STATE OF CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

. _

TEN-YEAR FORECAST OF

June 18, 2013

ELECTRIC LOADS AND RESOURCES

(1:30 p.m.)

DOCKET NO. F-2012-2013

*

BEFORE:

JERRY MURPHY, CHAIRMAN

BOARD MEMBERS:

Robert Hannon, DEEP Designee

Edward S. Wilensky
Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.
Philip T. Ashton
Dr. Barbara Bell

STAFF MEMBERS:

Melanie Bachman, Executive Director

Michael Perrone, Siting Analyst

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PARTY, CONNECTICUT LIGHT & POWER COMPANY
ELIZABETH MALDANADO, ESQUIRE
Associate General Counsel
Northeast Utilities Service Company
107 Shelden Street
Berlin, Connecticut 06037

FOR THE PARTY, UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY:
BRUCE L. MCDERMOTT, ESQUIRE
General Counsel and Corporate Secretary
UIL Holdings Corporation
P.O. Box 1564
New Haven, Connecticut 06506

QUALIFIED REPORTING SERVICES

Tele/Fax (860) 561-5669 Home (860) 561-8892



37 Groveland Terrace Newington, CT 06111

1	FOR THE PARTY, DOMINION NUCLEAR CONNECTICUT, INC.:
2	ROBINSON & COLE LLP 280 Trumbull Street
3	Hartford, Connecticut 06103 BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQUIRE
4	FOR THE PARTY, FIRSTLIGHT POWER ENTERPRISES,
5	INC.: ROBINSON & COLE LLP
6	280 Trumbull Street
7	Hartford, Connecticut 06103 BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQUIRE
8	FOR THE PARTY, MILFORD POWER COMPANY, LLC: ROBINSON & COLE LLP
9	280 Trumbull Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103
10	BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQUIRE
11	FOR THE PARTY, LAKE ROAD GENERATING COMPANY, LP: ROBINSON & COLE LLP
12	280 Trumbull Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103
13	BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQUIRE.
14	FOR THE PARTY, CONNECTICUT MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC ENERGY COOPERATIVE:
15	ROBIN KIPNIS, ESQUIRE Assistant General Counsel
16	CT Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative 30 Stott Avenue
17	Norwich, Connecticut 06360
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Defore the State of Connecticut Siting Council in the matter of F-2012-2013 Ten-Year Forecast of Electric

Loads and Resources for Connecticut, held at the offices of the Connecticut Siting Council, Ten Franklin Square,

New Britain, Connecticut, on June 18, 2013 at 1:33 p.m., at which time the parties were represented as hereinbefore set forth . . .

THE CHAIRMAN: Ladies and gentlemen, this hearing is called to order this Tuesday, June the 18th, 2013 at 1:33 p.m.

My name is Jerry Murphy, Vice-Chairman of the Siting Council for this meeting in the absence of our chairman who is away on vacation.

Other Council Members present with us at this time is Robert Hannon, Designee for Commissioner Dan Esty of the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Philip T. Ashton, Daniel P. Lynch and Dr. Barbara C. Bell.

Members of the staff are Melanie Bachman,
Acting Executive Director and Staff Attorney; Michael
Perrone, our Siting Analyst, and our Court Reporter
Nancy Paretti.

The Siting Council is holding this public hearing on the 2012-2013 ten year forecast of electric loads and resources in Connecticut, pursuant to General Statutes 16-50r. The purpose of this hearing is to examine the adequacy and reliability of electric generation and transmission in the state while considering the cost to consumers and protecting the environment.

Pursuant to these statutory requirements this proceeding will analyze load growth forecasts of the state's electric utilities and plans to meet the demand for electricity through the year 2022. Included in this analysis will be:

estimated peak loads, resources and margins for each year within the forecast period;

data on energy use and peak loads for the five preceding calendar years;

existing generating facilities in service;

scheduled generating facilities for which property has been acquired for which certificates have been issued and to which certificate applications have been filed;

planned generating units and plant

```
locations for which property has been acquired, or at
 1
      plant locations not yet acquired, that will be needed to
 3
      provide estimated additional electrical requirements,
      and the location of such facilities; and planned
 4
 5
      transmission lines on which proposed route reviews are
      being undertaken or for which certificate applications
 6
 7
      have already been filed;
 8
                     steps taken to upgrade existing
      facilities and to eliminate overhead transmission and
 9
10
      distribution lines; and
11
                     electricity purchased from private power
12
      producers.
13
                     The participants to the proceedings today
14
      are as follows:
15
                      FirstLight Power Enterprises, Inc.,
      Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. of Robinson & Cole, LLP;
16
17
                      Milford Power Company, LLC, Kenneth C.
18
      Baldwin, Esq. of Robinson & Cole, LLP;
19
                     Lake Road Generating Company, LP, Kenneth
20
      C. Baldwin, Esq. of Robinson & Cole, LLP;
21
                     Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.,
      Kenneth C. Baldwin , Esq. of Robinson & Cole, LLP;
22
23
                     Connecticut Municipal Electric
24
      Cooperative (CMEEC), Robin Kipnis, Esq., Assistant
```

1 General Counsel; 2 United Illuminating Company, 3 McDermott, Esq.; and 4 Connecticut Light & Power Company, Dan 5 Canavan, Esq., Senior Counsel and Elizabeth Maldanado, 6 Esq. 7 This hearing will continue tonight at 8 6:30 for the convenience of the public and thereafter if 9 necessary. 10 Any person who desires to make their 11 views known to the counsel can make an oral statement 12 this evening or submit a written statement to the 13 Council no later than July the 18th, 2013. 14 A verbatim transcript will be made of 15 this hearing and deposited at the Council's office in New Britain for the convenience of the public. 16 17 Administrative notice to be taken by the 18 Council. I wish to call your attention to those items 19 shown on the hearing program marked as Roman Numeral 20 1-D. Items 1 through 10. Does any applicant have any 21 objection to any of those items being administratively 22 taken notice? 23 Hearing none, they will be 24 administratively noticed and taken notice by this

1	Council.
2	Our first participant is FirstLight Power
3	Enterprises.
4	Mr. Baldwin, do you have a panel?
5	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Good Afternoon, Mr.
6	Chairman, Members of the Council.
7	My name is Kenneth Baldwin with Robinson
8	& Cole.
9	Here first this afternoon on behalf of
10	FirstLight Power Enterprises, Incorporated in this
11	proceeding, I would like to introduce the Council to our
12	witness today, Mr. Eric DeBarba.
13	Mr. DeBarba is the Director of New
14	England Origination for FirstLight Power. And I would
15	offer him to be sworn at this time.
16	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Before we do that, if
17	the record will note that Council Member Edward Wilensky
L8	has joined us.
L9	MR. WILENSKY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: If you would rise, Mr.
21	DeBarba, Staff Counsel, Acting Executive Director, will
22	administer the oath.
23	(Whereupon the witness was sworn in.)
24	

Τ	ERIC DeBARBA,
2	called as a witness by FirstLight Power Enterprises,
3	Inc., having been duly sworn, was examined and testified
4	on his oath as follows:
5	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, we have
6	two exhibits to offer in this proceeding. The first is
7	FirstLight's Report of Forecast of Load and Resources,
8	dated February 20th, 2013 and FirstLight's responses to
9	the Council Interrogatories, which were submitted on
10	June 7th. And we offer them now for identification
11	purposes, subject to verification.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is that June 7th or May
13	31st?
14	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: I apologize, May 31st.
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
16	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: The hearing program
17	will be corrected.
18	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I just happened to be
19	looking at it and seeing different dates that you had
20	given.
21	Is there any objection to us taking it in as an
22	exhibit.
23	Hearing none, you may proceed, Mr.
24	Baldwin.

1 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr. 2 Chairman. 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY BALDWIN: 5 Q. Mr. DeBarba, did you prepare or assist in the 6 preparation of the two exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman II, Section B-1 and 2? 8 Α. Yes, I did. 9 And do you have any corrections, amendments or 10 modifications to offer to any of that information at 11 this time? 12 Α. I do not. 13 And is the information contained in those 14 exhibits true and accurate to the best of your 15 knowledge? 16 Α. Yes. 17 0. And do you adopt the information in those 18 exhibits as your testimony in this proceeding? 19 Α. I do. 20 Q. Okay. 21 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer 22 them as full exhibits. 23 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there any objection 24 by any participants?

1 Hearing none, they are so admitted. 2 I assume your witness is ready for 3 cross-examination, Mr. Baldwin. 4 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman 5 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We will start with Mr. 6 Perrone. 7 Thank you, sir. MR. PERRONE: 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. PERRONE: 10 I understand ISO New England publishes a 11 monthly seasonal clean capability report and the data 12 gets updated monthly. But how often are seasonal clean 13 capability audits performed for FirstLight facilities? 14 For our larger units twice a year. Once in 15 the summer and once in the winter. 16 Q. You have all your units listed in the forecast 17 report. Could you tell us which ones are peaking units 18 or baseload or intermediate? 19 Α. All of the hydro units are baseload units. 20 The peaking units are Waterbury, TunnelTen or TunnelJet 21 and Rocky River, which is a pump storage facility. 22 0. So, does Rocky River only run during peak 23 conditions or do you run it daily? 24 It's run in peak -- peak periods. On peak

1	days and only during higher priced time.
2	Q. About how long could that run?
3	A. From it's full state in the summer to its
4	licensed limit it would be about 60 hours.
5	Q. Do you have any plans for any new generating
6	facilities in Connecticut or upgrades to existing
7	facilities?
8	A. Our Scotland hydro facility is undergoing a
9	FERC license currently, and we would plan to add about
10	one megawatt there.
11	MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I
12	have.
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We will move to the
14	Council Members, Dr. Bell.
15	DR. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
16	have no questions for FirstLight.
17	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Ashton.
19	MR. ASHTON: No questions. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky.
21	MR. WILENSKY: Mr. DeBarba, nice to see
22	you.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes. You as well.
24	MR. WILENSKY: Just a question

1	CROSS~EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. WILENSKY:
3	Q FirstLight in Waterbury, the peaking
4	plant, how often does that run, or how often is that
5	called upon?
6	A. We run about 300 hours a year. It's mostly
7	during you know, afternoons, higher priced periods.
8	And runs are fairly short, only an hour or two, but
9	Q. In other words, it's called upon all year
10	long, not just summer or winter months when the so,
11	it could be called upon at any time?
12	A. Oh, yeah. And it is.
13	Q. Successful plant?
14	A. Very much so.
15	MR. WILENSKY: Thank you.
16	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr.
18	Wilensky.
19	Mr. Hannon.
20	MR. HANNON: I just have one question
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. HANNON:
23	Q. The Scotland facility you mentioned and the
24	FERC license, that's also competing with Norwich, I

1	believe?
2	A. That's correct.
3	MR. HANNON: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch?
5	MR. LYNCH: No questions, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Rather than go through
7	the list, is there any applicant who's in appearance who
8	cares to question this panel?
9	If not, Mr. Baldwin, I think you can
LO	excuse your witness. But you will stick with us for a
11	little while.
12	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr.
13	Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next is Milford Power
15	Company, LLC.
L6	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I would
L7	like to introduce to the Council Mr. Chris Curtis. He's
18	the General Manager for Milford Power Company, LLC, and
L9	I would offer him to be sworn.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Please rise, Mr.
21	Curtis.
22	(Whereupon the witness was sworn in.)
23	
24	

1	CHRIS CURTIS
2	called as a witness by Milford Power Company, LLC.,
3	having been duly sworn, was examined and testified on
4	his oath as follows:
5	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, the
6	Milford Power Company has two exhibits. They are listed
7	in the hearing program. The Report of Forecast of Loads
8	and Resources dated February 8th, 2013 and Milford's
9	responses to the Council's Interrogatories dated June 7,
10	2013. And we offer them now for identification purposes
11	subject to verification.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there any objection
13	to them being offered for identification?
14	Hearing none, they are admitted for
15	identification.
16.	Mr. Baldwin.
L7	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr.
L8	Chairman.
L9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY ATTORNEY. BALDWIN:
21	Q. Mr. Curtis, did you prepare or assist in the
22	preparation of the information contained in the two
23	exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman III,
24	B-1 and 2?

