## In The Matter Of:

Homeland Towers, LLC and Cellco Partnership \

## PUBLIC HEARING August 2, 2016

BCT Reporting LLC PO Box 1774 Bristol, CT 06010 860.302.1876

Original File 16-08-02 - Part 01.txt

Min-U-Script®

•	ı	

1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
2	CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL
3	
4	
5	Docket No. 467
6	Homeland Towers, LLC and Cellco Partnership
7	d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a
8	Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and
9	Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and
10	operation of a telecommunications facility located
11	at 100 Pocono Road, Brookfield, Connecticut
12	
13	
14	Public Hearing held at the Brookfield Town
15	Hall, Room 133, 100 Pocono Road, Brookfield,
16	Connecticut, on Tuesday, August 2, 2016, beginning
17	at 3:01 p.m.
18	
19	
20	Held Before:
21	ROBERT STEIN, Chairman
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
2	
3	Council Members:
4	PHILIP T. ASHTON
5	ROBERT HANNON
6	MICHAEL HARDER
7	DR. MICHAEL W. KLEMENS
8	LARRY LEVESQUE, ESQ.
9	DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.
LO	
L1	Council Staff:
L2	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.
L3	Executive Director and
L <b>4</b>	Staff Attorney
L5	
L6	ROBERT MERCIER
L7	Siting Analyst
L8	
L9	For Homeland Towers, LLC and Cellco
20	Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless:
21	ROBINSON & COLE LLP
22	280 Trumbull Street
23	Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3597
24	BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQ.
25	

THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I call to order a meeting of the Connecticut Siting Council today, Tuesday, August 2, 2016 at 3 p.m. My name is Robin Stein. I'm chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council.

Other members of the Council who are present: Mr. Hannon, designee from the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, Mr. Levesque, designee from the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, Mr. Ashton, Dr. Klemens, Mr. Harder and Mr. Lynch. Members of the staff present are Executive Director Melanie Bachman and also Robert Mercier, our siting analyst.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Homeland Towers, LLC and Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 100 Pocono Road, Brookfield, Connecticut. This application was received by the Council on June 6, 2016.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record

communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

The parties of the proceeding in this case is the applicant, and Attorney Baldwin is representing Homeland Towers.

We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available to my right near the door. Also available are copies of the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures. At the end of the afternoon evidentiary session, we will recess and resume again at 7 p.m. for the public comment session.

The 7 p.m. public comment session will be reserved for the public to make brief oral statements into the record. I wish to note for those who are here and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof; and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited with the town clerk's

- office in Brookfield for the convenience of the public.
- I'd like to start off by asking if the
  First Selectman, Mr. Dunn, would like to comment?
- 5 STEPHEN DUNN: I'm Steve Dunn. I'm the 6 first selectman of Brookfield, Connecticut. I'm 7 here on behalf of the Town of Brookfield.
  - The Town of Brookfield is in favor of this application. It will help us with our fire and police. We'll get a radio mast at the top of the cell tower for both of our fire and police.
- 12 It's something we've been looking forward to since 13 we began these discussions a couple of years ago.
- 14 And we think overall it would be a very very good 15 thing for all the residents of our town.
  - So I thank you for coming. I thank you for seeing us and moving forward with this. Thank you very much.
- 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

- 20 Am I also correct that the fire marshal 21 would also like to speak?
- WAYNE GRAVIUS: My name is Wayne

  Gravius, chief of the fire department. We're just

  here to support it. We think it will be a great

  improvement to what we have. We're just looking

- 1 forward to getting it done and start using it.
- 2 Nobody had any questions from the fire department.
- 3 They are all aware of what's going on, and we all
- 4 support it.
- 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- Any other public official wish to
- 7 speak?
- JAMES PURCELL: I'm Major James Purcell
- 9 with the police department. I manage the town's
- 10 emergency communications center.
- 11 We've been working with Homeland Towers
- 12 since they originally proposed placing the tower
- in the back of the firehouse over at 100 Pocono
- 14 Road. The tower position placement and so forth
- 15 will greatly facilitate us as we move from analog
- 16 to digital communications. It will also
- 17 facilitate us with microwave communication from
- 18 our station to the tower and to the transmitter on
- 19 Carmen Hill Road. We currently don't have a good
- 20 site line on that right now, and this would
- 21 facilitate that.
- So we're very much in favor of the
- 23 tower position and what it offers us in terms of
- 24 improving our communications townwide, both
- 25 police, fire, ambulance and public works, and we

- 1 hope to add our schools as well. And so the
- 2 position of that tower will enable us to do that
- 3 as well as provide a back-up transmitter site. We
- 4 have a transmitter on Carmen Hill Road now. We're
- off the top of the firehouse building, a much
- 6 lower position, less effective. This would be far
- 7 more effective. So we're in favor of it.
- 8 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. If there is
- 9 no other public comment, I'd like to call your
- 10 attention to those items shown on the hearing
- 11 program marked as Roman Numeral ID, Items 1
- 12 through 67.
- Does the applicant have any objection
- 14 to the items that the Council has administratively
- 15 noticed?
- 16 MR. BALDWIN: No, Mr. Chairman.
- 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Accordingly, the Council
- 18 hereby administratively notices these existing
- 19 documents, statements and comments.
- 20 And now, Attorney Baldwin, will you
- 21 present your witness panel for the purposes of
- 22 taking the oath?
- MR. BALDWIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Good
- 24 afternoon. Our witness panel today consists of
- 25 | four members. To my left and your right is Mike

