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THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This hearing is called to order this Tuesday, March 1, 2016, at approximately 3:30 p.m. My name is Jerry Murphy. I'm the vice chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council, and I will be presiding today in the absence of our Chairman.

Other members of the Council with us today are Robert Hannon, as the designee for Commissioner Robert Klee of the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Larry Levesque, designee for Chairman Arthur House of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Philip T. Ashton; and Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.

Members of the staff today are Melanie Bachman, our acting executive director and staff attorney, and Robert Mercier, our siting analyst on this particular file.

This is an evidentiary session in continuation of a public hearing held on February the 23, 2016, at the Connecticut Siting Council's office, Hearing Room One, 10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut. It is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative

Procedure Act upon an application from the Connecticut Light and Power Company, doing business as Eversource Energy, for a certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the Frost Bridge to Campville 115-kilovolt electric transmission line project that traverses the municipalities of Watertown, Thomaston, Litchfield and Harwinton, which consists of (a) construction, maintenance and operation of a new 115-kilovolt overhead electric transmission line entirely within existing Eversource right-of-way and associated facilities extending approximately 10.4 miles between Eversource's existing Frost Bridge Substation in the Town of Watertown and existing Campville Substation in the Town of Harwinton; (b) related modifications to Frost Bridge Substation and Campville Substation; and (c) reconfiguration of a 0.4 mile segment of two existing 115-kilovolt electric transmission lines across the Naugatuck River in the Towns of Litchfield and Harwinton within the same existing right-of-way as the new 115-kilovolt electric transmission Line. This application was received by the Council on December 23, 2015.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record
communications with members of the Council or members of our staff, upon the merits of this application, are prohibited by law.

The parties and intervenors to the proceedings being held today are: The applicant is Connecticut Light and Power Company d/b/a Eversource Energy. It is represented by Anthony M. Fitzgerald, Esquire, of Carmody Torrance Sandak \& Hennessey LLP. And as a party, the Office of Consumer Counsel, represented by Lauren Henault Bidra, Esquire.

We will proceed today in accordance with the prepared agenda, copies of which have been distributed and are on the table for anyone who doesn't have one to pick one up. Also available are copies of the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures.

At the end of this afternoon's evidentiary session, we will recess and resume again at 6:30 p.m. for the public comment session. This 6:30 p.m. public comment session will be reserved for the public to make brief oral statements into the record.

I wish to note that the parties and intervenors, including their representatives and
witnesses, are not allowed to participate in the public comment session. I also wish to note for those who are here and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session or this afternoon, that you may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at one of our hearings. If necessary, party and intervenor presentations may continue after the public comment session tonight, if time permits.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited with the Towns of Watertown, Thomaston, Litchfield, Harwinton, Plymouth and the City of Waterbury Clerk's Offices for the convenience of the public.

Is there any public official here to comment at this time?
(No response.)
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: If not, in regards to administrative notice, the Council added the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection's 2015 Endangered, Threatened and Special Concern Species List for Connecticut,
which is set forth as Roman numeral I, Item D. 43.
Does the applicant or any party or intervenor have any objection to that item or any other item on the list of administrative notice?

MR. FITZGERALD: No objections.
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Hearing no objections, they'll all be taken, as noticed.

We'll begin with an appearance by the applicant. Attorney Fitzgerald, I believe a number of your witnesses were sworn in at our previous hearing. Were they all sworn in?

MR. FITZGERALD: No, they weren't. We do have one that wasn't.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Let's do that first.

MR. FITZGERALD: Fine. Thank you. We will. And just to sort of set the table, I'd like to note that at the abbreviated last hearing Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 were admitted. Exhibit 4, which is the -- I'm sorry, the application, yes, the application, Exhibit 1, was admitted with the exception of Section 10.3 , which is the nontransmission alternative section, and the report of Julia Frayer in Volume 4 on nontransmission alternatives. And I spoke with
the executive director explaining that Ms. Frayer would not be able to be here today. And since it appeared probable that there would be another session, she would be planning to come to that and at that time that remaining piece of the application could be made a full exhibit.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: So this is 10.3 of the application?

MR. FITZGERALD: 10.3 of the application, and the report, which is Exhibit 4, and Volume 4.

And then the other thing that was -had a little asterisk on it from the hearing at the previous session was that Exhibit 4 is the direct testimony of Louise Mango and Matthew Davison. And Ms. Mango sponsored that testimony, but we now have Mr. Davison with us, and so he could be sworn as well.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think we admitted the exhibit with her testimony alone last time.

So you think Mr. Davison needs to be sworn?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Rise, Mr. Davison,
and Attorney Bachman will swear you in.
 called as a witness, being first duly sworn by Ms. Bachman, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

BRADLEYBENTLEY,
JASONCABRAL,
RAYMONDGAGNON,
LOUSSEMANGO,
C H R I S T O P HER S O DERMAN, having been previously duly sworn, testified further on their oaths as follows:

MR. FITZGERALD: If I might ask him a question about the application?

Mr. Davison, do you have any corrections or clarifications to make to the application or to your prefile testimony?

THE WITNESS (Davison): I do. To Volume 1, Section 12-4, which is Section 4 that details the Thomaston $H$-frame line route variation, page 12-11, it states that 7.8 acres of clearing would be required for that variation. That number should actually be approximately one acre.

MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you. And with
that correction, is your prefile testimony in the environmental section of the application true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?

THE WITNESS (Davison): It is.
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: With that correction, we'll admit it, as corrected.

MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you. I also have a correction that I'd like to ask Mr. Gagnon about before the cross starts, if I could?

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Better to
straighten it out now than later.
MR. FITZGERALD: Mr. Gagnon, as a result of your meticulous inspection of the application in the last week, did you come up with a couple of corrections that should be made on the record?

THE WITNESS (Gagnon): Yes. On page 3-18 there are two corrections I'd like to make, 3-18. They're very small. The first one is on the second bullet that you see there it talks about the terminal structure being 60 feet. It should be 68, which is the same as the drawing in Volume 5.

And also on the third bullet it talks about the Campville Substation having only one
lightning mast. It actually has two that are being proposed. And that's the same as which is in the drawing in Volume 5. That's it.

MR. FITZGERALD: With that, I tender the panel for cross-examination.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We'll start with cross-examination by the staff.

Mr. Mercier?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Based on the field review today, I just have a couple of questions based on my observation of the project. I guess I'll start with the section from Frost Bridge to Purgatory Junction. There's an existing line there, the 1238 line on a monopole. And it appears that line only occupies the south position, I guess I'll call it, on the monopole, and it appears that the north side is not utilized. Is it possible to utilize the north side of that set of structures to accommodate the new line proposed in this application from Frost Bridge to Purgatory Junction?
the witness (Bentley): Answering from
a transmission planning standpoint, it is possible, but it's not an analyzed condition. So
in our transmission planning studies that we performed with ISO, if we were to put that line on the same structure as that, it would create a new double circuit tower contingency, which has not been looked at, and may cause further problems, but would require an amount of study to look at that.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Just south of Purgatory Junction I saw
a few structures that appear to be -- maybe a bunch of greenhouses between proposed structures 22 and 23. Are those -- I guess they're greenhouses or other outbuildings -- in the way of your project at all?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): We'd work with the property owner and design the project around those greenhouses.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Now, from the section of line that's proposed from Purgatory Junction all the way to Campville, I understand you're going to have to do additional clearing on your right-of-away to accommodate the line. I believe you said about 45 feet. I guess that would be to the east. Is that clearing and line installation to the minimum
clearance standards for conductors and for vegetation, or is there some leeway in there?

You know, $I$ don't know the standard exactly, but if they call for 30 feet, are you going to 45 feet for the right-of-way expansion, or are you just doing it right to the minimum?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): It's to match the Eversource standards for 115-kV lines with those configurations, which in that case is a delta structure with two conductors on one side of the structure and one on the other.

MR. MERCIER: So Eversource's standard might be different than, say, some other type of NERC standard or something of that nature?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): It could be, correct.

MR. MERCIER: The last item I had was we stopped at the Campville Substation and we discussed where the expansion would go. Can you just repeat what the topography was like in the expansion area?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Sure. So the expansion is approximately 90 foot to the east we're extending the fence line, and the grading will go beyond that. There is about 10 to 20 foot
to the east of the existing fence line there is about a 5-foot change in elevation of the existing topography there. So there will be some earth removal as part of grading out that site to make it the same elevation as the existing yard.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Cabral): We're in the process now of doing detailed civil design, and the exact cut quantities and topo maps and things like that would be part of the D\&M plan.

MR. MERCIER: Let me just find my other notes for a second.

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Sure.
MR. MERCIER: Now, reading through the application, I just had several notes. So I'm going to go basically, starting from the beginning to the end, some questions I have. I guess the first question begins on page 2-11. It talked about the -- second paragraph, the last sentence, it talked about the planned Towantic generating station was not included in the forward-capacity auction number 7.

Would the construction and operation of that plant in any way -- would including this plant in the needs report have any bearing on the
report's finding that additional transmission is necessary into the northwest Connecticut subarea? THE WITNESS (Bentley): So ISO New England did an analysis of the Towantic plant and confirmed that Towantic would not effect the needs or the solutions for the Greater Hartford Central Connecticut Study, which includes this project that we're talking about today. And that was in a December PAC presentation, Planning Advisory Committee presentation they made, so it's available at the ISO New England web site.

MR. MERCIER: Did you say when that presentation was made? I missed that.

THE WITNESS (Bentley): December -it's on my laptop, but it's a December presentation of 2015.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. Go to page 4-2. And it basically -the third bullet regarding sensitive environmental and cultural areas. This says, "Identify and mark areas to be avoided." So I'm just wondering who maintains at Eversource a list of these areas, and who actually delineates them in the field?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): So these areas are going to be identified in our D\&M plan for the
project, and it will be in our contractor scope to flag or mark off these areas prior to commencing any work. And Eversource's environmental consultant will confirm that that's taken place prior to allowing work to start.

MR. MERCIER: Is the consultant determining whether it's sensitive or not?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): No, that's happening during this upfront phase of the project. So the wetland delineations, the vernal pool delineations, have already taken place, and the archeological investigations are still underway.

MR. MERCIER: Now on page 4-3, it mentions that temporary roads would be constructed, timber mats or gravel. I'm just trying to determine what would be the determining factor, whether it's the timber mats or the gravel, what would be the factor there?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): We are proposing timber mats typically for our wetland crossings. There are other places where a contractor may determine that timber mats could be used in uplands as well, for example, agricultural fields or just other areas where the subsurface
conditions are soft that will make it as advantageous to use gravel. So in uplands it's really a contractor means and methods of whatever they can most easily construct the project with.

MR. MERCIER: For any temporary gravel roads how is that material removed? Do you put down, say, like a matting material then gravel on top?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): We typically put down a geotextile fabric that helps develop that barrier between the two. That's not necessarily needed. You can remove roads and just restore some topsoil without putting the geotextile fabric down.

