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Docket No. 445
Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a

Telecommunications Facility Located Southwest of the Intersection of Old Stagecoach Road and Aspen Ledges Road, Ridgefield, Connecticut

Continued Council Meeting held at Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, 10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut, Tuesday, June 17, 2014, beginning at 1:05 p.m.

He l d B e fore:

ROBERT STEIN, Chairperson

|  | Page 332 |  | Page 334 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Appearances: | 1 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Good |
| 2 | Council Members: | 2 | afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to |
| 3 | JAMES J. MURPHY, JR. | 3 | call to order the meeting of the Connecticut |
| 4 | PHILIP ASHTON | 4 | Siting Council on Docket 445 today, Tuesday |
| 5 | DR. BARBARA C. BELL | 5 | June 17, 2014, approximately 1:05. |
| 6 | ROBERT HANNON, DEEP Designee | 6 | My name is Robin Stein. I'm |
| 7 | DR. MICHAEL W. KLEMENS | 7 | chairman of the Connecticut Siting Council. |
| 8 | DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR. | 8 | This hearing is a continuation of a hearing |
| 9 |  | 9 | held on April 24, 2014, in Ridgefield, |
| 10 | Council Staff: | 10 | Connecticut. And June 3, 2014, in New |
| 11 | MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ., | 11 | Britain, Connecticut. It's held pursuant to |
| 12 | Executive Director and | 12 | the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut |
| 13 | Staff Attorney | 13 | General Statutes and of the Uniform |
| 14 |  | 14 | Administrative Procedure Act upon application |
| 15 | DAVID MARTIN | 15 | from Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular |
| 16 | Siting Analyst | 16 | wireless PCS, LLC, for a certificate of an |
| 17 |  | 17 | environmental compatibility and public need |
| 18 | For New Cingular Wireless, PCS, LLC, and | 18 | for the construction, maintenance and |
| 19 | Homeland Towers, LLC: | 19 | operation of a telecommunications facility |
| 20 | CUDDY \& FEDER, LLP | 20 | located southwest of the intersection of Old |
| 21 | 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th floor | 21 | Stagecoach Road and Aspen Ledges Road in |
| 22 | White Plains, New York 10601 | 22 | Ridgefield, Connecticut. The application was |
| 23 | By: CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER, ESQ. | 23 | received by the Council on February 21 of |
| 24 |  | 24 | 2014. |
| 25 |  | 25 | A verbatim transcript will be |
|  | Page 333 |  | Page 335 |
| 1 | Appearances: (Cont'd.) | 1 | made of this hearing deposited with the town |
| 2 | For Ridgefielders Against the Cell Tower: | 2 | clerk's offices in the Ridgefield Town Hall |
| 3 | EVANS, FELDMAN \& AINSWORTH, LLC | 3 | for the convenience of the public. We will |
| 4 | 261 Bradley Street | 4 | proceed in performance with the prepared |
| 5 | New Haven, Connecticut 06507 | 5 | agenda, copies of which are available here |
| 6 | (203) 772-4900 | 6 | commencing with the appearance of the |
| 7 | By: KEITH R. AINSWORTH | 7 | intervenor representing RACT, Ridgefielders |
| 8 |  | 8 | Against The Cell Tower. |
| 9 |  | 9 | So before we swear in the -- |
| 10 |  | 10 | As of June 12th, the Applicant |
| 11 |  | 11 | requested administrative notice of |
| 12 |  | 12 | correspondence dated May 29, 2014, in an |
| 13 |  | 13 | attachment from the Office of State |
| 14 |  | 14 | Archaeology. |
| 15 |  | 15 | Does the Intervenor have any |
| 16 |  | 16 | objections? |
| 17 |  | 17 | MR. AINSWORTH: We do not. |
| 18 |  | 18 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank |
| 19 |  | 19 | you. |
| 20 |  | 20 | Before we swear in Mr. Maxson, |
| 21 |  | 21 | Attorney Ainsworth, do you want to explain |
| 22 |  | 22 | what you want to do with the witnesses that |
| 23 |  | 23 | are on your list, but that are not -- I |
| 24 |  | 24 | understand that are not going to appear? |
| 25 |  | 25 | MR. AINSWORTH: Yes, |
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|  | Page 336 |  | Page 338 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mr. Chairman. | 1 | the general public to submit limited |
| 2 | We had a number of witnesses | 2 | appearance materials that the Council accepts |
| 3 | who submitted prefiled testimony in the form | 3 | in that form and then to actually take |
