

Mulcahy, Carriann

From: form_engine@fs20.formsite.com on behalf of glass50@hotmail.com
<form_engine@fs20.formsite.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2015 11:18 AM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council
Subject: Docket #461 Eversource Energy

Reference #: 8260079
Status: Complete

Name: Meg Glass
Email: glass50@hotmail.com
Address: 9 Bolling Place
City: Greenwich
State: Connecticut
: Regarding Docket #461 - Eversource Energy

I will continue to voice my extreme opposition to this plan. I have read all the transcripts of depositions. I can only conclude that this effort is not one of thoughtful, thorough investigation as to the residents, natural life, and environment of our town, but one of simple choice of expediency on the part of Eversource and its planners. All the testimony and evidence seems like a mounted defense rather than a true proposal of what would not only benefit, blend in, and beautify a town while offering progressive, leading edge enhancements to the gateway to New England and Connecticut. It all reads as if Eversource wants some, wants it now, doesn't really regard what the citizens and residents are feeling, but rather wants to stake a claim, cause disruptions with not great regard to long term consequences. We are losing residents. Lots of them. Houses are remaining un-rented, and unsold. I have two on my street (used to be a rather desirable location), but now that we will be blocks from a power station, who'd want to live here. When I mention this proposal to anyone who is not living her...in Westchester, Meriden, etc. All think I am completely making it up, because it is common sense NOT to put a power station in the center of a town. No one does it. I continue to urge that this entire proposal be abandoned. I am sorry, there are far more technologically safe and better ways to source power. There are numerous rooftops facing the Sound, with 360 degrees of Sun exposure, completely untapped by Greenwich. If every European and Canadian city is not fully developing solar, and Governor Malloy wants to be progressive and pro-active as his latest rhetoric about solar has implied, then someone better be looking at this untapped potential. And again, understand by June of 2016, Stamford will continue to become a Ghost Town as more and more citizens and businesses exit this spring. Bloomberg ran an article last week stating the WORST city in ALL of New England for living, aging, and retiring is Stamford, CT. As this is just one more article of many, reported by various media agencies, does the Siting Council not believe how detrimental these constant bombardments of information warning the world NOT to move here is to Connecticut. The consequences the continual blasting of Fairfield County as the worst place to move to and live in by media outlets will be far reaching for years. These articles and commentaries are relentless. I see the Hedge Funds moving out. The investment firms moving out. Small businesses are failing. The solution is to make small businesses all vendors of the state? Absurd. The reality is that Fairfield County, CT is bleeding out, and why on earth is there a thought to putting antiquated, toxic, harmless technology front and center in the first town of the state is beyond logical comprehension. My words and thoughts are strong because I vehemently object to this proposal. I cannot see anyway to make it palatable or safe. My street with 11 homes now has lost two with no prospects of anyone coming in...we might slowly all leave. Who knows, but certainly having a power substation two blocks away is NOT a selling point whatsoever!

Thank you for your time and attention

Meg Glass

Date: 2015-10-22 10:17:53
Start Time: Upgrade to Pro
Finish Time: Upgrade to Pro
Duration: Upgrade to Pro
User: 108.21.63.129
Browser: Upgrade to Pro
OS: Upgrade to Pro
Referrer: Upgrade to Pro