MR. MERCIER: Once you pull up the gravel, once you're finished with it for the project, what do you do with it, is it reclaimed or reused elsewhere on the project?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): If it's early on in the project, it could be reclaimed and used on another portion of the project. If not, the contractor that Eversource uses will be responsible to properly dispose of that, whether that means it's another project or brought to a site that can accommodate that type of material.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
On page 4-11 there is discussion at the top of the page regarding retaining shrub species outside the conductor zones. I'm just wondering if there's areas of invasives in the shrub layer that's not to be removed because it's not necessary, but would Eversource go in and actually remove the invasive portion?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Matt, do you want to answer that?

THE WITNESS (Davison): Eversource currently has a veg maintenance program in which they do address invasive species, so it's sort of an ongoing thing.

MR. MERCIER: So for this particular project, if shrub clearing is not needed outside the conductor zones, that area will just remain in place and then cycle with the regular maintenance schedule?

THE WITNESS (Davison): Yes.
MR. MERCIER: On page 4-14, there was a discussion about right-of-way access roads. It's stated that many access roads are already in place.

On the next page 4-15, it talks about
the grade of the road -- excuse me, on page 14 at the top it talks about the grade of the road optimally should be 10 percent or less. But the existing access roads under the power lines are at a steeper grade. Would there be construction on that existing access road to lessen the grade to 10 percent? Say if it was 15 percent out in the field today, would there be some type of construction on that road to lessen the grade? THE WITNESS (Cabral): Typically the existing access roads that are out there are there for the maintenance of the line, and they have very similar requirements for grade. So typically I would not expect there could be an area where there's significantly more than 10 percent, that we can do some slight modifications, that could exist in the project. And, once again, that level of detail, configuration of the roads, would be part of the D\&M process.

MR. FITZGERALD: We've got a supplement to one of your earlier questions, if you'd like? MR. MERCIER: Sure. Thank you. THE WITNESS (Bentley): Thank you.

Back to the question on the CPV Towantic and the presentation, $I$ did find it. It was from November

17, 2015. And I'll read it. On page 4 of the presentation I'll read the bullet that ISO wrote. "An ISO analysis of the GHCC study area with the inclusion of CPV Towantic and Wallingford 6 and 7, showed no significant changes and therefore a needs reassessment will not be undertaken for the GHCC study area."

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Bentley): Yes.
MR. MERCIER: My last question right now has to deal with, $I$ guess, the DEEP comments in regards to a field review they conducted. They saw a structure 89 marked in that field, but it wasn't on any of your map sheets. Would there be a structure 89?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): There's not a planning structure 89 for the project. The stakes that it might have saw in the field were some preliminary staking early on in the project. And as we went through the detailed design process that also includes constructability review, we have shifted structures, and in two cases we've actually removed structures. There's another case that was also brought up in the same letter about another structure missing further south. I'll
give you that structure number in a second. So right now the proposed project does not include a structure 89.

MR. MERCIER: I'm looking at the map sheet you have right in front of you. It's number 32. Just west of 88 there's a large work pad that extends onto the next sheet actually up to structure 3176, 3233. Is that a potential pole pad?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): That's correct. It's a potential pole pad.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Cabral): The other thing to note on that to help provide a little bit of clarity is that these are our initial preliminary structure numbers. Once the design is finalized, then we'll get new numbers that will go into Eversource's system for structure numbers. So these are preliminary structure numbers for the design phase of the project. The other structure that gets skipped is there's no structure 12. We go from structure 11 to 13.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Thank you,
Mr. Mercier.

Council cross-examination. We'll start with Mr. Ashton.

MR. ASHTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm having a little problem, so if you can't hear me, then yell and let me know, but I'll do my very best.

I want to start down at the Frost Bridge Substation and inquire about some of the landscaping. As you come in that station, the landscaping is sparse. Is it the applicant's proposal to do a good landscaping job with this docket?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Ray, you want to address that?

MR. ASHTON: Mr. Gagnon I think knows what I'm talking about.

THE WITNESS (Gagnon): Yes, I do. And we will address that as part of the D\&M plan, but yes, certainly we can look at it.

MR. ASHTON: There are two trees to the right of the entrance that surprise me. One looks like a chokecherry, and I can't figure out what the other one is. And they're fairly good size. They're fairly close to the fence. And I wonder if there's any thought that's been given to
removing those as a danger and replacing them in some fashion?

THE WITNESS (Gagnon): I know that back when we were doing some hazard fence installations back then, those were looked at for removal. They hadn't been removed, but that's something that we can look at. I'll work with our veg management people.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. I hate to see a grown man cry.

The underground connection from the substation to the first structure I find not remarkable at all. I would like to ask what are the current carrying capabilities that have been assigned to the various conductors that are used? There's one very interesting conductor here. It's a bundled 2/O copper, which goes back to probably the 1920s. And when the line was built about 1957 or so, rather than take it down, it was put up -they were bundled. And that was evident today as you just looked overhead and you can see a very light line. That's equivalent of a 4/0 copper conductor carrying capability, if I remember right, which is 96 MVA. Is that right?

THE WITNESS (Gagnon): Approximately
correct, yes.
MR. ASHTON: Now that you've guessed what my numbers are, I want you to tell me what temperature and wind rating that number --

MR. FITZGERALD: Mr. Soderman has been sworn.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Copper conductors are rated for a maximum operating temperature, continuous operating temperature of 133 degrees Celsius or 266 degrees Fahrenheit. And the assumed wind speed for all overhead conductors is 3 feet per second.

MR. ASHTON: Just for the record, why are temperature and wind velocity important?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Well, the wind is what takes the heat off of the conductor, so the faster the wind you assume, the more you assume it's going to take off of the wire and allow it to put more heat through current. So wires are going to heat up, and I squared $R$ loss is only losses. So the more wind -- the faster the wind is, the more heat you're taking off.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: You used the term "I squared R." That's the square of the current times the resistance of the conductor?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That's correct.

MR. ASHTON: And that's something that engineers just love to dabble with. And the reason that there is such concern for it, is it not correct, is that we don't want to anneal the conductors. By "annealing," I mean we soften the conductor and it loses its strength so that the temperature and wind affecting the annealing, affecting the strength, all go hand in glove. And this is pretty universally adopted; is it not? THE WITNESS (Soderman): That is correct.

MR. ASHTON: Now, I must admit I was tickled when $I$ saw a $2 / 0$ conductor being replaced just because it was very unusual back when $I$ had hair and bundle conductors were used, but there are other conductors that are involved here. And what conductors are they, and what are their ampacity ratings, if you remember off hand? THE WITNESS (Soderman): The proposed conductor is 1590 kcmil ACSS.

MR. ASHTON: Kcmil is a term of art technically to determine the size of the conductor?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That is correct. It represents 1000 circular mils.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. What's a circular mil?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Well, a circular mil represents an area of basically a circle that has $1 / 1000$ of an inch in diameter.

MR. ASHTON: And that refers to a circle being part of a conductor?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That's correct.

MR. ASHTON: And what was the 1590 again?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): 1590 ACSS Falcon, which is a stranding of 54 over 19, 54 strands of aluminum and 19 strands of steel. And that is aluminum conductor steel supported.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. There is mention of 1272, I believe, also. What --

THE WITNESS (Soderman): The existing 1238 and 1921 lines both take advantage of 1272 kcmil ACSR, which is aluminum conductor steel reinforced, with strandings of 45 over 7 , so 45 strands of aluminum to 7 strands of steel.

MR. ASHTON: So the jargon that we're
using reflects the different constituent subconductors, if I might, that have been used or proposed to be used?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That's correct.

MR. ASHTON: One of the things that troubles me a little bit here is that this is a very unusual right-of-way between the two terminal points in that the width varies all over the lot. There's a 400-foot right-of-way, which is owned by Eversource, actually owned by CL\&P or Rocky River Realty, as the case may be. And that was for a project that tied capacity in Connecticut and Massachusetts and goes back into the ' 20 s, so it was a long long time ago. And then there's a 250-foot-wide easement right-of-way that's tacked onto the end of it. And then there's -- I know there's probably some other odd stuff in there too.

You would agree, I'm sure, that what this Council and the company want to do is maximize the utilization or the ability to utilize such rights-of-way. But one thing that's not mentioned here other than the fact that it exists is a 345-kV line, a 345,000 volt line, that goes
north from Frost Bridge. And what happens at the end of it?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That line originally was part of the first, as Councilman Ashton is aware, was the first $345-\mathrm{kV}$ line in New England that connected the Southington Substation to the New York State line. The line presently connects Frost Bridge Substation to the Long Mountain Substation which was constructed later on.

MR. ASHTON: Long Mountain is over in New Milford, Connecticut?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Yes.
MR. ASHTON: This Council has received word informally that there will be two power plants proposed over in New York State, roughly 1,000 megawatts each, which is a pretty big power plant. Wouldn't that suggest that there could be some more 345 on this right-of-way?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): To be perfectly honest, you're getting into a little bit of a planning world, so you're getting a little out of my bailiwick.

So Brad, perhaps you can speak to that? THE WITNESS (Bentley): Without getting
into specifics, in general, the more power plants you have in the right-of-way, I would agree with you, the more potential there would be for the need of additional transmission infrastructure to interconnect those power plants and deliver power throughout New England and New York.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. And would it be fair to say that where the line turns west from this right-of-way to -- what's the junction up in Torrington? Help me out.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Weingart
Junction.
MR. ASHTON: -- and then onto North Bloomfield, would that be a wild and ridiculous configuration to build a ring -- complete the ring of 345 around the metropolitan Hartford area? Would you say that's reasonable?

Well, let me ask you this then. Has that kind of consideration been examined in this docket? I'll look to you first.

THE WITNESS (Bentley): Sure. At a very high level, conceptual level, when the planners go and look at the range of alternatives to start, I believe there are some considerations of that. But when we get into narrowing of those
solutions, a lot of those come off the table. But what we try to do in planning, we try to take the longer-term considerations into the short-term solutions. So that if we're going to do something, we're planning for any potential future expansion to the best we can.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. I hope we do that. THE WITNESS (Bentley): Yes, we still do that.

MR. ASHTON: I look over my shoulder a little bit too here.

What is the driver of the expansion at 115 here, is it local load at Campville Substation, for example?

THE WITNESS (Bentley): So I'll start at the high level for why this project is needed. The Northwest Connecticut area is a load pocket, so it's generation deficient. So it relies on power being transferred into the load pocket. And there's only a certain number of sources that currently go into a load pocket. And when we look at contingencies where we take either a line or two lines out of service, two sources out of the area, we find that the remaining line is over -and there's also voltage violations that go along
with that as well.
So we start with the basic need of if we don't have enough sources, what's the most likely answer. We should bring another source into the load pocket, which is basically the genesis of the Frost Bridge to Campville line coming into Campville.

MR. ASHTON: Campville serves areas to the west at 27 kV ; is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Bentley): I believe so, but you're going into the distribution side.

MR. ASHTON: Does it get up to service the Torrington area?

THE WITNESS (Bentley): Subject to check, I believe so.

MR. ASHTON: I'm not looking for details. So you have Torrington, and you've got lines to the west, Goshen area. And what about to the east, does it serve load to the east?

THE WITNESS (Bentley): If I had to guess, there's probably some load served in Harwinton.

