
7	MR. KLEIN: Those two thoughts got meshed				
8	together in the sentence				
9	MR. HANNON: That's fine, that's				
10	MR. KLEIN: and it's poorly				
11	constructed.				
12	MR. HANNON: That's what's on the record,				
13	so I'm happy with that.				
14	The next question kind of goes back				
15	between the Natural Diversity Resource Inventory and the				
16	stormwater management report, and to me it looks like				
17	there's a loose use of ponds and basins. And as a				
18	result, this is very confusing. For example, dealing				
19	with the Natural Resource Inventory, you talk about				
20	several ponds on the site, some of them are shallow dug				
21	ponds and things of that nature. But yet with the				
22	stormwater management report, you're using the terms				
23	interchangeably and it does cause some confusion. So I				
24	just want to make sure that when you're talking about				

1 the detention systems, those are in fact constructed 2 basins? MR. KLEIN: That's correct. None of the 3 4 preexisting wetlands or ponds on the site will be used as 5 part of the stormwater management system. MR. HANNON: Okay. Then also following up 6 7 on that, you talk about for the catch basins they're 8 going to be inspected every four months, with one 9 inspection occurring during the month of April, which is 10 fine. But if I'm reading the plans correctly, those 11 catch basins are actually in the detention basin. So if 12 you're going to be out there inspecting the catch basins, I would think that you would also be inspecting the 13 14 basins. So that's why -- on page 5 of 6 in this plan it 15 talks about the detention basin shall be inspected a 16 minimum of two times yearly. And I'm thinking that that 17 should really be consistent with what's being done for 18 the drainage basins. 19 MR. LANDINO: I could probably take a stab 20 When we first construct the detention system, at that. we probably would review it quarterly, and that's likely 21 22 what was noted in what you read. Once we understand the stability of the system, we would reduce it to twice 23 24 annually if it was determined that sediment would likely

1 not accumulate to levels that required maintenance during 2 those cycles. So we usually start every system with quarterly monitoring and then decide if it continues to 3 4 be needed on a quarterly basis, then reduce it to no less than twice a year if in fact it warrants a lesser 5 inspection interval. 6 7 MR. HANNON: Because I didn't see the 8 twice a year for the catch basins. I thought it was 9 pretty definitive and it was three times, so --10 MR. LANDINO: Yeah --11 MR. HANNON: -- obviously if you're doing 12 the basins --MR. LANDINO: It makes sense for them to -13 14 15 MR. HANNON: -- it should be tied in --16 MR. LANDINO: They're all the same. 17 MR. HANNON: Yeah, so -- okay. Because my 18 eyes aren't that good, I went to the big plan and I do 19 have a couple of questions. Okay, one you've already 20 answered in dealing with the slopes, so I'm fine with 21 that. 22 I have a question for you. It looks like 23 where you have some of these drainage pipes with the rip-

rap at the end of those pipes. I was just trying to make

1	sense of this because it almost looks like, at least			
2	initially, there are no erosion and sedimentation control			
3	measures down slope of those units. It looks like you			
4	have that erosion and sedimentation control of silt socks			
5	going up to a point, but yet it doesn't extend in that			
6	outfall area. So can you explain that? And I'm I'm			
7	looking specifically at page EC-6.			
8	MR. GRADWELL: EC-6 you said?			
9	MR. HANNON: Yes.			
10	MR. GRADWELL: Well the reason there's			
11	no sediment and erosion control measure at the outlet			
12	itself. The sediment is will be collected and trapped			
13	in the actual temporary sediment trap up-gradient of it.			
14	So within each of those detention basins there is a			
15	sediment check dam on the up-gradient side of the outlook			
16	control structure, so we're that's where we're going			
17	to capture the sediment. We want to capture it as far			
18	away from the resource that we're protecting, which is			
19	the wetland, as possible, so that's where we put that.			
20	MR. HANNON: Yeah. I mean my concern is			
21	more because of the construction of this going in			
22	MR. GRADWELL: Mmm-hmm			
23	MR. HANNON: you don't have any erosion			
24	control measures in between where the pipe ends, the rip-			

