

Transcript of the Hearing of

Date: June 17, 2014

Volume: v

Case: DOCKET NO. 445

Printed On: June 24, 2014

UNITED REPORTERS, INC.

Phone: (866) 534-3383 Fax: (877) 534-3383

Email: info@unitedreporters.com Internet: www.unitedreporters.com

STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Docket No. 445

Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular
Wireless PCS, LLC, Application for a
Certificate of Environmental Compatibility
and Public Need for the Construction,
Maintenance, and Operation of a
Telecommunications Facility Located Southwest
of the Intersection of Old Stagecoach Road
and Aspen Ledges Road, Ridgefield,
Connecticut

Continued Council Meeting held at Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, 10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut, Tuesday, June 17, 2014, beginning at 1:05 p.m.

	Page 332		Page 334
		,	
1 2	Appearances: Council Members:	1 2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Good
3	JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.	3	afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order the meeting of the Connecticut
4	PHILIP ASHTON	4	Siting Council on Docket 445 today, Tuesday
5	DR. BARBARA C. BELL	5	June 17, 2014, approximately 1:05.
6	ROBERT HANNON, DEEP Designee	6	My name is Robin Stein. I'm
7	DR. MICHAEL W. KLEMENS	7	chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council.
8	DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.	8	This hearing is a continuation of a hearing
9	DANIELT. LTNCH, JK.	9	held on April 24, 2014, in Ridgefield,
10	Council Staff:	10	Connecticut. And June 3, 2014, in New
11	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.,	11	Britain, Connecticut. It's held pursuant to
12	Executive Director and	12	the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut
13	Staff Attorney	13	General Statutes and of the Uniform
14	Stair Morney	14	Administrative Procedure Act upon application
15	DAVID MARTIN	15	from Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular
16	Siting Analyst	16	wireless PCS, LLC, for a certificate of an
17	Siving I mary or	17	environmental compatibility and public need
18	For New Cingular Wireless, PCS, LLC, and	18	for the construction, maintenance and
19	Homeland Towers, LLC:	19	operation of a telecommunications facility
20	CUDDY & FEDER, LLP	20	located southwest of the intersection of Old
21	445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th floor	21	Stagecoach Road and Aspen Ledges Road in
22	White Plains, New York 10601	22	Ridgefield, Connecticut. The application was
23	By: CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER, ESQ.	23	received by the Council on February 21 of
24	,	24	2014.
25		25	A verbatim transcript will be
			1
	Page 333		Page 335
1	Appearances: (Cont'd.)		
	A D D e a l a li C e S. (COlli d.)	1	made of this bearing demosited with the town
		1	made of this hearing deposited with the town
2	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower:	2	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall
3	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC	2 3	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will
3 4	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street	2 3 4	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared
3 4 5	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507	2 3 4 5	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here
3 4 5 6	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the
3 4 5 6 7	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507	2 3 4 5 6 7	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders
3 4 5 6 7 8	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower.
3 4 5 6 7 8	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the
3 4 5 6 7 8	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Before we swear in Mr. Maxson,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Before we swear in Mr. Maxson, Attorney Ainsworth, do you want to explain
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Before we swear in Mr. Maxson, Attorney Ainsworth, do you want to explain what you want to do with the witnesses that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Before we swear in Mr. Maxson, Attorney Ainsworth, do you want to explain what you want to do with the witnesses that are on your list, but that are not I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Before we swear in Mr. Maxson, Attorney Ainsworth, do you want to explain what you want to do with the witnesses that are on your list, but that are not I understand that are not going to appear?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: EVANS, FELDMAN & AINSWORTH, LLC 261 Bradley Street New Haven, Connecticut 06507 (203) 772-4900	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall for the convenience of the public. We will proceed in performance with the prepared agenda, copies of which are available here commencing with the appearance of the intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders Against The Cell Tower. So before we swear in the As of June 12th, the Applicant requested administrative notice of correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an attachment from the Office of State Archaeology. Does the Intervenor have any objections? MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank you. Before we swear in Mr. Maxson, Attorney Ainsworth, do you want to explain what you want to do with the witnesses that are on your list, but that are not I

2 (Pages 332 to 335)

Page 336	Page 338
	lic to submit limited
, i	terials that the Council accepts
**	d then to actually take
*	has taken the time to become a
1	t they can't even submit that
	ial and can only submit
* **	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We'll
	you know, for whatever it's
	nere, to be submitted.
	ng a positive nod from my
	here, so I'll just go down.
	nristopher Glidden, Mark
	ureen Cullane, Andre Avesos and
	These are the people that I
	. Is there somebody else?
18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. And 18 Did I miss anyt	
	AINSWORTH: No. Those are
20 should I just read I believe there are a 20 the ones.	
	CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So as
	ept them as a limited
	the purpose of the hearing.
	ve will now go to your
25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. The 25 witness who is	here. Mr. Maxson, so start
Page 337	Page 339
1 first one is Christopher Glidden. Is there 1 with the swear	ring in.
2 any objection to taking them in as his 2 DAVID M	
	witness, being first duly
4 MR. FISHER: Hi. Good 4 sworn by M	s. Bachman, was examined and
5 afternoon, Chairman. Attorney Chris Fisher 5 testified on	his oath as follows:
6 on behalf of the Applicants. 6 THE	CHAIRPERSON: Okay.
	worth, would you continue by
	exhibits you have filed in this
9 limited appearance testimony. The testimony 9 matter?	·
	AINSWORTH: Yes, sir.
	Maxson, did you prepare or
	ring three documents listed on
13 really should be subject to 13 the hearing pro	ogram as B31 through 3, a
14 cross-examination. I would note that 14 report of David	d Maxson of coverage maps,
15 procedural objection for the record. 15 dated April 17	th, your curriculum vitae, and
16 To the extent the Council 16 a supplementa	l brief prefiled testimony
17 wants to take that information in and simply 17 submitted June	
18 have it available if it's not part of the 18 THE	WITNESS: Yes, I did.
19 evidentiary record that's cited to by the 19 MR.	AINSWORTH: And do you
20 parties, we don't necessarily have an 20 have any corre	ections, deletions, or additions
21 objection to that. And to the extent that 21 to those mater	
	WITNESS: Yes. I
22 I'm overruled, certainly we can deal with it 22 THE	
23 in briefing. 23 identified one	
23 in briefing. 23 identified one	typographic error in the first cutive summary. The word
23 in briefing. 23 identified one	typographic error in the first cutive summary. The word

3 (Pages 336 to 339)

	Page 340		Page 342
_		١.	
1	MR. AINSWORTH: And is that in	1	serve the Ridgebury side of the ridge than
2	your original prefiled testimony or	2	the proposed facility does.
3	supplemental?	3	If you look at my coverage map
4	THE WITNESS: That's my	4	that shows the proposed facility it does not
5	report, the original testimony.	5	completely serve the Ridgebury area. So even
6	MR. AINSWORTH: That would be	6	with that additional coverage from the
7	B31?	7	proposed height of the proposed facility,
8 9	THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Other than	8 9	Ridgebury is not fully served and would probably require an additional facility in
10		10	
11	that correction, do you have any other corrections to make?	11	the near future to complete coverage.
12	THE WITNESS: I do not.	12	MR. MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And in your discussion about
13	MR. AINSWORTH: And do you	13	the relevance of the public safety
14	adopt those B31 and 3 as your testimony here	14	communications, you spent some time talking
15	today?	15	about portable versus mobile coverage. Could
16	THE WITNESS: I do.	16	you kind of clarify what the difference is
17	MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Chairman,	17	between these two systems that you were
18	I seek to make this witness available for	18	talking about.
19	cross-examination.	19	THE WITNESS: Yes. Mobile
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any	20	coverage is the term used by the FCC and
21	objection?	21	generally in the industry refer to coverage
22	MR. FISHER: No, Mr. Chairman.	22	that is provided between the repeaters, the
23	Thank you.	23	base stations and vehicles that have radios
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. These	24	in them.
25	will be made part of the record, and we we'll	25	And because the vehicle has an
	win so made part of the record, and we wen		This occurse the vehicle has an
	Page 341		Page 343
1	1 ' 4 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		
_	begin the cross-examination of Mr. Maxson	1	electrical system and a power supply, the
2	begin the cross-examination of Mr. Maxson with staff.	1 2	electrical system and a power supply, the radio can operate at nearly the same amount
2 3 4	with staff.	2 3 4	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the
2 3 4 5	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the
2 3 4 5 6	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you
2 3 4 5 6 7	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on	2 3 4 5 6 7	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned. And, among the possibilities for providing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile coverage and to determine what the design
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned. And, among the possibilities for providing additional service to the north of the ridge,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile coverage and to determine what the design objectives are when designing a two-way radio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned. And, among the possibilities for providing additional service to the north of the ridge, a location such as the school property or if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile coverage and to determine what the design objectives are when designing a two-way radio network.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned. And, among the possibilities for providing additional service to the north of the ridge, a location such as the school property or if there are other town properties up there or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile coverage and to determine what the design objectives are when designing a two-way radio network. MR. MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with staff. Mr. Martin. MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover? THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned. And, among the possibilities for providing additional service to the north of the ridge, a location such as the school property or if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage. With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station. So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile coverage and to determine what the design objectives are when designing a two-way radio network.

4 (Pages 340 to 343)

Page 344 Page 346 1 concept. simulcast sites are best located in the 1 2 MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those 2 valleys rather than ridgetops in order to 3 3 minimize the interference that we might have are my questions, Mr. Chairman. 4 4 with one another. So if you were to set up THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank 5 5 sites kind of within these valleys, how would 6 they communicate from one valley to another? 6 We will now go to questions 7 7 THE WITNESS: The purpose of from the Council. 8 8 the simulcasting is to provide a more Senator Murphy. 9 9 MR. MURPHY: Thank you, complete coverage footprint throughout a 10 service area. So you would have a simulcast 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 facility in Valley A and you would have a 11 Got me now? 12 12 simulcast facility in Valley B, both I just have a couple of 13 13 connected back to the central control point questions on your report, Mr. Maxson. I've 14 so that when those simulcast transmitters go 14 been privileged to cross-examine you before, 15 on, on the same channel at the same time, 15 as have some of the other members of the 16 16 they each illuminate very distinctly Council, but at the bottom of page 1 of your 17 17 report do you really mean that there's different geographic areas. 18 In contrast, if you have 18 nothing to indicate that there's a need for 19 simulcast slates up on hills, there will be 19 public safety communications in the town of 20 20 areas where their coverage will overlap, and Ridgefield? 21 21 the time delay between Site A, and a You lump together the need and 22 particular location in the overlap and 22 whether this solution is genuine. Do you really doubt that there's a genuine need here 23 repeater Site B simulcasting to that same 23 24 24 for public safety communications in this location, even though it's at the speed of 25 25 light, the time delay between those two can town? Page 345 Page 347 1 cause interference in the overlap. 1 THE WITNESS: Perhaps I could 2 So by moving the illumination 2 clarify that, when I talk about the need, I 3 3 from the tops of the ridges and putting them think I'm specifically talking about the need 4 down in the terrain to serve specific valley 4 for the proposed facility -- and if that 5 5 areas, it helps isolate simulcast facilities helps clarify my meaning, I would add those 6 so that they don't overlap and cause 6 words to that sentence. 7 7 MR. MURPHY: Okay. Because interference. 8 8 MR. MARTIN: And because this the way I read it, it indicates -- I realize 9 9 discussion of simulcast facilities came in that you're questioning whether this tower is 10 10 a solution, which it's not. It would be, as on your -- about addressing public safety 11 issues, the simulcast sites are primarily 11 I understand it, part of it, and the only 12 used for public safety types of networks? 12 part we see is this part, and so it's not the 13 THE WITNESS: The simulcasting 13 answer in toto. 14 we're talking about is in the -- in my 14 But I read it also, you're 15 15 testimony is specifically relating to two-way indicating that there's no need in this town, 16 16 radio communications and specifically to the but that's not what you intended to convey to 17 public safety communications that we're 17 me? 18 discussing here, yes. 18 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 19 MR. MARTIN: So they wouldn't 19 I appreciate the question, because it was --20 necessarily be pertinent for, like the AT&T 20 it's not clear that when I say "the need," 21 coverage that they're trying to achieve at 21 I'm referring specifically to the need for 22 this site? 22 the proposed facility. 23 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 23 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. And 24 24 turning to page 6 in the second paragraph, if For a conventional macro cell site 25 simulcasting is not really an applicable 25 the tower proposed was lower at this site, do

5 (Pages 344 to 347)

page 348 1 you really believe there would be less objection to this tower at this height? 2 objection to this tower at this height? 3 THE WITNESS: I can only say 4 that I believe it would be less objectionable. 4 Tan't certainly can't 5 speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my 6 experience when you have poles, for instance, 9 that I had originally suggested where the 10 antennas are just peeking above the treetops, 11 it reduces the dominating appearance of the 12 structure both from near, nearby and from 13 longer scenic views. 13 a school, is that going to galvanize people 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet; 19 MR. MURPHY: Right. 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 1 anticipate that, as long as 22 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 24 minstallation on this ridge would be 25 mental and many and	ng i le are ir ? e is ne e a h g and
2 objection to this tower at this height? 3 THE WITNESS: I can only say 4 that I believe it would be less 5 objectionable. 6 I can't certainly can't 7 speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my 8 experience when you have poles, for instance, 9 that I had originally suggested where the 10 antennas are just peeking above the treetops, 11 it reduces the dominating appearance of the 12 structure both from near, nearby and from 13 longer scenic views. 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 Droperties. And I guess my question on that would be, do you think that that's go to to be something that people in town woul find highly objectionable in trying to put towers at school sites, things of that nature? Because, just based on comments we've received over time, people occoncerned about towers being close to the house. If you start putting towers close to house. If you start putting towers close to the bouse. If you start putting towers close to the structure both from near, nearby and from 12 a school, is that going to galvanize people it really depends on the community. 1 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and westwood or to town adjacent to mine and westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and vestwood or a particular community, and I can't sp for Ridgefield. MR. HANNON: Okay.	le are ir ? e is he e a h
THE WITNESS: I can only say that I believe it would be less objectionable. I can't certainly can't speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my experience when you have poles, for instance, that I had originally suggested where the antennas are just peeking above the treetops, it reduces the dominating appearance of the structure both from near, nearby and from longer scenic views. MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, you would expect there would be opposition to it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting whether the 120 works or not? THE WITNESS: Just hypothetically, 120 feet? MR. MURPHY: Right. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that some people would find And I guess my question on that would be, do you think that that's go to be something that people in town woul find highly objectionable in trying to put to be something that people in town woul find highly objectionable in trying to put to be something that people in town woul find highly objectionable in trying to put to be something that people in town woul find highly objectionable in trying to put to wers at school sites, things of that nature? Because, just based on comments we've received over time, people occoncerned about towers being close to the 12 house. If you start putting towers close to 13 a school, is that going to galvanize people 14 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 15 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 16 find highly objectionable in trying to put towers at school sites, things of that nature? 18 Because, just based on comments we've received over time, people occoncerned about towers being close to the 12 house. If you start putting towers close to 13 a school, is that going to galvanize people 14 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 15 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 16 town adjacent to mine and westwood, 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 29 wireless antennas in it. And it's somethin 19 that	le are ir ? e is he e a h
that I believe it would be less objectionable. I can't certainly can't speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my experience when you have poles, for instance, that I had originally suggested where the antennas are just peeking above the treetops, it reduces the dominating appearance of the structure both from near, nearby and from longer scenic views. MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, you would expect there would be opposition to it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting whether the 120 works or not? THE WITNESS: Just hypothetically, 120 feet? MR. MURPHY: Right. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that people in town woul find highly objectionable in trying to put towers at school sites, things of that nature? Because, just based on comments we've received over time, people oconcerned about towers being close to the house. If you start putting towers close to a school, is that going to galvanize people towers at school sites, things of that nature? Because, just based on comments we've received over time, people oconcerned about towers being close to the fouse. If you start putting towers close to thouse. If you start putting towers that Universal your hands a school, is that going to galvanize people towersal as chool, is that going to galvanize people town adjacent to mine and Westwood, that the world hands a school,	le are ir ? e is he e a h
5 objectionable. 6 I can't certainly can't 7 speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my 8 experience when you have poles, for instance, 9 that I had originally suggested where the 10 antennas are just peeking above the treetops, 11 it reduces the dominating appearance of the 12 structure both from near, nearby and from 13 longer scenic views. 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that people in town woul 6 find highly objectionable in trying to put towers at school sites, things of that nature? 8 Because, just based on 10 comments we've received over time, people of the comments we've received over time, people of the comments we've received over time, people of the structure both from near, nearby and from 12 house. If you start putting towers close to a school, is that going to galvanize people town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 15 it really depends on the community. I 16 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 17 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 18 cupola on the top of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 20 it was accomplished a number of years age on a particular community, and I can't sp 3 on a particular community, and I can't sp 4 for Ridgefield. 25 of the question I had, I think you were 26 talking about mobile versus portable. An	le are ir ? e is he e a h
6 I can't certainly can't 7 speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my 8 experience when you have poles, for instance, 9 that I had originally suggested where the 10 antennas are just peeking above the treetops, 11 it reduces the dominating appearance of the 12 structure both from near, nearby and from 13 longer scenic views. 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 6 find highly objectionable in trying to put towers at school sites, things of that nature? 7 towers at school sites, things of that nature? 8 nature? 9 Because, just based on 10 comments we've received over time, people to concerned about towers being close to the occurrence of the structure both from near, nearby and from 12 house. If you start putting towers close to a school, is that going to galvanize people to the view realisting towers at school sites, things of that nature? 1 house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the moute. The WITNESS: My experience it really depends on the community as school, is that going to galvanize people. The WITNESS: My experience it really depends on the community suported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years age on a particular community, and I can't specified. 10 find highly objectionable in trying to was accompliated in trying to was accompliated in trying to perceive done in true. The WITNESS: My experience it really	le are ir ? e is he e a h
speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my experience when you have poles, for instance, that I had originally suggested where the antennas are just peeking above the treetops, it reduces the dominating appearance of the structure both from near, nearby and from longer scenic views. MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, you would expect there would be opposition to it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting whether the 120 works or not? THE WITNESS: Just hypothetically, 120 feet? MR. MURPHY: Right. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that some people would find Page 349 towers at school sites, things of that nature? Because, just based on comments we've received over time, peop concerned about towers being close to the house. If you start putting towers close to a school, is that going to galvanize people it really depends on the community. I town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life cupola on the top of the middle school w wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years ag So I think it really depends on a particular community, and I can't sp for Ridgefield. Page unpleasant. Page MR. MURPHY: Do you believe towers at school sites, things of that nature? Because, just based on comments we've received over time, peop concerned about towers being close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putting towers close to thouse. If you start putting towers close to the house. If	ir ? e is he e a eh
8 experience when you have poles, for instance, 9 that I had originally suggested where the 10 antennas are just peeking above the treetops, 11 it reduces the dominating appearance of the 12 structure both from near, nearby and from 13 longer scenic views. 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 19 wireless antennas in it. And it's somethin 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 Page 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 10 comments we've received over time, people and the we've received over time, people comments we've received over time, people and the we've received over time, people and the you concerned about towers being close to the occorered about towers being close to the concerned about towers being close to the concerned about towers being close to the concerned about towers being close to the occorered about towers close to the occorered about towers close to the occorered about towers being close to the occorered about towers close to the occ	ir ? e is he e a eh
9 that I had originally suggested where the 10 antennas are just peeking above the treetops, 11 it reduces the dominating appearance of the 12 structure both from near, nearby and from 13 longer scenic views. 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 19 wireless antennas in it. And it's something 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 Tanticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 Page 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe Page 349 Because, just based on comments we've received over time, people to the top of the middle school to thouse. If you start putting towers close to the house. If you start putti	ir ? e is he e a eh
antennas are just peeking above the treetops, it reduces the dominating appearance of the structure both from near, nearby and from longer scenic views. MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, you would expect there would be opposition to it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting whether the 120 works or not? THE WITNESS: Just hypothetically, 120 feet? MR. MURPHY: Right. MR. MURPHY: Right. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that some people would find Page 349 unpleasant. Comments we've received over time, people concerned about towers being close to the success. 13 a school, is that going to galvanize people it really depends on the community. I town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, treally depends on the community. I town adjacent to mine and Westwood, Massachusetts has a camouflage tower life town adjacent to mine and Westwood, treally depends on the community supported, of course, if was accomplished a number of yea	ir ? e is he e a eh
it reduces the dominating appearance of the structure both from near, nearby and from longer scenic views. MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, you would expect there would be opposition to it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting whether the 120 works or not? MR. MURPHY: Right. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, something that some people would find Page 349 I unpleasant. 11 concerned about towers being close to the house. If you start putting towers close to the final a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people will a school, is that going to galvanize people at the treally depends on the top of the middle chown did not no	ir ? e is he e a eh
structure both from near, nearby and from longer scenic views. MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, you would expect there would be opposition to it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting whether the 120 works or not? THE WITNESS: Just hypothetically, 120 feet? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that some people would find Page 349 unpleasant. MR. MURPHY: Do you believe house. If you start putting towers close to a school, is that going to galvanize people it a school, is that going to galvanize people to a school, is that going to galvanize people it a school, is that going to galvanize people in a school, is that going to galvanize people to a school, is that going to galvanize people it a school, is that going to galvanize people to a school, is that going to galvanize people to a school, is that going to galvanize people a school, is that going to galvanize people a school, is that going to galvanize people in the teal point of palvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people in the chool, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people in the point of the middle school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the community. I - to with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people would in the with a school, is that going to galvanize people to with the community. I - to with a school, is the top in the point in the with a school, is the point in the point in the wilder. The with a school, is the point in the wilder in the wilder i	? e is he e a ch
13 longer scenic views. 14 MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 13 a school, is that going to galvanize people it really depends on the community. I — 14 THE WITNESS: My experience 15 it really depends on the community. I — 16 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 17 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower lii 26 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 17 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower lii 28 cupola on the top of the middle school w wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 29 it was accomplished a number of years againt was accomplished and year year againt was accomplished and year year year	? e is he e a ch
MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, 15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 14 THE WITNESS: My experience 15 it really depends on the community. I 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 16 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 17 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower lift 18 cupola on the top of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something 19 wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 20 that the community supported, of course, 21 it was accomplished a number of years again that the community, and I can't spend for Ridgefield. 23 on a particular community, and I can't spend for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the support of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 26 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 21 it was accomplished a number of years again that the community, and I can't spend for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the support of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 27 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless and the community and I can't spend for Ridgefield. 28 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 38 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 39 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 30 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 30 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 30 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. 30 Anticoplant of the middle school we wireles antennas in it. 30 Anticoplant of the middle sch	e is he e a h
15 you would expect there would be opposition to 16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 15 it really depends on the community. I 16 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 17 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower lii 18 cupola on the top of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 19 that the community supported, of course, 10 it was accomplished a number of years againt was a substantial height above the treeline, 23 on a particular community, and I can't specifor Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the support of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 20 it was accomplished a number of years againt to mine and Westwood, 22 So I think it really depends on a particular community, and I can't specifor Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the support of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 22 So I think it really depends on a particular community, and I can't specifor Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the support of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, 24 I anticipate that, as long as 22 So I think it really depends on a particular community. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the support of the middle school we wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of curse, 25 I anticipate that, as long as 25 I anticipate that, as long as 26 I anticipate that, as long as 27 I anticipate	he e a h g and
16 it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting 17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 16 town adjacent to mine and Westwood, 17 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower lii 18 cupola on the top of the middle school w wireless antennas in it. And it's somethin that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years againt was accomplished a number of years againt was a camouflage tower lii 20 MR. Hanning that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years againt was a camouflage tower lii 21 wireless antennas in it. And it's somethin that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years againt was accomplished and ye	e a h g and
17 whether the 120 works or not? 18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 Massachusetts has a camouflage tower lit 18 cupola on the top of the middle school w 19 wireless antennas in it. And it's somethin 10 that the community supported, of course, 21 it was accomplished a number of years as 22 So I think it really depends 23 on a particular community, and I can't sp 24 for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the Page 349 Page 1 other question I had, I think you were 2 talking about mobile versus portable. An	h g and
18 THE WITNESS: Just 19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 18 cupola on the top of the middle school w wireless antennas in it. And it's somethin it. And it's	h g and
19 hypothetically, 120 feet? 20 MR. MURPHY: Right. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 19 wireless antennas in it. And it's something that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years againt was accomplished a number of years againt an aparticular community, and I can't sp for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 talking about mobile versus portable. An	g and
MR. MURPHY: Right. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that some people would find Page 349 unpleasant. MR. MURPHY: Do you believe that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years age to that the community supported, of course, it was accomplished a number of years age to a particular community, and I can't sp for Ridgefield. MR. HANNON: Okay. And the	and
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I anticipate that, as long as it's a substantial height above the treeline, an installation on this ridge would be something that some people would find Page 349 unpleasant. MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 21 it was accomplished a number of years age to was accomplished and yea	
22 I anticipate that, as long as 23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 22 So I think it really depends 23 on a particular community, and I can't sp 24 for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the page 25 page 26 think it really depends 27 on a particular community, and I can't sp 28 for Ridgefield. 29 page 20 think it really depends 20 on a particular community, and I can't sp 24 for Ridgefield. 25 other question I had, I think you were 20 talking about mobile versus portable. An	√ •
23 it's a substantial height above the treeline, 24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 23 on a particular community, and I can't sp 24 for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And th Page 349 1 other question I had, I think you were 2 talking about mobile versus portable. An	
24 an installation on this ridge would be 25 something that some people would find Page 349 1 unpleasant. 2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 24 for Ridgefield. 25 MR. HANNON: Okay. And the page of the	ak
25 something that some people would find Page 349 unpleasant. MR. HANNON: Okay. And the page 349 page 349 the page 349 and the page	
 unpleasant. MR. MURPHY: Do you believe talking about mobile versus portable. An 	,
 unpleasant. other question I had, I think you were MR. MURPHY: Do you believe talking about mobile versus portable. An 	
2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 2 talking about mobile versus portable. An	351
2 MR. MURPHY: Do you believe 2 talking about mobile versus portable. An	
	if
3 that if it was not above the treeline there 3 I understood you correctly, it sounds like	
4 would be no objection by the neighbors? 4 dialogue was more about sort of radios ar	1
5 THE WITNESS: 5 phones, but does that also include data?	
6 Immediate neighbors? It's 6 THE WITNESS: The mobile at	
7 hard to say without having, you know, balloon 7 portable discussion was about two-way ra	
8 floats and, you know, visual demonstrations, 8 communications. And if the question the	
9 visual analysis of what the impact would be. 9 about data communications, for the most	art
10 It would certainly make a huge difference in 10 data communications and public safety	
terms of the view of the ridge from the 11 communications are in mounted condition	
12 Pierreport State Park area or from the high 12 automobiles and emergency response veh	cles
13 school or other open areas that can see the 13 and those sorts of things, so they would	
14 ridge. 14 likely have similar power as the voice	ants
15 MR. MURPHY: I have nothing 15 communications in those mobile environg 16 else, Mr. Chairman, at this time. 16 MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank	
16 else, Mr. Chairman, at this time. 16 MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank 17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 17 I have no further questions.	ou.
17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 17 Thave no further questions. 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay.	hank
19 MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr. 19 you.	HallK
20 Chairman. 20 Mr. Lynch?	
21 I've got a couple of questions 21 MR. LYNCH: Mr. Hannon led	,
22 just to sort of follow up on some of the 22 into my question on data services. The	ŀ
23 issues today. One of the things that you 23 carriers today are all primarily building	
24 were talking about, for example, possible 24 their networks to deliver that data service	
25 alternatives in dealing with getting signals 25 And how would that impact we're not	

6 (Pages 348 to 351)

