

1 STATE OF CONNECTICUT 2 CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL 3 4 FORECAST 2023 5 6 2023 REVIEW OF THE TEN-YEAR FORECAST OF 7 CONNECTICUT ELECTRIC LOADS AND RESOURCES 8 9 **VIA ZOOM** 10 11 Public Comment Session held on Wednesday, 12 November 15, 2023, beginning at 6:30 p.m., 13 via remote access. 14 15 Held Before: 16 MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ., Executive Director and Staff Attorney 17 MICHAEL PERRONE, Siting Analyst 18 19 20 Public Speaker: 21 JOEL STOCKER 22 23 24 25 Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061 Reporter:

1 2 tl 3 tl 4 My 5 au 6 Cc 7 S:

MS. BACHMAN: Ladies and gentlemen, this public comment session is called to order this Wednesday, November 15, 2023, at 6:30 p.m. My name is Melanie Bachman, the executive director and staff attorney of the Connecticut Siting Council. Also with us this evening is our stellar Siting Analyst Michael Perrone and our transcriptionist, Lisa.

If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and/or telephone now.

The Council is holding this public comment session on the 2023 Ten-Year Forecast of Connecticut Electric Loads and Resources pursuant to Connecticut General Statute, Section 16-50r, to assess the overall status of loads and resources in the state over a ten-year forecast period. The scope of the review covers the 2023 Utility Forecast of Loads and Resources Reports that are available for public inspection on the Council's website and at the Council's office.

This public comment session is reserved for members of the public who signed up in advance to speak and make brief statements. Please be advised that written comments may be submitted by

any person by December 15, 2023.

We do have one person signed up this year, Mr. Joel Stocker. Thank you for joining us, Mr. Stocker. We're sorry we missed you last year, but thank you for being here. And welcome.

JOEL STOCKER: I'm on mute, okay.

Yeah, I saw in the transcript I was the only one that even tried a couple years ago. So I'll just give you, if I get a chance here, I'll give a brief -- I've written it down because I know I will kind of ramble otherwise, just a couple of things.

Again, my name is Joel Stocker.

Everything I mention here is a personal interest.

No one has paid me to do it. My initial reason
for looking into the petitions was to find out how
much forested land was being removed to produce
power from solar facilities. I've gone well
beyond that since where I'm trying to dig in and
find out how much power solar actually produces,
and the forecast reports can or should provide
that data, I would think. They do in some ways.
I focused on solar because of its large areas of
land that are required compared to other sources
of energy.

My first question to the Council years ago, and Melanie you answered, was how to relate solar power to traditional power sources so I could make comparisons using a standard unit of output to convert peak or nameplate into megawatts per hour. And Melanie, you responded with information about the capacity factor and the annual output that a facility can ring up. You gave me estimates from -- or Melanie, you gave me an estimate from ISO New England, and one example using annual output from the active site in Somers, Connecticut.

since then it's been kind of a nightmare trying to find out any other data sources. I know you're not required to post everything on the public site, but I understand you try, and so far it's been fairly decent. From what I found looking through the forecast reports, I only see a few companies that consistently provide their annual output. Of those, some do a really good job of making their reports clear, but most are buried by complex names, facilities that are split into smaller subsections with mixed power output, and companies that change ownership or simply change their name over time. It is

extremely difficult, or has been, to tie the data back to the original petitions which is something I wanted to try to do because I wanted to get an emphasis on the total area that's being consumed.

Considering that the entire reason for the program is energy and in this case clean or carbon free energy, I've kind of been shocked at how difficult it to get the power output for these sites. Even when the petitions are presented, the actual output is rarely available or very deep within the petition documents. In almost every case I see the Council has had to specifically ask what the power output and capacity factor will be. And many do not answer the question properly. Some use AC, DC and mix the two, or they even talk about panel efficiency sometimes. In my opinion, energy detail should be up front in the document or on the first pages. It just seems like a critical part of this whole program.

So I have a couple of questions here, if I can ask. I don't know if you can answer here or not, but you don't have to. You can either answer later, if you want. Does the Council, you have any enforcement abilities to make sure all reports are provided? Is that a loaded question?

MS. BACHMAN: No. We can answer your questions. And if there are some that we may need to check on information. But to answer your question, the forecast itself, it's a reporting statute, Mr. Stocker. So the generators and the transmission owners are required to submit very specific information to us that in each report -- some of them are short -- but it identifies all seven or eight points.

MR. STOCKER: Right.

MS. BACHMAN: We ask them for it, but we can't, you know, we could stop doing work on any future projects they have would be the only real enforcement authority we could have because it is a reporting statute.

