## In The Matter Of:

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility

Public Comment Session May 2, 2017

BCT Reporting LLC
PO Box 1774
Bristol, CT 06010
860.302.1876

Original File 17-05-02night.txt

Min-U-Script®

1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
2	CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL
3	
4	
5	Docket No. 471
6	Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless
7	Application for a Certificate of Environmental
8	Compatibility and Public Need for the
9	Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a
10	Telecommunications Facility Located at 208 Kirk
11	Road, Hamden, Connecticut
12	
13	
14	Public Comment Session held at Memorial Town
15	Hall, 2372 Whitney Avenue, Hamden, Connecticut, on
16	Tuesday, May 2, 2017, beginning at 7:02 p.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	Held Before:
21	ROBERT STEIN, Chairman
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
3	Council Members:
4	SENATOR JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.,
5	Vice Chairman
6	ROBERT HANNON
7	DR. MICHAEL W. KLEMENS
8	LARRY LEVESQUE, ESQ.
9	LARRI LEVESQUE, ESQ.
	Council Staff:
L0	
L1	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.
L2	Executive Director and
L3	Staff Attorney
L4	
L5	ROBERT MERCIER
L6	Siting Analyst
L7	
L8	For Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon
L9	Wireless:
20	ROBINSON & COLE LLP
21	280 Trumbull Street
22	Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3597
23	BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQ.
24	
25	

```
99
    Appearances: (Cont'd.)
1
 2
        For Patricia Sorrentino:
 3
 4
              MURTHA CULLINA LLP
              265 Church Street
 5
              P.O. Box 704
 6
 7
              New Haven, Connecticut 06503-0704
 8
                   BY: BURT B. COHEN, ESQ.
 9
                         BRIDGET D'ANGELO, ESQ.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1 THE CHAIRMAN: I'd like to call to order this hearing of the Connecticut Siting 2 3 Council, today, Tuesday, May 2, 2017, at approximately 7 p.m. My name is Robin Stein. 4 5 Chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council present are Senator James 6 7 Murphy, our Vice Chairman; Mr. Hannon, designee 8 from the Department of Energy and Environmental 9 Protection; Commissioner Levesque from the Public 10 Utilities Regulatory Authority; Dr. Klemens; members of the staff, Attorney Melanie Bachman, 11 12 who is our executive director; and Robert Mercier, 13 our siting analyst. This is a continuation of the public 14 15 hearing that began at 3 p.m. this afternoon. 16 Copies of the hearing program and Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures are 17 18 available for members of the public that are also

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and

on this table to my left.

19

20

21

22

23

24

Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 208 Kirk Road in Hamden, Connecticut. This application was received by the Council on March 3, 2017.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This application is also governed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which is administered by the Federal Communications Commission. This act prohibits the Council from considering the health effects of radio frequency emissions on human health and wildlife to the extent the emissions from towers are within the federal acceptable safe limits standard, which standard is also followed by the State Department of Public Health. The federal act also prohibits this Council from discriminating between and amongst providers of functionally equivalent services. This means that if one carrier already provides service for an area, other carriers have a right to compete and provide service in the same area.

The applicant published notice of the filing of the application to the Council in the New Haven Register on February 28 and March 1st of this year. The Council's legal notice of the time

and date of this hearing was published in the New Haven Register on March 21, 2017. Upon this Council's request, the applicant erected a sign at the proposed site to inform the public of the name of the applicant, type of facility, the hearing date and location, and contact information for the Council. This afternoon members of the Council, staff, and the public personally conducted a field review of the proposed site in order to observe firsthand the potential effects of the proposal.

This hearing session tonight has been reserved first for the public to make short statements into the record. These public statements are not subject to questions from the parties, or the Council, and members of the public making statements may not ask questions of the parties or the Council. These statements will become part of the record for Council consideration. The sign-up sheet, as I mentioned, is available for those who wish to use it, again, on my left on that table.

And as a reminder to all,

off-the-record communication with a member of the

Council, or a member of the Council staff, upon

the merits of this application is prohibited by

law.

I wish to note that parties and intervenors, including their representatives and witnesses, are not allowed to participate in the public comment session. I also wish to note for those who are here, and for the benefit of your neighbors and friends who are unable to join us for the public comment session, that you, or they, may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof; and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

Again, we ask each person making a public statement in this proceeding to confine his or her statements to the subject matter before the Council, and to avoid unreasonable repetition so that we may hear all of your concerns of you and your neighbors.