Page 352 Page 354 1 explicitly voting on this new cell tower. talking about radio communication, but how is 1 2 2 that going to change what your view on What do you actually mean by that? It's --3 3 delivering, putting this tower and having any you're giving an appearance of some 4 type of -- you talk about a weaker signal 4 impropriety. 5 5 from the portable unit, and how is that THE WITNESS: I am -- having 6 delivering the data service going to be 6 been involved in the original request for 7 7 impacted? proposals process and having sort of tracked 8 8 the evolution of what is now the proposal THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. When 9 9 before you, what I understand happened -- and you refer to that data service, you're 10 10 talking about the service that's provided by this is from press reports and from other --11 11 the wireless carriers? other information sources, what I understand 12 MR. LYNCH: Yes. 12 happened was that the town meeting said no to 13 13 a cell tower on this property, and so the THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a 14 different data service than the public safety 14 property was not to be acquired, on the 15 data service that we were just talking about. 15 general large parcel. 16 MR. LYNCH: I'm well aware of 16 And then, subsequently, the 17 17 that, but we're talking about today a -- and parcel was subdivided, and at a town meeting 18 we've had a lot of discussions with the 18 the Town was given the opportunity to 19 carriers over their switching from -- can you 19 purchase most of the land for conservation 20 20 hear me now -- to delivering data service, land with the assurance that there would be 21 21 and I just want to get your opinion on that. no cell tower on the conservation land, and a 22 And I guess that's what I'm saying. 22 number of residents contributed to the fund 23 THE WITNESS: Well, the 23 to acquire that, that property. 24 24 And then this private deal proposed facility, because of it's very large 25 25 happened in parallel with that. And I think footprint, would provide commercial data Page 355 Page 353 1 services to a large area, and I think that's 1 it's surprised a number of people after their 2 something we've observed and generally agree 2 efforts to prevent a cell tower from being 3 3 on. And the alternatives that I'm suggesting built on top of this ridge. 4 would also provide data services to an even 4 DR. KLEMENS: Although 5 5 larger area by distributing the cell sites in distasteful and making the residents unhappy, 6 6 you're not alleging that something illegal different ways. 7 7 MR. LYNCH: But that would be happened? 8 8 on a lower frequency, would it not, for the THE WITNESS: I'm not alleging 9 9 public safety? that something illegal happened. I -- I have 10 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah, there are no information either way on that, but it 11 a number of things that are different. So 11 certainly was a process by which the private 12 it's very hard to compare apples to apples 12 property ended up with a proposed cell tower 13 between the public safety data coverage and 13 on it. 14 the wireless services data coverage. 14 DR. KLEMENS: You mentioned 15 MR. LYNCH: Thank you, 15 about the high schools, the high school 16 16 putting a tower, trying to get the high Mr. Maxson. 17 17 school, making it higher to compensate for No more questions, 18 18 Mr. Chairman. the lower ground, giving it more height. I 19 19 thought it was my understanding -- and maybe THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 20 20 I'm -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that the Dr. Klemens. 21 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you, 21 Town has gone on record as saying they're not 22 Mr. Chairman. I just have a few questions. 22 allowing any cell towers at schools. Is that 23 On page 4 of the report on the 23 correct? 24 second paragraph, you talk about a maneuver 24 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of 25 that prevented the town meeting from 25 any formal indications by the town one way or

7 (Pages 352 to 355)

Page 356 Page 358 1 1 the other. situated in the woods that is brown, and that 2 2 DR. KLEMENS: I think there helps keep it from standing out. But it's 3 3 may have been a representation, at least, brown and the antennas are closely packed to 4 4 made by the First Selectman, I think. I'm the tower. They're not a large frames. 5 5 not sure. I'll have to go back and look at DR. KLEMENS: Are they within 6 6 the tower or are they flush mounted? my notes. 7 7 THE WITNESS: The one at Can we move also now if this Wilbraham is flush mounted. The one in 8 8 tower -- I have asked the Applicant about 9 9 camouflaging the tower, and the Applicant has Nahant that I described is inside the cover. 10 10 responded with a document saying that they DR. KLEMENS: Just so I'm don't believe that the monopine is an 11 11 clear on this, so in your professional 12 appropriate way to camouflage the tower. 12 opinion, the best way to mitigate the visual 13 13 What is your opinion about impact of this tower, if it is built, to the 14 other things such as the rust-color tower as 14 immediate neighbors that are surrounding on Stagecoach and Aspen, would be a either flush 15 opposed to aluminum and a metal tower? Would 15 16 that help mitigate the visual impact, 16 mount or a monopole flagpole type antenna 17 particularly for the neighbors? The far 17 painted brown? 18 views are one thing, but I'm concerned about 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. If I can 19 how we can lessen the impact of this tower on 19 clarify that, that I think there are sort of 20 the immediate neighbors and how would you, as 20 three components: reducing the height so a professional in the field, find a way to 21 21 it's less -- giving less of a sense of 22 lessen that visual impact? 22 looming over the neighborhood; reduce the 23 THE WITNESS: I think one 23 visual mass by bringing in the antennas close 24 24 to the structure and not having 14-foot wide experience from perhaps a decade ago that 25 25 really sort of sealed it for me was in mounts; and lastly, reducing the visual Page 357 Page 359 1 1 clutter which is enclosing the antenna cable Nahant, Massachusetts, where a tower was 2 proposed behind the police station and the 2 and other assemblies inside a cowling. 3 residences immediately nearby. 3 So that the cleanest approach 4 So the Town hired an architect 4 is a concealed antenna monopole, a unipole as 5 5 to assist with a visual assessment, and the it's called; secondarily, the flush mount 6 architect recommended that a structure --6 with a -- a dark color in a wooded area such 7 sometimes you can't hide a cell tower. So 7 as brown can be helpful. 8 you create a structure that has clean 8 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 9 architectural lines and perhaps the most 9 I have no further questions, 10 familiar and least objectionable of those 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. types of towers would be the -- what I call a 11 12 concealed antenna monopole, or a flagpole 12 Dr. Bell? 13 style tower. It doesn't have to have a flag 13 DR. BELL: Thank you, 14 on it, which is very narrow in diameter, 14 Mr. Chairman. 15 perhaps 3 feet at the top to accommodate the 15 Mr. Maxson, in your report you 16 kinds of antennas that we're using today. 16 talk about further study that the Town would 17 And it's just a clean pole, 17 need to do in order to provide more evidence, 18 and in the case of Nahant, the architect 18 or I think you would say, any evidence for 19 recommended a particular color, white, 19 adopting this particular tower proposal. 20 because Nahant is a seaside community and 20 But I'm kind of confused 21 white was kind of consistent with both masts 21 because the previous witness for the 22 and other kinds of construction. 22 Applicant mentioned the emergency services 23 In a wooded area, perhaps a 23 task force, which did do a study and which 24 brown might be more effective. There's, in 24 was, apparently, completed in October of 25 Wilbraham, Massachusetts, a tower that's 25 2013. And they did that with the services of

8 (Pages 356 to 359)

	Page 360		Page 362
1	a consultant. I'm a little confused about	1	The proposed tower is not the result of
2	the name of the consultant, and what point	2	careful network planning and instead is an
3	Motorola was or wasn't involved.	3	opportunistic site that went looking for a
4		4	carrier.
5	But that doesn't really		
6	matter. The point was Mr. Aarons referred to	5	Isn't that generally true in
	a report that was done in October 2013, and	6	Connecticut? You can do only so much network
7	made some further statements about that.	7	planning. You have your areas that are
8	Have you seen that study?	8	devoid of service, but aren't you subject to
9	THE WITNESS: I have not seen	9	the whims of a property owner as to whether
10	that study.	10	or not you can get a satisfactory site?
11	DR. BELL: You're aware	11	THE WITNESS: I think those
12	that you're aware of it?	12	are two separate questions.
13	THE WITNESS: From the	13	Yes, you're certainly always
14	testimony that I've read in the transcripts,	14	subject to the interests of landowners as to
15	I'm aware of it, but as my understanding,	15	whether you can establish a lease in a
16	it's not in evidence so I I am unable to	16	particular site within a search area.
17	peer review it.	17	What it strikes me that, in
18	DR. BELL: Okay. I was just	18	this particular case, it does not seem to
19	curious as to whether this you're aware of	19	have been a wireless company driving the
20	this because you read about it in the record,	20	selection of the site, that the definition of
21	but you, you are not aware of this beforehand	21	the need seems to be somewhat circular in
22	or for any other reason?	22	logic that this proposed facility will
23	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	23	provide a whole lot of coverage; therefore,
24	DR. BELL: Word of mouth or	24	the coverage area that this proposed facility
25	something like that?	25	will provide is the coverage area that we
	Page 361		Page 363
1	Okay. That's the main	1	need.
2	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you.	2	need. And I think, if you were to
2	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.	2 3	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to
2 3 4	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton.	2 3 4	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing
2 3 4 5	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time.	2 3 4 5	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical
2 3 4 5 6	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived	2 3 4 5 6	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck
2 3 4 5 6 7	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time.	2 3 4 5 6 7	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to date on this hearing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the town transcript?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to date on this hearing? THE WITNESS: Yes, I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the town transcript? THE WITNESS: I did, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to date on this hearing? THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. MR. ASHTON: As I read your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the town transcript? THE WITNESS: I did, and perhaps you could cite it, if you'd like me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to date on this hearing? THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. MR. ASHTON: As I read your report, which I think it's dated April 17th,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the town transcript? THE WITNESS: I did, and perhaps you could cite it, if you'd like me to comment on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to date on this hearing? THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. MR. ASHTON: As I read your report, which I think it's dated April 17th, if I remember right, the first paragraph or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the town transcript? THE WITNESS: I did, and perhaps you could cite it, if you'd like me to comment on it. MR. ASHTON: Are you aware
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Okay. That's the main question I had to ask you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. MR. ASHTON: Just in time. THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived just in time. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, forgive me for being late. I had another commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a little bit. And if I ask a question that's already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can always yell "asked and answered." I won't take any offense at that. MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. I'm happy to let you take it. MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. Maxson, that you have read the transcript to date on this hearing? THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. MR. ASHTON: As I read your report, which I think it's dated April 17th,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	need. And I think, if you were to look at this in terms of a company trying to get coverage that overlaps with existing coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical way, that rather than seeing something stuck on the ridge of opportunity, more planning might have gone into it if it were driven by a carrier rather than by a site developer. MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: That's fair to say, yes. MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you are aware, for example, that the high school is off limits for a cell tower? THE WITNESS: I am not aware of that. MR. ASHTON: You did read the town transcript? THE WITNESS: I did, and perhaps you could cite it, if you'd like me to comment on it.

9 (Pages 360 to 363)

			-
	Page 364		Page 366
1	priority placed on aggregating carriers on a	1	on the amount of clearance above the treeline
2	single structure rather than having them	2	as to how many carriers the unipole could
3	proliferate all over the lot?	3	support, but it would support additional
4	THE WITNESS: I am aware of	4	carriers.
5	that.	5	MR. ASHTON: We can agree on
6	MR. ASHTON: Okay.	6	that. For a given height
7	THE WITNESS: I believe its	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	intention with the other aspects of siting	8	MR. ASHTON: Is my statement
9	wireless facilities that have to do with the	9	correct?
10 11	visual and other impacts of the facilities.	10	THE WITNESS: For A given
12	MR. ASHTON: Okay. "Evidence	11 12	height, could you repeat that statement,
13	of the purported benefits" I'm reading again "evidence on the purported benefits	13	please?
14	to emergency communication consists of vague,	14	MR. ASHTON: For a given height, would a flagpole with internal arrays
15	unsubstantiated statements."	15	facilitate being neutral or be a hindrance to
16	Do you feel that the testimony	16	the addition of other carriers?
17	of the people from Ridgefield is vague and	17	THE WITNESS: It would not be
18	unsubstantiated?	18	hindrance to the addition of other carriers.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm referring	19	MR. ASHTON: If they require
20	specifically to the testimony of the	20	two levels to meet their requirements, would
21	gentleman who is speaking on behalf of or	21	that be a hindrance?
22	with respect to his experience with the	22	THE WITNESS: It would reduce
23	emergency communications services, that he	23	the number of carriers that the structure
24	it was not a radio communications engineer	24	could support, but I don't consider it to be
25	and that he was reporting information, but	25	a hinderance to the addition of the
	Page 365		Page 367
1		1	Page 367 additional carriers.
1 2	Page 365 there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony.	1 2	
	there was no substantive evidence to support		additional carriers.
2 3 4	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine	2	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll
2 3 4 5	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain	2 3 4 5	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual
2 3 4 5 6	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point?	2 3 4 5 6	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to
2 3 4 5 6 7	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances. MR. ASHTON: And would that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples, one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances. MR. ASHTON: And would that facility allow additional carriers going on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples, one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole mount. In general, the presence of a clean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances. MR. ASHTON: And would that facility allow additional carriers going on the same structure or be a general hinderance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples, one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole mount. In general, the presence of a clean architectural line is tends to be less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances. MR. ASHTON: And would that facility allow additional carriers going on the same structure or be a general hinderance for other carriers going on the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples, one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole mount. In general, the presence of a clean architectural line is tends to be less objectionable than even a flush mount, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances. MR. ASHTON: And would that facility allow additional carriers going on the same structure or be a general hinderance for other carriers going on the same structure?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples, one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole mount. In general, the presence of a clean architectural line is tends to be less objectionable than even a flush mount, which has some articulation and visual mass to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there was no substantive evidence to support his testimony. MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be a radio communications engineer to determine there is no available service at a certain point? THE WITNESS: No. MR. ASHTON: Thank you. You would prefer a flagpole by your testimony that I heard this afternoon. Is that correct? Is there going to be a system on the site? THE WITNESS: I would rephrase that slightly. I I don't think my preferences weigh into this. It's my experience that a flagpole-style tower, a unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among the least objectionable types of designs in many circumstances. MR. ASHTON: And would that facility allow additional carriers going on the same structure or be a general hinderance for other carriers going on the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional carriers. MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll let your testimony speak for itself on that case. You would reduce the visual effect by pulling the antennas in close to the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair to say? THE WITNESS: Either a flush mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just a flush mount, I think, was what you were talking about at that instance. Would a flush mount be acceptable more or less than a flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? And if so, why, why not? THE WITNESS: In my experience, it really depends on the context of the situation, and I gave two examples, one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole mount. In general, the presence of a clean architectural line is tends to be less objectionable than even a flush mount, which

10 (Pages 364 to 367)

	Page 368		Page 370
1	required a much thicker, fatter pole?	1	MR. FISHER: Good afternoon.
2	THE WITNESS: If which	2	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
3	required a much thicker, fatter pole?	3	MR. FISHER: I do have some
4	MR. ASHTON: A flagpole with	4	questions. I'll try to take them in some
5	internal mounting would or would not require	5	order. Do you recall your testimony for the
6	a larger diameter structure than a flush	6	Town of Branford? It was Siting Council
7	mount?	7	Docket 427. It was the Short Beach area of
8	THE WITNESS: Typically, if	8	town.
9 10	you're doing flush mount, the structure is of	9	THE WITNESS: I recall I had
11	a certain diameter, and the antennas will extend from that a certain diameter. And	10 11	testimony and provided information to the
12	then with the concealed flagpole approach,	12	Town. I certainly don't have it memorized. MR. FISHER: Sure. And my
13	the structure is inside the cover.	13	question is fairly straightforward on this.
14	MR. ASHTON: Right. And it's	14	Since the time of that testimony, which was a
15	the same diameter as a flush mount. Isn't	15	couple years ago, your academic, your
16	it?	16	professional experience, your certifications
17	THE WITNESS: And so the cover	17	haven't materially changed since that time,
18	would be approximately the same diameter as	18	have they?
19	the antennas on the flush mount.	19	THE WITNESS: What year are we
20	MR. ASHTON: Don't you have to	20	talking about?
21	have a whole working clearance inside there?	21	MR. FISHER: Docket 427, I
22	THE WITNESS: The covers come	22	think 2011, 2012.
23	off in order to do work on them.	23	THE WITNESS: In terms of if
24	MR. ASHTON: Okay. Do you	24	your asking about academics and professional
25	give safety any credence in your preferences	25	certifications, I would say no, but of course
	Page 369		Page 371
1	Page 369 for structures, safety of the workmen for	1	Page 371 my experience has continued since then.
2	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify,	1 2	
2	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever?		my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly
2 3 4	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on	2 3 4	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about
2 3 4 5	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if	2 3 4 5	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them?
2 3 4 5 6	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards	2 3 4 5 6	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And	2 3 4 5 6 7	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman? THE WITNESS: I recall some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: I see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman? THE WITNESS: I recall some talk on that, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: I see attorney and we'll now go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman? THE WITNESS: I recall some talk on that, yes. MR. FISHER: So when AT&T met
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: I see attorney and we'll now go to cross-examination by the Applicant Attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman? THE WITNESS: I recall some talk on that, yes. MR. FISHER: So when AT&T met with the first selectman in about 2009, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: I see attorney and we'll now go to cross-examination by the Applicant Attorney Fisher.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman? THE WITNESS: I recall some talk on that, yes. MR. FISHER: So when AT&T met with the first selectman in about 2009, you saw in the application that they talked about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for structures, safety of the workmen for example, who have to replace, repair, modify, whatever? THE WITNESS: I rely on standards and best practices. So if something can be done and meet the standards and best practices, that is sufficient. And concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles and standard antenna mounts can all be installed and maintained using best practices. MR. ASHTON: I use the word "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in your response. I heard "best practices." I didn't hear "safety." THE WITNESS: With respect to safety, those are the best practices I'm talking about, yes. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: I see attorney and we'll now go to cross-examination by the Applicant Attorney	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my experience has continued since then. MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And do you recall in that docket we had a fairly lengthy discussion and examination about unipoles, as you've describe them? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the application submitted in this particular docket at the very beginning, page 1, that an AT&T search ring was issued about six years ago. Did you see that in the application? THE WITNESS: I saw a general statement that AT&T had issued a search ring a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any particular date being associated with it. MR. FISHER: Did you read in the application the discussion about AT&T actually talking to the town first selectman? THE WITNESS: I recall some talk on that, yes. MR. FISHER: So when AT&T met with the first selectman in about 2009, you

11 (Pages 368 to 371)

	Page 372		Page 374
_		_	
1	locations. Isn't that true?	1	were before that time?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	2	THE WITNESS: Yes, and it's my
3	MR. FISHER: And many of those	3 4	understanding that AT&T, for a time, did not
4 5	site locations actually had nothing to do	5	have any active search rings in the area as
6	with this ridgeline, did they not? THE WITNESS: Yes.	6	well. MR. FISHER: Where is that
7	MR. FISHER: So AT&T talked	7	information from?
8	with the Town about schools, golf courses,	8	THE WITNESS: Part of my
9	other properties the Town may suggest as	9	conversations with AT&T. When I called them
10	private properties. Worcester Mountain, I	10	at the time of the RFP, they were not
11	think, was even mentioned, which is south of	11	actively seeking a site.
12	this location. Is that correct?	12	MR. FISHER: Who did you talk
13	THE WITNESS: You're going	13	to?
14	into details I don't specifically recall, but	14	THE WITNESS: I would have to
15	in general I recall some discussion on the	15	get the name from my records.
16	topic.	16	MR. FISHER: So you don't know
17	MR. FISHER: But you don't	17	who you spoke with?
18	have any knowledge yourself to suggest that	18	THE WITNESS: I don't recall
19	AT&T didn't, in fact, have those meetings in	19	who I spoke with.
20	2009 and '10?	20	MR. FISHER: Is it someone who
21	THE WITNESS: I do not.	21	has responsibility for the Connecticut
22	MR. FISHER: And did you see	22	marketplace?
23	in the application that Homeland Towers	23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
24	actually had their own independent site	24	MR. FISHER: Site search
25	search?	25	acquisition personnel? Consultant? An
	Page 373		Page 375
1			
1	THE WITNESS: And what do you	1	in-house employee?
2	THE WITNESS: And what do you mean by "independent site search"?	1 2	in-house employee? THE WITNESS: As I said, I
2 3	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they,		
2 3 4	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a
2 3 4 5	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities
2 3 4 5 6	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town?	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have
2 3 4 5 6 7	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And that was well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't recall who, and they said they didn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And that was well before the Town came up with its own proposal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't recall who, and they said they didn't have search rings in the area? THE WITNESS: Well, I have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And that was well before the Town came up with its own proposal in 2011. Isn't that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't recall who, and they said they didn't have search rings in the area?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And that was well before the Town came up with its own proposal in 2011. Isn't that correct? THE WITNESS: You're referring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't recall who, and they said they didn't have search rings in the area? THE WITNESS: Well, I have a working relationship with the wireless, many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And that was well before the Town came up with its own proposal in 2011. Isn't that correct? THE WITNESS: You're referring to the request for proposals that the Town	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't recall who, and they said they didn't have search rings in the area? THE WITNESS: Well, I have a working relationship with the wireless, many representatives of the wireless company. I often interact with them on various opportunities. I bring opportunities to them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mean by "independent site search"? MR. FISHER: That they, independent of AT&T and the Town had conducted their own search for sites in this particular area of town? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you, when you reviewed the application and first got it, in Section 2 did you look at all the lists of sites that Homeland had investigated? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And did you see that numerous of those sites are not on this ridge? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And that was well before the Town came up with its own proposal in 2011. Isn't that correct? THE WITNESS: You're referring to the request for proposals that the Town issued?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: As I said, I don't recall. I'd have to check it. MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a question. You've represented municipalities and parties that are opposed to towers, have you not? THE WITNESS: Among others, yes. MR. FISHER: Why do you think AT&T would actually respond to that inquiry that you had? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Respond to? MR. FISHER: Well, why would AT&T give you information about their search rings? Just you called someone up, you don't recall who, and they said they didn't have search rings in the area? THE WITNESS: Well, I have a working relationship with the wireless, many representatives of the wireless company. I often interact with them on various

12 (Pages 372 to 375)

	Page 376		Page 378
1		1	MR. FISHER: Did you go on any
1 2	interest to them. I do things to facilitate the development of wireless facilities. I'm	2	of the site visits that the Town had as part
3	not just someone who represents intervenors.	3	of its RFP process?
4	And, in that relationship,	4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	it's easy to place a couple phone calls and	5	MR. FISHER: Okay. So you're
6	speak to individuals who have	6	familiar with where that tower location had
7	responsibilities in particular areas.	7	been proposed by the Town?
8	MR. FISHER: Okay, but you	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	don't recall, in this case, who you spoke to?	9	MR. FISHER: And that was, in
10	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	10	fact, further up the slope from the location
11	MR. FISHER: Okay. You	11	currently under consideration by the Council.
12	mentioned and I think one of the Council	12	Isn't that true?
13	members asked you about your statement in	13	THE WITNESS: In terms of
14	your report from April 2014, that this was an	14	elevation?
15	opportunistic site that went looking for a	15	MR. FISHER: Yes.
16	carrier. Isn't it true, based on the	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	conversation we just had, that the carrier	17	MR. FISHER: So it was closer
18	came first in this instance?	18	to the residents who are on Old Stagecoach
19	THE WITNESS: The carrier came	19	and Aspen Ledges. Correct?
20	and went.	20	THE WITNESS: I haven't done
21	MR. FISHER: Based on someone	21	an actual one-for-one comparison and measured
22	you don't recall having spoken with at AT&T?	22	distances to the residences. In a general
23	THE WITNESS: Yes and other	23	sense it it may have been in one
24	conversations in that time.	24	particular direction closer, yeah.
25	MR. FISHER: The truth is you	25	MR. FISHER: More on top of
	Page 377		Page 379
1			
1	really don't know whether this search ring	1	the ridge?
2	really don't know whether this search ring came and went. You're just saying that.	1 2	the ridge? THE WITNESS: At a higher
			-
2 3 4	came and went. You're just saying that.	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when
2 3 4 5	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as
2 3 4 5 6	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T,	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though?	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you, obviously, received a copy of the RFP the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of the RFP, you did some of your own coverage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you, obviously, received a copy of the RFP the Town had issued, evaluated and decided you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of the RFP, you did some of your own coverage modeling to look at that particular town
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you, obviously, received a copy of the RFP the Town had issued, evaluated and decided you wanted to submit a proposal. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of the RFP, you did some of your own coverage modeling to look at that particular town location and determine whether you thought it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you, obviously, received a copy of the RFP the Town had issued, evaluated and decided you wanted to submit a proposal. Correct. THE WITNESS: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of the RFP, you did some of your own coverage modeling to look at that particular town location and determine whether you thought it was a good location or not for service?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you, obviously, received a copy of the RFP the Town had issued, evaluated and decided you wanted to submit a proposal. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of the RFP, you did some of your own coverage modeling to look at that particular town location and determine whether you thought it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	came and went. You're just saying that. THE WITNESS: That's not the truth. That's an incorrect statement. MR. FISHER: You do acknowledge that the site started with AT&T, though? THE WITNESS: I don't know that. MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. Okay? How about 2011, when did you first become aware that the Town had an RFP? THE WITNESS: I'm not recalling exactly how I became aware of it, whether it was through my my businesses' survey of requests for proposals or whether it was something someone had mentioned to me. MR. FISHER: But you, obviously, received a copy of the RFP the Town had issued, evaluated and decided you wanted to submit a proposal. Correct. THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: And you did, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: At a higher elevation. MR. FISHER: Okay. So when you had those conversations with the Town as part of the RFP process, did you talk to the Town at all about their public safety communications needs? THE WITNESS: No, I did not. I was simply responding to the content and context of the request for proposals. MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have responded to the RFP if you didn't think there was a need for cellular service in this area, would you have? THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a fair statement. MR. FISHER: So at the time of the RFP, you did some of your own coverage modeling to look at that particular town location and determine whether you thought it was a good location or not for service? THE WITNESS: Yes, on the

13 (Pages 376 to 379)

	Page 380		Dago 202
			Page 382
1	something that the community was behind.	1	safety communications issues.
2	MR. FISHER: So, at that time,	2	MR. FISHER: But didn't the
3	you thought that project had some merit, and	3	RFP itself say that there had to be space
4	you were willing to spend the time and	4	reserved on any potential tower for public
5	resources to pursue it further as isotrope.	5	safety purposes?
6	Is that correct?	6	THE WITNESS: It may have,
7	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	7	yes.
8	MR. FISHER: Let's assume for	8	MR. FISHER: So the Town was
9	the moment you were the successful bidder in	9	looking for someone to develop a tower site
10	response to the RFP and the project was	10	for cellular and public safety purposes. You
11	approved at town meeting, you'd be in front	11	would have coordinated with those entities of
12	of this Council as the applicant for that	12	course. Right?
13	site, would you not?	13	THE WITNESS: The Town was
14	THE WITNESS: It's possible,	14	still looking to develop a tower site for
15	yes.	15	cellular services, and it's customary for
16	MR. FISHER: Well, you would	16	towns to put in something additional that
17	have had a contractual obligation, wouldn't	17	says, and we'd like to be able to put our
18	you, with the Town to present the tower to	18	antennas on the tower as well. That does not
19	the Siting Council?	19	imply that there is any plan or coordination
20	THE WITNESS: To the extent	20	necessary until the Town is ready to utilize
21	that what was being proposed meets the	21	the tower.
22	criteria for standing before the Council,	22	MR. FISHER: But you have
23	yes.	23	heard that the Town has been planning for
24	MR. FISHER: Well, and as part	24	this for quite some time and has a task force
25	of that you would have called this person	25	and been looking into their own
	Page 381		Page 383
1	Page 381	,	Page 383
1	that you apparently called, again, and said,	1	communications needs, have you not?
2	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility,	2	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I
2	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you	2 3	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No.
2 3 4	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation?	2 3 4	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012?
2 3 4 5	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware
2 3 4 5 6	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was	2 3 4 5 6	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was
2 3 4 5 6 7	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won	2 3 4 5 6 7	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would have done that in what context? MR. FISHER: In the context of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your qualifications, the site design or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would have done that in what context?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your qualifications, the site design or the economics you were willing to pay for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would have done that in what context? MR. FISHER: In the context of being the winning bidder on an RFP.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your qualifications, the site design or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would have done that in what context? MR. FISHER: In the context of being the winning bidder on an RFP. THE WITNESS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your qualifications, the site design or the economics you were willing to pay for developing a tower site on that property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would have done that in what context? MR. FISHER: In the context of being the winning bidder on an RFP. THE WITNESS: Potentially. Since I wasn't proposing to develop a really tall cell tower that could support other kinds of antennas, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your qualifications, the site design or the economics you were willing to pay for developing a tower site on that property? THE WITNESS: The only thing I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that you apparently called, again, and said, are you interested in using the facility, would you have not? With AT&T, would you have had that conversation? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: In fact that was part of the RFP content that someone who won that RFP would go out and actually talk to the carriers and try to coordinate their efforts. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. MR. FISHER: And you would have talked to maybe the Town's communications task force, their utility consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about what their needs might be as well. Right? THE WITNESS: In I would have done that in what context? MR. FISHER: In the context of being the winning bidder on an RFP. THE WITNESS: Potentially. Since I wasn't proposing to develop a really tall cell tower	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	communications needs, have you not? THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I responded to the RFP? No. MR. FISHER: 2012? THE WITNESS: What I was aware of was contained in the RFP, so if there was any discussion in there, then that would be it. MR. FISHER: Okay. You weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, though. Is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct. MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk to the Town after their decision on the RFP as to why that was the case? THE WITNESS: I did not. MR. FISHER: So you don't know if your proposal was rejected based on your qualifications, the site design or the economics you were willing to pay for developing a tower site on that property? THE WITNESS: The only thing I know is something that I read, which was that

14 (Pages 380 to 383)

