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THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order this meeting of the Connecticut Siting council today, Tuesday, January 23, 2018, at approximately 3 p.m.

My name is Robin Stein. I'm Chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council present are Vice Chairman Senator James Murphy; Mr. Hannon, the designee from the Department of Energy and environmental protection; Mr. Levesque, designee from the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Mr. Silvestri; Mr. Harder and Mr. Edelson.

Members of the staff present are Melanie Bachman, our executive director and staff attorney; and Michael Perrone, our siting analyst.

This hearing is held persaunt to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Tarpon Towers II, LLC, and Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for a certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunication facility located at 380 Horace Street in Bridgeport, Connecticut. The
application was received by the Council on September 25, 2017.

As a reminder to all off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council's staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law. The applicant to this proceeding are, as I noted, Tarpon Towers II, LLC; Cellco partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless; and Attorney Kenneth Baldwin of Robinson \& Cole, their Attorney.

We will proceed in accordance with the proposed agenda, copies of which are available here somewhere -- in the back on the table. Also available are copies of the Council's citizen guide to Siting Council procedures.

At the end of this afternoon's evidentiary session we will recess and resume again at 6:30 p.m. for the public comment session. The 6:30 public comment session will be reserved for the public to make brief oral statements into the record.

I wish to note for those who are here and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session, that you or they may send written
statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited with the city clerk's office in Bridgeport for the convenience of the public.

I wish to call your attention to those items shown on the hearing program marked as Roman number 1D, items one through 68.

Does the applicant have an objection to the items that the Council has administratively noticed?

MR. BALDWIN: No, objection
Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Accordingly, the Council hereby administratively notices these existing documents, statements and comments.

Attorney Baldwin, will you present your witness council for the purpose of taking the oath?

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Our witness panel is listed in the hearing program, but let me make some quick introductions. To my left is Keith Coppins, representing Tarpon

Towers II, LLC, a co-applicant in this proceeding. To my right is Maria Montrose, radiofrequency engineer with Verizon Wireless. Next is Tony Befera, the Manager of Real Estate and Project Implementation for Verizon Wireless. Next to Tony is Doug Roberts with Hudson Design Group, the project engineers.

Next is Mike Libertine with All-Points Technology. Mike is the Director of Siting and Permitting. And last, but certainly not least is Dean Gustafson, senior wetland scientist and professional soil scientist, also with All-Points Technology Corporation. And I offer them now to be sworn, Mr. Chairman.

K E I THOCPRINS,
MARIAMONTROSE,
ANTHONY BEEERA,
DEANGUSTAFSON,
DOUGLASSROBERTS,
MICHAELILIBERTINE,
DEANGUSTAFSON,
called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by the Executive Director, were examined and testified on their oaths as follows:

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, we have seven exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman two, section $B$, items one through seven. One was a late edition just this morning. That is Exhibit 7. I offer them for identification purposes at this time subject to verification by our witness panel.

If our panel could help me verify these exhibits and we'll answer sequentially? Did you prepare or assist in the preparation of the exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman two $B$, items one through seven.

```
Mr. Coppins?
```

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Montrose?
THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Roberts?
THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Do you have any corrections, modifications or amendments to offer to any of those exhibits at this time.
Mr. Coppins?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes. Under section 8, page 2, Exhibit 1, we have a correction that there are two alternative site locations in central Bridgeport that were looked at. There were actually six.
MR. BALDWIN: Everyone get that?
Exhibit 1, tab 8, page 2.
Ms. Montrose?
THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes, I have a correction. The power density calculation tables
under tab 14 were updated.
MR. BALDWIN: And your reference is then to the new Exhibit 7 that was added?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): That's correct.
MR. BALDWIN: Thank you. Any other corrections or modifications?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): No, sir.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): No.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Roberts?
THE WITNESS (Roberts): None at this time.

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I have none.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No.
MR. BALDWIN: And with those corrections and modifications is the information contained in these exhibits true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?

Mr. Coppins?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Montrose?
THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Roberts?
THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson.
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: And do you adopt the information in those exhibits as your testimony in this proceeding?

Mr. Coppins?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Montrose?
THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Roberts?
THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer them as full exhibits.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The exhibits
are therefore admitted.
We'll will now proceed with the cross-examination of the applicant starting with our siting analyst, Mr. Perrone.

MR. PERRONE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Did the applicant fly a balloon today?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Technically, no. We did make several attempts since seven o'clock this morning, but the weather was not cooperative until we were about to leave the site after the field review.

We've had fairly steady, and heavy at time, rains and winds in the 15 to $20-\mathrm{mile}$ an hour range. But as I said, about the time we were leaving probably about 20 after 2 or so there was a lull with the skies breaking.

And so we haven't been able to maintain an approximately three-and-a-half-foot diameter red balloon at the centerline of the proposed location at 95 feet, and will continue to try to keep that until 4 p.m. as noticed.

MR. PERRONE: And the color of the balloon?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm sorry.
Red.

MR. PERRONE: Red. Okay. And did you have a string height of about 90 feet?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): The string height was to a full 95 feet. So the balloon is on top of that. So the bottom of the balloon actually represents the top height of the monopole.

MR. PERRONE: And was that balloon taken down? Are you going to leave it up?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We're going to maintain -- that balloon has not popped to the best of my knowledge as of about ten minutes ago. So we will continue to make attempts throughout the rest of the day until four o'clock.

MR. PERRONE: Great. Now I would like to turn to the sign posting affidavit that was dated January 11, 2018.

From the photo, the sign itself is not legible. So I'll just ask generally, did the sign include information regarding the project and the Council's public hearing?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
MR. PERRONE: Referencing the response to Council interrogatory number one, I understand that notice letters were resent by regular mail to
six abutters. Do you know the date on which they were resent?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): They were sent on various dates depending on when they came back to us. If you'll give us a moment we'll see if we can get those dates.

MR. PERRONE: Sure.
THE WITNESS (Coppins): The dates that those went out were arranged between October 23, 2017, and November 29, 2017.

MR. PERRONE: And in the second part of the interrogatory response neither the return receipt or the original letter was returned for notices sent to 416 Realty, LLC, or Coggswell C-o-g-g-s-w-e-l-l, Square Condominium.

For those two abutters at the end did you also resend a notice by regular mail?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, we did.
MR. PERRONE: Was that in the same date range?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes. If I can just make a clarification? 416 Realty, LLC, also is the same owner as our proposed site owner.

MR. PERRONE: Now turning to the proposed site itself we could use sheet $C 1$, the
abutter's plan. How did the applicant determine the location on the subject property for the tower? Which factors led to choosing the northwest corner?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): We addressed it in a couple of different ways. We had a few areas that we could have chosen. One was behind 380 , 380 Horace Ave -- Horace Street behind the existing building. The problem with that was the access to that site was -- we couldn't get there, one, due to an existing restrictive easement, and the second was there were some environmental issues which eliminated us being able to get behind the building.

The second piece was on the other side of the building opposite where we are now, and that site didn't work out from an environmental restriction and -- and our landlord was in -- was in the process of doing a large cleanup on that property, on that side of the property.

Our landlord then pushed us and we investigated the proposed site where we are now, which is -- it looks like it's on 416 Horace Street, but it's actually on 380 Horace Street, so that's how we ended up where we -- where we ended
up.
MR. PERRONE: Okay. And while we're looking at the same drawing, I understand that the tower could be designed with a yield point. My question is, which adjacent property line would the yield point be based on?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): It would be designed to the closest one which is the, I believe the 37 -foot dimension to the south.

MR. PERRONE: So approximately at what height above grade would you have the yield point?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): 37 feet.
MR. PERRONE: It wouldn't be -- 37
feet from the top?
THE WITNESS (Roberts): From the top, excuse me.

MR. PERRONE: And I understand the site has a rocky outcropping and blasting is not anticipated, however how would the rock be removed? For example, would you use mechanical chipping?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes, that would be our option. Again, once we do geo tech we'll determine how suitable the rock is. We might be chipping out rock anchors. We might just remove
it and place our foundation based on that rock. MR. PERRONE: And I understand that the tower would be designed to comply with the EIA 222 rev-G and the Connecticut Building Code. Would those two structural standards also apply to antennas? Cables? Antenna mounts?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes, they would.
MR. PERRONE: I understand there's an eight-foot security fence proposed with no privacy slats, but if privacy slats were installed, would those have an anti-climb effect?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Our problem kind of is the anti-climbing fence doesn't offer those privacy slats because they're so tiny. So it's kind of privacy slats with standard chain link or the -- the tighter mesh, the anti-climb.

MR. PERRONE: Turning to tab eight, which is the site search summary, alternative number two, it was rejected due to environmental considerations. What kinds of environmental considerations?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Can you just repeat that question for me, please?