1 rap goes in, which is a construction area, down to the 2 wetland. So I'm just wondering -- I mean the silt sock is going to deteriorate anyways, so it acts as a good 3 4 natural barrier. I just thought it would make sense to 5 enclose that just so that with the construction you're still not going to have any destabilization --6 7 MR. GRADWELL: And -- and we can take that 8 silt sock and wrap it around that --9 MR. HANNON: Yeah --10 MR. GRADWELL: -- for protection, to add 11 another measure downstream. 12 MR. HANNON: Yeah. And the only other question I had is -- if I'm reading everything correctly, 13 14 you are going to need at least one well on site, 15 correct? 16 A VOICE: (Indiscernible) --17 MR. HANNON: Well -- because you're 18 talking about setting up a maintenance building that will 19 have lavatories, you're talking about a septic system, so 20 I'm assuming you need a well. 21 MR. LANDINO: Yes, the -- the building is 22 serviced by well and septic. MR. HANNON: Okay. Would that be one of 23

those three proposed wells that you identified on the

1	site?				
2	MR. LANDINO: I'll let Ray answer, but I				
3	believe that's separate from the three wells that are in				
4	the field itself				
5	MR. HANNON: Okay				
6	MR. LANDINO: again from memory. Is				
7	that right, Ray?				
8	MR. GRADWELL: There's there's three				
9	wells proposed on there's three wells proposed on the				
10	site				
11	MR. HANNON: Mmm-hmm				
12	MR. GRADWELL: two of which would be				
13	for maintenance purposes and one will serve the building.				
14	MR. HANNON: Okay, that's fine, thank you.				
15	I have no further questions.				
16	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. Mr. Wilensky.				
17	MR. EDWARD S. WILENSKY: Yes. Going along				
18	with the with the wells and the water and all, is fire				
19	protection needed? Is there anything combustible there				
20	where fire protection would be needed?				
21	MR. ALBINO: The only thing that would be				
22	of combustible materials is the maintenance building,				
23	which would be probably framed out of wood.				

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. WILENSKY: So as far as the panels,

1	there's nothing that			
2	MR. ALBINO: There is not			
3	MR. WILENSKY: there's no danger of			
4	that burning or anything like that what about a grass			
5	fire?			
6	MR. LANDINO: It's metal, glass, and sand,			
7	you know			
8	MR. WILENSKY: Is there such a thing as			
9	putting in the in the retention pond, putting in dry -			
10	- dry hydrants? I imagine there's no there's no			
11	there aren't any fire any hydrants up through that			
12	way, is that correct?			
13	MR. ALBINO: I don't believe so.			
14	MR. WILENSKY: Would you say that at			
15	the end of in other words, there's no necessity for			
16	extra fire protection, is that what you're saying?			
17	MR. ALBINO: Correct.			
18	MR. LANDINO: Yeah, we did not plan for			
19	fire protection of the solar field itself.			
20	MR. WILENSKY: You mentioned that the zone			
21	is a rural zone. Does that does this project comply			
22	with the rural zone?			
23	MR. LANDINO: I don't			

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

MR. WILENSKY: In other words, is this

1	project allowed in a rural zone?			
2	MR. LANDINO: Zoning is a 40,000 square			
3	foot per lot residential zone. There is no to my			
4	knowledge there is no zoning ordinance in the Town of			
5	East Lyme that even identifies photovoltaic solar. Now			
6	that may have changed recently, but that was my			
7	understanding as of about a year ago. And I'm not sure			
8	if that's changed since then.			
9	MR. WILENSKY: So in other words, it's not			
10	disallowed?			
11	MR. LANDINO: Well by I'm not a zoning			
12	lawyer, but if it's if it's not an identified			
13	permitted use, then it's not permitted. So you know,			
14	virtually 95 percent of the towns or probably a			
15	hundred percent of the towns in Connecticut don't have,			
16	you know, solar as an identified use in zones yet. It's			
17	coming, but I don't believe East Lyme has it yet.			
18	MR. WILENSKY: Alright. Mr. Hoffman, I			
19	know you're not a witness, but I do want to go back to			
20	you and you answered a question before about this			
21	peaking. Is this a peaking plant or this is			
22	MR. HOFFMAN: No			
23	MR. LANDINO: Could I could I			

there's one follow-up that I remember. This is a -- this

- can be interpreted to be interpreted to be a utility -
 MR. WILENSKY: Yes -
 MR. LANDINO: -- which is permitted in the
- zone. So if you stretch the interpretation of the zoning regulations, you could probably find someone to say it is permitted use. But there's no specific identification of PV solar in that definition.
- 8 MR. WILENSKY: Thank you.
- 9 MR. HOFFMAN: A peaking plant denotes a
 10 plant generally understood to be a plant that can respond
 11 to peak demand --
- MR. WILENSKY: Yes --
- MR. HOFFMAN: -- as demand ramps up, the
- MR. WILENSKY: Yeah.