	Dago 204		Dago 206
_	Page 384		Page 386
1	talking about placing utility poles with an	1	throwing darts at a dartboard if they're just
2	antenna on top of each in a way that would be	2	hypotheticals. So you don't have any
3	really close to the treeline and very, very	3	specific knowledge that the Town would
4	invisible.	4	entertain the concept of a tower at a school?
5	MR. FISHER: Was that	5	THE WITNESS: I have no
6	something that you read in the newspaper?	6	information that would suggest that the Town
7 8	THE WITNESS: It may have been the newspaper. It may have been some other	7	would be opposed to it. MR. FISHER: Well, I think we
9	document that I may have I just don't	8 9	just established in an earlier question that
10	recall.	10	you read the transcripts. Did you not see
11	MR. FISHER: Okay. Since	11	some of the testimony earlier by the
12	2011, when the Town had the RFP, which we all	12	Applicants and others that the Town is, in
13	acknowledge the town meeting voted not to	13	fact, not interested in leasing school sites
14	proceed on, have you conducted any further	14	for towers?
15	site searches yourself in this particular	15	THE WITNESS: Has the town
16	area to develop a tower site?	16	meeting spoken on it? I don't believe so.
17	THE WITNESS: No. Once I, you	17	MR. FISHER: How would one get
18	know, it was determined that I was not going	18	to a town meeting on that question?
19	to be a party to the RFP that had been issued	19	THE WITNESS: By a process,
20	and the RFP was then never, for want of a	20	which in Connecticut I'm not entirely
21	better word, fully consummated, I didn't	21	familiar with, but
22	pursue anything any further.	22	MR. FISHER: Well, assuming
23	MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead	23	the process was that you spoke to the first
24	to this application which was filed 2014.	24	selectman and he was, in fact, interested in
25	When were you contacted by Attorney Ainsworth	25	pursuing the concept, somebody would develop
	Page 385		Page 387
1		1	
1 2	or representatives of RACT to consult for them?	1 2	Page 387 the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some
	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS:		the concept further, I assume, and puy it in
2 3 4	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the	2	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view?
2 3 4 5	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall	2 3 4 5	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right.
2 3 4 5 6	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when.	2 3 4 5 6	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever
2 3 4 5 6 7	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was	2 3 4 5 6 7	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but they're not specifically proposals, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on who's testifying. Have you looked at any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but they're not specifically proposals, but illustrations and suggestions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on who's testifying. Have you looked at any specific properties, other than you mentioned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but they're not specifically proposals, but illustrations and suggestions. MR. FISHER: Well, you used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on who's testifying. Have you looked at any specific properties, other than you mentioned Barlow Mountain and the high school, for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but they're not specifically proposals, but illustrations and suggestions. MR. FISHER: Well, you used the analogy before, checkers. That sounds a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on who's testifying. Have you looked at any specific properties, other than you mentioned Barlow Mountain and the high school, for that kind of development to occur?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or representatives of RACT to consult for them? THE WITNESS: Certainly sometime after the application was filed, but I don't recall exactly when. MR. FISHER: So after it was on file. So it would have been 2014 then at some point? THE WITNESS: I would expect so, yes. MR. FISHER: And as part of your report from April of 2014, you suggested various alternative tower sites for the Town and the carriers to provide their service. That's been part of your testimony to the Council. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to bring up alternatives as illustrations of other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but they're not specifically proposals, but illustrations and suggestions. MR. FISHER: Well, you used	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the concept further, I assume, and puy it in on a few different agendas. And at some point you'd have a discussion at a town meeting, is your point of view? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FISHER: Did you ever write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part of this application process, that they do that and consider school sites? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you have any other site-specific property that you're suggesting be studied as an alternative site? THE WITNESS: That question is not clear to me. Could you restate it? MR. FISHER: Well, you generally testified that in your theory, the tower should be sited on either side of the ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on who's testifying. Have you looked at any specific properties, other than you mentioned Barlow Mountain and the high school, for that

15 (Pages 384 to 387)

	Page 388		Page 390
1		1	
1 2	MR. FISHER: You're aware	1 2	lowering the tower height, not only reduce
3	generally of RACT's membership and where they live. Are you not?	3	coverage, but have no real impact then on the
4	THE WITNESS: Not	4	visibility from that area of the community?
5			THE WITNESS: I think it
6	specifically. I understand that there are	5	really depends on the context. Since I have
7	members who live in the neighborhood near the	6	been up to that site and looked out from it
8	proposed tower.	7	and I have also seen photographs from
	MR. FISHER: To the north of	8	residents' property of the balloon test, the
9	the tower. Isn't that correct?	9	height, it's downhill and the height
10	THE WITNESS: Well, there is	10	reduction would have to be fairly substantial
11	nothing immediately to the south of the	11	to bring it close to the treeline or for it
12	tower, so that's the neighborhood, yes.	12	to really minimize the the effect that
13	MR. FISHER: Well, when you	13	the
14	say nothing immediately to the south, there	14	MR. FISHER: But the property
15	are houses to the south of the tower site,	15	to the north, that may not matter at all. It
16	are there not?	16	could be 150 feet. It could be 80 feet. It
17	THE WITNESS: Of course.	17	may not make a difference visually?
18	MR. FISHER: So RACT	18	THE WITNESS: That's what I'm
19	membership, to your knowledge, is basically	19	just saying. I think it would make a big
20	the neighborhood that's to the north of the	20	difference visually.
21	tower site?	21	MR. FISHER: You believe it
22	THE WITNESS: I have not	22	would make a big difference?
23	looked at the membership list. I have not	23	THE WITNESS: I do.
24	looked at their addresses. I don't know.	24	MR. FISHER: What do you base
25	MR. FISHER: But they're who	25	that on?
	Page 389	\vdash	
			Page 391
1		1	Page 391
1	your representing here today. It's their	1	THE WITNESS: As I just
2	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right?	2	THE WITNESS: As I just described.
2	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking	2 3	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general
2 3 4	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes.	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site?
2 3 4 5	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs
2 3 4 5 6	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test.
2 3 4 5 6 7	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed,	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And in reviewing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your theory you could then end up with a tower, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And in reviewing some of the transcripts and testimony, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your theory you could then end up with a tower, we don't know where, on the north side of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And in reviewing some of the transcripts and testimony, did you see that, at least the Applicant's expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your theory you could then end up with a tower, we don't know where, on the north side of this ridge that's actually more impactful to RACT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And in reviewing some of the transcripts and testimony, did you see that, at least the Applicant's expert believes that the impact is very limited, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your theory you could then end up with a tower, we don't know where, on the north side of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And in reviewing some of the transcripts and testimony, did you see that, at least the Applicant's expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your theory you could then end up with a tower, we don't know where, on the north side of this ridge that's actually more impactful to RACT members? THE WITNESS: I would not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your representing here today. It's their interest. Is that not right? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking here on behalf of RACT, yes. MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk about tower height a little bit. If the tower in this specific location, as proposed, is lowered, doesn't that affect the service to the north from the site. Isn't that what you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response to some cross-examination? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: Have you looked at the visuals that have been done for purposes of the tower, as proposed, is 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the north? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. FISHER: And in reviewing some of the transcripts and testimony, did you see that, at least the Applicant's expert believes that the impact is very limited, if at all, to that neighborhood?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: As I just described. MR. FISHER: Just your general visits to the site? THE WITNESS: And photographs I've seen of the balloon test. MR. FISHER: So what happens if, under your theory, the tower is shortened; you said that there would have to be a tower to the north then somewhere? THE WITNESS: Yes. I said that in the Ridgebury area, that the the location of an additional facility to serve Ridgebury would have to be considered. And my point, as I testified earlier was that the proposed tower does not completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. MR. FISHER: But under your theory you could then end up with a tower, we don't know where, on the north side of this ridge that's actually more impactful to RACT members?

16 (Pages 388 to 391)

	Page 392		Page 394
1	_	1	didn't have access to it.
1 2	the ridge.	2	MR. FISHER: I would have to
3	MR. FISHER: By Ridgebury	3	
4	Elementary School, something like that? THE WITNESS:	4	ask for the court reporter to report it, but
			it seemed like you were saying you didn't
5 6	Perhaps, or or further	5 6	have access to it so that you couldn't review
	north of Ridgebury Road.	7	it.
7	MR. FISHER: So that would be		THE WITNESS: I am was
8	a second tower to some neighborhood to the	8	speaking specifically with respect to the
9	north?	9	evidence on the record in this hearing and
10	THE WITNESS: Which second	10	suggesting that the evidence on the record in
11	tower to some neighborhood in the north	11	this hearing is really quite vague with
12	appears to be necessary anyway because the	12	respect to the public safety, the public
13	proposed facility does not fully serve the	13	safety needs and how this particular tower
14	Ridgebury area.	14	would would address those needs.
15	MR. FISHER: Your opinion?	15	MR. FISHER: Did you tell
16	THE WITNESS: The Applicant's	16	Attorney Ainsworth that as part of preparing
17	coverage map shows that the proposed facility	17	your May report?
18	does not serve the entire Ridgebury area.	18	THE WITNESS: I did make some
19	MR. FISHER: Actually, that's	19	comments about it in my May report, if that's
20	what your coverage map shows, not what the	20	my first report, yes.
21	applicants' shows. Right?	21	MR. FISHER: That's your
22	THE WITNESS: I disagree.	22	second.
23	MR. FISHER: Okay. But on	23	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
24	your theory, a tower of some height would	24	Second report.
25	have to be built in a residential	25	MR. FISHER: On the public
	Page 393		Page 395
1	neighborhood north of where RACT lives. Is		
	HEISHDOHIOOG HOHH OF WHELE KACL HVES. IS		cofaty?
9		1 2	safety?
2	that correct?	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly
3	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published.
3 4	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes.	2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point
3 4 5	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of	2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide
3 4 5 6	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You
3 4 5 6 7	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information
3 4 5 6 7 8	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning.	2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared
3 4 5 6 7 8	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety network?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness. THE WITNESS: Would you care
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety network? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: You testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness. THE WITNESS: Would you care to ask the question again? MR. FISHER: Your May report
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety network? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: You testified earlier that you didn't have access to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness. THE WITNESS: Would you care to ask the question again? MR. FISHER: Your May report that was somewhat critical of the Town and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety network? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: You testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness. THE WITNESS: Would you care to ask the question again? MR. FISHER: Your May report
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety network? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: You testified earlier that you didn't have access to the Town's task force communications report. Do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness. THE WITNESS: Would you care to ask the question again? MR. FISHER: Your May report that was somewhat critical of the Town and how they've gone about planning their public
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that correct? THE WITNESS: It's very likely, yes. MR. FISHER: Just a couple of follow-up questions with respect to your May report with regard to the Town's public safety network and their planning. Did you, after the April hearing and having reviewed the transcripts of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: Did you reach out to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone else, to talk about what you believe might be an alternative for the Town's public safety network? THE WITNESS: No. MR. FISHER: You testified earlier that you didn't have access to the Town's task force communications report. Do you know if Attorney Ainsworth asked for that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly he saw my report before it was published. MR. FISHER: I guess my point is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide that information, get it from the Town. You didn't submit a Freedom of Information request to the Town. You simply prepared this supplemental report that's somewhat speculative about the Town's needs. Is it not? MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. It's not our burden to prove that point. It's the Applicant's burden. MR. FISHER: I don't agree that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking questions of a witness. THE WITNESS: Would you care to ask the question again? MR. FISHER: Your May report that was somewhat critical of the Town and how they've gone about planning their public safety network needs. On behalf of RACT, you

17 (Pages 392 to 395)

			1
	Page 396		Page 398
1	hadn't reviewed and that you thought might be	1	say that, yes.
2	important. You haven't followed up with the	2	MR. FISHER: Do you, or in
3	Town?	3	conversations with counsel, did you review a
4	THE WITNESS: Of course not.	4	title report? Have you looked at anything to
5	What I'm trying to do here is to look at the	5	support that opinion?
6	evidence on the record and give my factual	6	THE WITNESS: I did not.
7	information about it and any opinions and	7	MR. FISHER: So and I think
8	conclusions that result from that.	8	you had mentioned that it was from press
9	And I don't think that what's	9	reports and information sources. Were those
10	on the record right now is anything that the	10	information sources your clients, members of
11	Council can rely on with respect to a	11	RACT?
12	purported need, absolute need for this	12	THE WITNESS: It may have
13	proposed cell tower to address public	13	been, yes.
14	communication safety needs. Clearly, it will	14	MR. FISHER: Would it surprise
15	provide some coverage for public safety	15	you that there was no subdivision and those
16 17	communications, but it it does not there's not a demonstration that this tower	16 17	lots existed well before the 2011 town RFP?
18	is necessary for public safety	18	THE WITNESS: If that's true,
19	communications.	19	then it's a misunderstanding on my part. MR. FISHER: But, if that is
20	MR. FISHER: So you think that	20	true that these lots have existed, that was a
21	Mr. Aarons and others in town should do more	21	condition known to the public and everybody
22	work to satisfy you that it's needed?	22	involved in 2011, was it not, when the town
23	THE WITNESS: I didn't say	23	was proposing the tower on the adjacent lot?
24	that.	24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	MR. FISHER: You haven't asked	25	MR. FISHER: So the potential
	Page 397		Page 399
1	for additional information from the Town.	1	of having a tower developed on this lot that
2	You've said it's not enough, but it seemingly	2	was not the subject of the Town's plan to
3	is just a position you're taking that, gee,	3	acquire this 28 acres has always existed.
4	I'd like more information to review here.	4	Isn't that true?
5	MR. AINSWORTH: Objection.	5	THE WITNESS:
6	Argumentative.	6	Assuming the foregoing
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: I would	7	statements are true, yes.
8	sustain it because he's saying that they	8	MR. FISHER: Okay.
9	didn't provide it. I don't think it's really	9	Thank you, Chairman. I have
10 11	I think it just should be left at that. I don't think to continue probing makes any	10 11	no more questions. THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank
12	sense at this point.	12	•
13	MR. FISHER: Sure. Well,	13	you. We're now going to have
14	let's move on. Let's go to some statements I	14	MR. AINSWORTH: One redirect,
15	heard earlier you make about the Town's site	15	if I may?
16	and then this proposed site.	16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure.
17	I heard you say on	17	MR. AINSWORTH: Just a single
18	cross-examination that, based on the	18	question.
19	information you had, you thought that the lot	19	You were just asked about the
20	on which this tower is proposed was	20	potential to develop this lot had already
21	subdivided after the town meeting vote where	21	has always existed because the lots predated
22	the Town's proposal for a tower on the	22	the 2011 date in the RFP.
23	adjacent parcel was rejected. Is that your	23	Are you aware of whether the
24	belief?	24	Town granted an easement that allowed the
25	THE WITNESS: I believe I did	25	property to be accessed following 2011?
23			

18 (Pages 396 to 399)

	Page 400		Page 402
1		1	Vergati. Yes.
1 2	THE WITNESS: I recall reading about the easement. I don't recall as to	2	
3	when it was implemented.	3	MR. FISHER: And do you adopt the comments you've made in the submission as
4	MR. AINSWORTH: Thank you.	4	your testimony here today.
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank	5	THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike
6	-	6	Libertine. Yes, I do.
7	you. We're now going to have the	7	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray
8	Applicant have their witnesses. We'll take a	8	Vergati. Yes.
9	five-minute break while you can readjust.	9	MR. FISHER: Chairman, we also
10	(Whereupon, the witness was	10	have Item 16, which we stylized at least as
11	excused, a recess was taken from 2:13 p.m.	11	prefiled rebuttal testimony. I can have
12	until 2:24 p.m.)	12	Mr. Libertine verify that now as well.
13	MICHAEL LAWTON,	13	Mr. Libertine, did you prepare
14	MICHAEL LIBERTINE,	14	Item Number 16 in a report dated June 13th of
15	MANUEL VICENTE,	15	2014?
16	RAYMOND VERGATI,	16	THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes,
17	having been previously duly sworn, were	17	I did.
18	examined and testify further on their	18	MR. FISHER: And the purpose
19	oaths as follows:	19	of that report was as rebuttal testimony?
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I'd	20	THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes,
21	like to resume the meeting, proceed with the	21	that's correct.
22	appearance of the Applicant, Homeland Towers	22	MR. FISHER: And do you have
23	and New Cingular Wireless.	23	any corrections or modifications to the
24	I'm used to seeing Attorney	24	document at this time.
25	Fisher in the middle. He's seated in a seat	25	THE WITNESS (Libertine): No,
	Page 401		Page 403
1	next to Mr. Libertine.	1	not at this time.
2	To verify there's a new	2	MR. FISHER: And is it true
3	exhibit, I understand, marked as Roman	3	and accurate to the best of your belief and
4	Numeral II, Item B15 and 16. So would you	4	you adopt it as your rebuttal testimony?
5	please proceed and verify?	5	THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes.
6	MR. FISHER: Yes, Chairman,	6	MR. FISHER: Chairman, I would
7	thank you.	7	ask that Items 15 and 16 be admitted into the
8	Item Number 15, as shown in	8	record.
9	the hearing program, is a supplemental	9	THE CHAIRPERSON:
10	submission dated June 11th.	10	Mr. Ainsworth, do you object?
11	I would ask Mr. Libertine and	11	MR. AINSWORTH: No objection
12	Mr. Vergati, did you and your firms prepare	12	here.
13 14	or assist in the preparation and collect the	13	THE CHAIRPERSON: The exhibits
15	information that was provided in the supplemental submission dated June 11th?	14	are admitted.
	THE WITNESS (Libertine): This	15 16	We will now begin cross-examination starting with Mr. Martin,
		17	and the cross-examination will be on this
16 17	is write i inerrine yes		
17	is Mike Libertine. Yes. THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray	1 2	additional material that has been submitted
17 18	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray	18 19	additional material that has been submitted. Thank you
17 18 19	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati. Yes, I did.	19	Thank you.
17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati. Yes, I did. MR. FISHER: And as it relates	19 20	Thank you. MR. MARTIN: Thank you
17 18 19	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati. Yes, I did. MR. FISHER: And as it relates to your testimony and information, is it true	19 20 21	Thank you. MR. MARTIN: Thank you Mr. Chairman.
17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati. Yes, I did. MR. FISHER: And as it relates to your testimony and information, is it true and accurate to the best of your belief.	19 20 21 22	Thank you. MR. MARTIN: Thank you Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION
17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati. Yes, I did. MR. FISHER: And as it relates to your testimony and information, is it true	19 20 21	Thank you. MR. MARTIN: Thank you Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. MARTIN: Mr. Libertine, on
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati. Yes, I did. MR. FISHER: And as it relates to your testimony and information, is it true and accurate to the best of your belief. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike	19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. MR. MARTIN: Thank you Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 (Pages 400 to 403)

	Page 404		Page 406
1	monopine. That's your bottom line, is it	1	with or without platforms?
2	not?	2	THE WITNESS (Libertine): The
3	THE WITNESS (Libertine): I	3	monopole I would refer to is the actual
4	would say that's a fair representation. I	4	physical vertical structure. So we have
5	could certainly go on about it if you'd like	5	monopoles that can be, as we discovered
6	me to.	6	you folks discussed a little bit earlier,
7	MR. MARTIN: That's all right.	7	they can have full arrays on them. They can
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: It's not	8	have close-contact arrays. I think generally
9	necessary.	9	speaking, when we talk about monopole, we're
10	MR. MARTIN: And earlier	10	talking about external antennas in a general
11	Dr. Klemens asked about painting, somehow, a	11	sense.
12	tower. Would a brown paint or pine-bark	12	MR. ASHTON: Okay. As far as
13	cladding or some other kind of treatment help	13	camouflage goes, do you recommended
14	to minimize the visual impact of a monopole	14	camouflage on this site?
15	at this location?	15	THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
16	THE WITNESS (Libertine): I	16	think there's been enough concern raised
17	think if we're primarily concerned with	17	that, for the benefit of folks, some form of
18	seasonal views that may extend to neighbors,	18	painting would probably work, and I don't
19	then painting probably does offer some	19	think that would be much of a detraction from
20	softening.	20	the longer-range views. I have concerns
21	I think my preference would be	21	about the bulk of a monopine in this
22	some type of an earth tone that would be	22	instance.
23	consistent with the matrix of the trees, the	23	MR. ASHTON: I understand
24	trunks in the area, and I would include that	24	that. I'm talking about just the monopole.
25	to include the antennas as well.	25	THE WITNESS (Libertine):
	Page 405		Page 407
1	MR. MARTIN: And, in the	_	
		1	Uh-huh.
2		2	Uh-huh. MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced
2 3	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of		
	industry, are there different, I don't know,	2	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced
3	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of	2	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that?
3 4	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine):	2 3 4	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good
3 4 5 6 7	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think
3 4 5 6 7 8	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften
3 4 5 6 7 8	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine):
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by MR. MURPHY: I have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by MR. MURPHY: I have no questions, Mr. Chairman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hannon.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by MR. MURPHY: I have no questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by MR. MURPHY: I have no questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton? MR. ASHTON: If I might, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hannon. MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by MR. MURPHY: I have no questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton? MR. ASHTON: If I might, just clarify for me please. Mr. Martin used the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hannon. MR. HANNON: Thank you,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	industry, are there different, I don't know, camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of trees that are surrounding a monopole that are possible? THE WITNESS (Libertine): There are. I have not seen a lot in true application. Typically, we often see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of thing. But certainly they're are opportunities to do some type of a camouflage, yes. MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now proceed with questions by MR. MURPHY: I have no questions, Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton? MR. ASHTON: If I might, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced that camouflage is needed for that? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I think it's something that tends to make good neighbors, if if possible. So I think it's something that could certainly soften the effect. MR. ASHTON: A block party makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a block party or camouflage? THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to answer the question. THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. MR. MURPHY: So it may be better, but it's not worse. MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hannon. MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Seeing as how I can't find it

20 (Pages 404 to 407)

Page 408 Page 410 1 1 also talked about the possibility of know, what's the most effective, what's the 2 2 landscaping. Can you go into a little more most practical. 3 3 detail on that? And I realize that may be on And I think for all the 4 4 reasons Mike just stated, if we're going to adjacent property. So --5 5 do some sort of landscaping, the best benefit THE WITNESS (Libertine): 6 6 would be on the neighboring properties Correct. 7 7 MR. HANNON: So just trying to themselves. I think that makes a lot more 8 8 sense in my experience, and I agree with get more clarification on that. 9 9 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike. How we would approach that, 10 Sure. We did take a look at 10 11 11 some additional landscaping opportunities and our concern would be long-term responsibility 12 12 the challenge on the property, and actually for those plantings. It's on someone else's 13 13 property. We don't want to be in that really the town property more so, to the 14 north and to the east of our site, it's very 14 position. We don't know that its 15 15 cobbley there, very rocky. We have a lot of appropriate; however, we are willing to work 16 16 roots already established there, some fairly with those neighbors to fund the planting of 17 17 mature trees. So I had some concerns about landscaping evergreen trees, whatever is most 18 trying to do what would typically be done as 18 appropriate, we're willing to work with them 19 something along the property line. 19 on that. 20 2.0 In this case the concerns are And we think that that is 21 21 of the adjacent neighbors to both the north something that makes sense in this particular 22 and east. I have had discussions with the 22 situation. And when you look at what's --23 Applicant, and they are willing to do some 23 what options are available to us, we're 24 24 form of landscaping on the adjacent concerned not to do something with good 25 25 properties where I think it would be more intentions that actually has worse impacts. Page 409 Page 411 So I think that that's really our position. 1 1 effective. I think it would be a lot more --2 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: And we or excuse me. It would be a lot less 3 3 potential for damage to existing roots of the should make it clear it's not something that 4 trees that are there today. It might be a 4 the Council can require or enforce. 5 5 more strategic planting scheme that could be Obviously, it can be an agreement between the 6 done. So I think there are benefits to doing 6 parties, which we certainly would not be 7 7 counter to, but it's not conditioned either it there as opposed to trying to do it on our 8 8 property, or on the adjacent town property to require the placing of landscaping on 9 9 where I just don't think we'd have that, that neighbors' properties or the issue of maintenance. But that again, it has been 10 10 benefit. 11 The only thing I do want to 11 done in the past, but again, only through 12 12 clarify is that, in the discussions I've had, agreements between the parties. 13 I'm not sure that we, as the Applicant, would 13 MR. HANNON: I have no other 14 want to be actually responsible for doing the 14 questions. 15 landscaping. I think it would be something 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Lynch? 16 that would have to be worked out among all 16 MR. LYNCH: No questions, 17 the other parties, which I alluded to in my 17 Mr. Chairman. 18 memo. And I'm not sure what the specifics 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens? 19 are, but Ray, you want to add to that? 19 DR. KLEMENS: Just a couple. 20 THE WITNESS (Vicente): Well, 20 Going back to this part of the 21 actually, I'll add to that. I think in 21 problem with the monopine that has been noted 22 looking at everything that's been submitted 22 in -- in your letter was a note, green, hardy 23 and what's on the record and listening to 23 and coniferous trees on that ridge. That's 24 Mike and -- and reading his materials, when 24 correct? 25 you talk about mitigation, it's about, you 25 THE WITNESS (Libertine):

21 (Pages 408 to 411)

Page 412 Page 414 1 That's -- yes, that's correct. call the larger array units at the top, is 2 2 DR. KLEMENS: And that would when you'll be seeing it from a further 3 3 be consistent with the ridge's sort of dry distance from the site where you're looking 4 4 at the ridgeline where it does protrude above southerly exposure? 5 5 the ridgeline. THE WITNESS (Libertine): 6 Certainly that's one of the 6 DR. KLEMENS: So to mitigate 7 7 the views and to help both proximal and factors, yes. 8 8 distal views, probably the best thing from DR. KLEMENS: And would you 9 9 the viewshed perspective would be a brown consider that to be either further evidence 10 10 of the possibility of a lack of slimy painted, earth-colored painted pole with flush mounted antennas. Because I think 11 salamanders on that ridge? 11 12 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm 12 we've gone through this whole thing of how 13 a little reluctant to speak to that area. 13 big an internally mounted pole has been since 14 It's not really my area of expertise. 14 Docket 442. Correct? 15 DR. KLEMENS: I understand. 15 THE WITNESS (Libertine): In 16 Can you speak -- now this, concerning the 16 an ideal world, if it worked for RF and they 17 pole, I think we have sort of come to the 17 could achieve their objectives and, you know, 18 conclusion -- or sort of that painted brown 18 all things being equal, I would agree with 19 monopole, but we haven't really talked about 19 that, absolutely. 20 the antennas arrays. Could your objectives 20 DR. KLEMENS: Can we ask would 21 be met with a monopole with flush mounted 21 RF be able to give us an opinion now? 22 antennas? 22 THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yes. 23 THE WITNESS (Libertine): 23 Generally in this, these days the tenor at 24 That's really an RF question 24 AT&T is away from the unipole, away from the 25 more than it is a visual. I would say 25 flagpole close mounted antennas. And the Page 413 Page 415 1 certainly anything that could be done to 1 reasons for that are a couple of things. 2 bring in the horizontal array would certainly 2 First of all, the -- as AT&T 3 help the views in terms of lessening that 3 tries to push more and more data and provide 4 bulk at the top of the tower. 4 faster and faster data services at higher 5 5 DR. KLEMENS: How much will frequencies, one of the things that the 6 that help the neighbors at Aspen Ledges and 6 technology has changed, in the past sites 7 Stagecoach? 7 were built with all the radio equipment in a 8 THE WITNESS (Libertine): From 8 hut or in a -- or maybe not in a hut -- maybe 9 my perspective, I don't think that is going 9 mounted externally in cabinets at the base of 10 to do a whole heck of a lot for those types 10 the tower, or somewhere within the building. 11 of close views. I think the primary close 11 And those equipment cabinets 12 views are going to be see seasonally through 12 were connected to the antennas by coaxial 13 the trees and seeing more of the middle to 13 cable. And the newer technologies, LTE for 14 upper portion, but not necessarily, you know, 14 one specifically, but also UMTS, make use of 15 we're talking even at the ground level in 15 what's called "a remote radio head," and the 16 those neighbors' yards, even with the 16 advantage of the remote radio head is that 17 differential, you're still talking about an 17 the radio equipment can be placed on the 18 angle that is not necessarily something 18 tower within 10 feet of the antenna, thus 19 that's in your normal viewscape. You --19 mitigating the coaxial cable loss, which it's 20 you'd have to visually look up through the 20 replaced with fiber. 21 trees to see that. 21 So instead of running a number 22 So painting them would 22 of large coax cables up the tower, usually 23 probably have the same effect as bringing 23 internally, sometimes externally, those are 24 them in and painting them. I think where I'm 24 replaced by a fiber and a DC run that 25 more concerned about some of the, what I'll 25 serves -- that connects to the radio head

22 (Pages 412 to 415)

Page 416

that's at the top. By putting the radio head
at the top, you've removed a bunch of cable
loss. There's also advanced technologies
that, as LTE is deployed at 1900 and at WCS
frequencies, they'll be using four-branch
diversity. And four-branch diversity

requires four connections between the radio equipment and the antenna itself at the high end.