MR. ASHTON: That's your job. So we've got Harwinton, Goshen area and north. And what have we got to the south, anything?
(No response.)
MR. ASHTON: All right, three out of four is not too bad. The point being that these are suburban areas where the load is growing for CL\&P. Is that not reasonable?

THE WITNESS (Bentley): Yes. As we said in our latest forecast and load resources, we still see the peak load growing.

MR. ASHTON: The one area where I have a little trouble is I looked at the drawings that were handed out, sheets up through 2 through 7, and I got a feeling that the spacing is varying here. And I want to be sure -- and I'm going to ask you a simple yes or no question. I want to be sure that the new 115, coupled with the old 115 that you're going to leave in place, optimizes the use of the right-of-way. In other words, we don't put up -- have the old 115 and then 100 foot of space and then a new 115, and then more space to the 345 because is it not correct that spacing for the 115 can be as low as 25 feet?

THE WITNESS (Bentley): I'll have to leave that to the line engineer for the yes or no answer.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): So, north of

Purgatory Junction and from Frost Bridge over to Purgatory Junction and north of Purgatory Junction, there still remains enough right-of-way to accommodate a future 345 kV transmission line.

MR. ASHTON: And could that future 345 be installed without tearing down and replacing a 115?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Yes.
MR. ASHTON: Okay. I get worried about that.

I made a note that in one section of the right-of-way that the new pole will be the -the nearest phase will be 28 feet. This is where you've got a 90-foot pole, 45 feet between the center line of the pole, and then knocked off 13 feet for clearance for the conductor. Is that reasonable?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): On the new steel pole from roughly center line actually to the conductor attachment would actually be approximately 10 feet, not 13 feet, on the new steel pole.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. So we can bring it down 10 feet?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That's
correct. And on the existing laminate pole it's also roughly about 10 feet from the center line of the pole.

MR. ASHTON: Can I make a suggestion that before the final plan is filed for approval with the Council that a careful look be made of spacing so that we can minimize the spacing and optimize the availability of the right-of-way for other purposes?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): We can definitely take a look at that.

MR. ASHTON: I don't want to do it here, but you get my point.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Yes.
MR. ASHTON: Up at the I guess it's the Naugatuck River where we have a 1272 span, that's Naugatuck River?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): (Nodding head in the affirmative.)

MR. ASHTON: Is the railroad there active? There's a railroad which parallels the river, correct?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): It is active for tours. It's active for Heritage-type tours.

MR. ASHTON: The reason I ask is there
was a hell of a big log across the tracks. Active railroads don't normally put logs --

THE WITNESS (Cabral): It's not active this time of year. I think it's active from the springtime through the fall.

MR. ASHTON: I will concede the fact that if it is active -- and they look in reasonable condition other than that nice fat log -- the state by policy today ain't going to give up railroad right-of-way and let others have it for development. They want to make sure that right-of-way stays intact. The thing that is bothering me a little bit there is that I don't know what is governing the height of the structures on either side. What is the driving force that puts you at 155 foot height more or less up there? Is it the railroad, or is it the river, or --

THE WITNESS (Soderman): It actually appears, based on a preliminary plan and profile, that it's clearance to the Valley Road.

MR. ASHTON: The road then?
THE WITNESS (Soderman): Yes. And it's at the long span, about 1,400 plus feet -- or excuse me, 1,900 plus feet.

MR. ASHTON: If you go to ACSS conductor and pull it up, make sure your tension is high, can't that height of the structure be reduced?

THE WITNESS (Soderman) : Well, we --
MR. ASHTON: It appears to be the same as what exists today.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Approximately. The problem with pulling the ACSS tighter is that because of the fact that you lost the strength because the aluminum strands are annealed, you don't have that available RPS.

MR. ASHTON: I don't know how you got what you're proposing. Insofar as there's an opportunity to knock down the height of that conductor, $I$ would urge you it be looked at carefully. And I would urge that even the placement of the structures be looked at as a way of helping reduce the structure height because you've got a changed ball game now. I'd make that request for the D\&M.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Yes.
MR. ASHTON: Mr. Chairman, it's a good thing I'm sick, otherwise -- that's it for now. Thank you very much.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Thank You, Mr. Ashton.

Mr. Hannon?
MR. HANNON: Thank You, Mr. Chairman. I do have some questions.

On page 4-30 of 1 believe it's Volume 1, in the third paragraph you talk about, if possible, vegetation removal near streams and trying to maintain a 20-foot-wide riparian zone for habitat enhancement, shading, bank stabilization and erosion sedimentation control. Is your word "shading" similar to "thermal" because I'm more concerned about what some of the thermal impacts could be on water bodies. So I'm just trying to make sure that you're using "shading" where I might use "thermal."

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Louise, do you want to address that one?

THE WITNESS (Mango): I think we're talking about the same thing. I mean, we recognize that the maintenance of shading like a cover that overhangs a stream is important for fish and other things that live in the stream. So to the extent that we can, we would, for example, if we need an access road across the stream and
the stream was otherwise characterized by shrubby vegetation, we would not clear that shrubby vegetation except at the access road.

The same is true is if we had to take trees down, we would try to take those trees down and keep the understory. Sometimes that's not possible, but on this project there's actually a lot of streams that we'll be scanning. I don't think we're going to be down along the Naugatuck River, for example.

So I think we are talking about the same thing. And we just talk about shading, and you're talking thermal.

MR. HANNON: I just want to make sure we're pretty much on the same page. Thank you.

On page 4-31 in the first bullet you say, "Where feasible in areas proximate to vernal pools." If there is a report coming out of the Natural Diversity Database Program that says cutting shouldn't be done during certain times of the year, I'm assuming that you would adhere to that planning. So that it's not so much feasible in terms of we try to work within that envelope, and if we can't, we won't, I'm assuming that because of some of the species that have been
found in the area, you may pretty much strictly adhere to what the Natural Diversity Database folks would say?

THE WITNESS (Davison): I think for that particular bullet it doesn't necessarily pertain to state-listed species. It's more of the amphibians that would typically be migrating into a vernal pool. And the state hasn't imposed any restrictions on us for tree clearing for the state-listed species. But if we have opportunities to schedule tree clearing to avoid periods of time when things will be migrating in the vernal pool, we incorporate that into the BMPs and the development and management plan.

MR. HANNON: Thank you.
Page 4-35 dealing with the foundations.
A number of the poles look like they are very close to the wetlands. So I know that you've tried to pull them out of the wetland area. But having been involved with construction work for a number of years, typically when you're pouring concrete pads there tends to be excess concrete. Any plans on what's going to be done with that excess concrete? I would hate to see them pouring a pad outside the wetland and then dump the
balance of their load in the wetland. So I'm just wondering if there's any control on that?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): So any excess concrete would be disposed of upland, a good distance away from the wetland. Our wetlands will be flagged and marked clearly so people know not to do exactly what you're talking about there. We will have some monitors keep an eye on that as well. So any discharge of excess concrete will happen upland and then be removed within a short period of time.

MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank you. I don't know if this is anything that you can do. But on page 5-18 you do talk about some of the decoy pools. Is there anything that can be done as far as grading for when the project is pretty much completed to try to minimize some of those decoy pools?

THE WITNESS (Davison): We
considered -- actually we had talked earlier in the project about filling in the decoy pools. The issue there is that then you enter into the whole issue of it's a fill in a wetland. So we don't have any plans to remove decoy pools for that reason.

MR. HANNON: I was just curious. On the erosion sedimentation control plan, and this is sort of general throughout the application -I'm specifically looking at page 6-3 -- you talk about the inspections and maintaining your erosion sedimentation control measures, but one of the things I didn't see, which could possibly be in the D\&M plan, is that $I$ don't see any reference to going back out and inspecting the erosion sedimentation control measures after significant storms just to make sure that everything is in place and it is still working, and if it's not working it's repaired.

THE WITNESS (Cabral): That is part of our plan is after any major storm we do an inspection of all EMS controls on the project.

MR. HANNON: I just didn't see it.
Okay. That's fine.
THE WITNESS (Mango): Just to clarify that, the project would prepare a storm water pollution control plan pursuant to the DEEP's general permit for that construction activity. And one of the requirements there is you need to do stormwater turbidity monitoring after major rain events. That's in your current permit. So
that is something that would be done. And we have a whole procedure for implementing it. It's been done on projects like the interstate reliability project most recently.

MR. HANNON: So what you're saying then is it's tied in more with having to get the general permit from DEEP rather than specifically put in here. So I'm trying to make sure that the ground is covered in that respect.

THE WITNESS (Mango): Yes. And I think, as Jason and others have said, as we proceed with the project design we put all that, you know, the more detailed information in the D\&M plan. And more specifically we found recently that erosion control information is prepared for DEEP anyway, and we typically prepare a totally separate plan and reference that plan as part of the D\&M, so all that details what you really don't want to get into at this stage of the project, you know, about the inspection, frequency and stuff like that.

MR. HANNON: That's fine. I just wanted to make sure that it's covered as part of the project.

THE WITNESS (Davison): I think the
reissued storm water permit requires inspections after a quarter inch of rain.

MR. HANNON: Okay. I have no other questions. The few questions I had earlier had already been answered like missing pole number 12 so --

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Levesque?
MR. LEVESQUE: On the Veterans Memorial Park in Watertown the ball field is close to your right-of-way. Was that an area of EMF concern, and what did you find out or report?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Actually in Volume 1 in Section 7 we actually included a measurement at that baseball field on the right-of-way side of the dugout, so not even kind of in the playing area. It's towards the edge of the cleared area where the measurement occurred. And at that location this project, there's almost no change whatsoever, and you are almost at background levels of both magnetic field. And we measured no electric field because of the shielding with the trees that you have.

MR. LEVESQUE: Okay. So even in the farthest out infield this has its left field close
to your right-of-way, that's okay too?
THE WITNESS (Soderman): That's correct. On table 7-2 in the application the measurement showed 1 milligauss for the spot measurement of the magnetic field.

MR. FITZGERALD: That's the measurement of what's there. What did you do to predict what will be there after the land is built, and what's the explanation for the remarkable answer you got?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): So when we did some calculations based off of an average annual load on the system, we noted that the existing condition was 2.99 milligauss, and after the proposed project it was 3.05 milligauss. So a change of less than .1 milligauss. So at that point it was determined to not take any additional measures because the changes really are relatively minor.

MR. FITZGERALD: And why were the changes so small?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Mostly because the dominant source in the corridor is actually the existing 352 , which is the $345-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission line. That line actually has more current on it than any of the $115 s$, or at least would be
anticipated.
MR. LEVESQUE: So even the closer existing 115 has less?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): That's correct.

MR. LEVESQUE: And then I had a few questions or comments on the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection comments. They are dated February 29. I realize you probably didn't have an opportunity to amend your application if you needed to respond to any of these. But since we're here, whoever has it in front of them. I think we gave them to you, if you didn't get them directly from DEEP.

On page 2 near the bottom it said miscellaneous corrections, and it refers to what Mr. Ashton said about active rail line.

THE WITNESS (Cabral): That's correct.
It was incorrectly listed as an inactive railroad on page 1-6 of Volume 1. It is an active railroad.

