In The Matter Of:

STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Docket No. 484 September 18, 2018

BCT Reporting LLC 55 Whiting Street, Suite 1A Plainville, CT 06062 860.302.1876

1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
2	CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL
3	
4	Docket No. 484
5	Application from Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon
6	Wireless for a Certificate of Environmental
7	Compatibility and Public Need for the Construction,
8	maintenance, and Operation of a Telecommunications
9	Facility at One of Three Locations at 72 Ragged Hill
10	Road, Pomfret, Connecticut
11	
12	Public Hearing held at the Abington
13	Congregational Church, Fellowship Hall, 542 Hampton
14	Road, Pomfret, Connecticut, Tuesday, September 18,
15	2018, beginning at 6:30 p.m.
16	
17	Held Before:
18	ROBIN STEIN, Chairman
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
2	Council Members:
3	JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.
4	Vice Chairman
5	
6	ROBERT HANNON,
7	DEEP Designee
8	
9	MICHAEL HARDER
10	EDWARD EDELSON
11	
12	
13	Council Staff:
14	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.,
15	Executive Director and Staff Attorney
16	
17	ROBERT MERCIER,
18	Siting Analyst
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
    Appearances:(cont'd)
2
    For the Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless:
         ROBINSON & COLE, LLP
3
         280 Trumbull Street
4
         Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3597
5
6
              By: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQ.
7
                   KBaldwin@rc.com
                   860.275.8200
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

THE CHAIRMAN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order this hearing of the Connecticut Siting Council today, Tuesday September 18, 2018, approximately 6:30 p.m.

My name is Robin Stein. I'm Chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council here are Senator James Murphy;
Mr. Hannon, who's designee from the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Mr. Edelson; and Mr. Harder.

Members of the Council staff present are Attorney Melanie Bachman, our Executive Director; and Robert Mercier, our siting analyst.

This is a continuation of a hearing that began at 3 p.m. this afternoon. Copies of the hearing program and Council's citizen guide to siting Council procedures are available for members of the public in the back, and also I believe there's a sign-up sheet for those who haven't signed up and wish to.

The hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for a

certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at one of three proposed locations at 72 Ragged Hill Road in Pomfret, Connecticut.

The application was received by the Council on July 9, 2018. This application is also governed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 which is administered by the Federal Communications Commission. This act prohibits this Council from considering health effects of radiofrequency emissions on human health and wildlife to the extent the emissions from towers are within the federal accepted safe limit standard, which standard is also followed by the State Department of Public Health.

The federal act also prohibits this

Council from discriminating between and amongst

providers of functionally equivalent services.

This means that if one carrier already provides

service for an area, other carriers have the right

to compete and provide service in the same area.

The applicant published notice of the filing of the application to the Council in the Bulletin on July 5th and July 6, 2018. The

Council's legal notice of the date and time of this hearing was published in the Bulletin on August 6, 2018.

Upon this Council's request the applicant erected three signs on the subject property so as to inform the public of the name of the applicant, type of facility, hearing date and location, and contact information for the Council. This afternoon members of the Council's staff and public personally conducted a field review of the proposed sites in order to observe firsthand the potential effects of this proposal.

This hearing tonight has been reserved for the public to make short statements into the record. These statements are not subject to questions from the applicant or the Council, and members of the public making statements may not ask questions of the applicant or Council. These statements will become part of the record for Council consideration. As I mentioned before, there is a sign-up sheet available for those who wish.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council staff upon the merits of

this application is prohibited by law.

I wish to note that the applicant including their representatives are not allowed to participate in the public comment session. I also wish to note for those who are here and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

We ask each person making a public statement in the proceedings to confine his or her statements to the subject matter before the Council, and to avoid unreasonable repetition so that we may hear all of the concerns you and your neighbors may have. Please be advised that the Council cannot answer questions from the public about the proposal.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited at the Pomfret, Eastford and Woodstock Town Clerk offices for the convenience of the public.