All the antennas that AT&T is deploying right now serve both low band and high band separately. So, as the technology evolves and as people are looking to do more and more with data and AT&T is required to use those higher frequencies to provide data services to their customers, there's more and more pressure to place the radio equipment closer to the antennas. And that's what's driving the hesitancy to want to build a unipole because the radio -- the radio head can't be placed at the top of one of those, because it's physically too large.

But where if you use a platform the radio heads can be placed around the outside of the pole within the platform,

Page 418

term and the penalty of the inability to locate the radio heads at the top is something that, in that particular case, can be lived with.

DR. KLEMENS: So, basically, it's sort of a Hobson's choice. If it's going to have basically a situation where we can try to mitigate as much as we try to mitigate visual impacts, we're actually creating reduced efficiency at the tower?

11 THE WITNESS (Lawton): That's 12 correct.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's called balancing.

DR. KLEMENS: Balancing, that's another way to call it.

17 THE CHAIRPERSON: Politically18 more correct.

DR. KLEMENS: Politically more correct? Okay. I'm not actually known for being so politically correct, but I'll do my best, Mr. Chairman.

THE WITNESS (Vicente):

Dr. Klemens, if I could also, as a tower developer, address that question

Page 417

and they're -- they're not seen.

So that's sort of a roundabout answer to your question is that AT&T, the -- the historic answer has been that, in order to replace a platform with 12 antennas, AT&T would need more than one height. That continues to be true, but there's an additional complication that's becoming more and more a reality that is making AT&T more and more hesitant to want to deploy this type of thing from the start.

However, as a last resort, as in recent dockets, AT&T will do it if that's the only available choice.

DR. KLEMENS: If by doing that are we actually building a tower that is almost obsolete when it's built?

THE WITNESS (Lawton): In a way there is sort of an internal sort of movement or initiative to review all towers that are using not the full array, as we call it, and to see if those towers need to be replaced, too, with something that will be more future proof, or if the actual tower that's been placed is necessary in the long

Page 419

as far as the flagpole design. Because I think we see -- we see applications and we work with all the carriers, and we're very concerned about that design. And I think, you know, your statement that it may be an old or dying design is probably true.

Because when we -- just five years ago we were designing for 3-foot antennas, 4-foot antennas. The carriers are using 8-foot antennas, and the additional radio heads that are quite large. The idea of putting that amount of equipment inside the flagpole -- and we struggle with this in other states when we're in front of their zoning boards. It drives the height of the structure up, and it absolutely minimizes the potential for collocation.

So we have significant concerns about that design since we don't think that it can accommodate what the carriers' needs are today and will be in the future. So it's a concern for us as well.

DR. KLEMENS: I appreciate that. I was not referring to it internally. I was referring to externally mounted

23 (Pages 416 to 419)

Page 420 Page 422 1 1 antennas. Pretty much since Docket 442, I've blue, almost white. 2 learned an awful lot about what happens with 2 And we feel that it worked 3 the interior mounted antennas. 3 well in Loughborough. One is at the Vista 4 I have one other question 4 Fire Department, and the other one is on 5 5 about the visual, because we really have two Route 138 just before 684. We have the color 6 sets of view stakeholders in this. We have 6 samples, and we could definitely provide some 7 7 the proximal; we have the distals. And have information to this board because it is a 8 you ever considered a two-tone tower where 8 good question. It's a good thought, and we 9 9 the lower part is painted to mitigate for the have done it, and I think we've done it with 10 near-term view and would the platforms on 10 some success. 11 that -- could be something that -- which is 11 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 12 you're saying it's going to be hard. You 12 That's very responsive. I appreciate that. 13 have to look really up to see them from the 13 Now there's one more question, neighborhood, but they're going to see that 14 14 that I want to get into this transcript. I 15 from other areas, so would it help to make looked at the point -- and Mr. Gustafson is 15 16 this a two-tone tower? 16 not here, but I noted in the fourth response 17 THE WITNESS (Libertine): It 17 and using math, and I guess allows you, 18 could and I don't want to -- well, I'm going 18 Mr. Libertine, how many years ago was the 19 to sound a little wishy-washy. I've seen 19 last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield 20 them done. And I've seen them done fairly 20 County? 21 well. Given, under the right conditions on 21 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I 22 the right day, they can look fabulous. If 22 would have to refer back to him. 23 you go with a sky-blue top, we're going to DR. KLEMENS: Please do. I'd 23 24 get a fair amount of days where we have a 24 like to get it into the record, sir. 25 slate gray sky, and that can tend to jump out 25 MR. FISHER: Based on the Page 421 Page 423 1 a little bit more. 1 literature, the most recent report was a 2 But your point is a good one, 2 shell that was found in 1983. 3 and it's one that maybe should be considered 3 DR. KLEMENS: So then I would 4 a little bit more because they can work. I 4 understand, you know, a shell could be there 5 would be very concerned about what that top 5 years. We're talking about the last record 6 color might be to kind of come up with 6 is more than three decades. Is that correct? 7 7 something that's a neutral enough color so as THE WITNESS (Libertine): 8 not to create that contrast that you might 8 That's correct. 9 get depending upon the type of sky. 9 DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. 10 DR. KLEMENS: Well, I 10 No further questions, 11 certainly don't think sky blue would work, 11 Mr. Chairman. 12 but how about something in the off-white or 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 13 something that would blend more into a sky. 13 Dr. Bell? 14 Isn't that less? Wouldn't that blend better 14 DR. BELL: Thank you, 15 to have the white -- or not a bright, 15 Mr. Chair. 16 brilliant white, but sort of a dull white. 16 I have a question about the 17 Would that not blend better? 17 archaeological report. So the shovel test 18 THE WITNESS (Vicente): 18 locations are marked in that report on a 19 Dr. Klemens, we've actually done that a few 19 diagram, but they said they backfilled the 20 times, and we did it right over the border in 20 hole. So they do not identify where they did 21 the Loughborough, New York. There's two 21 the shovel tests on the ground? 22 towers that we developed there, and we did 22 THE WITNESS (Libertine): They 23 exactly that. At a certain height before the 23 don't in the field, but they do, as you said, 24 tree canopy, we cut it off from a more earth 24 they're depicted on a map. 25 tone color at the base to a very, very light 25 DR. BELL: Okay. So we

24 (Pages 420 to 423)

wouldn't have been able to see them on our field review? THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, not with the disturbance that is on that hole in that area.

DR. BELL: Okay. On the line-of-sight diagram that you did in your supplemental material, there's one of them that's a dotted -- it has a dotted line. So you have yellow for possible to see and black for not visible. But then there's one that's a dotted line. So I wasn't sure whether that meant you didn't know exactly because you didn't field verify it?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): No.
That that's probably my bad for not having a little bit better explanation in the legend.
What we tried to show is there's each view is shown from the receptor location to the tower that are clearly marked. But the -- there they're actually shown in black and red, and the black areas are areas where it's not visible, and then the red depicts where it would be visible from those locations horizontally.

Page 426

DR. BELL: Okay. Thank you.
A couple of RF questions.

Earlier I asked Mr. Maxson if he had seen the report that was referred to by Mr. Aarons' in his previous testimony. My question is, did AT&T, did you specifically examine the report?

THE WITNESS (Lawton): I did not.

DR. BELL: So you talked it

over perhaps with Mr. Aarons or -THE WITNESS (Lawton): No, in
fact, I didn't. I -- my -- I'm here to speak
about AT&T's RF needs. And as far as the
Town's RF needs, I'm not an expert on that.

DR. BELL: Okay. So I'll ask you -- my other question did have to do with the Town's RF needs, but it's kind of a generic question. You may or may not know the answer.

My understanding is that the Town -- and much of Mr. Maxson's report referred to the two-way type of radio that we are kind of familiar with and the lay public is familiar with. We have to have a

Page 425

Below that what we try to show is basically the same information on a cutaway or vertical scale. Just to show what the yellow dots are was just to get the -- I was trying to get -- to give the read of the idea that you're standing in the trees, because when we had a solid line, it looked like you were standing to one side of the trees.

So all we were really trying to depict there in the yellow dotted line was to give the indication that you are among the trees. We have to do a cutaway of the trees in a certain swath or width, and that's all that was trying -- that we were trying to depict there. So it does not contradict or give any other information other than this is the -- this is the line of sight standing in the location in the black dot looking up at the top of the tower.

DR. BELL: Okay. THE WITNESS (Libertine): So the visual aspect is really in the aerial photo above that particular diagram, and that's what that's trying to show.

Page 427

dedicated channel, that's my understanding.
 Does that sound correct to you?
 THE WITNESS (Lawton): I

THE WITNESS (Lawton): I believe it does.

DR. BELL: Okay. Now, what you're moving towards as carriers, is of course, LTE, we understand that, and the public safety network called FirstNet, which is the federal public safety network which is being held out as a beacon, let's call it, for the public safety groups.

What they're offering is LTE. What they've chosen as their technology, if you want to call it that, software technology for the public safety network is LTE. Does LTE provide for a dedicated channel?

THE WITNESS (Lawton): In a way, yes. It is two-way communication. It's essentially a channel pair. There's an uplink channel which is used for the communication between the user equipment, whatever type of agreement that may be, and the tower, and then a downlink channel which is used for the communication between the tower and the user's equipment.

25 (Pages 424 to 427)

	Page 428		Page 430
1	But I, as far as the specifics	1	monopole is filled up with coax and new
2	of the public safety's LTE flavor and their	2	antennas can't go inside, the cables inside?
3	technology, I I can't really speak to that	3	THE WITNESS (Lawton): That's
4	because I haven't done any reading on public	4	correct. And in fact, in my town, an
5	safety technology, quite frankly. I have a	5	applicant came to the ZBA wishing to put
6	whole lot to at AT&T.	6	coaxial cables on the outside of the
7	DR. BELL: Okay. And just one	7	monopole. And it's if eventually if
8	follow-up which may help or may be worse.	8	enough coax is used, the internal space will
9	As I understand it, LTE allows	9	fill up so that there will be nothing left.
10	you to use different frequencies in the	10	Also you have to, in order to
11	course of establishing what you just	11	add more coax, you have to pull it through,
12	described as an uplink and a downlink. So	12	and it becomes difficult as it gets more and
13	now I'm looking at the term "frequencies" as	13	more full. So at that point the only
14	opposed to my word use previously, "channel,"	14	solution is to run run the coax on the
15	or uplink or downlink, your words.	15	outside, where where it's not that main
16	Does LTE allow you to dedicate	16	driver toward going to fiber, but certainly
17	a frequency as opposed to skipping around to	17	one of the advantages of using fiber is that
18	different frequencies depending on what's	18	a lot more runs of fiber will fit in a given
19	available within the whole network at any	19	monopole size than coax.
20	given time. Do you see what I'm asking?	20	MR. ASHTON: Fiber may be
21	THE WITNESS (Lawton): No.	21	cheaper.
22	I'm afraid you've lost me. I'm sorry.	22	THE CHAIRPERSON: All right.
23	DR. BELL: All right. Then I	23	Mr. Lynch?
24	better not pursue it.	24	MR. LYNCH: Just one
25	THE WITNESS (Lawton): Sorry.	25	follow-up, Mr. Lawton. There's also a
		_	
	Daga 420		Daga 421
	Page 429		Page 431
1	Page 429 DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank	1	considerable difference in weight, is there
2	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you.	2	considerable difference in weight, is there not?
2	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin,	2	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between
2 3 4	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a	2 3 4	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes.
2 3 4 5	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last	2 3 4 5	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question.	2 3 4 5 6	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens.
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us	2 3 4 5 6 7	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy. DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter inch in rare cases. And typically it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy. DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to 65?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter inch in rare cases. And typically it's between seven-eighths and five-eighths, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy. DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to 65? THE WITNESS (Libertine):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter inch in rare cases. And typically it's between seven-eighths and five-eighths, and the fiber, the fiber cable is, you know, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy. DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to 65? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Correct. We have taken
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter inch in rare cases. And typically it's between seven-eighths and five-eighths, and the fiber, the fiber cable is, you know, a very small fiber cable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy. DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to 65? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Correct. We have taken several measurements of trees on the north
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, do you have a MR. MARTIN: One last question. Mr. Lawton, could you give us an idea of the comparative size between the traditional coax cable and the newer fiber-optic cable that they're using in conjunction with the remote radio heads? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on length, can very anywhere between for this, for the wireless application it's coaxial cable for all sorts of things but typically the carriers would use anything between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter inch in rare cases. And typically it's between seven-eighths and five-eighths, and the fiber, the fiber cable is, you know, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	considerable difference in weight, is there not? THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between coaxial and fiber, yes. MR. LYNCH: Thank you. THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. DR. KLEMENS: I'm very intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If we were to do that, where would you and I don't know if you have this data now where would you turn from brown to the other color? What is the tree canopy height more or less? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I would want probably to do a tree branch survey on the site, but I'd say generally we'd probably be thinking about doing something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it transitions above the canopy. DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to 65? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Correct. We have taken

26 (Pages 428 to 431)

	Davis 422		Danie 424
	Page 432		Page 434
1	to our south. So as you look, because the	1	don't know the source of that information?
2	primary views, if there any are going to come	2	THE WITNESS (Libertine): I
3	from that southern area, so we want to make	3	believe it's cited other than it was well,
4	sure that we're just intercepting that	4	the citation from the actual literature where
5 6	correctly as well. I'd say generally in	5	that particular discovery, who the source of
7	that, in that range. So a third of the tower	6	that discovery or that particular
8	would probably be in the earth tone, and two-thirds would likely be be whatever sky	7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me.
9	effect that we would want to achieve.	8	I believe that's part of the record.
10	DR. KLEMENS: Because it's	9 10	THE WITNESS (Libertine): It is part of the record.
11	you're dealing with, Mr. Vicente, is this is	11	THE CHAIRPERSON: So the
12	a very similar habitat. I mean landscape	12	citation is part of the record?
13	adjacent there. Loughborough in that	13	THE WITNESS (Libertine):
14	incidence, it's really very similar to	14	That's correct.
15	Ridgefield. It's ridge and valley, and if	15	MR. AINSWORTH: Not typically
16	it's, you're saying, working effectively at	16	within your expertise to testify on such
17	those two towers, I think it's something I	17	things, is it?
18	hope you can consider.	18	THE WITNESS (Libertine): No.
19	THE WITNESS (Vicente): Yes.	19	I think I've made that clear.
20	And the heights that we did the break in	20	MR. AINSWORTH: In the
21	color of the two sites in Loughborough, I	21	supplemental filing you made with the visual
22	think were approximately between 55 and 65	22	intersects, the maps behind, I guess tab
23	feet.	23	or Exhibit 2 of the June 11th exhibit, you
24	DR. KLEMENS: Thank you.	24	have the, sort of the cross section with
25	No further questions,	25	various trees depicted in the yellow line.
	•		
	Page 433		D 125
			Page 435
1	Mr. Chairman.	1	
1 2	Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	1 2	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field?
	Mr. Chairman. THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to		Do those trees correspond to actual trees in
2	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.	2	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field?
2 3 4 5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to	2 3	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They
2 3 4 5 6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine,	2 3 4	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle	2 3 4 5 6 7	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle as well, but that's just a literature	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not in all cases. They're primarily and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle as well, but that's just a literature citation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not in all cases. They're primarily and actually, they were all deciduous trees. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle as well, but that's just a literature citation. MR. AINSWORTH: Did you review	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not in all cases. They're primarily and actually, they were all deciduous trees. And so we felt as though it was not necessary to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle as well, but that's just a literature citation. MR. AINSWORTH: Did you review that literature?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not in all cases. They're primarily and actually, they were all deciduous trees. And so we felt as though it was not necessary to go and actually catalog each particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle as well, but that's just a literature citation. MR. AINSWORTH: Did you review that literature? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not in all cases. They're primarily and actually, they were all deciduous trees. And so we felt as though it was not necessary to go and actually catalog each particular species in each location.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. We will now go to cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. CROSS-EXAMINATION MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, you testified regarding the last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield. What's your degree in? THE WITNESS (Libertine): My degree is an environmental science and business and communications. MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study herpetology and amphibians? THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I made it very clear that I didn't want to answer questions about the slimy salamander, and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle as well, but that's just a literature citation. MR. AINSWORTH: Did you review that literature?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Do those trees correspond to actual trees in the field? THE WITNESS (Libertine): They absolutely do. They are taken from a combination of survey information we took on the town property, and then they are actually extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a combination of those two particular effects. MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So there, so you actually took the trees precisely where they were located in the field and located them on your diagram in the same location? THE WITNESS (Libertine): We did for that cross section, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do it by type of tree as well? THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not in all cases. They're primarily and actually, they were all deciduous trees. And so we felt as though it was not necessary to go and actually catalog each particular

27 (Pages 432 to 435)

	7 426		5 420
	Page 436		Page 438
1	your cross section accurately?	1	from that particular neighborhood. So I
2	THE WITNESS (Libertine): No.	2	wanted to at least I wanted to at least
3	That's that's a representation. We're	3	provide some substantiating evidence for the
4	talking about the the area that is the	4	Council to consider, and that was really the
5	illustration below the aerial photograph?	5	entire point of the effort.
6	MR. AINSWORTH: Yes.	6	MR. AINSWORTH: Did you go
7	THE WITNESS (Libertine):	7	out? Did you go back to the field to develop
8	Those are just representations	8	this, these line-of-sight analyses that you
9	of trees in full leaf, but they really do not	9	submitted on June 11?
10	respond or they don't correspond	10	THE WITNESS (Libertine): We
11	specifically to any given tree.	11	did from our property and the town property,
12	MR. AINSWORTH: And they don't	12	yes.
13	depict the branching patterns as they exist	13	MR. AINSWORTH: When did you
14	on the individual trees?	14	approximately go?
15	THE WITNESS (Libertine): No,	15	THE WITNESS (Libertine): We
16	they do not.	16	went the Saturday before this was written.
17	MR. AINSWORTH: And I noticed,	17	So I'm sorry. I don't have a calendar in
18	in your June 13th report, the shorter one,	18	front of me. I want to say on or about June,
19	the third paragraph you wrote, "In an effort	19	whatever the first weekend in June was.
20	to demonstrate the lack of visibility and any	20	MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. And you
21	significant adverse visual impact on the	21	were during the balloon flight, you didn't
22	immediate neighborhood to the north,	22	have an opportunity to observe the balloon
23	All-Points Technology created the three	23	from the neighboring property?
24	sightline profiles."	24	THE WITNESS (Libertine):
25	Isn't it true that you sought	25	That's correct.
	Page 437		Page 439
1	_	1	_
1 2	out initially to demonstrate a lack of	1 2	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true
2	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility?	2	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what
2 3	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That		MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like?
2 3 4	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes.	2 3 4	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That
2 3	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal	2 3	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct.
2 3 4 5	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and	2 3 4 5	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you
2 3 4 5 6	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal	2 3 4 5 6	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the
2 3 4 5 6 7	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine):
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change somewhat when the leaves are off the trees.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's your opinion. I do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change somewhat when the leaves are off the trees. There was no conscious effort,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's your opinion. I do believe a monopine, if we were talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change somewhat when the leaves are off the trees. There was no conscious effort, on my part, to make it more than it was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's your opinion. I do believe a monopine, if we were talking about a monopine in the right setting, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change somewhat when the leaves are off the trees. There was no conscious effort, on my part, to make it more than it was. It's a representation to try to understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's your opinion. I do believe a monopine, if we were talking about a monopine in the right setting, and we didn't have other considerations, certainly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change somewhat when the leaves are off the trees. There was no conscious effort, on my part, to make it more than it was. It's a representation to try to understand there was a question from Doc Klemens that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's your opinion. I do believe a monopine, if we were talking about a monopine in the right setting, and we didn't have other considerations, certainly it would create during the wintertime some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	out initially to demonstrate a lack of visibility? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is true, yes. MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal scientific procedure to have a theory and then develop the evidence before you come to the conclusion? THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've been on this site for the better part of a year and a half, so I've seen it in all seasons. I've already formed an opinion. All we were trying to do here was to depict the fact that we have a significant amount of intervening deciduous trees and that during this time of year when the leaves are on the trees it essentially creates a wall. And I also made it clear that it's my opinion that that will change somewhat when the leaves are off the trees. There was no conscious effort, on my part, to make it more than it was. It's a representation to try to understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what the view might look like? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That is correct. MR. AINSWORTH: And when you came back in the first weekend of June or the week before you produced these line-of-sight analyses, you didn't ask for permission to verify it from those properties either? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's correct. MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in your testimony last time, you begin to sound like you thought that a monopine would give some relief to some of the near-view stakeholders, and it seems today that that testimony is entirely different? THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's your opinion. I do believe a monopine, if we were talking about a monopine in the right setting, and we didn't have other considerations, certainly

28 (Pages 436 to 439)

Page 440 Page 442 1 1 absolutely. I said that last time, and I visual sightline analysis doesn't take into 2 2 still stand by that. account the viewpoint from someone looking 3 3 What I am trying to balance is out of a window on a second story. Does it? 4 4 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, the fact we're not only looking at near range 5 5 views here. We're looking at the totality of it does not. 6 the facility and where it can be seen. And 6 MR. AINSWORTH: Now, there are 7 7 when I take all of those considerations in, no coniferous trees on this ridge -- or few 8 my feeling is that something other than a 8 coniferous trees on the ridge. There are 9 monopine would serve the community, as a 9 other types of stealth tree models that can 10 whole, better than just trying to accomplish 10 be produced other than the monopine, aren't 11 it to essentially deal with one or two 11 there? 12 particular view lines. 12 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Sure. 13 MR. AINSWORTH: And a 13 MR. AINSWORTH: And wouldn't 14 discussion with your client regarding cost, 14 one of those be also more compatible with the 15 in between that testimony and this testimony, 15 kinds of trees you would find on the ridge? 16 had no impact on your testimony today? 16 THE WITNESS (Libertine): 17 THE WITNESS (Libertine): No. 17 Would they be compatible as a 18 Cost has no -- I -- I have no concern for 18 matrix component of the forest there? Yes, 19 cost. Quite honestly, that's not my concern. 19 absolutely, but we'd still be talking about 20 I'd also offer that some of 20 some views that are significantly above the 21 the techniques that are often employed for 21 treeline and would have some of the same stealthing, regardless of whether it's a tree 22 22 effect that a monopine would have, and that 23 or whether it's going with an internal array 23 would be some of my concern. 24 or whether it's painting and landscaping, 24 The other limitation with 25 it's going to be costly. These are no cheap 25 doing deciduous trees -- and they are done. Page 441 Page 443 1 facilities, even if it's regular CO going up 1 You're absolutely correct, and I've seen --2 the standard monopole. 2 I've seen photographs of several done both 3 3 MR. AINSWORTH: And that are shown as dead trees. So in other 4 approximately how much of the tower is above 4 words, they don't have any leaf 5 5 the level of the houses at the top of the characteristics to them. And then I've seen 6 6 ridge? others where they actually do have faux THE WITNESS (Libertine): On a 7 7 leaves on them as well. My concern is always 8 8 straight-line basis we're probably talking -the bulk aspect. 9 9 when you say the house, are you talking the If we were talking about 10 10 ground level at these homes? something that was peaking 10, 12, 15 feet 11 MR. AINSWORTH: I guess let's 11 above the existing canopy, I think any kind 12 12 start at the ground level. of tree in this case, even a coniferous 13 THE WITNESS (Libertine): 13 monopine, might work because, again you're 14 Probably in the range -- I 14 talking about something that's more or less 15 believe there's about an 80-foot differential 15 uniform heightwise from distant views, but we 16 from the level of the entrance to our access. 16 have some locations here where we just --17 17 So we'll call that the general level at the that just can't be achieved, and that's my 18 18 top of the ridge there down to our ground concern with doing any type of a facility 19 19 that's going to have that type of a branching level. 20 So let's be conservative. 20 pattern that's going to be wider on the 21 I -- the number was on the record last time. 21 horizon. 22 I don't have that in front of me, but let's 22 MR. AINSWORTH: If you had all 23 say it's 75 feet. So we have another 75 feet 23 of the licensed carriers in Connecticut on 24 on top. 24 this facility, how many carriers would that 25 MR. AINSWORTH: And your 25 be that might be for someone else as well?

29 (Pages 440 to 443)

Page 444 Page 446 1 THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm of if we -- in some areas the views would 2 aware of four that are active in the have views of all four carriers, 40 feet and 3 commercial wireless industry. 3 probably some of the facility below that. So 4 MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. If you 4 we're talking about in a couple of locations 5 5 had four carriers collocated on this we could be talking about 50 or 60 feet above 6 6 facility, would each of them have a platform the tree line. 7 7 associated with it? I think the tree, the mass of THE WITNESS (Libertine): I 8 8 the tree that you would have to establish, or 9 9 can't speak for all the carriers, but my a faux tree would have to be substantially 10 10 guess is, in some capacity, they would have larger and would likely draw people's 11 11 multiple antennas. So yeah, it would involve attention more than a tower which has become 12 some type of platform or T-arm arrangement or 12 more or less part of the landscape, you know, 13 13 cage arrangement, yes. in the last 10 or 15 years. That's, again my 14 MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. And so, 14 opinion. 15 15 in your opinion, would that angular visual MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So just 16 16 mass of the four carriers be less or more to clarify, in your opinion a cell tower is 17 17 more part of the landscape than a tree? visually appealing than something that's been 18 designed to be more natural looking, like a 18 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Than 19 tree, either a deciduous tree or one without 19 a tree that is that far above the tree line 20 20 leaves or a coniferous tree? and is not of the same type or character, 21 21 THE WITNESS (Libertine): yeah. 22 Well, from my perspective --22 MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. 23 and we're going to have to limit this part of 23 I have no further questions. 24 24 the conversation, I think, to more Thank you. 25 25 longer-range views because that's where we'd THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. Page 445 Page 447 1 be talking about having that profile, for 1 Before closing this hearing, the Connecticut 2 lack of a better term. 2 Siting Council announces that briefs and 3 And I would be glad to speak 3 proposed findings of fact may be filed with 4 to near-range views afterwards, but from my 4 the Council by any party or intervenor no 5 5 later than July 17, 2014. Submission of perspective, it still would be overall less 6 bulky. Would it look more industrial? 6 briefs or proposed findings of fact are not 7 7 Absolutely. That's the nature of the beast. required by this Council, rather we leave it 8 to the choice of the parties and intervenors. However, if we went with even four carriers 8 9 9 and made the assumption that they were spaced Anyone who has not become a 10 10 out 10 feet apart from the top down, that party or intervenor, but who desires to make 11 still would not provide the same mass or bulk 11 his or her views known to the Council, may 12 that we would when we're trying to simulate a 12 file written statements with the Council 13 tree. 13 within 30 days of the date hereof. The 14 Because of the conical shape 14 Council will issue draft findings of fact, 15 and the length of those branches, it's just 15 and thereafter, parties and intervenors may 16 -- it's not -- it would not be the same from 16 identify areas of inconsistencies between the a mass bulk perspective, I guess is the only 17 17 Council's draft findings of fact in the way I can really describe it. Would it look 18 18 record. However, no information, no new 19 less natural than a fake tree? That's really 19 evidence, no argument, or no reply briefs, 20 something that everybody has their own 20 without permission, will be considered by the 21 21 Council. Copies of the transcript of this opinion. 22 My opinion is I prefer to see 22 hearing will be filed at the Ridgefield Town 23 something that is as streamlined as we can 23 Clerk's office.