MR. STOCKER: Okay.

MS. BACHMAN: Do we encourage it? Yes, we do send follow-up potential reminder memos, but you are correct that sometimes the contact information changes. But every petition project has the record and a condition that if the ownership changes it will be on our website.

And to answer your question about the forested acreage, depending on how you define it, whether it be core, edge or just habitat or

however, it would be in the record of each petition. It's not a subject for the forecasts of electric loads and resources.

MR. STOCKER: No. That's just to give you an idea of what my motive for starting this whole project was.

MS. BACHMAN: Okay.

MR. STOCKER: That's something else. I do satellite imagery and aerial imagery all the time. I see what it was and what it is now.

That's almost a fun thing for me to work on. But as far as the actual power, that's something I wanted to know, and this has been very difficult to find out. But I can see that you do encourage it, and it's just a matter of whether or not -- and some of them do report very well. I almost feel like encouraging them somewhat, but the others I wonder about. And I've got a list that I might give you in a minute.

MS. BACHMAN: If I may just interrupt you for a moment. Since I do have the benefit of Mr. Perrone who's authored this report for about 20 years, I'm going to ask him if he can suggest any alternative sources for -- they all have interconnection agreements. So I'm not sure if

there's another source where you could actually find the information, if it would be ISO or a particular area in ISO, but if you can think of anything, Mr. Perrone, that would be appreciated.

MR. PERRONE: The generation portfolio in the report, we go by ISO New England's Seasonal Claimed Capability Report that's issued monthly. Those are all the facilities that are under ISO's control. It does not include certain small DG but all the larger plants in Connecticut it includes.

MR. STOCKER: I'll mention that in a second, if I can. Well, I have one more question I'll ask and then I'll go to the next one. If a petition is only operational part of the year, if they've only been operational for part of a year, do they still have to provide a report, or no?

MR. PERRONE: So let's say they operated part of 2023, March 2024 they should file a report and let us know what they generated during 2023. It doesn't have to be a full year.

MR. STOCKER: Okay, because that's something that, you know, when I look through the list of who has, who I find has not reported anything, I'll just add in those as well. So far I've just done it by who's done an entire year.

MR. PERRONE: It requires the megawatt hours, total energy generated for the last five years, but if you only have one or half a year, that would be in there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOCKER: Right. If I provide you with a list of petitions that are greater than one megawatt, that's what you only work with, that I feel have not reported, is there some way where you could help me to find out what their actual output was or what -- like you said, there's other sources through ISO. Maybe you can then give me some guidance of where to look. Although part of this is the headache of trying to find it. so difficult. Because I'm looking for, again, the megawatt hours per year and the actual peak values that they have. And I emphasize the peak because I notice that sometimes on a petition it says one peak value and then later on when they finally build this facility they actually have another peak value that they're using. And so I'm looking for that final peak value and the megawatt hours that they have.

MR. PERRONE: The Seasonal Claimed
Capability Report gives the peak megawatts, peak
power output for solar or whatever the generation

resource is. I'm not aware of a report that does a specific breakdown on megawatt hours per plant.

MR. STOCKER: Okay.

MR. PERRONE: CELT I think has them collectively, but per plant I'm not aware of a specific --

MR. STOCKER: Yeah, some of them, the ones that are all individual petitions come out fine as per petition. That helps me a little bit on the area thing. But the ones that are combined are the ones that are probably buried. And if I send you the list, maybe you can look at it and say, no, this one is buried somewhere, you'll never find it. I give an example like Dominion did not report this year. I use them as just kind of a base as for what real power is. And then Summer Solar, the one I started with did not report. So I'm just curious if those are out there somewhere else in some other document.

And this might apply to what we were just talking about. Several petitions were delivered as multiple subcompanies within one petition or one geographic area. And as an example for this 2023 or 20 -- the data from 2022, at least three individual subcompanies from two

petitions provided their own data. Is there a way to have that parent company who's responsible for the whole geographic area, the original petition, provide the data as one consistent package for the forecast reports or does that -- I've got a list of all the petitions that are split up in little pieces.

MS. BACHMAN: Do you mean in terms of if the petition was under common ownership like by owner we would show?

MR. STOCKER: Well, they're all, most of them link back to a parent company who's in charge of the whole petition in the beginning and even throughout the whole thing they start having these subcompanies that are, each section of a, for example, one section of a petition might have five or six one megawatt and a couple of two megawatt facilities that now have their own subcompany names and only a couple of those come out as in the report. And it's just difficult to find those. Does that make sense?