MR. LEVESQUE: And you'll just make sure as far as safety of workmen, employees or whatever work you're doing that you'll warn them?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Absolutely.

We'll have to get a permit from Connecticut DOT for the crossing of that railroad, and that's a process that we should be submitting to them within the next few weeks.

MR. LEVESQUE: Thank you.
Then on page 5 of that report at the top paragraph they make a suggestion or a comment where you propose to remove the lattice tower and add two monopole towers. He makes the suggestion that for one of the lines you'll utilize the existing lattice towers?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): We can take a look at that and review that in close detail to see if there's enough strength if we have enough room in the right-of-way.

MR. LEVESQUE: Okay.
MR. FITZGERALD: Can you just elaborate on that point? What is it you have to look at and what would be -- what occurs to you as the potential advantages and disadvantages of doing it that way?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): Well, I mean, the obvious advantage would be reduced construction footprint and reduced construction time. So one of the things that we would take a
look at is whether we can -- you know, do we have to remove the arms or any other members on the tower when we separate off one of those circuits while still maintaining the spacing to the new proposed circuit so as to kind of not eat up the right-of-way today, you know, save it for the future potential use. And then should we remove any of those members to make clearance for this new transmission circuit, are there any deleterious effects on the structure itself.

MR. LEVESQUE: I'll leave it to your judgement to report on it and Mr. Ashton question you on it.

And then for any towers that are removed from the project, would the foundations for them be removed?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): The foundations would be removed to below grade and then covered with soil and seeded. We wouldn't remove the entire foundation.

MR. LEVESQUE: Or it depends on the individual circumstances?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Correct. If there was a certain request, but standard protocol would be to remove it to a certain distance below
grade, cover it with soil and seed it, versus trying to go through all the disturbance it would take to remove the entire foundation.

MR. LEVESQUE: Thank you very much.
That takes care of my questions.
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lynch?
MR. LYNCH: As usual, Phil has already asked all my engineering and loading questions. I do have -- if I missed it in any of the legends or the application, I didn't really notice any areas for layout for construction during the period. Is that going to be on Eversource property, or will that be off site?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): So at each structure site there is a work pad, and that's on the Volume 5, 100 scale maps versus the 400 scale maps that you're looking at.

MR. LYNCH: Okay.
THE WITNESS (Cabral): And there you can see that there's a work pad for each structure. So that would be a unique storage area for each structure. There will also be a material yard, potentially multiple material yards, where the material will be delivered to before it's brought to each structure site. Potential
locations are included in Volume 1, but that's something we want our construction contractor to select. So it will be something that we'll submit as either part of the D\&M plan or as a follow-up consultation with the Council.

MR. LYNCH: I just missed it. So thank you.

THE WITNESS (Cabral): No problem.
MR. LYNCH: And as we did our field review this afternoon -- this is like an aside question -- I noticed that in some of the homes that we passed there were ATVs and dirt bikes in the yard. When you complete post construction I'm talking about how big -- does that become a problem for people getting on the site using the ATVs and the dirt bikes?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Obviously
Eversource's protocol is not to encourage that. It does happen at times. One of the things that Eversource tries to do in these projects is when we install a road off a public street, we will put up a gate to help deter people coming down that corridor, but if they want to get around it they will.

MR. LYNCH: I just wonder how big a
problem, if it is a problem at all.
(Off the record discussion.)
THE WITNESS (Cabral): I mean, this particular corridor, you saw the terrain, it's pretty difficult, but in general in the right corridor it can be a problem.

MR. LYNCH: Because I also saw a lot of no trespassing signs and trails, and I think they're an open invitation for these people too.

Now that Phil has asked all my questions, Mr. Chairman, I'm all set. Thank you.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr.
Lynch.
Any other questions?
MR. HANNON: I do have some. I'd just like to follow up on the conversation about the lattice towers. How old are they?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): So the lattice tower at the Naugatuck River Crossing is circa 1971.

MR. HANNON: What is the general expected life expectancy of something like that? THE WITNESS (Soderman): The book life is about 40 years, but we have lattice towers that have survived for 80 or so years, some in good
shape, some not so good shape, but we've had lattice towers go well beyond 60 years.

MR. HANNON: Part of the reason I'm asking is because if they're 40,45 years old now, if they do stay up, what are we looking at as far as possibly having to go back in ten years or something and remove them? And what kind of a problem would that be if that were in fact the case?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): We can
evaluate the condition, you know, of the towers. Our initial plan was to replace them, so we really didn't focus on the existing condition, but we can take a look at the existing condition of those lattice towers and report to the Council.

MR. HANNON: If the line is going to be there for 60,70 years, but the towers are only going to be there for another 25 , what would you have to do then to go back and change them out? So that was kind of where $I$ was going with it. Thank you.

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Just to follow up on that, it is more efficient obviously to do the work now than it is later with having the construction crews there and the access road
upgrades there and all the heavy equipment. You need to build a transmission line there now versus having to remobilize that, like you said, in a future period to do the work.
the vice chairman: Mr. Mercier.
MR. MERCIER: Yes. I just had a few more questions on -- we just talked about the life span of the lattice. But at the field review today it was mentioned that there was laminate towers out on the existing right-of-way. Are those still used on a wide-spread basis in Eversource's installation methods?

THE WITNESS (Soderman): We typically don't use that for new construction. We found that light duty steel, number one, is less expensive and obviously more durable. So it's not our preferred construction type to take advantage of.

MR. MERCIER: I'm just curious of the life span of those. I thought I saw one that had some rot on the surface of it.

THE WITNESS (Soderman): It's possible. You can envision the laminates to be -- they tend to last about as much as the natural wood pole structures do. They used to bow more, but we're
finding it's about the same.
MR. MERCIER: Is that 50 years or so or
less?
THE WITNESS (Soderman): Yes, about that.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Getting back to the application, a couple of questions. It's on page 4-12, and it talked at the very top of the page, it said, "During vegetation removal, timber mats or equivalent may be used to provide a stable base." Is the equivalent the gravel, as we discussed earlier, or some other material that you may use?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): No, that would be the actual clearing equipment, so the equipment that the contractor would use to cut the trees. So what we're saying there is that timber mats or equivalent will be used for that equipment to traverse that wetland to do the clearing.

MR. MERCIER: I'm trying to figure out what other material is used besides the timber mats.

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Okay. So there's other types of matting out there other than timber matting. There's synthetic matting,
other matting that's out there. So that's just giving our contractor some flexibility, not necessarily to use the timber material.

MR. MERCIER: So it's the contractors, whatever they have in their yard is acceptable to Eversource?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): Yes.
THE WITNESS (Davison): I would anticipate during clearing some of the forestry operators may use something like corduroy, which is sort of commonly used in forestry operations. So just smaller pieces of wood that they lay out in front of them that operates like a timber mat, but it's more improved.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
I just have one more on the pulling operations. On page 4-19 of the application it talked a little bit about the pulling operation. Once the work pad is established and pulling equipment is set into place, how long does that activity last? Is it a day, a few hours?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): To construct the actual pull site, or to set up the equipment?

MR. MERCIER: Once the equipment is in place and the work pad is in place and you're
ready to go and start the pulling operation, how long does the actual pulling last?

THE WITNESS (Cabral): So the pulling
typically lasts for a typical pole one full day of actual pulling of the conductor. Depending on weather conditions, it could go up to two days. Now, once again, that's just the pulling phase. Once the conductor is in there, it still has to be tensioned and clipped into the structure and things of that nature. So it's just the actual pulling of the wire from the reels into the structures.

MR. MERCIER: I guess my question related to that is that pulling operation, whether it's in there for a day or a day and a half, is that an excessively noisy operation or just a general construction noise? I mean, is there a loud banging or some type of --

THE WITNESS (Cabral): There is not any loud banging. It's standard construction noise.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
And on page 10-6, it talked about alternatives of the project. There were some values thrown in there for wetland impact. I think this was in the fourth paragraph down. It
said the North Bloomfield to Canton line would impact approximately 13 acres of wetlands. Is that temporary effects, permanent effects or both? THE WITNESS (Mango): In the analysis that we did it was just we didn't distinguish temporary or permanent. Traditionally most of those impacts would be temporary because, as is Eversource's policy, they would try to design anything, any overhead lines to minimize impacts to wetlands. So proportionally it would probably be the same as some other of Eversource's projects, so probably less than a couple of acres of permanent impacts, you know, depending on the actual configuration of the wetlands along that right-of-way.

MR. MERCIER: In the following sentence where it talks about the approximately 2.2 acres of wetlands for this project, is it safe to assume that that information now has been updated in Interrogatory 11 where you had all the values presented for each wetland?

THE WITNESS (Davison): I think for the purposes of this analysis here that is in Section 10, we used desktop layers, so we were comparing essentially apples to apples. So in both cases we
were using basically desktop layers of the wetlands so we can make an accurate comparison.

MR. MERCIER: So the interrogatory is more indepth?

THE WITNESS (Davison): Yes. That information is based on actual field delineation.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I have no other questions.

THE VICE CHAIRMAN: Any of the members of the Council have any questions before we recess?
(No response.)
THE VICE CHAIRMAN: This Council therefore will recess until 6:30 p.m., at which time when we commence it will be for the public to comment in that session to the Connecticut Siting Council. And we'll start at 6:30. With that, I guess we're adjourned.
(Whereupon, the witnesses were excused and the above proceedings adjourned at 4:44 p.m.)
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24: 12
\] \\
Ashton (47)
\end{tabular} \& bailiwick (1) \\
\hline 66:25 acceptable (1) \& 47:21;67:23;73:17 \& annealed (1) \& 24:14;43:2,3,15,20; \& 49:23 \\
\hline 75:5 \& advantageous (1) \& 57:11 \& 44:9;45:2,13;46:3,14, \& 61:1 \\
\hline access (8) \& \(38: 2\)

3dvantages (1) \& annealing (2) \& 23;47:3,8,12,18,25; \& ball (2) <br>

\hline 39:22,23;40:4,6,11; \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { advantages (1) } \\
& 67: 20
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 46:7,9 |
| :--- |
| annual (1) | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 48:6;49:5,11,14;50:7, } \\
& 13 ; 51: 7,10 ; 52: 8,12,
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 57:20;64:10 <br>

\hline 58:25;59:3;72:25

accommodate (4) \& | Advisory |
| :--- |
| (1) | \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { annual }(\mathbf{1}) \\
65: 11
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 13 ; 51: 7,10 ; 52: 8,12, \\
& 16,23 ; 53: 2,9 ; 54: 5,9,
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& banging (2) <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { accommodate (4) } \\
& 32: 20 ; 33: 23 ; 38: 25 ;
\end{aligned}
$$ \& Advisory (1)

$36: 9$ \& answered (1) \& \[
23 ; 55: 4,12,15,20,25

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 76: 18,20 \\
& \text { bank (1) }
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline 54:4 \& affecting (2) \& 64:5 \& 56:6,22;57:1,6,13,23; \& 58:10 <br>
\hline accordance (1) \& 46:9,10 \& Anthony (1) \& 58:2;66:17;68:12 \& barrier (1) <br>
\hline 26:12 \& ffirmative (1) \& 26:7 \& aside (1) \& 38:11 <br>