Please be advised the Council cannot answer questions from the public about the proposal.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing, and deposited at the Hamden Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public.

Before I call on members of the public

- 1 to make statements, I request that the applicant
- 2 make a very brief presentation describing the
- 3 facility, the proposed location, and why it's
- 4 necessary, and what alternatives were
- 5 investigated.
- 6 MR. BALDWIN: Good evening. Thank you,
- 7 Mr. Chairman.
- 8 Good evening, folks. My name is Ken
- 9 Baldwin. I'm a lawyer with Robinson & Cole, and I
- 10 represent Verizon Wireless in this application for
- 11 a tower site at 208 Kirk Road.
- 12 Very briefly, the application was filed
- 13 back on March 3rd of this year. And the proposal
- 14 calls for the construction of a 160-foot
- 15 telecommunications tower in the westerly portion
- 16 of Joe Vignola's Christmas Tree Farm at 208 Kirk
- 17 Road. It's about a 9-and-a-half acre parcel.
- 18 Access to the cell site is proposed from the
- 19 cul-de-sac off Country Club Drive. Access is
- 20 shown on the plan here extending to the wooded
- 21 area to the west, and then turning to the north to
- 22 the tower compound. The tower compound will be 50
- 23 feet by 55 feet in size. There will be an area
- 24 for parking of vehicles, and a turn-around area.
- 25 | Electric service would also be brought to the

facility from Country Club Drive.

I should point out that at this afternoon's session there was some discussion about alternative access. One of those alternative accessways that was discussed was pulling the access road further to the north into the area currently used for the Christmas Tree Farm to avoid cutting of any existing vegetation or substantial trees between the tower site, the Christmas tree farm area, and the properties to the south at Country Club Road.

Cellco will also install a steel platform approximately 12 feet by 16 feet within the 50 by 55-foot compound. That platform would support Verizon's equipment, which would include a couple of equipment cabinets, and a small back-up generator.

The site is needed in order for Verizon to satisfy some existing coverage problems that it has here in Hamden, and also to provide capacity relief from existing facilities in the Hamden area. Thank you.

We do have copies of the plans that are up here on the boards here on the table, as well as extra copies of the alternative accessway that

- 1 was discussed this afternoon for your reading
- 2 pleasure. If you'd like, you can take those.
- 3 You're welcome. Thank you.
- 4 THE CHAIRMAN: I understand that
- 5 Attorney Sharkey would like to speak on behalf of
- 6 the mayor.
- BRENDAN SHARKEY: Thank you, Mr.
- 8 Chairman. My name is Brendan Sharkey. I'm an
- 9 assistant town attorney here in the Town of
- 10 Hamden. And the mayor regrets that he's not able
- 11 to make it tonight due to a family matter that
- 12 came up at the last minute, but he asked me to
- 13 read his letter of opposition to the application
- 14 for the record, if that pleases the Council.
- "Chairman Stein and members of the
- 16 Siting Council: I want to welcome you to the Town
- 17 of Hamden. It was my intention to attend
- 18 tonight's meeting in person, but intervening
- 19 family matters have arisen that prevent me from
- 20 joining you tonight. In lieu of my being here,
- 21 however, I would appreciate your reading this
- 22 correspondence into the record at tonight's
- 23 proceedings.
- "On behalf of the Town of Hamden, I am
- 25 opposed to the pending application of Cellco

1 Partnership for a new wireless tower off Kirk

2 Road. The town's opposition is the result of

3 listening to the residents in closest proximity to

the proposed tower. As you know, the town

5 initially suggested its own property at Laurel

6 View Country Club as an alternate location, and we

asked Cellco to hold a public meeting to hear from

the nearby residents about both those proposals.

9 Based on the input received at that meeting last

10 November, the town withdrew its proposed alternate

11 site and determined that the proposed tower is not

12 in the best interests of the neighborhood and the

13 town as a whole.

4

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I realize that this application proposes a more "traditional" wireless tower, but as Mayor, I have become increasingly concerned about the recent wave of alternative wireless technologies being proposed in our town. Based on recent rulings by PURA, Hamden now faces proposals for 120-foot towers in its rights-of-way, and the replacement or extension of existing utility poles, all to accommodate new data-driven technologies. While we understand these emerging technologies are inevitable, we are looking for statutory changes to clarify these issues to

ensure municipalities, like ours, are part of the deployment plan, not a casualty of it.

