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THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order this hearing of the Connecticut Siting Council today, Tuesday, September 15, 2015, at 3 p.m. My name is Robin Stein. I'm Chairman of the Siting Council.

Other members of the Council
here are Senator Murphy, our Vice Chairman;
Mr. Hannon, our designee from the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Commissioner Caron, our designee from the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Mr. Ashton; Mr. Lynch; and Dr. Klemens. Members of the staff present are Attorney Melanie Bachman, our Executive Director, and Staff Attorney; and Michael Perrone, our siting analyst.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the

Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an Application from Cellco Partnership, d/b/a Verizon Wireless, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Construction and Maintenance and

Operation of a Telecommunication Facility Located at 15 Great Pasture Road in Danbury, Connecticut. The application was received by the Council on July 7, 2015.

As a reminder to all, off the record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

The applicant, as mentioned, is Cellco Partnership. Attorney Baldwin is the legal representative. We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here. Also available are copies of the Citizen Guide to Siting Council Procedures.

At the end of this afternoon's session we will recess and resume again at 7 p.m, and the 7 p.m. hearing session will be reserved for the public to make brief oral statements into the record.

I also wish to note for those who are here and for the benefit, of which there's just about nobody, but the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to
join us for the public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing, deposited with the city clerk's office in Danbury, and the town clerk's office in Bethel for the convenience of the public.

I assume there's no public official to make a statement at this time?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: The Council received a motion for a protective order from the applicant dated August 12, 2015. Attorney Bachman may wish to comment. MS. BACHMAN: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman.
The applicant filed a motion
for protective order on August 12th for a protected treatment of certain terms of the lease agreement, specifically the rental amount. Staff recommends that we grant this motion consistent with the docket that we had
in Danbury with the conclusions of law they are from.

MR. ASHTON: So moved.
DR. KLEMENS: Second.
THE CHAIRMAN: I have a motion
and a second. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

THE COUNCIL: Aye.
THE CHAIRMAN: Opposed?
Abstention?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: The motion
carries.
I wish to call your attention to those items shown on the hearing program marked as Roman numerals 1D, items 1 through 62. In addition the Council would also like to take administrative notice of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service bog turtle recovery plan. And is there any objection to any of these items being noticed?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Accordingly,
the Council administratively notices these existing statements, documents and comments.

Attorney Baldwin, will you present your witness panel for the purposes of taking the oath?

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon.
Kenneth Baldwin with the Firm of Robinson and Cole on behalf of the applicant, Cellco Partnership, doing business as Verizon wireless.

Our witness panel today consists of, to my far left, Mr. Alexi Tyurin, a real estate consultant for Cellco partnership. Next is Ryan Ulanday, a radiofrequency engineer with Cellco partnership. Next to Ryan is Carlo Centore, a professional engineer with Centek Engineering.

To my immediate left is Tony
Befera, the Manager of Real Estate and
Project Implementation for Cellco
Partnership. And to my right is Mike Libertine, the Director of Siting and Permitting with All-Points Technology. And at the far -- your left, my right of the table, is Dean Gustafson, a senior wetlands
scientist and professional soil scientist with All-Points Technology.

And I offer them to be sworn at this time, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Please rise.
ANTHONYBEFERA, MICHAELILIBERTINE,

DEANGUSTAFSON,
RYAN U L A N D A Y,

 called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by the Executive Director, were examined and testified on their oaths as follows:

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, we have five exhibits to offer at this time. They are listed in the hearing program under Roman 2, subsection B, and they include the application and several, about five exhibits listed, our affidavit of publication; a supplemental SHPO determination received on August 10th; our response, the Applicant's responses to the Council's interrogatories;
and an affidavit of sign posting received by the Council on September 9th. I'll go right into the verification process, if it pleases the Chair.

For my witnesses, did you prepare or assist in the preparation of the exhibits listed in the hearing program under Roman 2, subsection B, items 1 through 5? Mr. Tyurin?

THE WITNESS (Tyurin): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Ulanday?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Yes, I
did.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Centore?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes, I did.

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes, I did.

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: And do you have any corrections, modifications or amendments
to offer to any of the information in those exhibits at this time? Mr. Tyurin?

THE WITNESS (Tyurin): No.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Ulanday? THE WITNESS (Ulanday): No, I don't.

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Centore? THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes, I do.

Any reference made to a natural gas' fuel service for the emergency generator will be -- will be changed to -- to a propane tank fuel source for the emergency generator. I will be updating the -- the drawings, should this be approved at the D and $M$ phase, and there are certain sections in the application as well that will need to be updated to reflect that.

MR. BALDWIN: Anything else?
THE WITNESS (Centore): And
that's it.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes, I
do have one. In the statement of need on page 16, paragraph number 2, wetlands
investigation, line 1 . It should read, as discussed in section 3 , letter C4.D as opposed to what is represented currently as capital D5D.

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I have none. MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson? THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No. MR. BALDWIN: And is the information contained in these exhibits with those corrections and modifications true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? Mr. Tyurin?

THE WITNESS (Tyurin): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Ulanday?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Centore?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.

MR. BALDWIN: And do you adopt the information in those exhibits as your testimony today? Mr. Tyurin?

THE WITNESS (Tyurin): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Ulanday?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Centore?
THE WITNESS (Centore): I do.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera?
THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
do.
MR. BALDWIN: And Mr.
Gustafson?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes,
I do.
MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman, I offer them as full exhibits.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Seeing and
hearing none, the exhibits are admitted.
We will now begin with cross
examination by our staff, Mr. Perone.
MR. PERONE: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
Did you fly a balloon today?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
As requested, the Applicant has had a balloon aloft since approximately 20 minutes to 8 this morning, and we'll keep that balloon up until 6 p.m. We've had very good weather today for it. It and was actually very calm up until about ten o'clock this morning.

There was some disturbance with some -- some air movement. We never lost a balloon, but it was bouncing around a bit, but by the time we had you folks out to the site walk things started to stabilize again. So it's, overall, been a fairly good day for the event.

MR. PERONE: Okay. And the diameter and color?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's actually a four-and-a-half-foot diameter helium filled weather balloon. It's a red balloon that we've been using today to mark the site location. And that's tethered at
the full proposed height, so we have a five and a half -- or excuse me, a four-and-a-half-foot diameter balloon on top of that tethered height.

MR. PERONE: Okay. So you
have 150 feet to the bottom of the balloon approximately?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's
about -- it's 123 feet.
MR. PERONE: I'm sorry, 123.
Okay. And what are the hours of today's balloon flight?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm sorry. They were scheduled from 8 a.m. until 6 p.m. this evening.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Did the Applicant receive any comments from the adjacent Town of Bethel?

THE WITNESS (Befera): No, we did not.

MR. PERONE: And just as an update, since the application interrogatories were filed have you received any interest in co-location from other wireless carriers or the municipalities of Danbury and Bethel?

THE WITNESS (Befera): No, we did not.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Could you tell us about the process in determining the DEEP dig restricted area and how this impacted this project?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Well, it has not impacted the project and will not impact the project, nor will it be impacting that area. Essentially there is now what's known as an environmental land-use restriction on the portion of the property that resulted from some historic releases in contamination that was identified 10 or 15 years ago.

That, those releases have been remediated to the satisfaction of the Connecticut DEEP, but that went through what is known as the property transfer program. And so that involved several investigations, as I said, some soil remediation and then some follow-up groundwater monitoring.

In addition, there was a vapor barrier placed at the footing of the southwest corner of the building to keep some
volatile organics from essentially migrating. So this area is now restricted. It's a fairly robust area in the southwest corner beneath the building that's isolated from any elements and cannot be touched. That also extends about 25 feet out to both the south and the west from the building, again so that that area is basically an area that cannot be excavated without lifting the land-use restriction.

In our case we are avoiding it by coming down with the utilities on that south side in the driveway. It was outside. We had actually marked it in the field so you folks had at least some landmark to take a look at. We're about ten feet to the south of the extent of that restricted area.

MR. PERONE: Okay. And you've had consultations with DEEP on that prior to the design?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We have not. We don't have any requirement to do so. The real requirement is to avoid that. If we had to go into that restricted area then there would be that requirement.

MR. PERONE: Okay. And my understanding is the lease area is the same size as the fenced compound. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore): That is correct.

MR. PERONE: And also looking at the site plan, is it also correct to say that you are far enough from the property line? The tower setback radius would remain on the subject property?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I want to take a second and verify that.

Yes, that is correct.
MR. PERONE: Okay. So no yield point would be necessary?

THE WITNESS (Centore): That's correct.

MR. PERONE: Okay. I'll
switch to the updated generator issue. Do you have an idea of the size of the propane tank at this time?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I'm going to estimate a 1,000-gallon propane tank.

MR. PERONE: Would you have a ballpark of the runtime, at this time?

THE WITNESS (Centore):
Approximately seven days.
MR. PERONE: Seven days.
Okay. And would the size of the generator and kilowatts be approximately the same as the gas one?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. PERONE: Okay. I
understand the compound fence would have privacy slats. What color do the privacy slats usually come in?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I
think there's a number of colors to be chosen from, but we typically recommend black.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Switching to radiofrequency topics, I understand this site would provide capacity relief to Bethel, Bethel West, and Danbury 3. Are all three of those sites in need of significant capacity relief? Or are some experiencing greater demands than others?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Yes,
specifically those that touch -- that are
pointing towards the area where the tower is.
MR. PERONE: Okay. In the interrogatories we were given the effects on coverage if the tower were ten feet shorter. In general what other consequences would there be for being ten feet shorter, such as hand off?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday):
Basically if you lose that coverage we could drop calls on where we don't have proper handover with existing sites.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Is it correct to say that it would be more costly to meet your needs with multiple small cells, or a distributed antenna system?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): That could be -- right now, actually we didn't do any study up through -- probably could take that system or small cells, but we assume that it would take a lot of nodes to call it, if you do small cells or DAS nodes.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Also in response to question 16 , Cellco notes that 700 megahertz and 2100 megahertz would be
deployed initially, and 1900 in the future.
Do you have any plans to deploy
850 megahertz?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Right
now 850 is still being used for our legacy CDMA network. We are not seeing that in the near future, but we could probably deploy that when we see -- when we see that there's no more need for -- to maintain the CDMA network, but for now we're maintaining it for that legacy.

MR. PERONE: Okay. So no plans right now, but you, you keep it reserved for the future?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Yes,
that's true.
MR. PERONE: Okay. And I don't know if you have this, but on page 8 of the application you had two building mount facilities noted as existing sites. You had the Danbury Hospital and the 30 Main Street. I don't know -- would you have the antenna centerline heights for those?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): Right
now I don't have the antenna centerline
heights, but they're all on rooftop installations. The first one would be on the Danbury Hospital. And if I remember it right, Danbury 3 is across, like, a ShopRite or some bank, like a four-story building, on the rooftop. So --

MR. PERONE: Okay. All right.
Also question 33 , when asked about existing signal strength it was quoted for 700 megahertz. Is that done to be conservative, because 700 has the largest coverage area?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): That's correct.

MR. PERONE: Okay. And moving onto the visibility section, page 1 where it mentions the top of the antennas to a height of 103. Was 123 intended there?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It
was. I apologize.
MR. PERONE: Okay. And moving to click to the view shed map --

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Chairman,
we're not sure if -- the microphone was not on for the prior answer. Did you get it?

THE REPORTER: Yes, I did.
THE WITNESS (Libertine):

Thank you. That was a
typographical error. Thank you for pointing that out. I'm sorry I missed that.

MR. PERONE: Yeah, in the view shed map it's showing open space to the south and southwest of the tower. Do you know the use of that? Is that just, like, federal open space?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I believe it's just -- it's passive open space. There's no active, you know, active trails or that type of -- I'm not sure if that is municipal or state land. My guess is that it's municipal, but I --

Normally -- normally we write that out, but for some reason we did not. So I'd be -- I would only be guessing.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Yeah.
Now moving onto wildlife. I understand that there's a turtle protection program for the two state listed special concern turtle species, the eastern box turtle and the wood turtle.

As for the federally listed threatened and state listed endangered bog turtle, do the bog turtles tend to stay within the wetland area? Or have they been known to travel beyond that and could potentially enter the construction area?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
In -- in contrast to the box turtle and wood turtle, which are -- are both wetland and terrestrial habitat users, the bog turtle is -- is more predominately found within wetland systems, wetland habitats.

I mean, there's always a
chance. We are approximately 80 feet away from the wetland edge associated with a large wet meadow, emergent marsh wetland system, so say it was Sympaug Brook and -- and Chestnut Brook. So there's always potential that bog turtles are within that wetland system. That's because we're less than a hundred feet away to encounter them.

I think that's highly unlikely
because of the -- the steep fill slope that separates the project site from the wetland system, which is approximately 20 feet in
height. And if anyone looked over the edge, there's a significant amount of rubble in that area. It's a pretty treacherous slope. In addition, the protection measures that we're -- we'll be implementing during construction for box turtle or wood turtle would be equally protective of bog turtle if perchance they do scamper up that slope and try to make it into the construction area.

MR. PERONE: Okay. So the turtle protection program is equally protective for the bog turtle?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
That's correct.
MR. PERONE: Great. I
understand that Cellco consulted with SHPO and heard back. Also several Native American tribes were contacted. Were there any outstanding concerns from any of the tribes? THE WITNESS (Libertine): No. We were -- we have received a signoff from all of the tribes as well. They have no concerns with respect to any cultural resources at the site.

MR. PERONE: Okay. And I understand that the HVAC units would meet the applicable noise standards. Just to be clear, do they only provide air-conditioning. Or do they also provide heat to the shelter in the winter?

THE WITNESS (Centore): They do have a heat coil in them, but they are primarily in cooling mode even in the winter.