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. Is the information contained in those exhibits
3	true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
4	A. Yes, it is.
5	Q. Do you have any corrections, amendments or
6	modifications to offer to any of those exhibits?
7	A. No, I do not.
8	Q. And do you adopt the information contained in
9	those exhibits as your testimony this afternoon?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer
12	them as full exhibits.
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
14	have any objection to either of these two items being
15	admitted?
16	If not, they're so received into
17	evidence, Mr. Baldwin.
18	We will start the cross-examination of
19	Mr. Curtis.
20	Mr. Perrone
21	MR. PERRONE: Thank you.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. PERRONE:
24	Q. How often are seasonal clean capability audits

performed for the Milford facility?

- A. The seasonal clean capability audits are completed in the winter and summer for all the units.
- Q. My understanding is the plant is currently fueled by natural gas only right now?
- A. Yes, it is. The fuel oil is suspended. The fuel oil capability on both units. It has fuel oil capability but that's been suspended so we're not operating on fuel oil right now.
 - Q. Is it permanently suspended or --
- A. It's temporarily suspended and it can be reinvigorated when we choose to.
- Q. Hypothetically, if you were to bring the oil capability back, how long would that take?
- A. The prior documentation from Milford which, were under a previous owner, I wasn't the general manager at the time, stated -- it was 120 days is what they stated in the prior documentation.
- Q. Is Milford a baseload, intermediate, or peaking facility?
- A. Milford is baseload and intermediate. It pretty much operates base load following the demand of electricity. So, in the summer months we're base loaded. In the colder months we typically cycle on a

daily basis or on the weekend. So, it operates in both 1 2 of those modes, depending on the demand. 3 MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Dr. Bell, do you have 6 any questions? 7 DR. BELL: Thank you, Chair. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY DR. BELL: 10 Mr. Curtis, there was a prediction at the end 11 of last year from the Energy Information Administration 12 of the DOE that natural gas delivered to electric 13 generators this year will average 22 percent higher than during 2012. Are you experiencing that? 14 15 Α. No, I am not. I'm not experiencing the -- I 16 can tell you as far as the plant goes and any gas issues 17 that we've had at the plant, but as far as the 18 predictions from that agency, I'm not -- I don't think I 19 can comment on that right now. 20 Q. Well, you were just saying that you're not 21 experiencing that I understand. Are you experiencing 22 any significant --23 Oh, excuse me. I misunderstood the question. 24 Milford Power has not experienced any

1	curtailments or issues with the natural gas supply.
2	Sorry.
3	DR. BELL: Thank you very much.
4	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
5	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Ashton.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. ASHTON:
8	Q. If my memory is correct, you're supplied off
9	the Iroquois Pipeline. Is that correct?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Nothing further.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky.
13	MR. WILENSKY: No questions.
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Hannon.
15	MR. HANNON: I have no questions.
16	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch.
17	MR. LYNCH: Just one question, that I
18	should know the answer to but I forget a lot.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. LYNCH:
21	Q. Mr. Curtis, are you still using portable water
22	or do you draw from the Housotonic?
23	A. We draw from the Housotonic for the riverwater
24	system for the cooling towers and we have a backup
- 1	

1	supply from the portable water system.
2	MR. LYNCH: Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
4	have any questions of the Milford Power Company, LLC?
5	Hearing none, I guess your panel is
6	excused, Mr. Baldwin.
7	The next utility is Lake Road Generating
8	Company, LP.
9	I guess we don't change too much, do we?
10	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: We don't change yet,
11	Mr. Chairman. Not yet. Soon.
12	Chris Curtis with EchoPower is also the
13	General Manager at Lake Road. And I would assume we can
14	can we tell him that he's still sworn?
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes.
16	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: And I would offer him
17	as our witness this afternoon on behalf of Lake Road
18	Generating.
19	(Whereupon the witness was examined)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 CHRIS CURTIS 2 called as a witness by Lake Road Generating Company, 3 having been previously sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows: 5 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, as with 6 the others we have two exhibits to offer on behalf of 7 Lake Road. They are the Lake Road Forecast of loads and resources dated Feb. 20, 2013, and Lake Road's responses 8 9 to the Council's interrogatory -- interrogatories dated 10 June 7, 2013. I offer them for identification purposes 11 subject to verification. 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant 13 have any objections to either of those two items being 14 taken for identification? 15 Hearing none, they are admitted for 16 identification. 17 Proceed, Mr. Baldwin. 18 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr. 19 Chairman. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY ATTORNEY BALDWIN: 22 Mr. Curtis, did you prepare or assist in the preparation of the information contained in the exhibits 23

listed in the hearing program under Roman IV, Subsection

24

1	B, Items 1 and 2?
2	A. Yes, I did.
3	Q. And do you have any corrections, modifications
4	or amendments to offer to any of that information at
5	this time?
6	A. No, I do not.
7	Q. Is the information contained in those exhibits
8	true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
9	A. Yes, it is.
10	Q. And do you adopt that information as your
11	testimony this afternoon
12	A. Yes, I do.
13	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer
14	them.
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Is there any objection
16	by any participants to admitting these two documents as
17	full exhibits?
18	Hearing none, they are so admitted, Mr.
19	Baldwin.
20	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr.
21	Chairman.
22	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: We will start the
23	questioning again with Mr. Perrone.
24	MR. PERRONE: Thank you, sir.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. PERRONE: 3 What is the current status of Lake Roads Q. 4 dual-fuel capability for Unit 1? 5 Α. Lake Road's dual-fuel capability for Unit 1 6 has been suspended. 7 And hypothetically if the capability to run on Q. oil were to be reinstated how long would that take? 8 9 Α. Fifteen days. 10 And only Unit 1 has that? Q. 11 Α. Yes. Unit 1 is the only one that's 12 commissioned on fuel oil. Units 2 and 3 have fuel oil capability but they were never initially commissioned on 13 14 fuel oil. 15 0. Okay. Is Lake Road a baseload, intermediate 16 or peaking facility? 17 Similar to Milford, it's baseload and 18 intermediate depending on the demand. 19 MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I 20 have. 21 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you very much, 22 Mr. Perrone. Dr. Bell. 23 24 DR. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY DR. BELL: 3 Q. I have the same question, Mr. Curtis. 4 Α. There have been no curtailments at Lake Road 5 for gas. 6 Q. Okay. But you don't -- you draw from 7 Algonquin as --8 Α. Yes, we do 9 -- as opposed to Iriquois? 10 Α. Yes. 11 DR. BELL: Thank you very much. 12 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 By MR. WILENSKY: 16 Mr. Curtis, where is most of the power going 17 to? Is it going to Rhode Island or to Connecticut? 18 We're on the main inner-tie between Rhode Α. 19 Island and Connecticut, the 345 KV transmission line, so 20 it ---21 What is it -- maybe you've answered the 22 question. I'm sorry. What was the percentage to Rhode Island, what's the percentage to Connecticut? 23 24 I don't know off the top of my head. It goes

out and flows into our substation and heads in the 1 2 direction based on the system demand. So, it flows in 3 the direction that it's needed to flow. I don't have a 4 percentage of power which it flows to each state. 5 Q. Is Connecticut getting some of the power? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. A small portion or a large portion or you 8 don't know? 9 I don't know. The plant right now is not 10 currently classified as a Connecticut resource, but 11 after the transmission upgrades are completed it can be. 12 Ο. For some reason or another I thought that was 13 , going to change. I thought that Connecticut was going 14 to get most of that power. That hasn't changed as far 15 as you know? 16 Again, I'm not an expert in the power flow 17 once it gets out of the plant, so I can't really comment 18 where it goes. 19 MR. WILENSKY: Thank you, Mr. Curtis. 2.0 Thank you. Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Ashton. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. ASHTON: 24 Mr. Curtis, with the present transmission

configuration this is considered a Rhode Island Unit? 1 2 Α. Yes, it is. 3 Q. Right or wrong. 4 Α. Uh-huh. 5 Would the proposed and approved additional Q. 6 line from Cards Street top Rhode Island, will the 7 dispatch of the unit likely change do you think? 8 Α. I don't think it will change. I think it will be classified as a Connecticut Unit, but as far as the 9 dispatch of the unit I think it will be pretty 10 11 consistent with what you see now. 12 Q. So, really what the output of the unit is 13 going into the New England pool? 14 Α. Exactly. 15 Ο. It's not -- we don't divide electrons between Connecticut and Massachusetts or Rhode Island. 16 17 It just goes to the pool. 18 Thank you. Nothing further. MR. ASHTON: 19 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you. 20 Mr. Hannon. MR. HANNON: I have nothing. 21 22 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch. 23 MR. LYNCH: I have no questions, Mr. 24 Chairman.

1	MR. CHAIRMAN: I guess we can excuse Mr.
2	Curtis for real this time.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Dominion Nuclear
6	Connecticut, Inc.
7	ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, on
8	behalf of Dominion Nuclear Connecticut I would like to
9	introduce the Panel to Mr. Richard MacManus.
10	Mr. MacManus is the Director of Nuclear
11	Safety and Licensing for Dominion Nuclear Connecticut.
12	And I would offer him to be sworn at this
13	time.
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Please rise Mr.
15	MacManus.
16	Attorney Bachman will administer the
17	oath.
18	(Whereupon the witness was sworn in.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 RICHARD MacMANUS, 2 called as a witness by Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, 3 Inc., having been duly sworn, was examined and testified 4 on his oath as follows: 5 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, we have 6 two exhibits to offer on behalf of Dominion Nuclear 7 Connecticut. They are Dominion's Report of Forecast of 8 Loads and Resources, dated February 28th, 2013 and Dominion's responses to the Council's Interrogatories 9 dated June 11th, 2013 and I offer them at this time for 10 11 identification purposes, subject to verification. 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant 13 here today have any objection to either of these two 14 items being taken in as exhibits. 15 Hearing none, proceed, Mr. Baldwin. 16 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr. 17 Chairman. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY ATTORNEY BALDWIN: 20 Mr. MacManus, did you prepare, assist in the 21 preparation or supervise in the preparation of the information contained in the exhibits in the hearing 22 23 program under Roman V, Subsection B 1 and 2?

Yes, I did.

Α.

24

Q. Do you have any amendments, modifications or
corrections to offer at this time?
A. No, I do not.
Q. And is the information contained in those
exhibits true and accurate to the best of your
knowledge?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And do you adopt the information in those
exhibits as your testimony this afternoon.
A. Yes, I do.
ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer
them.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
have any objection to either of these two items being
accepted as full exhibits?
Hearing no objection, they are so
admitted, Mr. Baldwin.
ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Alright. We will
proceed with the cross-examination by Mr. Perrone from
our staff.
MR. PERRONE: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PERRONE: 1 Ο. How often are seasonal clean capability audits 3 performed for Millstone? 4 Α. We perform those two times a year. One in the 5 summer, one in the winter. And the summer, June 1st 6 through August 31st, and winter, November 1st through 7 April 15th. 8 Ο. And the response to Question #1 in the interrogatories I noticed Dominion made some slight 9 10 updates to the seasonal claim capability numbers. Are 1.1 those the June numbers? 12 Α. Those are not June 2013 numbers. 13 O. Okay. 14 Α. Those are from last year. 15 You're speaking to the summer clean 16 capability. Those are not for this June. The summer 17 ones are from last summer. The winter are accurate for 18 this past winter. Is that what you're asking? 19 DR. BELL: I'll just follow up, because 20 I'm looking perplexed. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 DR. BELL: But you did do -- you did or

THE WITNESS: We did submit a new update

didn't do a June update this year?

