## STATE OF CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

APPLICATION BY TOWER HOLDINGS, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 199 BRICKYARD ROAD, FARMINGTON, CT

DOCKET NO. 454

MARCH 3, 2015

## PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES OF THE TOWN OF FARMINGTON TO AT&T

The following interrogatories are directed to AT&T by the Town of Farmington ("Party"):

- 11. AT&T is collocated on approximately 50 lattice towers and approximately 200 monopole towers in Connecticut. See AT&T's Interrogatory (set one), Q2. Approximately how many of the 50 lattice towers located in Connecticut has AT&T mounted antennas on for cellular telecommunications purposes within the last ten years (dating back to February 2005)? Approximately how many of the 200 monopole towers located in Connecticut has AT&T mounted antennas on for cellular telecommunications purposes within the last ten years (dating back to February 2005)?
- 12. Did AT&T ever request from the Applicant that the design of the proposed tower be a monopole as opposed to a lattice structure?
- 13. According to Mr. Savino's testimony from the February 3rd, 2015 hearing (see Vol. I, page 36, lines 18-25, page 37, lines 1-2), the Applicant first determined that it needed a training facility, and, thereafter, found a carrier, AT&T, to locate onto its proposed tower to satisfy the Siting Council's process. When was AT&T contacted by the Applicant? By whom?
- 14. For approximately how long prior to being contacted by the Applicant was AT&T experiencing substandard service in the alleged underserved area?
- 15. Explain in detail the nature of the substandard service.
- 16. Did AT&T take any actions to improve service in the alleged underserved area other than its potential collocation on the Tower Holdings proposed lattice tower? If yes, what were those actions?
- 17. If the Siting Council denies the Applicant's application in its entirety, will AT&T seek permission from the Siting Council to construct a different facility in the Farmington area that would serve the alleged underserved area?

Respectfully Submitted,

Party, Town of Farmington

By:

Kelly C.McKeon Duncan J. Forsyth Kenneth R. Slater, Jr. Halloran & Sage, LLP One Goodwin Square 225 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103 Its Attorneys

## **CERTIFICATION**

I certify that on this 3rd day of March, 2015, fifteen copies of the foregoing were sent by regular and electronic mail to all parties and intervenors of record, as follows:

## Counsel for the Applicant

Jesse A. Langer, Esq. Updike, Kelly & Spellacy, P.C. 265 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510 (203) 786-8300 JLanger@uks.com

Counsel for New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC ("AT&T")

Christopher B. Fisher Lucia Chiocchio Cuddy & Feder LLP 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor White Plains, NY 10601

Kelly C. McKeon, Esq.