MR. PERONE: Okay. Thank you.
That's all I have.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
We'll now continue with
questions from members of the Council.
Senator Murphy.
SENATOR MURPHY: Thank You,
Mr. Chairman. I have just a few questions.
In reference to -- the tower
is within two miles. The dialogue indicates there are five, and you proceed to enumerate four. It appears you left out the Germantown one. And then in the response to one of the interrogatory questions you refer back that they interact with the towers enumerated in the application.

Do I understand it that this
tower will not interact with the tower
labeled Germantown on your propagation maps?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): It
would still interact with Germantown,
specifically the roads towards --
SENATOR MURPHY: Because it's
straight up from that?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): That
it's -- it's a straight up, but I believe that the Germantown sector that's pointing towards the proposed tower would just cover just a little bit. Just a little bit.

SENATOR MURPHY: So you're
telling me basically the coverage from the Germantown is away from where this particular tower would be located?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday):
That's -- that's true.
SENATOR MURPHY: That is or is
not true?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): That
is true, but we have a sector that's pointing south from that Germantown tower.

SENATOR MURPHY: So it does
interact? So it interacts with all five of the towers that are within two miles?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): That's correct.

SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. In
response to the question about -- the interrogatory question about dropped calls, and you have an explanation, and of course I'm a layman, so I'd like to understand what it means. But as $I$ understand it, at a given busy time during the day you record the number of hits in a given area and how fast those hits are serviced in lieu of dropped calls?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): For LTE service we don't actually measure dropped calls, because we don't have -- until recently we're not using the LTE network for voice services, so our LTE network until recently were purely for data services only. SENATOR MURPHY: What do you measure on your regular voice service? Do you measure the dropped calls?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): For voice services on CDMA we do measure dropped
calls, invalid attempts, things like that. SENATOR MURPHY: So in your voice service, then what is your dropped calls in this area?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): I did not specifically look at the dropped calls on our CDMA network because we are -- what we are proposing for this Bethel West tower is purely LTE.

SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. All
right.
On visibility, Mr. Libertine, this is probably one of the easiest ones you've seen in a while?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Well, we certainly have a very
good setting for this tower, no question.
SENATOR MURPHY: What's
sporadic?
THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Well, what I mean --
SENATOR MURPHY: I just -- it
struck me is the use of your choice of the word "sporadic?"

THE WITNESS (Libertine): What

I was trying to express, the characteristics of this site from a visibility standpoint is once we get out beyond about a quarter of a mile, as you probably saw as you approach the site on the road itself in that kind of commercial industrial setting, there were some good, good clear open shots of the balloon, and I think a fair amount of the tower would be visible.

SENATOR MURPHY: Dan Lynch
pointed it out to us on our way.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): And
so once you start getting outside of, what I'll call, about approximately a quarter of a mile things become very different. There are places where you'll see some shots through trees and then you'll go a long stretch where it will not be visible.

So I was trying to get
across -- I'm not sure "sporadic" is really the word to use, but in this case it's more or less -- and I think if -- if you drive around the state you kind of get the sense on a lot of these towers where all of the sudden they're in full view and then you can turn a
corner and for the next quarter mile, half mile you don't see it from anywhere.

And so we have that kind of a characteristic where what -- if you're on top of it you see it, and once you get at some distance and you have a perspective you kind of see it at the top of the treeline from some of what, I'll call, the more distant, maybe a mile or so and further away.

And at that point it's not very visible in the sense it doesn't stick high above the tree line, because it's a fairly short tower. There are a couple of locations where certainly it does that, but that was really -- was the main point I was trying to make, that it wasn't -- even though we're showing kind of a broad footprint of this area, visibility, there are a lot of areas within those blobs of colors on our maps where you could stand and you will not see the tower.

But I felt that it's important to kind of say, well, there is the potential anywhere within here to see that, but not -not from all locations.

SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. Let me ask you the question, assuming that this application is approved and down the road in the future, this tower is increased in height?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Uh-huh.
SENATOR MURPHY: What effect
will, say, the ten-foot increase have?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
think 10, or even maybe pushing 20 is not going to make a huge difference. Again, we don't have a lot of residential neighbors. I think that that's really, from my perspective, what can change things.

I think there will be a few
locations where even 10 or 15 feet, it would start to rise above the tree line a little bit more than what we're demonstrating here. But $I$ think if we're in that range of 20 feet or less you're probably not talking a very significant impact.

SENATOR MURPHY: Okay. And as far as disguising the tower, I'm not going to ask you about monopines or anything, because

I don't think it's appropriate. Any recommendations as to the color of this tower to blend in with what's there?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Well, in this case we -- we actually talked about this quite a bit and then I -- we did receive an interrogatory on this as well.

SENATOR MURPHY: Any
recommendations from the Town or any word from the Town at all?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No.
In this case $I$ would say we should let it just go up as a standard steel monopole and let it weather to kind of a soft gray. I think it -- it's in an industrial park. And again, we don't rise above treeline significantly so I think it's going to be fine from that perspective.

SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you.
Those are my questions,
Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Dr. Klemens.
DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. I
have a couple of questions, trying to sort through some of the environmental questions on this application.

I understand you have not received anything back from the fish and wildlife service relative to your inquiry from their approval of what you're doing vis-a-vis the long-eared bat and bog turtle?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
That's correct.
DR. KLEMENS: And I guess this is -- so I'd like to try to tie up some of what I consider to be loose ends, at least on the bog turtle, because if this hearing closes, which I don't know if it will today, I think -- I don't know if we can even accept the information from the service after the fact, to leave a question.

I'd like to direct your -- to tab 12, and I'd like to look at figures 4, 5 and 6, which are the aerial pictures of the site. I think they're really very interesting, and if you could look -- 1934, we should look at just to look at it. Then we look at ' 65 and then we look at 1990.

And could you tell me what's happened to the area to the southwest of the proposed tower site in the period from 1965 to 1990?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
Just -- on just west and south of the red mark which identifies the proposed site in those aerial, historic aerial photographs shows the Sympaug and Chestnut Brooks' riparian corridor. And as you progress through those photographs from '34 to '90, we show a significant increase in development in and around that wetland system, primarily commercial/industrial development.

DR. KLEMENS: That's correct, but I guess if you could look more closely. What I'm trying to get at is right to the southwest of the proposed tower site. If I look at the map in 1990 versus the map in 1965, at least in my reading of the map, and I'd like you to concur with that or disagree with that, it appears there's a lot more land that has appeared. A lot more dry land has appeared?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
Disappeared?
DR. KLEMENS: Appeared.
Appeared.
THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
I will -- I would agree with that assessment. It's difficult to -- to know exactly what the hydraulic conditions were at the time the exact time those aerial photographs -- what time of year it was. So some of these could be photographs of when the riparian system was at flood stage and then when it wasn't, but I -- I would generally agree with that assessment. It looks like there's more dry area.

DR. KLEMENS: And would that be consistent with what you and $I$, when we walked to the edge of the slope during the field visit, looked over the slope and saw, not only the rubble you spoke of, but trees half buried by rubble and deposition of fill, that some of it looked quite recent?

Would you say that's
consistent with what we're seeing on the last aerial photo?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I
would. It -- it looks like that the existing site development continues to encroach upon that wetland edge and overtake that wetland edge over time. And there is evidence in the field that some of the -- the toe of the fill slope is -- is still actively moving and is probably indicative of somewhat recent fill material that's been placed on site. Whether recent is within the past couple years or within the past couple of decades is difficult to assess. You know that.

DR. KLEMENS: But certainly you would agree that the paper bags with yard clippings and that, that we saw thrown over there were certainly no more than a few years old?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
Yeah, that certainly the
refuse material that's on the slope is -- is probably fairly recently placed.

DR. KLEMENS: Okay. So we
have here basically a wetland, that I think that I've observed, and you have concurred, is actually -- the margin of the wetland has
been significantly -- or at least the edge has been filled?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
That's correct.
DR. KLEMENS: And you
testified that it's actually a steep riprap slope that would make turtles climbing up very, very difficult?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes, and in fact, the -- the recovery plan for bog turtle identifies major impediments that significantly reduce the chances of the turtle successfully moving between wetland sites to include such impediments as, you know, steep slopes, as well as two-lane paved roads with moderate traffic.

And certainly Great Pasture
Road, as a local feeder road for the industrial development, it certainly qualifies as at least moderately traffic -trafficked.

DR. KLEMENS: So have you ever -- one thing that puzzled me about this, have you ever encountered a situation when you've made a request to the natural
diversity database for their records and they come back with a group of records, and the fish and wildlife service comes back with bog turtles being there?

Have you seen that before in your practice, such a dissonance between what the service holds and what the State holds? THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I have. And -- and actually I don't recall the actual docket number, but it was for a facility on Ledges Road in Ridgefield for Homeland Towers where we had a very similar situation where --

DR. KLEMENS: It's 448 you're talking about?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
Correct. I believe that's the
correct docket number?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): 445.
THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
Thank you. 445, I believe.
DR. KLEMENS: 445, I'm sorry.
And in that particular one, are you telling me the State didn't have, and the feds had it? Or was it vice versa?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): It was the -- the states had identified Eastern box turtle on that site, and --

DR. KLEMENS: We're talking about bog turtles?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson) : Yeah. No, but it was a similar situation as this one where the State Natural Diversity Database identified other state listed species including box turtle, but didn't consider that the bog turtle was a concern for that particular project.

But U.S. Fish and Wildlife did, at least in an initial review through their IPaC review system, identified the area as potentially having bog turtle habitat.

DR. KLEMENS: So we've had two instances in Fairfield County where the State has not said these areas are box -- bog, b-o-g turtle concern. Could it be that -and just this is speculative, but possibly that the State recognizes that the bog turtle is extirpated in Fairfield County and they're not concerned about bog turtles in such wetlands?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I would agree with that assessment. In my -my discussions with Dawn McKay on this matter, you know, I -- I asked her about this particular site for -- in Danbury, talking with her and let her know that, you know, U.S. Fish and Wildlife had identified potential bog turtle habitat in proximity to the site.

And she agreed that she felt that there weren't any extant populations of bog turtle anymore in that area, or even in Fairfield County that she was aware of. And that it was most likely a historical record that comes up when you do the fish and wildlife consultation review.

DR. KLEMENS: As those historical records can create a lot of paperwork and make much mischief?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I
would agree.
DR. KLEMENS: But just to finish it, what it just says, you spoke with Mr. Perone as to about if a bog turtle were to move up that riprap slope, they would be
protected by the turtle protection plan?
THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
That's correct.
DR. KLEMENS: And which I
think everyone agrees is very unlikely a
little turtle is going to crawl up what we saw today. But you're aware in the bog turtle recovery plan, and even though the State did not feel the bog turtles are there -- I'd just like to go through this with you to close the federal loop -- that as you know on the recovery plan there are three zones of concern. And zone 2, can you tell us a little bit about zone 2 in the recovery plan, and where this project site falls within the zone 2 ?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I believe, and please feel free to correct me, I believe zone 2 includes a 300-foot buffer around potential wetland habitat that's being utilized by bog turtle.
And this, this site, the wetlands that a -- that border the site contained characteristics enough to be considered potential for bog turtle habitat.

So I would consider the proposed facility to be within a zone 2 area, or at least potentially a zone 2 area. DR. KLEMENS: Now you're aware of the federal recovery plan that development including roads, sewer lines, utility lines, storm water sedimentation basins, residences, driveways, parking lots and other structures should be avoided in zone 3. But also do you have this? Were you able to download this in front of you?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I don't have the language in front of me, but we went through a similar analysis for that Ridgefield docket and that's still somewhat fresh in my mind. So --

DR. KLEMENS: Okay. Well, let me lead you through this then, or try to. I mean, $I$ guess as my reading of the plan is, that some of these things have to deal with water quality and impacts to the actual water quality of the wetland?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
Correct.
DR. KLEMENS: If it's a bog
turtles wetland. So I guess where I'd like to go, as on one hand, the recovery plan says development should be avoided, but it says in zone 2 that the avoidance is really having to do either with migration of bog turtles.

You've already addressed that by saying they're not going to climb up that slope, and I agree with you.

Then we should talk about, are
there indirect effects to the hydrology of this wetland caused by this project, because of what -- that's the avoidance criteria in the 300-foot setback -- is?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Correct, yeah.

DR. KLEMENS: So if you could address that, $I$ think we will have, sort of, done our own section 7 consultation. THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I appreciate that, Dr. Klemens.

First and foremost the area we're proposing for the development of the tower is an existing developed disturbed area, as everyone saw during the site walk today. So we're not eliminating potential
habitat, or even potential migratory habitat that would be utilized by bog turtle. The -- the secondary matter that you brought up, which is very important and as far as ensuring that what we're doing, especially during development, doesn't adversely affect the water quality of the adjoining wetlands, is incorporated into our turtle protection plan, which is to provide third-party review of the installation of the erosion and sedimentation controls. And then periodic monitoring throughout the course of the construction project, as well as educating the contractors on the sensitivity of where they're developing. They need to make sure that all ENS controls are maintained on a daily basis to ensure that no release of sediment leaves the construction zone because of the proximity to those wetlands. With that plan being
implemented and agreed to by Verizon Wireless, I feel that we'll avoid any potential water quality impacts to wetlands, and ergo, any potential secondary impacts to
the bog turtle.
As far as long-term operation of the facility, it's a gravel surface compound that promotes infiltration. We saw that the area is fairly well hard packed today. You can see a couple of puddles had formed during the year, so we're not changing any drainage patterns or increasing peak runoff rates from the site. And any storm water that
leaves the area is being properly controlled in a storm waters swale and -- and level spreader feature. So those will also protect water quality issues with long-term use of the facility.