23

24

1 in the interrogatory as was stated that is inaccurate. 2 But the numbers that are reflected as 2012 -- the 2013 3 numbers would be being performed later on during the 4 summer period when we do that clean peak capability. 5 DR. BELL: It hasn't been done yet? 6 THE WITNESS: No. It has not been done 7 yet. 8 DR. BELL: Okay. I'm just trying --9 THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to make 10 sure --11 DR. BELL: -- to get it all straight. 12 THE WITNESS: -- it's clear for you all. 13 DR. BELL: Thank you very much. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 BY MR. PERRONE: 16 Approximately how many months apart are 17 refueling performed for a given Millstone unit? 18 Α. 'It depends. Right now Unit 2 leads the Unit 3 19 refueling outages. Both are on an 18 month cycle. that means Unit 2 has about six months before the Unit 3 20 21 refueling outage. 22 And then accordingly we have nine months 23 before Unit 3 will have the Unit 2 refueling outage. 24 So, every 18 months we have a refueling cycle.

some years. That would be 2014 has two in that year, one on the other two years, off years. Q. Has construction been completed for the replacement of the normal station service transformer and reserve station service transformer for Unit 2? We did do the replacement for the reserve station service transformer for 2-R-21. That's our refueling outage that we had last year in 2012, in the November time period. We have not done the normal station service transformer replacement. That will be next spring in 2014, in the April time period. MR. ASHTON: You do that when you're going to do a refueling? THE WITNESS: Yes. We do those during refueling because of the potential safety risk

associated with that.

MR. ASHTON: Right.

BY MR. PERRONE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. What is the current status of the EPA proposed cooling water intake structure rule and how do you expect it will affect Millstone?
- Right now that's pure speculation on those people's part. Right now they have a June 27th, 2013

1 deadline. The media indicates that that is running 2 tight to schedule and may not meet that deadline. But right now we don't know. That's coming up next week. 3 4 We'll see if they do meet their deadline within EPA to 5 issue that. But we have not heard whether they'll be 6 successful in getting that out in time. 7 MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I 8 have. 9 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Perrone. 10 Dr. Bell. 11 DR. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 don't have any further questions for Dominion. 13 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you. 14 Mr. Ashton. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. ASHTON: 17 Dominion has done very well. Mr. MacManus is 18 squeezing the last drop out of number 2 and 3 units. 19 Are there any more capacity upgrades? 20 Right now we have no upgrades planned at this 21 point in time, but as you said, we have worked very 22 efficiently to get the most out of both units. Back when I had hair and it was brown there 23 0. 24 was a 10 megawatt gas turbine as I recall at Millstone.

1 It was stationed -- emergency power, and I think it was 2 on Number 1 Unit. Is there any -- are there any 3 emergency generators to give you backup power for managing the plant down there? 4 5 We do have emergency diesel generators for both Unit 2 and Unit 3. There's two of those. And we 6 7 have a station black out diesel that also services both 8 Unit 2 and Unit 3. The one you're speaking to is no 9 longer operational for the Unit 1. 10 Number 1 sure isn't operational. 0. 11 That is correct. Α. 12 Is there any -- can ISO dispatch those 13 emergency generators to meet its needs, system needs? 14 Α. They are not for the grid. Those are 15 specifically and solely for the support of the --16 Q. Okay. It's exclusive of --17 -- nuclear safety in the systems. Α. 18 0. Okay. 19 MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you 20 very much. 21 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky. 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. WILENSKY: 24 Are there any plans for any new construction?

1	A. There is no plans of new construction of a
2	generating facility at Millstone Power Station, if
3	that's what you're referring to.
4	Q. So, as far you folks in Connecticut, this is
5	it?
6	A. This is it right now, yes.
7	MR. WILENSKY: Okay. Thank you.
8	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
10	Mr. Hannon?
11	MR. HANNON: I have no questions.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch.
13	MR. LYNCH: I have just a few.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. LYNCH:
16	Q. I used to ask Mr. Winkley every year tell
17	him I said hello, by the way.
18	A. I will tell Dan that you said hello.
19	Q. I need to follow up on what Mr. Wilensky was
20	talking about.
21	Are there any plans at all to rehab Unit 1 in
22	any capacity, gas fired or anything, to put it on line
23	again?
24	A. No. There's no plans at all to do anything

with Unit 1 at this time to bring it back into a generating mode.

- Q. And another question I ask every year is the -- we located a couple of the castings for the storage facility down there. Are there any plans on a national scale that you may know of now that Yucca Mountain is on the way to Buffalo, to kinda -- a national repository anywhere. Is anyone talking about that nationally.
- A. There is a lot of discussion nationally about a depository and that -- repository I should say -- for the nuclear waste of the United States. That moves around, and you hear rumors of different states indicating interest, but nothing has been established.

They continue to have different councils of blue ribbon committees meeting to take about Yucca Mountain, even though that has been taken off the table. So, the future is uncertain at this point in time as to what will be done with the nuclear waste of the United States and nuclear industry.

- Q. Again, this comes up every year. Is there something that we can do similar to what France does for the -- I guess they reuse it again?
- A. You have that capability, but the United states back with President Carter, at Clinch River, did

1 decide not to go ahead and reprocess our fuel, so 2 therefore, we don't have any reprocessing facility on 3 the scale that would be needed. 4 There hasn't been any talk because of the 5 economy of scales with the current price of uranium. So, I don't envision that right now for the United 6 7 States. 8 Q. And lastly, is there any construction of any new nuclear power power plants in the U.S. under any 9 10 other construction or in the planning stage? 11 Α. Yes. There's active construction both within 12 South Carolina and Georgia where new nuclear is being 13 That is underway and continues to move forward. 14 Is there a possibility that that could move to 15 the northeast corridor somewhere? 16 Α. There's always that possibility, yes 17 Thank you, Mr. MacManus. Q. 18 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Lynch. 19 Does any participant have any questions 20 of Mr. MacManus? 21 If not, I guess you're also excused. 22 ATTORNEY BALDWIN: Thank you. 23 MR. MacMANUS: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Next is Connecticut

1	Municipal Electric Cooperative.
2	Good afternoon, Attorney Kipnis. Would
3	you introduce your panel for us please.
4	ATTORNEY KIPNIS: Good afternoon. My
5	name is Robin Kipnis. I'm the Assistant General Counsel
6	for the Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy
7	Cooperative.
8	And today I have with me two witnesses
9	from CMEEC. I would like to introduce Brian Forshaw.
10	He's our Director of Power Supply. And Mr. Charles
11	Carpinella, Load and Generation Analyst.
12	I would like to present them to the
13	Council at this point to be sworn.
14	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Both rise. Attorney
15	Bachman will administer the oath.
16	(Whereupon the witnesses were sworn in.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

BRIAN FORSHAW and CHARLES CARPINELLA, 1 2 called as a witness by Connecticut Municipal Electric 3 Cooperative, having been duly sworn, were examined and 4 testified on their oaths as follows: CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Do you have some 5 exhibits? 6 ATTORNEY KIPNIS: I would like to offer three exhibits listed in the hearing program as Roman 8 9 Numeral XVIII, Subsections B-1 through B-3. 10 These consist of the Report of Forecast of Loads and 11 Resources, dated March 1st, 2013, the response to 12 Council Interrogatories, dated May 30, 2013, and 13 response to Council Interrogatories dated June 7th, 14 2013. I would like to offer them to the Siting Council for identification purposes, subject to verification. 15 16 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant 17 have any objection to these three items being taken for 18 identification purposes at this time? 19 Hearing no objection, proceed. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY ATTORNEY KIPNIS: 22 Mr. Carpinella, did prepare or assist in the preparation of the exhibits listed in the hearing 23 24 program under Roman XVIII, Subsections B-1 through B-3?

1	A. Yes, I did.
2	Q. Do you have any additions, clarifications,
3	deletions or modifications to those documents?
4	A. Not at this time
5	Q. Are these exhibits true and accurate to the
6	best of your knowledge?
7	A. Yes, they are.
8	Q. Do you adopt these exhibits as your testimony
9	here today?
10	A. Yes, I do.
11	ATTORNEY KIPNIS: Mr. Chairman, I would
12	like to offer the exhibits listed in the hearing program
13	Roman Numeral XVIII Items B-1 through B-3 as full
14	exhibits.
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
16	Is there any participant who has any
17	objection to the admission of any one of these three
18	items, or more than one of these three items, as full
19	exhibits?
20	Hearing no objection they're so admitted.
21	I assume the panel is ready for
22	cross-examination
23	Mr. Perrone.
24	MR. PERRONE: Thank you, sir.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PERRONE:

1.2

- Q. Does CMEEC submit a forecast to ISO New England or forecast data to ISO New England for infrastructure of planning purposes, or do they develop their forecast completely independently?
- A. (Mr. Carpinella) Their forecast is developed independent of CMEEC's forecast. The only information that CMEEC does supply to ISO New England on an annual basis is a portion for the annual sub report, which is issued April 1st. And most of that information that we supply to the is verification of our generation resources that we have on file with them.
- Q. In the conservation and load management section of the forecast report one of the items is the Cool Choice, HVAC rebate Program. How does that program work?
- A. The program involves an arrangement with local suppliers where rebates for energy efficient devices are provided directly to the customer.
- Q. So, that's like replacing their heating or AC Units with more efficient units?
 - A. Correct
 - Q. Does CMEEC have time of use rates anywhere

within its service area?

A. We do have -- we have historically had what are called real time pricing type rates for certain large industrial customers.

Those -- under those arrangements the customer bills are actually based upon the wholesale market costs from the energy and other markets. And they get the advantage of that.

We are in the process in conjunction with development of an automated meter and infrastructure system enabling several pilots to extend that type of time bearing rate pricing to additional smaller customer groups. But those are all -- those are pilot programs right now.

- Q. Have you seen any difference where their customers have reacted with reduced usage due to higher prices at peak demand periods, or is it too soon to tell?
- A. I think it's fair to say that certain of the larger customers have changed their consumption patterns in order to avoid charges that might be allocated on a monthly peak basis. And there's a fairly active effort to work with us and monitor that.
 - Q. Generally when we experience a heat wave,

let's say it's several days long, we usually find or expect that the peak demand grows from the beginning of the heat wave until the end, like the peaks are higher with each following day?

- A. Yeah. I would say. In fact, we experienced one here recently on May 30th through Saturday June 1st where the loads were. It takes a while to build up.

 And also it's a function of the time of the year. You know, sometimes during the month of May people may not be ready to turn on their air conditioners yet, but after a certain period of day -- the second or third day is usually when you feel the full impact of a heat wave.
- Q. I don't know if you have this off the top of your head, but would you know the typical kilowatt usage on average per month for a residential customer?
- A. Yeah. This question was raised last year by Mr. Ashton.

In our late file exhibit last year for calendar year 2011 I believe the number came in at 740 kilowatt hours per customer. That was overall -- all of CMEEC's members, participants.