- 1 Libertine with All-Points Technology Corporation.
- 2 To my immediate left is Maria Montrose, a radio
- 3 frequency engineer with Verizon Wireless, a new
- 4 face for the Council, but Maria has been doing
- 5 this for quite sometime, as long as I can
- 6 remember. To my right is Ray Vergati. Ray is
- 7 with Homeland Towers, as you know. And then to
- 8 Ray's right is Bob Burns, also with All-Points
- 9 Technology, our professional engineer from the
- 10 project. I would offer them to be sworn at this
- 11 time, Mr. Chairman.
- 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Please rise for swearing
- 13 in.
- 14 ROBERT C. BURNS,
- 15 MICHAEL LIBERTINE,
- 16 MARIA MONTROSE,
- 17 RAYMOND VERGATI,
- 18 called as witnesses, being first duly sworn
- 19 by Ms. Bachman, were examined and testified
- on their oaths as follows:
- MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, we have
- 22 four exhibits to offer this afternoon, and they're
- 23 listed in the hearing program under Roman II,
- 24 Subsection B, Items 1 through 4. They include the
- 25 application itself with the associated bulk file

```
exhibits; our signed posting affidavit; our
1
    responses to the Council's interrogatories; and
2
    most recently filed last week, our affidavit of
3
    publication regarding the notice of our intent to
4
5
    file the application here in Brookfield. And I
    offer them for identification purposes at this
6
7
    time, subject to verification by my witnesses.
8
               So if I could ask the witnesses, did
9
    you prepare or assist in the preparation of the
    exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman
10
    II, B, Items 1 through 4. Mr. Libertine?
11
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, I did.
12
13
               MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Montrose?
               THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes, I did.
14
15
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?
16
               THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes, I did.
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Burns?
17
18
               THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, I did.
               MR. BALDWIN: And do you have any
19
    corrections, modifications or amendments to offer
20
    to any of those exhibits at this time?
21
    Mr. Libertine?
22
23
               THE WITNESS (Libertine):
24
               MR. BALDWIN:
                             Ms. Montrose?
25
               THE WITNESS (Montrose):
                                         No.
```

```
1
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?
               THE WITNESS (Vergati): I do not.
2
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Burns?
3
               THE WITNESS (Burns): No.
4
               MR. BALDWIN: And is the information
5
    contained in those exhibits true and accurate to
6
7
    the best of your knowledge? Mr. Libertine?
8
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
9
               MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Montrose?
               THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes, it is.
10
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?
11
12
               THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes, it is.
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Burns?
13
               THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, it is.
14
15
               MR. BALDWIN: And do you adopt the
    information in those exhibits as your testimony
16
    today? Mr. Libertine?
17
18
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): I do.
19
               MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Montrose?
               THE WITNESS (Montrose): I do.
20
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?
21
22
               THE WITNESS (Vergati): I do.
23
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Burns?
24
               THE WITNESS (Burns): I do.
25
               MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer
```

them as full exhibits.

THE CHAIRMAN: We don't have any party or intervenor to object, so the exhibits are admitted.

5 (Applicant's Exhibits II-B-1-4: 6 Received in evidence - described in index.)

THE CHAIRMAN: And we'll now begin cross-examination with Mr. Mercier. Staff.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I'll just begin with some of the items that we discussed today on the field review. I guess one of the items that was discussed was the distance to the nearest property line, I believe, Mr. Burns, you stated was 128 feet, which was stated on one of the plans included in the application. Another plan on the application does show a distance of 146 feet. So I was just wondering if you could clarify why does the abutter's map list it a little bit farther away from the nearest property line. So I just want to make sure.

THE WITNESS (Burns): The nearest property line to the tower, it's 128 feet from the tower to the property to the south, and the fence is 100 feet from that property line.

MR. MERCIER: Now, at the field review
you mentioned a 100-foot restriction. Can you
just mention what the -- was that a town
requirement to have 100 feet from the nearest
property line before the fence, or is that
something Homeland came up with?

that Homeland had uncovered when we completed our title search on the property. I believe that corner house, possibly on the address of 88 Pocono Road, may have deeded this land to the town or sold it to the town, and there's a restriction of 100-foot setback of any structures from the property line. So when we designed the tower, we wanted to make sure that we were in compliance with that setback.

MR. MERCIER: There was also discussion as to why the location within the materials storage area, I'll call it, for the town was selected, maybe not another area farther away from the property, the abutting property at 88. Would you please discuss that?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes. Ray with Homeland Towers. In my initial discussions and meetings with the town going back as far as

- 1 November of 2011 and meeting with many town
- 2 officials at the site, prior First Selectman Bill
- 3 Davidson, the police, fire and EMS, we looked at
- 4 the DPW yard which made the most sense for the
- 5 town's location as well. We did not want to go
- 6 further north toward existing athletic fields.
- 7 And the town felt, as well as Homeland, and
- 8 looking at it from the siting perspective, we felt
- 9 this was the most appropriate place. We have very
- 10 good setbacks and screening with the tower being
- in the existing yard that's there right now.
- 12 MR. MERCIER: Is there any thought
- 13 moving it further west -- I'm not sure what that
- 14 part of the property is used for -- towards the
- 15 Route 7 corridor?
- 16 THE WITNESS (Vergati): We've had that
- 17 discussion with the town, and I've spoken to Ralph
- 18 Tedesco in charge of public works. And Ralph has
- 19 stated where we are currently tucked in the corner
- 20 is the best location for their operations. The
- 21 town has I believe leaf drop off and debris that
- 22 they use that part of the west side of the
- 23 property more actively for the truck turnarounds
- 24 and for storage and pilings.
- 25 MR. MERCIER: Okay. So that area

further west is not vacant, it's actually used for
other town operations?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

that?

- THE WITNESS (Vergati): It is. As a matter of fact, when we were at the site visit today I noticed a car pulling in and dumping off some type of leaf or some type of debris there.
- MR. MERCIER: At the field review there was also discussed that a propane generator would be installed with the associated tank. I just saw in Interrogatory 11 you mention a diesel generator. Would you please clarify what type of emergency power will be used at the site?

  THE WITNESS (Burns): The generator
- that Verizon is using on site will be a 10-kW propane-fired generator.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: A question from 17 Mr. Lynch.
- MR. LYNCH: Just one quick question to
  get out of the way, Mr. Vergati. In the past when
  you've used propane you've had 1,000-gallon tank.

  Now it's down to 500. Is there any reason for
- Now it's down to 500. Is there any reason for
- THE WITNESS (Vergati): I'll defer that question to Bob Burns.
- 25 THE WITNESS (Burns): In the past

they've had a much larger generator on site. This generator will only be 10 kW as opposed to 50 kWs they put on in the past.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you. I just wanted to get that out of the way.

THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Klemens.

DR. KLEMENS: And does that mean that actually the capacity of this generator couldn't potentially be used for other carriers to come or for the town, is this the reason the tank is small -- the generator is small -- preclude the ability to have a shared generator for people coming in the future, or could you get a bigger tank in and a bigger generator? And that's just something that the Council likes to see shared.

THE WITNESS (Burns): The small generator currently shown within the set is for Verizon's use only, and the propane tank is to power that generator.

DR. KLEMENS: So if you had other carriers coming on, they'd have to provide their own generators, or could the propane tank and generator be removed and something larger be put there?

THE WITNESS (Burns): They would have

- 1 to provide their own generators. As far as shared
- 2 generators, I'd defer to Homeland for that, but
- 3 typically they would bring in their own
- 4 generators, and the 500-gallon propane tank is to
- 5 fuel that generator.
- DR. KLEMENS: It seems like we're
- 7 moving a little bit backwards from the progress
- 8 we've made in the past on this matter where we've
- 9 encouraged the use of shared generators on these
- 10 facilities. Maybe someone could comment on that.
- 11 THE CHAIRMAN: The Chairman might if
- 12 they won't, but I would just second that. You
- 13 actually stole my thunder, but that's all right.
- DR. KLEMENS: I'm sorry.
- THE CHAIRMAN: But, yeah, I was
- 16 disappointed that we're getting that kind of a
- 17 response after it's now been over a year that
- 18 we've been really trying to move in the other
- 19 direction.
- Mr. Lynch.
- MR. LYNCH: Seeing that we're still on
- 22 this subject, I might as well beat a dead horse.
- 23 I notice that the town and their municipal
- 24 equipment will not have a back-up system. Would
- 25 you let them tie into your -- or could they tie

into your generator?