30 (Pages 444 to 447)

I hereby declare this hearing

adjourned, and thank you all for your

24

25

make it when you're talking about those type

of profiles above the tree line. In the case

24

25

Page 448	
1 participation. 2 (Whereupon, the witnesses were 3 excused, and the above proceedings were 4 adjourned at 3:15 p.m.) 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	1 INDEX 2 WITNESSES DAVID MAXSON Page 338 3 EXAMINERS: 4 Mr. Martin Page 340 5 Mr. Fisher Page 368 6 7 WITNESSES MICHAEL LAWTON 8 MICHAEL LIBERTINE 9 RAYMOND VERGATI 10 MANUEL VICENTE Page 399 11 EXAMINERS: 12 Mr. Martin Page 402 13 Mr. Ainsworth Page 432 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
1 CERTIFICATE 2 I hereby certify that the foregoing 119 pages are a complete and accurate 3 computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the Council Meeting 4 in Re: DOCKET NO. 445, HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, AND NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC, 5 APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED 6 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY 7 LOCATED SOUTHWEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF OLD STAGECOACH ROAD AND ASPEN LEDGES ROAD, 8 RIDGEFIELD, CONNECTICUT, which was held before ROBERT STEIN, Chairperson, at the 9 Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, 10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut, 10 Tuesday, June 17, 2014. 11 12 13 14 15 16 Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857 Court Reporter 17 UNITED REPORTERS, INC. 90 Brainard Road, Suite 103 18 Hartford, Connecticut 06114 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	

31 (Pages 448 to 450)

				Page 451
			402.10.11	an and an 444.15
A	acquisition	advantage	403:10,11	angular 444:15
aarons 360:5	374:25	415:16	433:4,6,13,21	announces
393:11,12	acres 399:3	advantages	433:25 434:15	447:2
396:21 426:4	act 334:14	430:17	434:20 435:9	answer 347:13
426:11	active 374:4	adverse 436:21	435:16,24	407:14 417:3,4
able 343:15	444:2	aerial 425:23	436:6,12,17	426:20 433:17
382:17 414:21	actively 374:11	435:7 436:5	437:5 438:6,13	answered
424:1	actual 378:21	affect 389:8	438:20 439:1,6	361:13,14
absolute 396:12	406:3 417:24	affidavit 336:15	439:13 440:13	antenna 357:12
absolutely 407:5	434:4 435:1	337:12	441:3,11,25	358:16 359:1,4
414:19 419:16	add 347:5	affidavits 336:4	442:6,13	369:8,9 384:2
435:4 440:1	409:19,21	afraid 428:22	443:22 444:4	415:18 416:8
442:19 443:1	430:11	afternoon 334:2	444:14 446:15	antennas 348:10
445:7	addition 366:16	337:5 365:10	446:22 450:13	350:19 357:16
academic	366:18,25	370:1,2	alleging 355:6,8	358:3,23 367:6
370:15	additional	agenda 335:5	allow 337:25	368:10,19
academics	341:21 342:6,9	agendas 387:2	338:10 365:21	381:24 382:18
370:24	365:21 366:3	aggregating	428:16	404:25 406:10
accept 337:8	367:1 382:16	364:1	allowed 399:24	412:20,22
338:22	391:13 395:25	ago 350:21	allowing 355:22	414:11,25
acceptable	397:1 403:18	356:24 370:15	allows 422:17	415:12 416:10
367:14	408:11 417:8	371:12,15	428:9	416:18 417:5
accepts 338:2	419:10	419:8 422:18	allpoints 436:23	419:9,9,10
access 393:21	additions	agree 353:2	alluded 409:17	420:1,3 430:2
394:1,5 441:16	339:20	366:5 395:15	alternative	444:11
accessed 399:25	address 394:14	410:8 414:18	341:12 385:14	anticipate
accommodate	396:13 418:25	agreement	387:14 393:17	348:22
357:15 419:20	addresses	411:5 427:22	alternatives	anybody 338:18
accomplish	388:24	agreements	349:25 353:3	anyway 391:17
440:10	addressing	411:12	385:19	392:12
accomplished	345:10 381:25	ahead 377:10	aluminum	apart 445:10
350:21	adjacent 350:16	384:23	356:15	apparently
account 442:2	397:23 398:23	ainsworth 333:3	amount 343:2	359:24 381:1
accurate 401:22	408:4,21,24	333:7 335:17	366:1 419:12	appealing
403:3 449:2	409:8 432:13	335:21,25	420:24 437:15	444:17
accurately	adjourned	336:23 337:24	amphibians	appear 335:24
436:1	447:25 448:4	338:19 339:7	433:14	336:9
achieve 345:21	administrative	339:10,19	analogy 385:24	appearance
414:17 432:9	334:14 335:11	340:1,6,9,13	analyses 438:8	335:6 336:14
achieved 443:17	admitted 403:7	340:17 361:9	439:9	337:3,9 338:2
acknowledge	403:14	361:13,16	analysis 349:9	338:23 348:11
377:6 384:13	adopt 340:14	384:25 393:23	442:1	354:3 400:22
acquire 354:23	402:2 403:4	394:16 395:12	analyst 332:16	appears 392:12
399:3	adopting 359:19	397:5 399:14	andre 338:15	apples 353:12
acquired 354:14	advanced 416:3	399:17 400:4	angle 413:18	353:12
acquired 357.17				
<u> </u>				

				Page 452
annlicable	335:14	363:14,19,24	335:21 337:5	holonging
applicable 345:25	335:14 architect 357:4	363:14,19,24	338:13 339:7	balancing 418:14,15
		,		· ·
applicant	357:6,18	365:8,20 366:5	369:22,23	balloon 349:7
335:10 336:22	architectural	366:8,13,19	384:25 393:23	390:8 391:6
356:8,9 359:22	357:9 367:22	367:2,11,25	394:16 400:24	438:21,22
369:23 380:12	area 342:5	368:4,14,20,24	433:4	band 416:11,12
393:24 395:5	344:10 349:12	369:12,19	authority	barbara 332:5
400:8,22	353:1,5 357:23	405:21,22	331:16 449:9	barlow 387:23
408:23 409:13	359:6 362:16	406:12,23	automobiles	base 342:23
430:5	362:24,25	407:2,10,19	351:12	343:6,13,16
applicants 337:6	370:7 373:6	430:20	available 335:5	363:11 390:24
386:12 389:21	374:4 375:19	asked 356:8	336:6 337:18	415:9 421:25
392:16,21	379:15 384:16	361:14 376:13	340:18 365:5	based 350:9
395:14,24	390:3 391:12	393:23 396:25	410:23 417:14	376:16,21
application	391:17,25	399:19 404:11	428:19	383:18 397:18
331:6 334:14	392:14,18	426:3	avenue 332:21	405:3 422:25
334:22 371:9	404:24 412:13	asking 370:24	avesos 338:15	429:13
371:12,18,24	412:14 424:5	395:16 428:20	aware 352:16	basically 388:19
372:23 373:9	432:3 436:4	aspect 425:23	355:24 360:11	418:5,7 425:2
384:24 385:5	areas 341:25	443:8	360:12,15,19	basis 441:8
387:8 405:8	344:17,20	aspects 364:8	360:21 363:15	beach 370:7
429:15 449:5	345:5 349:13	aspen 331:12	363:17,24	beacon 427:10
applications	362:7 376:7	334:21 358:15	364:4 377:12	beast 445:7
419:2	420:15 424:22	378:19 413:6	377:14 383:5	becoming 417:8
appreciate	424:22 446:1	449:7	388:1 399:23	beginning
347:19 419:23	447:16	assemblies	444:2	331:18 371:10
422:12	arent 362:8	359:2	awful 420:2	behalf 337:6
approach 359:3	442:10	assessment		364:21 389:4
368:12 410:10	argument	357:5	B	395:23
appropriate	447:19	assist 339:12	b 331:20 332:23	beige 405:9
356:12 410:15	argumentative	357:5 401:13	344:12,23	belief 397:24
410:18	397:6	associated	400:14	401:22 403:3
approved	arrangement	371:16 444:7	b15 401:4	believe 336:20
380:11	444:12,13	assume 361:18	b31 339:13	337:8 348:1,4
approximately	array 413:2	380:8 387:1	340:7,14	349:2 356:11
334:5 368:18	414:1 417:21	assuming	bachman	364:7 386:16
432:22 438:14	440:23	386:22 399:6	332:11 339:4	390:21 393:16
441:4	arrays 366:14	assumption	back 343:5,16	397:25 427:4
april 334:9	406:7,8 412:20	379:24 445:9	344:13 356:5	434:3,8 439:21
339:15 361:23	arrived 361:6	assurance	411:20 422:22	441:15
376:14 385:13	articulation	354:20	438:7 439:7	believes 389:22
393:9	367:24	attachment	backfilled	bell 332:5
archaeological	ashton 332:4	335:13	423:19	359:12,13
423:17	361:4,5,8,18	attention 446:11	bad 424:16	360:11,18,24
archaeology	361:22 363:10	attorney 332:13	balance 440:3	423:13,14,25
	201.22 202.10			,1 1,20
L				

				Page 453
404 6 405 01	1 1 401 14			420 16 420 22
424:6 425:21	branch 431:14	cabinets 415:9	carriers 351:23	430:16 439:23
426:1,10,16	branches 445:15	415:11	352:11,19	certificate 331:7
427:5 428:7,23	branching	cable 359:1	364:1 365:21	334:16 449:1,5
429:1	436:13 443:19	415:13,19	365:23 366:2,4	certifications
benefit 406:17	branford 370:6	416:2 429:9,10	366:16,18,23	370:16,25
409:10 410:5	break 400:9	429:13,13,16	367:1,15 381:9	certify 449:2
benefits 364:12	432:20	429:22,23	385:15 419:3,9	chair 361:3
364:13 409:6	brief 339:16	cables 415:22	419:21 427:6	423:15
best 344:1	briefing 337:23	430:2,6	429:17 443:23	chairman 334:7
358:12 369:5,7	briefs 447:2,6	cage 444:13	443:24 444:5,9	336:1 337:5
369:10,14,17	447:19	calendar 438:17	444:16 445:8	340:17,22
401:22 403:3	bright 421:15	call 334:3	446:2	341:5 346:3,10
410:5 414:8	brilliant 421:16	357:11 414:1	case 357:18	349:16,20
418:22	bring 375:24	417:21 418:16	362:18 367:4	353:18,22
better 341:25	385:19 390:11	427:10,14	376:9 383:15	359:10,14
384:21 407:18	413:2	441:17	408:20 418:3	369:20 399:9
421:14,17	bringing 358:23	called 339:3	431:24 443:12	401:6 402:9
424:17 428:24	413:23	359:5 365:17	445:25	403:6,21
437:10 440:10	britain 331:17	374:9 375:17	cases 429:20	405:16,20
445:2	334:11 449:9	380:25 381:1	435:19	407:22 411:17
bidder 380:9	brown 357:24	415:15 418:13	cat 385:20	418:22 423:11
381:20 383:10	358:1,3,17	427:8	catalog 435:22	433:1
big 390:19,22	359:7 404:12	calls 376:5	caught 361:25	chairperson
414:13	405:9 412:18	camouflage	cause 345:1,6	331:21 334:1
bit 361:12	414:9 431:11	350:17 356:12	cell 333:2 335:8	335:18 336:18
385:25 389:6	build 416:19	405:3,13	345:24 353:5	336:25 338:9
406:6 421:1,4	building 351:23	406:13,14	354:1,13,21	338:21 339:6
424:17 431:25	415:10 417:16	407:3,12	355:2,12,22	340:20,24
black 424:10,21	built 355:3	camouflaging	357:7 363:16	346:4 349:17
424:22 425:19	358:13 392:25	356:9	381:23 396:13	351:18 353:19
blend 421:13,14	415:7 417:17	canopy 421:24	446:16	359:11 361:4,6
421:17	bulk 406:21	431:12,18	cellular 379:14	369:21 397:7
block 407:10,12	413:4 443:8	443:11	382:10,15	399:11,16
blue 421:11	445:11,17	cant 338:5 348:6	central 344:13	400:5,20 403:9
422:1	bulky 445:6	348:6 350:23	certain 365:5	403:13 404:8
bluesky 405:10	bunch 416:2	357:7 407:23	368:10,11	405:17,21
board 422:7	burden 395:13	416:21 428:3	421:23 425:14	407:13,20
boards 419:15	395:14,16	430:2 443:17	certainly 337:22	411:2,15,18
bog 422:19	business 433:12	444:9	348:6 349:10	418:13,17
433:7,18	businesses	capacity 444:10	355:11 362:13	423:12 429:3
border 421:20	377:15	care 395:18	370:11 385:4	430:22 431:6
bottom 346:16	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	careful 362:2	395:2 404:5	433:2 434:7,11
404:1	c 332:1,5 333:1	carrier 362:4	405:11 407:8	446:25 449:8
bradley 333:4	400:13,14,15	363:9 376:16	411:6 412:6	challenge
brainard 449:17	400.13,14,13	376:17,19	413:1,2 421:11	408:12
	l	I		I

				Page 454
shames 250.2	267.21	412.17.421.6	aammatihla	200.21
change 352:2 437:19	367:21	412:17 421:6	compatible	398:21
	cleanest 359:3	432:2 437:7	442:14,17	conditioned
changed 370:17	clear 347:20	commencing	compensate	411:7
415:6	358:11 387:16	335:6	355:17	conditions
channel 344:15	411:3 433:16	comment	complete 342:10	351:11 420:21
427:1,16,19,20	434:19 437:18	363:23	344:9 449:2	conducted 373:5
427:23 428:14	clearance 366:1	comments	completed	373:25 384:14
character	368:21	350:10 394:19	359:24	confused 359:20
446:20	clearly 396:14	402:3	completely	360:1
characteristics	424:20	commercial	342:5 391:17	conical 445:14
443:5	clerks 335:2	352:25 444:3	complication	coniferous
cheap 440:25	447:23	commitment	417:8	411:23 442:7,8
cheaper 430:21	client 440:14	361:11	component	443:12 444:20
check 375:3	clients 398:10	communicate	442:18	conjunction
checkerboard	close 350:11,12	344:6	components	429:11
341:19	358:23 367:6	communication	358:20	connected
checkers 341:19	384:3 390:11	352:1 364:14	computeraided	344:13 415:12
385:24	413:11,11	396:14 427:18	449:3	connecticut
choice 417:14	414:25	427:21,24	concealed	331:1,2,13,17
418:6 447:8	closecontact	communicatio	357:12 359:4	333:5 334:3,7
chose 336:9	406:8	342:14 343:4	367:10 368:12	334:10,11,12
chosen 427:13	closely 358:3	343:18 345:16	369:8	334:22 362:6
chris 337:5	closer 378:17,24	345:17 346:19	concept 346:1	363:25 374:21
christopher	416:18	346:24 351:8,9	386:4,25 387:1	386:20 443:23
332:23 337:1	closing 447:1	351:10,11,15	431:8	447:1 449:8,9
338:14	clutter 359:1	364:23,24	concern 406:16	449:18
cingular 331:5	coax 415:22	365:4 379:8	410:11 419:22	connections
332:18 334:15	429:9 430:1,8	381:14 382:1	440:18,19	416:7
400:23 449:4	430:11,14,19	383:1 393:22	442:23 443:7	connects 415:25
circular 362:21	coaxial 415:12	396:16,19	443:18	conscious
circumstances	415:19 429:13	433:12	concerned	437:21
365:19	429:16 430:6	community	350:11 356:18	conservation
citation 433:20	431:4	350:15,20,23	404:17 410:24	354:19,21
434:4,12	cobbley 408:15	357:20 380:1	413:25 419:4	conservative
cite 363:22	collect 401:13	390:3 440:9	421:5	441:20
cited 337:19	collocated 444:5	company 362:19	concerning	consider 366:24
434:3	collocation	363:3 375:22	412:16	387:9 412:9
cladding 404:13	419:17	comparative	concerns 406:20	432:18 438:4
clarification	color 357:19	429:8	408:17,20	considerable
408:8	359:6 421:6,7	compare 353:12	419:19	431:1
clarify 342:16	421:25 422:5	comparison	conclusion	consideration
347:2,5 358:19	431:11 432:21	378:21	412:18 437:8	378:11
405:23 409:12	combination	compatibility	conclusions	considerations
446:16	435:5,8	331:7 334:17	396:8	439:23 440:7
clean 357:8,17	come 368:22	449:5	condition	considered

				Page 455
391:14 420:8	345:24	435:1 436:10	344:20 345:21	425:13
421:3 447:20				
	conversation	correspondence	353:13,14	cvrm 449:16
consisted 383:24	376:17 381:4	335:12	362:23,24,25	D
consistent	444:24	cost 440:14,18	363:4,5 379:19	d 331:20 339:2,2
357:21 404:23	conversations	440:19	390:2 392:17	400:16 450:1
412:3	374:9 376:24	costly 440:25	392:20 396:15	damage 409:3
consists 364:14	379:5 398:3	couldnt 394:5	covered 429:25	daniel 332:8
construction	convey 347:16	council 331:2,15	covers 368:22	dark 359:6
331:8 334:18	convinced 407:2	332:2,10 334:4	cowling 359:2	
357:22 449:6	407:5	334:7,23	create 357:8	dartboard 386:1
consult 385:1	coordinate	336:17 337:16	421:8 439:24	darts 386:1
consultant	381:9	338:2 346:7,16	created 436:23	data 351:5,9,10
360:1,2 374:25	coordinated	370:6 376:12	creates 437:18	351:22,24
consultants	382:11	378:11 380:12	creating 418:10	352:6,9,14,15
381:15	coordination	380:19,22	credence 368:25	352:20,25
consummated	382:19	385:17 396:11	criteria 380:22	353:4,13,14
384:21	copies 335:5	411:4 438:4	critical 395:21	415:3,4 416:14
contacted	447:21	447:2,4,7,11	cross 434:24	416:15 431:10
384:25	copy 377:19	447:12,14,21	435:15 436:1	date 361:20
contained 383:6	correct 345:23	449:3	crossexaminat	371:16 399:22
contd 333:1	347:18 355:20	councils 447:17	336:6,12	447:13
content 379:10	355:23 360:23	counsel 398:3	337:14 338:8	dated 335:12
381:7	365:11 366:9	counter 411:7	340:19 341:1,6	339:15 361:23
context 367:18	372:12 373:20	county 422:20	369:23,25	401:10,15
379:11 381:18	376:10 377:21	couple 346:12	389:11 397:18	402:14
381:19 390:5	377:22 378:19	349:21 370:15	403:16,17,22	david 332:15
continuation	380:6,7 381:10	376:5 393:5	433:4,5	339:14 450:2
334:8	383:11,12	411:19 415:1	crossexamine	day 420:22
continue 339:7	388:9 393:2	426:2 446:4	346:14	days 414:23
397:11	402:21 408:6	course 350:20	crossexamined	420:24 447:13
continued	411:24 412:1	370:25 382:12	336:10	dc 415:24
331:15 371:1	414:14 418:12	388:17 396:4	cuddy 332:20	dead 443:3
continues 417:7	418:18,20,21	427:7 428:11	cullane 338:15	deal 337:22
contractual	423:6,8 427:2	courses 372:8	cupola 350:18	354:24 440:11
380:17	430:4 431:22	court 394:3	curious 360:19	dealing 349:25
contradict	434:14 438:25	449:16	currently	432:11
425:16	439:5,12 443:1	cover 341:15,16	378:11	decade 356:24
contrast 344:18	correction	358:9 368:13	curriculum	decades 423:6
421:8	340:10	368:17	339:15	decided 377:20
contributed	corrections	coverage 339:14	customary	deciduous
354:22	339:20 340:11	341:8,10,12,13	382:15	435:20 437:15
control 344:13	402:23	342:3,6,10,15	customers	442:25 444:19
convenience	correctly 351:3	342:20,21	416:16	decision 383:14
335:3	432:5	343:7,8,8,9,17	cut 421:24	declare 447:24
conventional		343:20 344:9		dedicate 428:16
Conventional	correspond) 343.20 3 44 .9	cutaway 425:3	120.10
	·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

				Page 456
	l , .	1 420 22	,,,,	l ,,,,,,
dedicated 427:1	designee 332:6	439:23	416:6	443:4
427:16	designing	difference	dixon 449:16	dot 425:19
deep 332:6	343:21 419:8	342:16 349:10	doc 437:24	dots 425:4
definitely 422:6	designs 365:18	390:17,20,22	docket 331:4	dotted 424:9,9
definition	desires 447:10	431:1	334:4 370:7,21	424:12 425:11
362:20 405:24	detail 408:3	different 344:17	371:3,10	doubt 346:23
degree 433:9,11	details 372:14	352:14 353:6	414:14 420:1	downhill 390:9
delay 344:21,25	determine	353:11 371:25	449:4	downlink
deletions 339:20	343:20 365:4	387:2 405:2	dockets 417:13	427:23 428:12
deliver 351:24	379:21	428:10,18	document	428:15
delivering 352:3	determined	439:18	356:10 384:9	dr 332:5,7
352:6,20	384:18	differential	402:24	353:20,21
demonstrate	detraction	413:17 441:15	documents	355:4,14 356:2
436:20 437:1	406:19	difficult 430:12	339:12	358:5,10 359:8
demonstration	develop 381:23	direction 378:24	doesnt 357:13	359:12,13
396:17	382:9,14	director 332:12	360:4 389:8,25	360:11,18,24
demonstrations	384:16 386:25	disagree 392:22	442:1	404:11 411:18
349:8	399:20 437:7	discovered	doing 368:9	411:19 412:2,8
department	438:7	406:5	409:6,14	412:15 413:5
422:4	developed 399:1	discovery 434:5	417:15 431:16	414:6,20
depend 365:25	421:22	434:6	442:25 443:18	417:15 418:5
depending	developer 363:9	discussed 406:6	dominating	418:15,19,24
387:20 421:9	418:25	discussing	348:11	419:23 421:10
428:18	developing	345:18	dont 337:20	421:19 422:11
depends 350:15	383:21	discussion	341:12 345:6	422:23 423:3,9
350:22 367:18	development	342:12 345:9	356:11 365:14	422:23 423:3,9
390:5	376:2 387:24	351:7 371:4,18	366:24 368:20	424:6 425:21
depict 425:11,16	devoid 362:8	372:15 383:7	370:11 372:14	426:1,10,16
436:13 437:14	diagram 423:19	387:3 440:14	370:11 372:14	, ,
	O			427:5 428:7,23
depicted 423:24	424:7 425:24	discussions	374:18 375:3	429:1 431:6,7
434:25 435:25	435:12	352:18 408:22	375:17 376:9	431:19 432:10
depicts 424:23	dialogue 351:4	409:12	376:22 377:1,8	432:24
deploy 417:10	diameter 357:14	distal 414:8	383:17 384:9	draft 447:14,17
deployed 416:4	368:6,10,11,15	distals 420:7	385:5 386:2,16	draw 446:10
deploying	368:18 429:18	distance 414:3	388:24 391:20	driven 363:8
416:11	didnt 369:13,15	distances 378:22	395:15 396:9	driver 430:16
deposited 335:1	371:15 372:19	distant 443:15	397:9,11 400:2	drives 419:15
describe 371:5	375:18 379:13	distasteful 355:5	405:2 406:18	driving 362:19
445:18	382:2 384:21	distinctly	409:9 410:13	416:19
described 358:9	393:21 394:1,4	344:16	410:14 413:9	dry 412:3
391:2 428:12	395:5,7,24	distributing	419:19 420:18	dull 421:16
design 343:20	396:23 397:9	353:5	421:11 423:23	duly 339:3
383:19 419:1,4	424:13,14	disturbance	431:10 434:1	400:17
419:6,19	426:13 433:16	424:4	436:10,12	dying 419:6
designed 444:18	438:21 439:2,9	diversity 416:6	438:17 441:22	
	l	l		l

				Page 457
			200 20 200 2	242.7 0.244.11
E	elevation 378:14	evaluated	398:20 399:3	342:7,9 344:11
e 331:20,20,20	379:3	377:20	399:21	344:12 347:4
332:1,1 333:1	elses 410:12	evans 333:3	existing 363:4	347:22 352:24
333:1 400:13	emergency	eventually 430:7	409:3 443:11	362:22,24
400:14,14,14	351:12 359:22	evergreen	expect 348:15	365:21 381:2
400:15,15,15	364:14,23	410:17	385:10	391:13 392:13
400:16 450:1	employed	everybody	experience	392:17 440:6
earlier 386:9,11	440:21	398:21 445:20	348:8 350:14	443:18,24
391:16 393:21	employee 375:1	evidence 337:11	356:24 364:22	444:6 446:3
397:15 404:10	enclosing 359:1	359:17,18	365:16 367:18	449:6
406:6 426:3	ended 355:12	360:16 364:11	370:16 371:1	fact 337:10
earth 404:22	enforce 411:4	364:13 365:1	410:8	363:11 372:19
421:24 432:7	engineer 364:24	394:9,10 396:6	expert 389:21	377:24 378:10
earthcolored	365:4	412:9 437:7	426:15	381:6 386:13
414:10	entertain 386:4	438:3 447:19	expertise 412:14	386:24 426:13
easement	entire 392:18	evidentiary	434:16	430:4 437:14
399:24 400:2	438:5	337:19	explain 335:21	440:4 447:3,6
east 408:14,22	entirely 386:20	evolution 354:8	explained	447:14,17
431:24	439:18	evolves 416:13	341:17	factors 412:7
easy 376:5	entities 382:11	exactly 377:14	explaining	factual 396:6
economics	entrance 441:16	385:6 421:23	389:10	fair 363:11,12
383:20	environmental	424:13	explanation	367:7 379:17
effect 348:14	331:7 334:17	examination	424:17	404:4 420:24
367:6 390:12	433:11 449:5	371:4	explicitly 354:1	fairfield 422:19
407:9 413:23	environments	examine 426:6	exposure 412:4	433:8
432:9 442:22	351:15	examined 339:4	extend 368:11	fairly 341:10
effective 357:24	equal 414:18	400:18	404:18	370:13 371:3
409:1 410:1	equipment	examiners 450:3	extent 337:16,21	390:10 408:16
effectively	415:7,11,17	450:11	380:20	420:20
432:16	416:8,17	example 349:24	external 406:10	fake 445:19
effects 435:8	419:12 427:21	363:15 369:2	externally 415:9	familiar 357:10
efficiency	427:25	examples	415:23 419:25	378:6 386:21
418:10	equivalent	367:19	extracted 435:7	426:24,25
effort 436:19	343:6	excuse 409:2	eye 361:25	far 343:15
437:21 438:5	error 339:23	434:7		356:17 406:12
efforts 355:2	esq 332:11,23	excused 400:11	F	419:1 426:14
381:10	essentially	448:3	f 331:20	428:1 446:19
either 355:10	427:19 437:17	executive	fabulous 420:22	faster 415:4,4
358:15 367:9	440:11	332:12 339:24	facilitate 366:15	fatter 368:1,3
387:19 411:7	establish 362:15	exhibit 401:3	376:1	faux 443:6 446:9
412:9 439:10	446:8	434:23,23	facilities 345:5,9	fcc 342:20
444:19	established	exhibits 339:8	364:9,10 376:2	february 334:23
electrical 343:1	386:9 408:16	403:13	441:1	feder 332:20
elementary	establishing	exist 436:13	facility 331:10	federal 427:9
392:3	428:11	existed 398:16	334:19 342:2,4	feel 364:16
3,2.3				