And before I call on members of the public to make statements I would like to request

that the applicant make a very brief presentation describing the facility, where it's located, why it's necessary and what alternatives were investigated.

DAVID WEINPAHL: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.

My name is David Weinpahl. I'm the project engineer for Verizon Wireless on this application. I'll go over briefly the project at 72 Ragged Hill road.

Verizon has three possible locations for this facility. We have a site A, a site B, and a site C. Site A was an original location that was presented in a public information meeting approximately one year ago in town, which would consist of a 150-foot tower.

Subsequent to that meeting Verizon with the landlord looked into other options and locations on this property and developed a site B location further inland, which would also be a 150-foot tower. And further inland from that would be a 130-foot tower.

All the facilities would be nearly identical at the base plans. Over on this board it's indicated a 50-by-50 fence compound,

equipment cabinets at the base on a 16-by-10 concrete pad and public -- electric utilities coming into the facility. The site would be completely secured and locked and antennas would be located at the top of the tower. Presently there are six antennas total proposed at this time on the structure.

That briefly summarizes the project in that regard.

You want to talk about the RF needs, Jay?

JUAN "JAY" LATORRE: Good evening everyone. My name is Jay Latorre. I'm a radiofrequency engineer with Verizon. The proposal that is in front of the public and the Siting Council tonight, as Mr. Weinpahl mentioned, is for a new monopole tower that will, should it be approved, be in one of three locations identified at 72 Ragged Hill Road in Pomfret.

The primary purpose of this facility is to provide enhanced coverage in areas of Northwest Pomfret as well as areas of Woodstock and portions of Eastford.

The facility will help alleviate a significant coverage gap experienced in this area.

The enhanced services will allow Verizon customers to take advantage of Verizon's 4G LTE service which provides both voice and data service at a high speed and high reliability level to customers.

In addition to the enhanced services that will be delivered to this facility, with its development the facility will be able to better allow our customers that may live, work or go to school in the surrounding areas to seamlessly move through the area on main interstates without dropping calls or losing data transmissions.

We have a number of different facilities in and around the towns of Eastford, Pomfret, Woodstock, and this facility will work very well communicating with the adjacent facilities to make sure that the Verizon Wireless experience in this area is as ubiquitous and as continuous as possible.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'd like to call those who have signed up. I apologize in advance if I mispronounce your names. The first individual who signed is Jim Hearn. If you please come up. Use the mic. Thank you. And if you

want to just spell your last name to make sure our stenographer gets it, and if you want to give us your address you can.

JIM HEARN: Sure. My name is Jim Hearn H-E-A-R-N. I live at 105 Ragged Hill Road.

First off, I want to begin with a note of thanks to the Siting Council -- and also extend an advance apology.

I was here for the three o'clock for those of you that weren't, and the Siting Council -- and particularly Mr. Mercier asked a great deal of questions. So it showed me that you actually really read the application. I've been to some meetings were some people hadn't. So I do appreciate that. You know, a number of my questions that I picked up from going through the application were asked by the members. So thank you for that.

If it sounds like I have an attitude, I do, because I have lived in Pomfret for 15 years. My wife and I have been married for just under 42. I mean, we're less than 30 days away from 42 years. We've lived in five states, owned eleven properties. And each time we went to buy a house we spent a considerable amount of time looking at

the adjoining area.

Pre-Internet -- I'm older than the

Internet -- I worked for Dun & Bradstreet. So we

traveled around with the D&B reference book. For

those of you that are not familiar with Dun &

Bradstreet, D&B, they have a 36-million different

businesses file including demographic business

operations, lawsuits, anything.

So I looked to see what kinds of neighbors we would have from the commercial community. So we spent six months selecting our property on 105 Ragged Hill Road. I took a job up in Worcester in March of 2003. It wasn't until September when I was convinced that 105 Ragged Hill was the place to build a home.

Like my neighbors on Ragged Hill -- I was the last house. They didn't even have cable on the street until I built my house, because the population density wasn't large enough. So as I said, if I seem to have a little bit of an attitude I apologize, because we spent what we thought were exhaustive efforts to find a location to build our home for our family. We have four kids.