DR. KLEMENS: So in hearing this, it is your professional opinion that you have complied with the standards for zone 2, protection of bog turtles habitats? In the unlikely event there's a bog turtle there, you have complied with the standards required by the fish and wildlife service within zone 2 ?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
It is my opinion that we have complied with
those standards.
DR. KLEMENS: All right.
Thank you. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Ashton.
MR. ASHTON: Thank you. I
have a few questions.
First of all, $I$ have a comment and that is that in reading this and reading the interrogatory responses $I$ think we've come a long way, because I see that we're already proposing things like an inch-and-a-quarter mesh in the fence, rather than having to drag it out.

And we're proposing coming off the utility lines, Eversource's power supply underground, whether it's on one side of the street or the other. You know, I consider that progress. I really do. So I applaud that.

I have a few general
questions. One, is it fair to say that insofar as you have an opportunity to serve an area with a low cell tower, these small
cell towers, that would be an advantage over a pole? Is that fair to say, Mr. Centore? I guess I'm going to poke at you on that?

Would it be cheaper?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Would
it be cheaper to use a pole structure?
MR. ASHTON: No. If you can, when you're looking at serving an area you don't necessarily go in looking at a pole. Do you? You go in and look and see if it's something where you can put up a modest structure, a small cell structure, or something like that?

THE WITNESS (Centore):
Correct, on a low roof or a rooftop facility.

MR. ASHTON: And that would be cheaper. Wouldn't it, than the monopole? And certainly less visible than all the rest?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Well, I think if you look at the coverage provided by the traditional structure and trying to duplicate that in the form of telephone pole attachments per se, it would take a significant number of those smaller
facilities to duplicate what the significant structure can do.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. Agreed.
My comment was more directed at trying to serve a defined area. If you could do it with a small cell tower wouldn't it be to your advantage to do it rather than with a large pole, the same area now?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Well,
where we need that ubiquitous coverage result with the adjacent existing locations, it's -it would take a great deal of the traditional telephone pole attachment type small cell. They certainly have their purpose in very congested areas.

MR. ASHTON: What I'm trying to get at is that when you design to serve an area you go for the cheapest, most effective. And what I'm saying is most effective from an environmental and a radiological standpoint, you go for the most effective. Don't you? The cheapest?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Well, I -- I think that -- I think that providing the highest quality level of service is the
most important objective.
MR. ASHTON: Of course. We're
talking about a level of service, but again -- again, if we're looking at a given quality of service, a given service area, don't you look for the most cost-effective way of meeting that need?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
MR. ASHTON: Okay. You're not inclined to put in a multibillion-dollar facility to serve a 50-cent need?

THE WITNESS (Befera): No, sir.

MR. ASHTON: Okay.
Mr. Centore, I think you mentioned that the leased area is the fenced area. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore):
Correct.
MR. ASHTON: Doesn't that present a little bit of a problem if you put your fencepost right on the line? How do you service this thing? Do you have a working clearance that's built into this? Or --

THE WITNESS (Centore): There,
there's not a lot of footprint beyond that, that leased area either, but they -- they should be able to access that site within the compound area, within that 50 by 50 area. And there's a -- there's the access easement that's directly in front of the compound.

MR. ASHTON: So you don't get
a working easement at all?
THE WITNESS (Centore): No.
MR. ASHTON: It's that,
period. End of discussion?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. ASHTON: Okay. There was
a technical issue on page 10 of the responses when they were asked about illumination on the site. And it referred to a -- I can read it for you. You don't have to take it out. It referred to a 100-watt porch light.

Now I'm familiar with metal halide lights and LEDs, and all the rest of it. But what is a porch light?

It's right about in the middle of the page towards the right.

THE WITNESS (Centore): What page?

MR. ASHTON: Ten, number 24. Is it just a term of art? Isn't it? There's nothing technical there.

THE WITNESS (Centore): A
100-watt lightbulb would be probably more --
MR. ASHTON: Yeah. It could
be an incandescent bulb, or --
THE WITNESS (Centore): Yeah.
MR. ASHTON: Do you use LEDs
or things like that?
THE WITNESS (Centore): The
stand -- the equipment shelters are not equipped with an LED fixture at this time. They come with a standard bulb.

MR. ASHTON: Well, I've
learned what a porch light is, anyway.
THE CHAIRMAN: That will be our next crusade of the Siting Council, after getting shared backup. We'll go to -- we'll try to push you to go to LEDs.

MR. ASHTON: One of the things that's quite prominent on the site is the amount -- and I'm going beyond the leased area, I think -- is the debris there. There's an old lift, there's cinderblocks and

God knows what else. How much of that is within the leased area, if any?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Pretty much all of it.

MR. ASHTON: It's all in the
leased area?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Yeah.
Yeah.
MR. ASHTON: So there's going
to be general housecleaning there that goes on?

THE WITNESS (Centore): That's correct.

MR. ASHTON: Would that
enhance the likelihood that wildlife may attempt to return to the site?

THE WITNESS (Centore):
I refer to Mr . Gustafson.
He's the expert on wildlife.
MR. ASHTON: Okay. You're the expert on cleaning up, and he's the expert on that? Okay.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I just want to clarify the debris. A lot of the debris we were looking at, which I'll --
if the building is oriented north compared to where we were standing at the compound --

MR. ASHTON: Yeah?
THE WITNESS (Libertine):
-- anything to -- if we were
looking at the building from the compound area -- anything to the left, or west, in this case that was outside of the leased area. So there was a lot of concrete blocks, scaffolding, quite a bit of material.

Anything to the east where we did see there was an aboveground storage tank, there was a piece of equipment and some other debris. That certainly was within this. I just wanted to clarify that, because when we say, all, $I$ know some -- some of us may have had a different picture in our mind. MR. ASHTON: Okay. So that's on the to-do list as far as the $D$ and $M$ plan goes?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yeah. And with respect to wildlife habitat, the limited amount of cleanup that will occur as a result of

Verizon's proposed development I don't
believe will have any effect on the quality of wildlife habitat or lack thereof in that area.

MR. ASHTON: Okay. Thank you.
No further questions.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Hannon.
MR. HANNON: Thank You,
Mr. Chair. I do have a few.
On a map $C-1 A$, in the application it looks as though the line coming into the site pretty much follows the southern part of the driveway. And then looking at the map on page C2 it looks as though the trench area for the electric and, I guess it's the telephone, look as though it's really shifted a lot more towards the middle of that pavement area.

I'm just wondering if there's any way to, and again I realize that you're not working within that restricted dig area. I'm just wondering if it would be easier to shift most of that trench more towards the southern part of that road just, again to keep it a little further away from where the
restricted area is?
And then in following up on that and looking at some of the details, is the -- on page C4, up on the upper left-hand corner, the typical trench and pavement repair detail, is that also applicable to the work that's going to be done on the driveway?

I know that that was noted on the plan as to where the gas line was originally going to go, but I'm just curious if that is also similar, at least the top portion of that, is similar to what is being proposed for redoing the driveway?

THE WITNESS (Centore): That would be the same trench detail along the driveway.

MR. HANNON: Okay. And then the other thing $I$ would suggest is that where you have any of these dig areas, the trenches and things of that nature, if you can go in and make sure that there's a maximum depth on that just to make sure that there's not any conflict with what the dig restrictions are.

So for example, on a map C5
you have -- it's C, I'm sorry, 6 -- C5 the
typical electrical telephone trench detail, something like that, where it's a minimum 30-inch cover, but then you've got some area below. If there's any way to identify -it's sort of in the middle of the page on C5. THE WITNESS (Centore): Yeah. MR. HANNON: You talk about a minimum 30-inch cover, but there is no sort of maximum depth. And again, because you do have the restriction on this site, if you can guess, sort of, what the maximum depth would be? It just makes it easier and it's clear that you're not going into that ten-foot area.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yeah.
MR. HANNON: And then the
other question I have is with the proposed propane tank for the backup generator -- and I think this has probably been discussed before. Unlike an oil system where if oil leaks and gets out it then creates some problems, if a propane tank leaks, that's just basically going to go out of the tank and evaporate. Correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore):

Correct.
MR. HANNON: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Commissioner Caron.
COMM. CARON: No questions,
Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Lynch.
MR. LYNCH: Most of my questions have been answered, but that doesn't mean I don't have a few.

I would be remiss if I didn't recognize a couple of old friends. Mr. Libertine, in Dr. Bell's absence, could we put a monopole or a silo here?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Can we? Anything is possible. I think in this case that would be inappropriate from a context standpoint, and probably not necessary.

MR. LYNCH: All right. I just had to cover all the bases. And I noticed that we have a 120-foot centerline, and that would reference my old friend Ned Wolinsky's

Wolinsky factor. I think Mr. Baldwin and Mr. Libertine are the only two that remember that.

Coming back to the propane
tank. Now I notice a lot of times, not necessarily in telecom, but the propane tank, is that going to be fenced within the compound, or fenced without? Fenced, again, outside the compound?

THE WITNESS (Centore): It would be fenced within the compound.

MR. LYNCH: All right. Does
it need its own fence around it? Or is --
the witness (Centore): It
does not. It's protected within the compound area.

MR. LYNCH: Okay. Because I know some city ordinances that require that it, you know, get fenced off.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yeah.
MR. LYNCH: So I was just
asking.
Now I know we've done a lot with coverage around here, but my main concern is within that coverage area. What
is the usage? I mean, we've got a phone. We've got streaming. We've got data. Is there any way to tell what percentage of usage is actually being done here, how to measure something like that?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday):
Certainly, we can.
MR. LYNCH: Pardon?
THE WITNESS (Ulanday): We
can. We can.
MR. LYNCH: Is that
something -- is that information that could be made available, not necessarily this, but in following, upcoming dockets? Where the majority, that $I$ think now, is being used, is the data services? And I would just like to know how much of your network is data as opposed to the old cell base?

THE WITNESS (Ulanday): I will
have to consult with --
MR. LYNCH: Just something to
consider in reference to Mr . Ashton's comments on here about getting the message.

MR. BALDWIN: Sure. No, I
think it's something that is coming up more
often. We need to be a little bit careful about how that information is presented, I think, given the -- and perhaps it can be presented in percentages.

MR. LYNCH: Well, I think we understand, Mr. Baldwin.

MR. BALDWIN: But I think, given as you've heard Mr. Ulanday talk about in other dockets, given the industry trend, or at least Verizon's trend of taking everything from a voice service to an LTE service, and then voice actually traveling over into the LTE and data services into voice over IP, at some point it's all going to come together. So it's --

MR. LYNCH: That's where I was getting at. It's been a little bit here. A little info here, a little info there in each docket. So $I$ was just trying to get something, you know, more that I can understand and we can incorporate into our decisions and stuff.

MR. BALDWIN: But getting back to the Wolinsky factor, if you recall in the old days when we were going from the analog
to digital services, that transformation takes a little bit of time. And you can't forget about the analog people who are still hanging on, like Mr. Wolinsky did for years to his.

MR. LYNCH: I think he was the last one, I think.

MR. BALDWIN: And as, I think Mr. Ulanday said -- I'm probably testifying now. I should stop, but I'll just finish the sentence -- the transformation to these newer services, the LTE services is going to take a little time because you have people who are still using the old CDMA services.

MR. LYNCH: Yeah, I just switched over myself. I didn't want to, but I did.

COMM. CARON: Welcome to the new century.

MR. LYNCH: Mr. Libertine, can you go back just a little bit and tell me what the tribal historic preservation office does? Because we've got tribes here that haven't lived in this area, like -- well, the Ojibwa and Delaware, they are from around
here -- but the Kiowas, they haven't been here since Hawkeye and Chingachgook. You know, why do we have to go to midwestern and western tribes?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's a great question and I get it a lot. Even people in the industry will ask that. This is all an FCC driven program because of the NEPA federal compliance. They reached out through the Bureau of Indian affairs back in the early 2000s, to establish a notification system that would allow tribes to join.

So interested tribes that wanted to be made aware of federal actions, which we qualify because Verizon holds a federal license, they reached out. And several hundred tribes said, yes, we'd like to be part of the system. And it's called a tribal -- it's the TCNS system. So it's the Tribal Consultation Notification System.

To get to your question, each tribe does have a tribal historic preservation officer. It's usually one of their shaman or one of their elders, that is a figurehead. And they have a formal office
and process very similar to what the SHPOs have at the state levels.

So they are responsible for overseeing several different functions, but this specific one, relative to the telecom program, is to answer all requests that come from any of the federal licensed providers or tower builders, or anyone else who is going to propose to build, develop and operate a new facility. They have to actually post that information on this system.

So all the tribes then are made aware that in Connecticut, in this case Verizon is proposing to do this in Bethel, any tribe who feels they have an interest in that particular area has an opportunity to weigh in and review that project. And so that's why we have folks from as far away as Oklahoma that who do claim to have some historic relevance to the area.

Now they may have -- because a
lot of these tribes were nomadic, they may claim that these were our summer -- winter -or I'm sorry, summer, you know, hunting grounds, and that may be enough. What we see
is Fairfield County tends to get some of the -- the Oklahoma tribes. They're not so interested in eastern portions of the state. So my sense is they probably used the Hudson River and the general area. You know, when I say general area, about a hundred miles in either direction and that's why they -- they weigh in. So I hope that answers the question.

MR. LYNCH: Well, it gets me there, I guess. I've got one follow-up question, and I figured that would, you know, they probably had an historic or ancestral background here.

But my question would be, as a follow-up, can any of these tribes overrule a local tribe? Like in if the Mohicans or Mashantuckets or Narragansetts approved the project, can some midwest, the Lakota or Cheyenne or something, overrule their decision?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Well, I'll -- I'll qualify the answer by saying, it wouldn't be a matter of overruling them. But what they would do is
they might say, we want additional information. Could you go? Please go and do some test pits on the property.