The number for calendar year 2012 is over, again, all customers, and that came out to be 717 kilowatt hours per customers. Again, that's residential

1 over all of CMEEC's members and participants. 2 And my understanding is all of CMEEC's load is 3 Connecticut, except for about one megawatt going out to Fisher's Island? 5 Α. That is correct. Yes 6 MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I 7 have. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Perrone. 9 Dr. Bell. 10 DR. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY DR. BELL: 13 Mr. Carpinella, just to follow up on your 14 answer just now. In last year's late file you came up with 740 kilowatts. 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. So, the 717 is this year's 18 Α. For calendar year 2012. 19 Q. Calendar --20 Α. The 740 number is for calendar year 2011. 21 Okay. Thank you. Q. 22 Α. Yup. 23 Q. In the interrogatory from us, May 30th, number 7, you responded to a question about whether you had a 24

decommissioning plan. Could you just explain why you answered no

A. Sure. On an ongoing basis we look at, you know, what do we expect given normal operation of our facilities, our peaking unit, what the normal service life would be, and at this point it's indeterminate because they don't run very often. We will keep on monitoring that, and if that changes, obviously we would. But so at this point we just don't have a formal decommissioning plan because we just haven't set a date.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Could you tell us what the status is of the Backus Hospital Microgrid Plan?

A. (Mr. Forshaw) The Backus Hospital project where our -- has been formerly approved by our board now. The project development plan is being finalized right now. Part of that obviously will be any related regulatory filings. It could come before this commission, as well as the air permit process.

We're also participating in the State

Microgrid Program, as well, -- or actually, the City of

Norwich is. Is participating in it. And at this point

I believe the project is on track for an effective date

1 some time in the first half of 2014. 2 Ο. So, have they received funding through the 3 state plan? 4 Α. Not yet 5 Thank you. Q. Do You have any smart grid technologies 6 7 planned for your transmission system during the next 8 forecast period? By which I mean something like faxed 9 devises or a phasor measurement units or transmission 10 grid storage or things like that? 11 Α. Not at our level. Our members are mostly 12 down at the distribution level voltages and those are 13 the -- that's the focus of their existing sparkler 14 program. 15 DR. BELL: Thank YOU. 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Dr. Bell. 18 Phil. 19 MR. ASHTON: Just following on that 20 question ... 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. ASHTON: 23 Are you proposing TOD metering for residential Q. 24 customers?

- A. In fact, a number of our members are implementing those.
- Q. What's it cost to install a TOD meter? Time of day meter. Approximately.
- A. The AMI infrastructure -- this is subject to check, but off the top of my head I believe our program is on the order of 300 to 400 dollars per location.

Q. Per customer.

I have to confess a degree of unease about these meters because if you take \$400.00, just as a number, and take an annual carrying charge on them of 20 percent, which is probably maybe a bit high, but that says you're going to spend \$80.00 per year as your carrying charge. And on a small residential it doesn't strike me that the benefits are going to be commensurate with the expense. Especially when you get low use customers. What's the incentive for them to change their lifestyle? Which is dictated by extraneous matters in many instances?

A. In -- that's a great question. We struggle with that as well. I think there really ends up being, as we've looked at it, there ends up being several buckets of benefits, value that's brought out.

There are -- there is value that's brought to

the local utility just in terms of being able to monitor what's going on on the distribution system to be able to identify outages, unusual service characteristics, things of that nature, which can lead to efficiencies of not having to roll a truck to diagnose a problem, then send someone else out to solve it and those sorts of things.

Just the direct benefit of not having to actually deploy meter readers in the field, but rather get the data --

- Q. That's automatic meter reading --
- A. Right

- Q. -- and I understand that. I'm very very familiar with automatic meter reading.
 - A. And then from a --
- Q. But that's not TOD metering. Automatic meter reading can be separated from TOD meter reading.
- A. It can be, although the AMI infrastructure that's being deployed, at least within the municipal areas actually do provide a level of, you know, sub-hourly granularity if needed.
- Q. Okay. I don't want to flog it to death.

 AS I recall, Norwich has three little hydro
 units. Tenth Street, Second Street and Oakum?

1 A. Yes.

Q. They were there when I was there 50 years ago and I guess they're still running.

I lived on Boswell Avenue when it was a nice neighborhood.

How big are those units? They're in the order of 2 megawatts a piece; aren't they?

- A. If that, yeah.
- Q. And the output varies, obviously, by season.
- A. The river hydro and --
- Q. And what do they do -- come on by flood switch or something like that?
- A. There's flood switch. I think one of the units actually has a small amount. It's -- it might be equivalent of an hours worth of generation.
- Q. The reasons I ask is that looking at the May 16th response, CS-2, page 1 of 1, which is the weather normalize historical peak loads. And then looking at those I'm wondering whether -- they're all pretty close together. And I'm wondering whether or not they all include the three hydro plants operating at the time of peak?
- A. Oh, in terms of the historical tables for Norwich, those numbers are probably included on the

energy side. In terms of whether or not they were operating at the time of the peak -- if that's something that you want in a late file exhibit I can get that information or you, sir.

Q. Well, --

- A. They probably were.
- Q. I don't want to flog this to death. If you look at ten years of numbers, it's been flat growth.
- A. When Norwich is running these units, obviously -- because these are owned by Norwich.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. And they use it to offset their own load.
- Q. Right. I understand that.
- A. Let me try and put a little bit of clarify in here.

I believe for the most part during this period annual peaks are during the summer, and that's the time when the output of those units is actually at its lowest.

Q. Right. I understand. I'm familiar with hydro operation.

Nonetheless -- in so far as there is any water do you try and optimize the use of those units at all or is it strictly turn them on in the morning and they'll

run until the float switch says they're out, and then 1 2 shut them down. 3 Α. Yeah. That's about it. There's very limited 4 capability of controlling it. 5 Q. Okay. Would you agree that the -- that one sheet, as I mentioned, the CS-2, page 1 of 1, the 6 weather normalized historical peak says you got a pretty 7 8 flat load for a ten year period. 9 Д Yes. 10 Especially if you toss out 2011, which looks Q. 11 like it's -- there might be some anomalous data in 12 there. 13 I think that's fair. 14 MR. ASHTON: Okay. Nothing further. 15 Thank you very much. 16 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky. 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. WILENSKY: 19 Mr. Carpinella, one of the questions asked of 20 you does -- do the units have blackstar capability? 21 Clue me in -- what is blackstar capability? I know it's

A. (Mr. Carpinella) That is not my area of expertise, so I would be the wrong person to ask that

asked of several of the people here today.

22

23

definition.

1.1

- Q. Then I don't feel so bad then. You don't have the answer, and neither do I.
- A. I just know that all of our units that are filed on a March 1st document, and none of them had that capability.

MR. FORSHAW: Blackstar capability represents the ability of a generating resource to come on line when there's no auxiliary power that's available from the grid.

- Q. And you don't have that ability then?
- A. (Mr. Forshaw) We --
- Q. I think your answer was that you have it on -none of the units in the Connecticut listing in Question
 2 have blackstar capabilities, so you don't have that?
 - A. That's correct
- Q. Why?
 - A. Let me backup. Blackstar capability in the context of ISO means a defined set of units that are part of a system restoration plan. So, you need to bring back units in an orderly fashion, in a coordinated fashion, otherwise it have an impact on the transmission system. So, none of our units are involved in the system restoration plan. And that's why we don't

1	consider them having Blackstar capability.
2	MR. WILENSKY: Thank you very much.
3	Thank you, Mr. Chairman
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Hannon.
5	MR. HANNON: The one question I have
6	deals with the Norwich Public utilities.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. HANNON:
9	Q. I thought that Norwich was looking at
10	competing with FirstLight for the first license for
11	Scotland. Is that correct?
12	A. Again, not our
13	Q. Okay. That's why we're going with that, just
14	to whether or not that was an issue that you were
15	involved in or not?
16	A. No. We're not involved in that. I'm
17	generally aware that Norwich has filed a competing
18	application, but that's about it?
19	MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: So, in essence, Norwich
21	is a member. That's a venture onto themselves?
22	MR. FORSHAW: Correct.
23	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch.
24	MR. LYNCH: Mr. Carpinella, say hello to

1	Mr. Stern for us.
2	MR. CARPINELLA: I will.
3	MR. LYNCH: No questions, Mr. Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
5	Does any participant here have any
6	questions for either member of this panel.
7	If not, I guess you're excused.
8	Next up is United Illuminating Company.
9	And after that is CL&P.
10	Is there any other generator or utility
11	here, other than those two that have exhibits that will
12	be offered today or want to offer?
13	(No response.)
14	Mr. McDermott, do you want to introduce
15	your panel for us please?
16	ATTORNEY McDERMOTT: Mr. Murphy, good
17	afternoon.
18	Bruce McDermott on behalf of the United
19	Illuminating Company.
20	I have with me today, to my immediate
21	right, Mr. Robert Manning, who is the Manager of System
22	Integrity. Next to him is Donna Wells, Manager of
23	Technical Support Services, followed by Mark Colca,
24	Manager for Pricing, and Devang Patel, Principle

```
1
      Business Development Professional, following
 2
      Mr. Christian Bilchek, Director of Asset Planning.
                CHAIRMAN MURPHY: All rise. Attorney Bachman
 3
      will administer the oath.
 4
 5
                      (Whereupon the witnesses were sworn in.)
 6
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	ROBERT MANNING, DONNA WELLS, MARK COLCA,
2	DEVANG PATEL, and CHRISTIAN BILCHEK,
3	called as witnesses by the United Illuminating Company,
4	having been duly sworn, were examined and testified
5	their oaths as follows:
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. McDermott, do you
7	have some exhibits for us?
8	ATTORNEY McDERMOTT: We have two exhibits
9	for identification. First the Company's Report of
10	Forecast of Loads and Resources dated March 19, 2013 and
11	then the company's responses to interrogatories dated
12	May 30th, 2013.
13	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
14	have any objection to these items being taken for
15	identification?
16	Hearing none, proceed, Mr. McDermott.
L7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L8	BY ATTORNEY McDERMOTT:
L9	Q. Mr. Manning, did you prepare, supervise or
20	assist in the preparation of the company's report dated
21	March 19, 2013?
22	A. Yes, I did.
23	Q. And do you have any changes or corrections to
24	that report?

