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THE CHAIRMAN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order this meeting of the Connecticut Siting Council today, Tuesday, June 2, 2015, at approximately 3:05. My name is Robin Stein. I'm Chairman of the Siting Council. Other members of the Council present are Senator Murphy, our Vice Chairman; Mr. Hannon, our designee from the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Mr. Levesque, designee from the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Mr. Ashton and Mr. Lynch. Members of the staff present are Executive Director and Staff Attorney, Melanie Bachman and our Siting Analyst, Robert Mercier.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Florida Tower Partners LLC d/b/a North Atlantic Towers for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a
telecommunications facility at one of two locations at 62-64 Codfish Hill Road in Bethel, Connecticut. This application was received by the Council on March 19, 2015.

A reminder to all, off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council staff upon the merits of the application is prohibited by law.

The parties and intervenors are as follows: The applicant, Florida Tower Partners, Attorney Kohler from Cohen \& Wolf; intervenor, New Cingular Wireless PCS, Attorney Laub; intervenor, Codfish Hill Environmental Trust, Attorney Ainsworth; intervenor, Cellco Partnership, d/b/a Verizon, Attorney Kenneth Baldwin.

We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here. Also available here are copies of the Citizen's Guide to the Siting Council Procedures. At the end of this afternoon's session we will recess and resume again at 7 p.m. The 7 p.m. hearing will be reserved for the public to make
brief oral statements into the record. I wish to note that parties and intervenors, including their representatives and witnesses, are not allowed to participate in the public comment session. I also wish to note for you who are here and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing. If necessary, party and intervenor presentations may continue after the public comment session, if time remains. A verbatim transcript will be made of the hearing and deposited with the Town Clerk's Office in Bethel for the convenience of the public.

Is there any public official who wishes to speak at this time?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: I wish to call
your attention to those items shown in the
hearing program marked as Roman Numeral I-D, items 1 through 58.

Does the applicant or any party or intervenor have an objection to the items that the Council has administratively noticed?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing and seeing none, the Council hereby notices these existing documents, statements and comments.

We will now continue with the appearance of the applicant.

Will the applicant present your witness panel for purposes of taking the oath?

Attorney Kohler, when your light turns green.

MS. KOHLER: It is green. Thank you. Attorney Julie Kohler from Cohen \& Wolf, representing the applicant. I will note for the record today that Mr. Gustafson, who is anticipated to be one of our witnesses, is significantly ill today and is unable to be here for today's hearing. Mr. Libertine will be handling
some of the questions from the wetlands application -- wetlands aspect of the application, and Mr. Gustafson will make himself available for the next hearing session if there are any questions. So, to my right is Mr. Libertine,

Director of Siting and Permitting,
All-Points Technology; and to my right is Carlo Centore, Professional Engineer, Centek Engineering; to my left is Keith Coppins, Principal and Owner of Phoenix Partnership; and to his left is Rachel Schwartzman of my office.

If you could all stand to be sworn.


 called as witnesses, being first duly sworn by Ms. Bachman, were examined and testified on their oaths as follows:

MS. KOHLER: The applicant offers the exhibits that are indicated under Roman Numeral II-B, 1 through 6, and if I could offer those for identification purposes?

Mr. Libertine, did you supervise, prepare or assist in the preparation of the exhibits found listed in the hearing program under Roman Numeral II-B-1, with the exception of Exhibits $G$ and $K$, Roman Numeral II-B-3 and 5?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, I did.

MS. KOHLER: Do you have any clarifications to make to Exhibit 1, page 22?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I do. One reference in the second line on page 22 of Exhibit 1 should read "Site 1" and not "Site 2." That's page 22 of part 1, Exhibit 1, in the executive summary. Again, at the top of page 22 , it's the second line. That's the discussion of Site 1 , so that should be "Site 1" and not "Site 2." MS. KOHLER: Do you have any other clarifications, modifications or additions to make to any of the other documents I've listed?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, I
do not.

MS. KOHLER: And with that clarification, are these documents and information true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MS. KOHLER: And do you adopt
them here today as your testimony?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
MS. KOHLER: Thank you.
Mr. Centore, did you supervise, prepare or assist in the preparation of exhibits found listed in the hearing program under Roman Numeral II-B-1, with the exception of Exhibits $G$ and K, Exhibits 3 and 6?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I did.
MS. KOHLER: And do you have any corrections to make to Exhibit Roman Numeral I?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I do.
MS. KOHLER: On page 2 and 3 of the application under the executive summary, can you please tell us what that correction would be?

THE WITNESS (Centore): There's a
reference made to AT\&T's 20 foot by 16 foot equipment shelter, and it's the --

MR. ASHTON: Which page?
THE WITNESS (Centore): Page 2,
paragraph 3 under executive summary.
Reference is made to a "20 foot by 16 foot" shelter. That needs to be corrected to a "12 foot by 16 foot" shelter. I'll continue to page 3 , paragraph one, the same correction, "20 foot" is changed to "12 foot" with reference to the shelter size.

On page 7, paragraph 3, under
Roman Numeral III-A, the same correction, "20 foot" in lieu of -- excuse me, "12 foot" in lieu of the "20 foot" called out for the shelter size.

And lastly, paragraph one on page 8, reference to the "20 foot" needs to be changed to read "12 feet" with regard to the shelter size. Those are my corrections.

MS. KOHLER: Do you have any other clarifications, modifications or additions to make to any of the other documents I've listed?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I do not. MS. KOHLER: And with that clarification, are these documents and information true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes, they are.

MS. KOHLER: And do you adopt
them here today as your testimony?
THE WITNESS (Centore): I do.
MS. KOHLER: Mr. Coppins, did you supervise, prepare or assist in the preparation of the exhibits found listed on the hearing program under Roman Numeral II-B-1, with the exception of Exhibit $G$ and K, Roman Numeral II-B-2, 3 and 4?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
MS. KOHLER: Do you have any clarifications to make to Exhibit 3 under interrogatory 6?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, I do. The question came up in the interrogatory number 6 of why we switched, we relocated the original lease area. And one of the actual points about that was the

```
original lease, we let the option lapse,
which is attached to Exhibit Q of the
application on Exhibit B.
    When we went back to the
landowner to get a different location, which
is Site No. 2, we moved it more to a more
centrally-located part of the property. We
relocated that Site No. 2, which you saw
today, as that was the original request from
the landowner that he didn't want to lease
that piece of the property any longer.
    MS. KOHLER: Do you have any
other clarifications, modifications or
additions to make to any of the other
documents I've listed?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): No.
    MS. KOHLER: And with that
clarification, are these documents and
information true and accurate to the best of
your knowledge?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, they
are.
    MS. KOHLER: And do you adopt
them here today as your testimony?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
```

MS. KOHLER: And with that, I'd ask that these exhibits be made full exhibits.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do any of the intervenors or parties have any objection to the admission of the applicant's exhibits?
(No response.)
THE CHAIRMAN: Hearing and seeing none, the exhibits are admitted.
(Applicant Exhibits II-B-1
through II-B-6: Received in evidence described in index.)

THE CHAIRMAN: We'll now begin with cross-examination of the applicant by Mr. Mercier.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
I'll just start with some of the items at the field review today that were discussed at the field review today.

Mr. Libertine, can you describe the balloon fly up to the conclusion of the field review?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Certainly. We've had two red weather
balloons filled to approximately 4 feet in diameter at the sites tethered to 170-foot strings. Site 1 went up at approximately 7:30 this morning, and Site 2 was launched at about 7:45. Up until about the time of the field review or maybe 20 minutes before, maybe half an hour, we actually had very calm conditions. Things did pick up wind wise during the field review; however, it has been intermittently raining with precipitation pretty much full-time, not heavy, but that obviously kept the clouds low. So visibility has been fairly restricted probably to three-quarters of a mile or so in the immediate area of the site, but we plan to have balloons up until 6.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Did you say that both strings
were at 170 feet?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct.

MR. MERCIER: Why is that? Why would Site 2 not be at 150 feet?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm
sorry, I'm thinking of Site 1. They were tethered to the actual proposed heights of 170 and 150.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Let's turn to the application, Exhibit F. I think that's the Site 2 site plan. That's plan number C-1A. And I believe -- was Site 2 the first site we looked at in the field review and stop and discussed?

THE WITNESS (Centore): It is.
MR. MERCIER: Okay. While we were at the compound area, I believe -- I'm not sure if there was a relocation proposed of the access road. Could you please explain that?

THE WITNESS (Centore): There is.
And this is where the two site applications get confusing. When we were at Site 2 , 1 need to make a correction to what I stated out in the field. But when we were at Site 2, there exists a line of trees that borders the field due east of the proposed compound. That line of trees does show as existing and not being removed on the site plan. We had

```
stakes that I saw in the field that showed
the center line of access road going through
those trees, and I mistakenly thought that
that was the access coming to Site No. 2.
To clarify that, Site No. 2 will not require
removal of those trees. But continuing
along that same discussion, Site No. 1
access road would come through that line of
trees. We can redirect the access road and
save the five trees that -- actually six
trees that are along the field. Those are
enumerated on the site plan C-1A for Site 2.
Those trees show up on C-1A for Site 2.
I'll call it around the first sharp bend
there's a line of trees along the stone
wall.
                            MR. MERCIER: You mean Site 1,
correct?
                            THE WITNESS (Centore): Excuse
me, yes, Site 1.
                            MR. MERCIER: Okay. Just to
recap, for the Site 2 plan, C-1A, that's
correct as shown?
    THE WITNESS (Centore): That is
correct.
```

MR. MERCIER: In looking at this plan, $I$ believe it's Site 2, C-1A, do you see where it says "Haybale check dam" in the lower right?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. MERCIER: I believe that's the row of trees you're referring to next to the stone wall?

THE WITNESS (Centore): That's correct.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
Staying with this plan for Site 2, $C-1 A$, up at the top left there's information. It's called "miscellaneous site information."

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. MERCIER: It says number of trees to be removed for this particular site it says 63.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. MERCIER: Was there a
diameter used to determine that? Is it 6-inch diameter, 12-inch?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Ten-inch diameter or larger.

MR. MERCIER: Also staying with this plan for Site 2, down in the lower portion there appears to be potentially an old road with "Wetland 3" marked inside it?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. MERCIER: And over to the
left it says "20-foot access and utility easement" by the shed?
the witness (Centore): Yes.
MR. MERCIER: Is that where the proposed utilities are coming into the site, or is that an existing --

THE WITNESS (Centore): That is an existing utility easement.

MR. MERCIER: And where would the utilities extend for both of these sites?

THE WITNESS (Centore): At this time a utility walk hasn't been done, but we would propose to extend it below the access drive or access road and the shoulder of the access road.

MR. MERCIER: To both sites?
THE WITNESS (Centore): TO both sites.

MR. MERCIER: Up at the top again
in "miscellaneous site information" there's "distance to nearest off site residence, 610 feet." I only note that because on page 29 of the application it lists the nearest property line as 633 feet. So I'm just curious if this somehow refers to the same property and those numbers are in error, or is the 633 feet referring to a different property. If you could check that at some point and get back to me on that, if you don't have it off the top of your head. Page 29 of the application, a third of the way down the page, I'm just curious what the "633" refers to.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Confirm that the distance is 610 feet to the nearest residence from Site No. 2?

MR. MERCIER: Correct. I'm just curious what the "633" refers to.

THE WITNESS (Centore): It's the nearest property line directly due west -excuse me, directly due southeast of the tower.

MR. MERCIER: So it's not the same property as 74?

THE WITNESS (Centore): It's not. If you refer to drawing $C-1$, it's lot number 65-57-121.

MR. MERCIER: Thank You.
In the response to question 7 of the Council's interrogatories that had to do with visibility, it was stated in the interrogatory response that the property at 74 Codfish Hill could be seen from the I believe the tower, proposed tower location. I believe that's for site - -

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Site 1.
MR. MERCIER: Site 1. Thank you.
So $I$ guess what you're stating is the compound will be visible seasonally from that property?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, my experience from having done the floats in the wintertime, you could actually see through the vegetation portions of the house if you walked the road back from the site starting heading down the hill. During the winter when we did do the floats, I could see standing at the tower location through the trees I could see a porch light. It was

```
obscured, but it was certainly there. So my
sense is that I don't think you're going to
have direct -- or I know you won't have
direct views into the compound. I think at
best what you're likely going to see from
around the house or the house itself perhaps
would be the upper portions through the
trees as it rises to the canopy itself. I
think it would be very difficult, just
because of the rise in nature there again.
I was looking at an illuminated object at
dusk. Most of the day I didn't see anything
from that area, but certainly from the
access road you can look down into portions
of that yard.
    MR. MERCIER: So you don't
believe the compound would be visible,
you're thinking as the tower rises through
the trees that it may be visible seasonally?
    THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think
there will be portions of the tower that
would be visible behind trees. It's
conceivable a portion of the compound could
be seen, but I think it's going to be so
obscured, even in the winter. There's
```

enough sufficient vegetation between that yard and the actual proposed location that I don't think it's going to be anything substantive. You'd have to know what you're looking for.

MR. MERCIER: In dealing with the wooded vegetation, is there a certain distance where, you know, between a receptor and the say compound where it would just not be visible due to the density of the vegetation?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes. Typically yes, what I found is -- and I'm going to be conservative. I use about 500 feet. If you're in a wooded block anywhere within 500 feet, there is a chance, but after a few hundred feet it gets very difficult, you know, at ground level. We don't have necessarily a solid block forest here, but we've got certainly several what I'll call tiers of vegetation between that home and where the proposed Site 1 compound is. So I think it's a fair estimation that that would pretty much hold true, and that certainly is in that neighborhood of 500 or
more feet from the home.
MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
While you were at Site 1 looking around, did you notice any other properties that might have -- did you see any other properties from that location?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No.
During the winter there is a development of homes in the east, I want to say Twin Maple Road, and I may have that wrong, but I actually walked from our site beyond the property line to get a sense of whether I could actually see the backyards or homes in the winter, and we could not. And then, again, we drove that road, and from the street level there was one or two locations which we had photo documented where through the trees we could see a red balloon heavily obscured, but again, there's a very good sufficient block of forest there today that does a good job for buffering.