\hline accurate (1) \& afterno \& $$
75: 9
$$ \& assigned (1) \& base (1) <br>

\hline 78:2 \& $$
24: 1 ; 27: 5 ; 70: 10
$$ \& anticipated (1) \& \[

44: 15
\] \& 74:11 <br>

\hline 30:24 \& afternoon's (1) \& 66:1 \& associated (1) \& $$
64: 15
$$ <br>

\hline acres (4) \& 26:18 \& appear (1) \& 25:12 \& Based (5) <br>
\hline 30:21;77:2,12,17 \& again (4) \& 33:10 \& assume (3) \& 32:11,12;56:20; <br>
\hline across (3) \& 26:20;40:17;47:13; \& appearance (1) \& 45:17,18;77:18 \& 65:11;78:6 <br>
\hline 25:20;56:1;58:25 \& 76:7 \& 28:8 \& assumed \& basic (1) <br>

\hline ACSR (1) \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { agenda (1) } \\
26: 13
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { appeared }(1) \\
29: 3
\end{array}
$$

\] \& | 45:11 |
| :--- |
| assuming | \& 52:2 <br>

\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
47: 22 \\
\text { ACSS (4) }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& ago (1) \& appears (4) \& 59:21,24 \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { basically (5) } \\
35: 16 ; 36: 18 ; 47: 6 ;
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline $$
46: 22 ; 47: 14 ; 57: 1,9
$$ \& 48:15 \& $32: 16,18 ; 56: 20 ;$

$57: 6$ \& asterisk (1) \& $$
52: 5 ; 78: 1
$$ <br>

\hline Act (1) \& agree (2)
$48: 20 \cdot 50 \cdot 2$ \& 57:6
apples (2) \& 29:13
attachment (1) \& basis (1) <br>
\hline 25:1 \& 48:20;50:2 \& apples (2) \& attachment (1) \& 73:11 <br>

\hline acting (1) \& $\underset{37.24}{\text { agricultural (1) }}$ \& $$
77: 25,25
$$ \& 54:20 \& bearing (1) <br>

\hline 24:16 \& 37:24 \& applicant (3) \& attorney (3) \& 35:25 <br>
\hline active (9) \& ain't (1) \& 26:5;28:2,9 \& 24:17;28:9;30:1 \& become (1) <br>
\hline 55:21,23,24;56:1,3, \& 56:9

allow (1) \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { applicant's (1) } \\
& 43: 10
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ATVs (2) } \\
70: 12,16
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& 70:14 <br>

\hline 4,7;66:17,20 \& allow (1)

45:19 \& 43:10 application (20) \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
70: 12,16 \\
\text { auction (1) }
\end{array}
$$ \& $\underset{\text { begin (1) }}{ }$ <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { activity (2) } \\
& 62: 22 ; 75: 21
\end{aligned}
$$ \& allowed (1) \& application (20)

$$
25: 1,23 ; 26: 3 ; 28: 20,
$$ \& auction (1)

35:22 \& $$
\begin{gathered}
28: 8 \\
\text { beginning (1) }
\end{gathered}
$$ <br>

\hline actual (7) \& $$
27: 1
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 21 ; 29: 6,8,10 ; 30: 14, \\
& 17 \cdot 31 \cdot 214 \cdot 32 \cdot 21 .
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& Authority (1) \& 35:16 <br>

\hline 74:15;75:23;76:2,5, \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { allowing (1) } \\
& 37: 5
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 17 ; 31: 2,14 ; 32: 21 ; \\
& 35: 15 ; 62: 3 ; 65: 3 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

24: 13
\] \& begins (1) <br>

\hline 10;77:14;78:6

actually (16) \& $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 37:5 } \\
\text { almost (2) }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 35: 15 ; 62: 3 ; 65: 3 ; \\
& \text { 66:10;69:10;74:7; }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { availability (1) } \\
& 55: 8
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& $35: 18$

belief (1) <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { actually (16) } \\
& 30: 23 ; 32: 1 ; 36: 23 ;
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 64:19,20 \& \[

75: 17

\] \& available (3) \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { belief (1) } \\
31: 3
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline 39:7;41:23;42:7; \& alone (1) \& approval (1) \& 26:16;36:11;57:12 \& below (2) <br>
\hline 48:11;54:19,20; \& 29:20
along (3) \& 55:5
approximately (9) \& average (1) \& 68:18,25 <br>
\hline 56:19;59:7;61:20; \& along (3)

$$
51: 25 ; 59: 9 ; 77: 14
$$ \& approximately (9)

24:4;25:12;30:23; \& $$
\begin{gathered}
65: 11 \\
\text { avoid (1) }
\end{gathered}
$$ \& benefit (1) <br>

\hline $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 64:13,14;65:22,24 } \\
& \text { add (1) }
\end{aligned}
$$ \& alternative (1) \& \[

34: 23 ; 44: 25 ; 54: 21

\] \& \[

60: 11

\] \& | 27:3 |
| :--- |
| Bentley (14) | <br>

\hline 67:9 \& 28:23 \& 57:8;77:2,17 \& avoided (1) \& $$
32: 23 ; 36: 3,14
$$ <br>

\hline added (1) \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { alternatives (3) } \\
& 28: 25 ; 50: 23 ; 76: 23
\end{aligned}
$$ \& archeological (1) 37:12 \& 36:21 \& 40:23;41:9;49:25; <br>

\hline \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 27:22 } \\
& \text { additional (4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 28:25;50:23;76:23 |
| :--- |
| aluminum (5) | \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
37: 12 \\
\text { area (19) }
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { aware (1) } \\
49: 5
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 50: 21 ; 51: 8,15 ; 52: 10 \text {, } \\
& 14,20 ; 53: 6,22
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline 33:22;36:1;50:4; \& 47:16,17,22,24; \& $$
34: 21 ; 39: 17 ; 40: 14
$$ \& away (1) \& besides (1) <br>

\hline 65:16 \& 57:11 \& 41:3,7;47:6;50:16; \& 61:5 \& 74:21 <br>
\hline address (4) \& amend (1) \& 51:17,24;52:13,18,24; \& \& best (3) <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| 31:3;43:6;51:6Better (1)31:10 | C | ```36:6 certain (4) 51:20;59:20;68:24, 25``` | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 22 ; 33: 22,25 ; \\ & 39: 16 ; 60: 9,11 ; 74: 15 \\ & 19 ; 75: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 4,17 ; 44: 16,23 \\ & 45: 16,25 ; 46: 8,15,22 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 5;47:9,17,22;54:16, |
| beyond (2) | Cabral (37) |  |  | 20;57:2,16;76:5,8 |
| 34:25;72:2 | 33:15;34:7,15,22; | certainly (1) | 61. | conductors (9) |
| Bidra (1) | 35:7,13;36:24;37:8, | $43: 19$ | Clerk's (1) | $34: 1,10 ; 44: 15 ; 45: 8$ |
| 26:11 | $20 ; 38: 9,19 ; 39: 9$ | certificate (1) | 27:16 | $12 ; 46: 7,17,18,19$ |
| big (4) | $40: 10 ; 41: 16 ; 42: 10$ | $25: 3$ | clipped (1) | configuration (3) |
| $49: 17 ; 56: 1 ; 70: 14,$ | $13 ; 43: 13 ; 55: 23 ; 56: 3$ | CHAIRMAN (26) | $76: 9$ | $40: 18 ; 50: 15 ; 77: 14$ |
| 25 | 61:3;62:14;66:18,25; | $24: 1,5,7,12 ; 27: 21$ | close (5) | configurations (1) |
| bikes (2) | 68:17,23;69:14,19; | 28:6,14;29:7,19,25; | $43: 24 ; 60: 18 ; 64: 10$ | $34: 9$ |
| $70: 12,16$ | $70: 8,17 ; 71: 3 ; 72: 22$ | $31: 5,10 ; 32: 6 ; 42: 24$ | $25 ; 67: 13$ | confirm (1) |
| bit (6) | 74:14,23;75:7,22; | 43:3;45:23;57:23; | closer (1) | 37:4 |
| $42: 14 ; 48: 7 ; 49: 21 ;$ $51 \cdot 11 \cdot 56 \cdot 13 \cdot 75 \cdot 18$ | 76:3,19 | 58:1,4;64:7;69:6; | 66:2 | confirmed (1) |
| 51:11;56:13;75:18 | calculations (1) | 1,12;73:5;78: | coming (3) | 36:5 |
| Bloomfield (2) 50:14;77:1 | 65:11 | 13 | 52:7;59:18;70:22 | connected (1) |
| 50:14;77: | call (2) | change (4) | commence (1) | 49:6 |
| BMPs (1) | 32:17;34 | 35:2;64:20;65:15; | 78:15 | Connecticut (14) |
| 60:13 | called | 72:19 | commencing ( | 24:5,21,23,24;25:2; |
| bodies (1) $58: 14$ | 24:2;30:3 | changed (1) | 37:2 | $26: 6 ; 27: 25 ; 36: 2,7 ;$ $48 \cdot 13 \cdot 49 \cdot 12 \cdot 51 \cdot 17$ |
| 58:14 book (1) | Campville (10) | 57:20 |  |  |
| book (1) $71: 23$ | $25: 5,15,17 ; 31: 25$ | changes (3) | $26: 20,21 ; 27: 2,5,11,$ | 67:1;78:16 <br> connection (1) |
| $71: 23$ both (4) | 33:21;34:18;51:13 | 41:5;65:17,20 <br> characterized | 9;67:7;78:16 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { connection (1) } \\ 44: 11 \end{array}$ |
| 47:21;64:21;77:3 | Can | 59:1 | 41:11;66:7,8 | connects (1) |
| 25 | $34: 19 ; 38: 4,12,25$ | check (1) | Commissioner (1) | 49:8 |
| bothering | 40:16;43:19;44:7,21; | 52:15 | 24:10 | consideration (1) |
| 56:13 | 49:24;51:6;53:21; | chokecherry (1) | Committee (1) | 50:19 |
| bottom (1) | 54:23;55:4,7,10; | 43:22 | 36:10 | considerations (2) |
| 66:15 | 58:24;61:13,15; | circa (1) | commonly (1) | 50:24;51:3 |
| bow (1) | 67:12,17;68:1;69:20; | 71:19 | $75: 11$ | considered (1) |
| 73:25 | $71: 6 ; 72: 10,13 ; 73: 23$ | circle (2) | communications (1) | $61: 20$ |
| Brad (1) | 78:2 | 47:7,9 | 26:1 | consists (1) |
| 49:24 | Canton | circuit (3) | Company (3) | 25:8 |
| Bridge (10) | 77:1 | 33:4;68:5 | 25:2;26:6;48:2 | constituent (1) |
| 25:5,14,17;32:14, | capabilities (1) | circuits (1) | comparing (1) | 48:1 |
| 22;43:8;49:1,8;52:6; | 44:14 | 68:3 | 77:24 | construct (2) |
| 54 | capability (1) | circu | comparison | 8:4;7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { brief (1) } \\ 26: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 44:23 | 47:2,3,6 circumstances | $\mathrm{m}_{]}$ | constructability 41:21 |
| bring (2) | $48: 13$ | 68:22 | 25:4 | constructed (2) |
| 52:4;54:23 | care (1) | Citizens | complete (2) | 37:16;49:9 |
| Britain (1) | 69:5 | 26:16 | 50:15;70:13 | construction (16) |
| 24:23 | careful (1 | City (1) | completed (1) | 25:9;35:23;40:5,9; |
| brought (3) | 55:6 | 27:16 | 61:17 | 0:20;62:22;67:24, |
| 38:24;41:24;69:25 | carefully | civil (1) | concede (1) | 24;69:11;70:2,13; |
| build (2) | $57: 17$ | 35:8 | 56:6 | 72:25;73:14,17; |
| 50:15;73:2 | Carmody (1) | CL\&P (2) | conceptual | 76:17,20 |
| built (2) | 26:8 | 48:11;53:5 | 50:22 | consultant (2) |
| 44:18;65:8 | carrying (2) | clarifications ( | Concern (3) | 37:4,6 |
| bullet (6) | $44: 14,23$ | 30:16 | 27:25;46:5;64: |  |
| $31: 20,24 ; 36: 19$ $41: 2 ; 59: 16 ; 60: 5$ | case (4) | clarify (1) | concerned (1) | $70: 5$ |
| 41:2;59:16;60:5 bunch (1) | $34: 9 ; 41: 23 ; 48: 12 ;$ 72.9 | $\begin{gathered} 62: 19 \\ \text { clarity (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 58:13 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Consumer (1) } \\ 26: 10 \end{array}$ |
| 33:11 | cases | 42:15 | 60:22,22,24;61:4,9 | contingencies (1) |
| bundle (1) | $41: 22 ; 7$ | clear (1) | condition (6) | 51:22 |
| 46:17 | cause (1) | 59:2 | 32:25;56:8;65:1 | contingency (1) |
| bundled (2) | 33:5 | clearance (4) | 72:11,13,14 | 33:4 |
| 44:17,20 | Celsius (1) | $34: 1 ; 54: 16 ; 56: 21 ;$ | conditions (2) | continuation (1) |
| business (1) | $45: 10$ | 68:8 | 38:1;76:6 | $24: 20$ |
| 25:3 | center (3) | cleared | conducted (1) | continue (1) |
|  | 54:15,19;55:2 | 64:18 | $41: 12$ | $27: 11$ |
|  | Central (1) |  |  |  |