MS. BACHMAN: It does make sense. I know we do have a few petitions that came in with, you know, four 2-megawatt solar arrays and, you know, three 5-megawatt. And they probably have

different names because of the metering. But for our purposes, we look at the whole facility that was submitted as part of the petition that was approved by us.

MR. STOCKER: Right.

MS. BACHMAN: So if they break it up into each array, like, say, the north array and the south array, that's okay because we know we have these individual facilities, but their requirement to report to us is based on our records of the petition.

MR. STOCKER: Okay. Because some of them have breakout by two, two, and then one, one, one, and they only reported on the two because the one is smaller than your limits, but they're all part of the same petition. So I was trying to find out if there's a way to say can you group the whole thing and give it to you guys.

MS. BACHMAN: And sometimes portions of a petition have been constructed and other portions haven't, particularly those that are broken down. And the status of, you know, you may see that they only report on some but the other one the construction is not complete and so they're not producing power.

But I'll ask Mike if he encountered any other similarities where we have projects that are not fully constructed or partially constructed and operational but other portions aren't.

MR. STOCKER: That's where, when I give you this list, or at least if I could give you a list of the ones that are missing, those are the ones that I've got when their construction dates were and then when they were operational, so I've eliminated all the ones that are still under construction.

MS. BACHMAN: I don't know what sources of information you're using, Mr. Stocker, but if you'd please allow Mr. Perrone to answer the question, I'd appreciate that.

MR. STOCKER: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't mean to cut into it.

MR. PERRONE: We have had some facilities in the case of solar specifically where they may have been approved for 4 megawatts, they have 2 megawatts online, and the other 2 not yet. And then also, as Attorney Bachman mentioned earlier, it's also possible that the facility is completely constructed but because of metering purposes one array has a different name than the

other. But we would certainly add up all the capacity and the energy from each.

MR. STOCKER: And that would go into the forecast report or --

MR. PERRONE: Yes.

MR. STOCKER: Okay. Because eventually they'll come together and they'll all be built and then we can solve for some of these that I'm worried about. Okay. I just want to make a comment here. I'm not blaming you guys if there's anything missing here. I just don't know if these reports are even ultimately your responsibility. Maybe they are. But I was just hoping that there would be a way to make this a little more clear in the future for, I don't know, make it easier for people to understand how much power is actually being produced by a lot of these facilities. Thanks for your time. Any questions for me?

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you for coming out, Mr. Stocker. We appreciate it. The rigidity of the statute in terms of the information that needs to be provided is pretty set in a format. And to answer your question about the list, certainly, if you did submit it to us, we would probably send it out to our forecast service list indicating we

received this list from a member of the public indicating the absence of X, Y and Z reports, whatever list you have.

MR. STOCKER: Okay.

MS. BACHMAN: And we can see what response we get. But again, just understand that it is a reporting statute, not a statute where we could say you're not in compliance and therefore you have to stop operating or we're not going to take on any of your work. It's based, you know, specifically for Connecticut, but ISO New England also does the Connecticut specific CELT report as Mr. Perrone had mentioned. But feel free to send that list over, and we'll see what results we can glean from it.

MR. STOCKER: Okay. All of this is still, I'm still learning as I go so there's things that are especially with ISO New England and there's EIE or something like that there's others that give reports.

MS. BACHMAN: You know, for the forestland I'm trying to think if maybe DEEP, since the farm and forest legislation has passed because they have the forest habitat map, so it's not specifically core or edge or anything, it's

1 habitat, I don't know if they've been tracking 2 anything since 2017 when that passed. It might be 3 worth you maybe reaching out to them to see if 4 they have any data because they may have it in GIS 5 layer. 6 MR. STOCKER: Okay. Thank you. 7 MS. BACHMAN: Okay. Well, thank you 8 for coming out. We appreciate it. And a copy of 9 the transcript will be posted on the Council's 10 2023 Forecast of Loads and Resource webpage under 11 pending matters and also filed at the Council's 12 office at 10 Franklin Square in New Britain. I declare the public comment session adjourned. 13 14 Thank you, and please conserve energy. Have a 15 nice evening, Mr. Stocker. 16 MR. STOCKER: Thank you. 17 MS. BACHMAN: Thank you. 18 (Whereupon, the above proceedings 19 concluded at 6:48 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the foregoing 16 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes of the Public Comment Session held before the Connecticut Siting Council in Re: 2023 REVIEW OF THE TEN-YEAR FORECAST OF CONNECTICUT ELECTRIC LOADS AND RESOURCES, which was held before MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ., Executive Director and Staff Attorney, on November 15, 2023. Yisa Wallell Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter

2.0