MR. PERRONE: Sure. I'm looking at the site alternatives. Number two, this parcel is a
former landfill and was rejected due to environmental considerations. And I was wondering what are the environmental considerations that lead to that rejection.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): It's -- it's actually the city public works. And if I could just clarify? We met -- we met with the City and they weren't interested in -- in leasing that because of its use and because of the space that was available there. There just wasn't any space.

MR. PERRONE: Okay. And moving to alternative number four, this one was rejected due to significant wetlands and for existing environmental conditions. What existing environmental conditions?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): So I met with the -- the owner, a sporting goods facility. It's also a DuPont company. And that property is under a large -- over the last several, several years, under a cleanup. And we -- we looked at a couple of different sites at the -- on the property.

The parcel or the part of the property that they wanted us to go on we looked into. I had an environmental scientist go out and mark it and they placed us in a wetlands. We were
actually moving forward with that site as an alternative site to our proposed.

And after we did the environmental piece of it, it was what they thought was in a -- next to the wetlands. It was actually a wetlands. So that's why we didn't use that.

MR. PERRONE: Okay. Turning to response to Council interrogatory 32 , there's discussion about the feasibility of small cells, but the question originally was asking about small cells or distributed antenna systems.

So my question is, does this answer also apply to a DAS system?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Actually, yes, it does. A DAS system -- distributed antenna system is what DAS stands for -- actually runs on a lot lower power, more or less daisychained throughout the area and it would probably take several thousand of them to cover the same geographic area.

MR. PERRONE: Turning to page 12 of the application, it's a discussion on alternatives. In the middle of the page it mentions no existing non-tower structures of suitable height exist in the designated area.

Were there any existing tower structures in your search area that Cellco is not already on that would meet the wireless service objectives?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): No, there were none available.

MR. PERRONE: Turning to the response to Council interrogatory 33 , which has the minimum thresholds for signal strength, I understand it's broken down by CDMA and LTE.

Which frequency bands are associated with CDMA versus LTE?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Our LTE service runs on the 700 megahertz, 2100 megahertz and 1900 megahertz currently. And the 850 currently runs our CDMA, although that may be changing in the near future when we deploy LTE service in a portion of that spectrum.

MR. PERRONE: Okay. Moving onto the response to Council interrogatory 37. And there is a -- let's see. One second here.

I understand for LTE data, your data speed target is five megabits per second, but what is your performance standard for percent ineffective attempts for LTE? Would it be still the same . 75 percent you use for voice? Or is it
different because it's data?
THE WITNESS (Montrose): The percentage remains the same.

MR. PERRONE: All right. Turning to the response to Council interrogatory 43, it had asked about the City or other carriers being interested in collocating on the tower.

Just as an update since the interrogatory responses were filed, have any carriers or the City expressed an interest in collocating on the tower?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I got responses from each of the other carriers and Sprint came back to us and said that they did not have an open ring at the -- at this time. T-Mobile came back and said that it's something that is -- that can be used in the future for offloading of traffic. And AT\&T said that it looks like -- it looks like a good site, but they don't have a budget at this point in time.

Oh, if I could also add one more thing?
The City of Bridgeport was notified at least twice, and still no response to the City of Bridgeport -- although we do leave it open. If they do have a need we'll be happy to allow space
for them in the future.
MR. PERRONE: Moving onto the response to Council interrogatory 53. Let's see. At the very end of that response there's discussion about painting a monopole, and how painting the monopole and antennas would have it's own limitations. What are the limitations?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?

MR. PERRONE: Sure. At the end of the response to number 53 it says, painting the proposed monopole and antennas could provide some softening of views, but it has it's own limitations.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm sorry.
It's very difficult to hear. Did you say aiming?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Painting.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Painting.
Sorry. No wonder I was confused.
The limitations with painting are -there's some maintenance issues, but mostly are with the esthetics. We've encountered this before with different -- different motifs we've tried.

Sometimes painting might be viewed as softening, but on certain days you're going to get
a much brighter effect. So in a situation where we have some backdrop that might be more effective.

Here the near views are slightly above the trees. Trees are probably in the 70 to 80 -foot range, and we're above that so we can get the RF propagation. So I think from that standpoint, painting just becomes a situation where what may be viewed by some folks as being something they'd like to see or might be esthetically pleasing. For others it might be quite jarring. So that was really the intent of that statement.

It can be done, but there's often challenges just given the landscape that we deal with and the sky backdrop that we have right here in New England.

MR. PERRONE: And while we're on the topic of visibility, could you describe the views from the closest abutting residences to the west?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Sure.
Where we are right today with the centerline of the tower, it's a fairly clear open field to the nearest residences. And so certainly the two that are at the end of that cul-de-sac
would have a fairly clear view into the yard. There are a few trees lining the property boundary. They're deciduous, as you might have seen. They're fairly mature and the canopy is at the top with no understory.

So you would have direct views into the site itself as they do today into the parking lot. So you would see pretty much the entire facility including the ground equipment.

MR. PERRONE: Turning to the avian resources evaluation, it's attachment two underneath the interrogatory responses, and the bottom of page 8. It mentions a peak nesting period of April 15th through July 15th. And my question is, would construction occur during that time period?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think the construction schedule, certainly there's a potential for it to occur at that time. A lot of it is predicated on potential approvals, the $D$ and M plan and then materials, and the availability for the equipment. So certainly it bears a possibility.

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Our
inspections during our wetlands investigation of
the compound, the trees that are approximate to that, we didn't notice any active nests at that time.

So we don't feel that there will be a likely adverse effect to nesting birds, but if construction were to occur during that time period we could double check at that point in time to try to avoid any active nesting birds.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Councilmembers may have seen there was a fairly large nest in the large oak just off to the southwest of the tower site. That was a squirrels nest, but -- so certainly there are animals using those trees, but from a migratory bird standpoint, not so much.

MR. PERRONE: Moving onto attachment three underneath the interrogatories, the noise evaluation report. I see that the noise calculations were based on the generator itself.

So my question is, when the backup generator is operating would the generator be the dominant source of noise for the proposed project?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes, it would.
MR. PERRONE: And since you have an open rather than enclosed equipment shelter, is it correct to say that there are no HVAC units?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): You are correct.
MR. PERRONE: Lastly, I'd like to move onto our cost topic. How is the cost of tower construction recovered?

For example, if this were a Verizon tower rather than a Tarpon Tower, would the costs be allocated among national Verizon customers or regional Verizon customers, or Connecticut customers?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Well, our pricing is done on a national level for retail, business to business and major accounts. Regionally network offices submit their needs based on performance of the network in their region, how many problems you have, how many exhausting faces, how many holes you want to correct.

Funding is then provided to the region hopefully based on the needs, but the expansion of the network and keeping up with capacity and trying to maintain what third parties like RootMetrics and JD Power considers to be the best network in the country, is done as a cost of business.

So does the company nationally perhaps price our services to try to recoup some of that
on a national level? Probably some -- because we -- we're not the cheapest out there. We probably never will be the cheapest out there, but some people -- most people we think will pay a little more to be on the best network.

MR. PERRONE: And lastly, that's from the carrier perspective. But from a tower developer perspective since this is a Tarpon Tower now would the cost of the tower construction be recovered?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): It's purely -we're purely a real estate company. We lease the land at a price. We turn and re-lease -- re-lease the tower. We develop the tower as a -- with our Carriers, Verizon, AT\&T, T-Mobile, Sprint.

And so we recoup our construction costs, the development costs with leases from those carriers.

MR. PERRONE: Thank you. That's all I have.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We will now continue with questions by councilmembers.

Senator Murphy?
SEN. MURPHY: I really don't have much on this now, but it raises some thoughts.

In talking about the cost of -- I realize it's not shared like other utilities and how it works, but the cost of the tower itself, is that borne by the developer? Or is it borne by Verizon? Or a combination thereof?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): In this case it's born completely by the developer.

SEN. MURPHY: By the developer? So it's through the rent that your end is satisfied?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): That's correct.
SEN. MURPHY: And so other people that come along, it's for your benefit and it has nothing to do with them on this case?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Absolutely.
SEN. MURPHY: Okay. I was just curious as to how it works. Okay. Does it work differently in some cases if you're aware?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'm sorry. It works differently where?

SEN. MURPHY: In other cases where the cost of the tower is shared between the developer and a carrier, or more carriers?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): There have been times that we have started a development project or we've -- we've inherited a development project
where the carriers do help us with some of the development costs.

And yes, they've done that in the past, but in this case we are not asking that at all.