MR. MERCIER: We were just discussing Site 1 regarding visibility from the compound area, potential visibility. Can you give me a sense of what Site 2 would
be like for any area abutters?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Site 2 has actually got a little bit more screening from any folks just because it's down a little bit. There is actually a little bit more intervening forest today before it opens up to the field. I guess 74 Codfish Hill Road would still be the closest residence generally with a potential direct line of sight. My feeling was -- and again, I've been on the site now -- I was there three separate times floating balloons, and in all cases $I$ felt as though both locations worked very well from screening from neighbors because we had a good distance. So either site seems to equally be fairly innocuous from that standpoint. Certainly it's further away from that development off to the east, so there's certainly even additional distance, but both of them are more or less, as I said earlier, they're fairly innocuous in terms of the abutting folks. It's really -- the visibility really is more -- a little bit at distance where it gets above the tree line. That immediate
area, if you did look today for balloons regardless of the fact that we had some softening conditions, along Codfish Hill Road what you saw today is pretty much what you see any time of year. They're both fairly hidden and buried because their distance off the road.

MR. MERCIER: You just mentioned you had three balloon flies at different times, I presume. Can you just describe which site was better on a visibility perspective from I guess the long distance views?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's
kind of a trade-off with these two sites. If you take a look at behind Exhibit I where the two visibility analyses are, what we tried to do was as best we could replicate similar locations so that you have an opportunity to kind of compare the two. And what we found was that although the numbers kind of flip-flop in terms of total acreages, overall they're very similar in nature. There's a little bit more overall visibility with Site 2 , but again, we're
talking at distance in some areas where it either just clips the trees. There's a large field associated with the high school that tend to get some visibility a little bit more with Site 2 just because of its aspect of its overall height. So in general they tend -- and my sense was they were generally a wash from a visibility
standpoint, but certainly the Site 2
location has a little bit more from just a purely numbers standpoint. I will state that -- and this is why I've gone back and forth on these -- from a total number of residences, which was another question that you asked, it impacts about half in terms of some portions or views. I think I estimated about 25 for Site 1 and about 12 from Site 2. And again, those are fairly heavily obscured through the trees.

So again, if $I$ had to pick one, I think it would be very difficult here just because when we balance everything out from a visibility standpoint only, I think Site 2 probably has less overall visibility to neighbors, but we balanced that with some of
the other factors as well.
MR. MERCIER: For the residences quantify you just gave, I think 20 for Site 1 that could potentially see the tower and 12 for site 2 could potentially see the tower, that's year-round?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's on a year-round basis, and it's a very conservative probably overestimation, but because again we don't have access to private properties when we do these, I rely more on a computer model and actually overlay that on an aerial photo, and actually using GIS I was able to pick up structures. I may have double counted a certain structure. There could have been an outbuilding associated with a residential property. And just because it falls within what we're showing as a footprint of potential visibility, it does not necessarily mean that you're going to have a direct line of sight from that location. It just means there's the potential.

So, I will qualify it; but yes, most of those views, as I said earlier, from
residences, and this is within -- I think the question was within three-quarters of a mile of the site, these are going to be very heavily obscured views. And we tried to represent that in a lot of the photographs here from Codfish Hill Road and the surrounding area because it was actually, when you're using a red balloon, you can fixate on that and you can actually see that. When you have a structure that's built and behind trees, it tends not to jump out the way that a red balloon might.

MR. MERCIER: I just want to clarify those quantities. The 20 and the 12, is that from residential properties, or is that from actual structures that --

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
actually counted structures on residential parcels. And as I say, there were a couple where I kept them in there, but they may have been outbuildings associated with the same residence.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. THE WITNESS (Libertine): You're
welcome.

MR. MERCIER: Based on your visibility analysis of the three balloon flies, would either of the two sites be suitable for say a tree tower? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've given this a lot of thought actually, and we've talked about it as a team. The advantage of a tree tower here would be if there are some concerns with a neighbor or two that feels as though they may be looking into the lower portions or any part of the facility during the winter months, it certainly would help soften the effect. The reason $I$ tend to be a little bit hesitant on this is because we're talking about some fairly tall structures. In areas where they are above the trees and can be seen from those locations, it's fairly significant; it's 30 or 40 feet above the tree line. So now we're talking about a fairly bulky object on the horizon that may draw the eye a little bit more to it. One seventy, I tend to think that's probably out of the range of height; 150 is pushing it. It could be done. In this case I'm not sure
from my own professional standpoint I'm not sure that type of a disguise or concealment is really necessary just because of the lack of overall visibility.

One of the things I've been thinking about if, again, there's some sensitivity in terms of perception that there may be views from neighbors, a dark colored pole and painting the pole, you know, to be more blend in as opposed to just using a straight steel monopole. That may be something that's worth considering but, again, I'm not sure it's absolutely necessary, but it may help to just, you know, even soften the effect even more.

MR. MERCIER: When you were
driving around for the balloon flies, what's the majority of the views? Are there any hillsides where that tower is just exposed, the tower is exposed above any type of terrain?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's
twofold. To your point, most of the distant views are above the tree line with no backdrop, so it's a profile, a silhouette

```
against the sky. In the vast majority of
locations the tower does not eclipse the
actual treetops. They're looking through
trees at really lower portions of it and up
where you can see it, and that's in just a
few areas that are close by. So mostly
there is not an opportunity in this area at
the highest point in the area to really
afford that kind of backdrop.
    MR. MERCIER: I just have a
question. If the facility was approved,
would the applicants construct this right
away? Does AT&T and/or Verizon seek to
locate on this facility immediately? Do you
have any sense of what type of schedule?
    THE WItNESS (Coppins): If
approved, we would construct immediately.
    MR. MERCIER: Has AT&T or Verizon
indicated that they would locate
immediately, or is it in their build plan
like a year out, a few years out?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'll find
that out for you, make a phone call.
    MR. MERCIER: I was just
wondering if you had that information
```

offhand.
Thank you. I have no other questions at this time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. We'll now proceed with the Council members.

Senator Murphy.
SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman, just a few questions.
Just for the record, Mr. Coppins, your resume and the card in front of you indicates you're Phoenix Partnership, which is really nothing as far as this record is concerned as an applicant. I assume you're an agent for the applicant; is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, I do their development in the northeast.

SENATOR MURPHY: So, you had the authority to speak for them and to negotiate for them in this particular area?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, within parameters.

SENATOR MURPHY: And when Mr.
Mercier asked you the question about when they would build, that's a question that you could answer within your parameters?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you.
Did you do the search for this particular location or participate in it yourself?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, I did.

SENATOR MURPHY: Of course, and I assume you are aware that AT\&T had done its search prior to your efforts?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, I am.

SENATOR MURPHY: Were you privy to the search ring that they had before you started your search?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): No, I was not.

SENATOR MURPHY: Some place in the material before us there's a search ring which is apparently theirs when they abandoned their search, and this particular property is outside of that original search ring; were you aware of that?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I wasn't aware of their original search ring. I knew
that they were looking in the area down on Dodgingtown Road, but I heard that they abandoned it. And we had a facility that looked good through our own RF engineer, and that's why we proceeded with this one. SENATOR MURPHY: So, in essence, you had found this particular location? THE WITNESS (Coppins): Correct. SENATOR MURPHY: And you were aware that AT\&T had been looking but had abandoned their search in this particular general area?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I knew they were there. I'm not sure at what point I knew that they abandoned theirs.

SENATOR MURPHY: But you knew that they were interested in locating the tower in this general vicinity?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes.
SENATOR MURPHY: Were you privy
to what height might be of service to them in your search?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I was not.

SENATOR MURPHY: You were not.

So, in essence, you found the location, you went to them, and then they did their diligence on $R F$, et cetera, and Site No. 1 came onto the drawing board? THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, that's correct.

SENATOR MURPHY: And then it was meeting with the town, and the town suggested that the site be moved to the central portion of the property and Site No. $2 ?$

THE WITNESS (Coppins): That is correct.

SENATOR MURPHY: Did you at any time learn what if any sites or locations AT\&T may have looked at prior to your beginning your search?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Yes, they gave us a list of all the sites that they looked at.

SENATOR MURPHY: Did you double check any of those sites?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I double checked on one of them down on Dodgingtown -- actually, I'm sorry, two of them,

Dodgingtown Road at the one that they abandoned.

SENATOR MURPHY: And that was within their search ring, as I remember looking at it?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I believe so.

SENATOR MURPHY: Mr. Libertine, just going out there today, your visual and the canopy of 50 feet seemed to me to be -you're usually conservative, but that seems to me to be real conservative.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's extremely conservative.

SENATOR MURPHY: I'm curious.
You mentioned painting the pole a color different. Can you give us a little bit more on that?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, my thought was we had internally discussed, you know, the options for concealment. And again, my feeling was it really wasn't a site that necessitated it, but I think my only point in bringing it up when Mr. Mercier asked about the tree was if
there is a thought of any type of concealment again to soften the perception of some views, I think it's portions of the tower to the trees that might be seen during the winter months. So my thought was a darker color, a brown or a mottled color such that it could more or less blend in with the trunks of the trees that are there. That's really what $I$ was alluding to.

SENATOR MURPHY: I have to tell you on some of the cell towers that we see throughout the stages -- we drive around -some of the antennas that are put up now are white stand right out, and maybe the tower wouldn't do any good with something --

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, my proposal or my recommendation if you were going to go to the expense and time of painting, you would want to do all the appurtenances at the top as well. It just tends to soften the effect. And you're asking, right, especially on a bright day those white antennas tend to --

SENATOR MURPHY: They really
attract your attention.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, |  |
| 2 | they do. |  |
| 3 | SENATOR MURPHY: No matter what. |  |
| 4 | I think at this point, Mr. |  |
| 5 | Chairman, I have no other questions of the |  |
| 6 | applicant. |  |
| 7 | THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |  |
| 8 | Mr. Ashton. |  |
| 9 | MR. ASHTON: Thank you. |  |
| 10 | On drawing $\mathrm{C}-1$ it lists a couple |  |
| 11 | of parcels where there's a fractional |  |
| 12 | interest. |  |
| 13 | THE WITNESS (Centore): Site 1 or |  |
| 14 | Site 2? |  |
| 15 | MR. ASHTON: $\mathrm{C}-1$ at the left-hand |  |
| 16 | side of the page at the top it says Settlers |  |
| 17 | Road -- oh, I'm sorry, it's Exhibit E. I |  |
| 18 | beg your pardon. It's drawing $C-1$ under |  |
| 19 | Exhibit E. I'm sorry. |  |
| 20 | Are you with me? |  |
| 21 | THE WITNESS (Coppins) : I'm with |  |
| 22 | you. |  |
| 23 | MR. ASHTON: Under Settlers Road |  |
| 24 | it talks about "map/block/lot 77/57/048," |  |
| 25 | and then it says "an open space with 1/9 |  |

interest."
Am I correct that assuming that that plotted area, which is generally at the upper side of your map, is a dedicated open space owned by the people living on Settlers Road and it is dedicated open space that cannot be developed?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I would need to confirm that with my surveyor. I don't know the answer to your question.

MR. ASHTON: Well, a similar question applies also under Hillside Court, which is the next parcel east. There's a 4 or 5-acre parcel that shows "an open space with 1/6 interest." Again, I assume that refers to the interest being owned by the residents on that street. And my question is: Is it dedicated permanent open space? THE WITNESS (Centore): We can take that as a homework assignment. I'm going to have to contact our surveyor to take a look at --

MR. ASHTON: Okay. You might want to read it in tonight --

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.

MR. ASHTON: -- into the record, rather than a Late-File.

THE WITNESS (Centore): It's most
likely the case, but I'd like to confirm it.
MR. ASHTON: Okay. One of the things $I$ was impressed with the first site, having visited, were the tree heights, Mr. Libertine, $I$ guess is there somewhere, 145, 135 feet; would you agree with that?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): They are certainly approaching exceeding 100 feet in that area.

MR. ASHTON: Approaching 100
feet?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I did not do a shot clinometer at this site, but there's certainly -- I would not be surprised if they were beyond 100 feet tall.

MR. ASHTON: And they're a little higher at that site than they are at Site 1 by 15,20 feet maybe?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would say yes, at least. And you're right, I think a lot of that is the soil conditions where they're growing.

MR. ASHTON: The zoning R-80,
does that mean 2-acre zoning, 80,000 square feet per lot, or did I throw you a curve?

Do you know what the site zone is?
Mr. Coppins, I see you're raising your hand.
THE WITNESS (Coppins): It is an
R-80. I'm just confirming whether it's
R-80 --
MR. ASHTON: If it's R-80, would
you believe that to be 80,000 square feet?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): It would seem to think so, but I'd like to --

MR. ASHTON: More or less with 49
acres on the site, you could build 25
houses, more or less, on that site; is that fair to say?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Give or take. There's some wetland areas on the site, but give or take.

MR. ASHTON: You have to take out a little bit for roads and so forth. So that could be developed anyway, 25 houses, whatever?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
(Nodding head in the affirmative.)

MR. ASHTON: Okay. Visibility. We've gone -- you and I particularly have gone by this, you know, we had full heads of hair and they were white -- not white rather. When we talk visibility, what are we talking about here, the full height of the pole, or are we talking the top 20 feet or --

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Depending on where you are, we're talking about the top upper anywhere from 10, in some cases maybe pushing 30 or 40 feet. Again, that's a distance that's a mile or more away from elevated locations.