We've had this happen a lot
where we have seven interested tribes who reach out. Six sign off and say, we really have no interest. We don't believe there's intact artifacts there, or this doesn't really meet our qualifications for concern or interest. Then one may say, no, we'd like a little bit more information.

That tribe could say, look, you know, we really feel strongly about this. This may have ceremonial features. This might have, you know, some type of a resource that they feel needs to either be protected or, hey, hands-off.

If that were the case then we'd have to go another step and go through an EA process, an environmental assessment process working with the FCC and this tribe. I've not been involved in any site in 20 years where it has killed a site, per se --

Although I actually am
involved in one right now that we -- a
carrier did walk away from a location because there was enough significance to it.

But yes. There, it's not a matter of overruling, but they could elevate it to the FCC so that we'd have to do some type of coordination and consultation further to hopefully resolve whatever issue they might have.

MR. LYNCH: Is this also coordinated through the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian affairs?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It is, yeah. They're all part and party to the particular agreement that was struck by the -- the BIA, the Interior, and the SHPOs, as well as the FCC.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you. I appreciate that.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Oh, you're very welcome.

MR. LYNCH: That's all my
questions, Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: I believe
Dr. Klemens has a question.
DR. KLEMENS: I have. And
this actually goes back to the site walk. I'd like to direct Mr. Centore's attention to sheet C6 and the foundation slab on grade. And out in the field I think you indicated you weren't -- really didn't know really what was underneath there. And here I see 2.6 feet down we have undisturbed virgin soil.

Now based on what you've heard about the history of filling there and the enlargement of that peninsula, what happens if it isn't undisturbed virgin soil down there and you find that there's a lot of fill? How will the alter your plans to build this tower?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Well, first of all, we -- the first up we take, should this be moving forward, would be to get a Geotech study done. We'd take some borings, find out what we're dealing with for soils and depths.

What I -- considering the small footprint of this site, one possibility would be a caisson foundation, but I have concerns with that in that there may be some
large boulders and -- and rubble in there that caisson foundations tend to be difficult to install when you have to cut through some of that stuff.

Another alternative would be to use micro piles, or small piles. And we'd probably create a mat large enough to support the tower facility and to be able to support any additional weight of equipment that would go on top of it. So almost a 50 by 50 footprint of concrete with piles to support the structure.

Again, I'm speculating because we'd have to do a more detailed design. That would be the worst case.

DR. KLEMENS: I think that's great.

THE WITNESS (Centore): That's correct, but you could get settlement of the building itself. So if that were the case we'd put micro piles or piles below the -the foundation of the shelter.

DR. KLEMENS: So then really
this legend is incorrect?
THE WITNESS (Centore): That's
correct.
DR. KLEMENS: And maybe that is misleading and maybe should be removed or qualified -- or you were hoping for virgin soil?

THE WITNESS (Centore):
Correct. And the -- at this point the design is incomplete.

DR. KLEMENS: Is predicated on
that?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
DR. KLEMENS: And now I'm going to throw this back as a followup. Would these piles or additional ways of reinforcing it in any way alter or change your opinion about what's happening to the adjacent wetland?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No.
Should the footprint of the construction have to expand slightly to accommodate the construction, the protection plan, the turtle protection plan, the erosion sedimentation control plan will be modified to adjust accordingly and provide protective measures. So it should not have any adverse effect.

DR. KLEMENS: That's official.
And also if they went further down, that wouldn't disrupt groundwater flows or anything going into the wetland?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No.
DR. KLEMENS: Thank you.
THE WITNESS (Gustafson):
You're welcome.
DR. KLEMENS: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Anybody else?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. The
Council will now recess until 7 p.m. and then we'll have the public comment session. Thanks.
(Whereupon, the witnesses were excused, and the above proceedings were adjourned at 4:09 p.m.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| able (3) | adverse (1) | analysis (1) | 1,6,9,15,21,5,9,14,20, | BALDWIN (38) |
| 0:10,3,8 | $0: 25$ | 0:14 | 3,18,4 | 0:8,11, 1, 4,6,17,11, |
| above (4) | adversely (1) | analyst (1) | Ashton's (1) | 14,17,20,22,24,4,7,19, |
| 0:12,18,17,18 | 0:7 | 0:17 | 0:22 | 22,5,8,10, 16,18,20,22, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { aboveground (1) } \\ & 0: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { aerial (5) } \\ & 0: 21,8,8,9,25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { ancestral (1) } \\ 0: 13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { assess (1) } \\ 0: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24,1,5,7,9,11,14,18, \\ & 23,1,24,6,7,23,8 \end{aligned}$ |
| absence (1) | affairs (2) | answered (1) | assessment (4) | balloon (10) |
| $0: 15$ | 0:10,11 | 0:11 | $0: 7,14,2,20$ | $0: 4,6,8,14,23,24,3$, |
| Abstention (1) | affect (1) | antenna (3) | assist (1) | 6,12,8 |
| 0:10 |  | 0:16,22,25 | 0:6 | ballpark (1) |
| $\underset{0.16}{\text { accept (1) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { affidavit (2) } \\ 0: 22,1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { antennas (1) } \\ 0: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { associated (1) } \\ 0: 15 \end{gathered}$ | bank (1) |
| access (2) | afternoon (2) | anymore (1) | assume (2) | 0:5 |
| 0:3,5 | 0:1,5 | 0:12 | 0:11,20 | barrier (1) |
| accommodate (1) | afternoon's (1) | apologize (1) | assuming (1) | 0:24 |
| 0:20 |  | 0:20 | 0:2 | base (1) |
| accordance (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { again (12) } \\ & 0: 18,17,7,12,17,4,4, \end{aligned}$ | appeared (4) $0: 24,25,3,4$ | attachment (1) $0: 13$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0: 18 \\ \text { based (1) } \end{array}$ |
| 0:13 <br> Accordingly (2) | $20,24,9,8,13$ | appears (2) | attachments (1) | 0:9 |
| Accordingly (2) | agenda (1) | 0:21,23 | 0:24 | bases (1) |
| accurate (1) | 0:13 | applaud (1) | attempt (1) | 0:23 |
| 0:13 | ago (1) | 0:20 | 0:16 | basically (5) |
| across (2) | 0:15 | applicable (2) | attempts (1) | $0: 8,9,15,23,23$ |
| 0:4,20 | agree (6) | 0:3,6 | 0:1 | basins (1) |
| Act (1) | 0:6,14,14,2,21,8 | applicant (6) | attention (2) | 0:7 |
| 0:21 | agreed (3) $0: 10,22,3$ | Applicant's (1) | Attorney (5) | basis (1) <br> 0:18 |
| actions (1) | $0: 10,22,3$ agreement (2) | $0: 24$ | Attorney (5) $0: 15,16,11,17,1$ | bat (1) |
| active (2) | 0:23,14 | Application (11) | August (3) | 0:8 |
| 0:13,13 | $\underset{0.5}{\operatorname{agrees}}$ (1) | 0:22,3,8,21,17,22, | 0:16,21,24 | become (1) |
| actively (1) | 0:5 | 19,25,3,3,11 | Authority (1) | $0: 15$ |
| 0:7 | air (1) | appreciate (2) | 0:12 | Befera (16) |
| actual (2) | 0:13 | 0:20,18 | available (3) | 0:19,17,18,22,23, |
| 0:10,21 | air-conditioning (1) 0:4 | approach (1) $0: 4$ | $0: 14,14,13$ avoid (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 20,21,9,10,19,1,20,9, \\ & 23,8,12 \end{aligned}$ |
| actually (14) | Alexi (1) | appropriate (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { old (2) } \\ & 0: 23,23 \end{aligned}$ | begin (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0: 10,22,14,18,10,6, \\ & 25,6,9,4,12,10,24,1 \end{aligned}$ | 0:11 | 0:1 | avoidance (2) | 0:25 |
| addition (3) | allow (1) | approval (1) | 0:4,12 | behalf (1) |
| 0:17,23,4 | 0:12 | 0:7 | avoided (2) | 0:7 |
| additional (3) | All-Points (2) | approved (3) | 0:9,3 | Bell's (1) |
| 0:1,9,14 | $0: 23,2$ | $0: 15,3,18$ | avoiding (1) | $0: 15$ |
| address (1) | $0: 10$ | $0: 7,7,4,7,14,25,14$ | aware (5) | $0: 4,21$ |
| addressed | aloft (1) | area (58) | 0:13,7,4,14,13 | beneath (1) |
| 0:6 | 0:7 | 0:5,10,2,3,8,8,17, | away (7) | 0:4 |
| adjacent (3) | along (1) | 25,2,1,12,4,6,3,10,12, | 0:14,21, 16,9,25,18, | benefit (2) |
| 0:18,11,17 | 0:15 | 4,18,2,15,15,12,2,3, | 1 | 0:23,24 |
| adjoining (1) | alter (2) | 21,24,5,11,25,8,5,8, | aye (2) | best (1) |
| 0:8 |  | 18,5,16,16,2,4,4,24,2, | 0:7,8 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { adjourned (1) } \\ 0: 19 \end{gathered}$ | $0: 5$ | 14,16,25,24,16,20,5,6 | B | - 0:9,18,25, 19, 20,8, |
| adjust (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Although (1) } \\ 0: 24 \end{gathered}$ | areas (4) <br> $0: 19,19,15,19$ |  | 14 <br> beyond (4) |
| $0: 23$ <br> Administrative (2) | always (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 19,19,15,19 \\ & \text { around (7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bachman (4) } \\ & 0: 15,17,18,16 \end{aligned}$ | $0: 5,3,1,23$ |
| $0: 21,18$ | 0:13,18 | 0:14,23, 13, 20, 13, | back (11) | BIA (1) |
| administratively (1) | amendments (1) | 24,25 | 0:18,23,5,2,3,4,23, | $0: 15$ bit (13) |
| 0:24 | $0: 25$ <br> American (1) | art (1) <br> 0:2 | $21,10,1,13$ <br> background (1) | bit (13) $0: 15,13,13,19,6,14,$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { admitted (1) } \\ 0: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { American (1) } \\ & 0: 18 \end{aligned}$ | artifacts (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { background (1) } \\ & 0: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 15,13,13,19,6,14, \\ & 21,10,1,17,2,21,11 \end{aligned}$ |
| adopt (1) | amount (5) | 0:8 | backup (2) | black (1) |