1

- THE WITNESS (Burns): The way the
- 3 generator is currently sized, they couldn't tie
- 4 in. There is space within the compound for a
- 5 larger propane tank, but as far as a shared
- 6 generator, that generator that's being specified
- 7 there is not for that purpose.
- 8 MR. LYNCH: In your discussion though,
- 9 is there a reason the town decided not to have
- 10 back-up power?
- 11 THE WITNESS (Vergati): In my
- 12 discussions with the town to this date, they have
- 13 not confirmed what type of back-up generation they
- 14 would look to use, be it either a generator or
- 15 batteries, propane, diesel.
- 16 MR. LYNCH: Would the installation of a
- 17 dish microwave need more power from a generator?
- 18 MR. BALDWIN: I'm not sure. Perhaps
- 19 that's something we can explore.
- MR. LYNCH: Well, not being an
- 21 engineer, I'll wait for my good friend,
- 22 Mr. Ashton, to tell me what to think, how much
- 23 power they may or may not use. It just came to my
- 24 head. Seeing that there are going to be
- 25 microwaves installed on the tower, my only

question is, not being an engineer, does that require more than regular antennas?

THE WITNESS (Burns): The generator that's there is backup for the radio equipment, not necessarily the antennas and the microwave dishes.

MR. LYNCH: Well, I'm going to the fact that if the town decided in the future that they may want to be part of your emergency back-up system, would there be enough power there for both of you?

MR. BALDWIN: If I might, Mr. Chairman, this is the first time that Verizon/Homeland has been before the Council with the new configuration of its equipment. There is no shelter, there are no air-conditioning units, so the emergency back-up power needs are not what they were when the shelters were being installed at cell sites, hence, the smaller back-up generator to power its equipment in this location.

I think our answer to the question from the Council is consistent with what we've said before, there's no interest, that we are aware of, that the town wants to install any back-up power there. Could a back-up generator be installed that would power both the town's equipment and Verizon's? Sure. And Homeland's position is what it has been, I think, for some time. And if there's a desire on behalf of the town to install some type of back-up generator at this cell site, there's certainly enough room within the compound to do that. And it's something we'd have to discuss with the town as to how it gets there, how it's maintained. But I just want to give you that background on the new smaller generator that Verizon is installing.

THE CHAIRMAN: That almost suggests that it would be a lot easier to have a shared generator. If you're getting a smaller, more efficient generator, you don't need that space. I'd suggest the technology is moving in that direction. It would certainly be feasible.

I would certainly hope -- and I don't know if you need the answer before dinner -- but we certainly need an answer before D&M which is for us -- well, for this layperson it does not seem to make any sense at the very least that the generator, you wouldn't find a way to share it with the town. It just -- but just advise.

And I guess I can't put nods on the record, but it seems like that is something that the Council would find it very much remiss if we didn't explore that, and if the town is interested in that, you wouldn't find a way to make it happen. But I think --

Mr. Ashton.

MR. ASHTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we're likely to trip over our own shoe laces if we try and design a system here. I am very sympathetic to the concept of a single back-up emergency generator. And I think the applicant is correct in saying that for the size of the system they are proposing, the 10-kW 500-gallon system would work.

Now what is not clear, and it's not in the record, to my knowledge, is whether or not the town already has some back-up capability at the fire station that they may be able to draw upon, or whether this is an opportunity for them to go piggyback on a single generator.

I caution the Council that if the town decides to get under the back-up machine, as proposed, currently proposed, it could be substantially more because it's not only for RF

1 needs, and that includes the microwave band, but

2 if I were the chief of police, the fire chief or

first selectman and an opportunity came for a

4 back-up system, I would want to put in some

5 lighting in the building, as well as RF and things

6 like that, so the system, the system, can truly be

7 backed up in toto. It may well be that coming out

8 with that kind of study, the answer would show

9 that instead of 10 kW, you could be looking at 100

10 kW, and that's another question.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While I'm very sympathetic to this idea of a single back-up, I think that for the Council to dictate it gets a bit sticky. And I would suggest to both the applicant and to the town that if this is something that they truly want to pursue, they get together around the corner and do it. It's not comely for the Siting Council to try to design a back-up system. We don't know your needs, and we don't know what the current problems are.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. HANNON: I just have a follow-up question. So if, for example, there are other carriers that come in the future and you're not doing the shared generator, then is there room for

both a generator and a propane tank or some type

of diesel fuel back-up supply within the site?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.

MR. HANNON: I'm looking at the layout right now, and I'm trying to figure out where you could put in those other units because it doesn't look like there's a whole lot of room, as a matter of fact, it looks like about the only place you could do it is almost by -- actually it looks like it's outside the fence line. So I'm just kind of curious where you would get the additional generators and/or propane tanks.

THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. I think
there's two points here. First, the lease area is
bigger than the compound, so there's room for
expansion of the fenced-in area. In addition,
what we're showing for future carriers, we're
showing 12 by 20-foot shelters where many of these
carriers are moving away from shelters and
actually shrinking their footprint.

So I think that in the future there could be room. Will the configuration be exactly what's shown here from a future carrier basis? It kind of depends on what they're coming in with for equipment at the time.

- 1 MR. HANNON: Okay.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Mercier, back to
- 3 you.
- 4 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I just have a
- 5 question regarding Cellco's footprint here. I see
- 6 the large platform you'll be installing, but it's
- 7 not really fully utilized. Is there any reason
- 8 why you have so much extra space for a small
- 9 amount of equipment?
- 10 THE WITNESS (Montrose): The reason for
- 11 the additional space there is for the possibility
- 12 of future expansion. That leaves us a little more
- 13 room up on the platform for any additional
- 14 antennas or future growth.
- 15 MR. MERCIER: So you would just add
- 16 cabinets in a linear fashion rather than, let's
- 17 say, rows?
- 18 THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes, depending
- 19 on what we need, yes.
- 20 MR. MERCIER: Okay. One thing I
- 21 noticed on the platform, and it was also discussed
- 22 in the field, was a type of battery cabinet. How
- 23 does the battery interplay with the generator?
- 24 Does the battery go on first for emergency power,
- 25 or the emergency power battery or --

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Actually in the event that we do lose commercial power and the generator does not kick on, the batteries are actually there, and the batteries will give you a short-term in the interim until commercial power is restored again.