MR. ASHTON: For the nearby
houses, the ones that are in the vicinity, what kind of visibility are you talking there?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We're talking almost nonexistent this time of the year, and during the winter months it will not be something that eclipses the trees, but rather there will be portions of the mid to upper portions of the facility that will be able to be seen.

MR. ASHTON: Would it be largely spruce trees do you know?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Absolutely, yes. With the exception of on the host property, perhaps some of the portions of that adjoining parcel at 74 that we are part of their lot that abuts the property owner that's proposing the locations, they really have no direct unobstructed views.

MR. ASHTON: There isn't --
THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are almost no one. There are some on Codfish Road on some of the parcels that have open fields. I do believe that there will be some areas where it will slightly eclipse, but it will not be something where we're looking at, like I said, the high school is probably the most significant view in terms of how far above the tree line that the facility might become, but again, we're talking well over a mile away.

MR. ASHTON: I heard the word "drainage" mentioned when we were out in the field. What is the surface of the compound
that you are proposing to build? Is this an asphalt surface that's impervious or what is it?

THE WITNESS (Centore): It's a gravel surface.

MR. ASHTON: It's a gravel
surface. So that any rain, any moisture falling on it would soak in rather than run off; is that fair to say?

THE WITNESS (Centore): That's correct.

MR. ASHTON: So, is a gravel surface compound likely to have any material effect on drainage in the area?

THE WITNESS (Centore): You'll have a slightly larger increase in runoff.

MR. ASHTON: I'm sorry?
THE WITNESS (Centore): You'll get a slightly larger increase in runoff, but it will hold most of the water that comes down through the site.

MR. ASHTON: What is "a slightly larger increase," 30 percent, 5 percent, 60 percent?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I would
say it's in the range of 5 to 10 percent increase.

MR. ASHTON: It's a small
increase?
THE WITNESS (Centore): What we do to mitigate that, if you don't mind me continuing along that line, is what we do to mitigate that is we use drainage swales to catch that water and dissipate it in the same pattern that it was originally draining at at a slower rate.

MR. ASHTON: In regard to Site 2, it appeared to me that there were some depressions in the ground nearby. Could they be used to divert water into those things?

THE WITNESS (Centore): In taking a closer look, if you take a look at our drainage plan, we basically what we were able to accomplish on Site 2 is to maintain the same drainage patterns. There are some swales there. What we've done is maintain that swale to carry the same water it was carrying before we get there, and the drainage patterns off of the compound are
very similar, as close to similar as the existing condition.

MR. ASHTON: Have you done any probing of the soils to find out what their characteristics are?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I've done some visual observations on the site, and there's a lot of ledge outcroppings there and large boulders, but in terms of what the makeup of the soil is I'm not sure. We haven't done any geotechnical studies.

MR. ASHTON: That comes with the D\&M plan insofar as you get that far?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. ASHTON: Two other questions.
The first one, what kind of a cyclone fence are you proposing around this, 2-inch mesh?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Inch, inch-and-a-half I think is our standard, inch-and-a-half, inch-and-a-quarter. I'll have to verify that.

MR. ASHTON: People are grinning up here. They must think there's something unusual about inch-and-a-half mesh.

THE WITNESS (Centore): I'm not

```
seeing it called out.
    MR. ASHTON: Would it be
reasonable to assume that it will not be 2,
it will be something less than that?
    THE WITNESS (Centore): It's
typically smaller than 2 to avoid people
being able to climb the fence.
    MR. ASHTON: Thank you.
    Last question. What kind of an
emergency generator are we talking up here?
Is Florida going to go into the power
business to provide emergency service for
all carriers?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): Are they
going into the wind business?
    MR. ASHTON: No, the power
business.
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): We don't
own any generators.
    MR. ASHTON: You don't?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): No.
    MR. ASHTON: Would you like to go
into business?
    THE WITNESS (Coppins): I don't
know if we would.
```

MR. ASHTON: What's the chance of getting -- I'll ask you -- and Mr. Baldwin is back there somewhere I'm sure taking notes furiously -- what are the chances of having one generator for all carriers?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): We just went through this process in New Canaan, and during the hearing, because there was no room on the site -- and I don't know if you remember that site, a very tight site, New Canaan Hospital, at Silver Hill Hospital, there is one generator. However, to maintain it it required a larger generator. And there was a buy-in from a maintenance schedule for each one for Verizon. They weren't really thrilled about doing that. They did it because it was requested of the Siting Council and the state.

MR. ASHTON: To educate me seriously, what is the problem? You say it takes more to maintain it. Obviously there's a difference between 15 kW or 30 or 100.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'm not
sure that I can answer.

MR. ASHTON: What's the issue?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'm not sure that $I$ can answer the issue with the maintenance and whatnot. I know that there was a maintenance agreement between Verizon and AT\&T. T-Mobile opted out of the generator. But I can't answer what is the main issue.

MR. ASHTON: You all use a common pole. You could have one carrier or 25 carriers. There's a common pole. What's the problem with a common generator? I don't want --

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'm not sure if that --

MR. ASHTON: I have a technical background, so you know better than to try it on me.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Oh, I understand. I understand. I'm not sure what the --

THE CHAIRMAN: You can also answer you don't know, and then we can move on.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I just
don't know what the issue is.
MR. ASHTON: Thank you.
Nothing further, Mr. Chairman.
THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Hannon.
MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I do have a few questions.
I just want to follow up. I
believe earlier it was stated that utilities would be underground?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. HANNON: Also just having said that eyeballing the site there's a lot of ledge, would there be blasting to get the utilities in?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I'm going to guess, based on what I saw, that there's a lot of large boulders and a lot of large stone in there that could be moved by heavy equipment. I don't see this as a site where blasting would be necessary. I could see some spot chopping or, you know, pneumatic equipment used to break up rock to be able to get the trench in there for underground utilities. You could minimize the depth to

24 inches and encase it in concrete to save the amount of rock removal, but I believe they can go underground without needing to blast there.

MR. HANNON: NOw, if this were approved as part of the D\&M plan, would you be doing a more thorough analysis on the site conditions and be able to make a determination as to if blasting is needed and if so where?

THE WITNESS (Centore): We would at the time of design for D\&M do probes up the access road to verify what the depth of rock is and to see if blasting would in fact be required. If that were the case, part of the D\&M submission would have the complete plan to address the requirements for blasting as far as notifications, safety requirements and the like or code requirements.

MR. HANNON: Okay. For Site 1, map C-1A, where you have a level spreader proposed, I think it's level spreader number 2 , it almost looks like that's taking the water that is currently flowing towards the
west, and the way your drainage swale is is directing the water towards that level spreader, but yet on site the natural drainage appears to go south. So where you've got the level spreader almost seems to be rerouting some of the drainage.

THE WITNESS (Centore): I just
want to clarify. On this plan there are four level spreaders starting from the south and working north and then east. Which one of those --

MR. HANNON: No. 2, C-4. It says "proposed level spreader number 2."

THE WITNESS (Centore): Okay.
Thank you. I just want to make sure I had the right one. Okay. That drainage pattern kind of breaks there. You'll see that the grade slope -- the grade starts at about that stone wall. We have an elevation of 606, plus or minus, and it slopes due west. And it breaks to the right and kind of follows the existing access road and goes directly west. There's part of that grading that does go to the south, but I think what we're doing with that level spreader is
maintaining that same drainage pattern where we're directing the water that's coming off of this road that it currently sheet flows to the west. Now it's going to sheet flow down the road, and we're catching it in the level spreader, slowing it down, and maintaining the same drainage pattern.

Do you see how it breaks off to the left and goes towards the wetland, but it also goes --

MR. HANNON: And that's part of my concern is making sure that the water is not diverted away from the wetlands.
the witness (Centore): We're maintaining the same drainage pattern. What we didn't want to do is add additional water to that wetland as well.

MR. HANNON: Those questions have been asked and answered.

And again, talking about the details and terms of the construction details, the proposed structure for the utilities you have flexibility in that depending upon what you find on site?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.

MR. HANNON: So you could make them much shorter than what's shown in the details?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct. Typically we try to get an excavated trench. It's less expensive to construct, or we can go shallower and encase the conduit in concrete.

MR. HANNON: I have no further questions. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Mr. Levesque.
MR. LEVESQUE: I guess my questions are just for Mr. Libertine.

Can you look at your view visibility map in Exhibit 1 for Site 1?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, sir.

MR. LEVESQUE: First a comment.
They usually -- I understand that your team sometimes assembles the book and changes the scale from what you've done before, but frequently we see visibility maps that are full page or two pages. So if you can put an 8-by-10-inch map on this

8-and-a-half-by-11 page, or frequently we've even had two-page fold-out ones and get a map that's say 8 by 10 times twice, it's going to be at least 150 square inches. On this one we have 5-inch square, and so it's only 25 square inches, so the total size it's only one-sixth of what we're used to seeing.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I understand.

MR. LEVESQUE: So it's very hard to see what the other significant properties and elevations are. I can pick out your key points barely, but it's a little small.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It's a good point. And actually I believe the Council requested full-size maps as part of the interrogatories.

MR. LEVESQUE: Great.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Okay.
MR. LEVESQUE: I missed that.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): But it's a good point. They should be the 11 by 17 full size as we see here.

MR. LEVESQUE: I'll look at the
computer.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I apologize for the inconvenience.

MR. LEVESQUE: And on your simulation for that same site, photo 14, I guess that's one of the more as far as the house site, there are closer houses?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Correct.
MR. LEVESQUE: But this is an example of one where there's a clear view. What do you think about can you elaborate on your comment of how a fake tree would look there?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think that a monopine certainly from a few of the locations, this being a great example, would really not be very effective. I think it would show -- it's actually a bulkier pole as well as with all the faux branches. I think it would draw the eye more than just a slim-style monopole. But you're right, and that is an elevated location about three-quarters of a mile away, and that is probably the predominant or the most
significant view above the tree line that would be achieved.

MR. LEVESQUE: So somebody from their yard might see it well, but driving by it would --

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, actually it's a cul-de-sac out there, and I was at the road curb. That property actually dips down a little bit, so the trees that are in the foreground will actually serve to buffer that pretty effectively, which is kind of nice. It's kind of a worst case scenario when the actual driveway kind of goes down. So that perspective changes pretty dramatically in the yard itself but certainly from --

MR. LEVESQUE: So in their
backyard they might have trees then?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
certainly think the background, and even $I$ believe they have a back porch there, I think there are going to be trees that are shielding that for the most part. It certainly will not be as prominent above the tree line.

MR. LEVESQUE: And how about
photo simulation number 7?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Seven?
MR. LEVESQUE: That's much
closer. Do you think a fake tree would make much difference there?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, see now this is where we start getting into that economy, you're getting the trade-offs. I think, again, when I look at this -either location as having an opportunity to do some kind of stealthing or concealment, this is one where I think you could make the argument that a tree would be fairly effective. But again, I think it will be just as effective if that was a brown pole with brown appurtenances.

And again, one of the things I
know you folks are very familiar with these analyses, but $I$ just again want to go on the record that these shots that we're showing are basically worst case. We're talking about static locations where we're literally leaning to get a view of the balloon. So I say that only because again this is going to
be a highly obscured view. That's the very top of the facility, the red balloon there. As you can see, there's quite a bit of intervening trees there, so a brown pole with brown painted antennas would be just as effective, if not more so, than a tree in that location.

MR. LEVESQUE: I just have a quick -- it's not an important question, but a question of interest. It's on page 27 --

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Sure.
MR. LEVESQUE: -- in the application.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): The executive summary?

MR. LEVESQUE: Yes. And then it's also about the sheets that list the individual native American tribes. The same names appear but no more information.

MR. LYNCH: That's the same question I had.

MR. LEVESQUE: Why was the
Keweenaw Bay Indian and the Lac Vieux Desert Chippewa Indians, which seems to be a tribe in Wisconsin, why inquire with them?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, several years back the FCC through the Bureau of Indian Affairs worked with all tribes to develop a database so that when there were any federal action projects, in this case because we have federal licensees, it's considered a federal action under NEPA, the National Environmental Policy Act. Long story short, they developed this enormous database, and all tribes are notified of every single proposal that falls under there.

So what happens is there are a lot of tribes that are scattered throughout the country who at one time or another lay claim to having indigenous rights at one point or another to areas all over the country. So we often see tribes from Delaware, from Oklahoma who will show interest and want to at least review the process.

MR. LEVESQUE: They just ask to be on the list to be notified for everything?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.

```
And there's a fee involved, so it's a
revenue generation for the tribes as well.
And they're very proactive. It's all done
electronically. So as soon as it's posted,
we often see -- we see the same tribes for
the most part in Connecticut. Depending on
where we are, some tribes are more
interested in the western hills than they
might be in the shore, but that's
essentially what it is. Every tribe -- I
believe there's over -- I know there's over
200, maybe even approaching 300 tribes that
are on that database.
Does that answer the question?
MR. LEVESQUE: Well, it's not because they laid claim or want to open a casino?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'll give you an example. I haven't spoken to the folks at those two tribes directly about this, but I will say that I had extensive conversations and coordination early on in my career with the Narragansett Tribe. Now, the Narragansetts claim everywhere in New England. It doesn't matter where. I once
```

asked them innocently if they would develop some sort of a map to show us where these areas were so that we would know ahead of time and we could actually work with them, and I was told they will never produce a map of that nature and that as far as they're concerned all the states in New England they at one time had rights to and they used it for their spring migration season right throughout the whole seasons for encampments and that type of thing. And I think that is essentially how most of the tribes that, you know, they were wanderers or nomads in some cases so -- that's probably not the right word because they do encampments, but essentially they moved, and so they saw a lot of the country. So that's really how this kind of developed. So, certain tribes had small factions or large congregations that at one time used the area.

MR. LEVESQUE: Are they good about responding so it doesn't hold up your work?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): All but one tribe are very very good. We do have
some problems with one of the tribes in terms of getting -- they will take the information and they will take the check. Getting the letter back sometimes can be a challenge.