| 0:16 | C2 (1) | 3,9,14,7,2,5,14,15,18, | cleaning (1) | concerned (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| blend (1) | 0:14 | 25,9,12,24,4,8,11,3,7, | 0:21 | 0:24 |
| 0:3 | C4 (1) | 12,17,14,6,15,25,10, | cleanup (1) | concerns (3) |
| blobs (1) | 0:4 | 14,20,16,18,25,6,11 | 0:24 | 0:20,24,25 |
| 0:19 | C4D (1) | Centore's (1) | clear (3) | conclusions (1) |
| blocks (1) | 0:2 | 0:2 | 0:4,7,12 | 0:1 |
| 0:9 | C5 (3) | century (1) | clearance (1) | concrete (2) |
| bog (30) | 0:24,25,5 | 0:19 | 0:24 | 0:9,11 |
| 0:19,2,3,11,18,7,13, | C6 (1) | ceremonial (1) | clerk's (2) | concur (1) |
| 8,14,10,3,5,11,16,19, | 0:3 | 0:14 | 0:8,9 | 0:22 |
| 22,24,8,12,24,7,9,21, | caisson (2) | certain (2) | click (1) | concurred (1) |
| 25,25,5,2,1,19,20 | 0:24,2 | 0:22,16 | 0:22 | 0:24 |
| b-o-g (1) | call (5) | certainly (11) | climb (1) | conditions (1) |
| 0:20 | 0:2,14,21,14,8 | 0:16,14,13,16,19, | 0:7 | 0:8 |
| border (1) | called (2) | 17,19, 19, 14, 14,7 | climbing (1) | conflict (1) |
| 0:23 | 0:12,18 | Certificate (1) | 0:7 | 0:23 |
| borings (1) | calls (8) | 0:23 | clippings (1) | congested (1) |
| 0:20 | $0: 10,7,14,17,23,1,4$, | Chair (2) | 0:15 | 0:15 |
| both (2) | 6 | 0:4,9 | close (1) | Connecticut (6) |
| 0:6,9 | calm (1) | CHAIRMAN (34) | 0:11 | 0:7,3,20,3,18,13 |
| bottom (1) | 0:10 | 0:1,6,8,14,19,5,9, | closely (1) | consequences (1) |
| 0:6 | can (22) | 12,23,5,4,5,17,18,20, | 0:17 | 0:5 |
| boulders (1) | 0:25,15,16,18,13,6, | 23,3,23,12,17,22,23,4, | closes (1) | conservative (1) |
| 0:1 | 7,11,2,16,20,10,17,7, | 5,17,6,4,7,8,22,23,10, | 0:15 | 0:11 |
| bouncing (1) | 10,10,3,20,21,20,16, | 11,13 | coil (1) | consider (5) |
| 0:14 | 19 | chance (1) | 0:8 | 0:13,11,1,19,22 |
| box (6) | capacity (2) | 0:14 | COLE (2) | considered (1) |
| 0:24,8,6,3,10,19 | 0:19,21 | chances (1) | 0:5,7 | 0:25 |
| brief (1) | capital (1) | 0:12 | co-location (1) | considering (1) |
| 0:20 | 0:4 | change (2) | 0:24 | 0:22 |
| broad (1) | careful (1) | 0:15,15 | color (3) | consistent (3) |
| 0:17 | 0:1 | changed (1) | 0:20,12,2 | 0:25,17,24 |
| Brook (2) | Carlo (1) | 0:12 | colors (2) | consists (1) |
| 0:17,18 | 0:15 | changing (1) | 0:15,19 | 0:11 |
| Brooks' (1) | Caron (4) | $0: 7$ | coming (5) | Construction (8) |
| 0:10 | 0:11,5,6,18 | characteristic (1) | 0:12,16,12,4,25 | 0:25,6,6,10,13,19, |
| brought (1) | carrier (1) | 0:4 | COMM (2) | 19,21 |
| 0:4 | 0:1 | characteristics (2) | 0:6,18 | consult (1) |
| buffer (1) | carriers (1) | 0:1,24 | comment (5) | 0:20 |
| 0:19 | 0:24 | cheaper (3) | 0:1,17,9,4,15 | consultant (1) |
| build (2) | carries (1) | 0:4,6,18 | comments (3) | 0:12 |
| 0:9,14 | 0:13 | cheapest (2) | 0:25,17,23 | consultation (4) |
| builders (1) | case (10) | 0:18,22 | commercial (1) | 0:16,18,20,6 |
| 0:8 | 0:11,21,5,13,8,19, | Chestnut (2) | 0:6 | consultations (1) |
| building (8) | 13,18,15,20 | 0:17,9 | commercial/industrial (1) | 0:19 |
| 0:25,4,7,19,5,1,6,20 | caused (1) | Cheyenne (1) | 0:14 | consulted (1) |
| built (1) | 0:11 | 0:20 | Commissioner (2) | 0:17 |
| 0:24 | CDMA (5) | Chingachgook (1) | 0:11,5 | contacted (1) |
| bulb (2) | 0:6,9,25,7,14 | 0:2 | communication (1) | 0:19 |
| 0:7,14 | cell (6) | choice (1) | 0:6 | contained (2) |
| Bureau (2) | 0:25,1,12,6,13,18 | 0:23 | compared (1) | 0:11,24 |
| 0:10,11 | CELLCO (9) | chosen (1) | 0:1 | contamination (1) |
| buried (1) | 0:3,22,11, $8,12,14$, | 0:15 | Compatibility (1) | 0:14 |
| 0:21 | 20,24,17 | cinderblocks (1) | 0:24 | context (1) |
| business (1) | cells (3) | 0:25 | compliance (1) | 0:20 |
| 0:8 | 0:15,20,22 | Citizen (1) | 0:9 | continue (1) |
| busy (1) | Centek (1) | 0:15 | complied (3) | 0:13 |
| 0:11 | 0:16 | city (2) | 0:18,21,25 | continues (1) |
| C | centerline (3) | $0: 7,18$ claim (2) | compound (11) <br> 0.3,11,4,4,6,2,6,8,9 | $0: 3$ |
|  | Centore (46) | 0:19,23 | 11,15 | 0:14 |
| C-1A (1) | $0: 15,14,15,7,8,20$, | clarify (2) | concern (6) | contrast (1) |
| 0:10 | 18,19,7,8,5,12,17,23, | 0:24,15 | 0:24,12,20,13,25,9 | 0:8 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { control (1) } \\ & 0: 23 \\ & \text { controlled (1) } \\ & 0: 11 \end{aligned}$ | D | $\begin{gathered} 0: 21 \\ \text { depth }(3) \\ 0: 21,9,11 \\ \text { depths }(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 24 \\ \text { disrupt (1) } \\ 0: 3 \\ \text { dissonance (1) } \end{gathered}$ | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | d/b/a (2) |  |  | EA (1) |
| controls (2) | 0:3,22 | $0: 21$ | 0:6 | 0:20 |
| 0:11,17 | D5D (1) | design (4) | distance (1) | early (1) |
| convenience (1) | $0: 4$ | $0: 20,17,14,8$ | $0: 6$ distant (1) | $0: 11$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 0: 9 \\ \text { cooling (1) } \end{gathered}$ | daily (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { designee (2) } \\ 0: 9,11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { distant }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 0: 8 \end{array}$ | easement (2) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { cooling (1) } \\ 0: 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 17 \\ \text { Dan (1) } \end{gathered}$ | detail (3) | distributed (1) | $\begin{gathered} 0: 5,8 \\ \text { easier (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { coordinated (1) } \\ & 0: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $0: 10$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 6,15,1 \\ \text { detailed (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $0: 16$ <br> disturbance (1) | easior $0: 22,12$ easiest (1) |
| coordination (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Danbury (9) } \\ & 0: 2,8,1,25,20,21,3, \end{aligned}$ | $0: 14$ | disturbance (1) $0: 12$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { easiest (1) } \\ 0: 13 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0:6 | $4,5$ | details (1) | disturbed (1) | east (1) |
| copies (2) $0: 13,15$ | DAS (1) | $\begin{gathered} 0: 3 \\ \text { determination (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $0: 23$ <br> diversity (2) | 0:11 |
| corner (4) | $\begin{gathered} 0: 22 \\ \operatorname{data}(5) \end{gathered}$ | $0: 23$ | $0: 1,9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { eastern (3) } \\ 0: 24,2,3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0:25,3,1,5 | 0:20,2,16,17,13 | determining (1) | docket (5) | edge (6) |
| corrections (2) | database (2) | 0:4 | $0: 25,10,18,15,19$ | 0:15,1,18,4,5,1 |
| $\begin{gathered} 0: 25,12 \\ \text { corridor (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 0:1,9 | develop (1) | dockets (2) | educating (1) |
| 0:10 | $0: 3$ | developed (1) | documents (1) | effect (3) |
| cost-effective (1) $0: 6$ | dated (1) | $0: 23$ | $0: 25$ | 0:8,1,25 |
| $0: 6$ costly (1) | 0:16 Dawn (1) | $0: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { done (6) } \\ & 0: 10,18,7,23,4,19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { effective (3) } \\ 0: 18,19,21 \end{array}$ |
| 0:14 | 0:3 | development (9) | down (5) | effects (2) |
| Council (16) | day (2) | $0: 13,15,3,19,5,3,22 \text {, }$ | $0: 12,3,7,12,2$ | 0:3,10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0: 4,6,7,4,7,7,16,3 \\ & 14,8,17,24,2,14,18,14 \end{aligned}$ | $0: 18,11$ days (4) | dialogue (1) | download (1) $0: 10$ | either (4) |
| Council's (1) | days (4) $0: 3,4,5,25$ | 0:19 diamer (3) | Dr (37) | $0: 5,2,7$, elders (1) |
| 0:25 | deal (2) | diameter (3) | 0:13,4,24,25,11,16, | 0:24 |
| County (4) $0: 18,23,13,1$ | 0:20,12 | $0: 20,22,3$ | 3,16,13,22,5,22,14,22, | electric (1) |
| 0:18,23,13, | dealing (1) | difference (1) | 4,17,17,22,4,4,17,25, | 0:15 |
| couple (6) $0: 13,1,10,11,6,14$ | 0:20 | 0:12 | 16,20,16,2,15,24,25, | electrical (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0: 13,1,10,11,6,14 \\ & \text { course (3) } \end{aligned}$ | Dean (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { different (3) } \\ 0: 15,17,4 \end{array}$ | 16,23,2,9,12,1,6,9 <br> drag (1) | 0:1 |
| 0:8,12,2 | debris (4) | difficult (4) | 0:15 | 0:5 |
| cover (4) | 0:24,24,25,14 | 0:7,12,8,2 | drainage (1) | elevate (1) |
| 0:12,3,8,23 | decades (1) | dig (4) | 0:8 | 0:4 |
| coverage (8) <br> $0: 4,10,12,15,21,10$, | 0:11 | $0: 5,21,19,23$ <br> digital (1) | $\underset{\text { drawings (1) }}{0.15}$ | eliminating (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0: 4,10,12,15,21,10, \\ & 24,25 \end{aligned}$ | decision (1) | $\underset{0: 1}{\operatorname{digital}(1)}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 15 \\ \text { drive (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $0: 25$ else (4) |
| crawl (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 21 \\ & \text { decisions (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $0: 1$ direct (2) | $0: 22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { else (4) } \\ & 0: 19,1,8,11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0:6 | $0: 22$ | 0:19,2 | driven (1) | emergency (2) |
| create (2) | DEEP (3) | directed (1) | 0:8 driveway (5) | 0:11,13 |
| $0: 18,7$ creates (1) | 0:5,18,19 | 0:4 | driveway (5) | emergent (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { creates (1) } \\ 0: 21 \end{gathered}$ | defined (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { direction (1) } \\ 0: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $0: 13,13,7,13,16$ <br> driveways (1) | 0:16 |
| criteria (1) | D | directly (1) | 0:8 | encounter (1) |
| 0:12 | $0: 25$ | 0:6 | drop (1) | encountered (1) |
| cross (1) | demands (1) | Director (3) | 0:10 | 0:24 |
| $\begin{gathered} 0: 25 \\ \text { crusade (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $0: 23$ | $0: 16,22,13$ | dropped (7) <br> 0:7,13,16,23,25,3,6 | encroach (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { crusade (1) } \\ 0: 18 \end{gathered}$ | demonstrating (1) 0:19 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { disagree (1) } \\ 0: 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 7,13,16,23,25,3,6 \\ & \text { dry (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 0:3 |
| cultural (1) | Department (2) | Disappeared (1) | $0: 24,15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { end (2) } \\ & 0: 17,11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0:24 | $0: 9,10$ | $0: 2$ | duly (1) | endangered (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { curious (1) } \\ 0 \cdot 10 \end{gathered}$ | deploy (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { discussed (2) } \\ 0: 2,19 \end{gathered}$ | $0: 12$ | 0:2 |
| currently (1) | $0: 2,7$ | discussion (1) | duplicate (2) 0:23,1 | ends (1) |
| $0: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { deployed (1) } \\ & 0: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $0: 11$ | during (6) | Energy (1) |
| $\operatorname{cut}(1)$ | deposited (1) | discussions (1) | 0:6,11,18,24,6,7 | $0: 10$ |
| $0: 3$ | $0: 7$ | $0: 3$ |  | engineer (2) |
|  | deposition (1) | disguising (1) |  | 0:14,16 |