MR. MERCIER: I'm just curious why the generator wouldn't kick on. Is that an issue you've had in the past?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): We have had instances where it goes to kick on and actually fails. So we have had instances where we didn't have enough battery back-up time and we have lost total communications at the site. So it's just something that we kind of keep in mind, so double redundancy.

MR. MERCIER: Sure. Thank you.

Staying with the field review, there was discussion regarding a utility easement that would extend along the south side of the firehouse through some lawn area. I saw some trees there. Are any of the trees going to be removed for the utilities?

THE WITNESS (Burns): No, there's sufficient area there that we can get in and out

without impacting the trees.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.

Mr. Libertine, will you please describe the balloon fly that occurred at the field review today?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Certainly.

We've had a 4-foot helium-filled balloon. It's been red. We've had two up today since about quarter to 8 this morning. We've had very favorable winds. It's been low-wind conditions.

About 15 minutes or so before the field walk, or maybe about half an hour or so, about 1:30, we swapped the balloon out because it had started to fall a little bit, having been up four or five hours. Winds picked up a little bit for just prior to the field walk, but they're running anywhere from about two to five miles an hour today, so it's been a good day.

Visibility has been overall pretty good. There have been some low clouds. We did have some showers this morning. But we will have it up until 6 o'clock tonight. And it is tethered at a full 125 feet of string -- I'm sorry 150, as I said, out in the field. There's also 25 feet above -- 20 feet for the whip antennas, but those

are not represented today. So we just added the full height of the monopole, 150 feet.

MR. MERCIER: Would you please mention why it was not flown at 175?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We typically don't like to -- actually if we had had a supply of white balloons, which they're not making right now, I was going to tether one above. But our experience has been the whip antennas, because they're usually about in the 2-inch diameter range, once those are up and you start to get back from the monopole anywhere from about an eighth of a mile to perhaps a quarter of mile or so, they tend to blend into the background on any day, whether you have a blue bird sky or gray conditions like today.

So from an overall visibility standpoint they really don't have the type of impact. And in this condition or in this particular situation you really don't see them much beyond the boundary of the property and the road, Pocono Road. So we felt as though it would be a little misleading to show 175 feet with that bright red 4-foot balloon.

MR. MERCIER: Staying with visibility,

I'm just going to refer to the abutters' map and just ask for your opinion on what the visibility would be from the adjacent properties beginning, I guess, with number 88 Pocono. That's due south of the property -- actually 82 is due south of the tower, but 88 is a little bit southeast. It's a residential structure.

Would you please describe what potentially that residence would be able to view?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Sure. As you probably saw when you were out there, there are some tree lines primarily along the south side and then again to the east side. To the south, I think those views are going to be -- well, from the yard itself you're going to have spotty year-round views. Once the leaves are off the trees that will open up a little bit. Its proximity to the pole itself lends itself so that there will be some fairly prominent views.

I will also say that right now that they are also at this point in time viewing through the trees the fire station and some of the activities that are going on in the DPW yard.

There are some coniferous trees there are going to lend itself to blocking certainly the lower

- portions, but at 150 feet I think year-round at least the very top of the tower is going to be visible from those locations.
- 4 MR. MERCIER: You say "very top." Are you thinking of upper 30 feet?
- THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'd say, 6 7 yeah, 30 to 50 feet, depending upon where you're 8 standing. There's also a slight berm that's in 9 that area that will help hide certainly the lower 10 portions of the compound which is set down lower. So that's why I think what I would say the upper 11 third of the tower or so might be visible from 12 some locations. 13
- THE CHAIRMAN: Dr. Klemens, do you have a question?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- DR. KLEMENS: Just to follow up on this, I'm looking at the site plan. Is this trench going to be done in a manner that's not going to -- there's some trees that seem to be right in or close. Are they going to lose trees in the trenching of this utility, some of those trees that are providing a screening, or is it just my misreading of the plans?
- 24 THE WITNESS (Burns): There should be 25 sufficient room to get through there without any

- 1 impact on the trees.
- DR. KLEMENS: But not definitely. I'd
- 3 prefer a definite answer since trees are
- 4 important.
- 5 THE WITNESS (Burns): I would say that
- 6 they will be able to install this without
- 7 impacting the trees.
- B DR. KLEMENS: Thank you.
- 9 THE WITNESS (Libertine): The idea is
- 10 to stay outside of any of the drip line, which
- 11 would be representative of the root zone as well.
- 12 That would be the ideal.
- 13 MR. MERCIER: Right across from the
- 14 easement origin point that's 81 Pocono Road. Do
- 15 you have any thoughts of what the visibility would
- 16 be from that property? That's right down the
- 17 easement line, although the trees are going to
- 18 remain in place that are there.
- 19 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, you
- 20 have trees on both sides of the road there. I
- 21 think it's going to be somewhat similar, albeit
- 22 we're a little bit further away, but again, I
- 23 think, again, the grade drops to our tower, so
- 24 that upper portion of the facility at certain
- 25 times of the year, and depending upon where you're

standing, certainly there will be some visibility of it.

MR. MERCIER: And then further, I guess, northeast there's 101, I think, 103. I can't read the plan numbers clearly. Do you have any sense of the residence as you go towards the town hall?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think increasingly as you head north from what we'll call the easement area at the southern corner of the property, we have advantages and disadvantages there. We start to lose some tree cover because of the openness of the road. What we do gain is the fact that the building itself will help to screen, again, portions of that lower part of the tower. As we start to move a little bit further north, there's a line of trees, again, that kind of line the driveway that will help to effectively screen lower portions.

But we have several pictures in the visibility report, and I think it's clear that there are locations out along Pocono Road where there's fairly open direct views towards certainly the tower site proper, not necessarily the base level, but certainly that upper level. So I think

it's unavoidable that there's going to be intermittent views along the road.

We actually in one spot I believe -- I want to say it's photos 10 and 11. Let me just double check that. We did the side-by-side comparison just to more or less get an idea of how the views kind of drop in and out as you're going along the road, again, taking advantage of certain vegetation and trees that are there today.

So I think it's fairly well represented what I'll call the nearest views within a quarter mile or so. It's just a function of the tower being 150 feet and only having so many trees.

We've got soccer fields and other open areas that kind of provide that open vista.

Although they're a little bit further away, you also have some views of some of the overhead structures associated with transmission lines in the area. And I think that gives you an idea of the broadness of the valley, and when you're in it how you have some of those views, primarily looking back towards the south from the north or the northeast.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): You're

welcome.

MR. MERCIER: Now, in regards to the proposed wireless services that Cellco will be installing, I read Interrogatories 2 and 3, and I'm not sure if you're going to be installing an 850 megahertz band on this site. The plots that are in the application in one of the responses says you're not going to in the immediate future or at all? I'm not sure.

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Correct.