plant can respond.

- MR. HOFFMAN: Solar by definition is
- dependent on how sunny it is, for lack of a more
- 18 technical term. So it's not -- it's not a peak plant.
- 19 However, CL&P views it as a load reducer during a peak
- time because, generally speaking, the biggest peaks in
- 21 Connecticut happen on bright sunny hot days, which are
- 22 when solar is at its most plentiful. However, if there
- was an extreme cold snap for example on a cloudy day in
- January, it's not like an LM 6000 that you can simply

83

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

turn on and act as a peak plant. There's no way to call
and dispatch this as you would a traditional peaker.

MR. WILENSKY: Even though you say that 80

percent of the electricity produced will be produced during peak hours of demand, that still does not designate a peaking plant then, is that what you're saying?

8 MR. HOFFMAN: Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

9 MR. WILENSKY: Now who calls for this 10 energy? In other words, you say you have nothing --11 nothing to do with ISO?

12 MR. HOFFMAN: That's correct, because --13 because it's a Class 1 renewable, it's treated a little 14 bit differently than if we were building a five-megawatt 15 micro-turbine on the same site. So the -- the -- the 16 contract is set up so that CL&P takes all of the output 17 of the facility, whether it's one kilowatt or it's five 18 megawatts, and they take it whenever the -- whenever the 19 property is generated. So we're not dispatched like a 20 traditional plant would be dispatched by ISO New England 21

MR. WILENSKY: Do they --

23 MR. HOFFMAN: -- if that makes sense.

MR. WILENSKY: Do they include this in

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

- their projection for energy that they have available to
- the State of Connecticut or to anybody in the grid?
- 3 MR. HOFFMAN: You --
- 4 MR. WILENSKY: Is this part of their
- 5 projection?
- 6 MR. HOFFMAN: You would have to -- you
- 7 would have to ask CL&P about what they're doing to
- 8 project this. I don't honestly know.
- 9 MR. WILENSKY: Or even ISO's projection,
- 10 you would not know that either?
- 11 MR. HOFFMAN: Well this is part of -- this
- is part of what CL&P is going to, I would imagine, send
- 13 to the PURA as part of its compliance with the renewable
- 14 portfolio standards.
- 15 MR. WILENSKY: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
- 16 Chairman.
- 17 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Mr. Lynch.
- MR. LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 19 First, I'm applying for hazardous duty pay because I've
- 20 got two inches over here before I do a Louganis --
- 21 (laughter).
- 22 Most of my questions have been answered,
- but I have a couple of minor ones here. Mr. Landino,
- 24 you mentioned that your starting time projection is like

85

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

- July 1st. If the CL&P feasibility study is not completed
- 2 in June like you project, how does that impact your
- 3 starting time?
- 4 MR. LANDINO: Just linearally when we get
- 5 that -- that's probably the last piece that we'll need to
- 6 project the starting date. Our -- our economic
- 7 underwriting, our construction documentation, our bid
- 8 processes and contracting is all geared towards a July
- 9 start date. If it turns out that for whatever reason the
- 10 Siting Council or CL&P or whatever ultimately delays that
- 11 projection, we'll just revise as -- as needed. Our goal
- is to turn the switch on at the end of this year if at
- all possible.
- 14 MR. LYNCH: And you also mentioned that
- 15 for the actual construction or development of this
- 16 project you're using your own company?
- 17 MR. LANDINO: Center Plan Construction --
- 18 MR. LYNCH: Yes --
- 19 MR. LANDINO: -- which is a related
- 20 company to Greenskies.
- 21 MR. LYNCH: So you're not subject to any
- 22 POAs or anything like that then?
- MR. LANDINO: No -- actually though we are
- in the process of working that out. You might -- you