| Engineering (1) | $\underset{0 \cdot 0}{\operatorname{exactly}(1)}$ | $0: 11,24,9,24,5,2,19$ | $0: 12,2,21,9,17,17$ | fuel (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| enhance (1) | examination (1) | fast (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fish (7) } \\ & 0: 19,5,3,13,7,15,22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 11,13 \\ & \text { full (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0:15 | 0:1 | 0:12 | five (5) | 0:19,1,25 |
| enlargement (1) | examined (1) | favor (1) | 0:18,21,1,20,1 | functions (1) |
| 0:11 | 0:14 | 0:6 | fixture (1) | 0:4 |
| enough (5) | example (1) | FCC (4) | 0:13 | further (7) |
| 0:9,24,25,2,7 | 0:24 | 0:8,21,5,16 | flight (1) | 0:9,3,5,25,3,6,2 |
| ENS (1) | excavated (1) | feature (1) | 0:12 | future (4) |
| 0:17 | 0:9 | 0:13 | flood (1) | 0:1,7,14,4 |
| ensure (1) | excuse (1) $0: 2$ | features (1) $0: 14$ | $0: 12$ flows (1) | G |
| ensuring (1) | excused (1) | federal (7) | 0:3 |  |
| 0:5 | 0:18 | 0:9,11,5,9,14,16,7 | fly (1) | gas (2) |
| enter (1) | Executive (2) | federally (1) | 0:4 | 0:8,9 |
| 0:6 | 0:15,13 | 0:1 | folks (3) | gas' (1) |
| enumerate (1) | exhibits (8) | feds (1) | 0:15,15,18 | 0:11 |
| 0:20 | 0:18,21,7,2,11,2,19, | 0:24 | following (2) | General (6) |
| enumerated (1) | $24$ | feeder (1) | $0: 2,14$ | 0:20,5,22,10,5,6 |
| 0:24 | existing (7) | 0:18 | follows (2) | generally (1) |
| Environmental (6) | 0:25,11,20,8,2,23, | feel (5) | 0:15,12 | 0:14 |
| 0:10,24,11,2,20,20 | 11 | $0: 9,18,23,13,16$ | followup (1) | generator (5) |
| equally (2) | expand (1) | feels (1) | 0:13 follow-up (3) | 0:12,14,20,6,18 |
| $0: 7,12$ | 0:20 | $0: 15$ feet (12) | follow-up (3) | gentlemen (1) 0.2 |
| $0: 12,13,9$ | experiencing (1) | 0:6,9,6,16,4,6,14, | footing (1) | Geotech (1) |
| equipped (1) | expert (3) | 20,25,17,20,7 | 0:24 | 0:19 |
| 0:13 | 0:19,21,21 | felt (2) | footprint (5) | Germantown (6) |
| ergo (1) | explanation (1) | 0:22,10 | 0:17,1,23,11,19 | 0:21,3,5,11,16,24 |
| 0:25 | 0:8 | fence (3) | foremost (1) | gets (2) |
| erosion (2) | express (1) | 0:11,14,13 | 0:21 | 0:21,10 |
| 0:11,22 | 0:1 | fenced (7) | forget (1) | given (9) |
| error (1) | extant (1) | 0:3,16,7,8,8,11,19 | 0:3 | 0:4,3,10,12,4,5,3,8, |
| 0:4 | 0:11 | fencepost (1) | form (1) | 9 |
| especially (1) | extends (1) | 0:22 | 0:23 | God (1) |
| 0:6 | 0:6 | few (7) | formal (1) | 0:1 |
| ESQ (1) | extent (1) | $0: 17,16,16,8,22,9$, | 0:25 | goes (3) |
| 0:8 | $0: 17$ | 12 | formed (1) | 0:10,20,1 |
| Essentially (2) | extirpated (1) | field (4) | 0:7 | Good (7) |
| 0:10,1 | $0: 23$ | 0:14,19,6,4 | forward (1) | 0:1,5,9,17,17,7,7 |
| establish (1) | F | $\underset{0}{\text { figured (1) }}$ | $0: 18$ found (1) | $\underset{0 \cdot 3}{\operatorname{grade}(1)}$ |
| estate (2) | F | 0:12 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { found (1) } \\ 0: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 3 \\ \text { grant (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 0:12,19 | facilities (2) | 0:25 | foundation (3) | 0:24 |
| estimate (1) | 0:20,1 | figures (1) | 0:3,24,22 | gravel (1) |
| 0:24 | Facility (9) | 0:20 | foundations (1) | 0:3 |
| evaporate (1) | 0:1,11, 1,3,15, 16, 11, | filed (2) | 0:2 | gray (1) |
| 0:24 | 10,8 | 0:20,23 | four (1) | 0:15 |
| even (9) | fact (2) | fill (5) | 0:21 | Great (6) |
| 0:9,16,11,17,16,12, | 0:18,10 | 0:23,21,6,8,14 | four-and-a-half-foot (2) | 0:2,16,17,12,6,17 |
| 8,1,6 | factor (2) | filled (2) | 0:22,3 | greater (1) |
| evening (1) | 0:1,24 | 0:23,2 | four-story (1) | 0:22 |
| 0:15 | fair (3) | filling (1) | 0:5 | grounds (1) |
| event (2) | 0:8,23,2 | 0:10 | free (1) | 0:25 |
| 0:18,20 | Fairfield (4) | find (2) | 0:18 | groundwater (2) |
| Eversource's (1) | 0:18,23,13,1 | 0:13,20 | fresh (1) | 0:22,3 |
| 0:17 | fairly (5) | fine (1) | 0:16 | group (1) |
| everyone (2) | 0:17,3,13,21,5 | 0:19 | friend (1) | 0:2 |
| 0:5,24 | falls (1) | finish (2) | 0:25 | guess (9) |
| evidence (1) | 0:15 | 0:23,10 | friends (2) | 0:15,11,17,19, 1,3, |
| 0:5 | familiar (1) | Firm (1) | 0:25,14 | 16,11,11 |
| exact (1) | 0:19 | 0:6 | front (3) | guessing (1) |
| 0:9 | far (8) | first (6) | 0:11,13,6 | 0:19 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guide (1) } \\ & 0: 15 \\ & \text { Gustafson (38) } \\ & 0: 25,22,23,8,9,24, \\ & 25,15,16,7,14,9,5,1,5, \\ & 1,18,3,9,8,16,20,1,6,1, \\ & 20,2,17,12,23,14,19, \\ & 24,18,21,18,5,7 \end{aligned}$ | ```high (1) 0:12 highest (1) 0:25 highly (1) 0:22 historic (6) \(0: 13,8,22,22,20,13\) historical (2)``` | $\begin{gathered} \text { impacting }(1) \\ 0: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { initial (1) } \\ 0: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0: 1,12 \\ \text { July (1) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { impacts (3) } \\ 0: 21,24,25 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { initially (1) } \\ 0: 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0:4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | impediments (2) 0:11,14 <br> Implementation (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { inquiry (1) } \\ 0: 6 \end{gathered}$ | K |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { insofar }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 0: 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep }(4) \\ & 0: 8,25,13,25 \end{aligned}$KENNETH (2) |
|  |  | Implementation (1) 0:20 |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{0: 22}{\text { implemented (1) }}$ | $\underset{0: 3}{\text { install (1) }}$ |  |
| H | 0:14,18 |  |  |  |
|  | history (1) | implementing (1) | installation (1) | killed (1) |
| habitat (9) | 0:10 |  | installations (1) |  |
| 0:10,16,8,20,25, 1, 1 , | hits (2) |  |  | kilowatts (1) |
| 23,2 | 0:12,13 |  | 0:2 | 0:7 |
| habitats (2) | holds (3) | inappropriate (1) | instances (1) | kind (7) |
| 0:12,19 | 0:7,7,15 |  | 0:18 | 0:5,23,3,6,17,23,15 |
| half (3) | Homeland (1) | $0: 19$ | $\underset{0: 8}{\operatorname{intact}(1)}$ | Kiowas (1) |
| 0:2,1,21 | 0:12 | $0: 7$ |  | 0:1Klemens (36) |
| halide (1) | hope (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inch-and-a-quarter (1) } \\ & 0: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { intended (1) } \\ 0 \cdot 18 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 0:20 | 0:8 |  |  | 0:13,4,24,25,11,16, |
| hand (2) | hopefully (1) | inclined (1) | $0: 18$ interact (4) | 3,16,13,22,5,22,14,22, |
| 0:7,2 | 0:7 | 0:10 | 0:24,2,5,1 | 4,17,17,22,4,4,17,25, |
| handover (1) | hoping (1) | include (2) |  | 16,20,16,2,24,25,16, |
| 0:11 | 0:4 | 0:20,14 | interacts (1) $0: 1$ | 23,2,9,12,1,6,9 |
| hands-off (1) | Hospital (2) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0: 1 \\ \text { interest (4) } \end{gathered}$ | knowledge (1) |
| 0:17 | 0:21,3 | 0:19 | . 0:23,15,7,10 | 0:13 |
| hanging (1) | hours (1) | including (2) | interested (3)$0: 13,3,5$ | known (3) |
| 0:4 | 0:11 | $0: 10,6$incomplete (1) |  |  |
| Hannon (7) | housecleaning (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0: 13,3,5 \\ \text { interesting (1) } \end{gathered}$ | knows (1) |
| 0:9,7,8,17,7,16,2 | 0:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incomplete (1) } \\ & 0: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $0: 23$ | 0:1 |
| ${ }_{\text {happen }}^{\text {ha:4 }}$ (1) | Hudson (1) $0: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incorporate (1) } \\ & 0: 21 \end{aligned}$ | Interior (2) $0: 11,15$ | L |
| happened (1) | huge (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incorporated (1) } \\ & 0: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { interrogatories (3) } \\ 0: 25,22,3 \end{gathered}$ | labeled (1) |
| 0:2 | 0:12 |  |  |  |
| happening (1) | hundred (3) | incorrect (1) | interrogatory (4) | 0:3 |
| 0:16 | 0:20,17,7 | 0:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 23,7,7,11 \\ & \text { into (12) } \end{aligned}$ | lack (1)$0: 2$ |
| happens (1) | hunting (1) |  |  |  |
| 0:11 | 0:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { increase (2) } \\ 0: 9,12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 21,3,24,9,8,24,12, \\ & 13,13,13,21,4 \end{aligned}$ | ladies (1) |
| hard (1) | HVAC (1) | increased (1) |  | 0:2 |
| 0:5 | 0:2 |  | invalid (1) | Lakota (1) |
| Hartford (1)$0: 7$ | hydraulic (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { increasing (1) } \\ & 0: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 1 \\ \text { investigation (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 0:19 |
|  | 0:8 |  |  | land (3) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hawkeye (1) } \\ 0: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { hydrology (1) } \\ 0: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Indian (2) } \\ 0: 10,11 \\ \text { indicated (1) } \\ 0: 4 \end{gathered}$ | 0:1 | $\begin{gathered} 0: 15,23,24 \\ \text { landmark (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | investigations (1) 0:20 |  |
| heard (3) | I |  | $\begin{gathered} 0: 20 \\ \text { involved (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0: 15 \\ \text { land-use (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| hearing (11) | I | $\underset{0: 19}{\text { indicates }(\mathbf{1})}$ | involved (3) $0: 20,22,25$ IP (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { land-use (2) } \\ 0: 12,9 \end{array}$ |
| 0:3,18,19,5,7,15,19, | idea (1) |  | IP (1) | ${ }_{\text {language (1) }}^{\text {l }}$ |
| 7,24,14,16 | 0:21 | $\begin{gathered} 0: 19 \\ \text { indicative (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 0:14 |  |
| heat (2) | identified (5) | $\begin{gathered} 0: 8 \\ \text { indirect (1) } \end{gathered}$ | IPaC (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { large (4) } \\ & 0: 15,8,1,7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0:5,8 | 0:14,2,9,15,7 |  | 0:15 |  |
| height (5) | identifies (2) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { indirect (1) } \\ 0: 10 \end{array}$ | isolated (1) | largest (1)$0: 11$ |
| 0:1,4,17,1,5 | 0:7,11 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { industrial (3) } \\ 0: 6,16,19 \end{array}$ | $0: 4$issue (3) |  |
| heights (2) | identify (1) |  |  | last (2)$0: 24,7$ |
| 0:23,1 | 0:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { industry (2) } \\ & 0: 9,7 \\ & \text { infiltration (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 0:20,14,7 |  |
| held (1) | illumination (1) |  | issues (1) | ( $\begin{gathered}0: 24,7 \\ \text { law (2) }\end{gathered}$ |
| 0:18 | 0:15 |  | 0:14 | $0: 9,1$layman (1) |
| helium (1) | immediate (1) | infiltration (1) $0: 4$ | items (4) |  |
| 0:23 | 0:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{info}(\mathbf{2}) \\ & 0: 18,18 \end{aligned}$ | 0:15,16,21,8 | $\begin{gathered} 0: 9 \\ \text { lead (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| hereof (1) $0: 3$ | impact (2) |  | J |  |
| hey (1) | impacted (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { information (9) } \\ & 0: 1,11,2,17,12,2,11, \\ & 2,11 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0: 18 \\ \text { leaks (2) } \\ 0: 21,22 \end{gathered}$ |
| 0:17 | 0:6,8 |  | join (2) |  |


| learned (1) | 0:14 | Lynch (20) | measures (2) | 0:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:16 | limited (1) | 0:13, 10, 9, 10, 22, 12, | 0:5,24 | mode (1) |
| lease (2) | 0:23 | 17,21,8,11,21,5,16,6, | meet (3) | 0:9 |
| 0:23,2 | line (7) | 15,20,10,9,17,21 | 0:15,2,9 | moderate (1) |
| leased (6) | $0: 1,10,12,18,22,11$, 9 | M | $\underset{0}{\text { meeting (1) }}$ | 0:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0: 16,2,23,2,6,8 \\ & \text { least (9) } \end{aligned}$ | lines (3) | M |  | moderately (1) <br> $0 \cdot 20$ |
| 0:15,13,21, 1, 20, 14, | 0:6,6,17 | Main (3) | 0:25,25,3,10 | modest (1) |
| 2,11,10 | list (1) | 0:21,15,24 | Melanie (1) | 0:11 |
| leave (1) | 0:19 | maintain (1) | 0:15 | modifications (2) |
| 0:18 | listed (7) | 0:9 | member (2) | 0:25,12 |
| leaves (2) | 0:19,22,7,23,1,2,10 | maintained (1) | 0:6,7 | modified (1) |
| 0:19,11 | little (15) | 0:17 | members (3) | 0:23 |
| LED (1) | 0:13, 13, 18,6,14,21, | maintaining (1) | 0:7,14,14 | Mohicans (1) |
| 0:13 | 25,1,17,18,18,2,13,21, | 0:10 | mentioned (2) | 0:17 |
| Ledges (1) | 11 | Maintenance (1) | 0:10,15 | monitoring (2) |
| 0:11 | lived (1) | 0:25 | mentions (1) | 0:22,12 |
| LEDs (3) | 0:24 | major (1) | 0:17 | monopines (1) |
| 0:20,9,20 | LLP (1) | 0:11 | merits (1) | 0:25 |
| left (5) | 0:5 | majority (1) | 0:8 | monopole (3) |
| 0:11,18,24,21,7 | local (2) | 0:15 | mesh (1) | 0:14,18,16 |
| left-hand (1) | 0:18,17 | makes (1) | 0:14 | more (19) |
| 0:4 | Located (2) | 0:12 | message (1) | 0:14,9,11,21,8,19, |
| legacy (2) | 0:2,17 | Manager (1) | 0:23 | 17,23,24,15,16,4,5,17, |
| 0:5,11 | location (2) | 0:19 | metal (1) | 23,25,20,11,14 |
| legal (1) | 0:25,1 | $\boldsymbol{m a p}(8)$ | 0:19 | morning (2) |
| 0:12 | locations (4) | 0:22,7,20,20,21,10, | Michael (1) | 0:8,11 |
| legend (1) | 0:14,25,17,11 | 14,24 | 0:16 | most (8) |
| 0:24 | long (2) | maps (2) | micro (2) | 0:14,18,19,21,1,6, |
| less (4) | 0:17,12 | 0:3,20 | 0:6,21 | 23,10 |
| 0:20,22,21,19 | long-eared (1) | margin (1) | microphone (1) | motion (5) |
| letter (1) | 0:8 | 0:25 | 0:24 | 0:15,20,25,5,12 |
| 0:2 | long-term (2) | mark (2) | middle (3) | mount (1) |
| level (3) | 0:2,14 | 0:24,7 | 0:22,18,5 | 0:19 |
| 0:12,25,3 | look (15) | marked (2) | midwest (1) | move (1) |
| levels (1) | $0: 16,6,20,23,24,24,$ | 0:16,14 | $0: 19$ | 0:25 |
| 0:2 | $25,25,17,20,10,21,6$ | marsh (1) | midwestern (1) | moved (1) |
| Libertine (39) | 16,12 | 0:16 | 0:3 | 0:3 |
| 0:22,20,21,5,6,22, | looked (3) | Mashantuckets (1) | $\underset{\text { might (3) }}{ }$ | movement (1) |
| 23,11,12,5,21,8,13,7, | 0:1,19,22 | 0:18 | 0:1,15,8 | 0:13 |
| 21,19,2,11,21,12,15, | looking (8) | mat (1) | migrating (1) | moving (6) |
| 20,25,12,6,10,4,12,19, | 0:7,8,9,4,25,6,14,3 | 0:7 | 0:1 | 0:15,21,21,7,13,18 |
| 23,4,15,17,2,20,5,22, | looks (4) | material (3) | migration (1) | much (5) |
| 12,19 | 0:15,2,11,14 | 0:9,20,10 | 0:5 | 0:19, 1,4,12,17 |
| license (1) | loop (1) | matter (4) | migratory (1) | multibillion-dollar (1) |
| 0:16 | 0:11 | 0:4,3,24,4 | 0:1 | 0:10 |
| licensed (1) | loose (1) | maximum (3) | Mike (1) | multiple (1) |
| 0:7 | 0:13 | 0:21,9,11 | 0:21 | 0:15 |
| lieu (1) | lose (1) | may (10) | mile (5) | municipal (2) |
| 0:13 | 0:9 | 0:2,17,15,17,21,22, | 0:4,15,1,2,9 | 0:15,16 |
| lift (1) | lost (1) | 25,10,14,25 | miles (3) | municipalities (1) |
| 0:25 | 0:14 | maybe (4) | 0:19,2,7 | 0:25 |
| lifting (1) | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}$ (17) | 0:9,11,2,3 | mind (2) | Murphy (19) |
| 0:9 | 0:21,24,18, 13, 23 , | McKay (1) | 0:16,17 | $0: 8,15,16,7,14,20$ |
| light (3) | 24,18,1,24,9,17,5,23, | 0:3 | minimum (2) | 25,5,21,2,10,18,22,10, |
| 0:18,21,16 | 6,22,4,13 | meadow (1) | 0:2,8 | 1,8,23,9,20 |
| lightbulb (1) | lots (1) | 0:16 | minutes (1) | myself (1) |
| 0:5 | 0:8 | mean (5) | 0:7 | 0:16 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { lights (1) } \\ 0: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \operatorname{low}_{0}(\mathbf{2}) \\ 0: 25,15 \end{array}$ | $0: 13,21,19,12,1$ means (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { mischief (1) } \\ 0: 19 \end{array}$ | N |
| likelihood (1) | LTE (7) | 0,10 | misleading (1) |  |
| 0:15 | 0:16,18, 19, 9, 11, 13, | measure (5) | 0:3 | name (1) |
| likely (1) | 12 | 0:16,22,23,25,5 | missed (1) | 0:5 |