Currently we are not going to install the 850

portion of that. That's going to be reserved for

future growth for our LTE deployment. Currently

we have CDMA services running on our 850 spectrum,

which we are not proposing to install at this

time, which is older 3G services. We will be

proceeding with all of our new LTE 4G services and

then grow into the future 850 portion of the

spectrum with the new technology.

MR. MERCIER: Now, is that years out you're anticipating or --

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Probably, yes.

I'm sure they're looking at developing that

portion of the spectrum, but it is currently in

use, so it's not as easy as flipping a switch. So

1 yes, it will be for future use down the road.

MR. MERCIER: So for this site the 700 would serve as, say, the base of the network?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes.

MR. MERCIER: And then the other two bands you'd be installing would kind of supplement that?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Correct. They are for additional capacity overlayed onto the 700, which is the base.

MR. LYNCH: Just as a follow-up, so the 700 will be carrying voice?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes, sir. We do offer voice over the LTE service, Voice Over IP. So yes we do get traditional voice service on the LTE technology as well.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you.

MR. MERCIER: Now, in the interrogatories I did ask about the utilization of small cells, and it was explained that you need a macro solution. Looking at the proposed 700 megahertz system coverage plots provided in the application, there are some areas that are not being covered by adjacent sites as well as the proposed site. Now, would those locations

potentially be small cell or microcell locations?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): They can potentially be served by a small cell deployment or a shorter macro site. Obviously what we're proposing here has such a large footprint that if we tried to address that coverage need with small cells, we would have to put in a tremendous amount because the small cells cover roughly about a half a mile. So in order to daisy chain that many of them in order to cover that large of an area, it's a very substantial deployment.

MR. MERCIER: So once this site goes on air, does Cellco go out and evaluate these actual remaining gaps, I'll call them, and then determine whether that it would be suitable or --

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes, we do.

After the site goes live, our performance
engineers actually go out and drive it -- do test
quality measurements, as well as see how far the
coverage footprint goes out there, what areas are
actually unserved, and bring that information back
to me so that I can evaluate it and see what other
candidates are out there that we could propose to
fill in those small gaps.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I have no

- 1 other questions. Thank you.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- I guess we'll start with this side,
- 4 Mr. Hannon.
- 5 MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 I just basically have one question. I know
- 7 that -- let's see, behind tab 1 of the site plan
- 8 it looks as though to the southwestern corner it
- 9 looks like the fence itself is up on the plateau,
- 10 but the proposed erosion control measure cuts into
- 11 that embankment. I'm just wondering if that in
- 12 and of itself isn't going to create a problem and
- 13 there's a way to kind of move the silt fence or
- 14 some other type of material up a little bit higher
- 15 so it's more towards the crest of the hill rather
- 16 than coming in in the middle of the hill?
- 17 THE WITNESS (Burns): The proposed
- 18 fence is actually at the toe of that slope, and
- 19 the silt fence is at the western and southern side
- 20 of the compound. So the fire station side does
- 21 not have silt fence on it.
- 22 MR. HANNON: If it's the southwest
- 23 corner, I think it has -- you've got the fence
- 24 which is up --

- The southwest corner is at the elevation of the
  DPW yard, and then it drops off significantly from
  there.
- MR. HANNON: Right. And what my 4 5 comment is is the way the silt fence is proposed, it's cutting about halfway into that embankment. 6 7 And I'm just wondering if there's a way to sort of reconfigure, whether it's silt fence or some other 8 9 material that's used, so that it's closer to the top of the slope instead of halfway down the 10 slope? Why disturb it if you don't have to. 11
  - THE WITNESS (Burns): Correct. It certainly could be tightened up and maybe some wattles along the edges, yes.
    - MR. HANNON: I have no other questions.
- 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Harder?
- MR. HARDER: No questions.
- 18 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ashton?
- 19 MR. ASHTON: I'm afraid, Mr. Chairman,
- 20 I've been doing what I don't like to see done
- 21 here, I've been doing a little engineering on the
- 22 spot.

12

13

14

- Going back to the question of back-up
- 24 power, the application does refer to 10-kW. It
- does not give the number of phases or the voltage

of the power supply. Do we know what they are?

Are they 3-phase backup, 240 volts, 440?

3 THE WITNESS (Burns): We certainly can 4 get you that information. Currently I don't have

5 that.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ASHTON: The reason for it is that -- and I apologize again for my engineering here. If the town chose to use this as a time to back up its own system, putting a generator out at the tower or putting a generator in here in the corner of the fire building means that there's a fair distance to go at a low voltage, and that gives you voltage problems and so on. I'm not going to bore you with it. If the bulk of the load is in the -- I'm assuming the town wants to go forward with this. If the bulk of the load is in the fire building, then probably it would make sense to put the back-up generator in the fire building so it's accessible year round in all weather, which should help a little bit, and there'd be less load to carry to the tower. means though that the applicant has got to do some engineering as to what kind of voltages it needs.

It's an intriguing thought, and I frankly would like to see it happen. We cooperate

```
on a tower. We have multiple carriers occupying a
1
    common tower. And I fail to see at all why it is
2
3
    not possible, may even practical, to have a single
    back-up system for the electronics. And I'll let
4
    it go at that.
5
               The application specifies that there
6
7
    are four houses within 1,000-foot radius. Mike, I
8
    guess I'm looking at you. Are those, 81, 88 and
9
    then the ones going north from 81 -- now, 81, as I
    looked at it, is derelict. Is that counted in
10
    there?
11
12
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): It is counted
13
    as a structure, yes.
               MR. ASHTON: I don't think anybody is
14
15
    living in it. It sure looks overgrown.
16
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, it does
    not look like it's --
17
18
               MR. ASHTON: So it's really three-plus
    houses?
19
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): Right.
20
               MR. ASHTON: How far away from the
21
    state listed box turtle is the tower, any idea?
22
23
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, the
```

24

25

actual --

MR. ASHTON:

I know turtles can go

- anywhere at any time whenever they want. But their risk, how much distance do they normally get?
- THE WITNESS (Libertine): We probably 4 5 have quite a buffer from the habitat that they're likely to be using. The area of corridor is quite 6 7 aways away across Route 7. There are two man-made features within a few hundred feet of our 8 9 proposal. One is a drainage ditch to the west, 10 and then there's another that's out to the east, some type of a storm water basin that was 11 12 constructed.
  - Is it possible they could be using habitat around there? I suppose it's possible, but I think the reality is that the DEP tends to be fairly conservative in their approach, and I think they know that there are records in the general area. And so we did get -- or Homeland received a letter indicating that there are known turtles in the area.
- MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Nothing
  further, Mr. Chairman.
- Good luck.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 25 Mr. Lynch.

MR. LYNCH: One of the good advantages of going towards the end, a lot of the questions have been answered. But I've got a couple that I'll put toward you. And this is the first docket we've had in a while that actually deals with coverage and not capacity. And my question to you is about capacity. And the sound surrounding cell sites, as they offload, how will that offloading of the other sites impact this site and its coverage, if it does at all? 