| $45: 9$ | crossings (1) | $\begin{gathered} 62: 21 \\ \text { deficient (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $66: 14$ <br> director (2) | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { duty (1) } \\ 73: 15 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $37: 1,22 ; 38: 3,22$ | $\begin{gathered} 37: 21 \\ \operatorname{cry}(\mathbf{1} \end{gathered}$ | 51:18 | $24: 16 ; 29: 1$ |  |
| 70:2;74:16;75:2 | 44:10 | definitely (1) | dirt (2) | E |
| contractors (1) | cultural (1) | 55:11 | 70:12,16 |  |
| 75:4 | 36:20 | degrees (2) | disadvantages (1) | earlier (4) |
| control (7) | curious (2) | 45:10,10 | 67:20 | 40:21;61:20;64:4; |
| 58:11;61:2;62:2,6, | 62:1;73:19 | deleterious (1) | discharge (1) | 74:13 |
| 10,21;63:15 | current (5) | 68:10 | 61:9 | early (2) |
| controls (1) | 44:14;45:19,24; | delineates (1) | discussed (2) | 38:19;41:19 |
| 62:16 | 62:25;65:24 | 36:23 | 34:19;74:12 | earth (1) |
| convenience (1) | currently (2) | delineation (1) | discussion (3) | 35:3 |
| 27:17 | 39:12;51:21 | 78:6 | 39:2,22;71:2 | easement (1) |
| conversation (1) | cut (2) | delineations (2) | dispose (1) | 48:16 |
| 71:16 | 35:9;74:16 | 37:10,11 | 38:23 | easily (1) |
| copies (2) | cutting (1) | deliver (1) | disposed (1) | 38:4 |
| 26:13,16 | 59:20 | 50:5 | 61:4 | east (5) |
| copper (3) | cycle (1) | delivered (1) | distance (2) | 33:24;34:23;35:1; |
| 44:17,22;45:7 | 39:18 | 69:24 | 61:5;68:25 | 52:19,19 |
| corduroy (1) |  | delta (1) | distinguish (1) | eat (1) |
| 75:10 | D | 34:10 | 77:5 | 68:5 |
| corrected (1) |  | Department (3) | distributed (1) | edge (1) |
| 31:6 | D\&M (9) | 24:10;27:23;66:7 | 26:14 | 64:17 |
| correction (3) | 35:10;36:25;40:19; | Depending (2) | distribution (1) | effect (1) |
| 31:1,6,8 | 43:18;57:21;62:8; | 76:5;77:13 | 52:11 | 36:5 |
| corrections (4) | 63:13,18;70:4 | depends (1) | disturbance (1) | effects (3) |
| 30:16;31:15,18; | d/b/a (1) | 68:21 | 69:2 | 68:10;77:3,3 |
| 66:16 | 26:6 | deposited (1) | Diversity (2) | efficient (1) |
| corridor (4) | D43 (1) | 27:14 | 59:19;60:2 | 72:23 |
| 65:22;70:23;71:4,6 | 28:1 | design (7) | docket (2) | either (3) |
| Council (16) | dabble (1) | 33:16;35:8;41:20; | 43:12;50:20 | 51:22;56:15;70:4 |
| 24:6,8;25:24;26:1, | 46:4 | 42:16,20;63:12;77:8 | dominant (1) | elaborate (1) |
| 17;27:7,22;43:1; | danger (1) | designee (2) | 65:22 | 67:17 |
| 48:21;49:14;55:6; | 44:1 | 24:9,12 | done (5) | electric (5) |
| 70:5;72:15;78:10,13, | Daniel (1) | desktop (2) | 59:20;60:23;61:15; | 25:6,10,19,22; |
| 17 | 24:14 | 77:24;78:1 | 63:1,3 | 64:22 |
| Councilman (1) | Database (2) | detail (2) | DOT (1) | elevation (2) |
| 49:4 | 59:19;60:2 | 40:18;67:13 | 67:1 | 35:2,5 |
| Council's (2) | date (1) | detailed (3) | double (1) | elsewhere (1) |
| 24:21;26:16 | 27:7 | 35:8;41:20;63:13 | 33:4 | 38:18 |
| Counsel (1) | dated (1) | details (3) | down (13) | EMF (1) |
| 26:10 | 66:9 | 30:20;52:17;63:18 | 38:7,10,14;43:7; | 64:11 |
| couple (4) | Davison (15) | deter (1) | 44:19;54:6,24;57:15; | employees (1) |
| 31:15;32:12;74:8; | $29: 16,17,22,25$ | 70:22 | 59:5,5,9;70:22;76:25 | 66:23 |
| 77:12 | 30:15,18;31:4;39:11, | determine (3) | drawing (2) | EMS (1) |
| coupled (1) | 20;60:4;61:19;63:25; | 37:17,23;46:24 | 31:22;32:3 | 62:16 |
| 53:15 | 75:8;77:22;78:5 | determined (1) | drawings (1) | encourage (1) |
| cover (2) | day (4) | 65:16 | 53:10 | 70:18 |
| 58:22;69:1 | 75:21;76:4,15,15 | determining (2) | driver (1) | end (4) |
| covered (3) $63 \cdot 9,23 \cdot 68.18$ | days (2) | $37: 7,17$ develop (1) | 51:12 | 26:18;35:17;48:17; |
| 63:9,23;68:18 | 27:7;76:6 | develop (1) | driving (1) | 49:2 |
| CPV (2) | deal (1) | 38:10 | 56:15 | Endangered (1) |
| 40:24;41:4 | $41: 11$ dealing (1) | development (2) | dugout (1) | 27:24 |
| create (1) | dealing (1) | 56:11;60:14 | 64:16 | Energy (5) |
| 33:3 | 60:16 | diameter (1) | duly (2) | 24:11;25:3;26:7; |
| crews (1) | December (4) | 47:7 | 30:3,11 | 27:23;66:7 |
| 72:25 | 25:24;36:9,14,15 | different (2) | dump (1) | engineer (1) |
| cross (1) | decoy (4) | 34:13;48:1 | 60:25 | 53:23 |
| 31:9 | 61:14,17,21,24 | difficult (1) | durable (1) | engineering (1) |
| cross-examination (4) | DEEP (4) | 71:5 | 73:16 | 69:8 |
| 32:5,7,9;43:1 | 41:11;63:7,16; | direct (1) | during (5) | engineers (1) |
| crossing (2) | 66:14 | 29:15 | 37:9;59:20;69:11; | 46:4 |
| 67:2;71:19 | DEEP's (1) | directly (1) | 74:10;75:9 | England (4) |