SEN. MURPHY: So as far as you being the developer is concerned, you would be quite interested if other carriers came on board?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
SEN. MURPHY: Okay. All right. I really have nothing else on this file, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Levesque?
MR. LEVESQUE: Photo number seven. I mean, the tower looks a little stocky there. You think that's the correct scale for how it would look?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, sir. I think if you compare that with photo eight they're essentially different directions at different sun angles, but they're essentially at the same distance. And they're relatively speaking in the same scale. One is a little further away by a couple hundred feet perhaps.

MR. LEVESQUE: Okay. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): All of the photo sims are developed in a very similar fashion. We have GPS locations built into the camera itself, so we're able to scale these. We're using a 3D model. So they -- they aren't to scale based on surroundings.

Sometimes that does tend to maybe play a little bit with it -- is the fact that in the program we use for the simulations we are able to input time of day, time of season, sun input, those types of things, sometimes shadows and intervening vegetation. And in this case we had some branching on the trees.

That may distort it just slightly when you're looking at it. So that sometimes can account for things looking a little bit just slightly off, but we go back and we check these things are scaled both to the dimensions that are designed by, in this case Mr. Hudson's group -excuse me, the Hudson Design Group, Mr. Roberts' group.

And also again, we have created more or less a virtual model of the surrounding area. So things are tight in terms of the scaling.

MR. LEVESQUE: All right. Thank you
very much.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Mr. Hannon?
MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I don't have many questions -- but basically everything I'm raising now I raised at the site. For example, I'm looking at the way the compound is situated. It looks as though you're going right through that ten-foot rock area.

And my question down at the site, was there anyway possibly to shift the compound to the west thereby avoiding sort of all the rock removal that's associated with that protrusion into the compound area -- as well as the possibility of orienting it at a 90-degree angle so that the narrow portion is actually facing the back of the building that's in front?

I guess, it's the German -- I forget exactly what it is. So that the long area is running north-south access and the narrow portion is running east-west access. I was wondering if that's a possibility.

THE WITNESS (Roberts): That certainly can be done. I think you're suggesting instead of running the compound in a east-west, we run it in a north-south direction?

MR. HANNON: Correct.
THE WITNESS (Roberts): I believe we spoke about keeping the monopole itself in the same location, but having the future expansion co-locators be behind as opposed to the -- to the east of the pole. That could be accommodated.

MR. HANNON: And when this issue was raised at the site there was an answer given as to why the compound was located where it is. Could you please repeat that?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): The compound was -- is located due to -- on the opposite side of -- just trying to get my bearings here -- on the south side of the property, which means it's on the other side of the building.

That, that area was involved in a major cleanup and environment -- and the landowner didn't want us on that side. So it would have been on the Horace -- Horace Street side. It would have been on the, I want to say Cos-good Street -- Cogswell Street side access. So that, that parcel wasn't -- wasn't available to us.

The reason that we picked where we were is the landowner owned that -- owned that parcel. It kind of went in a U-shape and where the -- for
the lack of a better visual, it's where the dumpster was.

And he said we could go -- he wanted us to go on that side of the property because there was -- environmentally it looked -- it was already clean. They didn't have any future needs for expansion.

And the -- the use there was already -there was already a building there that we could, you know, it was just another use of that property.

MR. HANNON: I was just looking just for a simple answer on the overflow parking?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): So if we needed to -- if you're just asking about extra -- extra parking? I must have misunderstood.

MR. HANNON: My understanding of what was said out on the site is the reason that you picked the location on this site and not shifting it further to the west was because the owner was talking about maintaining that area for overflow parking in their lot.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): That is correct. Yes.

MR. HANNON: That's all I was looking
for. I just wanted to get it on the record, because again part of what we're talking about is that it may be feasible where, instead of having the driveway come in and then bend to the east, you almost might be able to keep it running in a north-south direction so that's it's on the western side of the compound.

And then even using that area for excess parking you now actually have a parking -- or driveway to actually gain access to it. So I just thought that that might be a little bit easier.

And one of the things I want to follow up on is, is there a possibility of blasting or not on the site?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Until we know exactly what the rock qualities are it would be very subjective. Many times, you know, we have been able to hammer it out and it just depends on what kind of foundation.

I mean, it's a -- it's the cost of removing the rock and maybe doing rock anchors, or if we can chip it out enough we can do a normal pad and pier.

MR. HANNON: And from a personal experience in being close to rock like this where
a long time ago a developer was clearing a site, my house was probably about 60, 70 feet away from where they were doing it. And you could feel the vibrations rather easily.

And the reason I'm raising this comment is because of the house that appears to be closest to that site is not a solid concrete foundation. And I'm just wondering if you might be asking for trouble even with possibly chipping it, and that's part of the reason why I'm suggesting maybe looking at possibly relocating so you don't have to do that.

It may make it easier for everybody and you run less risk of damaging a foundation on a neighboring property.

THE WITNESS (Roberts): In relocating it? We had talked in our field walk of keeping it pretty much located where it was, but running it, the compound itself the long way north and south.

Are you suggesting another option on site?

MR. HANNON: No, I'm trying to get away from the rocks so you don't have to do either the -- any blasting or any mechanical removal of the rock. Just having personally experienced
something like that, the house that is the closest to that area could be subject to vibrations. And because it is not a solid concrete foundation there could be damage to that foundation which adds to your cost if you have to go back and repair it.

So that's just another reason why I'm saying, if you can move it so you don't have to deal with that rock area that could be an advantage for the company.

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Yes. Very good point. One of the things when we do a geo tech probe, we do the center of the tower. We have a certain criteria of, you know, if we hit rock we have to go in ten feet. We have a certain criteria. If it's not rock they go in 50 feet, but if we're -- I also have them do four points of refusal outward of that on a grid 15 foot out.

So that $I$ know if $I$, you know, $I$ can say if you moved it ten feet this way you wouldn't have any rock to contend with. Or you know, you may be run on a crest of underground rock so that you know what you're getting into.

We certainly can look at possibly doing that.

MR. HANNON: And then my last question is, why is sort of the transformer located -- it looks like it's located outside the compound. Is that pretty much standard?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): It is outside. The transformer is outside. The National Electric Code requires ten-foot clearance in front of the transformer for, you know, hot sticking if there's to be -- having to be put on, back on.

Bridgeport, I have done them where we have placed the transformer inside the compound as well at the request of the utility company only for security points of view, but in this case it's outside.

There has -- we haven't met with the utility company. I have in the past had them request us to fence that in with gates to allow proper clearances.

MR. HANNON: So it could be subject to change?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): It could be.
MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank you.
I have no further questions.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Silvestri?

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
Does the proposed monopole need a lightning rod?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Many times they do and the monopole itself is -- is going to conduct electricity, or it's going to be taken in by the ground leads. Some do and some don't. In many cases we don't put the lightning rods on. That would be the highest appendage.

MR. SILVESTRI: I have seen them with and I have seen them without, and I always wondered why?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): I don't really have a good answer for that. Sorry.

MR. SILVESTRI: Let me move on.
And if you could reference drawing C1 and also drawing C3? On C1 there's an area. It's near the north arrow on that drawing. And it states, easement to pass and re-pass as outlined in deed 1845-33. And drawing $C 3$ also lists the area as approximate location of 50-foot wide proposed street terminus, and status not determined.

Could you explain what that area is?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): I can explain
that. The property was sold several -- many years ago and the -- the seller retained an easement and the easement is in the name of Francis DiDario. He has since passed and that easement has passed down to his family.

The -- we have contacted them to see about eliminating that easement and there has -there has been no discussion whatsoever that they're interested in releasing that easement, but that it was for repass -- passing and repassing to probably a rear lot that they kept.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. So again if you look at drawing C3, is the area for the proposed monopole within the DiDario easement?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): It is absolutely not.

MR. SILVESTRI: It's not? Okay. So this is kind of misdrawn with the dots and outlines that are there on C3?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): On C3, I follow.
The dotted line comes up and it's just right to the corner of our compound and continues up to the six-inch pine and then reaches back over to the side street of Kingsbury Road. I think we have everything strung correctly. I'm not sure what
dotted line you're referencing.
MR. SILVESTRI: Yeah. My drawing is extremely light, which is why $I$ was asking the question.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I apologize.
MR. SILVESTRI: Yeah, it seems as
Mr. Hannon just showed me, that the dotted line might go and just kind of nick the corner.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yeah.
MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you for the clarification. The next question I have, back a few months ago the Council approved an application for a cell structure on Broadbridge Road and that was named Bridgeport NE, and it was Docket Number 472.

Part of the need for that structure was to provide relief to North Bridgeport two. And so my question for you, is additional relief now needed at North Bridgeport two in light of that new tower on Broadbridge Road?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): The Bridgeport northeast facility was to help offload the alpha face of the North Bridgeport two site and the proposed facility here is for the -- I think it's the gamma, gamma sector of North Bridgeport two.