Ms. Montrose, you're up.

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Great. When the cell is activated, it is going to serve primarily all the users directly underneath its footprint allowing the area sites to be offloaded, meaning that the usage will drop off on those other sites, whether it be for voice services or for data. The coverage footprint is not going to change, just the amount of the resources that are used up in that one primary site.

MR. LYNCH: I guess what I'm inquiring about is as the offloading of the surrounding sites -- and you named them here.

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Correct.

MR. LYNCH: -- happens, could that

create a capacity problem at the new site?

actually if you have all of your users concentrated right underneath the one, which is why we're proposing to put more than just the 700 portion of the spectrum. We'll also deploy the AWS portion on there. As we accumulate more users and the customers out there use more and more of both the voice and data services, we can actually go and activate another portion of the spectrum of whatever it is that we own, but normally not to the point where it is completely exhausted.

MR. LYNCH: That leads me to my next question, which is, most of the sites we're seeing now are dealing more with data presentation than they are with, you know, can you hear me now. And again, would the increase in data over time require you to go to that other frequency that may be needed?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Oh,
absolutely. The actual usage is for the entire
piece of spectrum in the frequency that's offered.
It does not differentiate between the voice and
data service. It's the entire portion of spectrum
that's being used because of the instantaneous

method in which it actually processes whether it's 1 a voice call or a data call. So as you accumulate 2 users on there and all of those resources under 3 that 700 megahertz LTE block starts to be used, it 4 5 doesn't differentiate between voice or data. we just look at it and say, okay, we're 6 7 approaching maximum, we need to do something about 8 that and deploy another frequency. 9 MR. LYNCH: That I understand. All I 10 was getting at is the data portion of your spectrum is increasing much quicker or 11 12 exponentially over the voice part? 13 THE WITNESS (Montrose): Absolutely, 14 yes. 15 MR. LYNCH: And the other question I 16 have involves the possible expansion of the tower. 17 And you mentioned you go up 20 feet which, you 18 know, the FCC allows you to do. If that should happen in the future, you get another carrier that 19 doesn't want to go below and wants to go above, 20 how does that impact all the towns' antennas that 21 22 are on top? Would they stay where they are? 23 Would they be relocated again at the top of the 24 tower? How does that work?

THE WITNESS (Montrose):

For not

interference purposes, normally when Verizon were to extend the height of the tower we would move public services up just so that they have a clear line of sight.

MR. LYNCH: So you have a separation?

THE WITNESS (Montrose): Yes. Because otherwise you're going to have to extend more than the 20 feet to get past the whip antennas and any dishes or anything that they have there and still maintain the town services unimpeded and with no interference.

MR. LYNCH: And Mr. Libertine, how would that impact everything visually if they went up 20 feet?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think 20 feet would change the character of some of the near views. I don't think it would open up many new views. We've got kind of this broad valley here with some shallow rises to the east and the west primarily. Once you get up there, you've got good tree cover, and it kind of plateaus out. So the viewshed is kind of right down the valley, so to speak.

So I don't think the additional 20 feet is going to make a big difference in terms of the

- overall footprint. Certainly it would change the character, 150 to 170, so it's going to be a much taller structure than what we have today.
- MR. LYNCH: Back to you, Ms. Montrose.

  With the data portion services being delivered, do

  we have any idea how many residences in the

  Brookfield area would be covered by your data

  services?
  - THE WITNESS (Montrose): That
    information I do not have. We can only estimate
    really from the amount of usage from the
    neighboring sites from our own customers, but how
    many are actual Brookfield residents, I don't
    know.
    - MR. LYNCH: Thank you. And lastly, as I go to some of your rendering, your site drawings, if you could make them a little bit darker in the future? Some of the legends on the site are really hard to read. And now that I'm catching up to Mr. Ashton in age, I'm going have to -- if you could just make them a bit darker in the future? That's all.
- Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 25 Dr. Klemens?

DR. KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2 I have a variety of questions. First, it has to

3 do with the plans that are put under tab -- the

4 beginning of the application there's tab 1. Thank

5 you. Okay. There's a variety of engineering

6 drawings in there. And whilst going through them,

7 something struck me as a little bit strange, maybe

8 it isn't, that the two existing condition sheets

9 were not prepared -- they were prepared by another

10 entity not listed on the cover preparers, and

11 that, I guess, is okay for existing conditions

12 except that the second existing conditions there

is a proposed tower.

that we're looking at?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I guess I'll ask the engineers, seeing you didn't prepare EX-1 and EX-2, they were prepared by an engineer from BL Companies, which is not listed on the cover, is that accurate where the proposed tower is? Is this the same tower

THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, those two drawings were prepared by a company that we had used to do the survey; and, yes, the proposed tower is in the correct location. That was provided to them to show on the survey.

DR. KLEMENS: Okay. So you agree with

1 those --THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, they are our 2 3 subcontractor. DR. KLEMENS: Okay. 4 Thank you. 5 I also noticed these two mounds -- I didn't even see them in the field -- that the 6 7 tower is being put on. There's an asphalt mound 8 that's sitting there where the tower is going to 9 go, and another mound, I presume, it says, is that 10 also asphalt that is going to be removed for the entrance road? 11 12 THE WITNESS (Burns): That is correct. 13 They're existing out there now. DPW, they are using that area as part of their storage area. 14 15 That's asphalt they're storing there and will be removed prior to construction. 16 DR. KLEMENS: And where will it be? 17 Will it be put somewhere else on site, or is it 18 going to be moved to be recycled? 19 20 THE WITNESS (Burns): Somewhere else in 21 the yard. DR. KLEMENS: Not in any wetlands or 22 23 near any wetlands, I presume? 24 THE WITNESS (Burns): Of course not. 25 DR. KLEMENS: I just had to ask the

1 question.

Okay. I'm just going to go on a few items that we should have talked about in the field, but I think we need to get them into the record dealing with the turtles. First, under tab 10 there's a letter from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. And it says here under the bog turtle that "the area is highly disturbed and habitat suitable for these species is not present." Would you agree that's what the Fish and Wildlife Service said that's in the record, right? It's right there. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, that's 

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, that's what it says, yes.

DR. KLEMENS: So then I'm a little bit -- I'd like to correct them -- bear with me here -- a statement that was made on page 17 of the introduction, a statement at the very top of the page is made, "Determination, the heavily impacted and developed subject parcel makes it significantly less likely the impacts on this species will occur."

Well, if I read the U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service letter, there is no habitat, and
therefore there is no significance of likelihood

of impact. Could someone clarify that because I
think in an abundance of caution, you're basically
being too generous, in my opinion, as to the
potential impacts on the bog turtle of this

project. So maybe you could clarify that.