MR. LEVESQUE: Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): You're very welcome.

THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Lynch.
MR. LYNCH: I actually had the same question about the Chippewas that Mr. Levesque had. So I was curious. I'm glad you answered that. And I've dealt with the Narragansetts, so enough said, and the Lac Vieuxs too. It's a similar type wanderers through New England.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Right.
And in all honesty, they certainly have a much stronger case certainly than I think some of the folks that we see that are remote in West of the Mississippi that might chime in.

MR. LYNCH: Most of the questions
I do have relate to the carriers themselves,
so I'm going to wait for them to get up here, but I do have a couple general follow-up questions from earlier discussions.

Mr. Libertine, we'll start with you. And you know my feeling on monopines, so we won't go there. But I do like -- I'll agree with you on the coloring of the pole, but I think in answering Senator Murphy's question about the white antennas that you see, now when they are -- are they painted or are they covered when they -- I see them on buildings on water towers and everything, and they're a different color. Now, is that paint, or is that some type of material that allows the radio waves to go through?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No,
they can be painted, and that is typically paint.

MR. LYNCH: And that won't impact any of the transmission --

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, it's a special paint similar to when you do these faux concealments with the what they call the radio frequently transparent
material. It was developed for that application. You're right, when we see a water tower against building facades, a lot of times they'll paint those to match.

MR. LYNCH: I see them all the
time.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Right.
MR. LYNCH: But I was just
curious whether they --
THE WITNESS (Libertine): They do normally come white.

MR. LYNCH: My question really was in painting them, does that change the frequency or change any of the transmission or anything like that?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We have some radio frequency engineers here, but my understanding is no it can be used as long as you use the right style of paint.

MR. LYNCH: I see them both sitting back here. They'll get the same question.

And I'm going to let the Chairman deal with the emergency generator.

Mr. Coppins, when you were
talking about your search ring, you mentioned that you have RF engineers at North Atlantic. Now, can you give me a little background? Do these RF engineers go out searching for holes in sites and then contact the carriers, or do they get information from the carriers and go out and look for sites?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): In this particular site we had an RF engineer say there's a site needed here, and North Atlantic sent me the information and said we need a site here, and that's how I got that. They have hired RF engineers that they go out and that's what they do, they find holes, they find different things in rings.

MR. LYNCH: Now that leads to my next question. Are the same RF engineers, now that we're getting away from gaps and can you hear me now coverage, doing the same thing for delivering data to different areas?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I don't know the answer to that.

MR. LYNCH: All right. Thank
you.
And those are all my questions, Mr. Chairman. The rest are for the carriers.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. So, since I guess I have to say something since this really isn't a question for you and it will not be a question for the two carriers, but they know the Council's policy, particularly when they come in together, think of something like thou shalt use a shared generating facility if and when this were to be approved. So I'm not going to belabor that. But the policy of the Council is pretty clear, so you don't -- I know everybody is looking at me strangely, but I think some of you know that's the policy.

But I do have a question. On the consultation with the municipality, I'm a little bit -- and maybe it's just the chronology -- but at one point on pages 34 and 35 it talks about they were meeting with town officials, but it mentioned specifically I think it was Mr. Palmer from the town's I don't know whether it's land
use director was the one who recommended you have a more -- find a more centrally-located site on the property and that it says that he -- I'll quote it, that if the facility was to be located on the property more centrally, he would support it. Is that correct? I guess as of that date, which is January.

And then we have a subsequent
letter from I think the first selectman which says the town is opposed to it. Am I understanding this right?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): You're understanding it correctly, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So without knowing anymore, that's the chronology of first, the town's officials did look at this site, did suggest the slightly different location, which I guess is Site 2; is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): That would be correct, Site 2.

THE CHAIRMAN: And then
subsequent to that, for whatever reason, it was at least at the level of the first
selectman a change in view; is that correct?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): I would believe that's what happened, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.
Mr. Mercier.
MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
I just had one other question on the visibility analysis for Site 1, photo 14. I think we were talking about that photo with regards to the tree tower earlier. It says adjacent to number 9, Wolfpits Road?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, sir.

MR. MERCIER: I didn't find a corresponding photo in the Site 2 photo analysis for this particular location. I do believe that Site 2 would also be visible from here?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Just
bear with me one moment. It would be a similar view. What we did, we tried to frame that. There are some photos of proposed Site 2 actually in that general area number 15, and that analysis gives a
kind of similar elevated view. It's just at the location of Site 1 , the photo for Site 1, I think it would kick over a little bit, so that would certainly be similar in nature. So, we tried to do something in that general area. I think 15 is probably the closest we have to that in the Site 2 location. And actually 14 is in that general area too, but it's a little further to the north. So yes, to answer your question, it would be similar in nature.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. So somewhere on that call it horizon the tree line it would extend up to the right or the left?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): It would actually be, if we're looking at it, it would actually be almost be in the same direct line of site slightly to the left.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.
And just going back to the Site 2 of the closest residence to the residential property line, I think the closest residence was 610 feet away?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
(Nodding head in the affirmative.)

MR. MERCIER: So wouldn't the property line for a residential property be a lot closer than 633?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'll play Mr. Centore for just a moment. We were looking at that earlier, and yes that lot that is showing, going back to C-1 -- I'm sorry. Going back to, yes, $\mathrm{C}-1$-- was that for Site 2? I'm sorry, Mr. Mercier.

MR. MERCIER: Yes, Site 2.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think
what happened was when I looked at
Mr. Centore's notes, it appears as though the closest residence is correct. And you're right, that corner of the lot at 7757-119 would be closer obviously than 600 feet. I don't have that distance. I don't know if you have that distance. Oh, here it is, 475.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Four seventy-five is the nearest property line.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): So it's
475 to the corner of that lot, and that should be the closest lot to the compound, yes.

MR. MERCIER: Again, that's Site
2, correct?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's
Site 2, correct.
MR. MERCIER: Thank you. No
other questions.
THE CHAIRMAN: We'll now proceed with the next intervenor for cross examination.

Attorney Laub, do you have any questions? And I guess come to that table, please.

MR. LAUB: For the record, Daniel
Laub with the firm of Cuddy \& Feder on behalf of the intervenor AT\&T. No questions.

THE CHAIRMAN: And the
intervenor, Codfish Hill Environmental Trust, Attorney Ainsworth?

MR. AINSWORTH: Thank you very much.

Now, Mr. Libertine, did you consider whether that the use of a radome cover on the antenna array might reduce the visual mass for visual presentation of the
antenna arrays?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): In this case I didn't consider that. That was not proposed, so I was using what was actually proposed, panel antennas in this case.

MR. AINSWORTH: And if the applicant were to consider using radome, do you have an opinion as to whether or not it would present a less than visual mass presentation?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Any time we're talking about an antenna profile that is smaller in mass, it's certainly going to reduce the visible aspects of the top of the tower where the appendages are affixed to the pole. So certainly it would assist in minimizing or at least reducing the overall mass.

MR. AINSWORTH: And have you employed such radio covers elsewhere in Connecticut?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That really wouldn't be a question for me. I'm sure they exist. Maybe you can define exactly what kind of radio antenna that
you're alluding to because I may be confused as to what exactly you're getting at.

MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. Well, first of all, do you know what a radome cover is?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not really, no.

MR. AINSWORTH: Okay.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I don't mean to be difficult. It's not a term I'm familiar with.

MR. AINSWORTH: Any of these questions are certainly directed to the entire panel if there's another member of the panel that understands the question better.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Carlo
Centore from Centek Engineering. Radome is the covering that covers the elements within the antenna that make up the antenna. Each manufacturer -- and it's typically a fiberglass product. It's an RF transparent product. And each manufacturer of antennas has a different shaped radome or covering over their elements. So it's difficult to
say. I don't understand what the application that you're speaking of is. I know that if there's a certain manufacturer of an antenna, they have a radome or a cover that covers their elements. There is some technology that's been deployed for stealthing of antennas which is another form of radome which is an additional cover that goes over the antennas.

MR. AINSWORTH: Correct. That's what I'm referring to. THE WITNESS (Centore): Very rarely have I seen that utilized on towers. We do utilize that application on rooftops, specifically on smokestacks or chimney stacks on rooftops. I would say at the top of a tower if you start creating a radome depending on the plan dimensions of that antenna, some antennas are 12 inches wide, so to create a cylinder to enclose or screen that antenna, it could be upwards of 16 to 20 inches in diameter creating some additional wind area, number one. Number two, difficulty in servicing. And by additional wind area, that would have
implications in terms of the amount of tower you'd see. The tower would get somewhat beefier to be able to manage those types of covers. But I'm not sure where your question is heading, but they can be utilized. In my opinion, they are larger so they would be more visible, if that's what you're speaking of. Otherwise, it's going to depend on the manufacturer of the antenna or what the surface area or profile of the antenna you'd see on the tower.

MR. AINSWORTH: But you would agree with me that there are fiberglass coverings that can be placed around antenna arrays so that they don't present that angular mass that you would normally see at the top of a tower that's in the photo? THE WITNESS (Centore): As I stated, you would increase the mass of the space occupied by that antenna because it would need to be larger to accommodate the antenna itself within the cylinder. It would not be angular; it would be cylindrical, if that's what is utilized, but I don't know that it would reduce the
visibility of the view of the antenna.
MR. AINSWORTH: Perhaps from an engineering standpoint. But maybe from a visibility standpoint, maybe Mr. Libertine could address that. Would this smoother presentation for a cylinder type shape be less of an object distraction than the typical antenna array on a 12-foot platform? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, it's difficult only in the sense that we are talking about a larger object, and again, all things being equal, if you're talking about the same antennas that are now going to be enclosed with this radome. We're talking multiple antennas at three different sectors. I'm not convinced first blush that that's going to be any less intrusive because I think now we're talking about a little bit more mass. I think certainly there is going to be a -- there will be certainly some viewers looking at it that might be the roundness or the softness, maybe, if that's the right word, but I think we're talking about multiple arrays or the multiple antennas with three sectors.

That's going to be a fairly substantial structure if we start enclosing them.

MR. AINSWORTH: Could you reduce the substantial structure size by close mapping the antennas as opposed to putting them on platforms?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): From a visibility standpoint obviously anything that starts coming in closer to the tower is going to be less of a spread on the tops of that. It certainly starts to soften things and make it a lot more compact, yes, but $I$ know there are some technical issues associated with that that I'm not really the person to speak to about that. But yes, to answer your question, sure.

MR. AINSWORTH: And did I hear correctly earlier on cross-examination that you believe that Site 2 has the lesser visual impact of the two sites?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Slightly, but yes, I think, again, I'm probably more sensitive to the fact that $I$ believe it probably has less of an impact, and again very slightly, to what I'll call
the immediate area of Codfish Hill Road.
MR. AINSWORTH: Obviously you have Site 1 and Site 2. Is anyone on the panel aware of why there ended up with two alternatives on this particular location? I think there was a mention that one of them had -- one of the leases had expired on one of the sites. Do you have an idea of why the owners let that lapse?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I think we didn't mean to let it lapse. Once it lapsed, then we went back. The reason that there's two sites on the property is we put forward a technical report with Site 1, and at the town meeting they requested that we move the site to a more central location on the property, which our landlord obliged us, and we were able to do that. That's how we came up with two sites on the site.

MR. AINSWORTH: When you say "they requested," a more central location, who is "they"?

THE WITNESS (Coppins):
Representatives from the town during our public hearing at the -- I'm not sure where
it was. There was also a letter from Steve Palmer, the town planner.

MR. AINSWORTH: And do you know
if Mr. Palmer was authorized to make that recitation on behalf of the town when he made that letter?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I would assume so, but $I$ don't know that for a fact.

MR. AINSWORTH: I notice in
Exhibit I there's a 2-mile radius on the visual impact study, but none of the photographs are more than a mile and a quarter away from the proposed site. What's the purpose of having a 2-mile study area if you don't take any sample photographs throughout the site?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, we drive all the roads. In this case the views that are at distance we've more or less represented all those areas of visibility. It doesn't extend out where we could view it or could predict it beyond two miles. So it's a matter of providing representation throughout that whole area. We do model it so in those areas where we
cannot actually physically gain access to verify we want to show those because there's a potential. But if you take a look at Site 1, you'll see that the majority, if not all, of the areas depicted with some level of visibility have some representative photo locations.

What I have been doing lately in the last year or so is provide a lot more nonvisible photographs, and a lot of times that does cover some of those distant areas, that elevation, just to kind of show again the general landscape and give the viewers an idea of kind of what's going on within that entire study area.

MR. AINSWORTH: With regards to today's balloon float, I believe I heard you comment in the field that you had some I believe you called it deflection of the balloons?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Certainly at Site 1 when we were at the site walk today that was getting quite a bit of wind above the trees at that time, so we
were getting a good amount of what 1 call
flexion or the balloon being pushed over at an angle, so we were not achieving the full height during the site walk certainly while the winds were up.

MR. AINSWORTH: And was it also true that the balloon on the string actually the tether had tangled into the tree canopy on both locations?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
That happens, yes. Sometimes it actually works to our advantage, believe it or not, where it will actually get hung up on a branch, and that will keep it straight through the canopy and then it will deflect at the top, but sometimes we just run into those situations. We try to free it up when we can. We're at the mercy of these days when the Council has actually set the hearing. Certainly when we're doing our floats for purposes of these type of evaluations, a day like today would never have been considered just because a combination of the wind and not having the -- well, precipitation certainly usually is something we don't like to deal with, and
we don't have the full visibility that we like to have in terms of being able to see, you know, several miles.

MR. AINSWORTH: And this is the
first time that the public has been notified in advance of the balloon fly at this
location, correct?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): That I
don't know. I do know we did make a courtesy call to the town before we flew both of these sites; but beyond that, I'm just not privy to that.