| 36:4,11;49:6;50:6 | except (1) | 37:18,19 | 36:1;74:1 | frequency (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| enhancement (1) | 59:3 | Fahrenheit (1) | Fine (3) | 63:20 |
| 58:10 | exception (1) | 45:10 | 28:16;62:18;63:22 | friends (1) |
| enough (4) | 28:22 | fair (1) | finished (1) | 27:4 |
| 52:3;54:3;67:14,14 | excess (4) | 50:8 | 38:16 | front (3) |
| enter (1) | 60:22,24;61:3,9 | fairly (2) | first (9) | 42:5;66:12;75:13 |
| 61:22 | excessively (1) | 43:23,24 | 28:15;30:3;31:19; | Frost (10) |
| entire (2) | 76:16 | Falcon (1) | 35:18;44:12;49:4,5; | 25:5,13,16;32:14, |
| 68:20;69:3 | excuse (2) | 47:15 | 50:20;59:16 | 21;43:7;49:1,8;52:6; |
| entirely (1) | 40:1;56:25 | fall (1) | fish (1) | 54:1 |
| 25:11 | excused (1) | 56:5 | 58:23 | full (2) |
| entrance (1) | 78:19 | far (3) | Fitzgerald (17) | 29:6;76:4 |
| 43:21 | executive (2) | 61:15;66:23;72:5 | 26:8;28:5,9,12,16; | further (3) |
| envelope (1) | $24: 16 ; 29: 1$ | farthest (1) | 29:9,24;30:13,25; | $30: 12 ; 33: 5 ; 41: 25$ |
| 59:23 | Exhibit (6) | 64:25 | 31:7,12;32:4;40:20; | future (5) |
| Environmental (7) | 28:19,21;29:6,10, | fashion (1) | 45:5;65:6,19;67:17 | $51: 5 ; 54: 4,5 ; 68: 7$ |
| 24:11;25:4;27:23; | $14,20$ | 44:2 | flag (1) | $73: 4$ |
| 31:2;36:19;37:3;66:8 | Exhibits (1) | faster (2) | 37:2 |  |
| envision (1) | 28:19 | 45:17,21 | flagged (1) | G |
| 73:23 | exist (1) | fat (1) | 61:6 |  |
| equipment (7) | $40: 17$ | 56:8 | flexibility (1) | Gagnon (7) |
| 73:1;74:15,15,18; | existing (21) | feasible (2) | 75:2 | $31: 8,12,17 ; 43: 15 \text {, }$ |
| 75:20,23,24 | 25:11,13,15,19,21; | 59:17,22 | focus (1) | 17:44:3,25 |
| equivalent (4) | 32:15;35:1,2,5;40:4,6, | February (2) | 72:13 | game (1) |
| 44:22;74:11,12,18 | 11;47:20;55:1;65:12, | 24:20;66:9 | folks (1) | 57:20 |
| erosion (5) | 23;66:3;67:11;72:13, | feeling (1) | 60:3 | gate (1) |
| 58:11;62:2,5,9; | 14;73:10 | 53:12 | follow (2) | $70: 22$ |
| 63:15 | exists (2) | feet (15) | 71:16;72:22 | gave (1) |
| Esquire (2) | 48:24;57:7 | 31:21;33:24;34:4,5; | following (1) | 66:13 |
| 26:8,11 | expansion (6) | 45:12;53:21;54:13, | 77:16 | General (8) |
| essentially (1) | 34:5,19,21,23;51:6, | 14,16,21,21,24;55:2; | follows (2) | 24:24;50:1;62:3,22; |
| 77:25 | 12 | 56:24,25 | 30:5,12 | 63:7;71:5,21;76:17 |
| established (1) | expect (1) | fence (4) | follow-up (1) | generating (1) |
| 75:19 | $40: 14$ | $34: 24 ; 35: 1 ; 43: 2$ | 70:4 | $35: 20$ |
| evaluate (1) | expectancy (1) | $44: 4$ | foot (4) | generation (1) |
| 72:11 | 71:22 | few (6) | 34:23,25;53:18; | 51:18 |
| even (4) | expected | 33:10;64:4;66:6; | 56:16 | genesis (1) |
| 57:17;64:16,24; | 71:22 | 67:4;73:6;75:21 | footprint (1) | 52:6 |
| 66:2 | expensive (1) | field (15) | 67:24 | gentlemen (1) |
| events (1) | 73:16 | 32:11;36:23;40:8; | force (1) | 24:2 |
| 62:25 | explaining (1) | 41:12,13,18;64:10,15, | 56:16 | geotextile (2) |
| Eversource (12) | $29: 1$ | 21,22,25;65:5;70:9; | forecast (1) | 38:10,13 |
| 25:3,11;26:7;34:8; | explanation (1) | 73:8;78:6 | 53:7 | gets (1) |
| 36:22;38:22;39:7,11; | 65:9 | fields (1) | forestry (2) | 42:21 |
| 48:11;69:12;70:20; | extending (2) | 37:24 | 75:9,11 | GHCC (2) |
| 75:6 | 25:12;34:24 | figure (2) | forth (1) | 41:3,7 |
| Eversource's (8) | extends (1) | 43:22;74:20 | 28:1 | given (2) |
| 25:13;34:12;37:3; | 42:7 | file (1) | forward-capacity (1) | 27:8;43:25 |
| 42:18;70:18;73:12; | extent (1) | 24:18 | 35:21 | giving (1) |
| 77:8,11 | 58:24 | filed (1) | found (3) | 75:2 |
| evident (1) | eye (1) | 55:5 | 60:1;63:14;73:14 | glove (1) |
| 44:20 | 61:8 | fill (1) | foundation (2) | 46:10 |
| evidentiary (2) | F | 61:23 filling (1) | 68:20; $69: 3$ foundations (3) | goes (3) $44 \cdot 17 \cdot 48 \cdot 14,25$ |
| exact (1) | F | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { filling (1) } \\ 61: 21 \end{array}$ | foundations (3) <br> 60:16;68:15,17 | 44:17;48:14,25 <br> Good (7) |
| 35:9 | fabric (2) | final (1) | four (1) | $24: 1 ; 43: 11,23 ;$ |
| exactly (2) | 38:10,13 | 55:5 | 53:3 | 57:23;61:4;71:25; |
| 34:4;61:7 | facilities (1) | finalized (1) | fourth (1) | 72:1 |
| examined (2) | 25:12 | 42:16 | 76:25 | Goshen (2) |
| 30:4;50:19 | fact (4) | find (5) | Franklin (1) | 52:18,24 |
| example (4) | 48:24;56:6;57:10; | $35: 11 ; 40: 25 ; 44: 12$ | $24: 22$ | governing (1) |
| $37: 24 ; 51: 14 ; 58: 24$ | $72: 8$ | $51: 24 ; 64: 12$ | Frayer (2) | $56: 14$ |
| $59: 10$ | factor (2) | finding (2) | 28:24;29:1 | grade (8) |


| 40:1,2,5,6,9,13; | 24:2,20,22;27:14; | 66:19 | 26:4,25 | knows (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68:18;69:1 | 28:6,11,18;29:13 | inch (2) | into (20) | 43:15 |
| grading (3) | hearings (1) | 47:7;64:2 | 26:23;36:2;42:17; | kV (2) |
| 34:24;35:4;61:16 | 27:9 | include (1) | 48:14;49:21;50:1,25; | 52:9;54:4 |
| gravel (7) | heat (4) | 42:2 | 51:3,19,21;52:5,7,11; |  |
| 37:16,19;38:2,5,7, | 45:16,19,20,22 | included (3) | 60:7,13;61:22;63:19; | L |
| 16;74:12 | heavy (1) | 35:21;64:14;70:1 | 75:20;76:9,11 |  |
| Greater (1) | 73:1 | includes (2) | invasive (2) | ladies (1) |
| 36:6 | height (5) | 36:7;41:21 | 39:8,13 | 24:2 |
| greenhouses (3) | $56: 14,16 ; 57: 3,15,$ | including (2) | invasives (1) | laminate (2) |
| 33:11,13,17 | 19 | 26:25;35:24 | 39:5 | 55:1;73:9 |
| ground (1) | held (3) | inclusion (1) | investigations (1) | laminates (1) |
| 63:9 | 24:20,23;26:5 | 41:4 | 37:12 | $73: 23$ |
| growing (2) | hell (1) | incorporate (1) | invitation (1) | land (1) |
| $53: 4,8$ | 56:1 | $60: 13$ | 71:9 | 65:8 |
| grown (1) | help (3) | incorrectly (1) | involved (2) | landscaping (3) |
| 44:10 | 42:14;50:10;70:22 | 66:19 | 46:18;60:20 | 43:9,10,11 |
| guess (10) | helping (1) | indepth (1) | ISO (5) | laptop (1) |
| 32:13,17;33:12,24; | 57:19 | 78:4 | 33:2;36:3,11;41:2,3 | 36:15 |
| 35:17;41:11;52:21; | helps (1) | individual (1) | issue (2) | large (1) |
| 55:15;76:13;78:18 | 38:10 | 68:22 | 61:22,23 | 42:6 |
| guessed (1) | Henault (1) | infield (1) | Item (4) | Larry (1) |
| 45:2 | 26:10 | 64:25 | 28:1,3,4;34:17 | $24: 11$ |
| Guide (1) | Hennessey (1) | informally (1) |  | last (9) |
| 26:17 | 26:9 | 49:15 | J | 28:18;29:20;31:14; |
| H | hereof (1) $27: 7$ | information (4) 63:13,15;77:19; | jargon (1) | 34:17;35:19;41:10; 73:24;75:21;76:2 |
|  | Heritage-type (1) | 78:6 | 47:25 | lasts (1) |
| habitat (1) | 55:24 | infrastructure (1) | Jason (1) | 76:4 |
| 58:10 | H-frame (1) | 50:4 | 63:11 | later (3) |
| hair (1) | 30:20 | initial (2) | Jerry (1) | 31:11;49:9;72:24 |
| 46:17 | high (3) | 42:15;72:12 | 24:4 | latest (1) |
| half (1) | 50:22;51:16;57:3 | inquire (1) | job (2) | 53:7 |
| $76: 15$ | homes (1) | 43:8 | 43:11;52:23 | lattice (8) |
| hand (2) | $70: 11$ | Insofar (1) | join (1) | $67: 8,11 ; 71: 17,18$ |
| 46:10,20 | honest (1) | 57:14 | 27:4 | $24 ; 72: 2,15 ; 73: 8$ |
| handed (1) | $49: 21$ | inspecting (1) | Jr (1) | Lauren (1) |
| $53: 11$ | hope (1) | 62:9 | 24:14 | $26: 10$ |
| Hannon (15) | 51:7 | inspection (3) | judgement (1) | law (1) |
| $24: 9 ; 58: 3,4 ; 59: 14$ | hours (1) | $31: 13 ; 62: 16 ; 63: 20$ | $68: 12$ | 26:3 |
| $60: 15 ; 61: 12 ; 62: 1,17$ | 75:21 | inspections (2) | Julia (1) | lay (1) |
| 63:5,22;64:3;71:15, | House (1) | 62:5;64:1 | 28:24 | 75:12 |
| 21;72:3,16 | 24:12 | install (1) | Junction (9) | layer (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { happen (2) } \\ & 61: 10 ; 70: 19 \end{aligned}$ | I | 70:21 <br> installation (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 14,22 ; 33: 9,20 \\ & 50: 9,12 ; 54: 1,2,3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39: 5 \\ \text { layers (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { happening (1) } \\ & \text { 37:9 } \end{aligned}$ | identified (1) | $\begin{gathered} 33: 25 ; 73: 12 \\ \text { installations (1) } \end{gathered}$ | K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 77:24;78:1 } \\ & \text { layout (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| happens (1) | $36: 25$ | $44: 4$ |  | $69: 11$ |
| 49:1 | Identify (1) | installed (1) | kcmil (3) | least (1) |
| Hartford (2) | 36:20 | . 54:6 | 46:22,23;47:22 | 65:25 |
| 36:6;50:16 | impact (2) | intact (1) | keep (2) | leave (3) |
| Harwinton (6) | $76: 24 ; 77: 2$ | $56: 12$ | 59:6;61:8 | $53: 16,23 ; 68: 11$ |
| 25:8,16,21;27:15; | impacts (4) | interconnect (1) | kind (5) | leeway (1) |
| 52:22,24 | 58:14;77:7,9,13 | 50:5 | 50:19;64:16;68:5; | 34:2 |
| hate (2) | implementing (1) | interesting (1) | 72:7,20 | left (1) |
| 44:9;60:24 | 63:2 | 44:16 | Klee (1) | 64:25 |
| hazard (1) | important (2) | Interrogatory (2) | 24:10 | legends (1) |
| 44:4 | 45:14;58:22 | 77:20;78:3 | knock (1) | $69: 9$ |
| head (1) | imposed (1) | interstate (1) | 57:15 | less (7) |
| 55:18 | $60: 8$ | $63: 3$ | knocked (1) | $40: 3 ; 56: 17 ; 65: 15$ |
| hear (1) | improved (1) | intervenor (2) | $54: 15$ | 66:3;73:15;74:3; |
| $43: 4$ | $75: 14$ | 27:10;28:3 | knowledge (1) | $77: 12$ |
| hearing (8) | inactive (1) | intervenors (2) | $31: 3$ | lessen (2) |