What I didn't hear in the application --

and I know some of it, you know -- by the way, I'm also going to present written comments, because I know we're supposed to be short tonight.

But there's no health or financial concerns that have been raised at every meeting that I've been at -- but it's not in the application. It wasn't addressed today. People here have each time -- and the only meeting I've missed that I'm aware of was the one in August, because I was already committed to being away on August 15th. I had to be down in New Jersey for a series of business meetings.

But each time we brought up health and financial concerns. And for people who I know that they're advocates who -- and I understand that there's no empirical evidence that absolutely says that this will happen to humans if they are within a certain distance of the radio towers.

But if you watched your news at all this week what you saw was a common medical practice in modern medicine of taking a baby aspirin was called into question. And there are a bunch of people who have been taking baby aspirins for a long period of time thinking it was going to prevent strokes and heart attacks -- are now being

advised to go back and speak to your doctor.

So my point is, we don't know. So you know, you can't just dismiss a concern on the medical just because there's no long-term empirical study that says that there is no negative impact right now.

I have read a number of articles and online references. To those that have a compromised immune system, it can be deadly for them to be in close proximity, and I don't think anybody can argue with that. There are many cases out there where people were identified as having that.

My next big concern is financial, and I've got a couple points of interest on that one. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, HUD, considers cell towers to be hazards and nuisances. So our federal government calls it a hazard and a nuisance. This isn't a local issue. This is the federal government.

If you read further there are certain restrictions where FHA loans cannot be approved for an individual location in that for -- again, I'm being repetitive here. I screwed up what I wanted to say.

FHA loans may not be approved if they're within the fall zone of a tower. If the property is within the easement area, an adjuster, the appraiser has to comment on the presence of -- and they give all range of towers. It's not just cell towers, but all range of items. And they have to document an adverse, any adverse effect on the financial marketability or sale of that house, the price of that house.

Currently Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will also both accept loans for transmission tower, but they also require -- again, the appraiser has to document in the appraisal if in fact there is, and what the proximity is to a cell tower, and again other towers along with it, but a cell tower is specifically called out on that.

So like anything in real estate, proximity and the impact on the view is going to be judged on a case-by-case basis, with the towers that are close to residences are going to have marketability -- and I will address that in my written, but there have been studies that have shown that up to 95 percent of the people when asked if they would buy a home that has a cell or transmission tower near it -- and this is a 2014

survey. So this isn't ancient history. This isn't New Zealand or Australia, or some other place. This is driven out of the United States, that the marketability can be very severe in a negative way. And that's that point I started out with to my neighbors and friends.

For 30-odd years that we looked for a house we purposely bought homes away from sites like that. We made a significant effort. We did not want to be exposed to it. I paid a premium for my lot. I paid way more than I thought the lot was worth, but I wanted that lot because it was away from everything that was out there.

My last point is that today we heard a lot -- for those of you who may have been here at the three o'clock -- from the Verizon engineers. We heard a lot of concerns about increased ability for upload and download for in-vehicle standards.

Honestly, I've lived here for 15 years. I traveled these roads every day. In the application there are about three areas where it was identified where calls are frequent, and I'm working off the top of my head, but I will document it in my written notes -- that you're talking about a one mile stretch on 44, 98 and

244. It's in the application. So we, the residents face some significant impact to our lives and the decisions that we've made about living here for enhanced coverage.

As was acknowledged today at three o'clock, our area is very lightly populated and has nominal daily traffic. So what you're doing if you approve putting a cell tower in Pomfret on the site is you're doing it for the benefit of the transient population that cruises through Pomfret. You're not doing it for those of us that live here and have made a decision. The largest investment we're ever going to make in our lives is our home, and you're not doing it for us.

I've been at every meeting other than

August and not one resident has stood up and said,

boy, I really want that cell tower to be put in.