```
1
           Α.
                No, I do not.
 2
                And do you adopt that report here today?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
 4
           Q.
                And the same questions as to the company's
 5
      interrogatory responses dated May 30th, 2013. Did you
 6
     prepare or assist in the preparation or oversee the
 7
      supervision and the preparation of those interrogatory
8
      responses?
 9
                Yes, I did.
           Α.
10
                And do you have any changes or corrections to
11
      those?
                Yes, I do. To CSE-001, the interrogatory
12
           Α.
13
                I have a table that I'll read in, but we can
14
     also provide that as a revised interrogatory response.
15
                     CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Why don't you do both.
16
                     MR. MANNING:
                                    Okay.
17
                     So, starting with 2003, the number should
18
     be 1,221 megawatts;
19
                     2004 should be 1,229 megawatts;
20
                     2005, 1,235 megawatts;
21
                     2006, 1,241 megawatts;
22
                     2007, 1,247 megawatts;
23
                     2008, 1,253 megawatts;
24
                     2009, 1,259 megawatts;
```

1	2010, 1,265 megawatts;
2	2011 1,271 megawatts; and
3	2012, 1277 megawatts.
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
5	ATTORNEY McDERMOTT: And we will file a
6	corrected response.
7	BY ATTORNEY McDERMOTT:
8	Q. And for clarification, Mr. Manning what is the
9	reason for the changes that you just identified?
10	A. Oh, yes. The interpretation of the
11	interrogatory originally was we thought you were
12	looking for sales, and that was included in the answer.
13	Annual energy sales on a 50/50 basis.
14	I believe the question was relative to peak.
15	Q. And with those changes do you adopt those
16	interrogatory responses here today?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	ATTORNEY McDERMOTT: Thank you,
19	Mr. Murphy. Everyone is ready for cross examination.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
21	have any objection to these items being taken in as full
22	exhibits.
23	Hearing none, lets start cross
24	examination.

Mr. Perrone. 2 MR. PERRONE: Thank you, sir. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. PERRONE: 5 Does UI submit a forecast to ISO for Q. 6 infrastructure planning purposes? 7 (Mr. Bilchek) ISO New England develops load Α. forecasts for the state of Connecticut and allocates 8 9 zero to UI, so we do not provide a forecast for planning 10 use; however, we do provide them information that allows 11 them to properly allocate their forecast to our 12 substation because they don't forecast to the substation 13 load. 14 0. One question I had asked CMEEC. Do you know 15 the average kilowatt hour usage for a typical 16 residential customer per month? 17 Α. (Mr. Manning) Yeah. Per month is about 650 18 kilowatt hours. 19 Q. Also, when you experience a heat wave several 20 days long do you generally expect the peak load to 21 increase daily. 22 Α. Typically we experience that unless it happens 23 like over a weekend or maybe on a Friday. Let's say the

last day of the heat wave, we may not see the peak then.

Or if it's a Saturday or a Sunday, or even a holiday.

But if it was a Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday -- Wednesday

would generally be the highest peak period.

- Q. Is that because during the weekend you might have less commercial and industrial customers?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. In response to Question #3 of the interrogatories I see there's a 2.85 percent grossed up factor for line losses. So, basically are those reductions in loads since -- with the conservation and load management measures, you'd have less load, so then you would have less line losses to go along with that?
 - A. Yes. Basically, yes.
- Q. Is ISO's -- is UI's demand response program associated with the ISO New England Motor Response Program?
- A. It's the -- Iso, yeah. Basically our response in here is the ISO Program. So, when they do the calls, this is what you're seeing as a reduction.
- Q. Does the LREC L-R-E-C, or the ZREC, Z-R-E-C, program affect your forecast at this time? And if so, how?
- A. So, what we did for distributed generation, we included those bidders into the LREC and ZREC programs

that successfully bid in; however, we did not include that as peak reductions.

For peak reductions the way we treated that was actual DG interconnection applications that we have -- have received and that are being evaluated. Those are included as peak reduction.

- Q. Also, on page 12 of the UI forecast it talks about different lighting technologies. Do you see LED lighting as like the next generation lightbulb after CFL's?
- A. (Ms. Wells) Yes, we do. We're planning -that's part of the planning process in the conservation
 work programs and promoting those -- that type of
 product.
- Q. Also, after LED it mentions induction lighting. What is induction lighting and how does that work?
 - A. I couldn't explain that.
- Q. I'll move on.

11.

Does UI have time of use rates in its service area?

- A. (Mr. Manning) Yes, we do.
- Q. Has it been UI's experience that customers have reduced usage during peak demand periods in

response to higher prices?

- A. Generally we have not seen that. We have had time of use rates for quite some time now. And I think it's based on somebody's comfort level. So, as you mentioned, you know, on a multiple day heat wave -- even with a little increase in price, people want to feel comfortable and be comfortable so, they will turn their air conditioning on. And that is the main driver of our peak, is the air conditioning load.
- Q. Generally what kind of smart grid features has UI implemented in its service area?
- A. There are a few items that we're looking at and that we have implemented. One item we are investigating is what's called a field area network. We're working with EPRI, E-P-R-I, to look at, you know, basically cyber security issues, coverage issues, and that would allow for two way communications with field devices, like switches.

We're also in the process of implementing a historian, which will allow basically the receiving of large amounts of data for visualization and analysis. So, this would be through our Scada, S-C-A-D-A, system. So, if we had these field devices out -- you know, out there, we could collect, you know, watts, bars,

different information, fault data, and be able to process that data.

On the transmission side, I don't know if Mr. Bilchek wants to add what we're doing. Some analysis with Geomagnetically induced currents. We're monitoring some of our larger transformers. Add some of the -- see if we're seeing any currents based on geomagnetic disturbances.

Also, we're moving towards microprocessor based relays on the transmission system to allow for better fault analysis. More data to allow for fault analysis.

I think in last year's hearing we talked bout our pilot with the home area network where we're allowing consumers to basically look at their usage and each appliance in their home. You know, what the refrigerator is using, what the -- different appliances are using. Were they could make educated decisions on, hey, let me change the thermostat to turn off my air conditioning. And the idea of that would be to put pricing signals into the display to allow them to make, you know, economic based decisions. Participation on that was somewhat low, so we had to discontinue that pilot.

1 Some other items we're looking at is updating 2 our capacitor communications. Right now it's really a 3 one way communication to the capacitor banks in the 4 field for our control. We're looking at implementing a 5 two way communication system there to allow for patrol 6 and verification that the capacitor bank closed and came 7 on line. 8 MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I have. 10 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Perrone. 11 Dr. Bell. 12 DR. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 Just following up on Mr. Perrone's last 14 question. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION 16 BY DR. BELL: 17 I'm not sure if Mr. Bilchek got a chance to Q. 18 add anything he wanted to say? 19 Α. (Mr. Bilchek) No. I believe you -- Dr. Bell, 20 you asked a question to CMEEC regarding PM use -- if 21 there were any plans to install PM use, which are phasor 22 measurement units, which --23 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bilchek, can you 24 speak up.

MR. BILCHEK: Yeah. It's not working too well, is it?

Is that better now?

So, we -- UI has installed four phasor measurement units on our system in collaboration with ISO New England, and we provide that data to ISO New England for their purposes of situational awareness and future plans to use in daily operations.

Bob Manning also mentioned about monitoring geomagnetically induced currents. We have four 345 KV to 115 KV auto transformers on our system which we have begun monitoring for these geomagnetically induced currents and trying to correlate the magnitude of those currents with solar storm disturbances. And we've been working with ISO in collaboration with that?

DR. BELL: Thank you.

BY DR. BELL:

- Q. In Exhibit 2 of your initial report, which has to do with transmission system planned modifications.

 It's page 25 of the report. It looks to me as if you left out the station in Shelton. Am I missing something?
- A. (Mr. Manning) Well, we talk about shelton in the report. The way this exhibit is laid out is it's

list of planned transmission projects, which applications are being contemplated or maybe subject to declaratory ruling, or have already been filed, or projects which have received CSE declaratory ruling.

For Shelton, at the time of this report we did receive approval, so we did not include it in this exhibit.

- Q. Oh, I see. I was trying to put it into the have already been filed. But you're saying it even got beyond that because it was already approved
 - A. Correct

Q. Thank you.

You have a program to encourage CHP, which is called zero capital upfront; ZCAP.

A. (Mr. Patel) I can talk a little bit about that. In our business development efforts, it's one of those efforts that's trying to look at how we can put them all together to allow customers to install distributed generation at their locations with zero capital and expense by involving other parties in the transaction too. And it's just one of those efforts where — considering just to support some of this effort for customers that are interested, but have not taken any further steps, but we haven't really formalized any

plans associated with it yet.

- Q. Just to ask about the last part of what you said. You have the program. You've made it known to people -- some of your customers, but nobody has taken advantage of it. Is that more or less a paraphrase?
- A. No. It's more or less just discussions at the moment. And folks who are interested following, you know, events of the storms where perhaps they may be considering some sort of generation on their location to mitigate some issues they may have experienced, but have don't have the ability to necessarily finance those kinds of projects themselves. And the ability to involve other parties, like other energy service companies or commercial companies that can help customers to actually implement solutions?
- Q. Are you testing this or are you -- are you actively seeking participation and how long will it continue?
- A. Well, I think that all we've basically done is talk to a few customers who have indicated that they want to pursue some effort along these lines. And just supporting those efforts from a sales and customer management perspective what we're trying to do is just help them understand better what their options are.

1 0. I see. Okay. Thank you. 2 DR. BELL: Those are my questions, 3 Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WILENSKY: 6 7 Q. Do you see any projected growth in the area 8 that you service as far commercial or industrial in the 9 several years? 10 Are there any projects that might be coming on 11 line? I don't mean as far as energy goes, but as far as 12 industrial or commercial complexes that you will be 13 servicing? 14 (Mr. Manning) Well, we do have specific Α. 15 customers that are growing 16 Ο. That's what I'm getting at, yeah 17 We have a chlorine manufacturer -- I don't 18 want to go into specific names, but a chlorine 19 manufacturer that's expanding in the next couple of 20 So, yeah, there is some growth. 21 We also have some commercial areas that are 22 looking to expand in the Bridgeport area. So, we are 23 seeing commercial and industrial growth, or we have

forecasted commercial and industrial growth.

Q. Do you see any need for new energy coming on -- energy plants coming on line or being built to supply these areas, or do you think there's an adequate amount of energy at the present time?

A. Well, I think this is the forum to kinda discuss that. You know, basically on the annual report that comes out, the Council looks at the match of the resources and loads. And I think the latest report showed we do have sufficient generation to meet the projected load.

MR. BILCHEK: Bob, I could help with that too.

So, ISO New England is looking forward at the capacity needs in New England going forward. They have the forward capacity market and their analysis has showed that we have enough generation and supply to meet the demand. Of course, that's been helped in Connecticut by projects like News that have allowed for increased transfer capability into Connecticut.

But we are at risk if some of these older fossil generators retire -- right, so ISO New England has all this other interest that they're keeping a close eye on, how that all transpires and to make sure that we're not short in the future should a large amount

1 of generation retire. 2 0. Okay. 3 MR. WILENSKY: Thank you, gentlemen. 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Ashton. 6 MR. ASHTON: Following on 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY Mr. ASHTON: 8 9 0. Are you aware of any of the generators in your 10 service area, and New Haven Harbor and Bridgeport Harbor 11 I guess covers it pretty well, that are tending to 12 retire any of the units in the near future? 13 (Mr. Bilchek) Not specifically retire, though 14 I am aware from the filings through this process that 15 Bridgeport Harbor II does not have an obligation to ISO 16 New England to run in any of their markets, and they are 17 in our service territory. 18 Yup. And they're a fairly old unit, aren't Q. 19 they? 20 Α. Yes. 21 I want to talk for a second about the UI 22 forecast versus the ISO forecast. ISO has opted not to participate in this year's hearing, and that inevitably 23 24 leads to a little wondering as to how compatible your

1 work is that of ISO. Do you reconcile differences in your forecast with that of ISO, and I'm not sure who I'm 2 3 addressing this to. Α. Yeah no. I'll take that one. 5 So, we do work very closely with Iso New 6 England because the load forecast that ISO New England 7 uses for regional transmission planning purposes is the 8 ISO New England Load Forecast. And like I said with the 9 earlier response, we -- as UI and CL&P does this same 10 thing, we give ISO New England information so they can properly allocate that load to our substations. 11 12 We have looked at ISO New England's forecast 13 compared to UI's forecast and we're actually running 14 very close to -- to each other. We're not exact, but 15 we're very close. 16 0. So, would you accept the ISO forecast within 17 the noise levels of forecasting? 18 Α. I do. 19 Q. Okay. 20 MR. ASHTON: I quess that's it. 21 you very much. 22 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Hannon. 23 MR. HANNON: Just a general question 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. HANNON:

Q. With some of the issues that we've had with weather over the last couple of years, and I've heard people talking about how much power residential customers are using, but I'm curious as to plans that may be ongoing to deal with maybe some of the substations and things of that nature that are at a relative low elevation compared to some of the storms we're seeing. Because if you can't get the power out of there, the peak one can be used in --. Is anything being planned in that capacity?

A. You're absolutely right. During Storm Irene in 2011 and Super Storm Sandy in 2012 UI did have some issues with flooding at our substation. So, we have put together a plan project to address it this fall from a —— like a short term, temporary perspective to make sure that if we had the same type of storm surge that we had with Sandy, even plus some level about three feet above, that we would be able to ride through that without having to de-energize our substations, like we've had to do in the past.

We're currently also evaluating long term permanent solutions to that. We're at the very early stages of contemplating what those might be. But those

1 run the gambit from protecting substations, raising 2 equipment at substations, relocating substations, and, 3 you know, a few other considerations around that. So, we are implementing short term improvements for this fall and are looking at longer term solutions. 6 7 MR. HANNON: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch -- excuse me 9 ... Dr. Bell? 10 DR. BELL: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. I just 11 wanted to ask a follow up to Mr. Hannon's question. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY DR. BELL: 14 Mr. Bilchek, can you tell us roughly how many 15 substations you've been -- are in this pool that you've 16 been considering for active intervention, if you call it 17 that? 18 MR. ASHTON: Can we limit it to full 19 substations, because I know you have little distribution substations scattered all over the lot. 20 21 MR. BILCHEK: Yeah, so the ones --22 actually we have no concerns -- are you talking about 23 the distribution stations being like the 13a to 14b 24 substations?

1 MR. ASHTON: Right. 2 MR. BILCHEK: We actually have on 3 concerns with those substations. 4 MR. ASHTON: So, it's the bulk stations 5 that are worrisome? 6 MR. BILCHEK: It is. 7 There's about six or seven substations that we have concerns about. We actually had flooding 8 9 at three of those substations with Sandy. 10 DR. BELL: Thank you. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch. 13 MR. LYNCH: One of my questions just got 14 answered here. 15 And my other questions was -- I think, 16 Mr. Bilchek, you might have answered it earlier when you 17 talked about News. The two transmissions lines. 18 and West helping out with the load. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. LYNCH: 21 Could you maybe elaborate a little bit more on 22 the eastern part from Lake Road Generating and how that 23 may impact the load? 24 Northeast Utilities may be better to answer