| 4 | of affidavits. The purpose of which was -- | 4 | someone who has taken the time to become a |
| 5 | and they made themselves -- some made | 5 | party to say that they can't even submit that |
| 6 | themselves available for cross-examination. | 6 | kind of a material and can only submit |
| 7 | Some did not. | 7 | materials that are subject to |
| 8 | The idea was that we wanted | 8 | cross-examination. |
| 9 | to -- if they chose to appear to be | 9 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. We'll |
| 10 | cross-examined, they could make their | 10 | allow this for, you know, for whatever it's |
| 11 | testimony, you know, subject to | 11 | worth to be in here, to be submitted. |
| 12 | cross-examination; but if they did not, those | 12 | Getting a positive nod from my |
| 13 | materials would be submitted as limited | 13 | Staff Attorney here, so I'll just go down. |
| 14 | appearance materials, obviously in the form | 14 | So Christopher Glidden, Mark |
| 15 | of the affidavit, but that could go into the | 15 | Gasparino, Maureen Cullane, Andre Avesos and |
| 16 | record as if they were any other submissions | 16 | Lori Schwartz. These are the people that I |
| 17 | to the Council. | 17 | have on my list. Is there somebody else? |
| 18 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. And | 18 | Did I miss anybody? |
| 19 | do you want to take them one at a time? Or | 19 | MR. AINSWORTH: No. Those are |
| 20 | should I just read -- I believe there are a | 20 | the ones. |
| 21 | total of five and just to see if we have any | 21 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. So as |
| 22 | objection from the Applicant? | 22 | I said, we'll accept them as a limited |
| 23 | MR. AINSWORTH: That's fine | 23 | appearance for the purpose of the hearing. |
| 24 | with me, your Honor. | 24 | And we will now go to your |
| 25 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. The | 25 | witness who is here. Mr. Maxson, so start |
|  | Page 337 |  | Page 339 |
| 1 | first one is Christopher Glidden. Is there | 1 | with the swearing in. |
| 2 | any objection to taking them in as -- his | 2 | D AVID MAXSON, |
| 3 | testimony is a limited appearance. | 3 | called as a witness, being first duly |
| 4 | MR. FISHER: Hi. Good | 4 | sworn by Ms. Bachman, was examined and |
| 5 | afternoon, Chairman. Attorney Chris Fisher | 5 | testified on his oath as follows: |
| 6 | on behalf of the Applicants. | 6 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. |
| 7 | Just a procedural objection. | 7 | Attorney Ainsworth, would you continue by |
| 8 | I believe it's improper to accept that as | 8 | verifying the exhibits you have filed in this |
| 9 | limited appearance testimony. The testimony | 9 | matter? |
| 10 | from someone who is, in fact, a party and | 10 | MR. AINSWORTH: Yes, sir. |
| 11 | that if that was to be submitted as evidence | 11 | Mr. Maxson, did you prepare or |
| 12 | in the form of an affidavit or a letter, it | 12 | assist in preparing three documents listed on |
| 13 | really should be subject to | 13 | the hearing program as B31 through 3, a |
| 14 | cross-examination. I would note that | 14 | report of David Maxson of coverage maps, |
| 15 | procedural objection for the record. | 15 | dated April 17th, your curriculum vitae, and |
| 16 | To the extent the Council | 16 | a supplemental brief prefiled testimony |
| 17 | wants to take that information in and simply | 17 | submitted June 5, 2014. |
| 18 | have it available if it's not part of the | 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. |
| 19 | evidentiary record that's cited to by the | 19 | MR. AINSWORTH: And do you |
| 20 | parties, we don't necessarily have an | 20 | have any corrections, deletions, or additions |
| 21 | objection to that. And to the extent that | 21 | to those materials? |
| 22 | I'm overruled, certainly we can deal with it | 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I |
| 23 | in briefing. | 23 | identified one typographic error in the first |
| 24 | MR. AINSWORTH: And just as a | 24 | line of the executive summary. The word |
| 25 | response, it would seem incongruous to allow | 25 | "the" is mistyped. |

MR. AINSWORTH: And is that in your original prefiled testimony or supplemental?

THE WITNESS: That's my report, the original testimony.

MR. AINSWORTH: That would be B31?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. AINSWORTH: Other than that correction, do you have any other corrections to make?