My life is incomplete if I don't get better cell

coverage.

so the last item -- and I know we can't ask you questions, but I would ask you to consider this. What if you did in your personal life what my wife and I have done for 40-odd years of buying homes, and have lived here for 15 -- we've now been in our home for 15 years, paid off the

mortgage -- and said, boy, this is great, you know?

And only to have someone come in and try and put a cell tower, it's going -- the financial impact is going to be one resident, while many of the other residents in the adjacent area or the properties could, in fact, incur adverse marketing for people who won't even look at our house and also suffer financially from the house not being able to be sold.

So thank you for your time. I appreciate it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

The next person, Peter Schultz.

PETER SCHULTZ: Good evening,
councilmembers and neighbors. My name is Peter
Schultz. In November of 2017 my wife Marianne and
I purchased our home at 69 Ragged Hill Road in
Pomfret. To serve as our second home we also live
in a townhouse in Madison, Connecticut.

We were particularly attracted to this beautiful rural setting in, quote, the quiet corner of Connecticut as a special place where we could escape the hustle and bustle of our technology driven daily lives in the northeast

megalopolis.

To our surprise, and chagrin, we are now faced with possibly viewing one or more large telecommunications cell towers looming over the view in front of our secluded home. We strongly object to this project for several reasons, and I might note that several of these echo some of the concerns that Mr. Hearn already expressed.

First, we believe it is highly inappropriate to site cell towers within possible view of rural residences and wandering tourists in this special part of Connecticut.

To quote an article from the New York
Times, Touring the Quiet Corner, by Jill Knight
Weinberger of June 2002, quote, the quiet corner
lies within a congressionally designated National
Heritage Corridor. This last green valley, as it
has been called, represents the only remaining
substantial green belt over a thousand square
miles within the urban and suburban sprawl between
Washington and Boston.

This is a very special place and any decision to place a large cell tower or towers in it must be given very careful consideration.

I am sure there are numerous other sites

preferably not requiring a zoning variance within the hills of Pomfret that could be considered for this tower that are not so close to local residences and tourists.

The applicant indicates that they have reviewed 14 possible sites, of which 9 are actually located within that parcel of land that's under consideration in this docket. The remaining five of which the applicant has rejected for various reasons are actually less than a mile away from it. Surely there are additional possible suitable sites out there. I urge this committee to request a more in-depth analysis of other possible and hopefully more suitable sites.

Second, the applicant justifies this tower by noting that it will provide better cell service -- actually for Verizon -- to the local community and passing motorists, but is this tower really needed? Those of us living in close proximity to the site already have adequate cell service as well as video and Internet through other service providers. We don't really need anything more.

Coincidentally, this afternoon when I visited one of those sites there was a young man

there who was responsible for the balloon. I have AT&T service, which I got while I was standing at that location. He had Verizon service, and he had service, several bars. So I think there is pretty good service already in the area.

Can't the cell service for passing motorists be handled using smaller and less visible towers along the actual major roadways, even if according to the applicant it is less economical to construct? After all, our million-dollar views are really our most valuable community asset, not 3G or 4G cellphone service for passing motorists.

If the tower is really being built to provide long-haul repeater capacity for Verizon then it definitely does not have to be located in this particular rural residential site. There are many other more isolated and less visible hills in the region to choose from.

Third, I believe considerable emphasis is being placed on hiding the towers from the view using the existing forest cover. Many photos were submitted by the applicant showing that trees generally blocked the view of the proposed sites A, B and C. However, the surrounding forest in

leaf can only provide temporary concealment of cell towers at best. Forests do not provide permanent protection for local residents. They could disappear overnight due to fires, ice storms, windstorms, disease or other natural disasters. They could logged or removed for building, development or farming.

How can we, the adjacent homeowners be protected from all of this? We can not.

Instead we will face the daily threat that this tower will become fully exposed some day for all of us to see.