MR. AINSWORTH: So just for purposes of the record, if members of the public had wanted to take photographs representative of their view or their favorite view of the balloon float, it might not be accurate in the sense that the balloons were not the full height that they should be, right?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Today?
MR. AINSWORTH: Today.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): I can
speak to the time we were on the site as a group that that is probably accurate. I
would say any time before that, any time before quarter to 2 , it would have been a very accurate representation. We had very favorable low winds early today.

MR. AINSWORTH: When you calculate the number of trees that are being removed, you noted that they use a 10-inch diameter or larger tree. Why do you pick 10 inches as opposed to 6, 7, 8?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I believe it's the standard that we've been using on the Siting Council applications, 10 inches and larger. And I'll have to check the regulation where that comes from, and I'll have to get back to you on that. I'm pretty sure it's a guide that was provided as part of the Siting Council applications.

MR. AINSWORTH: Would
Mr. Gustafson have a better knowledge of what the value of various tree sizes would be?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, I can't speak for Mr. Gustafson, but I can tell you my experience is that the value of the tree certainly from a resale standpoint
or being able to harvest, certainly as the tree matures it gets larger in diameter, and the potential goes up for it to be marketable. But a lot of the trees also, you know, it comes down to whether -- well, what type of tree it is, its growth pattern, whether or not you can get board length out of it, otherwise it's going to be used primarily for cord wood typically. A lot of trees up on that property you probably noticed some sugar maples which have their own value. I'm not sure if the farm or the owners actually tap into those or not. And I do believe anywhere from 6 to 10 inches tends to be the guidance that's used in most of the applications that I've seen.

Anything below that tends to be certainly not from a marketable standpoint. I think most of the sites we see are not actively used for that anyway, and the idea is to try to minimize the tree cutting so we can maintain as much screening as possible.

MR. AINSWORTH: And my question is not only to the economic value of trees but also to its natural resource value.

Would you agree with me that trees between 6 and 10 inches perform valuable natural resources functions?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I think trees, yes, I think trees of, you know, several girths will contribute. You're talking about several different type of functions, sure.

MR. AINSWORTH: There's no guarantee that the vegetation on the site will be maintained going forward other than within the compounds that are within the control of the Applicant, correct?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'll answer that yes, there's never a guarantee. We don't have to -- in this case North Atlantic Towers would probably have control over anything in the lease area.

MR. AINSWORTH: And on cross-examination one of the Council members asked isn't it true that the property could have up to 25 homes, probably something less, but up to 25 homes theoretically built on the site, correct?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That
was asked, yes, and answered.
MR. AINSWORTH: And if the site were developed in that fashion for the maximum number of homes, wouldn't a lot of the assumptions for visual impact be drastically altered?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I don't think they'd be drastically altered. And the reason $I$ say that is I think a lot of the prime area on that site or that property for redevelopment is fairly cleared land. The areas that we're talking about is using for what I'll call a buffer between adjoining properties is kind of -- we're at the more or less the edges of the property. I mean, anything is possible with enough money and engineering, but this starts to drop off fairly steeply towards the south towards Codfish Hill Road from Site 2, and Site 1 is more or less in the eastern portion of the property. So I think the areas that $I$ would have concern, if that's the right word, in terms of buffering those neighbors to the east and the south, I think they would stay fairly intact in terms of
the tree cover that's there today. But there's always a possibility. It depends on what the lot layouts are and hypothetically speaking some of those trees that I anticipate staying could be removed as part of that development. We do have some wetland constraints over there that would probably prohibit anything again to the south of -- anything substantial to the south of Site 2.

So, I understand your point, but I think -- and when we take a look at the whole property, there's quite a bit of open field. I think that's probably the area that would be most advantageous for building new homes.

MR. AINSWORTH: You haven't analyzed the site for where the suitable locations would be for homes, have you? THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, this is just purely hypothetical based on the conversation that started.

MR. AINSWORTH: And you were out at the same site that $I$ was. There were a lot of trees around the compound areas; were
there not?
THE WITNESS (Libertine): Around the two compound areas? Yes, absolutely.

MR. AINSWORTH: And all of those trees are subject to be removed by the current landlord, correct?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Certainly, outside of our lease area, yes.
MR. AINSWORTH: And within the lease area most of the trees have been removed?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): True.
MR. AINSWORTH: And so with regard to Site 2 , the more centrally-located potential tower, there are houses to the north and west that currently have some screening from trees, at least from the lower views of the tower; is that not true?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, it is heavily screened if we're talking to the north and to the northwest and even to the northeast.

MR. AINSWORTH: And do you have or does the applicant have any knowledge of the owner's future plans for the property?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
don't.
THE WITNESS (Coppins): The owner does not have any plans to at this point in time to develop the rest of the property. She hasn't conveyed that to me.

MR. AINSWORTH: And the site currently is at least partially agriculture use; is it not?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I don't know what they do with that property at this point, whether it's agricultural or it's just their land.

MR. AINSWORTH: Did any of you notice the farming equipment that was near the entrance road that we walked?

MS. KOHLER: I believe he answered the question. He doesn't know what the property is used for. He doesn't know if it's agricultural land.

MR. AINSWORTH: Those were two different questions. One was did you see the farming equipment; in other words, do you know how they use the property?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I did not
notice the farming equipment.
MR. AINSWORTH: Did any of the applicant's team members notice the large field of highbush blueberries to the southwest of Site 2 ?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I did not notice it.

MR. AINSWORTH: And Mr. Libertine mentioned that there was a potential limitation for activity due to wetlands. Isn't it true that wetlands laws don't apply to agricultural use?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): In several cases there are opportunities to do things from an agricultural component, but there are still limitations as to what you can do.

MR. AINSWORTH: I'd just ask the Council to take administrative notice of the wetlands laws that have an agricultural exemption.

Now, would the applicant be willing to place any kind of cedar fencing or ground-level fencing around the compound to mitigate any lower-level use from nearby
property owners?
THE WITNESS (Coppins): If it were approved and the Council asked us to do that, we would certainly be willing to do that.

MR. AINSWORTH: Just out of curiosity, I noticed that there was testimony that there were three separate balloon floats, but there are two sites. Why were there three separate balloon floats for two sites?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did the full analyses individually for these, and then $I$ went a third time just to kind of verify and do some additional work.

MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you noted in your testimony that you said a red balloon would jump out more than potentially a monopole antenna array; am I correct?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, I'd like to qualify that. What $I$ said was or what I think I said, at least what I meant was when we're out doing our analyses in the field we use a red balloon. It's a
great focal point because it's often very difficult to look through the trees and to find what we're actually looking for. So my only point was when you're looking for that object, it's very easy or much easier for us to pick out than an obscured pole that's buried in the trees. That was my only point.

MR. AINSWORTH: The balloon
itself is 4 feet in diameter, correct?
THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: And what's the diameter of the proposed tower at both sites?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): The
tower tapers to 18 to 24 inches.
THE WITNESS (Centore):
Twenty-four inches.
THE WITNESS (Libertine): About
24 inches at the top, and then you've obviously got the arrays at the top, which are spread 12 foot across.

MR. AINSWORTH: What does the
tower start out at the base?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): They vary a little bit, so that's why I'm hesitating.

THE WITNESS (Centore): Depending on the manufacturer or thickness of steel, but anywhere from 4 to 6 feet in diameter. I would say the 150 -foot monopole would be about 4 feet in diameter at the base, and the 170-foot monopole would be closer to 6 feet at the base.

MR. AINSWORTH: And you mentioned that the antenna arrays are 12 feet in diameter, correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Twelve
feet face projection. There's a flat surface area. The platform, it's a triangle. One leg of the triangle is approximately 12 feet across.

MR. AINSWORTH: And the antennas themselves are how long?

THE WITNESS (Centore): They vary. Typically we see antennas between 4 and 8 feet in height and about 8 inches to 12 inches in width.

MR. AINSWORTH: So you've got a

12-foot platform and perpendicular to it you've got a 6 to 8-foot antenna?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct, at approximately 4 feet on center.

MR. AINSWORTH: And the balloon itself is floating on a very thin tether compared to a tower, correct?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct.

MR. AINSWORTH: So the visual sight line intersects would be much harder to see for the tether than it would be for an actual pole; would it not?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct.

MR. AINSWORTH: I'm getting close.

You said you are able to speak for the applicant but within parameters. Can you explain what the parameters are?

MR. LYNCH: Mr. Ainsworth, can you repeat that question? I just didn't hear it at all.

MR. AINSWORTH: Certainly. I was not looking at the microphone.

There was some testimony that the applicant, Mr. Coppins, you testified that you were authorized to speak for the applicant but within parameters, and I was wondering what the constraints might be.

THE WITNESS (Coppins): Well, the parameters are, for instance, the fence that you just asked me about, it falls within our parameters to be able to do something like that because obviously it did in your case make sense for us to want to screen the base, so that's why I answered that it's reasonable and within reason. If it's outside what $I$ think would be reasonable, we would have a conversation with the rest of the owners of the company to determine whether we should be able to do something. MR. AINSWORTH: So it's in your subjective determination of what's reasonable?

THE WITNESS (Coppins): I'm not exactly sure what you mean by that, but I mean there are certain things that we've done in the past and we continue to do them. And if it's asked during a hearing or during
a construction meeting or during something, we're able to make a decision on the spot to do that, yes.

MR. AINSWORTH: Have there been any soil probes done on the site yet?

THE WITNESS (Centore): No.
MR. AINSWORTH: You did notice
that there were significant outcroppings, and I think you mentioned that there was ledge that was visible on the site, correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: Wouldn't -- well, doesn't soil type impact the ability to infiltrate storm water?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Yes.
MR. AINSWORTH: And without
having soil probes, you don't know whether or not you may be hitting ledge which is relatively impervious, correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I'm sorry, but $I$ don't understand your question.

MR. AINSWORTH: Certainly. Let me see if $I$ can rephrase it. Without having soil probes, you don't know where the ledge is other than where it appears on the
surface visible to someone walking by, correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: But you have
storm water infiltration designs or conceptual plans in your site plans noted in the application?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I do not.
MR. AINSWORTH: The level
spreaders are meant to direct storm water so that it may infiltrate into the site?

THE WITNESS (Centore): No. The level spreaders redirect the water and reduce the rate at which it flows across the surface. It's not intended to infiltrate into the ground. It's maintaining direction of water flow on the site.

MR. AINSWORTH: You were asked some questions about there were depressions nearby Site 2 that might be used for soil infiltration of storm water, correct?

THE WITNESS (Centore): I don't recall the term "infiltration." I think what we're talking about here is runoff and how runoff is maintained, but the site is
designed to maintain the current characteristics of runoff. So if there are existing ledge conditions and there are existing rock outcroppings, that condition is not being changed by the proposed design. And what we're doing by adding additional slightly less pervious material to the site is we're redirecting those flows using level spreaders so as to maintain the current characteristics of drainage on that property.

MR. AINSWORTH: Based on surface observation?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Based on drainage patterns.

MR. AINSWORTH: But those
drainage patterns are determined by surface observations as opposed to soil testing?

THE WITNESS (Centore): The two do not go along. You'd have to clarify your question. But what we're doing, to be clear, in terms of the design here is we're not changing the characteristics of the ground there. That site, as it exists today and it's probably been like that for quite a
long time, has water that drains across it.
MR. AINSWORTH: But you're also going to alter the road?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: You're going to
be doing --
THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: You're going to remove earth?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: And you're going to compact soil?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: And you'll be removing ledge and probably some terrain to level out the inclines?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Correct.
MR. AINSWORTH: And all of those will have impacts on water flow; will they not?

THE WITNESS (Centore): Exactly. And that's why we've proposed to use level spreaders to dissipate that water in the same patterns as they do today. A full drainage analysis will actually be prepared
as part of the D\&M plan which will show any increase or the significance of increased runoff or flow on the site, and it's addressed at that point in more detail.

MR. AINSWORTH: And directing your attention to the Site 2 visual impact analysis, photos 9 and 10, I think some discussion was had about that being somewhat representative of a more I guess broader intersect with a larger portion of the tower. Wouldn't a monopine or a concealed tower of some sort be of assistance in reducing the impacts for those particular views and views like them?

THE WITNESS (Libertine):
Attorney Ainsworth, I just want to make sure, 9 and 10 for Site 2? I just want to make sure I'm looking at the right one.

MR. AINSWORTH: I'll confirm,
but I --
THE WITNESS (Libertine): No,
that's fine. I get what you're saying. These two happen to be through trees with the leaves off. And obviously when the leaves are on the tree, you wouldn't be able
to see through there.
You know, when we talk about concealing within a monopine, it's always a very difficult discussion for me because I tend to feel as though these type of views, when you're looking, whether it's these type of views or a little further away or even a little closer where you have some aspect of the tower, anything you can do to soften it certainly is of benefit. And I think if we're strictly talking about looking through the trees from these two locations, then I think from that aspect, yes, it could probably help. We're still talking about a structure that is, you know, from these perspectives significantly above the tree line with no backdrop.

So, you know, it's still going to be a very very large object on the horizon just because of the branching to conceal and to give it some kind of a shape that would look somewhat natural. I can't argue that it wouldn't help soften it, but again, I caution it's this trade-off because we could be somewhere else at three-quarters of a
mile away and having a view that does not have an obstruction and then it's going to loom fairly largely year round. So it's a balancing act. But yes, I think, you know, for what you're asking certainly those two locations it could help soften it. Someone else may have another opinion and say, jeez, that's a pretty big pine on the horizon. But I think there are some others that I think, you know, you pointed to one earlier. And I apologize because I just don't have it right at my fingertips which one it was, but when that one did not eclipse the tree line, and I think that's where it's much more effective in those what I'll call mirror views.

THE CHAIRMAN: Excuse me, we're going to have to break now.