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

- 1 might be pleasantly surprised to find out who ends up
- 2 building this system.
- MR. LYNCH: No comment.
- 4 MR. LANDINO: Yeah.
- 5 MR. LYNCH: And -- now is this a manned or
- 6 unmanned facility?
- 7 MR. LANDINO: It is unmanned, but with --
- 8 with periodic inspections and monitoring. The neat thing
- 9 about solar systems in general is that they're all
- 10 virtually monitored with alarms built in, so that if
- 11 efficiency falls off or if something happens that causes
- the system not to operate, we immediately get a virtual
- alarm, which we would respond to.
- MR. LYNCH: You went further than I
- 15 thought. My question really pertained to why would you
- 16 need a maintenance facility with a restroom and a well if
- it's unmanned?
- MR. LANDINO: Yeah-- that's a very good
- 19 question. Actually, there's a little bit -- there's a
- little bit of benevolence in this, not too much, but a
- 21 little bit -- our goal was to build an education center
- too, so the building also has a second floor with a
- 23 meeting room. And our thought -- we believe it will be
- an opportunity for schools and several groups to have an

1 interest in viewing the system from a second floor and 2 seeing 30 plus acres of solar panels and getting a brief overview of what the technology of PV solar is about. 3 4 And we feel -- as kind of a local pioneer in this 5 industry, we feel somewhat of an obligation to try to bring this to a higher level with younger people, to have 6 7 it become a part of their lives. Not my idea, but his idea, and his partner, all in their 20's, who have great 8 9 kinds of ideas about what the business is about. 10 MR. LYNCH: I think the kids will 11 appreciate it. 12 MR. LANDINO: Yeah. MR. LYNCH: And -- let me just take a 13 14 quick run through here -- (pause) -- and lastly -- it took me awhile to find it, but I finally found it, where 15 solar panels are manufactured. Now Switzerland is a nice 16 17 country. I have problem with that. But there are no 18 made in U.S.A. companies that would fit your needs? 19 MR. LANDINO: So we -- without digressing 20 too much, we were building five large solar systems on 21 Super Wal-Marts in New Jersey, totaling about two and a 22 half megawatts with Cylindra panels made in the U.S.A. Closing on financing -- while we were closing, we got a 23

Google alert saying Cylindra had filed bankruptcy. And

1 we still closed, which is a story that would require much 2 longer than we have today, but -- but at the end of the day, there are currently no manufacturers that come close 3 4 to building as economically or as efficiently 5 unfortunately. And quite honestly, it has to do with government assistance. The politics of manufacturing PV 6 7 solar in the United States is not resulting in it being competitive on a worldwide -- in a worldwide market. 8 think that's a mistake, but we have to go where the 9 10 products are, and the products are in Asia mostly. 11 MR. LYNCH: Not to disagree, but thank you very much for your answer. 12 13 MR. LANDINO: Yeah. 14 MR. LYNCH: No more question, Mr. 15 Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. And your --17 your hazard -- your hazard insurance has been denied --18 (laughter) -- I'm told we can't give it to you. You're 19 sitting on the edge, so -- so put on your seatbelt just -20 21 MR. LYNCH: I do --22 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Okay. Thank you. 23 or the area that you most recently purchased, part of

which is -- has -- is forested -- and I apologize if it's

1 in there, but do you know approximately the number of 2 significantly sized trees that will have to be taken down? 3 4 MR. LANDINO: I don't have a number. I've 5 walked it many times. We haven't done an inventory. There aren't many -- just from walking -- this is purely 6 7 anecdotal and not meant to be an expert opinion, but it 8 seems like the tree stand is not nearly as old as I thought it would be when I first saw the site. I would 9 10 say the average caliber is in the 12 to 18-inch range. 11 There are very few large trees, which would suggest that 12 the land was farmland, you know, half a century ago. So I don't think there's anything that looks very 13 14 significant, but it certainly is a wooded site. There's no denying that. 15 CHAIRMAN STEIN: And on the one hand I 16 17 certainly compliment you on having given that tract to 18 the land trust. On the other hand, if you're touting 19 that solar is equivalent to planting trees, you have to 20 sort of calculate the fact that you're also losing some. So maybe in your overall scheme of things, you might want 21 22 to think about how you can make up for that. MR. LANDINO: Sure. And I'm sitting next 23 24 to two biologists and we have this debate all the time.