THE WITNESS: I do not.
MR. AINSWORTH: And do you adopt those B31 and 3 as your testimony here today?

THE WITNESS: I do.
MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Chairman, I seek to make this witness available for cross-examination.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any objection?

MR. FISHER: No, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. These will be made part of the record, and we we'll
serve the Ridgebury side of the ridge than the proposed facility does.

If you look at my coverage map that shows the proposed facility it does not completely serve the Ridgebury area. So even with that additional coverage from the proposed height of the proposed facility, Ridgebury is not fully served and would probably require an additional facility in the near future to complete coverage.

MR. MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
And in your discussion about the relevance of the public safety communications, you spent some time talking about portable versus mobile coverage. Could you kind of clarify what the difference is between these two systems that you were talking about.

THE WITNESS: Yes. Mobile coverage is the term used by the FCC and generally in the industry refer to coverage that is provided between the repeaters, the base stations and vehicles that have radios in them.

And because the vehicle has an
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begin the cross-examination of Mr. Maxson with staff.

Mr. Martin.
MR. MARTIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. MARTIN: Mr. Maxson, on the coverage plots that you generated for your report, you show from the proposed site fairly significant coverage to the north of the site, yet in the other sort of alternative sites you don't show any coverage or not much coverage to the north of that ridge on which the proposed site is located. How would you propose to cover that portion of what the proposed site would cover?

THE WITNESS: As I explained in my report, I'm suggesting that the checkers on the checkerboard be repositioned. And, among the possibilities for providing additional service to the north of the ridge, a location such as the school property or if there are other town properties up there or potentially private properties in heavily wooded areas, could actually, I think, better
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electrical system and a power supply, the radio can operate at nearly the same amount of power as the repeater location so that the communications down from the repeater to the mobile, to the vehicle and back up to the base station are almost equivalent and you get more coverage when you model mobile coverage than portable coverage.

With portable coverage you have a handheld radio that's only operating at a few watts rather than, perhaps, 30 or 50 watts with the mobile. So while the repeater or base station can reach the portable, when the portable responds, if it's far on the fringe, it may not be able to reach back to the repeater or base station.

So, in modeling coverage for two-way radio communications, it's important to look at both the portable and the mobile coverage and to determine what the design objectives are when designing a two-way radio network.

MR. MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And also you talk about
simulcast sites and you suggest that