Fourth, we object to this tower construction due to its future negative impact on or adjacent property values. As already has been mentioned, a 2014 survey of a thousand respondents published by the National Institute for Science, Law and Public Policy indicates that cell towers and antennas negatively impact interest in real estate properties. Ninety-four percent of the respondents said a nearby cell tower or group of antennas would negatively impact interest in a property, or the price that they would be willing to pay for it.

This survey reinforced the findings of

an earlier study, of which I'm sure the councilmembers are aware. 4300 respondents to a study by Sandy Bond, PhD, in a New Zealand Property Institute, Past President of the Pacific Rim Real Estate Society published in the Appraisal Journal in 2006, the impact of cellphone towers on house prices in residential neighborhoods.

as much as 20 percent less for properties located near a cell tower as determined at that time by an opinion survey in addition to a sales price analysis. To put it in other terms closer to each one of you, would you buy a home loomed over by a cell tower? Given these grim findings it is clear that our property values will be negatively impacted by construction of this tower.

Finally, I wish to note for this Council that I am a scientist, and I am the coinventor of fiber optics for telecommunications. For this achievement I've been awarded the National Medal of Technology by President Clinton, the highest technical award made by the U.S. government, and was inducted into the National Inventors Hall of Fame, which is part of the U.S. Patent and

Trademark office. I have worked for the past 45 years, and still remain actively involved in the development, implementation and deployment of fiberoptics for telecom networks.

I understand and I fully support the need, the need for and the value of a robust telecom infrastructure. I also believe that the implementation of these technologies must be done in a fair and an equitable manner consistent with and respectful of the values of our neighborhoods, our communities and our nation.

I thank you very much for your attention.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

William -- I'm not sure whether it's --

WILLIAM GOULD: It's Gould.

THE WITNESS: Gould?

WILLIAM GOULD: Yeah. I'm Bill Gould.

I live at 102 Angel Road in Pomfret, and I

purchased land here 42 years ago -- 43 years ago,

and have lived on the site for 30 years.

The reason I came here was the same that these other residents have, that they wanted the beauty, the seclusion. And I built my home here and I have this -- unfortunately it's not large

enough to put on the board. I'm sure you're all already aware of what it looks like.

This is the area where the tower would be sited and where my residence is. The tower would be over here, and I live directly across this expense. Just pass it around -- well, just kind of glance at it.

There's nothing here. There's no houses. There's no development. You're putting up a cell tower and investing millions of dollars in this thing to provide service to no one.

There's a road that goes through,

Brayman Hollow Road, and it might have a dip in
service, but everybody else here that has
spoken -- and I'm a Verizon customer, a wireless
customer. I have no trouble. It seems like this
idea, it should be where there's a population if
we already have sufficient service.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Dominic -- is it Roto?

DOMINICK ROTO: I'm not much for speaking at this point. I have a lot of hay fever, but owning property in this area for -- I'm not sure whether it's 15 or 20 something years. I

love it there. I don't live there, but I've owned it because of its pristine beauty, never dreaming that something of this sort would occur in such a beautiful area.

And I live in Eastford and I find where
I am very happy, but I intend for my children to
live there, but now I'm very surprised and feel as
though the footing underneath my thought of
America -- and I was in World War II. The America
I knew is considerably different than today. And
America today is the bottom line and profit, and
it disturbs me greatly with all this hocus
pocus talk of evaluations and the need.

And I have a cellphone. I like it because of need -- if my wife falls down or something of that sort, and me too, because I'm 94. And I just feel -- what's happened? What has happened to our people? It's always the bottom line.

And I said to Mary, we pay more and we get less. This is the name -- so that what I'm trying to apply this to is that, do we need this there? Is it that important or is it competitive? Is it marketability that the want more? Whoever these are, I've heard all kinds of names and

experts speaking from different companies, and it's just beyond me to comprehend.

And so I just want to voice my opinion that, please give it consideration. Keep some parts of America as they were. You're not going to get them back. We're not going to get food in America anymore. We're outsourcing for food. We're bringing in garlic from Australia because it's cheaper. What's happened to America? The stock market, and everything else that goes with marketing? What are we going to live on?

