From: Meg Glass [mailto:glass50@hotmail.com] **Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 12:24 PM

To: Mathews, Lisa A; Anthony Crudele; Anthony Fitzgerald; Carissa Depetris; Cecilia Morgan; Christine Edwards; David A. Ball, Esq.; David Dobin; Dwight Ueda; Jeffery Cochran, Esq.; Joel Paul Berger; Rosenthal, Joseph A; Kathleen Shanley; Bidra, Lauren; Marianne Barbino Dubuque, Esq.; P. Jude Collins;

Parker Stacy; Peter J. Tesei; Raymond Gagnon; Richard Granoff

Cc: CSC-DL Siting Council

Subject: Re: Docket No. 461A Request for Comments

Dear Siting Council: Please read my communication in writing asking that you reconsider your recent reversal of the decision denying Eversource freedom to destroy our town center and build a substation in a place that is both harmful and unsightly.

Thank you for your time and attention, Meg Glass

Meg Glass 9 Bolling Place Greenwich, CT 06830 (203) 869-9753 H (203) 219-6990 O Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051 siting.council@ct.gov

RE: Docket #461A

Dear Council: I am writing to object to the granting to Eversouce the petition to destroy our land and threaten our lives and property values for a substation at 290 Railroad Avenue.

The facts of this case have not changed since your first decision to deny Eversource's request a year ago.

The population of CT is NOT increasing. There is not one metric that reveals such, and as such, there is no need to increase capacity in the location determined. Furthermore, the actual risks to the area are not mitigated in any way.

In addition, our property values, which have been stagnant for the past six years will decline. Although the mainstream metrics for the country show an economy thriving and robust, it is not the case, nor has it been since 2009 for the state of CT.

I am a small professional business owner. My home is near this location. My income and revenue has been steadily declining since the present Governor took office, as my market has been fleeing the state. I have attempted to branch out in different ways using other sources to garner income from outside the state. However, I am a psychologist and this profession is generally situated near its clientele.

The Council's recent decision— to grant Eversource the freedom to place obsolete technology and construction as a way to improve service—certainly needs reconsideration, please. Greenwich is a small town and a safe one, the construction of this project will not only be risky and unsafe, but unsightly as well. I, like many of the homeowners in this small area, have placed most of our savings into our homes. The construction will make access to and from my home difficult for almost two years. Further, the dangerous construction so near to Metro North, our homes, and the center of town, will deter anyone from wanting to seek home ownership here. We are a small community that works to help each other.

How on earth are we to continue with this awful construction inhibiting any family with small children from moving here? I am terrified that I will not be able to sell my home for even ½ the price I paid for it, and this is so frightening for retirement.

Please understand, our town did not gather together a year ago to simply be bullied by a utility. This is no way, shape, or form will do anything positive for Greenwich or the state. Eversource has so many other options in industrial areas in Stamford, for example. Why the exact center of town in Greenwich, is simply cruel. There are examples of other small towns in New England that Eversource disrupted the center of town for such, and there is not one example of it helping the community in anyway.

Please reconsider your recent change of heart. Your first decision was clearly in the best interests of Greenwich. Last year, we had so much press and activity helping us; this year, we have so many moving trucks taking people out of the town and state for good.

Please stem the tide of this nightmare and help us keep Greenwich safe and welcoming.

Thank you for your time and attention,

Meg Glass