|  | Page 344 |  | Page 346 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | simulcast sites are best located in the | 1 | concept. |
| 2 | valleys rather than ridgetops in order to | 2 | MR. MARTIN: Thank you. Those |
| 3 | minimize the interference that we might have | 3 | are my questions, Mr. Chairman. |
| 4 | with one another. So if you were to set up | 4 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank |
| 5 | sites kind of within these valleys, how would | 5 | you. |
| 6 | they communicate from one valley to another? | 6 | We will now go to questions |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: The purpose of | 7 | from the Council. |
| 8 | the simulcasting is to provide a more | 8 | Senator Murphy. |
| 9 | complete coverage footprint throughout a | 9 | MR. MURPHY: Thank you, |
| 10 | service area. So you would have a simulcast | 10 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 11 | facility in Valley A and you would have a | 11 | Got me now? |
| 12 | simulcast facility in Valley B, both | 12 | I just have a couple of |
| 13 | connected back to the central control point | 13 | questions on your report, Mr. Maxson. I've |
| 14 | so that when those simulcast transmitters go | 14 | been privileged to cross-examine you before, |
| 15 | on, on the same channel at the same time, | 15 | as have some of the other members of the |
| 16 | they each illuminate very distinctly | 16 | Council, but at the bottom of page 1 of your |
| 17 | different geographic areas. | 17 | report do you really mean that there's |
| 18 | In contrast, if you have | 18 | nothing to indicate that there's a need for |
| 19 | simulcast slates up on hills, there will be | 19 | public safety communications in the town of |
| 20 | areas where their coverage will overlap, and | 20 | Ridgefield? |
| 21 | the time delay between Site A, and a | 21 | You lump together the need and |
| 22 | particular location in the overlap and | 22 | whether this solution is genuine. Do you |
| 23 | repeater Site B simulcasting to that same | 23 | really doubt that there's a genuine need here |
| 24 | location, even though it's at the speed of | 24 | for public safety communications in this |
| 25 | light, the time delay between those two can | 25 | town? |
|  | Page 345 |  | Page 347 |
| 1 | cause interference in the overlap. | 1 | THE WITNESS: Perhaps I could |
| 2 | So by moving the illumination | 2 | clarify that, when I talk about the need, I |
| 3 | from the tops of the ridges and putting them | 3 | think I'm specifically talking about the need |
| 4 | down in the terrain to serve specific valley | 4 | for the proposed facility -- and if that |
| 5 | areas, it helps isolate simulcast facilities | 5 | helps clarify my meaning, I would add those |
| 6 | so that they don't overlap and cause | 6 | words to that sentence. |
| 7 | interference. | 7 | MR. MURPHY: Okay. Because |
| 8 | MR. MARTIN: And because this | 8 | the way I read it, it indicates -- I realize |
| 9 | discussion of simulcast facilities came in | 9 | that you're questioning whether this tower is |
| 10 | on your -- about addressing public safety | 10 | a solution, which it's not. It would be, as |
| 11 | issues, the simulcast sites are primarily | 11 | I understand it, part of it, and the only |
| 12 | used for public safety types of networks? | 12 | part we see is this part, and so it's not the |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: The simulcasting | 13 | answer in toto. |
| 14 | we're talking about is in the -- in my | 14 | But I read it also, you're |
| 15 | testimony is specifically relating to two-way | 15 | indicating that there's no need in this town, |
| 16 | radio communications and specifically to the | 16 | but that's not what you intended to convey to |
| 17 | public safety communications that we're | 17 | me? |
| 18 | discussing here, yes. | 18 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| 19 | MR. MARTIN: So they wouldn't | 19 | I appreciate the question, because it was -- |
| 20 | necessarily be pertinent for, like the AT\&T | 20 | it's not clear that when I say "the need," |
| 21 | coverage that they're trying to achieve at | 21 | I'm referring specifically to the need for |
| 22 | this site? | 22 | the proposed facility. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 23 | MR. MURPHY: Thank you. And |
| 24 | For a conventional macro cell site | 24 | turning to page 6 in the second paragraph, if |
| 25 | simulcasting is not really an applicable | 25 | the tower proposed was lower at this site, do |


|  | Page 348 |  | Page 350 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | you really believe there would be less | 1 | out further to the north, was using school |
| 2 | objection to this tower at this height? | 2 | properties. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I can only say | 3 | And I guess my question on |
| 4 | that I believe it would be less | 4 | that would be, do you think that that's going |
| 5 | objectionable. | 5 | to be something that people in town would |
| 6 | I can't -- certainly can't | 6 | find highly objectionable in trying to put |
| 7 | speak for neighbors or individuals, but in my | 7 | towers at school sites, things of that |
| 8 | experience when you have poles, for instance, | 8 | nature? |
| 9 | that I had originally suggested where the | 9 | Because, just based on |
| 10 | antennas are just peeking above the treetops, | 10 | comments we've received over time, people are |
| 11 | it reduces the dominating appearance of the | 11 | concerned about towers being close to their |
| 12 | structure both from near, nearby and from | 12 | house. If you start putting towers close to |
| 13 | longer scenic views. | 13 | a school, is that going to galvanize people? |
| 14 | MR. MURPHY: So, in effect, | 14 | THE WITNESS: My experience is |
| 15 | you would expect there would be opposition to | 15 | it really depends on the community. I -- the |
| 16 | it at 120 feet from the neighbors, forgetting | 16 | town adjacent to mine and Westwood, |
| 17 | whether the 120 works or not? | 17 | Massachusetts has a camouflage tower like a |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Just | 18 | cupola on the top of the middle school with |
| 19 | hypothetically, 120 feet? | 19 | wireless antennas in it. And it's something |
| 20 | MR. MURPHY: Right. | 20 | that the community supported, of course, and |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. | 21 | it was accomplished a number of years ago. |
| 22 | I anticipate that, as long as | 22 | So I think it really depends |
| 23 | it's a substantial height above the treeline, | 23 | on a particular community, and I can't speak |
| 24 | an installation on this ridge would be | 24 | for Ridgefield. |
| 25 | something that some people would find | 25 | MR. HANNON: Okay. And the |
|  | Page 349 |  | Page 351 |
| 1 | unpleasant. | 1 | other question I had, I think you were |
| 2 | MR. MURPHY: Do you believe | 2 | talking about mobile versus portable. And if |
| 3 | that if it was not above the treeline there | 3 | I understood you correctly, it sounds like |
| 4 | would be no objection by the neighbors? | 4 | dialogue was more about sort of radios and |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: | 5 | phones, but does that also include data? |
| 6 | Immediate neighbors? It's | 6 | THE WITNESS: The mobile and |
| 7 | hard to say without having, you know, balloon | 7 | portable discussion was about two-way radio |
| 8 | floats and, you know, visual demonstrations, | 8 | communications. And if the question then is |
| 9 | visual analysis of what the impact would be. | 9 | about data communications, for the most part |
| 10 | It would certainly make a huge difference in | 10 | data communications and public safety |
| 11 | terms of the view of the ridge from the | 11 | communications are in mounted conditions in |
| 12 | Pierrepont State Park area or from the high | 12 | automobiles and emergency response vehicles |
| 13 | school or other open areas that can see the | 13 | and those sorts of things, so they would |
| 14 | ridge. | 14 | likely have similar power as the voice |
| 15 | MR. MURPHY: I have nothing | 15 | communications in those mobile environments. |
| 16 | else, Mr. Chairman, at this time. | 16 | MR. HANNON: Okay. Thank you. |
| 17 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. | 17 | I have no further questions. |
| 18 | Mr. Hannon? | 18 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank |
| 19 | MR. HANNON: Thank you, Mr. | 19 | you. |
| 20 | Chairman. | 20 | Mr. Lynch? |
| 21 | I've got a couple of questions | 21 | MR. LYNCH: Mr. Hannon led |
| 22 | just to sort of follow up on some of the | 22 | into my question on data services. The |
| 23 | issues today. One of the things that you | 23 | carriers today are all primarily building |
| 24 | were talking about, for example, possible | 24 | their networks to deliver that data service. |
| 25 | alternatives in dealing with getting signals | 25 | And how would that impact -- we're not |