| Narragansetts (1) | number (7) | opportunity (2) | part (4) | 0:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0:18 | 0:25,15,12,10,18, | 0:24,16 | 0:13,24,18,13 | piece (1) |
| Native (1) | 25,1 | Opposed (3) | particular (6) | 0:13 |
| 0:18 | numerals (1) | 0:9,3,18 | 0:16,23, 12,5,16,14 | piles (6) |
| natural (3) | 0:16 | oral (1) | PARTNERSHIP (7) | 0:6,6,11,21,21,14 |
| 0:11,25,9 |  | 0:20 | 0:3,22,11,8,13,15, | pits (1) |
| nature (1) | 0 | order (3) | 21 | 0:3 |
| 0:20 |  | 0:3,15,21 | party (1) | placed (3) |
| near (1) | oath (1) | ordinances (1) | 0:13 | 0:24,9,21 |
| 0:7 | 0:3 | 0:18 | passive (1) | places (1) |
| necessarily (3) | oaths (1) | organics (1) | 0:12 | 0:16 |
| 0:9,6,13 | 0:14 | 0:1 | past (2) | plan (17) |
| necessary (2) | objection (2) | oriented (1) | 0:10,11 | 0:20,8,10, 1,8,12,15, |
| 0:16,21 | 0:20,21 | 0:1 | Pasture (2) | 5,19,2,9,21,19,9,21, |
| Ned (1) | objective (1) | originally (1) | 0:2,17 | 22,23 |
| 0:25 | 0:1 | 0:10 | patterns (1) | plans (3) |
| Need (11) | observed (1) | others (1) | 0:8 | 0:2,13,14 |
| 0:24,17,24,21,9,16, | 0:24 | 0:23 | paved (1) | Please (3) |
| 10,7,11,13,1 | occur (1) | out (16) | 0:15 | 0:5,18,2 |
| needs (2) | 0:24 | 0:15,6,5,18,21,3,11, | pavement (2) | pleases (1) |
| 0:15,16 | o'clock (1) | 15,17,21,23,9,16,6,4, | 0:18,5 | 0:3 |
| neighbors (2) | 0:11 | 20 | peak (1) | pm (7) |
| 0:25,13 | off (5) | outside (4) | 0:8 | 0:5,19, 19, 9, 15,14, |
| NEPA (1) | 0:5,7,16,19,6 | 0:13,13,8,9 | peninsula (1) | 19 |
| 0:9 | offer (4) | outstanding (1) | 0:11 | point (5) |
| network (6) | 0:3,18,1,19 | 0:20 | people (3) | 0:16,10,15,14,8 |
| 0:6,10,18,19,7,17 | office (4) | over (8) | 0:3,13,7 | pointed (1) |
| new (2) | 0:8,9,22,25 | 0:1,19,5,15,1,13,14, | per (2) | 0:11 |
| 0:19,10 | officer (1) | 16 | 0:24,23 | pointing (4) |
| newer (1) | 0:23 | overall (1) | percentage (1) | 0:1,4,11,23 |
| 0:11 | official (2) | 0:17 | 0:3 | poke (1) |
| Next (4) | 0:12,1 | overrule (2) | percentages (1) | 0:3 |
| 0:13,15,1,18 | often (1) | 0:16,20 | 0:4 | pole (6) |
| nobody (1) | 0:1 | overruling (2) | perchance (1) | 0:2,6,9,23,8,13 |
| 0:24 | oil (2) | 0:25,4 | 0:8 | populations (1) |
| nodes (2) | 0:20,20 | overseeing (1) | perhaps (1) | 0:11 |
| 0:21,22 | Ojibwa (1) | 0:4 | 0:3 | porch (3) |
| noise (1) | 0:25 | overtake (1) | period (2) | 0:18,21,16 |
| 0:3 | Oklahoma (2) | 0:4 | 0:3,11 | portion (2) |
| nomadic (1) | 0:19,2 | own (2) | periodic (1) | 0:12,12 |
| 0:22 | old (7) | 0:18,13 | 0:12 | portions (1) |
| none (2) $0: 7,24$ | $0: 17,25,14,25,18$, 25,14 | P | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Permitting (1) } \\ 0: 23 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 0: 3 \\ \text { possibility (1) } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| nor (1) | once (3) | P | Perone (32) | $0: 23$ |
| 0:9 | 0:3,13,5 | packed (1) | 0:1,2,19,5,10,16,21, | possible (1) |
| normally (2) | one (21) | 0:5 | 3,18,1,7,15,19, 1,5,10, | 0:18 |
| 0:17,17 | $0: 24,8,2,22,22,13$, | page (9) | 17,2,13,23,12,17,7,15, | possibly (1) |
| north (1) | 23,23,8,2,18,23,21,7, | 0:25,18,16,14,23, | 21,6,20,11,16,1,10,24 | 0:21 |
| 0:1 | 23,24,5,11,10,25,23 | 25,14,4,5 | Perrone (1) | post (1) |
| note (1) | ones (1) | panel (2) | 0:17 | 0:10 |
| 0:22 | 0:13 | 0:2,10 | perspective (3) | posting (1) |
| noted (2) | only (5) | paper (1) | 0:6,15,19 | 0:1 |
| 0:20,8 | 0:19,4,20,20,2 | 0:14 | phase (1) | potential (9) |
| notes (1) | onto (2) | paperwork (1) | 0:16 | 0:18,23,8,20,25,25, |
| 0:24 | 0:16,21 | 0:19 | phone (1) | 1,24,25 |
| notice (2) | open (4) | paragraph (1) | $0: 1$ | potentially (3) |
| 0:18,5 | 0:7,10,12,7 | $0: 25$ | photo (1) | $0: 6,16,3$ |
| noticed (2) | operate (1) | Pardon (1) | 0:25 | power (1) |
| 0:21,23 | 0:9 | 0:8 | photographs (4) | 0:17 |
| notices (1) | Operation (2) | park (1) | 0:9,11,10,11 | practice (1) |
| 0:24 | $0: 1,2$ | 0:16 | picture (1) | $0: 6$ |
| notification (2) | opinion (3) | parking (1) | 0:17 | predicated (1) |
| 0:11,20 | 0:17,25,16 | 0:8 | pictures (1) | 0:9 |

predominately (1) 0:11
preparation (1) 0:6
prepare (1) 0:6
prepared (1) 0:13
present (3) 0:14,2,21
presented (2) 0:2,4
preservation (2) 0:22,23
pretty (3) 0:3,3,12
primarily (2) 0:9,14
prior (2) 0:19,25
privacy (2) 0:12,12
probably (14) $0: 19,7,13,4,21,8,21$, 5,19,20,9,4,13,7
problem (1) 0:21
problems (1) 0:22
Procedure (1) 0:21
Procedures (1) 0:16
proceed (2) 0:12,20
proceedings (1) 0:18
process (5) 0:3,4,1,20,21
professional (3) 0:16,1,17
program (8) $0: 15,19,7,19,23,12$, 8,6
progress (2) 0:11,20
prohibited (1) 0:8
Project (11) $0: 20,6,9,9,24,12,15$, 11,13,17,19
prominent (1) 0:22
promotes (1) 0:4
propagation (1)
0:3
propane (7) $0: 13,21,24,18,22,4$, 6
proper (1) 0:11
properly (1)

| 0:11 | qualify (2) | recommends (1) | 0:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| property (5) | 0:15,23 | 0:24 | request (1) |
| 0:13,19,9,11,3 | quality (8) | record (4) | 0:25 |
| propose (1) | 0:21,22, $7,24,14,25$, | 0:6,21,11,14 | requested (1) |
| 0:9 | 5,1 | records (3) | 0:6 |
| proposed (9) | quarter (3) | 0:1,2,18 | requests (1) |
| 0:1,12,3,7,19,1,25, | 0:3,14,1 | recovery (7) | 0:6 |
| 13,17 | quite (4) | 0:20,10,8,12,14,5,2 | require (1) |
| proposing (5) | 0:6,22,22,10 | red (2) | 0:18 |
| 0:8,22,13,16,14 | quoted (1) | 0:23,7 | required (1) |
| protect (1) | 0:9 | redoing (1) | 0:22 |
| $0: 13$ | R | 0:13 reduce (1) | requirement (3) 0:22,23,25 |
| $0: 22,1,15,16$ | R | reduce (1) $0: 12$ | reserved (2) |
| Protection (9) | radiofrequency (2) | refer (2) | 0:20,14 |
| 0:10,22,4,12,1,9,19, | 0:14,18 | 0:23,18 | residences (1) |
| 21,22 | radiological (1) | reference (4) | 0:7 |
| protective (5) | 0:20 | 0:10,18,25,22 | residential (1) |
| 0:15,21,7,13,24 | radius (1) | referred (2) | 0:13 |
| provide (5) | 0:10 | 0:16,18 | resolve (1) |
| 0:19,4,5,9,24 | range (1) | reflect (1) | 0:7 |
| provided (1) | 0:20 | 0:18 | resource (1) |
| 0:21 | rates (1) | refuse (1) | 0:15 |
| providers (1) | 0:9 | 0:20 | resources (1) |
| 0:7 | rather (2) | regular (1) | 0:25 |
| providing (1) | 0:14,7 | 0:22 | respect (2) |
| 0:24 | reach (1) | Regulatory (1) | 0:24,22 |
| provisions (1) | 0:6 | 0:12 | response (9) |
| 0:19 | reached (2) | reinforcing (1) | $0: 13,11,22,24,22$, |
| proximity (2) | 0:9,16 | 0:15 | 24,22,6,12 |
| 0:8,20 | read (2) | relative (2) | responses (3) |
| Public (7) | 0:1,16 | 0:6,5 | 0:25,11,14 |
| $0: 12,24,20,1,10,11$, | reading (4) | release (1) | responsible (1) |
| 15 | 0:21,19,10,10 | 0:18 | 0:3 |
| publication (1) | real (3) | releases (2) | rest (2) |
| 0:22 | 0:12,19,23 | 0:14,16 | 0:19,20 |
| puddles (1) | realize (1) | relevance (1) | restricted (6) |
| 0:6 | 0:20 | 0:20 | 0:5,2,17,24,21,1 |
| purely (2) | really (13) | relief (2) | restriction (3) |
| $0: 20,9$ | 0:20,15,14,22,4,20, | 0:19,22 | 0:12,10,10 |
| purpose (1) | 17,6,9,13,5,5,23 | remain (1) | restrictions (1) |
| 0:14 | reason (1) | 0:10 | 0:23 |
| purposes (1) | 0:18 | remediated (1) | result (2) |
| 0:2 | recall (2) | 0:17 | 0:10,24 |
| pursuant (1) | 0:9,24 | remediation (1) | resulted (1) |
| 0:18 | receive (2) | 0:21 | 0:13 |
| push (1) | 0:17,7 | remember (2) | resume (1) |
| 0:20 | received (7) | 0:3,2 | 0:18 |
| pushing (1) | 0:3,15,23,1,23,22,5 | reminder (1) | return (1) |
| 0:11 | recent (3) | 0:5 | 0:16 |
| put (5) | 0:22,8,10 | remiss (1) | review (5) |
| 0:11,10,21,16,21 | recently (3) | 0:13 | 0:14,15,16,10,17 |
| puzzled (1) | 0:18,20,21 | removed (1) | Ridgefield (2) |
| 0:23 | recess (2) | 0:3 | 0:11,15 |
| O | 0:18,14 | rental (1) | right (18) |
| Q | $0: 14$ | repair (1) | $24,4,7,11,18,2,22,22$ |
| qualifications (1) | recognizes (1) | 0:6 | 23,22,12,25 |
| 0:9 | 0:22 | REPORTER (1) | riparian (2) |
| qualified (1) | recommend (1) | 0:1 | 0:10,12 |
| 0:4 | 0:16 | representative (1) | riprap (2) |
| qualifies (1) | recommendations (2) | $0: 12$ | 0:6,25 |
| 0:20 | $0: 2,10$ | represented (1) | rise (3) |