				Page 458
122.2	204.20.41.7.3	P4 420 10	l e	414242212
422:2	394:20 415:2	fit 430:18	forgetting	414:2 423:10
feeling 440:8	438:19 439:7	five 336:21	348:16	432:25 446:23
feet 348:16,19	firstnet 427:8	419:7	forgive 361:10	future 342:10
357:15 389:16	fisher 332:23	fiveeighths	form 336:3,14	417:24 419:22
390:16,16	337:4,5 340:22	429:19,21	337:12 338:3	
415:18 432:23	369:24 370:1,3	fiveminute	406:17 408:24	<u>G</u>
441:23,23	370:12,21	400:9	formal 355:25	g 400:16 449:16
443:10 445:10	371:2,7,17,22	flag 357:13	formed 437:12	galvanize
446:2,5	372:3,7,17,22	flagpole 357:12	found 422:19	350:13
feldman 333:3	373:3,8,14,18	358:16 365:9	423:2 433:8	gasparino
felt 435:21	373:24 374:6	366:14 367:15	four 416:7 444:2	338:15
fiber 415:20,24	374:12,16,20	367:20 368:4	444:5,16 445:8	gather 403:24
429:22,22,23	374:24 375:4	368:12 414:25	446:2	gee 397:3
430:16,17,18	375:10,15	419:1,13	fourbranch	general 334:13
430:20 431:4	376:8,11,21,25	flagpolestyle	416:5,6	338:1 354:15
fiberoptic	377:5,10,18,23	365:16	fourth 422:16	365:22 367:21
429:10	378:1,5,9,15	flavor 428:2	frames 358:4	371:13 372:15
field 356:21	378:17,25	flight 438:21	franklin 331:16	378:22 391:3
423:23 424:2	379:4,12,18	floats 349:8	449:9	406:10 441:17
424:14 435:2	380:2,8,16,24	floor 332:21	frankly 428:5	generally
435:12 438:7	381:6,12,19	flush 358:6,8,15	freedom 395:7	342:21 353:2
fiftyfive 431:19	382:2,8,22	359:5 367:7,9	frequencies	362:5 387:18
file 385:8 447:12	383:4,9,13,17	367:12,14,20	415:5 416:5,15	388:2 406:8
filed 339:8	384:5,11,23	367:23 368:6,9	428:10,13,18	414:23 431:15
384:24 385:5	385:7,12,23	368:15,19	frequency 353:8	432:5
447:3,22	386:8,17,22	369:8 412:21	428:17	generated 341:8
filing 434:21	387:6,11,17	414:11	fringe 343:15	generic 426:19
fill 430:9	388:1,8,13,18	focused 381:25	front 380:11	gentleman
filled 430:1	, , ,			364:21
	388:25 389:5	folks 406:6,17	419:14 438:18	gentlemen 334:2
find 348:25	389:13,19,25	follow 349:22	441:22	genuine 346:22
350:6 356:21	390:14,21,24	followed 396:2	full 406:7	346:23
407:23 442:15	391:3,7,18	following	417:21 430:13	
findings 447:3,6	392:2,7,15,19	399:25	436:9	geographic 344:17
447:14,17	392:23 393:5	follows 339:5	fully 342:8	
fine 336:23	393:14,20	400:19	384:21 392:13	getting 338:12
finish 405:9	394:2,15,21,25	followup 393:6	fund 354:22	349:25
finishes 405:10	395:4,15,20	428:8 430:25	410:16	give 368:25
fire 422:4	396:20,25	footprint 344:9	further 350:1	375:16 396:6
firms 401:12	397:13 398:2,7	352:25	351:17 359:9	414:21 425:5
first 337:1 339:3	398:14,19,25	force 359:23	359:16 360:7	425:12,17
339:23 356:4	399:8 400:25	381:14 382:24	369:19 378:10	429:7 439:15
361:24,25	401:6,20 402:2	393:22	380:5 384:14	given 354:18
371:19,23	402:9,18,22	foregoing 399:6	384:22 387:1	366:6,10,13
373:9 376:18	403:2,6 422:25	449:2	392:5 400:18	420:21 428:20
377:11 386:23	450:5	forest 442:18	407:19 412:9	430:18 436:11
			l	

giving 354:3 355:18 358:21 glad 445:3 glidden 337:1 338:14 go 336:15 38:13,24 344:14 346:6 356:5 369:22 378:1 381:8 397:14 404:5 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 420:23 408:2 438:6,7 438:14 goes 406:13 going 335:24 350:4,13 352:2 352:6 365:11 365:21,23 371:8 372:13 384:18 399:13 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 411:20 413:9 413:12 418:7 hear 352:20 369:13,15 heard 365:10 369:14 382:23 369:13,15 heard 365:10 369:14 382:23 369:14 382:23 369:13,15 heard 365:10 369:14 382:23 369:14 382:23 369:14 382:23 397:15,17 hearing 334:8,8 335:1 338:23 399:13 346:20 349:18,19 349:18,19 410:20 411:13 hear 352:20 369:13,15 heard 365:10 369:14 382:23 higher 355:17 379:2 415:4 416:8,12 higher 355:17 379:2 415:4 416:8,12 higher 355:17 379:2 415:4 416:8,15 higher 355:17 379:2 415:4 416:8,15 higher 356:21 429:8 idea 346:8 419:11 425:6 416:8,12 higher 355:17 379:2 415:4 416:15 highly 350:6 hills 344:19 hinderance 365:22 366:25 hindrance 366:15,18,21 hired 357:4 hobsons 418:6 hole 423:20 homeland 331:5 382:19 334:15 342:4 homeland 331:5 342:2 373:25 400:22 449:4 homeland 331:5 372:23 373:11 domeland 331:5 372:23 373:11 domeland 331:5 372:23 373:11 domeland 331:5 373:25 400:22 449:4 domeland 331:5 373:25 400:22 449:4 domeland 331:5 373:25 400:22 449:4 homes 441:10 homes 344:13 homes 441:10 homes 441:10 homes 441:10 homes 441:10 homes 344:13 homes 441:10 homes 441:10 homes 344:13 homes 441:10 homes 441:13
355:18 358:21
glad 445:3 434:22 441:11 heard 365:10 416:8,12 419:11 425:6 glidden 337:1 338:14 gustafson 369:14 382:23 higher 355:17 429:8 338:13,24 338:13,24 422:15 433:24 hearing 334:8,8 416:15 highly 350:6 highly 350:6 highly 350:6 hills 344:19 heartifed 339:23 identified 339:23 identify 375:25 423:20 447:16 366:15,18,21 hick 413:10 hick 413:10 hick 413:10 hick 413:10 hick 413:12 424:4 <t< td=""></t<>
glidden 337:1 444:10 445:17 369:14 382:23 higher 355:17 429:8 338:14 gustafson 422:15 433:24 397:15,17 379:2 415:4 ideal 414:16 338:13,24 H hearing 334:8,8 416:15 highly 350:6 339:23 344:14 346:6 331:20 400:13 393:10 394:9 hinderance 423:20 447:16 371:1 4 404:5 half 437:11 heck 413:10 hired 357:4 historic 417:4 historic 417:4 hobsons 418:6 435:22 438:6,7 hall 335:2 handheld 355:18 358:20 hole 423:20 illuminate 350:4,13 352:2 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 366:6,11,14 424:4 344:16 384:18 399:13 350:25 351:16 350:25 351:16 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 449:4 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 411:13 height s42:20 homes 441:10 homestly 440:19 height wise homestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
338:14 gustafson 397:15,17 hearing 334:8,8 379:2 415:4 ideal 414:16 ideal 414:16 ideal 414:16 identified 339:23 identify 375:25
go 336:15 422:15 433:24 hearing 334:8,8 416:15 identified 339:23 344:14 346:6 356:5 369:22 h 331:20 400:13 399:13 361:20 hills 344:19 identified 339:23 378:1 381:8 400:14 393:10 394:9 hinderance 423:20 447:16 ii 401:4 397:14 404:5 habitat 432:12 habitat 432:12 habitat 432:12 heavily 341:24 hindrance iil 338:13 356:5 366:15,18,21 366:15,18,21 366:15,18,21 366:12,318,21 366:15,18,21 409:21 413:25 409:21 413:25 418:21 426:16 418:21 426:16 418:21 426:16 424:4 424:4 424:4 344:16 344:16 344:16 345:2 365:21,23 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 390:9,9 392:24 372:23 373:11 373:25 400:22 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 436:5 366:41,11 373:25 400:22 436:5 345:2 366:66,61,11,14 373:25 400:22 436:5 345:2 373:25 400:22 436:5 345:2 373:25 400:22
H 338:13,24 344:14 346:6 356:5 369:22 h 331:20 400:13 400:14 habitat 432:12 hadht 396:1 half 437:11 half 437:11 half 437:11 half 335:2 hamilton 332:21 hambeld 355:4 352:6 365:11 365:21,23 350:25 351:16 350:25 351:16 350:25 351:16 351:21 407:20 heights 432:20 heights 432:20 heights 432:20 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 homes 440:19 im 334:6 337:22 i
344:14 346:6 H 339:13 361:20 hills 344:19 identify 375:25 356:5 369:22 378:1 381:8 400:14 393:10 394:9 365:22 366:25 423:20 447:16 397:14 404:5 habitat 432:12 habitat 432:12 hadnt 396:1 heavily 341:24 hindrance il 401:4 430:2 433:3 half 437:11 half 437:11 heck 413:10 hired 357:4 409:21 413:25 438:14 hamilton 332:21 hamilton 332:21 handheld 348:2,23 hobsons 418:6 illegal 355:6,9 350:4,13 352:2 handoffs 363:5 hannon 332:6 389:6,16 390:1 homeland 331:5 344:16 365:21,23 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 421:23 431:12 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 Homes 441:10 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 homes 441:10 411:20 413:9 heights 432:20 homestly 440:19 iidentify 375:25
356:5 369:22 h 331:20 400:13 393:10 394:9 hinderance 423:20 447:16 378:1 381:8 400:14 394:11 401:9 365:22 366:25 ii 401:4 397:14 404:5 habitat 432:12 447:1,22,24 hindrance 366:15,18,21 400:24 33:3 half 437:11 heck 413:10 hired 357:4 409:21 413:25 438:14 hamilton 332:21 hamilton 332:21 height 342:7 historic 417:4 hobsons 418:6 hobsons 418:6 hole 423:20 hole 423:20 illuminate 344:16 illumination 350:4,13 352:2 hannon 332:6 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 390:9,9 392:24 332:19 334:15 345:2 illumination 371:8 372:13 350:25 351:16 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 436:5 384:18 399:13 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 385:19,22 411:13 heightwise honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
378:1 381:8 400:14 394:11 401:9 365:22 366:25 ii 401:4 397:14 404:5 408:2 420:23 430:2 433:3 430:2 433:3 436f 437:11 436c 15,18,21 367:2 370:4 438:14 438:14 438:14 438:14 438:14 438:22 438:22 438:23 438:23 438:223 438:223 438:223 438:223 438:223 438:223 438:223 424:4 424:4 344:16 344:16 344:16 344:16 344:16 345:22 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 350:25 351:16 350:25 351:16 350:25 351:16 421:23 431:12 373:25 400:22 436:5 385:19,22 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 411:13 411:13 407:21 408:7 411:13 411:13 400:eightwise 400:eightwise 400:eightwise 400:22 366:25 iil 401:4 iil 338:13 356:5 367:2 370:4 409:21 413:25 418:21 426:16 iillegal 355:6,9 iilluminate 344:16 iilluminate 344:16 345:2 iillustration 345:2 iillustrations 355:19,22 449:4 449:4 449:4 449:4 449:4 449:4 449:4 449:4 449:
397:14 404:5 habitat 432:12 447:1,22,24 hindrance 366:15,18,21 367:2 370:4 408:2 420:23 half 437:11 half 437:11 heck 413:10 hired 357:4 409:21 413:25 438:14 hamilton 332:21 hamilton 332:21 height 342:7 historic 417:4 409:21 413:25 438:14 hamilton 332:21 handheld 343:10 hobsons 418:6 illegal 355:6,9 350:4,13 352:2 handoffs 363:5 hannon 332:6 366:6,11,14 homeland 331:5 344:16 350:4,13 352:1 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 421:23 431:12 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 homes 441:10 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 385:19,22 411:13 heightwise honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
408:2 420:23 hadnt 396:1 heavily 341:24 366:15,18,21 367:2 370:4 430:2 433:3 435:22 438:6,7 hall 335:2 height 342:7 historic 417:4 409:21 413:25 438:14 hamilton 332:21 height 342:7 historic 417:4 418:21 426:16 goes 406:13 handheld 343:10 355:18 358:20 hole 423:20 illuminate 350:4,13 352:2 handoffs 363:5 389:6,16 390:1 homeland 331:5 344:16 365:21,23 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 Homes 441:10 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 385:19,22 411:20 413:9 411:13 heightwise honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
430:2 433:3 half 437:11 heck 413:10 hired 357:4 409:21 413:25 435:22 438:6,7 438:14 hall 335:2 hamilton 332:21 height 342:7 historic 417:4 418:21 426:16 goes 406:13 handheld 343:10 handoffs 35:18 358:20 hole 423:20 illuminate 350:4,13 352:2 handoffs 363:5 hannon 332:6 389:6,16 390:1 homeland 331:5 illumination 365:21,23 349:18,19 417:6 419:15 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 350:25 351:16 421:23 431:12 373:25 400:22 436:5 384:18 399:13 407:21 408:7 407:21 408:7 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 385:19,22 411:20 413:9 411:13 heightwise honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
435:22 438:6,7 438:14 goes 406:13 going 335:24 350:4,13 352:2 365:21,23 371:8 372:13 384:18 399:13 400:7 410:4 411:20 413:9 hall 335:2 hamilton 332:21 hamilton 332:21 hamoled 343:10 handoffs 363:5 handoffs 363:5 hannon 332:6 349:18,19 407:21 408:7 height 342:7 348:2,23 366:6,11,14 389:6,16 390:1 417:6 419:15 421:23 431:12 435:25 historic 417:4 hobsons 418:6 424:4 418:21 426:16 illegal 355:6,9 illuminate handoffs 363:5 hannon 332:6 349:18,19 350:25 351:16 400:7 410:4 411:13 389:6,16 390:1 417:6 419:15 421:23 431:12 435:25 heights 432:20 heights 432:20 heightwise 332:19 334:15 372:23 373:11 449:4 345:2 illustration 385:19,22 im 334:6 337:22
438:14 goes 406:13 going 335:24 hamilton 332:21 handheld 343:10 348:2,23 soing 335:24 hobsons 418:6 hole 423:20 soing 348:10 hole 423:20 soing 348:10 hole 423:20 soing 348:16 hole 423:20 soing 348:18
goes 406:13 handheld 355:18 358:20 hole 423:20 illuminate 350:4,13 352:2 343:10 366:6,11,14 344:16 350:4,13 352:2 352:6 365:11 389:6,16 390:1 332:19 334:15 345:2 365:21,23 349:18,19 417:6 419:15 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 407:21 408:7 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 411:13 411:13 411:13 400:eightwise 400:etghtwise 400:
going 335:24 343:10 366:6,11,14 424:4 34:16 350:4,13 352:2 352:6 365:11 389:6,16 390:1 332:19 334:15 345:2 365:21,23 349:18,19 417:6 419:15 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 407:21 408:7 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 411:13 411:13 400:eightwise 400:etghtwise 400:19 400:19 400:34:16
solid 353:21 handoffs 363:5 handoffs 363:5 389:6,16 390:1 homeland 331:5 illumination 345:2 350:4,13 352:2 349:18,19 349:18,19 372:23 373:11 372:23 373:11 345:2 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 418:40:4 411:13 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 411:13<
352:6 365:11 hannon 332:6 390:9,9 392:24 332:19 334:15 345:2 365:21,23 349:18,19 417:6 419:15 372:23 373:11 illustration 384:18 399:13 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 illustrations 400:7 410:4 407:21 408:7 heights 432:20 homes 441:10 385:19,22 411:20 413:9 411:13 honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
365:21,23 349:18,19 417:6 419:15 372:23 373:11 illustration 371:8 372:13 350:25 351:16 421:23 431:12 373:25 400:22 436:5 384:18 399:13 407:21 408:7 407:21 408:7 411:13 homes 441:10 homes 441:10 homestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
371:8 372:13 350:25 351:16 421:23 431:12 373:25 400:22 436:5 351:21 407:20 435:25 449:4 400:7 410:4 411:20 413:9 411:13 421:23 431:12 homes 441:10 385:19,22 heightwise homestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
384:18 399:13
400:7 410:4 411:20 413:9 407:21 408:7 411:13 heights 432:20 honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
411:20 413:9 411:13 heightwise honestly 440:19 im 334:6 337:22
111.20 113.9 Indicately 110.19 Int 351.0 357.22
420:12,14,18 354:12,25 held 331:15 hope 432:18 347:21 352:8
420:12,14,18 35 1:12,25 Ineld 351:15 Inope 432:18 347:21 352:8 420:23 430:16 355:7,9 334:9,11 Inope 432:18 352:16,22
420.23 430.16 happens 391:7 334.9,11 horizontal 413:2 352.16,22 427:10 449:8 horizontal 413:2 353:3 355:8,20
127710 11970 110712 12712
110.25 111.1 Help 550.10 Horizontary 555.20,21
111.7 120.13 House 330.12 330.10 337.20
20.0
300000 II I
357.4 570.1,2 Helps 545.5 441.5
317.3 330.2 Huge 317.10 371.0 373.13
107.11 110.21 neredi 177.13 net 113.0,0
izi.z izz.o,o
granted 377.24 370.10 374.25
gray +20.25 hes 377.0 +00.25 hypotheticals 373.10 370.5
Sect. 111.22 100.21 100.21
T 107.5 107.15
413.13 423.21 110.20 nesitant 417.10 409.18 412.12
11110,12,10
groups 427:11 418:2 419:11 hide 357:7 397:4 400:20 420:18 426:13
guess 350:3 429:11 high 349:12 422:23 431:15 426:15 428:13

				Page 460
429-20 22 22	! J! 4!	420.4	411.0	201-24-405-2
428:20,22,22	indication	432:4	issue 411:9	381:24 405:3
431:7 438:17	425:12	interest 376:1	447:14	442:15
444:1	indications	389:2	issued 371:11,14	klemens 332:7
immediate	355:25	interested 381:2	373:23 377:20	353:20,21
349:6 356:20	individual	386:13,24	384:19	355:4,14 356:2
358:14 436:22	436:14	interests 362:14	issues 345:11	358:5,10 359:8
immediately	individuals	interference	349:23 382:1	404:11 411:18
357:3 388:11	348:7 376:6	344:3 345:1,7	item 401:4,8	411:19 412:2,8
388:14	industrial 445:6	interior 420:3	402:10,14	412:15 413:5
impact 349:9	industry 342:21	429:25	items 403:7	414:6,20
351:25 356:16	405:2 444:3	internal 366:14	ive 346:13	417:15 418:5
356:19,22	information	367:15 368:5	349:21 360:14	418:15,19,24
358:13 389:22	337:17 354:11	417:19 430:8	391:6 409:12	419:23 421:10
390:2 404:14	355:10 364:25	440:23	420:1,19,20	421:19 422:11
436:21 440:16	370:10 374:7	internally	434:19 437:9	422:23 423:3,9
impacted 352:7	375:16 386:6	414:13 415:23	437:11,12	431:6,7,19
impactful	393:24 395:6,7	419:24	443:1,2,5	432:10,24
391:21	395:25 396:7	intersection	J	437:24
impacts 364:10	397:1,4,19	331:11 334:20		know 336:11
410:25 418:9	398:9,10	449:7	j 332:3	338:10 349:7,8
implemented	401:14,21	intersects	james 332:3	374:16 377:1,8
400:3	422:7 425:2,17	434:22	jr 332:3,8	383:17,23
imply 382:19	434:1 435:5	intervening	july 447:5	384:18 388:24
important	447:18	437:15	jump 420:25	391:20 393:23
343:18 396:2	inhouse 375:1	intervenor	june 331:18	405:2 410:1,14
improper 337:8	initially 437:1	335:7,15 447:4	334:5,10	413:14 414:17
impropriety	439:13	447:10	335:10 339:17	419:5 423:4
354:4	initiative 417:20	intervenors	401:10,15	424:13 426:19
inability 418:1	inquiry 375:11	376:3 447:8,15	402:14 434:23	429:22 431:10
inch 429:18,20	inside 358:9	intrigued 431:8	436:18 438:9	434:1 446:12
incidence	359:2 368:13	investigated	438:18,19	knowledge
432:14	368:21 419:12	373:12	439:7 449:10	372:18 386:3
include 351:5	430:2,2	invisible 384:4	K	388:19
404:24,25	installation	involve 444:11	keep 358:2	known 398:21
incongruous	348:24	involved 354:6	keith 333:7	418:20 447:11
337:25	installed 369:10	360:3 398:22	kind 338:6	L
inconsistencies	instance 348:8	isnt 362:5	342:16 344:5	
447:16	367:13 376:18	368:15 372:1	357:21 359:20	1331:20 400:13
incorrect 377:4	406:22	373:20 376:16	367:10 385:25	400:13,14,14
independent	intended 347:16	378:12 388:9	387:24 404:13	400:15
372:24 373:2,4	intention 364:8	389:9 399:4	405:9 421:6	lack 412:10
indicate 346:18	intentions	421:14 436:25	426:18,24	436:20 437:1
indicates 347:8	410:25	437:5	443:11	445:2
indicating	interact 375:23	isolate 345:5	kinds 357:16,22	ladies 334:2
347:15	intercepting	isotrope 380:5	Killus 337.10,22	land 354:19,20
			l	

				Page 461
354:21	lengthy 371:4	337:3,9 338:1	378:6,10	399:1,20 405:8
landowners	lessen 356:19,22	338:22 389:22	379:21,22,25	408:15 409:1,2
362:14	lessening 413:3	limits 363:16	389:7 391:13	410:7 413:10
landscape	letter 337:12	line 339:24	404:15 424:19	420:2 428:6
432:12 446:12	411:22	367:22 404:1	425:19 435:13	430:18
446:17	level 413:15	408:19 424:9	435:23	lots 398:16,20
landscaping	441:5,10,12,16	424:12 425:7	locations 372:1	399:21
408:2,11,24	441:17,19	425:11,18	372:4 423:18	loughborough
409:15 410:5	levels 366:20	434:25 445:25	424:24 443:16	421:21 422:3
410:17 411:8	libertine 401:1	446:6,19	446:4	432:13,21
440:24	401:11,16,17	lineofsight	logic 362:22	low 416:11
large 352:24	401:23,24	424:7 438:8	long 348:22	lower 347:25
353:1 354:15	402:5,6,12,13	439:8	417:25	353:8 355:18
358:4 415:22	402:16,20,25	lines 357:9	longer 348:13	420:9
416:22 419:11	403:5,23 404:3	440:12	longerrange	lowered 389:8
larger 353:5	404:16 405:6	list 335:23	406:20 444:25	lowering 390:1
368:6 414:1	406:2,15,25	338:17 388:23	longterm 410:11	lte 415:13 416:4
446:10	407:4,15 408:5	listed 339:12	look 342:3	427:7,12,15,16
lastly 358:25	408:9 411:25	listening 409:23	343:19 356:5	428:2,9,16
late 361:10	412:5,12,23	lists 373:11	363:3 373:10	lump 346:21
law 363:25	413:8 414:15	literature 423:1	379:20 396:5	lynch 332:8
lawton 414:22	420:17 422:18	433:19,22	408:10 410:22	351:20,21
417:18 418:11	422:21 423:7	434:4	413:20 420:13	352:12,16
426:8,12 427:3	423:22 424:3	little 360:1	420:22 432:1	353:7,15
427:17 428:21	424:15 425:22	361:12 385:25	439:3 445:6,18	411:15,16
428:25 429:7	431:13,21	389:6 406:6	looked 387:21	430:23,24
429:12 430:3	433:6,10,23	408:2 412:13	388:23,24	431:5
430:25 431:3	434:2,9,13,18	420:19 421:1,4	389:13 390:6	
433:15 450:7	435:3,14,18	424:17 431:25	398:4 422:15	M
lay 426:24	436:2,7,15	live 388:3,6	425:7	m 331:18 339:2
leaf 436:9 443:4	437:3,9 438:10	lived 418:4	looking 362:3	400:11,12,13
learned 420:2	438:15,24	lives 393:1	376:15 382:9	400:14,15,16
lease 362:15	439:4,11,19	llc 331:5,6	382:14,25	448:4
leasing 386:13	440:17 441:7	332:18,19	409:22 414:3	macro 345:24
leave 447:7	441:13 442:4	333:3 334:15	416:13 425:19	main 361:1
leaves 437:17,20	442:12,16	334:16 449:4,4	428:13 440:4,5	430:15
443:7 444:20	444:1,8,21	llp 332:20	442:2 444:18	maintained
led 351:21	446:18 450:8	locate 418:2	looming 358:22	369:10
ledges 331:12	licensed 443:23	located 331:10	lori 338:16	maintenance
334:21 378:19	light 344:25	334:20 341:14	loss 415:19	331:9 334:18
413:6 449:7	421:25	344:1 435:11	416:3	411:10 449:6
left 397:10 430:9	limit 444:23	435:12 449:7	lost 428:22	making 355:5
legend 424:17	limitation	location 341:22	lot 352:18	355:17 417:9
length 429:14	442:24	343:3 344:22	362:23 364:3	maneuver
445:15	limited 336:13	344:24 372:12	397:19 398:23	353:24

				Page 462
manual 450.10	manuar 220.15	mot 271:22	mononolo	240.15 405.10
manuel 450:10	maureen 338:15	met 371:22	monopole	349:15 405:19
map 342:3	maxson 335:20	412:21	357:12 358:16	407:17
392:17,20	338:25 339:11	metal 356:15	359:4 403:25	N
423:24	339:14 341:1,7	methodical	404:14 405:4	n 332:1 333:1
maps 339:14	346:13 353:16	363:5	405:24,25	339:2 400:13
434:22	359:15 361:9	michael 332:7	406:3,9,24	400:14,15,15
marconi 393:15	361:19 426:3	450:7,8	412:19,21	400:16 450:1
mark 338:14	450:2	middle 350:18	430:1,7,19	nahant 357:1,18
marked 401:3	maxsons 426:22	400:25 413:13	441:2	357:20 358:9
423:18 424:20	mean 346:17	mike 401:17,23	monopoles	name 334:6
marketplace	354:2 373:2	402:5 409:24	406:5	360:2 374:15
374:22	432:12	410:4,9	motorola 360:3	narrow 357:14
martin 332:15	meaning 347:5	mine 350:16	381:15	natural 444:18
341:3,4,7	meant 424:13	minimize 344:3	mount 358:16	445:19
342:11 343:23	measured	390:12 404:14	359:5 367:7,10	nature 350:8
345:8,19 346:2	378:21	minimizes	367:10,12,14	445:7
389:10 403:16	measurements	419:16	367:20,21,23	near 342:10
403:20,23	431:23	mistyped 339:25	368:7,9,15,19	348:12 388:6
404:7,10 405:1	meet 366:20	misunderstan	369:8	440:4
405:14,23	369:6	398:18	mountain	
429:3,5,24	meeting 331:15	mitigate 356:16	372:10 387:23	nearby 348:12
450:4,12	334:3 353:25	358:12 414:6	mounted 351:11	357:3 439:25
mass 358:23	354:12,17	418:8,9 420:9	358:6,8 367:15	nearly 343:2
367:24 444:16	380:11 384:13	mitigating	412:21 414:11	nearrange 445:4
445:11,17	386:16,18	415:19	414:13,25	nearterm
446:7	387:4 397:21	mitigation	415:9 419:25	420:10
massachusetts	400:21 449:3	409:25	420:3	nearview 439:16
350:17 357:1	meetings 372:19	mobile 342:15	mounting 368:5	necessarily
357:25	meets 380:21	342:19 343:5,7	mounts 358:25	337:20 345:20
masts 357:21	melanie 332:11	343:12,19	369:9	413:14,18
material 338:6	members 332:2	351:2,6,15	mouth 360:24	necessary
403:18 424:8	346:15 376:13	model 343:7	move 356:7	382:20 392:12
materially	388:6 391:22	modeling	371:8 377:10	396:18 404:9
370:17	398:10	343:17 379:20	384:23 397:14	417:25 435:21
materials	membership	models 442:9	movement	need 331:8
336:13,14	388:2,19,23	modifications	417:20	334:17 346:18
338:2,7 339:21	memo 409:18	402:23	moving 345:2	346:21,23
409:24	memorized	modify 369:2	427:6	347:2,3,15,20
math 422:17	370:11	moment 380:9	multiple 444:11	347:21 359:17
matrix 404:23	mentioned	monopine	municipalities	362:21 363:1
442:18	355:14 359:22	356:11 404:1	375:5	379:14 396:12
matte 405:9	372:11 376:12	406:21 411:21	murphy 332:3	396:12 407:13
matter 339:9	377:17 387:22	439:15,21,22	346:8,9 347:7	417:6,22 449:5
360:5 390:15	398:8	440:9 442:10	347:23 348:14	needed 396:22
mature 408:17	merit 380:3	442:22 443:13	348:20 349:2	407:3
		<u> </u>		

				1 490 103
needs 379:8	normal 413:19	objections	400:20 406:12	options 410:23
381:16 383:1	437:5	335:16	418:20 423:25	order 334:3
394:13,14	north 341:10,13	objectives	424:6 425:21	344:2 359:17
395:10,23	341:21 350:1	343:21 412:20	426:1,16 427:5	368:23 370:5
396:14 419:21	388:8,20 389:9	414:17	428:7 429:1	417:4 430:10
426:14,15,18	389:17 390:15	obligation	433:25 435:9	original 340:2,5
neighborhood	391:10,20,24	380:17	438:20 444:4	354:6 449:3
358:22 388:6	391:25 392:6,9	observe 438:22	444:14 446:15	originally 348:9
388:12,20	392:11 393:1	observed 353:2	446:22	outside 416:25
389:16,23	408:14,21	obsolete 417:17	old 331:11	430:6,15
392:8,11 393:1	431:23 436:22	obviously	334:20 378:18	overall 445:5
420:14 436:22	note 337:14	336:14 377:19	419:6 449:7	overlap 344:20
438:1	411:22	411:5	once 384:17	344:22 345:1,6
neighboring	noted 411:21	occur 387:24	oneforone	overlaps 363:4
410:6 438:23	422:16	october 359:24	378:21	overruled
neighbors 348:7	notes 356:6	360:6	ones 338:20	337:22
348:16 349:4,6	449:3	offense 361:15	open 349:13	owner 362:9
356:17,20	notice 335:11	offer 404:19	operate 343:2	
358:14 404:18	noticed 436:17	440:20	operating	P
407:7,11	number 336:2	offering 379:24	343:10	p 331:18 332:1,1
408:21 410:16	350:21 353:11	427:12	operation 331:9	332:8 333:1,1
411:9 413:6,16	354:22 355:1	office 335:13	334:19 449:6	400:11,12
439:2	366:23 371:25	447:23	opinion 352:21	448:4
network 343:22	401:8 402:14	offices 335:2	356:13 358:12	packed 358:3
362:2,6 393:8	415:21 441:21	officials 393:15	363:10 392:15	page 346:16
393:18 395:23	numeral 401:4	offwhite 421:12	398:5 414:21	347:24 353:23
427:8,9,15	numerous	oh 394:23	437:12,19	371:10 450:2,4
428:19	373:15	okay 335:18	439:20 444:15	450:5,10,12,13
networks		336:18,25	445:21,22	pages 449:2
345:12 351:24	0	338:9,21 339:6	446:14,16	paint 404:12
neutral 366:15	o 331:20 339:2	340:24 342:11	opinions 396:7	painted 358:17
421:7	400:13,16	343:23 346:4	opportunistic	412:18 414:10
never 384:20	oath 339:5	347:7 350:25	362:3 376:15	414:10 420:9
new 331:5,17	oaths 400:19	351:16,18	opportunities	painting 404:11
332:18,22	object 403:10	360:18 361:1	375:24,24	404:19 406:18
333:5 334:10	objection	363:14 364:6	405:12 408:11	413:22,24
334:15 354:1	336:22 337:2,7	364:11 367:11	opportunity	440:24
400:23 401:2	337:15,21	368:24 371:2,7	354:18 363:7	pair 427:19
421:21 430:1	340:21 348:2	376:8,11	438:22	paragraph
447:18 449:4,9	349:4 395:12	377:11 378:5	opposed 356:15	347:24 353:24
newer 415:13	397:5 403:11	379:4 383:9	375:6 386:7	361:24 436:19
429:9	objectionable	384:11 387:11	409:7 428:14	parallel 354:25
newspaper	348:5 350:6	389:5,24	428:17	parcel 354:15,17
384:6,8	357:10 365:18	392:23 399:8	opposition	397:23
nod 338:12	367:23	399:11 400:5	348:15	park 349:12