"Within this context, we oppose this new tower. I am aware that the granting of the certificate sought by Cellco/Verizon requires a finding by the Siting Council that the public need for the tower outweighs any environmental or other community impact. Because neither the town nor its residents have the engineering capability to refute Cellco's claimed "need" for this tower, we rely on your expertise to determine its veracity. What we can communicate to you is that we believe there are other alternative solutions that should be considered, and the town should be heard in consideration of such alternatives.

"For example, we believe the location of the tower and its associated compound should be moved significantly away from existing residences, and alternative locations for the access road must be considered. We also believe the overall height of this tower should be examined to mitigate its visual impact. While we leave it to you to determine whether this tower is needed at all, if you determine the tower is "needed," we believe there are alternate designs that would mitigate

its impact. 1 "Thank you for considering these 2 remarks. Without more, the Town of Hamden urges 3 your rejection of this application, and we remain 4 5 available for input as you continue to deliberate this application. 6 7 "Sincerely, Curt Balzano Leng, Mayor of the Town of Hamden." 8 9 If you would like copies, to whom would 10 I --11 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 12 Are there any other public officials who wish to speak? 13 14 (No response.) 15 THE CHAIRMAN: If not, we'll go to the 16 I apologize if I mispronounce your name. 17 The first person, Melissa, is it Gamm? 18 MELISSA GAMM: Gamm, G-A-M-M. 19 THE CHAIRMAN: And please spell your 20 name for the stenographer. MELISSA GAMM: Melissa Gamm. 21 G-A-M-Mis my last name. I live at 217 Magee Drive, which 22 23 is on the back side of Joseph's Tree Farm. On the 24 upper end of Magee Drive is where I live. It's a

few hundred yards from where you're proposing the

tower, or the tower is being proposed.

My husband and I have lived in this
beautiful neighborhood for 34 years, and we've
raised a family here. My daughter, son, and
grandson still live with us. I'm proud of where I
live. I know and love my neighbors. We talk to
each other. We look out for each other. I bought
trees at the Christmas tree farm. I know the
Vignolas. I never thought it would come to this.
And I'm very sad. I'm very opposed to this.

This is a densely-populated neighborhood. I'm sure you saw from your walk-through that there are a lot of families close to each other in this neighborhood. The tower will be an eyesore to us, and a detriment to our property values.

Worst of all, though, I am very concerned about the health risks of having this tower in such a densely-populated area. The microwaves that come off this tower can travel a good distance, I've read as far as 2-and-a-half miles. I do not want this exposure for my family, or families in my neighborhood, nor do I want the exposure for the kids at Bear Path School, which is less than a quarter of a mile away from where

- this is proposed. And I want you to think about that. Kids, their bodies are forming. You've got these microwaves coming off this tower at a time when they're developing, and I do not think that's
- 5 a good thing. It's a risk for all of us.
- I would also like to say that I'm a

  Verizon customer. I've been a Verizon customer

  for a very long time. I have never had a problem

  with cell service in my neighborhood, ever. I

  don't know where the problem is. So that's what I
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The next
  13 person on the list is Mike Luzzi, Senior.

have to say. Thank you.

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MICHAEL LUZZI: Good evening. The last
  name is Luzzi, L-U-Z-Z-I. And I reside at 1233
  Dunbar Hill Road.
  - Thank you. I'm here tonight opposing the tower going up. I do not live directly. I do not have an impact as far as my home, my area, but my concern is an environmental impact that it will have on the neighbors in the area.
  - Probably 20 years ago Verizon was looking to put a tower in the north end of Hamden. I was president of the Hamden Fish and Game for many years. I sat on the board. I'm currently

- 1 past president. They came to us with a proposal.
- 2 I came before the Siting Council many years ago.
- 3 The reason, again, was there was no cell coverage
- 4 in the northern part of Hamden, and this would
- 5 solve the problem. In doing so, the police chief
- and the fire chief at the time had signed a letter
- 7 that they would get a position on that tower with
- 8 no charge.
- 9 So the tower went up. But it's erected
- 10 in the woods, hard cover, there's no houses
- 11 around. It's 150 feet. Went through the gamut,
- 12 flew the balloon, came to these meetings. So with
- that being said, there is coverage in the north
- 14 end. I believe I heard Mr. Sharkey say there's an
- 15 alternative design that could be looked at. I
- 16 think that this Council should take a hard look at
- 17 it.