MR. AINSWORTH: Then we'll break.
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. The Council
will recess until 7 p.m., at which time we'll commence the public comment session of the hearing.
(Whereupon, the witnesses were
excused and the above proceedings were
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## EXHIBIT

APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS
(Received in evidence)
DESCRIPTION
II-B-1 Application for a Certificate 14
of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need filed by Florida Tower Partners LLC d/b/a North Atlantic Towers, received March 19, 2015, and attachments and bulk file attachments including:
a.) Town of Bethel Wetland and Watercourses Regulations, dated March 18, 2014
b.) Town of Bethel Zoning Regulations, effective August 15, 2014
c.) Town of Bethel zoning map
d.) Town of Bethel Plan of Conservation and Development, effective October 15, 2007
e.) Applicant's technical report to the Town of Bethel

|  |  |  | 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | I N D E X (Cont'd) |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 | EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION | PAGE |  |
| 4 | II-B-2 Affidavit of sign posting | 14 |  |
| 5 | with photographs, received |  |  |
| 6 | May 26, 2015 |  |  |
| 7 | II-B-3 Applicant's responses to | 14 |  |
| 8 | Siting Council interrogatories, |  |  |
| 9 | dated May 26, 2015 |  |  |
| 10 | II-B-4 Pre-filed testimony of Keith | 14 |  |
| 11 | Coppins, dated May 26, 2015 |  |  |
| 12 | II-B-5 Pre-filed testimony of | 14 |  |
| 13 | Michael Libertine, dated |  |  |
| 14 | May 26, 2015 |  |  |
| 15 | II-B-6 Resumes of witnesses: | 14 |  |
| 16 | a.) Keith E. Coppins |  |  |
| 17 | b.) Carlo F. Centore |  |  |
| 18 | c.) Michael P. Libertine |  |  |
| 19 | d.) Dean E. Gustafion |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |



| A | 96:13 <br> actually (34) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:10;26:25;27:18, } \\ & \text { 20;28:10;31:6,13; } \end{aligned}$ | 26:21 <br> always (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 97:2,4 } \\ \text { applicants (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15:7;17:10;21:19 | 37:22;38:2;40:15; | 89:2;103:3 | 32:12 |
| abandoned (5) | 24:11,13;25:3,5; | 43:13;44:21;54:20; | American (1) | applicant's (2) |
| 34:21;35:3,11,15; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 28:12,14;29:7,9,18; } \\ & 30: 6 ; 36: 25 ; 56: 16 ; \end{aligned}$ | 59:10,15,18,20,25; | $\begin{gathered} \text { 60:18 } \\ \text { amount (3) } \end{gathered}$ | 14:6;92:3 application (15) |
|  | 57:19;58:7,9,11;63:4; | 82:12;89:8;103:23 | 52:2;77:1;82:25 | 4:21;5:3,8;8:2,3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ability (1) } \\ 98: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $64: 11 ; 70: 24 ; 71: 8,16$ | against (2) | analyses (4) | 10:22;13:3;16:5;20:4, |
| able (15) | 17;74:4;82:1;83:6,10, | 32:1;66:3 | 26:17;59:20;93:13, | 12;60:13;66:2;76:2, |
| 28:14;43:25;46:20; | 12,18;86:13;94:3; | $\underset{5.19}{\operatorname{agenda}}$ (1) | 24 | 14;99:7 aplications (4) |
| 48:7;51:23;52:8;77:3; | 1:25 | 5:19 | analysis (7) | applications (4) |
| 80:18;84:2;86:1; | add (1) | agent | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 2 ; 52: 7 ; 70: 8,17 \\ & 25: 101: 25 ; 102: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 18 ; 85: 12,1 \\ & 86: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 96: 18 ; 97: 9,17 ; 98: 2 \\ & 102: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $54: 16$ adding (1) | $\begin{gathered} 33: 14 \\ \text { agree (4) } \end{gathered}$ | Analyst (1) | applies (1) |
| above (11) | 100:6 | 41:9;65:8;77:13 | 4:15 | 40:12 |
| 25:25;30:17,19; | additional (7 | 87:1 | analyzed (1) | apply (1) |
| 31:20,24;44:20;58:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 20 ; 54: 16 ; 76: 8 \\ & 23,25 ; 93: 15 ; 100: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agreement (1 } \\ & 50: 5 \end{aligned}$ | d/or | proaching (3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24;82:24;103:16; } \\ & 104: 25 \end{aligned}$ | additions (3) | agricultural (5) | $32: 13$ | $41: 11,13 ; 62: 12$ |
| absolutely | 9:22;11:24;13:14 | 91:12,20;92:12,15 | angle (1) | approved |
| 31:13;44:4;90:3 | address (2) | 20 | 83:2 | 32:11,17;52:6; |
| abuts (1) | 52:17;78:5 | agriculture (1) | angular (2) | 68:13;93:3 |
| 44:7 | addressed (1) | 91:8 | 77:16,23 | approximately (5) |
| abutters (1) | adja | ahead | answered (5) | 4:5;15:1,3;95:18; |
| 25:1 | adjacent (1) | $63: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54: 19 ; 64: 14 ; 88: 1 ; \\ & 91: 18 ; 97: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 96:4 |
| $\underset{25.22}{\text { abutting (1) }}$ | adjoining (2) | AINSWORTH (76) $3: 12,16 ; 5: 15 ; 73: 19$, | antenna (19) | appurtenances (2) 38:20;59:17 |
| access (14) | 44:6;88:14 | 20;74:6,19;75:3,8,12; | 73:24;74:1,12,25 | area (33) |
| 16:15;17:2,4,8,9 | adjourned (1) | 76:10;77:12;78:2; | 75:20,20;76:4,19,21; | 12:24;15:15;16:13; |
| 19:7,19,20,21;22:14; | 105:1 | 79:3,17;80:2,20;81:3, | 77:9,11,14,20,22; | 22:13;24:24;25:1 |
| 28:10;52:13;53:22; | Administrative (2) | 9;82:16;83:5;84:4,13, | 8:1,8;93:19;95:12 | 6:1;29:7;32:7, |
| 82:1 |  | 22;85:5,18;86:23; |  | 33:19;35:1,12;40:3; |
| accommodate (1) | administratively (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 9,19 ; 88: 2 ; 89: 17, \\ & 23 ; 90: 4,9,13,23 ; 91: 7, \end{aligned}$ | antennas (15) 38:13,23;60:5; | $\begin{aligned} & 41: 12 ; 45: 14 ; 63: 20 ; \\ & 70: 25 ; 71: 6,9 ; 76: 23, \end{aligned}$ |
| 77:21 | admission (1) | $14,21 ; 92: 2,8,18 ; 93: 6,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 13,23 ; 60: 5 ; \\ & \text { 65:10;74:5;75:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 25 ; 71: 6,9 ; 76: 23, \\ & 25 ; 77: 10 ; 80: 1 ; 81: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| ccomplish 46:20 | 14:6 | 16;94:9,13,24;95:11, | 76:7,9,19;78:13,15 | 24;82:15;87:18; |
| accordance (1) | admitted (1) | 19,25;96:5,10,16,21, | 25;79:5;95:19,22 | 88:10;89:14;90:8,10; |
| 5:18 | 14:9 | 24;97:18;98:4,7,12, | anticipate (1) | 95:16 |
| accurate (6) | adopt (3) | 16,22;99:4,9,18; | 89:5 | areas (16) |
| 10:3;12:4;13:19 | $10: 6 ; 12: 8 ; 13: 23$ advance (1) | $100: 12,16 ; 101: 2,5,8$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { anticipated (1) } \\ 7 \cdot 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 1 ; 30: 16 ; 32: 6 ; \\ & 42: 18 ; 44: 16 ; 61: 17 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 84:18,25;85:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { advance (1) } \\ 84: 6 \end{gathered}$ | 11,14,18;102:5,16,19; 104:19 | 7:22 <br> anymore (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:18;44:16;61:17; } \\ & 63: 3 ; 67: 22 ; 81: 20,25 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { achieved (1) } \\ 58: 2 \end{gathered}$ | advantage (2) | allowed (1) | $69: 16$ | $82: 5,11 ; 88: 12,22$ |
| achieving (1) | 30:8;83:11 | 6:4 | apologize (2) | 89:25;90:3 |
| 83:2 | advantageous (1) | allows | 57:3;104:11 | argue (1) |
| acreages (1) | 89:1 | 65:16 | apparently (1) | 103:22 |
| 26:23 | aerial (1) | All-Points (1) | 34:20 | argument (1) |
| acres (1) | 28:13 | 8:8 | appear (1) | 59:14 |
| 42:14 | Affairs (1) | alluding (2) | 60:19 | around (10) |
| across (4) | 61:3 | 38:9.75: | appearance | 17:14;22:6 |
| 94:23;95:18;99:14; | affirmative (2) | almost (5) | 7:12 | 31:17;38:12;47:17; |
| 101:1 | 42:25;71:25 | 43:20;44:13;52:24 | appeared (1) | 77:14;89:25;90:2; |
| Act (3) | affixed | 53:5;71:17 | 46:1 | 92:24 |
| 4:20;61:8;104:4 |  | alon | appe | array (3) |
| action (2) | afford $32: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 7,11,15 ; 26: 3 ; \\ & 46: 7 ; 100: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 3 ; 53: 4 ; 72: 1 \\ & 98: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 73:24;78:8 |
| 61:5,7 <br> actively (1) | afternoon (1) | alter (1) | appendages (1) | 74:1;77:15;78:2 |
| 86:19 | 4:1 | 101:3 | 74:15 | 94:22;95:12 |
| activity (1) | afternoon's | altered (2) | applicant (18) | Ashton (42) |
| 92:10 | 5:23 | 88:6,8 | 5:11;7:3,12,13 | 4:12;11:3;39:8 |
| actual (7) | again (31) | alternatives (1) | 8:22;14:10,14;33:13, | 15,23;40:11,23;41:1, |
| 12:25;16:2;23:2 | 5:24;9:16;19:25; | 80:5 | 14;39:6;74:7;87:13; | 5,13,19;42:1,9,13,20; |
| 29:16;32:3;58:14; | 22:10;24:15,19; | although (1) | 90:24;92:22;96:19; | 43:1,15;44:1,11,23; |