Keep America natural as much as you can.

Keep the quiet corner, at least a little oasis in this megalopolis spread -- and it has. It has.

And I thank you very much, please, for putting up with me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Kate Cerrone.

KATHLEEN CERRONE: Hello to the Council. Hello, neighbors. My name is Kate Cerrone. I've lived in Pomfret with my husband and two children for 19 years, we've been at 53 Ragged Hill Road for 16.

From what I understand -- and thank the Council for their work. From what I understand,

you are charged with the responsibility of balancing the need of the cell service with environmental impact. I can't speak to the environmental impact. I hope that if you have a chance to do a site visit, that you have done so or will do so.

This area is pristine. There is wildlife of every kind. I can't imagine that an industrial installment such as this wouldn't have some environmental impact, and I would encourage you to look into that closely. It's not a site that's adding to any industry. This would be the only and first, very first impact on a pristine, rural piece of property.

What I would like to underscore here on behalf of my family and our neighbors is the surprise that this has caused us. We did not buy a piece of land that could possibly have had any kind of industry. It's not zoned for it.

Route 244 is a recognized registered scenic road. We actually purchased 53 Ragged Hill Road when it was parceled off to a developer from this landlord. It had actually been part of this, this big piece. And I found that that development was in character with the surrounding area.

The smallest lot, which I think was ours, is three acres. It just goes up from there. These are big, beautiful pieces of land. These are not starter houses. These are not impulsive purchases. These were people that were settling into a rural area. We invested in these houses. Many of us built them ourselves.

And I don't know if you remember in the beginning of 2000 and then into 2005, '7, we were heading into a recession. Our house is our investment. We didn't put money in the stock market. We put money in our house. So any drop in the fair market value is devastating to our family.

And we are lucky. We are well off. I'm an attorney. My husband is a pediatrician. If this can happen to us -- I can't imagine. So the security, our financial security is at risk.

I agree with the point that Mr. Schultz made. If you want to increase service along the highway put the cell towers along the highway. No one minds driving past them. It doesn't take anything away from anyone. You're coming into an area that never expected this, that never planned on it. We don't have a financial plan B.

And I would like -- I appreciate the fact that you allow public comment. I want you to weigh strongly the number of people that have come to speak against this project, and I want you to think of the impact that you're having on many, many people in this area.

And what is the reason to put a tower so close to someone's residential house? I just don't understand it.

So thank you for your time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Marc Cerrone.

MARC CERRONE: My name is Marc Cerrone.

As my wife stated, I am a pediatrician and I live on 53 Ragged Hill Road.

I appreciate the opportunity for the public to speak tonight. I think sometimes decisions are made and the public has no voice, and I appreciate you allowing us to speak and having a voice today.

As you can see, there's not a chair left. We've been playing musical chairs with whoever speaks. The person who spoke last takes their chair. I think that reflects what our neighborhood feels about this project. United we

stand against it, and I feel like the impact to us, as my wife had said, is devastating.

We have no financial plan B. Our house is our investment. We put everything we had into it. In fact, we were building our house right around -- we were planning our house right around the September 11th catastrophe and we said, you know what? Let's just put it all in here. This is our life now. We have to invest in our personal future. Our home is our everything.

And we wanted to have our home as something that we could pass on to our children as our primary and only investment, and the financial implications of having a cell tower in view is devastating for us, and our neighbors, and we all stand to lose from this.

And frankly, cell service is a nice thing to have. It is not a utility. It is not an essential thing that we must have in our area, and we all do fine with the service that we have and we don't complain about it.

And so we'd like to consider there are many other options. There are more urban options near us. There are shopping centers. There are highways. There are other places where big towers

can be built and you won't see a room full of people against it. And I implore you to consider those.

I know we're not supposed to speak to the health options, but as a pediatrician you know that's in the back of my mind as well. We just don't know have enough knowledge about the long-term effects of towers on our health.