So on page 17 you've taken a much unnecessarily generous approach to what impacts, in my personal opinion, but I'd like you to look at that and give us your opinion.

(Pause.)

THE WITNESS (Libertine): My opinion, and just my opinion, is that it's very soft language on page 17, and I think it's being conservative. I think it was trying -- I didn't write it, but my guess is it's trying to balance the two correspondence from the two agencies, one of which made it very clear, as you stated, that no suitable habitat exists, where DEP came back and said that there is potential in the area.

So again, my opinion is -- and it's just a best guess -- is that this language is trying to blend those two thoughts. I agree with you that it's somewhat -- I won't say it's contradictory, but I think it's being overly generous of the particular area that we're talking

1 about.

24

25

the west side?

DR. KLEMENS: And as the DEP very 2 clearly indicates that you have to consult with 3 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, wouldn't you 4 state for the record that the service's 5 determination is the one that really in this 6 7 matter is the important controlling determination? 8 THE WITNESS (Libertine): It is 9 certainly from our -- yes, I would agree with 10 that. We have to meet the compliance obligations for a federal license, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife 11 would certainly be the overriding authority. 12 DR. KLEMENS: So in the absence of a 13 habitat, there really can't be -- there will be a 14 15 remote chance of an impact, correct? 16 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would agree 17 with that, yes. 18 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. Okay. Now let's get to the two 19 20 turtles. Mr. Ashton already mentioned the box turtle. And again, you've already testified --21 someone testified that the riparian habitat is 22 across the road along Route 7 on the other side, 23

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is

correct.

DR. KLEMENS: So I think what happens with the DEEP is they have a blob, and the blob just happens to fall inside your site. But from a practical perspective, do you really feel that a turtle protection exclusion program is warranted, given the fact that the turtles are all on the other side of Route 7, and the only turtles, I believe, that possibly could come there would have to come where the Still River is, the very northern part of the site, and go all the way down, across the ballfields, through the town property to the end. So I'm sort of trying to make a point here that I don't believe that such a program is necessary on this site.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would agree with your opinion, Dr. Klemens. I would state just for the record, yes, the DEP takes their individual records and buffers them for public consumption on their mapping. And so those buffers can be up to a quarter mile in width. And so I think in this case -- and I apologize, I don't have the actual DEP map. I'm not even sure necessarily if the bleb that they use for these buffered areas actually hits our site or not. It

may be in this case, because we were coming before the Council, and the Council requires a letter from the DEP, that this request may not have been necessary if you were doing it outside the Council purview and were just doing it based on the DEP's criteria, which is, yes, if it touches your site, you are requested or required to put a formal request in regardless.

I agree with exactly what you're saying. I think the primary habitat area is remote from the site. It's across Route 7, which, as we know, is a full access highway. I think it is, for lack of a better term, this response from DEP is overkill for this particular site just based on lack of proximity to their preferred habitat, the fact that we've got an active yard that's used on a daily basis, and the fact that it's really void of any habitat that would be preferred by that species. So yes, I agree with that, I don't believe it's necessary.

DR. KLEMENS: So you would have no objection if I sort of suggested we don't put it in the D&M plan?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would not have any problem with that at all. I will note

1 this site has gone beyond the time frame, and it will require reaching out to DEP again prior to 2 construction. We may be faced with the very same 3 recommendations, but it's just that, it is a 4 5 recommendation. DR. KLEMENS: Because the blobs -- and 6 7 I know these blobs quite intimately. 8 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Better than 9 I. 10 DR. KLEMENS: Yes -- they're across the highway, and believe me, any turtle that -- is 11 12 never going to cross that highway. And I think 13 it's onerous to spend money on doing that kind of thing when you don't need to. I'm all for those 14 15 turtle protection and vernal pool protections when there's actual need, but I think my concern is we 16 17 just shouldn't just do them everywhere just to 18 get, you know, as the cost of doing business because it's not necessary and it costs all of us 19 20 ultimately. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Understood, 21 22 and I appreciate the input. 23 DR. KLEMENS: Sure. 24 THE WITNESS (Vergati): I'd like to add

And coming from Homeland Towers who

25

to that.

- writes the checks for these types of sites, we appreciate your input on that as well. And if it's not deemed to be needed, we certainly not
- 5 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. I have no further questions.

look ahead in the D&M, but appreciate that.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Levesque.

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MR. LEVESQUE: Sure. I'll be brief
  here. On the very first page of the executive
  summary -- I'm sure anybody can answer -- it says
  in the introductory paragraph, "Property, the town
  maintains the public library."
  - Is that there by mistake? Fire department, town hall, public library? I didn't see the public library, or maybe it's supposed to be public works. I don't know if that big garage next to the firehouse also includes public works.
  - THE WITNESS (Vergati): I believe there is a library on the town campus in addition to the fire department and police department.
  - MR. LEVESQUE: No. I've been to hearings in this town. It's on Whisconier Road, the library.
- 24 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think it's 25 just a mistake. That should be the public works

garage. There is no library on the property.

MR. LEVESQUE: Mr. Libertine, one question on your viewshed map.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.

MR. LEVESQUE: Your usual nice yellow and orange. On your shot for number 12, which is a nice photo, is in front of the public works, fire department, the big building. Correct?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. That's in front of the public works garages.

MR. LEVESQUE: How come you didn't take a photo a little farther south down the road?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): As you move to the south, there is a fairly substantial tree line there, so we would have been shooting into trees. So we tried to frame it on either side where it was visible. What we try to do normally in these type of situations is show more or less if you were driving along that road.

In this case we knew we had fairly consistent views as you went from north to south down Pocono Road. So the idea was let's try to provide to see how the characteristics change, if they do, from a distance as you get closer to the

```
site. So it was really just a matter of making
1
    sure that we were trying to line things up so that
2
    you would have something to show. In the case of
3
    12, if we were to go just a little further --
4
5
               MR. LEVESQUE:
                               South.
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): -- to the
6
7
    south, actually if we went just beyond the
8
    building, that tree line that you see on the left
9
    side would have started to obscure things for us.
10
    Very similar to how if you compare number 10
    versus number 11 where you have an open view of
11
12
    number 11, but as you move just down the road a
13
    bit you've got a line of trees that just happen to
    obscure it from that particular location. So we
14
15
    were really just trying to give some
    representative locations.
16
               MR. LEVESQUE: I just thought it would
17
18
    have been nice to see like in front of the house
    that's closest to the site.
19
               THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's a fair
20
21
    point.
               MR. LEVESQUE: Then, Mr. Burns, on your
22
23
    site plan SP-2.
24
               THE WITNESS (Burns):
                                      Yes.
```

MR. LEVESQUE: Are you there?