				Page 404
part 337:18	peeking 348:10	plan 382:19	341:20	353:25
340:25 347:11	peer 360:17	399:2	possibility 408:1	previous 359:21
347:12,12	penalty 418:1	planning 362:2	412:10	426:5
351:9 374:8	people 338:16	362:7 363:7	possible 349:24	previously
378:2 379:6	348:25 350:5	382:23 393:8	380:14 405:5	400:17 428:14
380:24 381:7	350:10,13	395:22	407:7 424:10	primarily
385:12,16	355:1 364:17	planting 409:5	potential 371:25	345:11 351:23
387:7 394:16	416:13	410:16	379:25 382:4	404:17 435:19
398:18 407:24	peoples 446:10	plantings	398:25 399:20	primary 413:11
411:20 420:9	performance	410:12	409:3 419:17	432:2
	335:4			
434:8,10,12		platform 416:24	potentially	priority 364:1
437:10,22	permission	416:25 417:5	341:24 381:22	private 341:24
444:23 446:12	439:9 447:20	444:6,12	power 343:1,3	354:24 355:11
446:17	person 380:25	platforms 406:1	351:14	372:10
participation	personnel	420:10	practical 410:2	privileged
448:1	374:25	please 366:12	practices 369:5	346:14
particular	perspective	401:5 405:23	369:7,11,14,17	probably 342:9
344:22 350:23	413:9 414:9	422:23	precisely 435:11	404:19 406:18
357:19 359:19	444:22 445:5	plots 341:8	predated 399:21	413:23 414:8
362:16,18	445:17	point 344:13	prefer 365:9	419:6 424:16
371:9,16 373:6	pertinent	360:2,5 365:6	403:25 433:18	431:14,16
376:7 378:24	345:20	385:9 387:3,4	445:22	432:7 441:8,14
379:20 384:15	philip 332:4	391:15 395:4	preference	446:3
394:13 410:21	phone 376:5	395:13 397:12	404:21	probing 397:11
418:3 425:24	phones 351:5	421:2 422:15	preferences	problem 411:21
434:5,6 435:8	photo 425:24	430:13 438:5	365:15 368:25	procedural
435:22 438:1	photograph	pole 357:17	prefiled 336:3	337:7,15
440:12	436:5	367:7 368:1,3	339:16 340:2	procedure
particularly	photographs	412:17 414:10	402:11 407:25	334:14 437:6
356:17	390:7 391:5	414:13 416:25	preparation	proceed 335:4
parties 337:20	435:7 443:2	poles 348:8	401:13	384:14 400:21
375:6 409:17	physical 406:4	369:8,8 384:1	prepare 339:11	401:5 405:18
411:6,12 447:8	physically	police 357:2	401:12 402:13	proceedings
447:15	416:22	politically	prepared 335:4	448:3
party 337:10	pierrepont	418:17,19,21	395:8	process 354:7
338:5 384:19	349:12	portable 342:15	preparing	355:11 378:3
407:10,12	pinebark 404:12	343:8,9,14,14	339:12 394:16	379:6 386:19
447:4,10	place 376:5	343:19 351:2,7	presence 367:21	386:23 387:8
pattern 443:20	416:17	352:5	present 380:18	produced 439:8
patterns 405:3	placed 364:1	portion 341:15	press 354:10	442:10
436:13	415:17 416:21	413:14	398:8	professional
pay 383:20	416:24 417:25	position 397:3	pressure 416:17	356:21 358:11
pcs 331:6 332:18	placing 384:1	410:14 411:1	pretty 420:1	370:16,24
334:16 449:4	411:8	positive 338:12	prevent 355:2	profile 445:1
peaking 443:10	plains 332:22	possibilities	prevented	profiles 436:24
	1	1	1	1
L				

				Page 405
145.25	447.2 6	264.10.12	270.4.202.6	
445:25	447:3,6	364:12,13	370:4 393:6	read 336:20
program 339:13	proposing	396:12	395:17 399:10	347:8,14
401:9	381:23 398:23	purpose 336:4	405:15,18,20	360:14,20
project 380:3,10	protrude 414:4	338:23 344:7	411:14,16	361:19,22
proliferate	prove 395:13	402:18	423:10 426:2	363:19 371:17
364:3	provide 344:8	purposes 382:5	432:25 433:17	383:23 384:6
proof 395:16	352:25 353:4	382:10 389:15	446:23	386:10 425:5
417:24	359:17 362:23	pursuant 334:11	quite 382:24	reading 364:12
properties	362:25 363:5	pursue 380:5	394:11 419:11	400:1 409:24
341:23,24	385:15 395:5	384:22 428:24	428:5 440:19	428:4
350:2 372:9,10	396:15 397:9	pursuing 386:25	quote 361:25	readjust 400:9
387:22 408:25	415:3 416:15	push 415:3	383:24,25	ready 382:20
410:6 411:9	422:6 427:16	put 350:6		real 390:2
439:10	438:3 445:11	382:16,17	R	reality 417:9
property 341:22	provided 342:22	430:5	r 331:20 332:1	realize 347:8
354:13,14,23	352:10 370:10	putting 345:3	333:1,7 400:14	408:3
355:12 362:9	401:14	350:12 352:3	400:16,16	really 337:13
383:21 387:12	providing	355:16 416:1	ract 335:7 385:1	345:25 346:17
390:8,14	341:20	419:12	388:18 389:4	346:23 348:1
399:25 408:4	provisions	puy 387:1	391:21 393:1	350:15,22
408:12,13,19	334:12		395:5,23	356:25 360:4
409:8,8 410:13	proximal 414:7	Q	398:11	367:18 377:1
431:24 435:6	420:7	qualifications	racts 388:2	381:23 384:3
438:11,11,23	public 331:8,15	383:19	radio 343:2,10	390:5,12
proposal 354:8	334:17 335:3	quarter 429:19	343:18,21	394:11 397:9
359:19 373:19	338:1 342:13	question 347:19	345:16 351:7	408:13 411:1
377:21,24	345:10,12,17	350:3 351:1,8	352:1 364:24	412:14,19,24
383:18,24	346:19,24	351:22 361:2	365:4 415:7,15	420:5,13
397:22	351:10 352:14	361:12 370:13	415:16,17,25	425:10,23
proposals 354:7	353:9,13 379:7	375:5 386:9,18	416:1,7,17,20	428:3 432:14
373:22 377:16	381:25 382:4	387:15 395:19	416:20,24	436:9 438:4
379:11 385:21	382:10 393:7	399:18 407:14	418:2 419:11	445:18,19
propose 341:15	393:17 394:12	412:24 417:3	426:23 429:11	reason 360:22
proposed 341:9	394:12,25	418:25 420:4	radios 342:23	reasons 410:4
341:14,16	395:22 396:13	422:8,13	351:4	415:1
342:2,4,7,7	396:15,18	423:16 426:5	raised 406:16	rebuttal 402:11
347:4,22,25	398:21 426:24	426:17,19	range 431:17	402:19 403:4
352:24 355:12	427:8,9,11,15	429:6 437:24	432:6 440:4	403:24 407:25
357:2 362:1,22	428:2,4 449:5	questioning	441:14	recall 370:5,9
362:24 378:7	449:9	347:9	rare 429:20	371:3,15,20
380:21 388:7	published 395:3	questions 346:3	ray 401:18,25	371:3,13,20
389:7,15	pull 430:11	346:6,13	402:7 409:19	374:18 375:3
391:16 392:13	pulling 367:6	349:21 351:17	raymond 450:9	375:18 376:9
392:17 396:13	purchase 354:19	353:17,22	reach 343:13,16	376:22 384:10
397:16,20	purported	359:9 362:12	393:11,14	385:5 400:1,2
391.10,20	րա բաւ բաւ ւշա	357.7 302.12		303.3 4 00.1,2
	1	1	1	1

<u></u>				Page 466
	l	205 20 200	.,	
recalling 377:14	regardless	395:20 398:4	residences 357:3	rf 412:24 414:16
received 334:23	440:22	402:14,19	378:22	414:21 426:2
350:10 377:19	regular 403:25	407:24 423:1	residential	426:14,15,18
receptor 424:19	441:1	423:17,18	392:25	rfp 374:10
receptors	regulatory	426:4,7,22	residents 354:22	377:12,19
439:25	331:16 449:9	436:18	355:5 378:18	378:3 379:6,13
recess 400:11	rejected 383:18	reporter 394:3	390:8	379:19 380:10
recommend	397:23	449:16	resort 417:12	381:7,8,20
407:11	relates 401:20	reporters	resources 380:5	382:3 383:3,6
recommended	relating 345:15	449:17	respect 364:22	383:10,14
357:6,19	relationship	reporting	369:16 393:6	384:12,19,20
406:13	375:21 376:4	364:25	394:8,12	398:16 399:22
record 336:16	relevance	reports 354:10	396:11	ridge 341:14,21
337:15,19	342:13	398:9	respond 375:11	342:1 348:24
340:25 355:21	relief 439:16,25	repositioned	375:14 436:10	349:11,14
360:20 394:9	reluctant 412:13	341:19	responded	355:3 363:7
394:10 396:6	rely 369:4	representation	356:10 379:13	373:16 379:1
396:10 403:8	396:11	356:3 404:4	383:3	387:20 391:21
409:23 422:24	remember	436:3 437:23	responding	391:24 392:1
423:5 434:8,10	361:24	representations	379:10	411:23 412:11
434:12 441:21	remote 415:15	436:8	responds 343:14	432:15 441:6
447:18	415:16 429:11	representatives	response 337:25	441:18 442:7,8
records 374:15	removed 416:2	375:22 385:1	351:12 369:14	442:15
red 424:21,23	repair 369:2	represented	380:10 389:10	ridgebury 342:1
redirect 399:14	repeat 366:11	375:5	422:16	342:5,8 391:12
reduce 358:22	repeater 343:3,4	representing	responsibilities	391:14,17,25
366:22 367:5	343:13,16	335:7 389:1	376:7	392:2,6,14,18
390:1	344:23			
reduced 418:10		represents 376:3	responsibility 374:21 410:11	ridgefield 331:12 334:9
	repeaters 342:22			
reduces 348:11	- '	request 354:6	responsible	334:22 335:2
reducing 358:20	rephrase 365:13	373:22 379:11	409:14	346:20 350:24
358:25	replace 369:2	395:8	responsive	364:17 432:15
reduction	417:5	requested	422:12	447:22 449:8
390:10	replaced 415:20	335:11	restate 387:16	ridgefielders
refer 342:21	415:24 417:23	requests 377:16	result 362:1	333:2 335:7
352:9 406:3	reply 447:19	require 342:9	396:8	ridgeline 372:5
422:22	report 339:14	366:19 368:5	resume 400:21	414:4,5
referred 360:5	340:5 341:9,18	411:4,8	review 360:17	ridges 345:3
426:4,23	346:13,17	required 368:1	394:5 397:4	412:3
referring 347:21	353:23 359:15	368:3 416:14	398:3 417:20	ridgetops 344:2
364:19 373:21	360:6 361:23	447:7	424:2 433:21	right 348:20
419:24,25	376:14 385:13	requirements	reviewed 373:9	361:24 367:2
regard 393:7	393:7,22 394:3	366:20	393:10 396:1	368:14 371:7
regarding 433:7	394:17,19,20	requires 416:7	reviewing	381:16 382:12
440:14	394:24 395:3,9	reserved 382:4	389:19	385:17 387:5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

389:2 392:21					Page 467
396:10 404:7 427:8,9,11,15 seaside 357:20 seasonal 404:18 seasonal 400:25 seated		l	l	Ī	l
407:24 416:11	389:2 392:21	396:14,15,18	384:15	386:24	424:18 425:1,3
420:21,22 safetys 428:2 salamander 433:17 sessonally 43:12 378:23 397:12 406:11 410:8 443:3 433:3 443:3 433:17 443:12 406:11 410:8 410:21 seasons 437:12 seasons 437:12 seasons 437:12 seated 400:25 sected 400:25 section 31:10 sected 400:25 section 31:10 sected 400:25 section 31:10 section 400:25 section 31:10 section 400:25 section 37:10 section 31:10 section 40:25 section 37:10 section 40:25 section 40:25 section 40:25 section 40:25 section 40:25 section 40:25 section 36:20 section 30:20	396:10 404:7	427:8,9,11,15	seaside 357:20	senator 346:8	425:25
421:20 428:23 salamander 433:17 406:11 410:8 shows 34:4 437:11 433:17 seasons 437:12 shows 34:4 shows 34:4 377:1 412:11 seated 400:25 sentence 347:6 361:25 shows 34:4 375:17,19 satisfactory 353:24 392:8 353:24 392:8 381:13 381:13 391:24 425:8 387:19 391:20 <td< td=""><td>407:24 416:11</td><td>428:5</td><td>seasonal 404:18</td><td>sense 358:21</td><td>shown 401:8</td></td<>	407:24 416:11	428:5	seasonal 404:18	sense 358:21	shown 401:8
430:22 439:22 ring 371:11,14 salamanders 412:11 seat 400:25 seated 400:25 socond 347:24 357:17,19 road 331:11,12 362:10 334:21,21 3392:6 449:7,7 449:17 saw 371:13,24 395:3 saying 352:22 socind 373:10 say 373:13,24 roots 408:16 say 382:17 roundabout 417:2 scale 425:3 sceince 348:13 schoeme 409:5 safety 342:14 separate 362:12 separately 391:24 425:8 serve 342:1,5 sight 425:18 si	420:21,22	safetys 428:2	seasonally	378:23 397:12	424:19,21
430:22 439:22 ring 371:11,14 salamanders 412:11 seat 400:25 seated 400:25 socond 347:24 357:17,19 road 331:11,12 362:10 334:21,21 3392:6 449:7,7 449:17 saw 371:13,24 395:3 saying 352:22 socind 373:10 say 373:13,24 roots 408:16 say 382:17 roundabout 417:2 scale 425:3 sceince 348:13 schoeme 409:5 safety 342:14 separate 362:12 separately 391:24 425:8 serve 342:1,5 sight 425:18 si	421:20 428:23	salamander	413:12	406:11 410:8	443:3
ring 371:11,14 salamanders seat 400:25 sentence 347:6 392:17,20,21 side 342:1 side 342:1 side 342:1 side 342:1 seated 400:25 sentence 347:6 392:17,20,21 side 342:1 side 342:1 side 342:1 separate 362:12 separate 362:12 <t< td=""><td>430:22 439:22</td><td>433:17</td><td>seasons 437:12</td><td>410:21</td><td>shows 342:4</td></t<>	430:22 439:22	433:17	seasons 437:12	410:21	shows 342:4
377:1 412:11 seated 400:25 361:25 side 342:1 side 342:1 375:17,19 samples 422:6 setifactory 353:24 392:8 separate 362:12 387:19 391:20 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:22 42 425:8 416:12 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:8 391:24 425:18 sightline 436:24 442:1 sight 425:18 sign 142:14 sight 425:18 sign 142:14					
rings 374:4 samples 422:6 second 347:24 separate 362:12 387:19 391:20 375:17,19 362:10 353:24 392:8 416:12 391:24 425:8 334:21,21 392:6 449:7,7 449:17 saturday 438:16 secondarily 345:4 391:13 sight 425:18 robert 331:21 332:6 449:8,16 saying 352:22 secondarily 345:4 391:13 sightline 436:24 334:10 332:6 449:8,16 saying 352:22 436:1 secron 373:10 392:18 416:11 sight 425:18 robert 331:21 335:21 356:10 secondarily 392:18 416:11 signal 352:4 360:13 377:2 390:19 436:1 served 342:8 signal 352:4 roots 408:16 394:4 397:8 369:21 371:8 344:10 351:24 369:21 437:15 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 436:21 437:15 route 422:5 seenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 379:14,22 runs 40:15:24 349:13 350:1,7 349:13 350:1,7 349:13 350:1,7 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 349:13	,				
375:17,19 satisfactory 353:24 392:8 separately 391:24 425:8 431:24 334:21,21 satisfy 361:11 396:22 secondarily 394:24 442:3 serve 342:1,5 sight 425:18 449:17 saturday 438:16 395:3 391:17 392:13 391:17 392:13 391:17 392:13 442:1 335:26 449:8,16 say; 355:21 356:10 say; 355:21 356:10 434:24 435:15 440:9 392:18 416:11 signal 352:4 rocky 408:15 soroky 408:16 395:3 436:1 436:1 served 342:8 signals 349:25 roman 401:3 377:2 390:19 347:12 349:13 369:21 371:8 344:10 351:24 signals 349:25 roundabout 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:15,20 335:14 386:10 352:15,20 3389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 341:10 419:18 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 <t< td=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		•			
road 331:11,12 362:10 392:10 394:22 416:12 431:24 334:21,21 396:22 394:24 442:3 serve 342:1,5 sight 425:18 392:6 449:7,7 349:17 saturday 438:16 359:5 345:4 391:13 442:1 robert 331:21 332:6 449:8,16 395:3 436:12 392:18 416:11 sight 425:18 robin 334:6 saying 352:22 436:1 392:18 446:11 signal 352:4 rocky 408:15 377:2 390:19 377:2 390:19 369:21 371:8 344:10 351:24 roman 401:3 377:2 390:19 369:21 371:8 344:10 351:24 significant 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 436:21 437:15 route 402:5 scenic 348:13 371:12 372:22 362:8 365:5 similar 351:14 runs 430:14 school 341:22 420:13,14 379:14,22 385:15,17 safety 342:13 335:15,17 368:13 387:9 386:13 387:9 386:13 387:9 386:13 387:9 386:13 387:9 386:33 387:1 386:39,13 399:17 405:25 399:17 405:25 399:17 405	U	-		-	
334:21,21 392:6 449:7,7 449:17 saturday 438:16 robert 331:21 332:6 449:8,16 robin 334:6 rocky 408:15 355:21 356:10 says 382:17 sale 425:3 secind 371:8 344:10 351:24 signal 352:4 signal 349:25 significant served 341:21 service 341:21 satination 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 417:2 scale 425:3 sechio 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 379:14,22 379:14,22 simply 337:17 stemply 379:17 379:10 395:8 simulate 445:12 simulcast simul	′	•			
392:6 449:7,7 449:17 396:22 saturday 438:16 secondarily 359:5 345:4 391:13 391:17 392:13 sightline 436:24 442:1 robert 331:21 332:6 449:8,16 robin 334:6 rocky 408:15 roman 401:3 395:3 355:21 356:10 377:2 390:19 section 373:10 434:24 435:15 392:13 40:9 440:9 served 342:8 served 342:8 served 342:8 served 342:8 served 341:21 344:10 351:24 436:21 437:15 341:10 419:18 436:21 437:15 roundabout 417:2 roundabout 417:2 scale 425:3 scenic 348:13 430:14,14 runsing 415:21 runs 430:18 rustcolor 356:14 432:12,14 349:13 350:1,7 350:13,18 350:13,18 339:2 safety 342:13 339:2 safety 342:13 339:2 safety 342:13 339:2 safety 342:13 345:10,12,17 346:19,24 351:10 352:14 355:9,13 368:25 369:1 368:25 369:1 371:11,14 372:12,16 secondarily 359:22 436:10 371:12 392:13 347:12 349:13 347:12 349:13 347:12 349:13 347:12 372:22 347:12 419:2,2 348:14 220 349:13,14 349:13 350:1,7 340:12 419:2,2 340:24 407:23 340:24 407:25 340:24 407:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
449:17 saturday 438:16 359:5 391:17 392:13 442:1 332:6 449:8,16 saw 371:13,24 395:3 342:4 435:15 440:9 392:18 416:11 signal 352:4 signals 349:25 robin 334:6 roky 408:15 355:21 356:10 see 336:21 served 342:8 segrete 341:21 significant roman 401:3 377:2 390:19 347:12 349:13 service 341:21 346:11 0 351:24 436:21 437:15 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 447:20 scale 425:3 sechic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 379:14,22 similar 351:14 421:10 similar 351:14 421:10 singificantly 422:12,14 runt 45:24 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 similar 351:14 432:12,14 sucolor 356:14 355:15,17 424:1,10 353:1,4,14 353:1,4,14 344:10 352:25 simulate 445:12 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 schools 355:15 see 360:8,9 344:10 351:24 set 344:1 344:19 345:2,9 safety 39:13 <td>,</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td>_</td>	,	•		*	_
robert 331:21 saw 371:13,24 section 373:10 392:18 416:11 signal 352:4 robin 334:6 saying 352:22 436:1 served 342:8 significant rocky 408:15 355:21 356:10 347:12 349:13 serves 415:25 significant roots 408:16 394:4 397:8 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 436:21 437:15 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 similar 351:14 route 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 389:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simular 351:14 runs 430:18 355:15,17 424:1,10 353:1,4,14 344:19 345:5,9 s 332:1 333:1 335:23 39:2 336:15 386:4 400:24 407:23 364:23 382:15 344:10 344:19 345:19 safety 342:13 355:12,17 426:34 37:11 seemingly 397:2 429:18,21 singlicant s 339:1	,		•		_
332:6 449:8,16 robin 334:6 robin 334:6 roky 408:15 335:21 356:10 see 336:21 served 342:8 significant serves 415:25 341:10 419:18 436:21 437:15 409:3 420:12 432:16 says 382:17 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 significant service 341:21 roundabout 415:24 scheme 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 430:14,14 rouning 415:21 runs 430:18 rustcolor 356:14 Significant service 341:21 349:13 350:13,18 rustcolor 356:14 351:10 352:14 351:10 352:14 355:22 372:8 schools 355:15 saeled 356:25 safety 342:13 339:2 safety 342:13 349:13 380:15 seeing 363:6 415:4 416:16 seeing 363:6 415:4 416:16 seeing 374:11 scientific 437:6 seen 360:8,9 390:7 391:6 shaft 405:25 significant service 341:21 significantly 446:20 significantly 446:21 significantly 446:21 significantly 442:20 significant service 341:21 significantly 442:20 significantly 422:24 significant 422:224 significant 422:224 significant 422:224 significant 422:224 significant 422:224 significant 422:224		· ·			
robin 334:6 saying 352:22 436:1 served 342:8 significant rocky 408:15 355:21 356:10 377:2 390:19 347:12 349:13 serves 415:25 341:10 419:18 roman 40:3 377:2 390:19 347:12 349:13 serves 415:25 serves 415:25 341:10 419:18 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 442:20 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 432:12,14 rout 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 simular 351:14 run 415:24 schoen 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 385:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simular 445:12 runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 387:23 392:3 413:13 414:2 set 36:22 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 seeking 374:11 set 420:6					_
rocky 408:15 355:21 356:10 see 336:21 serves 415:25 341:10 419:18 roman 401:3 377:2 390:19 347:12 349:13 service 341:21 346:21 437:15 roots 408:16 490:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 420:20 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 379:10,395:8 route 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 simply 337:17 run 415:24 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 sustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 386:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 339:2 schools 355:15 355:22 372:8 scek 340:18 seek 340:18 seek 340:18 set 344:4 set 342:1 set 344:4 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,12,14 34:10,1	,				C
roman 401:3 377:2 390:19 347:12 349:13 service 341:21 436:21 437:15 roots 408:16 394:4 397:8 369:21 371:8 344:10 351:24 342:10 351:24 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 442:20 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 432:12,14 run 415:24 scheme 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 385:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulare 445:12 runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 sale 1 386:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 set 340:18 set 420:6 set 344:4 set 344:4 351:10 352:14 schwartz 338:16 seek 340:18 seek 340:18 set 145:24 set 344:21 set 344:22 368:25 369:1 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426		· ·			
roots 408:16 394:4 397:8 369:21 371:8 344:10 351:24 significantly 409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 442:20 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 432:12,14 route 422:5 scheme 409:5 413:12,21 379:14,22 simply 337:17 run 415:24 scheme 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 385:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 runs 430:18 355:13,18 350:13,18 350:13,14 353:1,4,14 simulcast rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 428:20 439:2 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 387:23 392:3 schools 355:15 seeing 363:6 415:4 416:16 344:19 345:5,9 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 sets 420:6 simulcasting 353:9,13 368:23 369:1 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 417:1	•				
409:3 420:12 432:16 371:12 372:22 352:6,9,10,14 442:20 roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 432:12,14 route 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 simply 337:17 run 415:24 scheme 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 385:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 running 415:21 349:13 350:1,7 424:1,10 353:1,4,14 simulcast rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 sasclet 333:1 36:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 set 344:4 345:11 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 set 420:6 set 149:22 set 344:4 345:11 346:19,24 schwartz 338:16 seeking 374:11 seeking 374:11 set 420:6 single 364:2 359:13,13 scentific 437:6 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 4					
roundabout says 382:17 373:14 386:10 352:15,20 similar 351:14 417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 432:12,14 route 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 simply 337:17 run 415:24 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 385:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 stcolor 356:14 355:15,17 424:1,10 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 363:15 386:4 386:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 safety 342:13 387:23 392:3 seek 340:18 set 344:4 345:11 346:19,24 351:10 352:14 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seveneighths 353:9,13 368:25 369:1 368:25 369:1 390:7 391:6 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 405:7,10 417:1 short 370:7					·
417:2 scale 425:3 389:21 405:9 362:8 365:5 432:12,14 route 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 simply 337:17 run 415:24 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 runsing 415:21 349:13 350:1,7 424:1,10 353:1,4,14 simulcast rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 s 332:1 333:1 363:15 386:4 368:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 set 344:4 345:10,12,14 safety 342:13 345:10,12,17 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 seeking 374:11 seeking 374:11 seem 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 single 364:2 351:10 352:14 353:9,13 368:25 369:1 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 368:25 369:1 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 348:21 42:24 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 340:6 433:11 short 436:18 345:24 347:25 369:17 379:7 375:16,19 375:16,19					
route 422:5 scenic 348:13 413:12,21 379:14,22 simply 337:17 run 415:24 scheme 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 385:15 389:8 simulate 445:12 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 suscolor 356:14 355:15,17 445:22 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 s 332:1 333:1 363:15 386:4 366:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 set 344:4 34:10,12,14 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 setting 439:22 setting 439:22 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seveneighths 351:10 352:14 sciente 433:11 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 368:25 369:1 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 348:21 422:24 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 shortened 391:9 344:23 345:22 393:8,17 375:16,1	roundabout	says 382:17	373:14 386:10	352:15,20	similar 351:14
run 415:24 scheme 409:5 417:22 419:2,2 385:15 389:8 379:10 395:8 430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 445:22 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 s 332:1 333:1 363:15 386:4 400:24 407:23 see 344:4 345:11 s 345:10,12,17 346:19,24 355:22 372:8 schwartz 338:16 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seen 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 368:25 369:1 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 348:21 422:24 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 373:14,24 345:12 344:10,12,14 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 390:7 391:6 340:18 345:13,25 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 390:7 391:6 340:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 348:21 422:24 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 373:4,24 340:6 443:1,2 340:6 443:1,2 340:6 443:1,2 <td< td=""><td>417:2</td><td>scale 425:3</td><td>389:21 405:9</td><td>362:8 365:5</td><td>432:12,14</td></td<>	417:2	scale 425:3	389:21 405:9	362:8 365:5	432:12,14
430:14,14 school 341:22 420:13,14 services 351:22 simulate 445:12 runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 445:22 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 s 363:15 386:4 seeing 363:6 415:4 416:16 344:19 345:5,9 s 332:1 333:1 387:23 392:3 413:13 414:2 set 340:18 set 420:6 simulcasting safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seveneighths 345:13,25 351:10 352:14 science 433:11 seem 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 shortened 391:9 344:23 345:22 393:8,17 375:16,19 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selec	route 422:5	scenic 348:13	413:12,21	379:14,22	simply 337:17
running 415:21 349:13 350:1,7 424:1,10 353:1,4,14 simulcast rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 428:20 439:2 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 S 363:15 386:4 445:22 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 s 332:1 333:1 387:23 392:3 413:13 414:2 set 344:4 345:11 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 setting 439:22 344:8,23 345:10,12,17 science 433:11 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 353:9,13 seach 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 375:16,19 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selection 366:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	run 415:24	scheme 409:5	417:22 419:2,2	385:15 389:8	379:10 395:8
runs 430:18 350:13,18 428:20 439:2 359:22,25 343:25 344:1 rustcolor 356:14 355:15,17 445:22 364:23 382:15 344:10,12,14 s 332:1 333:1 386:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 415:4 416:16 344:19 345:5,9 safety 342:13 345:10,12,17 346:19,24 355:22 372:8 seeking 374:11 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 shaft 405:25 single 364:2 353:9,13 368:25 369:1 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 374:4,24 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 375:16,19 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	430:14,14	school 341:22	420:13,14	services 351:22	simulate 445:12
S 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 344:10,12,14 344:19 345:5,9 S 332:1 333:1 387:23 392:3 413:13 414:2 set 344:4 set 344:4 345:11 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 setting 439:22 simulcasting 345:10,12,17 schwartz 338:16 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 single 364:2 351:10 352:14 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 369:13,13,15 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	running 415:21	349:13 350:1,7	424:1,10	353:1,4,14	simulcast
S 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 363:15 386:4 344:10,12,14 344:19 345:5,9 S 332:1 333:1 387:23 392:3 413:13 414:2 set 344:4 set 344:4 345:11 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 setting 439:22 simulcasting 345:10,12,17 schwartz 338:16 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 single 364:2 351:10 352:14 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 369:13,13,15 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	runs 430:18	350:13,18	428:20 439:2	359:22,25	343:25 344:1
S s 332:1 333:1 339:2363:15 386:4 386:13 387:9 387:23 392:3seeing 363:6 400:24 407:23 413:13 414:2 seek 340:18 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2415:4 416:16 set 344:4 sets 420:6 set 344:4345:11 simulcasting 344:8,23 344:8,23 345:13,25345:10,12,17 346:19,24 351:10 352:14 353:9,13 368:25 369:1 369:13,13,15 369:17 379:7 382:1,5,10 393:8,17 393:8,17 393:8,17scientific 437:6 search 362:16sceing 363:6 400:24 407:23 seek 340:18 seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seen 360:8,9 405:7,10 417:1 426:3 437:11 426:3 437:11set 344:4 sets 420:6 seeking 439:22 shaft 405:25 shape 445:14 shell 422:19 423:2,4 433:8 short 370:7 shortened 391:9 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:19 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:18 shorter 436:19 shorter 43	rustcolor 356:14	· ·	445:22	T	344:10,12,14
S 386:13 387:9 400:24 407:23 set 344:4 345:11 339:2 schools 355:15 seek 340:18 setting 439:22 344:8,23 345:10,12,17 346:19,24 schwartz 338:16 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 single 364:2 351:10 352:14 science 433:11 scientific 437:6 seem 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 single 364:2 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 405:7,10 417:1 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 374:4,24 443:5 shortened 391:9 345:24 347:25 394:12,13 377:1 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12			seeing 363:6	415:4 416:16	344:19 345:5,9
s 332:1 333:1 387:23 392:3 413:13 414:2 sets 420:6 simulcasting 339:2 safety 342:13 355:22 372:8 seek 340:18 seveneighths 345:13,25 345:10,12,17 schwartz 338:16 scemingly 397:2 seveneighths 345:13,25 346:19,24 science 433:11 seem 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 single 364:2 353:9,13 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 368:25 369:1 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 shortened 391:9 344:23 345:22 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	S	386:13 387:9	$\overline{\mathcal{C}}$,
339:2 schools 355:15 seek 340:18 setting 439:22 344:8,23 345:10,12,17 346:19,24 science 433:11 seemingly 397:2 seemingly 397:2 shaft 405:25 single 364:2 351:10 352:14 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 390:7 391:6 shape 445:14 sir 339:10 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 405:7,10 417:1 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 382:1,5,10 374:4,24 443:5 shorter 436:18 345:24 347:25 394:12,13 377:1 selection 362:20 shovel 423:17,21 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	s 332:1 333:1				
safety 342:13355:22 372:8 345:10,12,17 346:19,24 351:10 352:14seeking 374:11 science 433:11 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 search 362:16seeking 374:11 seemingly 397:2 seen 360:8,9 405:7,10 417:1 420:19,20 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8seveneighths 429:18,21 shaft 405:25 shape 445:14 shell 422:19 423:2,4 433:8 short 370:7 short 370:7345:13,25 399:17 405:25390:7 391:6 405:7,10 417:1 369:13,13,15 369:17 379:7 382:1,5,10 393:8,17 393:8,17 394:12,13420:19,20 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 440:6 443:1,2 440:6 443:1,2 short 436:18 short 436:18345:13,25 399:17 405:25 399:17 405:25 399:17 405:25 399:17 405:25 390:7 391:6 405:7,10 417:1 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 shortened 391:9 shorter 436:18 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 363:9 365:12	339:2				0
345:10,12,17 schwartz 338:16 seemingly 397:2 429:18,21 single 364:2 346:19,24 science 433:11 scientific 437:6 seen 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 351:10 352:14 scientific 437:6 sealed 356:25 shape 445:14 sir 339:10 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	safety 342:13			_	<i>'</i>
346:19,24 science 433:11 seen 360:8,9 shaft 405:25 399:17 405:25 351:10 352:14 scientific 437:6 saled 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12			0		,
351:10 352:14 scientific 437:6 390:7 391:6 shape 445:14 sir 339:10 353:9,13 368:25 369:1 search 362:16 405:7,10 417:1 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short ened 391:9 344:23 345:22 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12			0.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\sim
353:9,13 sealed 356:25 405:7,10 417:1 shell 422:19 348:21 422:24 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 short ened 391:9 342:24 347:25 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	,		,		
368:25 369:1 search 362:16 420:19,20 423:2,4 433:8 site 341:9,11,14 369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 shortened 391:9 344:23 345:22 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12				-	
369:13,13,15 371:11,14 426:3 437:11 short 370:7 341:16 344:21 369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 shortened 391:9 344:23 345:22 382:1,5,10 374:4,24 443:5 shorter 436:18 345:24 347:25 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	1		,		
369:17 379:7 372:25 373:2,5 440:6 443:1,2 shortened 391:9 344:23 345:22 382:1,5,10 374:4,24 443:5 shorter 436:18 345:24 347:25 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12			,	, and the second	
382:1,5,10 374:4,24 443:5 shorter 436:18 345:24 347:25 393:8,17 375:16,19 selection 362:20 shots 437:25 362:3,10,16,20 394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12		,			
393:8,17 394:12,13 375:16,19 394:12,13 377:1 394:12,13 377:1 394:12,13 377:1 394:12,13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
394:12,13 377:1 selectman 356:4 shovel 423:17,21 363:9 365:12	1 ' '	,			
57711	1				
searches 373:25 371:19,23 show 341:9,12 371:25 372:4	,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	393:1,23	searches 373:25	371:19,23	show 341:9,12	371:25 372:4
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 468
272 24 272 2	100 17	420.0	l ,,,, ,	265.22.24
372:24 373:2	433:17	430:8	441:2	365:22,24
373:24 374:11	slope 378:10	spaced 445:9	standards 369:5	366:23 368:6,9
374:24 375:25	small 429:23	speak 348:7	369:6	368:13 405:25
376:15 377:6	soften 407:8	350:23 367:3	standing 358:2	406:4 419:16
378:2 380:13	softening 404:20	376:6 385:20	380:22 425:6,8	structures 369:1
382:9,14	software 427:14	412:13,16	425:18	struggle 419:13
383:19,21	solid 425:7	426:13 428:3	start 338:25	stuck 363:6
384:15,16	solution 346:22	444:9 445:3	350:12 417:11	studied 387:13
387:14 388:15	347:10 430:14	speaking 364:21	441:12	study 359:16,23
388:21 389:9	somebody	389:3 394:8	started 377:6	360:8,10
390:6 391:4	338:17 386:25	406:9	starting 403:16	433:13
397:15,16	somewhat	species 435:23	state 331:1	style 357:13
406:14 408:14	362:21 395:9	specific 345:4	335:13 349:12	stylized 402:10
414:3 431:15	395:21 437:20	386:3 387:22	stated 410:4	subdivided
437:10	sorry 352:8	389:7	statement 366:8	354:17 397:21
sited 387:19	375:13 394:23	specifically	366:11 371:14	subdivision
sites 341:12	428:22,25	345:15,16	376:13 377:4	398:15
343:25 344:1,5	438:17	347:3,21	379:17 419:5	subject 336:11
345:11 350:7	sort 341:11	364:20 372:14	statements	337:13 338:7
353:5 373:5,11	349:22 351:4	385:21 388:5	360:7 364:15	362:8,14 399:2
373:15 385:14	354:7 356:25	394:8 415:14	397:14 399:7	submission
386:13 387:9	358:19 410:5	426:6 436:11	447:12	401:10,15
415:6 432:21	412:3,17,18	specifics 409:18	states 419:14	402:3 447:5
sitespecific	417:2,19,19	428:1	station 343:6,13	submissions
387:12	418:6 421:16	speculative	343:16 357:2	336:16
siting 331:2	434:24	395:10	stations 342:23	submit 338:1,5
332:16 334:4,7	sorts 351:13	speed 344:24	statutes 334:13	338:6 377:21
364:8 370:6	429:16	spend 380:4	stealth 442:9	377:24 395:7
380:19 447:2	sought 436:25	spent 342:14	stealthing	submitted 336:3
situated 358:1	sound 420:19	spoke 374:17,19	440:22	336:13 337:11
situation 367:19	427:2 439:14	376:9 386:23	stein 331:21	338:11 339:17
410:22 418:7	sounds 351:3	spoken 376:22	334:6 449:8	371:9 403:18
six 371:11	385:24	386:16	story 442:3	409:22 438:9
size 429:8	source 434:1,5	square 331:17	straightforward	subsequently
430:19	sources 354:11	449:9	370:13	354:16
skin 385:20	398:9,10	staff 332:10,13	straightline	substantial
skipping 428:17	south 372:11	338:13 341:2	441:8	348:23 371:15
sky 420:25	388:11,14,15	stagecoach	strategic 409:5	390:10
421:9,11,13	432:1	331:11 334:21	streamlined	substantially
432:8	southerly 412:4	358:15 378:18	445:23	446:9
skyblue 420:23	southern 432:3	413:7 449:7	street 333:4	substantiating
slate 420:25	southwest	stakeholders	strikes 362:17	438:3
slates 344:19	331:10 334:20	420:6 439:17	structure	substantive
slightly 365:14	449:7	stand 440:2	348:12 357:6,8	365:1
slimy 412:10	space 382:3	standard 369:9	358:24 364:2	success 422:10