They've only been around for a couple decades.

so I won't mention that, because we're not allowed to, but just know that we all are thinking that in the back of our minds. Many of us have kids that we're raising in our homes. We don't want their health and the health of their offspring to be affected either.

So I appreciate the opportunity for us to all speak today and I implore you to consider other options. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Colin -- is it Cummings?

COLIN CUMMINGS: Yeah, It's Colin

Cummings. I live at 589 Brayman Hollow Road.

I've grown up in Woodstock and Pomfret my entire

life. I've lived, you know, aside from my brief

stint in college, within a ten-mile radius of this

thing.

I think my neighbors have done a really good job talking about, you know, some of the impacts this would have on, you know, I just bought my home a year ago. I can tell you, had I known that there was going to be a cell tower I would not have bought where I am. I bought the property that was peeled off from this estate. It used to be the caretaker's home. It's a beautiful place.

You know, a lot of people have talked about the environment. I have grown up in a very rural area. I cannot tell you how different this piece of property feels. In my year I have seen more birds, owls, bobcats, moose, just animals that you have to go to the upper reaches of Maine, New Hampshire to see. You see them in this little piece of Ragged Hill.

But what I'd like to speak to more so is the need. I am an avid biker, and I'm a data obsessed biker. And I spend eight to twelve hours biking at slow speeds in all the places in all of these roads you're talking about. I also build technology for a living.

I'm a web application developer for

PepsiCo, so I'm very familiar with upload speeds and download speeds. I am constantly uploading data 60 pings per minute live to applications.

I'm constantly streaming music. I'm getting calls. You know, I've logged 2,000 hours -- 2,000 miles, excuse me, eight plus hours a week. I work from home. I bike in the morning. I bike at lunch. I bike after work. And since this tower has been announced I've had my phone on the entire time.

I am constantly watching my cell service. I don't have a problem on any of these roads. I'm never not been it communication. I'm never unable to upload data. I'm never unable to download data. No one ever has an issue getting in contact with me. I never have an issue getting in contact with people.

I also work from home. I don't have the Internet at my house. I work from a hotspot that is powered by cell towers. I never have a problem. I stream about 30 gigabytes of data a month and I'm never, ever impacted. I work 40 hours a week. I bike eight hours a week. I do this all on the cell network. I do not have a problem.

So I would just, you know, as far as the need goes, I can't see it and I hope that that is taken into consideration. Thanks.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Donald Jones.

DONALD JONES: Yes, good evening, all.

My name is Donald Jones. I live at 151 Ragged

Hill Road.

Let's see. I'm a retired telecommunications system engineer. I originally got my start with Southern New England telephone and actually worked for Verizon in the Syracuse area, extensively working with SELEX.

There's not a whole lot that I can add to, you know, some of the eloquent statements by my neighbors and property owners, except the one thing that I've learned and experienced is that one cell tower breeds another cell tower. There's no competition rules here.

When I moved to this area I lived in an urban environment, and my specific reason for picking the quiet corner was that it's quiet.

That's not to say I'm not in communications. I have excellent cell service at 151 Ragged Hill Road which will be in direct line of sight, no

matter what they put up.

And as you know, some other people have said, that you know, their cell service is just as well.

Property values, I don't have anything written. I will be writing a letter to the Council, you know, as soon as I can get time do so, you know, expressing, my disappointment that, you know, other people have said, you know, we moved up here for a reason to get away, to be quiet, to live a simple life.

And you know, technology is great. It's great, it's necessary, but you know, to have a repeating station to improve Verizon's footprint. You know, I was in the business for a long time. It's just the bottom line, and that's all that really matters to them. There must be other sites available.

So I suffer from stage fright, believe it or not. So this is a real chore for me to stand in front of people, and I do appreciate, you know, the public comment section, and united we stand. That's a good thing.

Neighbors, Good neighbors are -- they're priceless and that's why I live in Pomfret.