| $45: 6,12,17,22 ; 46: 3$ | 67:19;71:23;81:13 | $36: 17$ | 83:13 | called (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12;47:3,12,15,22; | 103:7;104:1 | behalf (2) | branches (1) | 8:19;11:16;18:14; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48: 2,8,16,20,22 ; 49: 1 \\ & 19 ; 50: 1,9,16 ; 51: 2 \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 73:15;81:5 } \\ & \text { behind (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 57:20 } \\ & \text { branching (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:1;82:19 } \\ & \text { calm (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
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| assist (4) | 31:25;32:9;103:17 | 10:3;12:4;13:19 | 37:24 | 60:3;64:4;65:18; |
| 9:2;10:11;12:12; | background (3) | 22:5;26:18 | broader (1) | 66:18;67:3,20;74:24; |
| 74:17 | 50:17;58:20;67:4 | Bethel (2) | 102:9 | 77:5,14;83:17;84:23; |
| assistance (1) | backyard (1) | 5:3;6:19 | brown (5) | 85:23;86:7,21;92:17; |
| 102:12 | 58:18 | better (4) | 38:6;59:16,17;60:4, | 96:20,21;98:23;103:9 |
| associated (4) | backyards (1) | 26:11;50:17;75:16; | 5 | Canaan (2) |
| 27:3;28:17;29:21; | 24:13 | 85:19 | buffer (2) | 49:7,11 |
| 79:14 | balance (1) | beyond (4) | 58:11;88:13 | canopy (4) |
| assume (5) | 27:22 | 24:11;41:18;81:22; | buffering (2) | 22:8;37:10;83:7,14 |
| 33:13;34:9;40:15; | balanced (1) | 84:11 | 24:21;88:23 | card (1) |
| 48:3;81:8 | 27:25 | big (1) | build (4) | 33:10 |
| assuming (1) | balancing (1) | 104:8 | 32:20;33:24;42:14; | career (1) |
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| Atlantic (4) | balloons (6) | blasting (5) | bulky (1) | $46: 23$ |
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| 17:2;96:4 | 55:21;58:15 | 3:11;5:2,14;21:9; | 65:24 | 87:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centore (79) | changing (1) | 25:7;26:3;29:6;44:14; | conceivable (1) | control (2) |
| 8:9;10:10,16,20,25; | 100:23 | 73:18;80:1;88:19 | 22:23 | 87:13,17 |
| 11:4;12:1,6,10;16:11, | characteristics (4) | Cohen (2) | conceptual (1) | convenience (1) |
| 17;17:19,24;18:5,9, | 47:5;100:2,10,23 | 5:12;7:19 | 99:6 | 6:19 |
| 16,20,24;19:5,9,13, | check (5) | COLE (1) | concern (2) | conversation (2) |
| 17,23;20:15,20;21:1; | 18:3;20:9;36:22; | 3:20 | 54:12;88:22 | 89:22;97:15 |
| 39:13;40:8,19,25; | 64:3;85:13 | color (4) | concerned (2) | conversations (1) |
| 41:3;45:4,10,15,18, | checked (1) | 37:16;38:6,6;65:14 | 33:13;63:7 | 62:22 |
| 25;46:5,17;47:6,14, | 36:24 | colored (1) | concerns (1) | conveyed (1) |
| 18,25;48:5;51:11,16; | chime (1) | 31:9 | 30:9 | 91:6 |
| 52:11;53:7,14;54:14, | 64:23 | coloring (1) | conclusion (1) | convinced (1) |
| 25;55:4;72:5,20; | chimney (1) | 65:8 | 14:22 | 78:16 |
| 75:17,18;76:12; | 76:15 | combination (1) | concrete (2) | coordination (1) |
| 77:18;85:10;94:18; | Chippewa (1) | 83:23 | 52:1;55:8 | 62:22 |
| 95:4,14,21;96:3;98:6, | 60:24 | coming (4) | condition (2) | copies (2) |
| 11,15,20;99:3,8,12, | Chippewas (1) | 17:4;19:11;54:2; | 47:2;100:4 | 5:19,21 |
| 22;100:14,19;101:4,7, | 64:12 | 79:9 | conditions (5) | Coppins (57) |
| 10,13,17,21 | chopping (1) | commence (1) | 15:8;26:3;41:24; | 8:10;12:11,17,21; |
| Centore's (1) | 51:22 | 104:22 | 52:8;100:3 | 13:16,21,25;32:16,22; |
| 72:13 | chronology (2) | comment (7) | conduit (1) | 33:9,15,20;34:1,6,11, |
| central (3) | 68:21;69:16 | 6:5,9,16;55:19; | 55:7 | 16,24;35:8,13,19,23; |
| 36:10;80:16,21 | Cingular (2) | 57:13;82:18;104:22 | Confirm (4) | 36:5,12,18,23;37:6; |
| centrally (1) | 3:3;5:13 | comments (1) | 20:15;40:9;41:4; | 39:21;42:5,6,11; |
| 69:6 | Citizen's (1) | 7:10 | 102:19 | 48:14,18,21,24;49:6, |
| centrally-located (3) | 5:21 | common (3) | confirming (1) | 24;50:2,14,19,25; |
| 13:7;69:2;90:14 | claim (3) | 50:9,11,12 | 42:7 | 66:25;67:9,23;69:13, |
| certain (5) | 61:16;62:16,24 | communication (1) | confused (1) | 21;70:2;80:10,23; |
| 23:7;28:16;63:18; | clarification (3) | 5:6 | 75:1 | 81:7;91:3,10,25;92:6; |
| 76:3;97:23 | 10:2;12:3;13:18 | compact (2) | confusing (1) | 93:2;97:2,6,21 |
| Certainly (37) | clarifications (5) | 79:12;101:12 | 16:19 | cord (1) |
| 14:25;22:1,13; | 9:10,21;11:23; | company (1) | congregations (1) | 86:9 |
| 23:20,25;25:17,19; | 12:19;13:13 | 97:16 | 63:19 | corner (2) |
| 27:9;30:13;41:11,17; | clarify (4) | compare (1) | Connecticut (7) | 72:15,23 |
| 57:16;58:16,20,24; | 17:5;29:14;53:8; | $26: 20$ | 3:15,22;4:3,19;5:3; | corrected (1) |
| 64:19,20;71:4;74:13, | 100:20 | compared (1) | 62:6;74:21 | 11:7 |
| 16;75:13;78:19,21; | clear (3) | 96:7 | conservative (5) | correction (4) |
| 79:11;82:22;83:3,19, | 57:11;68:15;100:22 | Compatibility (1) | 23:14;28:9;37:11, | 10:23;11:10,14; |
| 24;85:25;86:1,17; | cleared (1) | 4:23 | 12,14 | 16:20 |
| 90:8;93:4;96:24; | 88:11 | complete (1) | consider (3) | corrections (2) |
| 98:22;103:10;104:5 | Clerk's (1) | 52:16 | 73:23;74:3,7 | 10:18;11:21 |
| Certificate (1) | 6:18 | component (1) | considered (2) | correctly (2) |
| 4:23 | climb (1) | 92:15 | 61:7;83:22 | 69:14;79:18 |
| cetera (1) | 48:7 | compound (16) | considering (1) | corresponding (1) |
| 36:3 | clinometer (1) | 16:13,23;21:15; | 31:12 | 70:16 |
| CHAIRMAN (28) | 41:16 | 22:4,17,23;23:9,22; | constraints (2) | Council (21) |
| 4:1,6,8;6:24;7:8; | clips (1) | 24:24;44:25;45:13; | 89:7;97:5 | 4:4,6,7;5:4,6,7,22; |
| 14:4,8,13;33:4,8;39:5, | 27:2 | 46:25;72:24;89:25; | construct (3) | 6:10;7:5,9;33:5; |
| 7;50:22;51:3,4,7; | close (4) | 90:3;92:24 | 32:12,17;55:6 | 49:18;56:17;68:14; |
| 55:11;64:10;66:23; | 32:6;47:1;79:4; | compounds (1) | construction (3) | 83:18;85:12,17; |
| 68:3,5;69:15,23;70:4; | 96:17 | 87:12 | 4:24;54:21;98:1 | 87:20;92:19;93:3; |
| 73:7,17;104:17,20 | closer (8) | computer (2) | consultation (1) | 104:20 |
| challenge (1) | 46:18;57:7;59:5; | 28:12;57:1 | 68:19 | Council's (2) |
| 64:5 | 72:3,16;79:9;95:9; | conceal (1) | contact (2) | 21:6;68:9 |
| chance (2) | 103:8 | 103:20 | 40:21;67:6 | counted (2) |
| 23:16;49:1 | closest (6) | concealed (1) | Cont'd (1) | 28:15;29:18 |
| chances (1) | 25:8;71:7,21,22; | 102:11 | 3:1 | country (3) |
| 49:4 | 72:14,24 | concealing (1) | continue (4) | 61:15,18;63:17 |
| change (3) | clouds (1) | 103:3 | 6:15;7:11;11:9; | couple (3) |
| 66:13,14;70:1 | 15:12 | concealment (4) | 97:24 | 29:19;39:10;65:2 |
| changed (3) | code (1) | 31:2;37:21;38:2; | continuing (2) | course (1) |
| 11:11,20;100:5 | 52:19 | $59: 12$ | 17:6;46:7 | 34:8 |
| changes (2) | Codfish (11) | concealments (1) | contribute (1) | Court (1) |


| 40:12 <br> courtesy (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:9;73:13 } \\ & \text { dark (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 12 ; 100: 5,22 \\ & \text { designed (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:20;16:10;37:20 } \\ & \text { discussing (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58: 14 \\ \text { driving (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84:10 | 31:8 | 100:1 | 24:23 | 31:17;58:4 |
| cover (6) | darker (1) | designee (2) | discussion (4) | drop (1) |
| 73:24;75:5;76:4,8; | 38:6 | 4:9,11 | 9:18;17:7;102:8; | 88:18 |
| 82:11;89:1 | data (1) | designs (1) | 103:4 | drove (1) |
| coverage (1) | 67:21 | 99:5 | discussions (1) | 24:15 |
| 67:20 | database (3) | detail (1) | 65:4 | due (6) |
| covered (1) | 61:4,10;62:13 | 102:4 | disguise (1) | 16:23;20:21,22; |
| 65:12 | date (2) | details (3) | 31:2 | 23:10;53:20;92:10 |
| covering (2) | 6:11;69:7 | 54:21,22;55:3 | dissipate (2) | duly (1) |
| 75:19,24 | day (3) | determination (2) | 46:9;101:23 | 8:19 |
| coverings (1) | 22:12;38:22;83:21 | 52:9;97:19 | distance (13) | during (12) |
| $77: 14$ | days (2) | determine (2) | $20: 2,16 ; 23: 8 ; 25: 15$ | $15: 9 ; 21: 22 ; 24: 8$ |
| covers (4) | $6: 11 ; 83: 17$ | $18: 22 ; 97: 16$ | $20,24 ; 26: 7,12 ; 27: 1$ | $30: 12 ; 38: 4 ; 43: 21$ |
| $74: 20 ; 75: 19 ; 76: 5$ | deal (2) | determined (1) | $43: 13 ; 72: 17,18 ; 81: 19$ | $49: 8 ; 80: 24 ; 83: 3$ |
| 77:4 | $66: 24 ; 83: 25$ | $100: 17$ | distant (2) | $97: 25,25 ; 98: 1$ |
| create (1) | dealing (1) | develop (3) | $31: 23 ; 82: 11$ | dusk (1) |
| 76:20 | 23:6 | 61:4;63:1;91:5 | distraction (1) | 22:12 |
| creating (2) | dealt (1) | developed (6) | 78:7 |  |
| 76:17,22 | 64:14 | 40:7;42:22;61:9; | divert (1) | E |
| cross (1) | decision (1) | $63: 18 ; 66: 1 ; 88: 3$ | $46: 15$ |  |
| 73:8 | 98:2 | development (4) | diverted (1) | earlier (8) |
| cross-examination (4) | dedicated (3) | 24:8;25:18;33:16; | 54:13 | 25:21;28:25;51:9; |
| 14:14,16;79:18; | 40:4,6,18 | 89:6 | documented (1) | $65: 3 ; 70: 11 ; 72: 6$ |
| 87:20 | define (1) | diameter (12) | 24:17 | $79: 18 ; 104: 10$ |
| CUDDY (2) | 74:24 | 15:2;18:22,23,25; | documents (7) | early (2) |
| $3: 5 ; 73: 14$ | deflect (1) |  | $7: 10 ; 9: 23 ; 10: 2$ | $62: 22 ; 85: 4$ |
| cul-de-sac (1) | $83: 14$ | $94: 10,14 ; 95: 6,8,13$ | $11: 25 ; 12: 3 ; 13: 15,18$ | earth (1) |
| 58:7 | deflection (1) | difference (2) | Dodgingtown (3) | $101: 9$ |
| curb (1) | 82:19 | 49:22;59:6 | 35:2;36:24;37:1 | easement (2) |
| 58:8 | Delaware (1) | different (12) | done (12) | $19: 8,14$ |
| curiosity (1) | 61:19 | 13:5;20:8;26:9; | 19:18;21:18;30:25; | easier (1) |
| 93:7 | delivering (1) | $37: 17 ; 65: 14 ; 67: 16$ | $34: 9 ; 46: 22 ; 47: 3,6,11$ | $94: 5$ |
| curious (6) | $67: 21$ | $21 ; 69: 18 ; 75: 24$ | $55: 22 ; 62: 3 ; 97: 24$ | east (6) |
| $20: 6,13,19 ; 37: 15$ | density (1) | 78:15;87:7;91:22 | $98: 5$ | $16: 23 ; 24: 9 ; 25: 19$ |
| $64: 13 ; 66: 9$ | $23: 10$ | difficult (8) | double (3) | $40: 13 ; 53: 10 ; 88: 24$ |
| current (3) | Department (1) | 22:9;23:18;27:21; | 28:15;36:21,23 | eastern (1) |
| $90: 6 ; 100: 1,9$ | $4: 9$ | $75: 10,25 ; 78: 10 ; 94: 2$ | down (13) | $88: 20$ |
| currently (4) | depend (1) | $103: 4$ | 19:2;20:13;21:22; | easy (1) |
| $52: 25 ; 54: 3 ; 90: 16$ | $77: 9$ | difficulty (1) | $22: 14 ; 25: 4 ; 35: 1$ | $94: 5$ |
| 91:8 | Depending (5) | $76: 24$ | $36: 24 ; 45: 21 ; 54: 5,6$ | eclipse (3) |
| curve (1) | $43: 10 ; 54: 24 ; 62: 6$ | diligence (1) | $58: 9,14 ; 86: 5$ | $32: 2 ; 44: 17 ; 104: 13$ |
| $42: 3$ | $76: 18 ; 95: 4$ | $36: 3$ | drainage (17) | eclipses (1) |
| cutting (1) | depends (1) | dimensions (1) | $44: 24 ; 45: 14 ; 46: 8$ | $43: 22$ |
| 86:21 | $89: 2$ | $76: 18$ | $19,21,25 ; 53: 1,4,6,16$ | economic (1) |
| cyclone (1) | depicted (1) | dips (1) | 54:1,7,15;100:10,15, | 86:24 |
| 47:16 | 82:5 | 58:9 | $17 ; 101: 25$ | economy (1) |
| cylinder (3) | deployed (1) | direct (7) | draining (1) | 59:9 |
| 76:20;77:22;78:6 | 76:6 | 22:3,4;25:9;28:22; | 46:10 | edges (1) |
| cylindrical (1) | deposited (1) | 44:9;71:18;99:10 | drains (1) | 88:15 |
| 77:24 | 6:18 | directed (1) | 101:1 | educate (1) |
|  | depressions (2) | $75: 13$ | dramatically (1) | 49:19 |
| D | 46:14;99:19 | directing (3) | 58:15 | effect (4) |
|  | depth (2) | 53:2;54:2;102:5 | drastically (2) | 30:13;31:15;38:21; |
| D\&M (5) | 51:25;52:13 | direction (1) | 88:6,8 | 45:14 |
| 47:13;52:6,12,16; | describe (2) | 99:16 | draw (2) | effective (5) |
| 102:1 | 14:21;26:10 | directly (4) | $30: 21 ; 57: 21$ | $57: 18 ; 59: 15,16$ |
| d/b/a (3) | described (1) | 20:21,22;53:23; | drawing (4) | $60: 6 ; 104: 15$ |
| 3:18;4:22;5:16 | 14:12 | 62:20 | 21:2;36:4;39:10,18 | effectively (1) |
| $\operatorname{dam}(1)$ | Desert (1) | Director (3) | drive (3) | 58:12 |
| 18:3 | 60:23 | 4:14;8:7;69:1 | 19:20;38:12;81:18 | efforts (1) |
| DANIEL (2) | design (3) | discussed (3) | driveway (1) | 34:10 |