So a different section of the same facility.
MR. SILVESTRI: So you actually use both for relief?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Correct. It's additional capacity for the same location.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Thank you. If I could turn your attention then to page 20 of the application, this is the main body of the application.

You discuss outreach attempts to a Ms. Thomas Sims. Was there ever a response or a neighborhood meeting?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): There was no neighborhood meeting, and it was not for a lack of trying. We contacted and recontacted and sent letters to -- and e-mails to Ms. Sims after her request. It was her request during our technical report phase during the consultation to meet with the -- with the neighborhood group.

And we were planning on meeting at the Germania Schwaben Club to have that -- their facility to do so. We could not get them to do that. We asked multiple times and with no -- with no success whatsoever.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you.

If I can now have you turn your attention to tab number 8 , and it's page 2 in particular. The first thing $I$ want to do is actually make a comment on site number three that was investigated, which is 885 Boston -- and I believe that should be Avenue, not Road. So that's a comment from me.

The question $I$ have for you, though, stays on that same page and kind of a follow-up to the discussion with Mr. Perrone earlier about the City of Bridgeport. If I understood correctly, the City said there was -- if I could paraphrase it -- no space at all at their facility?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): So let me -- let me clarify on city, on the city piece of the search. When I started searching this area I reached out to the City of Bridgeport and it was -- it was similar to the time I was doing a search on another site in town of which I had a conversation with the old -- with the previous Mayor of the City of Bridgeport, Mr. Finch. And at that time we wanted to use city property for -for the site.

We've reached out to the City of
Bridgeport since to see if they will lease
property to us for the same, for this same use. And again we have had just a difficult time for them to respond. I mean, we -- we wanted to use that. We wanted to ferret out the site.

So what I did at that point is I went -I actually drove to the site, physically walked around the transfer station and looked for a possible site that we could use. I couldn't find a feasible site that would work that wouldn't take away something they were already using.

So with the lack of conversation that we had with the City of Bridgeport and my assessment of the site directly, that's why I came to that conclusion of no -- no spot available, plus I couldn't get them to respond to me. So that's why -- so that's why we ruled that site out.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you for that clarification, too.

The last question $I$ have is on the revised table for cumulative power density. And the question $I$ have is, really what accounts for the difference in the revised versus the originally submitted tables? Was it the revision to wattage that's causing the difference?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): It's actually a
revision to the gain that was used in those calculations. The original were -- the gain values were taken from a different antenna spec, which is actually smaller. So the values for the ERP are much less.

The antennas that are specked out are the JAHH65B's, which are six-foot models and the antenna gains are higher. So therefore your ERP values will be higher.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you for that clarification also. That's all I have. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Harder?
MR. HARDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I had a couple of questions along the same lines of some of the discussion already. Actually, my main concern and the main issue that strikes me when I look at the application on the site is proximity to the residences. My first question, though, deals with the notice to the abutters.

I'm sure you've complied with the requirements to notify the abutters, but the question I have on that is the letter that you
sent indicates that there's a proposal for a 90-foot pole. It doesn't say anything about an extension.

And I think in the body of your application you talk about a possibility of a minimum of a 20-foot extension. And I'm wondering, first of all, how high could it go? And second of all, again I'm sure you've complied with the rules, but if you want to be good neighbors it seems to me you would tell the people you're notifying that it's possible that it could go higher.

If I were living there and a pole was constructed right outside my door like it might be for that one house, and then I found out later that it was going to be extended even further I wouldn't be too happy.

So I guess maybe this is a comment as much for us as it is for you, but it seems to me that the notice to the abutters should include that kind of information so they have a more complete picture of what could be there, not just what might be there on a first phase.

And the other, I guess the other part of my concern gets to some of the things like $I$ say
that's been discussed already. And I know you talked about, you know, that you have looked at other parts of the area there, and I think we can understand your frustration at not having the neighborhood meeting despite numerous attempts and not maybe getting, you know, good communication from the City.

But in looking at the drawings in the maps and the overhead photos and driving around the area I wanted to look again at the area to the east. You said that $I$ think there had been -maybe when you were initially looking at the site there was a remediation process underway. It looks like that's done.

Now I don't know. Maybe looks are deceiving there, but it looks like it's done. I'm wondering, how recently were those conversations had? And is it feasible at all to think of going immediately to the east of the building again to look at that again? I know there's a wetland kind of in the northeast corner.

I really want to make sure you've done everything you can do up to date to make sure there's no other feasible alternatives, because I mean, you can't get much closer to a few
residences than what you're talking about now.
And I guess pushing, pushing in the other direction from what Mr. Hannon was saying earlier, if at all possible $I$ wouldn't go to the west, even slightly to the west. And even I wouldn't realign the compound 90 degrees because that puts things even closer to the residences there, which $I$ would suggest in all possibility you should avoid.

And just to follow up one other comment, the application talks about stealth technologies and I assume what you're talking about, one thing being the fake trees. You talk about it, but you don't suggest that you're willing to do that, or you're looking at it that much -- or you're just going to talk about it.

I'm wondering if you have considered that. You know, how do you look at that as an option in this location?

THE WITNESS (Roberts): Well, I guess we're talking two things. One would be, I'll call it the north peninsula, for lack of a better term, north of the parking lot.

One of the limitations we have is the encumbrance of that pass/repass 50-foot line, and
that's right behind the dumpster enclosure that's there probably in the neighborhood of ten, twelve feet just to the northeast corner of that, that dumpster enclosure. That kind of limits our area.

I know the talk was to possibly running it in the north-south direction, as opposed to east-west to allow parking and possibly preserving that knoll of rock that's there. There's an opportunity of possibly placing it on the -further to the east by, I'm going to say, maybe 40 feet or so, which would keep those two trees. Those are 14-inch and 13-inch and a 10-inch trees on that knoll.

Preserve that rock outcropping, keeping it further away from the residence on Kingsbury Road. That's an opportunity. It would be an odd-shaped compound. It would not be square or rectangular. We would have to look at possibly doing that. And that kind of outlines that peninsula, if you will. That's the area that we were kind of looking at with the landlord. We didn't really explore the remainder of that property. I didn't, but I believe Keith has, but that's our limitations at that point.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm going to
speak to a couple of the issues as well. With respect to the area that has been remediated, I can't -- I don't know if anyone at the panel level here can really speak to what was done out there specifically. That would have to be looked at pretty carefully to see how much was dug out, what's -- what's remaining, what they used for fill, and more importantly whether there's an environmental land-use restriction on that.

I just don't have that information, so it's something we would have to really explore with the landlord and whether or not they wanted to go back in. And even if we could, if there are no covenants on it.

And with respect to the stealthing techniques that could be employed, certainly a full pine tree in certain situations are -- can be pretty effective. Here we have some limiting factors there. The vast majority of the surrounding trees in the area of the site and in the neighborhood in general are deciduous.

From some locations a full pine may blend in, and there's others where it would probably stick out like a sore thumb. So again, it kind of goes very much back to the painting
options as well. There are limitations inherent. Sometimes and from some views it's great, and from others it's not all that effective.

At 95 or 90 feet we probably want to put a little bit more height on it just to give it some, you know, a conical effect if you were going to go down that way. And again, now you're starting to push height, and it is now starting to push height and it's now starting to push the -the width of this out significantly.

So again, there's -- from my personal experience I'm not sure this site necessarily would benefit a whole lot from a tree here. And if anything, it might actually work against it. Again, if we had a rise behind this from the principle views where you had -- where you wouldn't be silhouetting against the sky, that would probably be a more appropriate application for it.

And we did talk about other stealthing options. The real limitation here is that other carriers are going to be pretty limited if we went to do anything with -- whether we went an internal array or did anything that's a little bit more creative. It becomes a lot more restrictive.

So in this case based on its setting in a commercial and industrial area, although we are obviously on the edge of a neighborhood as well, it seemed that the traditional monopole with the arrays certainly seemed to be the most, best balanced for it all.

Again, I strongly remember we talked about stealthing because esthetics are such a tough thing. Some people love it. The idea of a faux tree, and others are just against it. Even in great settings -- we've had settings where I felt it was literally the perfect location and we've had people complain that, no, we would rather see a pole.

So it's a real tough situation in terms of trying to please everyone.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'm also going to clarify a little bit more on location for you as well. And if you could, turn in the application to tab one, page 3 you'll see an aerial photograph of the -- of the actual building, I think the large building with the solar panel -- looks on it.

So originally when we looked at the site before we did any investigation and speaking with
the landowner, they wanted us to go to the north -- the northeast side of the building. So it was behind the building, the northeast side, and physically we couldn't get there -- physically we could get there.

Legally we couldn't get there due to the restriction that comes down that side of the building, that side of the property because that was going to be our access.