- 18 Again, the environmental impact it's
- 19 going to have on these residents is really not
- 20 good. I wouldn't want to look out my back window
- 21 and see a 50 by 50 compound. When they say a
- 22 compound, with a fence, with utilities, trucks
- 23 going in and out servicing it. It's just not a
- 24 good site for these residents. So, once again,
- 25 I'm opposed to this tower going up. Thank you.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Kristin Falls.

KRISTIN FALLS: Good evening. I'm

Kristin Falls. I live at 114 Sky View Circle.

And I'm here tonight to voice my strong opposition
to the cell phone tower proposal.

Like some of the other residents in town, I have Verizon cell phone service, and my cell phone coverage is perfect. As a matter of fact, it's better than your rival, AT&T, which my other family members have. I never have an issue with cell phone service in the neighborhood or surrounding area.

In addition, it's just simple common sense that erecting a 160-foot monopole tower in a shadow of a residential area is going to negatively affect property values. This is a beautiful part of Hamden. We have Laurel View Country Club. We live near Paradise Preserve. We have Gaylord and Bethany Mountain behind us. The people in this neighborhood take care of their property. We love our neighbors. We take care of them.

This is not the right spot for a cell phone tower. To put it in the middle of a residential area with families, young children,

1 the safety concerns, the environmental impact,

2 it's just not the right spot. I urge you to

consider an alternative site if in fact cell phone

coverage is needed to be improved in this area and

5 capacity is not sufficient for Verizon's needs.

6 There really should be, in my mind, a balancing of

the concerns and the needs of the residents of the

8 area, and also Verizon. And I just urge you to

9 consider the concerns and needs of the residents

10 in this area when you're making your decision.

Thank you.

3

4

7

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

13 Michael Luzzi.

14 MICHAEL LUZZI: Good evening. Last

15 name Luzzi, L-U-Z-Z-I. I'm a junior. 2340

16 Shepard Avenue, Hamden.

I also do not have a direct stake in this, but as my father stated before, and everyone else has said, the environmental impact, along with the area in which it's being proposed, I believe there's other alternatives in the Town of Hamden. At this point I believe that the concerns of the neighbors, along with the concerns of the party that's fighting the cell phone tower, are

valid, should be looked at further, and that there

should be alternative sites that are ultimately discovered at this point.

I feel as a graduate of Bear Path
School that the last thing I want to look at as a
kid is 160-foot cell phone tower while I'm outside
during recess. I grew up in the neighborhood. I
have many friends in the neighborhood. I spend a
lot of time there now with family and friends.
And I just don't want to go there and see that. I
hope the Council would come up with a better
alternative for the town and its residents. Thank
you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Elaine and Adam Thomas. You're both going to speak?

16 ELAINE THOMAS: We could do it
17 separately. I just wasn't sure.

Hi. Elaine and Adam Thomas. We're actually new to the neighborhood -- we're husband and wife -- at 38 Country Club Drive. And I think we have a lot of the same concerns that we just heard, property declining, that the value declining is quite a thing for us. We just hit our one-year anniversary in that house. The safety concerns of diesel coming through, the

tower possibly falling from storms, or whatever it may be, is another concern of ours being so close to the tower. Another concern that we have is the environmental impact that it will have, cutting through our dead end.

We chose that neighborhood to start a family there to send our children to Bear Path. It's a beautiful dead end backed up by a golf course, a Christmas tree farm. This is the last thing we had expected when moving into this section of town. We could have easily chose a more commercial section of town and saved ourselves the money up front. So that's a really big concern of ours. And I personally don't want my children running around where diesel trucks and commercial units are coming through. So that is what I would like to put on record that I strongly oppose this location.

ADAM THOMAS: I'd also like to add as a safety concern, I'm assuming nobody is actually guarding this facility, or watching out for this facility every day. So just going back to the kids, from anybody able to get into the area, yes, I know there's a gate, I know there's going to be fencing, but it doesn't prevent anybody from

- getting into there, especially kids who are very curious.
- You know, just backing what my wife

  said, you know, we searched for this house because
- it was the perfect neighborhood, great neighbors,
- 6 very good school system, and it was just a
- 7 no-brainer to live here, and in this town, and
- 8 everything available to us.
- 9 I'm just really saddened. I actually
- 10 work from home a considerable amount. I use my
- 11 cell phone for every call. I don't get any
- 12 dropped calls. So there's no coverage issues.