| 0:5,18,17 | 0:7,11,22 | 0:17 | slope (10) | 0:3,18,21,1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| River (1) | Seeing (3) | ShopRite (1) | 0:23,3,9,18, 19,7,20, | standing (1) |
| 0:5 | 0:23,6,24 | 0:4 | 7,25,7 | 0:2 |
| Road (7) | Senator (19) | short (1) | slopes (1) | standpoint (3) |
| 0:2,5,3,18,18,11,24 | 0:8,15,16,7,14,20, | 0:13 | 0:15 | 0:2,20,20 |
| roads (3) | 25,5,21,2,10,18,22,10, | shorter (2) | small (9) | start (2) |
| 0:6,16,6 | 1,8,23,9,20 | 0:4,6 | 0:15,20,22,25,12,6, | 0:13,18 |
| Robin (1) | send (1) | shots (2) | 13,23,6 | started (1) |
| 0:5 | 0:2 | 0:7,16 | smaller (1) | 0:16 |
| ROBINSON (2) | senior (1) | show (1) | 0:25 | state (13) |
| 0:5,7 | 0:25 | 0:12 | soft (1) | 0:15,23,2,23,7,24,8, |
| robust (1) | sense (3) | showing (2) | 0:15 | 10,18,22,9,2,3 |
| 0:3 | 0:23,11,4 | 0:7,17 | soil (5) | statement (2) |
| Roman (3) | sensitivity (1) | shown (1) | 0:1,21,8,12,5 | 0:12,24 |
| 0:16,20,8 | 0:14 | 0:15 | soils (1) | statements (4) |
| roof (1) | sentence (1) | shows (1) | 0:21 | 0:21,2,4,25 |
| 0:15 | 0:11 | 0:9 | somewhat (2) | States (2) |
| rooftop (3) | separates (1) | SHPO (2) | 0:8,15 | 0:19,2 |
| 0:1,6,16 | 0:24 | 0:23,17 | sorry (6) | Statutes (1) |
| rubble (4) | September (2) | SHPOs (2) | 0:10,14,5,22,25,24 | 0:20 |
| 0:2,20,21,1 | 0:4,2 | 0:1,15 | sort (5) | stay (1) |
| runoff (1) | serve (4) | side (2) | 0:1,17,5,8,11 | 0:3 |
| 0:9 | 0:24,5,17,11 | 0:13,18 | source (1) | steel (1) |
| runtime (1) | Service (17) | sign (2) | 0:13 | 0:14 |
| 0:2 | 0:19,11,16,22,3,6, | 0:1,6 | south (6) | steep (3) |
| Ryan (2) | 17,3,7,22,25,3,5,5,23, | signal (1) | 0:6,13,16,7,24,6 | 0:23,6,15 |
| 0:13,15 | 11,12 | $0: 9$ | southern (2) | Stein (1) |
| S | serviced (1) $0: 13$ | $\underset{\text { significance (1) }}{0: 2}$ | $0: 13,24$ southwest (5) | 0:5 |
| S | services (9) | 0:2 | southwest (5) $0: 25,3,8,2,19$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { step (1) } \\ 0: 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| same (5) | 0:19,20,25,16,13,1, | 0:21,2,22,12,25,1 | space (3) | stick (1) |
| 0:5,2,7,8,15 | 12,12,14 | significantly (3) | 0:7,10,12 | 0:11 |
| satisfaction (1) | serving (1) | 0:18,1,12 | special (1) | still (6) |
| $0: 17$ | 0:8 | signify (1) | 0:23 | 0:5,5,7,15,3,14 |
| saw (6) | session (4) | 0:6 | species (2) | stop (1) |
| $0: 4,19,15,7,24,4$ | 0:18,19,1,15 | signoff (1) | $0: 24,10$ | $0: 10$ |
| saying (4) | setback (2) | $0: 22$ | specific (1) | storage (1) |
| $0: 7,6,19,24$ | 0:10,13 | silo (1) | 0:5 | $0: 12$ |
| scaffolding (1) | setting (2) | 0:16 | specifically (4) | storm (3) |
| 0:10 | 0:17,6 | similar (6) | 0:23,25,6,6 | 0:7,10,12 |
| scamper (1) | settlement (1) | 0:12,8,14,11,12,1 | speculating (1) | straight (2) |
| 0:8 | 0:19 | site (32) | 0:13 | 0:8,10 |
| scheduled (1) | seven (3) | 0:16,25,8,19,24,25, | speculative (1) | streaming (1) |
| 0:14 | 0:4,5,5 | 2,5,22,3,8,19,3,9,3,5, | 0:21 | 0:2 |
| scientist (2) | several (5) | 9,15,22,23,24,9,3,16, | spoke (2) | Street (3) |
| $0: 1,1$ | $0: 21,20,18,17,4$ | $22,16,12,10,22,23,1$ | $0: 20,23$ | 0:6,21,19 |
| scont'd (1) | sewer (1) | $23$ | spoken (1) | strength (1) |
| $0: 1$ | 0:6 | sites (4) | 0:5 | 0:9 |
| se (2) | shaman (1) | 0:21,12,20,14 | sporadic (3) | stretch (1) |
| 0:24,23 | 0:24 | Siting (6) | 0:19,24,20 | 0:17 |
| Second (3) | shared (1) | 0:3,6,17,15,22,18 | spreader (1) | strongly (1) |
| 0:4,6,13 | 0:19 | situation (3) | 0:13 | 0:13 |
| secondary (2) | shed (2) | 0:24,13,8 | stabilize (1) | struck (2) |
| 0:3,25 | 0:22,7 | Six (1) | 0:16 | 0:23,14 |
| section (3) | sheet (1) | 0:6 | staff (5) | structure (6) |
| 0:2,16,18 | 0:3 | size (3) | 0:14,16,7,24,1 | 0:6,12,12,22,2,12 |
| sections (1) | shelter (2) | 0:3,21,6 | stage (1) | structures (1) |
| 0:16 | 0:5,22 | slab (1) | 0:12 | 0:8 |
| sector (2) | shelters (1) | 0:3 | stand (2) | study (2) |
| 0:11,23 | 0:12 | slats (2) | 0:20,12 | 0:19,19 |
| sediment (1) | shift (1) | 0:12,13 | standard (2) | stuff (2) |
| 0:18 | 0:23 | slightly (1) | 0:14,14 | 0:22,4 |
| sedimentation (3) | shifted (1) | 0:20 | standards (4) | subject (1) |


| 0:11 | 0:6,5 | 0:6 | 0:19,20, 23, 23, 4, 12, | units (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subsection (2) | Telecommunication (1) | together (1) | 13,17,12,22,2,16,5 | 0:2 |
| 0:20,8 | 0:1 | 0:15 | true (5) | Unlike (1) |
| successfully (1) | telephone (4) | Tony (1) | 0:12,16,19,21,23 | 0:20 |
| 0:13 | 0:23,13,16,1 | 0:18 | Trumbull (1) | unlikely (3) |
| sudden (1) | telling (2) | top (6) | 0:6 | 0:22,5,20 |
| 0:24 | 0:15,23 | 0:3,17,4,7,11,10 | try (4) | up (20) |
| suggest (1) | ten (5) | topics (1) | 0:9,12,18,20 | 0:8,11,19,8,8,10,14, |
| 0:18 | 0:11, 16, 4, 6, 1 | 0:18 | trying (9) | 12,7,15,25,6,7,4,11, |
| summer (2) | tend (2) | touch (1) | 0:1,19,16, 1, 18, 22,4, | 21,2,4,25,17 |
| 0:23,24 | 0:3,2 | 0:25 | 16,19 | upcoming (1) |
| supplemental (1) | tends (1) | touched (1) | Tuesday (1) | 0:14 |
| 0:23 | 0:1 | 0:5 | 0:4 | update (1) |
| supply (1) | ten-foot (2) | towards (6) | turn (1) | 0:22 |
| 0:17 | 0:9,13 | 0:1,6,12,23,17,23 | 0:25 | updated (2) |
| support (3) | term (1) | tower (26) | turtle (37) | 0:18,20 |
| 0:7,8,11 | 0:2 | $0: 10,1,4,8,18,2,2$, | 0:19,22,24,25,25,3, | updating (1) |
| sure (7) | terms (1) | 12,17,24,8,17,9,13,21, | 9,9,11,6,7,8,12,13,8, | 0:14 |
| 0:24,14,20,16,21, | 0:22 | 4,24,2,3,19,23,25,6,8, | 14,11,13,3,10,11,16, | upon (3) |
| 22,24 | terrestrial (1) | 15,8 | 20,22,8,12,24,1,6,8, | 0:21,7,3 |
| surface (1) | 0:10 | towers (5) | 21,25,2,9,1,20,21 | upper (1) |
| 0:3 | test (1) | 0:24,2,24,12,1 | turtles (10) | 0:4 |
| swale (1) | 0:3 | town (4) | 0:3,19,7,4,5,24,9,1, | usage (2) |
| 0:12 | testified (2) | 0:8,18,10,11 | 5,19 | 0:1,4 |
| switch (1) | 0:14,6 | traditional (2) | two (6) | use (7) |
| $0: 20$ | testifying (1) | 0:22,12 | 0:19,23,19,2,17,2 | 0:9,23,21,14,6,9,6 |
| switched (1) | 0:9 | traffic (2) | two-lane (1) | used (3) |
| 0:16 | testimony (1) | 0:16,20 | 0:15 | 0:5,15,5 |
| Switching (1) | 0:3 | trafficked (1) | type (4) | users (1) |
| 0:17 | tethered (2) | 0:21 | 0:14,13,15,6 | 0:10 |
| sworn (2) | 0:25,4 | trails (1) | typical (2) | using (3) |
| 0:3,13 | Thanks (1) | 0:13 | 0:5,1 | 0:24,18,14 |
| Sympaug (2) | 0:16 | transcript (1) | typically (1) | usually (2) |
| 0:17,9 | thereof (1) | 0:6 | 0:16 | 0:13,23 |
| system (14) | 0:2 | transfer (1) | typographical (1) | Utilities (2) |
| $0: 16,20,16,19,25 \text {, }$ | third-party (1) | 0:19 | $0: 4$ | $0: 12,12$ |
| $14,12,15,20,12,18,19$, | 0:10 | transformation (2) | Tyurin (9) | utility (2) |
| 20,11 | though (5) | 0:1,11 | 0:12,9,10,2,3,14,15, | 0:6,17 |
| systems (1) | 0:16,8,11,15,16 | travel (1) | 3,4 | utilized (2) |
| 0:12 | threatened (1) | 0:5 | U | $0: 21,2$ |
| T | three (2) | $0: 12$ | U | V |
|  | 0:20,12 | treacherous (1) |  |  |
| tab (1) | throughout (1) | 0:3 | $0: 10$ | vapor (1) |
| 0:20 | $0: 12$ | treatment (1) | Ulanday (29) | 0:23 |
| table (1) | throw (1) | 0:22 | 0:13,11,12,4,5,16, | verbatim (1) |
| 0:25 | 0:13 | tree (2) | 17,5,6,24,8,17,4,15, | 0:6 |
| talk (3) | thrown (1) | 0:12,18 | 24,13,4,9,18,22,3,15, | verification (1) |
| 0:9,7,8 | 0:15 | treeline (2) | 24,5,6,9,19,8,9 | 0:3 |
| talked (1) | tie (1) | 0:7,17 | unable (1) | verify (1) |
| 0:6 | 0:12 | trees (2) | 0:25 | 0:13 |
| talking (5) | times (1) | 0:17,20 | under (2) | VERIZON (6) |
| 0:21,15,4,5,3 | 0:5 | trench (5) | 0:19,7 | 0:3,23,9,22,15,14 |
| $\operatorname{tank}(9)$ | Title (1) | 0:15,23,5,15,1 | underground (1) | Verizon's (2) |
| 0:13,22,25,13,18, | 0:19 | trenches (1) | 0:18 | 0:25,10 |
| 22,23,5,6 | today (10) | 0:19 | underneath (1) | versa (1) |
| TCNS (1) | 0:4,10,3,4,10,24,15, | trend (2) | 0:6 | 0:25 |
| 0:19 | 7,25,6 | 0:9,10 | undisturbed (2) | versus (1) |
| technical (2) | today's (1) | tribal (4) | $0: 7,12$ | 0:20 |
| 0:14,3 | 0:11 | 0:22,19,20,22 | Uniform (1) | Vice (2) |
| Technology (2) | to-do (1) | tribe (5) | 0:21 | 0:8,25 |
| 0:23,2 | 0:19 | 0:22,15,17,12,21 | United (1) | view (3) |
| telecom (2) | toe (1) | tribes (13) | 0:18 | 0:22,6,25 |