that question because it's
Q. But you're also
A. I am familiar with it
Q. Don't you also have a part of the line?
A. We do. We have an investment in that line.
So, yes, Lake Road the news projects, and I
think you're talking about the interstate portion of the
news project?
MR. LYNCH: Yes.
MR. BILCHEK: Does allow Lake Road to be
considered on the west side of inside Connecticut, I
think as Mr. Ashton talked about it earlier.
So, yes. That allows Lake Road to be
considered electrically in Connecticut.
MR. LYNCH: I have no further questions.
MR. BILCHEK: Is that what your question
is?
MR. LYNCH: Pretty much, but we'll get
CL&P's answer to it.
Thank you.
That's it, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN MURPHY: I guess Mr. Ashton has
a follow-up question,.
MR. ASHTON: Regarding your examination

of substations and their exposure to storm damage, when you propose remedial action what will it be. Will it protect to 100 year storm, the 500 year storm or the maximum probable storm, or what?

MR. BILCHEK: That's a very good question. It doesn't have an easy answer because the — the once in a 500 year storm may be a category 3 storm with winds going toward the north and produces a storm surge that would — would totally flood control rooms at some of these substations that we're worried about, and the cost — there's a cost to go along with that mitigation.

So, I believe our plan is going to look at the costs and the solutions involved with mitigating different levels of severe weather and storm surge. And then we'll have to make some decisions based on those costs and the risk.

MR. ASHTON: For whatever comfort it's worth, my experience is that once you get past 100 year storm, an incremental level of protection will take you to a 500 year, or a PMF, probably maximum flood, is not much.

MR. BILCHEK: So, are you specifically talking like if you're building a new substation --

1 MR. ASHTON: Yes. 2 MR. BILCHEK: That incremental cost. 3 MR. ASHTON: Yeah. 4 MR. BILCHEK: And I think we've --5 MR. ASHTON: If you go to protect against a hundred year storm, the incremental cost to protect 6 7 against the PMF is not too bad. 8 Right. And --MR. BILCHEK: 9 MR. ASHTON: Because it's not a lot of 10 work. 11 MR. BILCHEK: And I think we've observed 12 the same thing for new installations. 13 When we're talking about dealing with an 14 existing substation, protecting to a hundred year flood 15 may be manageable at a low cost, but if you looked at a 16 once in a 500 year that might get you to have to 17 relocate that substation, which could be much more 18 expensive. 19 MR. ASHTON: Also, I hope that as you 20 modified substations -- and I'm thinking of the East 21 Shore substation, which is right smack on the edge of 22 the harbor, you did a breaker replacement project there 23 a year or so ago, as I recall. 24 When you do that kind of work do you look

1 at jacking up the breaker and the control schemes and so 2 forth to keep them out of whatever. Even though the rest of the station maybe in it, the new work at least 3 4 conforms to a good plan guide. 5 MR. BILCHEK: I think it might have -the weather we've had over the last two years we keep a 6 7 much more keen eye on it now than -- you know, because 8 we used to plan to the 100 year flood plain. East Shore 9 is an interesting substation in that though it's right 10 near the water it's actually elevated higher. 11 MR. ASHTON: Yeah. 12 MR. BILCHEK: So, that substation is 13 actually less of a concern for us than other 14 substations. 15 MR. ASHTON: I use it only by example. 16 MR. BILCHEK: But yes -- the answer is we look at it much differently now than we did 20 years 17 18 ago, in light of what we've seen over the last couple 19 years. 20 MR. ASHTON: Okay. Thank you. 21 Nothing further. Thanks. 22 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Any other member have 23 any follow-up questions? If not, Mr. McDermott, I guess your panel 24

1	can be excused.
2	ATTORNEY McDERMOTT: Thank you very much.
3	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: CL&P.
4	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Good afternoon.
5	Beth Maldanado for CL&P.
6	At this time I would like to ask each of
7	the members of our panel to introduce themselves by
8	providing their name and title for the record please.
9	MR. ANANTHACHAR: Vinay Ananthachar,
10	Program Planner, Conservation Load Management
11	Department.
12	MR. BENTLEY: Brad Bentley, Director of
13	Transmission System Planning.
14	MR. GOODWIN: Charles Goodwin, Director
15	of Rates and Forecasting.
16	MR. HONAN: Tim Honan, Manager of
17	Wholesale Power Contracts.
18	MR. ERRICHETTI: David Errichettí, Equal
19	NEPOOL Markets, Power Supply Planning and Policy.
20	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
21	If you gentlemen will all rise, Council
22	will administer the oath.
23	(Whereupon the witnesses were sworn in.)
24	

1	VINAY ANANTHACHAR, BRAD BENTLEY, CHARLES GOODWIN,
2	TIM HONAN and DAVID ERRICHETTI,
3	having been called as witnesses by CL&P, having been
4	duly sworn, were examined and testified on their oath as
5	follows:
6	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
7	Ms. Maldanado, do you have some exhibits?
8	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Yes, we do.
9	CL&P has two exhibits to offer for
10	identification. The first is our Forecast of Loads and
11	Resources dated March 1, 2013, and the second is our set
12	of responses to the Council's interrogatories dated May
13	30, 2013. We'd ask that those be marked for
14	identification, subject to verification.
15	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
16	object to these two items being taken for identification
17	purposes only?
18	Hearing none, proceed, Ms. Maldanado.
19	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Thank you.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY ATTORNEY MALDANADO:
22	Q. I would like to ask each of the members of our
23	panel individually whether they have prepared or
24	assisted in the preparation of the forecasted loads and

1	resources that's been marked as Exhibit 1?
2	MR. ANANTHACHAR: Yes.
3	MR. BENTLEY: Yes.
4	MR. GOODWIN: Yes.
5	MR. HONAN: Yes.
6	MR. ERRICHETTI: Yes.
7	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: And with respect to
8	that portion of the report that each of you has assisted
9	in the preparation of do you have any modifications,
10	changes or additions?
11	MR. ANANTHACHAR: No.
12	MR. BENTLEY: No.
13	MR. GOODWIN: No.
14	MR. HONAN: I have two. One is just to
15	correct a typo and the other is an update to information
16	that was provided.
17	The typo, and it's a pretty obvious one,
18	on page 16. We refer to a table
19	MR. ASHTON: 16 of what?
20	MR. HONAN: 16 of the FLR, Forecasted
21	Loads and Resources.
22	And on page 16 we talk about the power
23	that CL&P purchased in the year 20012. That obviously
24	should be 2012.

1 The update is really for the same document, Table 2.4. There's five projects in there 2 3 under Project 150 that are listed as in-service in 2013 that are under five megawatts. When we prepared this 4 5 document the projects had to be in service by 2013 or 6 the contracts would have ended. 7 Recent legislation allowed these projects 8 to request a two year extension. And in four of the five cases those requests have been made and granted. 10 So, I would suspect that some of these in service years 11 will be later than 2013 at this point, but I don't have 12 any additional information on that. It's too recent 13 knowledge to know exactly what year at this point. 1.4 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: You say it's four of the five? 15 16 MR. HONAN: Four of the five have already 17 requested --18 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Which one is not in the 19 four? 20 MR. HONAN: The one that is not in the 21 four is Stamford Hospital Fuelcell. I do not believe 22 they have requested that extension at this point. 23 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Proceed, Attorney 24 Maldanado.

1	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: I would again ask
2	the panel, with respect to the FLR report, do you adopt
3	the FLR report?
4	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Oh, you have no
5	changes?
6	MR. ERRICHETTI: I have no changes.
7	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Oh, I'm sorry, Dave.
8	Do you adopt the FLR report as your
9	testimony in this proceeding?
10	MR. ANANTHACHAR: Yes.
11	MR. BENTLEY: Yes.
12	MR. GOODWIN: Yes.
13	MR. HONAN: Yes.
14	MR. ERRICHETTI: Yes.
15	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Mr. Ananthachar,
16	with regard to the interrogatories that we submitted,
17	did you sponsor some of those interrogatories?
18	MR. ANANTHACHAR: Yes, I did.
19	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Do you have any
20	changes or additions to those responses?
21	MR. ANANTHACHAR: No.
22	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: And do you adopt
23	those responses as your testimony in this matter?
24	MR. ANANTHACHAR: Yes.

1	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: And, Mr. Goodwin.
2	MR. GOODWIN: Yes.
3	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Did you sponsor
4	responses to interrogatories in this proceeding?
5	MR. GOODWIN: Yes, I did.
6	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Do you have any
7	changes or additions to those responses?
8	MR. GOODWIN: No.
9	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: And with that, we'd
10	like to offer our Exhibits 1 and 2 as full exhibits to
11	the Council.
12	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Does any participant
13	have any objection to either of these two items being
14	admitted as full exhibits.
15	Hearing none, they are so admitted,
16	Attorney Maldanado.
17	ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Perrone, I guess
19	they're ready for cross-examination.
20	MR. PERRONE: Thank you.
21	CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. PERRONE:
23	Q. What is your typical kilowatt hour usage for a
24	residential customer per month on average?
-	1

- A. (Mr. Goodwin) It's about 700 kilowatt hours.
- Q. Does CL&P submit a forecast to ISO New England for infrastructure and planning purposes?
- A. (Mr. Bentley) No. We do not submit a forecast. However, similar to United Illuminating, we also check and submit the data for us so that they can do the ratios for the allocation of the load forecast.
- Q. In the response to question #9 of the interrogatories it mentions real time emergency generation. Are those generators that are like on the sites of commercial/industrial customers that they can turn on to reduce their load?
 - A. (Mr. Ananthachar) Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you know the rough number of megawatts of available emergency generation?
 - A. I don't.

- Q. Can you explain generally how the LREC, ZREC and renewable energy credits affect your forecast?
- A. (Mr. Goodwin) Yes. On page 10 of our forecast filing in the second data column that's labeled renewable energy credits. That shows there for both the annual gigawatt hours and then the effects on the peak. And it displays the customer participation in that -- in the ZREC/LREC program.

- Q. And are these all Connecticut based projects, or not?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. As far as your nonrenewable DG projects are those generally fueled by natural gas?
 - A. Largely.

- Q. Does CL&P have time of use rates for its customers and if so is it CL&P's experience that customers of reduced usage during peak demand periods?
- A. (Mr. Goodwin) Well, first of all we do have time of use rates. They're mandatory for certain customer classes. They're mandatory for business customers at 200 KW and larger peak demands. And it's difficult to say the impact of that pricing for those customers because they've been on mandatory time of use rates for many, many years.

We have optional voluntary time of use rates for residential and smaller customers, but we don't have a significant number of customers taking the voluntary time of use rates. The only information I have relevant to customer behavior for TOU rates is that CL&P did a pricing pilot about four or five years ago and we tested a number of different types of pricing scenarios. One was time of use pricing at traditional on and off peak,

with some fairly severe on and off peak differentials.

And we also tested what's known as a critical peak

pricing scheme and then we also tested something called

a peak time rebate pricing scheme. And we were able to

measure a load response in each of our customer classes

across those various tasks. And as you would expect,

the proportion of customer response was directly related

to the severity of the pricing structure itself. So,

there was an elasticity effect that was measurable.

1.2

- Q. One question that I had asked before last year, just to confirm this number, the number of homes per megawatt. I believe it was about 2 KW per home or about 500 homes per megawatt. Is that value still reasonable?
 - A. That sounds about right. Yes.
- Q. What kinds of smart grade measures has CL&P adopted or seeks to in the near future?
- A. (Mr. Bentley) So, we've completed the -- our installation of our Sinctor phasor, I think PM uses -- United Illuminating called it. Those are in place. They're available to be used, for the operations to get data. In addition digital fault recorders have been installed on our system to identify data that can be used to see what went on in the system during a

1 disturbance on the system, so a lot of the measures 2 we're put in place are available for use. 3 Q. The next topic is import capability for 4 Connecticut. In our forecast report we usually have the 5 based level import for Connecticut. Some conservative 6 number. And then, of course, there can be additions for 7 transmission upgrades. But as a base number, is 2,000 8 megawatts a conservative number? So, I would say that for purposes of the 10 Connecticut IRP there are assumed numbers in that filing 11 there that are -- would be best reflective of what's 12 available during the before and after the interstate 13 project, before and after the greater Springfield 14 reliability project. 15 Each one of those projects increase the 16 transmission capability into Connecticut. And I believe 17 those -- I don't have the exact numbers with me, but 18 somewhere between 2,000 and 25,000. 19 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Bentley, could you 20 please speak up. Your voice is fading. 21 MR. BENTLEY: I'm sorry. I will move 22 this closer. 23 Is this better?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes.

MR. BENTLEY: Okay. 1 Sorry. 2 MR. ASHTON: Excuse me one minute. 3 Those numbers are the transfer capability 4 into Connecticut of what -- under what conditions? All 5 lines in, peak load, or otherwise. MR. BENTLEY: Generally what's in the 6 7 Connecticut IRP is the all lines in service number, and under contingency conditions that -- the amount of load 8 9 -- or the amount of power that could flow into Connecticut would be reduced. Depending on the 10 11 contingency. 12 MR. ASHTON: At peak load? 13 MR. BENTLEY: At peak load. 14 MR. ASHTON: Okay. 15 MR. PERRONE: And lastly, I asked CMEEC and UI this also. 16 17 BY MR. PERRONE: 18 Q. Generally if you have a heat wave several days 19 long, do you generally expect that the peak demand grows 20 daily? 21 Α. (Mr. Goodwin) Yes. It's -- yes. 22 And that's because initially people may be 23 reluctant to turn their AC on, but after a day or more 24 you get more people running their air conditioning?

A. I think that's part of it. There's also a heat gain build up in the house over a course of two or three days of a hot spell.

MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Dr. Bell.

DR. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY DR. BELL:

Q. On page 11 of the March 1st submission you say CL&P doesn't use any of the forgoing purchases which are listed to serve load, but rather uses them in the ISO New England whole market to offset contract cost obligations. Could you just explain what you mean by offsetting contract cost obligations. Are you talking about the forward capacity market or the energy market?

A. (Mr. Honan) Typically in the energy market.

What we do is we cannot use the energy or recs
that we get from these contracts to serve our load under
the current rules. So, as a result, when we get energy,
we sell the energy back into the spot markets and use
the cash that we receive from there to offset the
payments to the projects.

In the case of recs we would get whatever --

we would sell the recs into the secondary rec markets, and whatever value, again, offsets the cost of the contract.

- Q. Okay. On page 19 you're talking about the demand response programs, and you say in 2013 demand responsibly fully funded by the ISO New England forward capacity market. From the context I gather this means that CL&P will no longer fund DR Programs on its own.

 Or use REGI funds for that purpose. How much money will this yield?
- A. I don't remember off the top of my head. I have to check.

ATTORNEY MALDANADO: Can we provide that as a late file, perhaps?

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Yes.

DR. BELL: Thank you.

BY DR. BELL:

Q. Now, I guess I'll stick with the March 1st report. And this question -- I guess if ISO were here I'd have to ask ISO the question, but since you're here and since you did talk about it in your March 1st report I'll ask you.

You talk about the Eastern Interconnection planning collaborative, which is the first ever effort

to involve other planning authorities. And there's a sentence in there that you are -- refer to. My question is what planning authorities are being referred to here in this first ever Eastern Interconnection Planning collaborative. Are you referring to all the RTO's in the eastern connection, which would be something like eight RTO's, and I'm curious about when this started, who initiated this planning collaborative and I'm also interested in what options you're talking about.

Whether we're just talking about hydro Quebec, for instance, or whether we're talking about other options within a very -- this very large scale planning collaborative.