- 13 And I've lived around 400 feet from a cell tower,
- 14 so it's not a concern for me.
- 15 I'm just really saddened that a local
- 16 | business owner would even consider this, and
- 17 dropped our property value because of it, just
- 18 really sad. But thank you for allowing us to
- 19 speak today. Thank you.
- 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mark and
- 21 Teresa, is it Peterkin?
- 22 MARK PETERKIN: Mark Peterkin,
- 23 P-E-T-E-R-K-I-N, and my wife Teresa.
- So we own the property at 50 Country
- 25 Club Drive, which abuts the Christmas tree farm,

- which literally is where the cell phone tower will
- 2 be in our backyard, literally. We obviously
- 3 oppose a cell phone tower, and agree with all of
- 4 the objections from the former speakers, as well
- 5 as the mayor, which to me is a pretty strong
- 6 statement when you have the mayor, the mayor of
- 7 the town.
- 8 We, like the previous couple, just
- 9 moved in about a year ago. And yeah, it's pretty
- 10 shocking, pretty shocking that something like this
- 11 could even be considered in a residential
- 12 neighborhood. You know, honestly, I don't think
- we need to say a whole lot more than has already
- 14 been said. I don't even want to think about the
- idea of trying to sell a house that has a 160-foot
- 16 cell phone tower in the backyard. We are not
- 17 Verizon customers. And I can tell you right now,
- 18 we never will be at this rate.
- 19 So, yeah, we strongly, strongly,
- 20 strongly oppose this project. I know that
- 21 somebody mentioned alternative access sites. We
- 22 absolutely agree with that. But we feel that this
- 23 tower doesn't need to be in this area at all. So
- 24 thank you.
- 25 TERESA PETERKIN: Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. The next speaker, Richard Jaynes.

Also, if there are other people who want to speak, would you please sign up because we're getting near the end of those who have signed up. Thank you.

RICHARD JAYNES: Richard Jaynes, 13
Broken Arrow Road in Hamden.

And no, I don't live right next door to where the proposed cell tower is planned to go.

However, I am a Christmas tree grower. I've been growing trees in the Town of Hamden for over 70 years. I started as a 4-H'er when I was 11. And Joe Vignola is one of the only other tree growers in the Town of Hamden. We're really short of tree growers in New Haven County and Fairfield County. We can't meet the demand. And I see this as an opportunity for him and his family to perhaps continue growing Christmas trees, and to keep that 9 acres open.

And I had occasion several years ago to speak about a tower 800 feet tall up in our neighborhood. I was one of the few in the neighborhood that thought it was a fine thing. It was on 14 acres, that is 14 acres that's not in

- 1 houses. Now, mind you, I'm with Broken Arrow
- 2 Nursery, and we do rely on people who have houses,
- 3 but as a taxpayer in the Town of Hamden, my
- 4 understanding is that houses do not help the tax
- 5 base.
- 6 I'm also very disappointed, Attorney
- 7 Sharkey, that the town did not give serious
- 8 consideration to putting this tower on Hamden
- 9 land. It would have helped all the tax base to
- 10 have the income go to the town. I am probably one
- 11 of the few in here that doesn't carry a cell
- 12 phone, so I don't have a vested interest in
- 13 Verizon, or any other cell phone supplier.
- 14 The alternative, of course, for the
- 15 Vignolas is if things don't go well with the tree
- 16 | farm, they can develop it and put in houses, and
- 17 I'm not sure that's any better than a telephone
- 18 pole that's twice the height of the normal
- 19 telephone poles. We've gotten used to telephone
- 20 poles over the last 75 years, and cell phone
- 21 towers seem to be a necessity. I'm sure they
- 22 won't be around for too long, but I don't think it
- 23 would be proposed if it wasn't economical for the
- 24 corporation that's proposed it. Thank you.
- 25 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

1 Suzanne Rossotto.

2 SUZANNE ROSSOTTO: Good evening. I

3 have lived in this neighborhood --

4 THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me. Spell your

5 last name.

6 SUZANNE ROSSOTTO: My name is Suzanne

7 Rossotto, R-O-S-S-O-T-T-O.

I have lived in this neighborhood since

9 1959. My family owns three houses in this

10 neighborhood. I have lived there when

11 Mr. Vignola's uncle owned the farm and had horses.

12 And it was a very quaint area. And I walked to

13 Bear Path School, and was the first complete class

through Bear Path School, walking through a dirt

15 road.