At that point we looked at the southeast corner of the property and talked to them about -talked to our landowner about doing the southeast corner of the property, which seemed to be a good idea.

The problem with that was it was twofold. One, that area of the property is being used as a smoking area, an area that their employees come out and actually use. So that that part was wasn't really available, but then -- then our landowner also said that that whole area is being cleaned up.

And Mr. Gustafson can probably verify for me going further in, that then becomes all wetlands, all back in that area of the property.

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yeah. And

I'll just point you to the applicant Exhibit 1 behind tab eleven. It would be the fourth page which is the wetland inspection map. And it shows wetlands located immediately east of the sub -- of the subject building.

And that wetland, although we didn't extend our mapping further to the south, that wetland system extends further to the south and encompasses the majority of the northeastern portion of that subject property.

MR. HARDER: Do you know when the remediation was completed, or whatever the most recent phase if it is not completed?

Because if you go, if you look at that site now -- looking at tab eleven, the photo in tab eleven it shows trees on the very southern part of the property. That kind of triangular shape shows trees there. If you go out there now it's completely bare. It's all grass.

So my guess is they've completed the remediation. Maybe there's some post remediation monitoring and that kind of thing going on, but at least the physical work, it looks like it's -from looking at the site, anyway, it looks like it's done?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I was out there in late 2016 I believe, and they were in the middle of it. So it could have been early 2017 that they -- they finished that piece of it. I mean, I'm not sure that they're finished yet. I haven't had that conversation with them.

MR. HARDER: And the building immediately to the east off the subject property -- actually it looks like there might be two buildings. Did you look at that property? I don't know if that's part of one of the parcels of --

MR. HANNON: So immediately to the east, that's the town transfer station, the city transfer station.

MR. HARDER: Now just south of that?
the witness (Coppins): Just south of that, that's -- that's in there, yes.

MR. HARDER: It's not identified in the site search. It doesn't appear to be, anyway.

May that be suitable? The northwest corner is probably or possibly close to some of the wetland area. I know that this photo doesn't identify wetland in the area, but taking a ride through there today I noticed that there was what
might be wetland or surface water, standing water just in that corner. But I'm just wondering if you looked at that at all?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): If I can get back with you after the break and I'll clarify that property for you.

MR. HARDER: Okay. That's all the questions I had, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Edelson.
MR. EDELSON: All my questions have been answered.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
First, just correct me if I'm wrong on this issue of extending it, making it higher. My understanding is based on the -- whatever the latest federal legislation, you can go up 10 percent which would be 9 feet. If you wanted to go -- I've heard this, and I guess it's in the record -- 20 feet. You have to come back and it would be -- I don't know what it would be. A revised or a new petition, which would require notification. Am I correct in that?

MR. BALDWIN: If I could, Mr. Chairman?
I think any increase in height would require a
resubmission to the Council. I think the new federal law that you're speaking of might dictate what the Council does with that application, but I think that any increase in height would require some type of filing, whether it's a petition filing or a reopening of the certificate proceeding.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. But I think there's a 10 percent -- which, again you are correct. It has to come back to us, but if it's within that 10 percent we can talk about it and opine on it, but that's pretty much I think a given under the legislation.

But going above that to -- someone mentioned 20 feet. I think that that requires, I'm not sure whether a more thorough or comprehensive review. I don't know what the term is.

MR. BALDWIN: I think in our experience anytime we have increased the height of the tower beyond that which was approved by the Council, regardless of what the federal legislation might dictate, we would typically notify abutting property owners anyway as a part of the Siting Council submission process.

And I think then we deal with the second issue, which is whether the Siting Council may or may not have any options when it comes to approving that application, but notification is something we take seriously with the neighbors.

If I could, while I have the microphone open, just address Mr. Harder's comment? And it's a good one -- and I think we'll start to include some additional information.

We do include a catchall in our abutter's letters, I think, based on experience in years past where we say that certain portions of the application are subject to change as part of this process. We can include a little bit more definition, that the height itself might increase if the Council deems necessary.

At that phase of the process it's a little less defined, but we can certainly add something like that in the future abutter notices.

THE CHAIRMAN: I think it's always been somewhat of a challenge as to whether, for example, when we're analyzing visibility we should base it on what you're proposing, which is the 90 feet, or the theoretical maximum which is different. And I'm not sure we have resolved that
other than to have that discussion.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mr. Chairman, just hopefully not confuse the issue any further on the extension 10 percent?

I believe the FCC -- and that was all promulgated to give some relief to the service providers not to have to go through the Section 106 consultation process again. So it gave that little bit of flexibility for those minor -- what they considered minor increases.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. I'm looking at, I guess, what was submitted -- well, today. And that's the cumulative power density table. And if I am reading it correctly, you're just under 76 percent of the FCC guidelines.

Is that correct?
THE WITNESS (Montrose): That's correct, and these are worst-case assumptions.

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand the worst-case and I'm not -- but my question is, if we're looking at the possibility of another carrier, or possibly even two, isn't this -- all of a sudden becomes potentially restricting?

We usually have a much -- it's much
lower. So height is a key issue, but in this case
it would seem that the fact that we're already at almost 76 percent might limit the ability for another carrier to even come on the poles? THE WITNESS (Montrose): It actually does not. What we submit for the power density calculations are based on the most conservative, and produce really worst-case numbers, and it says 75 percent. We're nowhere near 75 percent.

But what this does is gives you a level to say that with what you are proposing for the antennas that you're using, assuming maximum gain, maximum power, on 24 hours a day, that you still won't encroach on the hundred percent FCC limitation.

The actual power density from that, from years of being in the field and being around both towers and rooftop locations, yes, the height makes a huge difference. And what we measure in the field is drastically different than what our conservative calculations yield.

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand everything you said, but if this number, which could theoretically be if another carrier were to come on board and, for example, their most conservative worst-case numbers were, say, 26 percent --
wouldn't 75 plus 26 , worst-case -- I understand, but wouldn't that be something that somebody could say, you know, you're over the hundred percent even though as you just said -- in real life?

But you know, tell it to a neighbor who, you know, has a couple small children and we hear it even if they're down to 22 percent -- this doesn't affect your proposal, I'm just anticipating if -- since there was interest by at least one potentially going on, isn't that potentially limiting?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Actually it's not, because these calculations are worst-case. And I understand when you get another co-locator on there they're going to do the same thing and create these worst-case calculations, but what we do is that we refine these, and instead of using the more conservative values we will actually go through and calculate it for each of the individual carriers for exactly the type of antennas, the exact power that you're putting out for all of them at the distinct heights that all of the service providers are, because they are different RAD centers to come up with a more refined number that is more true to life.

THE CHAIRMAN: So the 75 percent, 75.38 percent is just -- I don't know what it is? THE WITNESS (Montrose): It's an extremely conservative number that we used. If worst-case scenario, you were standing directly underneath. Everything was pointed directly at you and you had actually 2.56 times reflection of that signal energy from the ground directed up at you, which is why the numbers are so large.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Shown on your map there's -- in addition to the wetlands there's a vernal pool. Our esteemed expert was unable to make it and I won't even pretend to have anywhere near that expertise -- or I'll get my head cut off.

But is there any concern about particularly if the location were to be moved slightly to the east, that it would at all impact the vernal pool or the vernal pool -- I want to call them inhabitants, but that's probably not a very good technical term.

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): The
terrestrial habitat that's provided by the existing compound location is very similar whether you move it the east or to the west. It
essentially provides suboptimal terrestrial habitat for herpetofauna, any turtles or salamanders or frogs that might utilize that nearby vernal pool.

So in my opinion it would have no effect on that vernal pool whether you shifted that compound, you know, up to 50 feet to the east or to the west.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you. Was there are any more questions --

MR. HARDER: Mr. Chairman, I did have one more question. Back on the site selection. I'm thinking specifically of the cemetery association property, but $I$ guess it's more of a general question also.

When you approached the property owner -- I guess my question concerns how much revenue they might get and do they know? Do they have a sense in the beginning enough to inform their decision? I mean, was this a case of -actually, I guess this was a case where you never got a response.

So my question here is, did you try again? Given my concern -- and I'll make it somewhat your concern the proximity to these
residences, you know, did you push it?
And you know, generally how much do you -- without, you know, tipping your hand and getting too far into it. I understand, you know, you're not going to go too far, but if somebody showed some reluctance initially, how much do you try to push them by giving them some sense, anyway you know, of how much they might receive or that they might receive enough maybe to get them to change their mind?

And in this case did you try a second time to, you know, to raise some interest on the part of the cemetery association which I would hope you did?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Typically, to answer -- to answer your question, if there's interest from a landowner we ferret out the -what the issues are, if it's a lease issue, if it's a numbers issue.