1 That's why I live on Ragged Hill Road. And just 2 the mere thought of having to look out my window when I have coffee in the morning and seeing a 3 cell tower makes me physically sick. 4 And that's all I have to say, but thank 5 6 you much. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 8 As someone who also suffered from stage 9 fright, you're doing very well. Walter -- is it Roo-key? 10 11 WALTER RUCKI: Rucki. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Rucki. I quess no one 13 has listened to me about spelling your last name. 14 WALTER RUCKI: I'm sorry. I don't hear 15 very well. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: We have your last name. 17 I said -- I had asked -- nevermind. WALTER RUCKI: Like my neighbor Scott, 18 I'm a little -- suffering from stage fright. 19 Recently I mailed a letter to Mr. Stein. 20 21 probably haven't received it yet. And I guess the 22 only thing I would like to do is just read that 23 letter, if you don't mind? It's been sent to the Pomfret Times, the selectmen, a bunch of people. 24 This is to Mr. Stein. 25 I'm writing

because of my concern for the proposed cell tower on property at 72 Ragged Hill Road in Pomfret,

Connecticut. A tower at this location will have a significant impact on this rural location. It will destroy the picturesque area and be a potential health hazard to the area residents. It also may contribute to the impact on the wildlife.

As a homeowner, 185 Ragged Hill Road, and landowner on Ragged Hill Road, the tower will be an eyesore and also create a serious depreciation in property values. We homeowners chose to reside in this area and have worked hard and long to maintain its rural quality. The proposed telecommunication tower will result in a serious degradation -- I'm not sure I said that right -- of the entire area's scenic vista values.

The proposed tower would be visible for long stretches along Route 244 -- the second of which is a scenic Highway designated by the State of Connecticut. I therefore request that the City, State and Council deny the application of a request for a tower at this location.

I am available to answer questions -I'm probably not very good at that. My concern
also is that we seem to be suffering for the

benefit of many other people, or some other people. Maybe this just isn't necessary. Maybe these other people are just into it for the dollar.

I know I've lived on Ragged Hill Road since 1984. I built the house, cleared the land. It's been under the Town of Pomfret since 1957. My dad owned a grocery store here on the four corners, so I appreciate the town being as it is.

Leave us alone. Put your tower someplace else. Thank you very much.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

That's the last person who signed up.

So I'd like to just make -- before closing the evidentiary record of this matter, the Siting Council announces that the applicant may submit a brief or proposed findings of fact no later than October 18, 2018.

And anyone -- obviously this is for everybody in the public, or if you or your neighbors who desires to make his or her views known to the Council or expand on your oral testimony, you may file written statements with the Council within 30 days of the date hereof.

Again, I think that's October 18th, about October

1 18.

After that the Council will issue a draft findings of fact and thereafter the applicant may identify errors or inconsistencies between the Council's draft findings of fact and the record. However, no new information, no new evidence, no argument or required briefs without our permission will be considered by the Council.

Again, copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed at the Pomfret, Eastford and Woodstock's Town Clerk's offices, and that also includes the transcript from the hearing that was held this afternoon.

And I therefore declare the hearing adjourned, and thank you all for your participation. And drive home safely.

(Whereupon, the above proceedings were concluded at 7:20 p.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE 2 I hereby certify that the foregoing 40 3 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided 4 transcription of my original verbatim notes taken 5 of the Public Hearing in Re: Docket No. 484, 6 APPLICATION FROM CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON 7 8 WIRELESS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL 9 COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A 10 11 TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AT ONE OF THREE 12 LOCATIONS AT 72 RAGGED HILL ROAD, POMFRET, 13 CONNECTICUT, which was held before ROBIN STEIN, Chairman, at the Abington Congregational Church, 14 15 Fellowship Hall, 542 Hampton Road, Pomfret, Connecticut, September 18, 2018. 16 17 18 19 20 21 Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857 Notary Public 22 BCT Reporting, LLC 55 Whiting Street, Suite 1A 23 Plainville, CT 06062 My Commission Expires: 6/30/2020 24

25