1 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 2 MR. LEVESQUE: The propane tanks at the 3 southwest corner, I assume like the propane delivery company has -- does it have like a 4 30-foot hose so that they can get to there because 5 they can't get their truck -- if somebody builds 6 7 that future equipment shed, they won't be able to 8 get their truck next to your tank? 9 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, they'll be 10 able to bring in a hose 30 to 50 feet, I think even longer in some cases. 11 12 MR. LEVESQUE: And then does that tank 13 have a life expectancy of like 30 years, or if they have to replace the tank? 14 15 THE WITNESS (Burns): If they have to 16 get in there and replace that tank, they may have to take down a section of the fence to replace it 17 or pick it from over the fence. 18 19 MR. LEVESQUE: It's outside your leased 20 area? 21 THE WITNESS (Burns): The propane tank is --22 23 MR. LEVESQUE: No, the other side of the fence, isn't it --24

THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, the lease

- 1 line is the fence line. They may have to get some
  2 type of temporary approval.
  3 MR. LEVESQUE: The fire marshal would
- be interested in safety. Is that okay too that that 10-foot spark zone is outside the leased area?
- 7 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.
- 8 MR. LEVESQUE: The fire marshal will review it for you anyway?
- 10 THE WITNESS (Burns): Correct.
- 11 MR. LEVESQUE: And then it looks like 12 the bank to the southwest there is pretty -- of 13 your leased area is pretty steep.
- THE WITNESS (Burns): It does drop off there, yes.
- MR. LEVESQUE: So would there be a possibility of riprap there, bigger stones, if there's a lot of surface water?
- something we can look at and do that, if
  necessary. We're looking at putting in temporary
  erosion control measures. And the bank is fairly
  stable now but, you know, during D&M we can look
  at doing that.
- 25 MR. LEVESQUE: Thank you very much.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ashton? MR. ASHTON: I forgot to ask a question 2 which has defined my role here. Given the fact 3 that 2-inch mesh can be climbed, would the 4 applicant have any problem with an order for a 5 less than 2-inch mesh for the cyclone fence? 6 7 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. Typically 8 we specify anti-climbing weave on fence, so yes, I 9 think that would be fine. 10 MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Nothing further. 11 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I just have a couple 13 questions. Again, the tower, in theory, you could put how many, is it four, up to four providers on 14 15 there, is that --16 THE WITNESS (Burns): Right now it's 17 shown as Verizon plus four future carriers and the 18 municipality, so five total, plus the municipality. 19 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Going at it a 21 different way, on the issue of the generator and 22 the tank, there is enough space for five generators and five propane tanks if each one were 23

THE WITNESS (Burns): I mean, I'm not

to do it the way you propose?

24

sure there's room for five separate generators and propane tanks with the spark zone. Propane tanks can be put vertically, the vertical propane tanks, but they still need a 10-foot offset. So some of those generators may need a different fuel source as in diesel.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We're also kind of jumping and making the leap of faith that other carriers are using generators as backup. We really only have Verizon, AT&T in some situations, but not all, but the other providers that are active have traditionally not used back-up generation via these generators. So I would just put that on the record that that's not something they're using as pair of their market plan.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Separate question, because it comes up in every hearing, and I just wondered if we get it briefly from Verizon, the issue of extending the tower 20 feet, and do we know what the federal law is on this issue. I also believe from the Council's standpoint I think there have been a few of these.

But my question really is for Verizon,

I mean, how would you determine if hypothetically

if, for example, another carrier said, you know,

- 1 the height where you're proposing to put our
- 2 facilities is just -- we'd prefer it be higher.
- 3 Does that just become a business, if they're
- 4 willing to come up with the money to pay for
- 5 another 20 feet, is that how you do it? I mean,
- 6 how does that work? Because that is a little bit
- 7 sometimes the elephant in the room, you know, this
- 8 extra 20 feet. So can you give a generic answer
- 9 to a generic question on how that works?
- 10 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Homeland Towers
- 11 typically overdesigns these towers for the unknown
- 12 for the future, in this case the potential 20-foot
- 13 expansion. What we would do is certainly any
- 14 future carrier requesting a higher height would
- 15 need to go before the Siting Council and justify
- 16 the need for the additional height. If they can
- 17 justify it and it's approved, we would certainly
- 18 through the contractual entitlement portion put an
- 19 extension on the pole if it was approved through
- 20 the Siting Council.
- 21 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
- Mr. Mercier.
- 23 MR. MERCIER: I just want to follow up
- 24 to an earlier question by Dr. Klemens in that
- 25 regard, the BL Companies' drawings. And I think

```
1
    he asked the question was the tower shown in the
    correct location as it is proposed today. But I'm
2
    looking at EX-2, and it does show at 146 feet to
3
    the south property line. So I just want to know
4
5
    was the tower subsequently moved farther south?
               THE WITNESS (Burns):
                                      The tower was
6
7
    moved, and the survey was not updated. So the
8
    site plans aren't correct. This survey is not.
9
               MR. MERCIER: Is there any reason for
10
    the move?
               I'm just curious.
11
               THE WITNESS (Burns): The tower was
    moved when Homeland was discussing with the town
12
13
    where they wanted us within the yard, the DPW
    yard, and they asked us to move as far south as
14
15
    possible, and that's when we moved to the location
16
    we're at now.
17
               MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you.
18
               THE CHAIRMAN: Any other questions?
19
               (No response.)
               THE CHAIRMAN: If not, we'll adjourn
20
    this portion of the hearing and reconvene at 7
21
22
    p.m. for the public session. Thank you.
23
                (Whereupon, the witnesses were excused,
24
    and the above proceedings were adjourned at 4:15
25
    p.m.)
```

## 1 CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 61 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken of the Council Meeting in Re: DOCKET NO. 467, HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC AND CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 100 POCONO ROAD, BROOKFIELD, CONNECTICUT, which was held before ROBERT STEIN, Chairman, at the Brookfield Town Hall, Room 133, 100 Pocono Road, Brookfield, Connecticut, on August 2, 2016.

Lisa Wally

Lisa L. Warner, L.S.R., 061

Court Reporter

			6	4
1	Index (Cont'd):			
2				
3	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	NC	PAGE	
4	II-B-1a Technical	Report, dated	11	
5	December 16, 2015			
6	II-B-1b Town of Br	rookfield Zoning	11	
7	Regulations			
8	II-B-1c Town of Br	rookfield Wetlands	11	
9	and Watercourses			
10	II-B-1d Town of Br	rookfield 2015 Plan	11	
11	of Conservation and Development			
12	II-B-2 Applicant	's Sign Posting	11	
13	Affidavit, date	ed July 14, 2016		
14	II-B-3 Applicant	's responses to	11	
15	Council interro	ogatories, dated		
16	July 14, 2016			
17	II-B-4 Applicant	's Affidavit of	11	
18	Publication, da	ated July 26, 2016		
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				