14

16 I have watched the neighborhood

17 develop. And it's been very conscientious to make

18 it a wonderful residential area. I myself, and my

19 sister, stayed in the neighborhood, plus several

20 other neighbors, because of Bear Path School, and

21 all our children have gone to the school. I think

22 a cell tower of this magnitude is inappropriate on

23 the corner of Country Club Lane. I also think

24 that if you bring the traffic up through Kirk

25 Road, you're making a big mistake, because there

- 1 are trucks that come up there early in the morning
- 2 to bring Mr. Vignola large parcels of wood for his
- 3 firewood company. He cuts firewood and sells it.
- 4 And it's going to put lots of traffic on Kirk
- 5 Road, which has no sidewalks. There's elderly
- 6 that have lived in that neighborhood for 58 years
- 7 that walk their dogs, and the families and
- 8 neighbors are very much looking out for each
- 9 other. I think to increase traffic up there with
- 10 the school traffic would be very detrimental to
- 11 everyone. Thank you.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. David Simon.
- DAVID SIMON: I'm David Simon,
- 14 S-I-M-O-N. My wife, Elena, and I live at 11
- 15 Funaro Road. We've been there for 18 years.
- 16 We've put a lot of money into improving
- our property and our house. We just put siding on
- 18 our house. It was close to \$20,000. And when we
- 19 retire, if we want to sell the house, we hope
- 20 nothing would reduce the value after putting so
- 21 much into improving our house and our property.
- 22 Thank you.
- 23 THE CHAIRMAN: Maria Cornacchia.
- 24 MARIA CORNACCHIA: Hi. Maria
- 25 | Cornacchia. Do you want me to spell my last name?

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Please.

MARIA CORNACCHIA: C-O-R-N-A-C-C-H-I-A.

We live at 41 Country Club Drive. We've been

4 there for 28 years.

We are very upset about this. We had both invested our entire life savings into our home, and it's a shame that Verizon has decided to take a residential neighborhood and turn it into an industrial-like environment.

Country Club Drive is a very quiet street. Our house is right on a cul-de-sac. There are no sidewalks. Actually no one even comes down our street unless you live there. It's a very quiet street. Kids ride their bikes. People walk their dogs. We have wildlife and deer wandering the woods. Our entire neighborhood and natural habitat will be destroyed if this happens. A cell phone tower does not belong in a residential neighborhood. It's a no-brainer. And it's a shame that there are no local zoning laws against this.

And, most importantly, I'm concerned about the safety of our children. The safety of our children is being compromised, which worries me more than anything else. We have no sidewalks,

like I mentioned. The proposed gate that leads to
this tower is right next to my house. And my
concern is that children will be near enticing
fences, diesel generators, truck traffic, et
cetera. And for all these reasons I vote against
this -- we vote against this. We all vote against
this.

THE CHAIRMAN: Those are all the people who have signed the list. That's both the -- all the people who have signed the list. So the Council will certainly take all of your comments into consideration.

So we're now going to close the public comment portion of the hearing. The Council will continue the evidentiary portion of the hearing in New Britain on Tuesday, June 13th, obviously this year, at 1 p.m. So obviously anybody can attend that meeting, and there will be opportunity for the party to present their evidence, and also for cross-examination.

Again, please note that anyone who has not become a party, but who desires to make his or her views known to the Council, may file written statements with the Council until the record closes.

I did mention that we can't take direct questions, but I'll put this on the applicant. people have specific questions and want to ask the applicant after I close it, and hopefully some of you guys will stay around, is that acceptable? Copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed at the Hamden Town Clerk's Office. And I hereby declare this portion of this public hearing adjourned. Thank you all for your participation, and drive home safely. (Whereupon, the above proceedings adjourned at 7:38 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE

4	I hereby certify that the foregoing 29 pages
3	are a complete and accurate computer-aided
4	transcription of my original stenotype notes taken
5	of the Public Comment Session in Re: DOCKET NO.
6	471, CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS
7	APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL
8	COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE
9	CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF A
10	TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 208 KIRK
11	ROAD, HAMDEN, CONNECTICUT, which was held before
12	ROBERT STEIN, CHAIRMAN, at Memorial Town Hall,
13	2372 Whitney Avenue, Hamden, Connecticut, on May
14	2, 2017.

Lisa Wally

Lisa L. Warner, L.S.R., 061

Court Reporter