I mean, there are some sites that we have walked away because developmentally it just doesn't make sense for us. We couldn't make our money back, which goes back to the question earlier in the day, how do you make your money back?

So we do a budget and what's our -what's our return on investment? So if there is -- if there is interest we go through it. We don't -- we don't usually care what the -- who the landowner is. You know, if there's an interest, a party that owns a parcel, we will -- we will get there if they're interested, and they're willing to negotiate and we're willing to bend. They're willing to bend. It's just a negotiations piece. The initial search goes out and we look at all the properties, all the properties around. And all the letters, phonecalls, all that is done. When we get to the technical report phase and we have a question from the City -- in this particular case the City asked us to do something. We absolutely did it.

Went down the road to find the right spot. It didn't work out. We wanted it to. Whether this parcel or the other parcel, it didn't matter to us. It looked like the financials were pretty, pretty close. So they would have worked.

After that -- I mean, we send letters again to -- I mean, it's not one time that we -we search. We do it more than once, because we want to get the best site. We want to -- we know
we're going to get these questions and make sure that you have the right information when we sit here.

And so we do -- we do our homework before we -- with the site search summary. And if somebody is not interested in -- in leasing to us, we get that right away with either no comment or you know, there's no back and forth whatsoever. They're just like, no. They just -- they just ignore us, so we get that. So that is, you know, when we have -- when we have a parcel that works, both from a physical point and an RF point of view then we start moving ahead with the development.

So back to your earlier question about the parcel directly to the east -- and I'm sorry that it should have had seven alternative site locations.

On October 15, 2015, that parcel address is 425 Asylum Street, Unger Cogswell Properties, Inc. A letter went out to them and I know it went out to them more than once because $I$ sent it out the first time. And then when I started compiling my site search summary I wanted to make sure that we do that.

So I looked a little puzzled earlier
because I said I know I looked at that property. And I went back into my notes and there it was. The letter that actually went out is in my -- is in my file that I missed. So I apologize for that.
MR. HARDER: Thank you for that information. Just briefly then on the cemetery association, it sounds from what you're saying you made multiple attempts?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): At least two.
MR. HARDER: Okay. Thank you.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. The Council will now recess until 6:30 p.m. at which time we'll commence the public comment session.
(Whereupon, the above proceedings were concluded at 4:26 p.m.)
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| moved (2) | neighbors (3) | 14:10 | 51:20;52:16;54:11; | 36:18 |
| 36:20;61:17 | 5:23;45:10;57:5 | nowhere (1) | 57:8;60:10;62:12; | over (3) |
| moving (6) | neither (1) | 59:8 | 64:23 | 18:19;39:23;60:3 |
| 18:11;19:1;20:18; | 14:12 | number (13) | only (1) | overflow (2) |
| 22:2;25:15;65:13 | nest (2) | 6:10;13:24;17:19, | 37:12 | 33:13,21 |
| much (21) | 25:10,12 | 25;18:12;22:11; | onto (4) | overhead (1) |
| 23:1;24:8;25:14; | nesting (3) | 29:13;40:14;42:2,4; | $20: 18 ; 22: 2 ; 25: 15$ | 46:9 |
| 27:24;29:25;31:1; | 24:13;25:5,8 | 59:22;60:25;61:4 | 26:3 | own (2) |
| 35:18;37:4;44:5; | nests (1) | numbers (4) | open (5) | 22:6,13 |
| 45:19;46:25;47:15; | 25:2 | 59:7,25;61:9;63:19 | 21:14,24;23:23; | owned (2) |
| 49:6,25;56:12;58:24, | network (5) | numerous (1) | 25:23;57:7 | 32:24,24 |
| 24;62:17;63:2,6,8 | 26:13,14,19,22; | 46:5 | operating (1) | owner (5) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { multiple (2) } \\ 41: 23 ; 66: 9 \end{gathered}$ | 27:5 new (5) | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 25: 20 \\ \text { operation (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 23,23 ; 18: 17 ; \\ & 33: 20: 62: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| Murphy (9) | 10:3;23:17;40:20; |  | 4:23 | owners (1) |
| 4:8;27:23,24;28:8, | 55:22;56:1 | oak (1) | opine (1) | 56:24 |
| 11,15,20;29:5,9 | Next (5) | 25:11 | 56:12 | owns (1) |
| must (1) | 7:3,5,8;19:4;40:11 | oath (1) | opinion (1) | 64:6 |
| 33:16 | nick (1) | 6:21 | 62:5 |  |
| N | 40:8 | oaths (1) | opportunity (2) | P |
|  | $25: 16,17,21$ | objection (2) | opposed (2) | pad (1) |
| name (2) | None (3) | 6:11,14 | 32:5;48:6 | 34:23 |


| page (10) | 2 | placing (1) | preparation (1) | propagation (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:17,22;19:21,23; | percentage (1) | 48:9 | 8:22 | 23:7 |
| 24:13;41:7;42:2,9; | 21:2 | plan (2) | prepare (1) | proper (1) |
| 51:20;53:2 | perfect (1) | 15:1;24:21 | 8:22 | 37:18 |
| painting (9) | 51:12 | planning (1) | present (3) | properties (3) |
| 22:5,5,11,17,18,20, | performance (2) | 41:20 | 4:7,13;6:19 | 64:11,11;65:19 |
| 24;23:8;49:25 | 20:23;26:14 | play (1) | Preserve (1) | property (30) |
| panel (5) | perhaps (2) | 30:7 | 48:14 | 15:2,20,20;16:5; |
| 6:23;8:19,20;49:3; | 26:24;29:23 | please (3) | preserving (1) | 18:18,21,22;24:3; |
| 51:23 | period (3) | 17:23;32:10;51:16 | 48:7 | 32:14;33:4,11;35:15; |
| paraphrase (1) | 24:14,16;25:6 | pleasing (1) | pretend (1) | 39:1;42:22;43:1; |
| 42:12 | Permitting (1) | 23:11 | 61:13 | 48:23;52:8,11,13,16, |
| parcel (10) | 7:10 | plus (2) | pretty (9) | 24;53:10,17;54:9,10; |
| 17:25;18:22;32:22, | Perrone (36) | 43:14;60:1 | 24:8;35:18;37:4; | 55:6;56:24;62:14,16; |
| 24;64:6,19,19;65:11, | 4:15;12:4,5,22; | pm (5) | 49:6,18;50:22;56:12; | 66:1 |
| 15,18 | 13:1,8,15,23;14:7,11, | 4:4;5:18;12:21; | $64: 21,21$ | proposal (2) |
| parcels (1) | 19,24;16:2,10,13,17; | 66:14,18 | previous (1) | 45:1;60:8 |
| 54:11 | 17:2,8,17,24;18:11; | point (12) | 42:20 | proposed (13) |
| parking (8) | 19:7,21;20:6,18;21:4; | 16:4,6,11;21:20; | price (2) | 5:12;12:19;14:23, |
| $24: 7 ; 33: 13,16,22$ | 22:2,10;23:18;24:10; | $25: 7 ; 36: 12 ; 43: 5$ | $26: 25 ; 27: 13$ | $25 ; 15: 22 ; 17: 9 ; 19: 2$ |
| $34: 9,9 ; 47: 23 ; 48: 7$ | $25: 15,23 ; 26: 2 ; 27: 6$ | $48: 24 ; 52: 10 ; 53: 1$ | pricing (1) | $22: 12 ; 25: 21 ; 38: 2,22$ |
| part (12) | 19;42:10 | 65:12,12 | 26:10 | 39:13;40:24 |
| 14:11;18:22;34:2; | persaunt (1) | pointed (1) | principle (1) | proposing (2) |
| 35:10;40:16;45:24; | 4:16 | 61:6 | 50:16 | 57:23;59:10 |
| 52:19;53:17;54:11; | personal (2) | points (2) | privacy (4) | protection (1) |
| 56:24;57:13;63:13 | 34:24;50:11 | 36:17;37:13 | 17:9,10,14,15 | $4: 9$ |
| particular (2) | personally (1) | pole (4) | probably (14) | protrusion (1) |
| 42:3;64:15 | 35:25 | 32:6;45:2,13;51:14 | 12:15;19:18;23:5; | 31:12 |
| particularly (1) | perspective (2) | poles (1) | 27:1,3;35:2;39:11; | provide (2) |
| 61:17 | 27:7,8 | 59:3 | 48:2;49:24;50:4,18; | 22:12;40:17 |
| parties (1) | petition (2) | pool (5) | $52: 22 ; 54: 22 ; 61: 20$ | provided (2) |
| 26:20 | 55:22;56:5 | 61:12,19,19;62:4,6 | probe (1) | 26:17;61:23 |
| Partnership (2) | phase (5) | popped (1) | 36:13 | providers (2) |
| 4:20;5:8 | 41:18;45:23;53:13; | 13:11 | problem (3) | 58:7;60:23 |
| parts (1) | 57:17;64:13 | portion (4) | 15:9;17:12;52:15 | provides (1) |
| 46:3 | phonecalls (1) | $20: 17 ; 31: 15,19$ | problems (1) | $62: 1$ |
| party (1) | 64:12 | $53: 10$ | $26: 15$ | provisions (1) |
| 64:6 | photo (6) | portions (1) | Procedure (1) | 4:17 |
| pass (1) | 13:18;29:13,18; | 57:12 | 4:19 | proximity (2) |
| 38:19 | 30:2;53:15;54:23 | possibility (7) | procedures (1) | $44: 20 ; 62: 25$ |
| pass/repass (1) | photograph (1) | 24:23;31:13,21; | $5: 15$ | Public (10) |
| 47:25 | 51:21 | 34:13;45:5;47:8; | proceed (2) | 4:10,22;5:18,19,20, |
| passed (2) | photos (1) | 58:21 | 5:11;12:2 | 24;6:7;13:21;18:6; |
| 39:4,4 | 46:9 | possible (3) | proceeding (4) | $66: 15$ |
| passing (1) | physical (2) | 43:8;45:11;47:4 | 5:7;7:1;11:10;56:7 | purely (2) |
| 39:10 | 53:23;65:12 | possibly (10) | proceedings (1) | 27:11,12 |
| past (3) | physically (3) | 31:10;35:9,11; | 66:17 | purpose (1) |
| 29:3;37:16;57:12 | 43:6;52:4,4 | 36:24;48:5,7,9,18 | process (6) | 6:20 |
| pay (1) | picked (2) | 54:22;58:22 | 15:19;46:13;56:25; | purposes (1) |
| 27:4 | 32:23;33:19 | post (1) | 57:14,17;58:8 | 8:18 |
| peak (1) | picture (1) | 53:21 | produce (1) | push (5) |
| 24:13 | 45:22 | posting (1) | 59:7 | 50:8,9,9;63:1,7 |
| peninsula (2) | piece (5) | 13:16 | professional (1) | pushed (1) |
| 47:22;48:20 | 15:15;19:3;42:15; | potential (2) | 7:12 | 15:21 |
| people (6) | 54:4;64:9 | $24: 19,20$ | program (5) | pushing (2) |
| 27:4,4;28:11;45:10; | pier (1) | potentially (3) | 6:9,24;8:14,23;30:9 | $47: 2,2$ |
| 51:9,13 | 34:23 | 58:23;60:10,11 | prohibited (1) | put (3) |
| per (1) | pine (3) | power (9) | 5:6 | 37:9;38:8;50:4 |
| 20:22 | 39:23;49:17,22 | 9:25;19:17;26:21; | Project (6) | puts (1) |
| percent (16) | place (1) | 43:20;58:13;59:5,12, | 7:4,7;13:20;25:21; | 47:7 |
| 20:23,25;55:18; | 17:1 | 15;60:21 | 28:24,25 | putting (1) |
| 56:9,11;58:4,15;59:2, | placed (2) | predicated (1) | promulgated (1) | 60:21 |
| 8,8,13,25;60:3,7;61:1, | 18:25;37:11 | 24:20 | 58:6 | puzzled (1) |