A. (Mr. Bentley) So, this initiative started a couple of years ago by the Department of Energy. They were given funding to start a program to look at how the system could be built out under various scenarios and they wanted to bring together all the regional players, including the planning authorities which were -- New England is ISO New England, or the planning authority. So, this would include all the other RTO's planning authorities, depending on how they're registered with MERC. So, typically the ISO is like the Midwest ISO, PGM, New York ISO. They all participate in the EIPC, as

well as a lot of state regulatory bodies, transmission owners, utility companies, generators, developers. So, it was really a gathering of the industry in general to look at scenarios that the group felt would produce a worthwhile study and result of how the system could be built out under those scenarios.

So, a couple of the scenarios that they've looked at was large scale wind development. They also looked at generation retirement scenarios. So, a large portion of this was moving power in various directions across the eastern inner connection.

They did produce a report, and I believe that's available. I believe. That's subject to check. I'm not sure if it's out yet, but I know that it's -- the majority of the work has completed for the initial effort. What had happened was there was excess funds that were still available, and they have decided to reallocate those funds to do a natural gas study to look at the electric gas coordination effort that you're hearing about in New England a lot. So -- but this would be across the eastern interconnect as well.

So, they're looking at reforming the same people that got together and adding in now the gas industry to the group and looking at what scenarios they

want to study. So, they're at the beginning stages of that effort. But they did produce a large report.

Your reference to hydro Quebec, it was included into this scenario as one of the New England upgrades. That was included in the work.

They identified how much power could be moved, what facilities would be needed to be built. So, they're looking at large DC lines, large -- what works for a long distance across the United States. Coming from the Midwest into New York, New England, as well as going into the south, so

A lot of work went into it, and the report is either available or should be available soon.

- Q. And this was independent of FERC because FERC has made efforts in this direction; right, with -particularly with wind, to move wind out of the -- well,
 that isn't exactly across the eastern interconnection,
 but within the Midwest portions of the eastern
 interconnection to the coast, the east coast. I mean,
 they've have large scale reports of that type, but this
 one you say was sponsored by the Department of Energy.
 So, that was not including FERC or
- A. I don't remember if FERC staff actually participated in the group, and if they did to what

extent. I know that the DOE staff and FERC talk a lot. So, I think what they did was probably reviewed with FERC staff at some point.

But, you know, this was an industry effort and a collaborative effort, as such is the name. And they produced a good amount of information that the industry had probably been lacking in previous attempts.

I think there had been a lot of individual efforts by region to try and identify how power might move across the eastern interconnect. But the eastern interconnect stretches all the way to the Rocky Mountains, so when we talk about moving stuff in the Mantel Hydro and down in Wisconsin, Minnesota, all the wind development in there was looked at. So, it's the first of its kind effort to really get all the major players together and have an idea of what the future might looking like.

DR. BELL: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Wilensky.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. WILENSKY:

Q. With the upgrade in the various transmission lines throughout the state that have been approved by

this Council over the last several years. Do you see any need for new generation in the State of Connecticut -- new generation plants being built in the State of Connecticut?

- A. (Mr. Errichetti) I'll take the first cut at that.
 - O. You're on

- A. In the near term, no. In the longer term, quite possibly. The big concern -- I think UI mentioned it -- is the potential for retirement.
- Q. Why do you say in the longer term yes, in the shorter term no?
- A. Well, the forward capacity market has already lined up obligations through May 2017. And for resources to bow out between now and then they need to find resources to replace them. You've taken on an obligation. If you want to relieve yourself of an obligation you need to find someone to step into your shoes.

You know, market economics are going to say a) is there somebody available to step into my shoes, and if there are what's the price. And if the price is too high, I'll stay in.

QUALIFIED REPORTING SERVICES

So, in the near term I think Connecticut is in

good shape, and, you know, that's through May 17.

Looking beyond that, one of the rule changes that is taking place for that auction, the FCA-8 is there is no longer going to be a floor, which means the market has to find a clearing price by resources leaving the market, leaving the auction, or it's going to go to zero. And that creates a dynamic where resources in Connecticut and throughout New England who can't stomach no revenues from the capacity market may decide to leave.

- Q. With the ability to import electricity through Hydro-Quebec?
 - A. That's a possibility.
- Q. With the transmission lines as they've been upgraded. Why would it be necessary to build -- have new energy in the state of Connecticut? New energy plants.

In other words, you have the ability now to import more energy than you've ever imported before.

So, would that take care of whatever is necessary in the future as you're talking about?

A. Well, in the extreme I don't think Connecticut could have no generation and import everything. I don't think even -- you know, Mr. Bentley can speak to that,

1 but I don't think we built --2 What I'm asking about is do you think the 3 present generation is enough to carry the State of 4 Connecticut, plus what you're able to import? 5 Α. If nothing retires I think we're good for a long time with these upgrades that we're in the process 6 7 of doing, in my opinion. So, in other words, you're saying --8 Q. 9 Α. But my concern ---- you would be good for a long time? For 10 0. 11 long term? 12 Α. Absent retirements. 13 Q. Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. WILENSKY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Ashton 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. ASHTON: 18 Q. What does long term mean, Mr. Errichetti? 19 Until I retire -- no. Α. 20 If all the transmission upgrades that I'm 21 aware of, and I'm not in transmission, so Mr. Bentley 22 will have to speak after me. 23 Q. I'll pick on him in a minute 24 In my opinion, I think Connecticut, absent

retirements, is probably good to the middle of the next decade. You know like 2025. The IRP that we're going to work on for next January will test that, but that's my opinion.

- Q. In formulating your opinion, which I understand goes with the kind of planning you do collectively and individually, what do you assume as to retirements of existing generating plants in the state?
- A. Well, again, that was premised on no retirements.
 - Q. On no retirements?
 - A. Right

- Q. Okay. So, you're saying no retirements?
- A. I think based on what we found in the last IRP, assuming all parts of news got built out, we were --- Connecticut was good until the early part of the next decade.
- Q. And you're pushing 75 year old former coal units, aren't you, to get that though?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Is that --
- A. Well, actually in the Connecticut IRP the last time around I think we had like 1,400 megawatts retire in Connecticut.

Q. Okay.

- A. But we also had all aspects of news built out.
- Q. Has there been any indication of the Norwalk Harbor units being retired shortly?
- A. Sir, I truly wished that NRG had shown up today because --
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. I wished NRG had shown up today.
 - Q. So, do I.
- A. Because I would have liked to have heard their answer to that question.
 - Q. Okay. Mr. Bentley, your turn.
- A. (Mr. Bentley) Yes.
 - Q. Given the fact that your document on page 3 highlights, quote, "CT's electricity consumption declined sharply during the economic recession and it is not expected to exceed 2005 levels until 2022," and given the fact that you've got a substantial beefing up of the 345 KV connection between Manchester and the Springfield area. And another beefing up between Card Street and Rhode Island, why in the world do you need the Bloomfield to Frostbridge 345 Line, which you show in here as probability.
 - A. Let me first address that first bullet on page

- 3. I believe that's talking about energy consumption and not demand -- peak demand.
- Q. Well, demand looks like it's going down rather than up.
- A. Actually it -- I mean, I'll let Mr. Goodwin here speak to that.
- Q. I'm going to pick on Mr. Goodwin because I like him very much
- A. You know, the way I read the data was that the energy demand was flat and the peak demand was actually growing like a percent or two. Am I misspeaking.
- MR. GOODWIN: Over the forecast period the energy is declining very slightly and the peak is increasing very slightly, but they're both very close to flat over the forecast.
- 16 BY MR. ASHTON:

- Q. Okay. For argument sake let's say they're flat within a reasonable probable. How can you justify that Bloomfield to -- North Bloomfield to Frostbridge line?
 - A. (Mr. Goodwin) As were stated in our report, that is actually being analyzed at ISO again
- Q. But you're going to build it, so you'd better have 50 cents -- at least 50 cents of the argument.

1	A. Right. So, you know, we're currently going
2	through the ISO process to do the assessment of the
3	needs and to determine what remaining issues are on
4	there given the current cell load forecasts, the impacts
5	of energy efficiency. So, it's really predicated upon
6	the FCA market as well. We have to include the
7	generation. So, when we started the project, you know,
8	many, many, many years ago there were different load and
9	generation assumptions within there. And now we have to
10	factor in the changes to that, as well as the generation
11	of retirements and the adjustments that are in there.
12	So, these are the factors that we're putting into this
13	assessment to determine whether or not the North
14	Bloomfield to Frostbridge line is the right solution or
15	if there's an alternate solution that needs to be built.
16	So, it's understudy and under review and going through
17	the ISO New England Planning Advisory Committee process
18	right now.
19	Q. ISO likes its forecast, you like your
20	forecast. Are the two com sa or are they com sa?
21	A. Well, I'll you asked that of Mr. Bilchek

A. Well, I'll -- you asked that of Mr. Bilchek and I'll agree and I'll do a slight modification to his answer for CL&P.

22

23

24

The ISO forecast is an echo metric top down

forecast, whereas ${\tt CL\&P's}$ distribution forecast is a bottom's up.

Q. Yup.

A. And the theory goes that the two should be relatively close.

What probably gets missed in the gap in between is the identification of any specific areas that have either new customers or load growth that the echo metric model misses. And so there can be load pockets that need to be analyzed with a little bit more rigor, and that is, I think, the job of CL&P to identify those areas to ISO to make sure that we are correctly studying it and not missing the potential for a liability issue.

So, I think the overall high level scale is fairly decent, but there are pockets of our system whereby the natural way of the calculation, it just will not hit all the marks.

- Q. It's fair to say, isn't it, that albeit the load not growing grows -- not growing very much, the load within the service area can shift.
 - A. Absolutely
- Q. It can shift from the urban areas to the suburban areas, and from what I'm reading, there tends to be a move now from the rural areas back to the

center, in the City. So that that's a fair indicator that facilities may be required, albeit the fact the load doesn't grow.

- A. Right. And that's where when we do the ratios -- and we'll do the task the make sure that the ratios are being allocated properly. The demand that -- you know, it does have to sum up to a total that is reasonable. And so it's -- it's not a perfect world between ISO and CL&P
 - Q. If you find one let me know.
- A. I think all the utilities I've worked for we've been -- everyone has been searching for that.
- Q. In that same -- I read you off page 3. I want to read another sentence -- another bullet says, quote, "The deliverability of natural gas fuel to electric generators requires monitoring to assure the reliability of electricity supply. Isn't that a truisms of any fuel source?

The only -- to my recollection the only interruption of fuel to generators has been the Arab oil embargoes. Isn't that true?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- A. I think it's this decade's flavor --

- Q. You think it's what?
- A. It's this decade's flavor.
- Q. Well, I think there's bias towards gas that is showing through here, and I experienced it myself back when, but

You know, if you're a good manager you damn well better look at all your fuel sources and monitor them, otherwise you're risking getting into trouble.

Is that fair to say?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Mr. Goodwin, the counter has sort of acknowledged the fact that gas is very low cost compared to oil in recent years and the supply picture certainly has dramatically changed since you and I were looking over gas forecast. What is the new pricing of natural gas going to do to electric load growth?
- A. (Mr. Goodwin) To electric load growth?

 I don't know that it's going to have a fuel on fuel competition to that degree where electric technologies are not going to be chosen because of gas.

 I mean, that could happen to a small degree, but I think it's more of a gas and oil technology competition. The price of gas though, at least in the current ISO clearing price methodology will largely drive where

electricity prices are in the longer term. And I think that favorable prices in gas is probably going to result in favorable electricity prices. We're are federally, you know, going to keep consumer electric costs down.

1.7

- Q. You make a point of that. I can see if you do it, but if the point is made in the 2012 DEEP report, IRP report, they state that between -- Connecticut is experiencing a lower rate, a downward trend is expected for the next five years, but then an upward swing. And that's a pure shot in the dark, I understand that. But my thinking of gas on oil is also gas on electricity, because gas is a much cheaper form of heating hot water than is electricity. And according to my bill, it's a heck of a lot cheaper for drying too.
- A. I think that -- as you know, the challenge is getting into the house.
- Q. And according to Mr. Powell, with whom I had a very good lunch a couple of days ago, they're going hell for leather to try and hook up new services, and they expect another 100,000 new customers before very long.
- A. Right. And we filed a plan just last Friday with DEEP around gas -- a ten year gas expansion plan that the gas utilities in the state filed collectively

with DEEP, and it details a very aggressive build out plan in the next ten years for each of the service territories. It's very much focused on conversion of oil heated businesses and homes.

- Q. I have no doubt about that. But don't you think -- you don't think that's going to have any material impact on electric load?
- A. Well, sure. Material is hard to say. What I can say is in our plan we have very much focused on oil heat and hot water conversion. So, from the standpoint that an oil heated house may have an electric hot water heater, than there could be some ancillary values on electric load growth, but
 - Q. Okay.

1.0

- A. But what I would say, Mr. Ashton is that the primary focus of the build out plan is on oil heated facilities.
- MR. ASHTON: Those are my questions. Thank you very much.
 - CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Hannon.

MR. HANNON: I'll ask the same question I asked of UI because I know there were a number of municipalities in the eastern part of the state that were without power for quite a while because of some of

1 the storms. So, I'm just kind of curious CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HANNON: 3 4 Q. to what may be looked at as far as 5 substations. Do you have any active plans in trying to deal with issues of elevation? 6 7 Α. (Mr. Bentley) In terms of flooding? Ο. Yes. 8 9 Really the -- as far as I'm aware, the only 10 substation that we were concerned with during the storm 11 for flooding was our southbound substation in which we 12 took some active measures to try and mitigate that. 13 did not see flooding during either of the storms. 14 So, with regards to flooding, I think we're in a 15 different place than UI was. 16 0. Than was the issue more dealing with tree branches and things of that nature and that was sort of . 17 18 the main culprit for the duration of power outages? 19 Α. I'm the Director of Transmission System 20 Planning, so, you know, you're a little bit outside my 21 window of expertise here, but the transmission 22 system held up fairly well and we were able to restore

the transmission system in a very short -- or I believe

we filed a number of records on our performance on the

23

transmission side. And then the distribution side I believe those things were also filed, so

I guess I'll let those speak for themselves since I'm not really the expert on that side.

- Q. And then just sort of a general question on conservation. I believe there are probably still millions of mercury thermostats in houses across the state which are rather inefficient. But has the company done anything to try to promote programmable thermostats, things of that nature, because they seem to have a pretty good impact on the usage of power in homes. So, has there been any type of active involvement in that program?
- A. (Mr. Ananthachar) Yes. We do have incentives for programmable thermostats for our residential customers, as well as small business customers.
- Q. Because I didn't see anything in the document dealing with the conservation measures, so I just wanted to make sure that that was one other component that you're dealing with?
- A. Yes. We do have that in our annual conservation and load management plan.

 And we have hopefully a level as well as measure details that we offer customers.