| either (4) | equally (1) | 12:15,19;13:2,3;16:6; | fake (2) | 82:18;89:14;92:4; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:16;27:2;30:3; | 25:16 | 26:16;39:17,19; | 57:13;59:5 | 93:25 |
| 59:11 | equipment (6) | 55:16;81:10 | falling (1) | fields (1) |
| elaborate (1) | 11:2;51:20,23; | exhibits (12) | 45:8 | 44:15 |
| 57:12 | 91:15,23;92:1 | 8:23;9:3,5;10:12, | falls (3) | filled (1) |
| electronically (1) | error (1) | 14,14;12:13;14:2,3,6, | 28:18;61:11;97:8 | 15:1 |
| 62:4 | 20:7 | 9,10 | familiar (2) | find (8) |
| elements (3) | especially (1) | exist (1) | 59:19;75:11 | 32:22;47:4;54:24; |
| 75:19,25;76:5 | 38:22 | 74:24 | far (6) | 67:15,16;69:2;70:15; |
| elevated (3) | ESQ (3) | existing (8) | 33:12;44:20;47:13; | 94:3 |
| 43:14;57:23;71:1 | 3:9,16,23 | 7:10;16:24;19:12, | 52:18;57:6;63:6 | fine (1) |
| elevation (2) | essence (2) | 14;47:2;53:22;100:3, | farm (1) | 102:22 |
| 53:19;82:12 | 35:6;36:1 | 4 | 86:12 | fingertips (1) |
| elevations (1) | essentially (3) | exists (2) | farming (3) | 104:12 |
| $56: 13$ | 62:10;63:12,16 | 16:22;100:24 | 91:15,23;92:1 | firm (1) |
| else (2) | estimated (1) | expense (1) | fashion (1) | 73:14 |
| 103:25;104:7 | 27:16 | 38:18 | 88:3 | first (12) |
| elsewhere (1) | estimation (1) | expensive (1) | faux (2) | 8:19;16:8;17:14; |
| 74:20 | 23:23 | 55:6 | 57:20;65:24 | 41:6;47:16;55:19; |
| emergency (3) | et (1) | experience (2) | favorable (1) | 69:10,17,25;75:4; |
| 48:10,12;66:24 | 36:3 | 21:18;85:24 | 85:4 | 78:16;84:5 |
| employed (1) | evaluations (1) | expired (1) | favorite (1) | five (1) |
| $74: 20$ | 83:21 | 80:7 | 84:17 | 17:10 |
| encampments (2) | EVANS (1) | explain (2) | FCC (1) | fixate (1) |
| 63:10,15 | 3:12 | 16:16;96:20 | 61:2 | 29:9 |
| encase (2) | even (9) | exposed (2) | FEDER (2) | flat (1) |
| 52:1;55:7 | 22:25;25:19;31:15, | 31:19,20 | 3:5;73:14 | 95:15 |
| enclose (1) | 15;56:2;58:20;62:12; | extend (4) | federal (3) | flew (1) |
| 76:20 | 90:21;103:7 | 19:16,19;71:14; | 61:5,6,7 | 84:10 |
| enclosed (1) | everybody (1) | 81:21 | fee (1) | flexibility (1) |
| 78:14 | 68:16 | extensive (1) | 62:1 | 54:23 |
| enclosing (1) | everywhere (1) | 62:21 | feel (1) | flexion (1) |
| $79: 2$ | 62:24 | extremely (1) | 103:5 | 83:1 |
| end (1) | evidence (1) | 37:14 | feeling (3) | flies (3) |
| 5:22 | 14:11 | eye (2) | 25:10;37:22;65:6 | 26:9;30:3;31:17 |
| ended (1) | exactly (4) | $30: 21 ; 57: 21$ | feels (1) | flip-flop (1) |
| $80: 4$ | 74:25;75:2;97:22; | eyeballing (1) | 30:10 | 26:22 |
| Energy (1) | 101:21 | $51: 13$ | feet (34) | float (2) |
| 4:9 | examination (1) |  | 11:20;15:1,20,24; | 82:17;84:17 |
| Engineer (3) | $73: 9$ | F | 20:3,5,8,16;23:15,16, | floating (2) |
| 8:9;35:4;67:10 | examined (1) |  | 17;24:1;30:19;37:10; | 25:12;96:6 |
| Engineering (4) | 8:20 | facades (1) | 41:9,11,14,18,21; | floats (5) |
| 8:10;75:18;78:3; | example (3) | 66:3 | 42:3,10;43:7,12; | 21:18,23;83:20; |
| 88:17 | 57:11,17;62:19 | face (1) | 71:23;72:17;94:10; | 93:9,10 |
| engineers (5) | excavated (1) | 95:15 | 95:6,8,10, 12, 15,18, | Floor (1) |
| 66:17;67:2,4,14,18 | 55:5 | facility (10) | 23;96:4 | 3:7 |
|  | exceeding (1) | 5:1;30:12;32:11,14; | FELDMAN (1) | Florida (3) |
| $62: 25 ; 63: 7 ; 64: 17$ | 41:11 | 35:3;43:24;44:21; | 3:12 | 4:21;5:11;48:11 |
| enormous (1) | exception (4) | 60:2;68:12;69:4 | felt (1) | flow (4) |
| 61:9 | 9:5;10:14;12:15; | fact (4) | $25: 13$ | 54:4;99:17;101:19; |
| enough (3) | 44:4 | 26:2;52:14;79:23; | fence (3) | $102: 3$ |
| 23:1;64:15;88:16 | excuse (4) | 81:8 | 47:16;48:7;97:7 | flowing (1) |
| entire (2) | 11:15;17:19;20:22; | factions (1) | fencing (2) | 52:25 |
| 75:14;82:15 | 104:17 | 63:19 | 92:23,24 | flows (3) |
| entrance (1) | excused (1) | factors (1) | few (6) | 54:3;99:14;100:8 |
| 91:16 | 104:25 | 28:1 | 23:17;32:6,21;33:8; | fly (2) |
| enumerated (1) | Executive (5) | fair (3) | 51:7;57:16 | 14:22;84:6 |
| 17:12 | 4:14;9:16;10:22; | 23:23;42:16;45:9 | fiberglass (2) | focal (1) |
| Environmental (6) | 11:5;60:15 | fairly (14) | 75:22;77:13 | 94:1 |
| 3:11;4:10,23;5:15; | exemption (1) | $15: 13 ; 25: 16,22$ | field (17) | fold-out (1) |
| 61:8;73:18 | 92:21 | 26:6;27:18;30:16,18, | 14:19,20,23;15:6,9; | 56:2 |
| equal (1) | Exhibit (14) | 20;59:14;79:1;88:11, | $16: 9,21,23 ; 17: 1,11$ | folks (5) |
| 78:12 | 9:10,14,15;10:18; | 18,25;104:3 | 25:7;27:3;44:25; | 25:4,23;59:19; |


| 62:20;64:21 | 82:1 | 41:25 | 7:1,8,25;8:4;9:3; | homes (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| follow (1) | gaps (1) | growth (1) | 10:12;12:14;14:8; | 24:9,13;87:22,23; |
| 51:8 | 67:19 | 86:6 | 49:8;80:25;83:19; | 88:4;89:16,19 |
| follows (3) | gave (2) | guarantee (2) | 97:25;104:23 | homework (1) |
| 5:11;8:21;53:22 | 28:3;36:19 | 87:10,15 | heavily (4) | 40:20 |
| follow-up (1) | General (9) | guess (12) | 24:18;27:18;29:4; | honesty (1) |
| 65:3 | 4:19;27:6;35:12,18; | 21:14;25:7;26:12; | 90:20 | 64:19 |
| foot (13) | 65:2;70:24;71:6,9; | 41:8;51:17;55:13; | heavy (2) | horizon (4) |
| 11:1,1,6,6,8,8,11, | 82:13 | 57:6;68:6;69:7,19; | 15:12;51:19 | 30:21;71:13; |
| 11,15,15,16,19;94:23 | generally (3) | 73:11;102:9 | height (7) | 103:19;104:8 |
| footprint (1) | 25:9;27:8;40:3 | guidance (1) | 27:6;30:24;35:21; | Hospital (2) |
| 28:19 | generating (1) | 86:15 | 43:6;83:3;84:19; | 49:11,11 |
| foreground (1) | 68:12 | Guide (2) | 95:23 | host (1) |
| 58:10 | generation (1) | 5:21;85:16 | heights (2) | 44:5 |
| forest (3) | 62:2 | Gustafson (4) | 16:2;41:7 | hour (1) |
| 23:19;24:20;25:6 | generator (7) | 7:22;8:3;85:19,23 | held (1) | 15:7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { form (1) } \\ 76: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 10 ; 49: 5,12,13 \\ & 50: 7,12 ; 66: 24 \end{aligned}$ | H | $4: 17$ help (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { house (4) } \\ & 21: 20 ; 22: 6,6 ; 57: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| forth (2) | generators (1) |  | 30:13;31:14; | houses (5) |
| 27:13;42:21 | 48:19 | hair (1) | 103:14,23;104:6 | 42:15,22;43:16; |
| forward (2) | gentlemen (1) | 43:4 | hereby (1) | 57:7;90:15 |
| 80:14;87:11 | 4:2 | half (2) | 7:9 | hundred (1) |
| found (7) | geotechnical (1) | 15:7;27:15 | hereof (1) | 23:17 |
| 9:3;10:12;12:13; | 47:11 | Hamilton (1) | 6:11 | hung (1) |
| 23:13;26:21;35:7; | gets (3) | 3:6 | hesitant (1) | 83:12 |
| 36:1 | 23:17;25:25;86:2 | hand (1) | 30:14 | hypothetical (1) |
| four (2) | girths (1) | 42:5 | hesitating (1) | 89:21 |
| 53:9;72:20 | 87:6 | handling (1) | 95:3 | hypothetically (1) |
| fractional (1) | GIS (1) | 7:25 | hidden (1) | $89: 3$ |
| 39:11 | 28:14 | Hannon (11) | 26:6 |  |
| frame (1) | given (2) | 4:8;51:5,6,12;52:5, | high (2) | I |
| 70:23 | 6:12;30:6 | 21;53:12;54:11,18; | 27:3;44:18 |  |
| free (1) | gives (1) | 55:1,9 | highbush (1) | I-D (1) |
| 83:16 | 70:25 | happen (1) | 92:4 | 7:1 |
| frequency (2) | glad (1) | 102:23 | higher (1) | idea (3) |
| 66:14,17 | 64:13 | happened (2) | 41:20 | 80:8;82:14;86:20 |
| frequently (3) | goes (6) | 70:3;72:12 | highest (1) | identification (1) |
| 55:23;56:1;65:25 | 53:22;54:9,10; | happens (2) | 32:8 | 8:25 |
| friends (1) | 58:14;76:9;86:3 | 61:13;83:10 | highly (1) | II-B (1) |
| 6:7 | Good (11) | hard (1) | 60:1 | 8:24 |
| front (1) | 4:1;24:19,21;25:15; | 56:11 | Hill (12) | II-B-1 (4) |
| 33:10 | 35:4;38:15;56:16,23; | harder (1) | 3:11;5:2,14;21:9, | 9:4;10:13;12:15; |
| full (10) | 63:21,25;82:25 | 96:11 | 22;25:8;26:3;29:6; | 14:10 |
| 14:2;43:3,6;55:24; | grade (2) | Hartford (1) | 49:11;73:18;80:1; | II-B-2 (1) |
| 56:24;83:2;84:1,19; | 53:18,18 | 3:22 | 88:19 | 12:16 |
| 93:13;101:24 | grading (1) | harvest (1) | hills (1) | II-B-3 (1) |
| full-size (1) | 53:23 | 86:1 | 62:8 | 9:6 |
| 56:17 | gravel (3) | Haven (1) | Hillside (1) | II-B-6 (1) |
| full-time (1) | 45:5,6,12 | 3:15 | 40:12 | 14:11 |
| 15:11 | Great (3) | Haybale (1) | hillsides (1) | III-A (1) |
| functions (2) | 56:19;57:17;94:1 | 18:3 | 31:19 | 11:14 |
| 87:3,8 | green (2) | head (3) | himself (1) | ill (1) |
| furiously (1) | 7:17,18 | 20:11;42:25;71:25 | 8:4 | 7:23 |
| 49:4 | grinning (1) | heading (2) | hired (1) | illuminated (1) |
| further (5) | 47:22 | 21:22;77:5 | 67:14 | 22:11 |
| 25:18;51:3;55:9; | ground (4) | heads (1) | hitting (1) | immediate (3) |
| 71:9;103:7 | 23:18;46:14;99:16; | 43:3 | 98:18 | 15:15;25:25;80:1 |
| future (1) | 100:24 | hear (3) | hold (3) | immediately (3) |
| 90:25 | ground-level (1) | 67:20;79:17;96:23 | 23:24;45:20;63:22 | . 32:14,17,20 |
| G | $\begin{gathered} 92: 24 \\ \text { group (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { heard (3) } \\ & 35: 2 ; 44: 23 ; 82: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { holes (2) } \\ 67: 5,16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{impact}(7) \\ & 65: 20 ; 79: 20,24 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| gain (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 25 \\ & \text { growing (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hearing (17) } \\ & 4: 17 ; 5: 24 ; 6: 13,18 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { home (2) } \\ & 23: 22 ; 24: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81: 11 ; 88: 5 ; 98: 13 ; \\ & 102: 6 \end{aligned}$ |


| impacts (3) | inside (1) | jump (2) | 82:13 | 86:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:15;101:19; | 19:4 | 29:11;93:18 | lapse (3) | less (17) |
| 102:13 | insofar (1) | June (1) | 13:1;80:9,11 | 25:21;27:24;38:7; |
| impervious (2) | 47:13 | 4:4 | lapsed (1) | 42:13,15;48:4;55:6; |
| 45:2;98:19 | instance (1) |  | 80:12 | 74:9;78:7,17;79:10, |
| implications (1) | 97:7 | K | large (7) | 24;81:20;87:23; |
| 77:1 | intact (1) |  | 27:3;47:9;51:18,18; | 88:15,20;100:7 |
| important (1) | 88:25 | keep (1) | 63:19;92:3;103:19 | lesser (1) |
| 60:9 | intended (1) | 83:13 | largely (2) | 79:19 |
| impressed (1) | 99:15 | KEITH (2) | 44:1;104:3 | letter (4) |
| 41:6 | interest (6) | 3:16;8:10 | larger (12) | 64:4;69:10;81:1,6 |
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