| 65:25 | recent (1) | 49:7 | 49:2,15 | 37:5,21;38:4,13; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q | recently (1) | $\underset{\text { remains (1) }}{\text { 21:3 }}$ | respond (2) $43: 3,15$ | $\begin{gathered} 47: 20 \\ \text { Roberts' (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Q | 46:17 | remediated (1) | response (12) | Robers |
| qualities (1) | recess (2) | 49:2 | 13:23;14:12;19:7; | Robin (1) |
| 34:16 | 5:17;66:14 | remediation (4) | 20:6,19;21:5,23;22:2, | 4:5 |
| quick (1) | recontacted (1) | 46:13;53:12,21,21 | 4,11;41:11;62:22 | Robinson (1) |
| $6: 24$ | $41: 15$ | remember (1) | responses (3) | $5: 10$ |
| quite (2) | record (3) | 51:7 | 21:9,12;24:12 | rock (18) |
| 23:12;29:6 | 5:21;34:1;55:20 | reminder (1) | rest (1) | 16:19,24,25;17:1; |
|  | recoup (2) | 5:3 | 13:14 | 31:8,11;34:16,21,21, |
| R | 26:25;27:16 | removal (2) | restricting (1) | 25;35:25;36:9,14,16, |
|  | recovered (2) | 31:11;35:24 | 58:23 | 21,22;48:8,14 |
| RAD (1) | 26:4;27:10 | remove (1) | restriction (3) | rocks (1) |
| 60:24 | rectangular (1) | 16:25 | 15:18;49:9;52:7 | 35:23 |
| radiofrequency (1) | $48: 18$ | removed (1) | restrictive (2) | rocky (1) |
| $7: 2$ | red (3) | $16: 20$ | 15:11;50:25 | $16: 18$ |
| rains (1) | 12:19,25;13:1 | removing (1) | resubmission (1) | $\operatorname{rod}(1)$ |
| 12:13 | reference (2) | 34:21 | 56:1 | 38:3 |
| raise (1) | 10:2;38:16 | rent (1) | resume (1) | rods (1) |
| 63:12 | Referencing (2) | 28:9 | 5:17 | 38:8 |
| raised (2) | 13:23;40:1 | reopening (1) | retail (1) | Roman (3) |
| $31: 5 ; 32: 8$ | refine (1) | $56: 6$ | $26: 11$ | 6:9;8:15,23 |
| raises (1) | 60:17 | repair (1) | retained (1) | rooftop (1) |
| $27: 25$ | refined (1) | 36:6 | 39:2 | $59: 17$ |
| raising (2) | $60: 25$ | repass (1) | return (2) | RootMetrics (1) |
| 31:5;35:5 | reflection (1) | 39:10 | 14:12;64:2 | 26:21 |
| range (3) | 61:7 | re-pass (1) | returned (1) | ruled (1) |
| 12:14;14:20;23:6 | refusal (1) | 38:19 | 14:13 | 43:16 |
| rather (4) | 36:18 | repassing (1) | revenue (1) | rules (1) |
| $25: 24 ; 26: 6 ; 35: 4$ | regarding (1) | $39: 10$ | $62: 18$ | $45: 9$ |
| $51: 14$ | $13: 20$ | repeat (3) | rev-G (1) | run (3) |
| reached (2) | regardless (1) | $17: 23 ; 22: 9 ; 32: 10$ | 17:4 | $31: 24 ; 35: 14 ; 36: 22$ |
| $42: 17,24$ | 56:22 | report (3) | review (2) | running (6) |
| reaches (1) | region (2) | $25: 17 ; 41: 18 ; 64: 13$ | 12:11;56:17 | $31: 19,20,24 ; 34: 5$ |
| $39: 23$ | $26: 14,17$ | representing (1) | revised (3) | $35: 18 ; 48: 5$ |
| reading (1) | $\underset{\text { regional (1) }}{ }$ | 6:25 | 43:20,22;55:22 | runs (3) |
| $58: 14$ | $26: 8$ | represents (1) | revision (2) | 19:16;20:13,15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Real (5) } \\ & 7: 4 ; 27: 12 ; 50: 21 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Regionally (1) } \\ 26: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:6 } \\ & \text { request (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43: 23 ; 44: 1 \\ \text { RF (2) } \end{gathered}$ | S |
| 51:15;60:4 | regular (2) | 37:12,17;41:17,17 | 23:7;65:12 |  |
| realign (1) | 13:25;14:17 | require (3) | ride (1) | salamanders (1) |
| $47: 6$ | Regulatory (1) | $55: 22,25 ; 56: 4$ | $54: 24$ | 62:3 |
| realize (1) | $4: 11$ | requirements (1) | right (13) | same (17) |
| $28: 2$ | rejected (3) | $44: 24$ | $7: 2 ; 21: 4 ; 23: 16,22$ | $6: 3 ; 14: 19,23 ; 16: 3$ |
| really (11) | 17:19;18:1,12 | requires (2) | $29: 9 ; 30: 25 ; 31: 8$ | $19: 19 ; 20: 25 ; 21: 3$ |
| 23:12;27:24;29:10; | rejection (1) | 37:7;56:15 | 39:21;45:14;48:1; | 29:20,22;32:4;41:1,5; |
| 38:13;43:21;46:22; | 18:4 | resend (1) | 64:17;65:2,7 | 42:9;43:1,1;44:17; |
| 48:22;49:4,11;52:19; | relatively (1) | 14:17 | ring (1) | 60:15 |
| $59: 7$ | 29:21 | resent (2) | 21:15 | satisfied (1) |
| Realty (2) | re-lease (2) | 13:25;14:2 | rise (1) | 28:9 |
| 14:14,22 | 27:13,13 | reserved (1) | 50:15 | saying (3) |
| rear (1) | releasing (1) | 5:19 | risk (1) | 36:8;47:3;66:8 |
| 39:11 | 39:9 | residence (1) | 35:14 | scale (4) |
| reason (5) | relief (4) | $48: 15$ | Road (6) | $29: 15,22 ; 30: 4,6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 32: 23 ; 33: 18 ; 35: 5,5 \\ & 10 \cdot 267 \end{aligned}$ | $40: 17,18 ; 41: 3 ; 58: 6$ | residences (6) $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 24 ; 40: 13,20 \\ & 42: 6 ; 48: 16 ; 64: 17 \end{aligned}$ | scaled (1) |
| $\begin{array}{r} 10 ; 36: 7 \\ \text { receipt (1) } \end{array}$ | relocating (2) <br> 35:11,16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:20,24;44:20; } \\ & 47: 1,7 ; 63: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 42:6;48:16;64:17 <br> Roberts (27) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 30:18 } \\ \text { scaling (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 14:13 | reluctance (1) | resolved (1) | 7:6;9:6,7;10:10,11; | 30:24 |
| receive (2) | 63:6 | $57: 25$ | $11: 2,3,17,18 ; 16: 7,12$ | scenario (1) |
| $63: 8,9$ | remainder (1) | resources (1) | $15,22 ; 17: 7,12 ; 25: 22$ | $61: 5$ |
| received (1) | 48:22 | 24:11 | 26:1;31:22;32:2; | schedule (1) |
| 5:1 | remaining (1) | respect (2) | 34:15;35:16;36:11; | 24:18 |