| 40:6,9 | logs (1) | 44:7;60:14 | 24:8,15;26:1,2; | monopole (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| letter (1) | 56:2 | Mango (6) | 68:2,8;78:9 | 32:16,18;67:9 |
| 41:24 | long (7) | 29:15,16;58:19; | Memorial (1) | more (23) |
| level (4) | 48:15,15;49:8,11; | 62:19;63:10;77:4 | 64:9 | 40:15;45:17,19,21, |
| 40:17;50:22,22; | 56:24;75:20;76:2 | many (1) | mention (1) | 22;49:19;50:1,3; |
| 51:16 | longer-term (1) | 39:23 | 47:18 | 53:19;56:16;58:13; |
| levels (1) | 51:3 | $\boldsymbol{m a p}(2)$ | mentioned (2) | 60:6;63:6,13,14; |
| 64:21 | look (15) | 41:14;42:4 | 48:24;73:9 | 65:24;72:23;73:7,16, |
| Levesque (12) | 33:6;43:19;44:7; | maps (3) | mentions (1) | 25;75:14,16;78:4 |
| 24:12;64:8,9,24; | 50:20,23;51:10,21; | 35:9;69:16,17 | 37:15 | most (4) |
| 66:2,6,22;67:5,16; | 55:6,11;56:7;60:17; | March (1) | Mercier (40) | 38:4;52:3;63:4; |
| 68:11,21;69:4 | 67:13,18;68:1;72:14 | 24:3 | 24:17;32:8,10;33:8, | 77:6 |
| life (4) | looked (6) | mark (2) | 18;34:12,17;35:6,11, | Mostly (1) |
| 71:22,23;73:7,20 | 33:5;44:5,21;53:10; | 36:20;37:2 | 14;36:12,17;37:6,14; | 65:21 |
| Light (4) | 57:16,18 | marked (2) | 38:5,15;39:1,15,21; | Mountain (2) |
| 25:2;26:6;44:22; | looking (5) | 41:13;61:6 | 40:22;41:8,10;42:4, | 49:9,11 |
| 73:15 | 42:4;52:16;62:4; | Massachusetts (1) | 12,23,25;73:5,6,19; | much (7) |
| lightning (1) | 69:17;72:5 | 48:14 | 74:2,6,20;75:4,15,24; | 57:25;59:15,22; |
| 32:1 | looks (1) | mast (1) | 76:13,21;77:16;78:3, | 60:1;61:16;69:4; |
| likely (1) | 43:21 | 32:1 | 7 | 73:24 |
| 52:4 | loses (1) | mat (1) | merits (1) | multiple (1) |
| line (38) | 46:8 | 75:13 | 26:2 | 69:23 |
| 25:6,10,23;30:20; | loss (1) | match (1) | methods (2) | municipalities (1) |
| 32:15,15,16,21;33:2, | 45:20 | 34:7 | 38:3;73:12 | 25:7 |
| 19,23,25;34:24;35:1; | losses (1) | material (9) | meticulous (1) | Murphy (1) |
| 40:12;44:18,22; | 45:21 | 38:6,7,25;69:22,23, | 31:13 | 24:4 |
| 48:25,25;49:3,5,7,7; | lost (1) | 24;74:13,21;75:3 | metropolitan (1) | must (1) |
| 50:8;51:22,24;52:6; | 57:10 | mats (7) | 50:16 | 46:14 |
| 53:23;54:4,15,19; | $\operatorname{lot}(4)$ | 37:16,18,21,23; | might (5) | MVA (1) |
| 55:2;65:24,24;66:17; | 48:9;51:1;59:8; | 74:10,17,22 | 30:13;34:13;41:18; | $44: 24$ |
| 72:16;73:2;77:1 | 71:7 | Matt (1) | 48:2;58:16 |  |
|  | loud (2) | 39:9 | migrating (2) | N |
| $25: 19 ; 34: 8 ; 40: 4 ;$ $47 \cdot 21 \cdot 51 \cdot 23 \cdot 52 \cdot 18$. | 76:18,20 | Matthew (1) | 60:7,12 |  |
| 47:21;51:23;52:18; | Louise (2) | 29:15 | mil (2) | name (1) |
| 67:10;77:9 | 29:15;58:17 | matting (5) | 47:4,6 | 24:4 |
| List (3) | love (1) | 38:7;74:24,25,25; | mile (1) | narrowing (1) |
| 27:25;28:4;36:22 | 46:4 | 75:1 | 25:18 | 50:25 |
| listed (1) | low (1) | maximize (1) | miles (1) | Natural (3) |
| 66:19 | 53:21 | 48:22 | 25:13 | 59:19;60:2;73:24 |
| Litchfield (3) | Lynch (9) | maximum (1) | Milford (1) | nature (2) |
| 25:8,21;27:15 | 24:14;69:6,7,18; | 45:8 | 49:12 | 34:14;76:10 |
| little (10) | 70:6,9,25;71:7,13 | may (9) | milligauss (4) | Naugatuck (5) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:13;42:14;43:4; } \\ & 48: 7 ; 49: 21,22 ; 51: 11 ; \end{aligned}$ | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:6,10;33:5;37:22; } \\ & 48: 12 ; 60: 1 ; 74: 11,13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 65:4,13,14,15 } \\ & \text { mils (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 20 ; 55: 16,17 ; \\ & 59: 9: 71: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| $53: 10 ; 56: 13 ; 75: 18$ |  | 75:10 | 47:2 | near (2) |
| live (1) | magnetic (2) | maybe (1) | minimize (3) | 58:8;66:15 |
| 58:23 | 64:21;65:5 | 33:10 | 55:7;61:17;77:9 | nearest (1) |
| LLP (1) | maintain (1) | mean (5) | minimum (2) | 54:13 |
| 26:9 | 58:9 | 46:7;58:20;67:22; | 33:25;34:6 | necessarily (3) |
| load (12) | maintaining (2) | 71:3;76:17 | minor (1) | 38:11;60:5;75:3 |
| 51:13,17,19,21; | 62:5;68:4 | means (2) | 65:18 | necessary (3) |
| 52:5,19,21;53:4,7,8; | maintains (1) | 38:3,24 | miscellaneous (1) | 27:10;36:2;39:7 |
| 61:1;65:12 | 36:22 | measured (1) | 66:16 | need (6) |
| loading (1) | maintenance (5) | 64:22 | missed (3) | 25:4;50:4;52:2; |
| 69:8 | 25:9;39:12,18; | measurement (5) | 36:13;69:9;70:6 | 58:25;62:23;73:2 |
| local (1) | 40:12;58:21 | 64:15,18;65:4,5,6 | missing (2) | needed (4) |
| 51:13 | major (2) | measures (3) | 41:25;64:5 | 38:12;39:16;51:16; |
| location (1) | 62:15,24 | 62:6,10;65:17 | modifications (2) | 66:11 |
| 64:19 | makes (1) | megawatts (1) | 25:16;40:16 | needs (4) |
| locations (1) | 67:9 | 49:17 | monitoring (1) | 29:22;35:25;36:5; |
| 70:1 | man (1) | Melanie (1) | 62:24 | 41:6 |
| $\log (2)$ | 44:10 | 24:15 | monitors (1) | neighbors (1) |
| 56:1,9 | management (2) | members (7) | 61:8 | 27:4 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { NERC (1) } \\ 34: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32: 12 \\ \text { obvious (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 60:11 } \\ \text { opportunity (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 5 \\ & \text { paragraph (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { pieces (1) } \\ 75: 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New (22) | 67:23 | 57:15;66:10 | 35:19;58:7;67:7; | place (9) |
| 24:22;25:9,22; | Obviously (3) | optimally (1) | 76:25 | 37:4,11;39:18,24; |
| 32:21;33:3;36:3,11; | 70:17;72:23;73:16 | 40:3 | parallels (1) | 53:16;62:12;75:20, |
| 42:17;49:5,7,12,16; | occupies (1) | optimize (1) | 55:21 | 25,25 |
| 50:6,6;53:15,19; | 32:16 | 55:8 | Park (1) | placement (1) |
| 54:12,18,21;68:4,9; | occurred (1) | optimizes (1) | 64:10 | 57:18 |
| 73:14 | 64:18 | 53:16 | part (11) | places (1) |
| next (3) | occurs (1) | oral (1) | 35:4,10;40:19; | 37:22 |
| 39:25;42:7;67:4 | 67:19 | 26:22 | 43:18;47:9;49:4; | plan (15) |
| nice (1) | odd (1) | order (1) | 62:14;63:17,23;70:4; | 35:10;36:25;43:18; |
| 56:8 | 48:18 | 24:3 | 72:3 | 55:5;56:20;60:14; |
| Nodding (1) | off (12) | originally (1) | participate (1) | 62:2,8,15,21;63:14, |
| 55:18 | 37:2;45:16,18,22; | 49:4 | 27:1 | 17,17;70:4;72:12 |
| noise (2) | 46:20;51:1;54:15; | others (2) | particular (4) | planned (1) |
| 76:17,20 | 65:11;68:3;69:13; | 56:10;63:11 | 24:18;39:15;60:5; | 35:20 |
| noisy (1) | 70:21;71:2 | otherwise (2) | 71:4 | planners (1) |
| 76:16 | office (2) | 57:24;59:1 | parties (2) | 50:23 |
| nontransmission (2) | 24:22;26:9 | out (22) | 26:4,24 | planning (9) |
| 28:23,25 | Offices (1) | 31:11;35:4;40:7,11; | party (3) | 29:4;32:24;33:1; |
| normally (1) | 27:16 | 43:22;49:23;50:10; | 26:9;27:10;28:2 | 36:9;41:17;49:22; |
| 56:2 | official (1) | 51:23,23;53:2,11; | passed (1) | 51:2,5;59:22 |
| north (8) | 27:18 | 59:18;60:19;62:9; | 70:12 | plans (2) |
| 32:18,19;49:1; | off-the-record (1) | 64:12,25;72:19; | peak (1) | 60:23;61:24 |
| 50:13;52:24;53:25; | 25:25 | 73:10;74:20,24;75:1, | 53:8 | plant (4) |
| $54: 2 ; 77: 1$ | old (4) | 12 | people (5) | 35:24,25;36:4; |
| northwest (2) | 53:15,18;71:17; | outbuildings (1) | 44:8;61:6;70:15,22; | 49:18 |
| 36:2;51:17 | 72:4 | 33:13 | 71:9 | plants (3) |
| note (5) | Once (8) | outside (3) | per (1) | 49:16;50:1,5 |
| 26:24;27:2;28:18; | 38:15,16;40:17; | 39:4,16;60:25 | 45:12 | playing (1) |
| 42:14;54:11 | 42:16;75:19,24;76:7, | over (8) | percent (4) | 64:17 |
| noted (1) | $\stackrel{8}{8}$ | 47:15,23;48:9; | 40:3,7,7,15 | plus (2) |
| 65:12 | One (29) | 49:11,16;51:10,24; | perfectly (1) | 56:24,25 |
| notes (2) | 24:22;26:15,15; | 54:1 | 49:21 | Plymouth (1) |
| $35: 12,15$ | 27:9;28:13;30:23; | overhangs (1) | performed (1) | 27:16 |
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