90

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

- 1 We might -- you might suggest that Connecticut has too
- 2 many trees. So there's arguments to be had from both
- 3 sides. So -- but I certainly would welcome any
- 4 mitigating recommendations. That's not meant to be flip
- 5 at all. But we have these real debates, academic debates
- 6 about Connecticut woodlands and where we're at with
- 7 those, and what the real impacts are to habitat. And
- 8 Michael more specifically, we've bantered around for
- 9 years about that. So I would welcome your suggestions,
- and we'll certainly think about mitigating strategies as
- 11 well.
- 12 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Alright. Well in some
- 13 cases, but these are larger firms, and mitigation occurs
- in other places which don't have the trees, which we seem
- 15 to be concerned about in a negative way every time we
- 16 have a storm here in Connecticut.
- MR. LANDINO: Yeah.
- 18 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Are there any more
- 19 questions from any -- yes, Mr. Mercier.
- MR. MERCIER: I just have a couple of
- 21 follow-ups based on some of the things discussed
- 22 recently. Back to that Tinker House, do you know if
- that's a locally designated historic building?
- MR. LANDINO: I don't -- and again this is

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1 from memory -- I don't believe it's designated as an 2 historic house, but it's -- it's a late 19th century structure. And it was acknowledged to be historic in 3 4 references related to our permits. And we acknowledged 5 that as well and agreed not to demolish it, but to actually restore it if in fact we went forward with our 6 7 development. MR. MERCIER: Okay, thank you. You 8 9 mentioned alarm equipment that could detect some kind of 10 problems at the solar field. Is that kept in the 11 building or where is that? 12 MR. LANDINO: No, it's -- it -- go ahead, Andrew. You know -- you've forgotten more than I know 13 14 about this, so --15 MR. CHESTER: It will be on -- the actual 16 hardware will be on-site, but it is a piece of equipment 17 that pushing data to the web. So we will be able to 18 monitor it externally, as well as give live access links 19 to the utility company, and we can set alerts that will 20 send instantaneous e-mails for, you know, under-producing 21 or if something goes wrong. 22 MR. MERCIER: Is it more like a cabinet placed somewhere in -- like an outdoor cabinet looking 23 thing? 24

1	MR. CHESTER: It's actually very, very				
2	small. CTs that are in the inverter housing. It's				
3	literally about about that big, like six inches by six				
4	inches.				
5	MR. MERCIER: Previously you mentioned				
6	inverters put off a low hum. Any has any of your				
7	previous installations caused any issues with any				
8	neighbors or anything of that nature?				
9	MR. CHESTER: No.				
10	MR. MERCIER: Looking at your site plan is				
11	it possible to potentially relocate some of the inverters				
12	away from some of the property lines? I don't know how				
13	critical it is to have them up on the edge.				
14	MR. CHESTER: Chris may be better suited				
15	to answer that. We've discussed moving them a number of				
16	times for electrical reasons, but				
17	MR. ALBINO: I think there is				
18	opportunities to relocate. When this was drawn, it was				
19	very schematic in nature. And after having talks with				
20	Greenskies and some manufacturers, they probably will be				
21	located to relocating them away from the residential				
22	areas is also something we'll look into.				
23	MR. MERCIER: Okay, thank you. The DEEP				
24	comments, I know we've talked about page 4 a little bit,				

1	and the report was sent regarding some of the Natural				
2	Diversity Database items, but I guess on the last				
3	paragraph of page 4 there's a couple of comments maybe we				
4	should talk a little bit about since they mentioned them.				
5	I guess the second sentence they're asking about, you				
6	know, when it rains, how much of the runoff from the				
7	panels actually infiltrates into the soil or does it wash				
8	downward. I mean how do you have any idea as to				
9	infiltration? Is that an issue?				
10	MR. CHESTER: The way that the panels are				
11	mounted, there are gaps in between each one, roughly a				
12	half an inch, which will allow for water to drain				
13	throughout the entire array.				
14	MR. MERCIER: So it probably more has to				
15	do with the soil conditions rather than anything else, is				
16	that correct?				
17	MR. GRADWELL: And and the soils are				
18	generally well draining soils, A's and B's, some C's, so				
19	the water will get through the soil and and drain				
20	vertically.				
21	MR. MERCIER: The grass cover we already				
22	talked about that. The forested area, is that going to				
23	be also planted with grass and woodchips once you've				
24	cleared it?				