| Schwaben (1) | settings (2) | 2;21:19;24:7;25:12; | 49:24 | 57:8;65:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41:21 | 51:11,11 | 31:6,9;32:8;33:18,19; | sorry (7) | started (3) |
| scientist (3) | seven (6) | 34:14;35:1,7,21; | 12:24;22:8,15,19; | 28:24;42:16;65:22 |
| 7:11,12;18:24 | 8:14,15,24;12:9 | 40:23;42:4,19,23 | 28:18;38:14;65:15 | starting (4) |
| search (9) | 29:13;65:16 | 43:4,6,8,9,13,16 | sort (2) | 12:3;50:8,8,9 |
| 17:18;20:2;42:16, | several (5) | 44:20;46:12;49:20; | 31:11;37: | statement (1) |
| 19;54:20;64:10,24; | 12:8;18:19,19 | 50:12;51:24;53:15, | sounds (1) | 23:13 |
| 65:5,23 | 19:19;39:1 | 24;54:20;62:12; | 66:8 | statements (4) |
| searching (1) | shadows (1) | 64:25;65:5,16,23 | source (1) | 5:20;6:1,2,18 |
| 42:16 | 30:11 | sites (2) | 25:21 | states (1) |
| season (1) | shape (1) | 18:21;63:20 | south (7) | 38:19 |
| 30:10 | 53:18 | Siting (8) | 16:9;32:14;35:19; | station (3) |
| second (8) | shared (2) | 4:3,6,15;5:15;7:9; | 53:7,8;54:16,17 | 43:7;54:14,15 |
| 14:11;15:12,15; | 28:2,21 | 12:4;56:24;57:2 | southeast (2) | status (1) |
| 20:20,22;45:8;57:1; | sheet (1) | situated (1) | 52:10,12 | 38:22 |
| 63:11 | 14:25 | 31:7 | southern (1) | Statutes (1) |
| section (4) | shelter (1) | situation (3) | 53:16 | 4:18 |
| 8:15;9:17;41:1; | 25:24 | 23:1,8;51:15 | southwest (1) | stays (1) |
| 58:7 | shift (1) | situations (1) | 25:11 | 42:9 |
| sector (1) | 31:10 | 49:17 | space (4) | steady (1) |
| 40:25 | shifted (1) | six (2) | 18:9,10;21:25; | 12:12 |
| security (2) | 62:6 | 9:20;14: | 42:13 | stealth (1) |
| 17:9;37:13 | shifting (1) | six-foot (1) | speak (2) | 47:11 |
| seem (1) | 33:19 | 44:7 | 49:1,4 | stealthing (3) |
| 59:1 | showed (2) | six-inch (1) | speaking (3) | 49:15;50:20;51:8 |
| seemed (3) | 40:7;63:6 | 39:23 | 29:21;51:25;56:2 | Stein (1) |
| 51:4,5;52:13 | shown (2) | skies (1) | spec (1) | 4:5 |
| seems (3) | 6:9;61:10 | 12:16 | 44:3 | stick (1) |
| 40:6;45:10,19 | shows (3) | sky (2) | specifically (2) | 49:24 |
| selection (1) | 53:3,16,18 | 23:16;50:17 | 49:5;62:13 | sticking (1) |
| 62:12 | side (15) | slats (4) | specked (1) | 37:8 |
| seller (1) | 15:15,20;32:12,14 | 17:10,10,14,15 | 44:6 | still (3) |
| 39:2 | 15,18,19,21;33:4; | slightly (5) | spectrum (1) | 20:24;21:23;59:12 |
| SEN (7) | 34:7;39:24;52:2,3,7,8 | 23:4;30:14,17;47:5; | 20:17 | stocky (1) |
| 27:24;28:8,11,15, | sign (3) | 61:18 | speed (1) | 29:14 |
| 20;29:5,9 | 13:16,18,19 | small (3) | 20:22 | Street (10) |
| Senator (2) | signal (2) | 19:9,10;60: | spoke (1) | 4:25;15:8,24,2 |
| 4:7;27:23 | 20:8;61:8 | smaller (1) | 32:3 | 32:19,21,21;38:22; |
| send (2) | significant (1) | 44:4 | spoken (1) | 39:24;65:19 |
| 5:25;64:22 | 18:13 | smoking (1) | 6:3 | strength (1) |
| senior (1) | significantly (1) | 52:17 | sporting (1) | 20:8 |
| 7:11 | 50:10 | softening (2) | 18:17 | strikes (1) |
| sense (3) | silhouetting (1) | 22:13,25 | spot (2) | 44:19 |
| 62:19;63:7,22 | 50:17 | soil (1) | 43:14;64:18 | string (2) |
| sent (5) | Silvestri (15) | 7:12 | Sprint (2) | 13:2,3 |
| 14:3,14;41:15;45:1; | 4:11;37:25;38:1,10, | solar (1) | 21:13;27:15 | strongly (1) |
| 65:21 | 15;39:12,17;40:2,6, | 51:23 | Square (2) | 51:7 |
| September (1) | 10;41:2,6,25;43:17; | sold (1) | 14:15;48:17 | structural (1) |
| 5:2 | 44:10 | 39:1 | squirrels (1) | 17:5 |
| sequentially (1) | similar (3) | solid (2) | 25:12 | structure (2) |
| 8:21 | 30:2;42:18;61:24 | 35:7;36:3 | staff (3) | 40:13,16 |
| seriously (1) | simple (1) | somebody (3) | 4:13,14;5:5 | structures (2) |
| 57:5 | 33:13 | 60:2;63:5;65:6 | standard (3) | 19:24;20:1 |
| service (5) | sims (3) | someone (1) | 17:15;20:23;37:4 | strung (1) |
| 20:3,12,16;58:6; | 30:2;41:11,16 | 56:14 | standards (1) | 39:25 |
| 60:23 | simulations (1) | Sometimes (5) | 17:5 | sub (1) |
| services (1) | 30:9 | 22:24;30:7,11,15; | standing (2) | 53:4 |
| 26:25 | sit (1) | 50:2 | 55:1;61:5 | subject (8) |
| session (5) | 65:2 | somewhat (2) | standpoint (2) | $8: 18 ; 15: 2 ; 36: 2$ |
| 5:17,18,19,25; | site (47) | 57:21;62:25 | $23: 8 ; 25: 14$ | $37: 19 ; 53: 5,10 ; 54: 8$ |
| 66:15 | 9:18;12:11;14:23, | somewhere (1) | stands (1) | $57: 13$ |
| setting (1) | $25 ; 15: 10,17,22$ | $5: 13$ | 19:16 | subjective (1) |
| 51:1 | 16:17;17:18,25;19:1, | sore (1) | start (2) | 34:17 |


| submission (1) | 20:22 | 19:19 | 13;30:13;47:13; | Unger (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56:25 | Tarpon (5) | three (2) | 48:11,12;49:20; | 65:19 |
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