```
1
                     MR. HANNON: Thank you. I have nothing
 2
      further.
 3
                     CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Mr. Lynch.
 4
                     MR. LYNCH: No questions, Mr. Chairman.
 5
                     CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
 6
                     Does any member have any follow up
 7
      question?
 8
                     Does any other participant have any
 9
      questions of CL&P's panel?
10
                     Attorney Maldanado, you're all set.
11
                     Attorney Maldanado: Thank you.
12
                     CHAIRMAN MURPHY: Thank you.
13
                     At this point the Council will recess
14
      until 6:30, at which time we will commence the public
15
      comment session of the hearing.
16
                     (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
17
      3:31 p.m.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

			_
1	INDEX		
2	COMPANY AND WITNESS PANEL:	PAGE	
3	FIRSTLIGHT POWER ENTERPRISES, INC.: Eric DeBarba	8	
4			
5	MILFORD POWER COMPANY, LLC: Chris Curtis	14	
6	LAKE ROAD GENERATING COMPANY: Chris Curtis	20	
7		07	
8	DOMINION NUCLEAR CONNECTICUT, INC.: Richard MacManus	27	
9	CONNECTICUT MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE (CMEEC): Charles Carpinella	38	
10	Brian Forshaw		
11	UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY: Robert Manning	55	
12	Donna Wells Mark Colca		
13	Devang Patel Christian Bilchek		
14	CONNECTICUT LIGHT & POWER COMPANY:	79	
15	Vinay Ananthachar Brad Bentley	19	
16	Charles Goodwin Tim Honan		
17	David Errichetti		
18			
19			***************************************
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 CERTIFICATION 2 STATE OF CONNECTICUT) 3 COUNTY OF HARTFORD 5 I, Nancy E. Paretti, a Notary Public in 6 and for the State of Connecticut, do hereby certify that 7 the forgoing record is a correct and verbatim 8 computer-aided transcription of the proceeding herein 9 set forth. 10 I further certify that I am neither 11 counsel for, nor related to, nor employed by any of the 12 parties to the action in which this proceeding is taken, 13 and further certify that I am not related to, nor an 14 employee of any attorney or representative employed by 15 the parties thereto, nor am I financially interested in 16 this action. 17 In witness whereof I have hereunto 18 set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this date 19 July 14, 2013. 20 21 22 Nancy E. Paretti 23 Notary Public 24 My commission expires February 28, 2017