				Page 469
successful 380:9	352:19	351:2 352:1,10	testify 400:18	350:4 352:10
383:10	sworn 339:4	352:15,17	434:16	352:13,22
sufficient 369:7	400:17	367:13 369:18	testifying	353:1 357:25
suggest 343:25	system 343:1	370:20 371:19	387:21	360:23 361:1
372:9,18 386:6	365:12	384:1 406:10	testimony 336:3	361:12 363:10
387:7 391:24	systems 342:17	406:24 413:15	336:11 337:3,9	363:12 376:10
391:25		413:17 423:5	337:9 339:16	377:3,4,22
suggested 348:9	T	436:4 439:21	340:2,5,14	379:16 380:7
385:13	t 345:20 371:11	441:8,9 442:19	345:15 360:14	383:12 385:16
suggesting	371:14,18,22	443:9,14 445:1	364:16,20	388:12,20
341:18 353:3	372:7,19 373:4	445:24 446:4,5	365:2,10 367:3	390:18 391:21
387:13 394:10	373:25 374:3,9	tall 381:23	370:5,10,14	392:19 394:19
suggestions	375:11,16	tarm 444:12	385:16 386:11	394:21 395:9
385:22	376:22 377:6	task 359:23	389:20 393:11	398:17 402:21
suite 449:17	381:3 400:13	381:14 382:24	401:21 402:4	404:1,4,7
summary	400:14,15,16	393:22	402:11,19	409:22 411:1
339:24	414:24 415:2	techniques	403:4,24 426:5	411:23 412:1,1
supplemental	416:10,14	440:21	439:14,18	412:6,24
339:16 340:3	417:3,5,9,13	technologies	440:15,15,16	413:19 416:1
395:9 401:9,15	426:6 428:6	415:13 416:3	tests 423:21	416:18 417:2,8
424:8 434:21	tab 434:22	technology	thank 335:18	417:13,25
supply 343:1	take 336:19	415:6 416:12	340:23 341:4	418:11,16
support 365:1	337:17 338:3	427:13,14	342:11 343:23	421:7 422:12
366:3,3,24	361:15,17	428:3,5 436:23	346:2,4,9	423:8 424:9,11
381:24 398:5	370:4 400:8	telecommunic	347:23 349:17	424:16 425:14
supported	408:10 440:7	331:10 334:19	349:19 351:16	425:25,25
350:20	442:1	449:6	351:18 353:15	427:1 429:1
sure 356:5	taken 338:4	tell 394:15	353:19,21	430:3 433:19
370:12 371:2	400:11 431:22	tend 385:18	359:8,11,13	434:8,14 436:3
381:11 397:13	435:4 449:3	420:25	361:2,3,8	436:3 438:25
399:16 408:10	talk 343:24	tends 367:22	365:8 369:20	439:12,20
409:13,18	347:2 352:4	407:6	399:9,11 400:4	440:19 443:14
424:12 432:4	353:24 359:16	tenor 414:23	400:5 401:7	443:17,19,20
442:12	371:21 374:12	term 342:20	403:19,20	444:17,25
surprise 398:14	379:6 381:8,15	405:24 418:1	405:14 407:16	445:7,19
surprised 355:1	383:13 389:5	428:13 445:2	407:21 422:11	446:13
surrounding	393:12,16	terms 349:11	423:9,12,14	theory 387:18
358:14 405:4	406:9 409:25	363:3 370:23	426:1 429:1	391:8,19
survey 377:16	talked 371:24	378:13 413:3	431:5 432:24	392:24 437:6
431:15 435:5	372:7 381:13	terrain 345:4	433:2 446:24	theres 346:17,18
sustain 397:8	408:1 412:19	test 390:8 391:6	446:25 447:25	346:23 347:15
swath 425:14	426:10	423:17	thats 336:23	357:24 396:17
swear 335:9,20	talking 342:14	testified 339:5	337:19 340:4	401:2 406:16
swearing 339:1	342:18 345:14	387:18 391:15	343:10 345:23	416:3,16 417:7
switching	347:3 349:24	393:20 433:7	347:16,18	421:21 422:13
L				

				Page 470
404 0 11 10	410.20.411.1	104.00	417.1604	147.00
424:8,11,18	410:20 411:1	tone 404:22	417:16,24	447:22
427:19 430:25	412:17 413:9	421:25 432:7	418:10,25	towns 381:13
441:15	413:11,24	top 350:18 355:3	420:8,16	382:16 393:7
theyll 416:5	414:11 419:2,4	357:15 378:25	424:19 425:20	393:17,22
theyre 345:21	419:20 421:11	384:2 413:4	427:23,25	395:10 397:15
355:21 358:4	422:9 432:17	414:1 416:1,2	432:6 441:4	397:22 399:2
385:21 386:1	432:22 434:19	416:21 418:2	446:11,16	426:15,18
388:25 405:11	443:11 444:24	420:23 421:5	towers 331:5	tracked 354:7
417:1,1 420:14	446:7	425:20 441:5	332:19 334:15	traditional
423:24 424:21	thinking 431:16	441:18,24	350:7,11,12	429:9
427:12 429:10	third 432:6	445:10	355:22 357:11	transcript
435:19	436:19	topic 372:16	372:23 375:6	334:25 361:19
theyve 395:22	thought 355:19	tops 345:3	383:25 386:14	363:20 422:14
427:13	379:21 380:3	total 336:21	400:22 417:20	447:21
thicker 368:1,3	396:1 397:19	totality 440:5	417:22 421:22	transcription
thing 356:18	407:24 422:8	toto 347:13	432:17 449:4	449:3
383:22 405:11	439:15	tower 333:2	town 335:1,2	transcripts
409:11 414:8	three 339:12	335:8 347:9,25	341:23 346:19	360:14 386:10
414:12 417:11	358:20 383:24	348:2 350:17	346:25 347:15	389:20 393:10
things 349:23	383:25 423:6	352:3 354:1,13	350:5,16	transitions
350:7 351:13	436:23	354:21 355:2	353:25 354:12	431:18
353:11 356:14	throwing 386:1	355:12,16	354:17,18	transmitters
376:1 414:18	time 336:19	356:8,9,12,14	355:21,25	344:14
415:1,5 429:16	338:4 342:14	356:15,19	357:4 359:16	treatment
434:17	344:15,21,25	357:1,7,13,25	363:20 370:6,8	404:13
think 341:25	349:16 350:10	358:4,6,13	370:11 371:19	tree 421:24
347:3 350:4,22	361:5,7 370:14	359:19 362:1	372:8,9 373:4	431:12,14
351:1 353:1	370:17 371:15	363:16 365:16	373:6,19,22	435:17,25
354:25 356:2,4	374:1,3,10	378:6 380:18	377:12,20	436:11 440:22
356:23 358:19	376:24 379:18	381:23 382:4,9	378:2,7 379:5	442:9 443:12
359:18 361:23	380:2,4 382:24	382:14,18,21	379:7,20,24	444:19,19,20
362:11 363:2	402:24 403:1	383:21 384:16	380:11,18	445:13,19,25
365:14 367:12	428:20 437:16	385:14 386:4	382:8,13,20,23	446:6,7,8,9,17
370:22 372:11	439:14 440:1	387:19 388:7,9	383:14 384:12	446:19,19
375:10 376:12	441:21	388:12,15,21	384:13 385:14	treeline 348:23
379:13 386:8	times 421:20	389:6,7,15	386:3,6,12,15	349:3 366:1
390:4,19 396:9	title 334:12	390:1 391:8,10	386:18 387:3,7	384:3 390:11
396:20 397:9	398:4	391:16,19	387:7 393:15	442:21
397:10,11	today 334:4	392:8,11,24	395:6,8,21	trees 404:23
398:7 404:17	340:15 349:23	394:13 396:13	396:3,21 397:1	405:4 408:17
404:21 406:8	351:23 352:17	396:17 397:20	397:21 398:16	409:4 410:17
406:16,19	357:16 389:1	397:22 398:23	398:22 399:24	411:23 413:13
407:6,7 408:25	402:4 409:4	399:1 404:12	408:13 409:8	413:21 425:6,9
409:1,6,9,15	419:21 439:17	413:4 415:10	426:22 430:4	425:13,13
409:21 410:3,7	440:16	415:18,22	435:6 438:11	431:23 434:25
,		ĺ		
L				

				<u> </u>
435:1,1,10,20	twothirds 432:8	unhappy 355:5	vehicles 342:23	visual 349:8,9
436:9,14	twotone 420:8	uniform 334:13	351:12	356:16,22
437:16,17,20	420:16 431:8	443:15	verbatim 334:25	357:5 358:12
439:25 442:7,8	twoway 343:18	unipole 359:4	449:3	358:23,25
442:15,25	343:21 345:15	365:17 366:2	vergati 401:12	364:10 367:5
443:3	351:7 426:23	414:24 416:20	401:18,19,25	367:24 404:14
treetops 348:10	427:18	unipoles 371:5	402:1,7,8	412:25 418:9
tried 424:18	type 352:4	unit 352:5	450:9	420:5 425:23
tries 415:3	358:16 404:22	united 449:17	verify 401:2,5	434:21 436:21
true 362:5 372:1	405:10,12	units 414:1	402:12 424:14	442:1 444:15
376:16 378:12	417:10 421:9	unpleasant	439:10	visually 390:17
398:17,20	426:23 427:22	349:1	verifying 339:8	390:20 413:20
399:4,7 401:21	435:17 443:18	unquote 383:24	versus 342:15	444:17
403:2 405:8	443:19 444:12	383:25	351:2 403:25	visuals 389:14
417:7 419:6	445:24 446:20	unsubstantiated	vertical 406:4	vitae 339:15
436:25 437:4	types 345:12	364:15,18	425:3	voice 351:14
439:1	357:11 365:18	uplink 427:20	vicente 409:20	vote 397:21
trunks 404:24	413:10 442:9	428:12,15	418:23 421:18	voted 384:13
truth 376:25	typically 368:8	upper 413:14	432:11,19	voting 354:1
377:4	405:8 408:18	use 369:12	450:10	Voting 554.1
try 361:11 370:4	429:13,17,20	415:14 416:15	view 349:11	\mathbf{W}
381:9 418:8,8	434:15	416:23 428:10	352:2 387:4	w 332:7 400:13
425:1 437:23	typographic	428:14 429:17	420:6,10	wall 437:18
trying 345:21	339:23	user 427:21	424:18 439:3	want 335:21,22
350:6 355:16	337.23	users 427:25	440:12	336:19 352:21
363:3 396:5	U	usually 415:22	viewpoint 442:2	384:20 409:11
408:7,18 409:7	u 400:15	utilities 331:16	views 348:13	409:14,19
425:5,10,15,15	uhhuh 407:1	449:9	356:18 404:18	410:13 416:19
425:25 437:13	umts 415:14	utility 381:14	406:20 413:3	417:10 420:18
440:3,10	unable 360:16	384:1	413:11,12	422:14 427:14
445:12	understand	utilize 382:20	,	431:14,25
ts 426:14	335:24 347:11	umze 382:20	414:7,8 432:2 440:5 442:20	432:3,9 433:16
ts 426:14 tuesday 331:17	354:9,11 388:5	$oldsymbol{ m V}$		438:18
334:4 449:10	401:3 406:23	v 339:2 400:15	443:15 444:25	wanted 336:8
334:4 449:10 turn 431:11	412:15 423:4	400:16	445:4 446:1,2 447:11	361:11 377:21
	427:7 428:9	vague 364:14,17		438:2,2
turning 347:24	431:25 437:23	394:11	viewscape	wants 337:17
turtle 422:19	understanding	valley 344:6,11	413:19	wasnt 360:3
433:7,18	355:19 360:15	344:12 345:4	viewshed 414:9	381:22 424:12
two 342:17	374:3 426:21	432:15	visibility 390:3	watts 343:11,12
344:25 362:12	427:1	valleys 344:2,5	436:20 437:2	way 347:8
366:20 367:19	understood	various 375:23	visible 424:11	355:10,25
387:20 420:5	351:3	385:14 434:25	424:23,24	356:12,21
421:21 429:19	unfortunate	vehicle 342:25	visits 378:2	358:12 363:6
432:17,21	437:25	343:5	391:4	384:2 417:19
435:8 440:11	+31.43	J 1 3.3	vista 422:3	304.417.13
		l	<u> </u>	

				1490 172
418:16 427:18	winning 381:20	377:3,8,13,22	433:10,15,23	379:12 380:17
445:18	wintertime	377:25 378:4,8	434:2,9,13,18	407:5 421:14
ways 353:6	439:24	378:13,16,20	435:3,14,18	424:1 442:13
385:20	wireless 331:6	379:2,9,16,23	436:2,7,15	write 387:7
wcs 416:4	332:18 334:16	380:7,14,20	437:3,9 438:10	written 438:16
weaker 352:4	350:19 352:11	381:5,11,17,21	438:15,24	447:12
wed 382:17	353:14 362:19	382:6,13 383:2	439:4,11,19	wrong 355:20
409:9 431:16	364:9 375:21	383:5,12,16,22	440:17 441:7	wrote 436:19
442:19 444:25	375:22 376:2	384:7,17 385:3	441:13 442:4	W10tc 430.17
week 439:8	400:23 429:15	385:10,18	442:12,16	X
weekend 438:19	444:3 449:4	386:5,15,19	444:1,8,21	x 339:2 450:1
439:7	wishing 430:5	387:5,10,15,25	446:18	
weigh 365:15	wishing 430.3 wishywashy	388:4,10,17,22	witnesses	Y
weight 431:1	420:19	389:3,12,18,24	335:22 336:2	y 400:16
went 362:3	witness 338:25	390:4,18,23	400:8 448:2	yards 413:16
376:15,20	339:3,18,22	391:1,5,11,23	450:2,7	yeah 353:10
377:2 438:16	340:4,8,12,16	392:4,10,16,22	won 381:7	378:24 381:11
445:8	340:18 341:17	393:3,13,19,25	wont 361:14	395:2 429:12
westwood	342:19 344:7	393.3,13,19,23	wooded 341:25	444:11 446:21
350:16	345:13,23	395:2,17,18	357:23 359:6	year 370:19
weve 350:10	347:1,18 348:3	396:4,23	woods 358:1	437:11,16
352:18 353:2	348:18,21	397:25 398:6	worcester	years 350:21
405:10 414:12	349:5 350:14	398:12,17,24	372:10	370:15 371:11
421:19 422:9	351:6 352:8,13	399:5 400:1,10	word 339:24	419:8 422:18
whats 396:9	352:23 353:10	401:16,18,23	360:24 369:12	423:5 446:13
409:23 410:1,1	354:5 355:8,24	401:25 402:5,7	369:13 384:21	yell 361:14
410:22 415:15	356:23 358:7	401.25 402.5,7	428:14	yellow 424:10
416:18 428:18	358:18 359:21	403:5 404:3,16	words 347:6	425:4,11
433:9	360:9,13,23	405:6 406:2,15	428:15 443:4	434:25
whims 362:9	361:21 362:11	406:25 407:4	work 368:23	york 332:22
white 332:22	363:12,17,21	407:15 408:5,9	396:22 406:18	421:21
357:19,21	364:4,7,19	409:20 411:25	410:15,18	youd 363:22
421:15,16,16	365:7,13,25	412:5,12,23	419:3 421:4,11	380:11 387:3
422:1	366:7,10,17,22	413:8 414:15	443:13	404:5 413:20
whos 387:21	367:9,17 368:2	414:22 417:18	worked 409:16	youll 414:2
wide 358:24	368:8,17,22	418:11,23	414:16 422:2	youre 347:9,14
wider 443:20	369:4,16 370:2	420:17 421:18	working 368:21	352:9 354:3
width 425:14	370:9,19,23	422:21 423:7	375:21 432:16	355:6 360:11
435:24	371:6,13,20	423:22 424:3	workmen 369:1	360:12,19
wilbraham	371:0,13,20	424:15 425:22	works 348:17	362:13 368:9
357:25 358:8	373:1,7,13,17	426:8,12 427:3	world 414:16	372:13 373:21
willing 380:4	373:21 374:2,8	427:17 428:21	worse 407:18	377:2 378:5
383:20 408:23	374:14,18,23	428:25 429:12	410:25 428:8	385:25 387:13
410:15,18	375:2,8,13,20	430:3 431:3,13	worth 338:11	388:1 397:3
window 442:3	376:10,19,23	431:21 432:19	wouldnt 345:19	413:17 414:3
WIIIUUW TT2.3	370.10,17,23	TJ1,21 TJ2,17	would stail	
	•		•	•

				Page 47
420:12 425:6	390:16	338 450:2		
420.12 423.0	16 334:12 401:4	340 450:4		
432:16 443:1	402:10,14	368 450:5		
443:13 445:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	403:7	399 450:10		
youve 371:5	17 331:18 334:5	3foot 419:8		
375:5 397:2	447:5 449:10	4		
402:3 416:2	17th 339:15			
428:22	361:23	4 353:23		
	1900 416:4	40 446:2		
	1983 423:2	402 450:12		
zba 430:5	l	427 370:7,21		
zoning 419:15	2	432 450:13		
0	2 373:10 400:11	442 414:14		
	400:12 434:23	420:1		
05 331:18 334:5	2009 371:23	445 331:4		
06114 449:18	372:20	332:21 334:4		
06507 333:5	2011 370:22	449:4		
1	373:20 377:11	4foot 419:9		
	383:2 384:12			
1 331:18 334:5	398:16,22	5		
346:16 371:10	399:22,25	5 339:17		
10 331:16	2012 370:22	50 343:12 446:5		
372:20 415:18	383:4	55 431:17		
443:10 445:10	2013 359:25	432:22		
446:13 449:9	360:6			
103 449:17	2014 331:18	6		
10601 332:22	334:5,9,10,24	6 347:24		
11 438:9	335:12 339:17	60 446:5		
119 449:2	376:14 384:24	60foot 431:17		
11th 401:10,15	385:8,13	65 431:20		
434:23	402:15 447:5	432:22		
12 417:5 443:10	449:10	684 422:5		
120 348:16,17	203 333:6			
348:19	21 334:23	7		
12th 335:10	24 334:9 400:12	75 441:23,23		
13 400:11	261 333:4	7724900 333:6		
138 422:5	28 399:3			
13th 402:14	29 335:12	8		
436:18	2,555.12	80 390:16		
14foot 358:24	3	80foot 441:15		
14th 332:21	3 334:10 339:13	857 449:16		
15 401:8 403:7	340:14 357:15	8foot 419:10		
443:10 446:13	448:4			
448:4	30 343:11	9		
150 389:16	447:13	90 449:17		
	777.13			
	l	1	1	