1	MR. LANDINO: That's the plan, yes.				
2	MR. MERCIER: Okay. And how about snow				
3	removal when it snows, is there any do you remove snow				
4	or just let it melt?				
5	MR. CHESTER: Just just let it melt.				
6	MR. MERCIER: Okay. I guess my last				
7	question has to do with page 10 of your application.				
8	There's a figure in there that talks about megawatt hours				
9	and capacity factor I already lost the page (pause)				
10	it's the last paragraph, the first sentence, it talks				
11	about sixty-four hundred megawatt hours, Class 1				
12	renewable energy. Can someone just check that figure				
13	over the break and determine if that's accurate based on				
14	the capacity factor at 13 percent from five-megawatts.				
15	MR. LANDINO: Okay.				
16	MR. MERCIER: I have no other questions.				
17	CHAIRMAN STEIN: I'd just like to add that				
18	and I want to put this in big capitals if if the				
19	Council were to ultimately take affirmative action on				
20	this, and again if, we would, based I think on precedent				
21	of another project, probably require a subsequent				
22	development and management plan. So I think some of				
23	these very specific design design issues could be				
24	handled if and when we get to that point. So I just want				

95

HEARING RE: GRE 314 EAST LYME, LLC MARCH 14, 2013 (3:05 PM)

1 to make sure it's clear that we don't just take an

- 2 action, and again I'm saying if, and then leave
- 3 everything, you know, up to the developer. We would be -
- 4 or the firm that's building that, we would -- we would
- 5 be looking at -- there would be another step related to
- these details, some of which we've discussed.
- 7 If there are no more questions from the
- 8 Council, we will now -- oh, there's a question from Mr.
- 9 Hoffman.
- 10 MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Chairman, I just want to
- 11 make sure that I've got my homework assignments straight
- 12 between now and after the break. I believe that the
- 13 Council is looking for the addresses for the visual
- renderings for Item No. 1, the cross-sections of drawings
- of fill and if we could possibly get those to the Council
- 16 tonight, and the capacity factor question that Mr.
- 17 Mercier just asked. I don't believe there are any other
- open items, but I just wanted to confirm that.
- 19 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Yeah, and I -- and I
- think the cross-sections could be handled at the D&M
- 21 stage, unless -- I mean if you can get them now, but if
- 22 they're hand-drawn, I'm not sure how you'd do that, but -
- 23 -
- MR. HOFFMAN: Well, we'll figure that out

1				
2	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Okay			
3	MR. HOFFMAN: but you're exactly			
4	correct, if you're going to require a D&M plan, they're			
5	probably more appropriate for that stage of development.			
6	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Yes.			
7	MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.			
8	Attorney Hoffman, your list comports with my list for the			
9	homework assignments, so that's correct. Thank you.			
10	MR. HOFFMAN: Outstanding. Thank you.			
11	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Okay, so we will now			
12	recess. We'll reconvene here at 7:00 p.m., at which time			
13	we'll start the public comment session. Thank you.			
14				
15	(Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at 5:00			
16	p.m.)			

INDEX OF WITNESSES

PAGE

PETITIONER'S WITNESS PANEL:

Robert Landino
Andrew Chester
Michael Klein
James Cowen
Chris Albino
Ray Gradwell

Direct Examination by Mr. Hoffmann 7
Cross-Examination by Council Staff 10, 90
Cross-Examination by Council Members 39

INDEX OF PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

	NUMBER	PAGE
Petition (with attachments)	1	10
Responses to CSC Interrogatories	2	10
Certificate of Notice	3	10
Sign Posting Affidavit	4	10
Resumes of Witnesses	5	10
State Historic Preservation Office Letter	6	10
Site Plans	7	10
Letter from Environmental Planning Services LLC to DEEP	8	10