|  | Page 352 |  | Page 354 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | talking about radio communication, but how is | 1 | explicitly voting on this new cell tower. |
| 2 | that going to change what your view on | 2 | What do you actually mean by that? It's -- |
| 3 | delivering, putting this tower and having any | 3 | you're giving an appearance of some |
| 4 | type of -- you talk about a weaker signal | 4 | impropriety. |
| 5 | from the portable unit, and how is that | 5 | THE WITNESS: I am -- having |
| 6 | delivering the data service going to be | 6 | been involved in the original request for |
| 7 | impacted? | 7 | proposals process and having sort of tracked |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. When | 8 | the evolution of what is now the proposal |
| 9 | you refer to that data service, you're | 9 | before you, what I understand happened -- and |
| 10 | talking about the service that's provided by | 10 | this is from press reports and from other -- |
| 11 | the wireless carriers? | 11 | other information sources, what I understand |
| 12 | MR. LYNCH: Yes. | 12 | happened was that the town meeting said no to |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a | 13 | a cell tower on this property, and so the |
| 14 | different data service than the public safety | 14 | property was not to be acquired, on the |
| 15 | data service that we were just talking about. | 15 | general large parcel. |
| 16 | MR. LYNCH: I'm well aware of | 16 | And then, subsequently, the |
| 17 | that, but we're talking about today a -- and | 17 | parcel was subdivided, and at a town meeting |
| 18 | we've had a lot of discussions with the | 18 | the Town was given the opportunity to |
| 19 | carriers over their switching from -- can you | 19 | purchase most of the land for conservation |
| 20 | hear me now -- to delivering data service, | 20 | land with the assurance that there would be |
| 21 | and I just want to get your opinion on that. | 21 | no cell tower on the conservation land, and a |
| 22 | And I guess that's what I'm saying. | 22 | number of residents contributed to the fund |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Well, the | 23 | to acquire that, that property. |
| 24 | proposed facility, because of it's very large | 24 | And then this private deal |
| 25 | footprint, would provide commercial data | 25 | happened in parallel with that. And I think |
|  | Page 353 |  | Page 355 |
| 1 | services to a large area, and I think that's | 1 | it's surprised a number of people after their |
| 2 | something we've observed and generally agree | 2 | efforts to prevent a cell tower from being |
| 3 | on. And the alternatives that I'm suggesting | 3 | built on top of this ridge. |
| 4 | would also provide data services to an even | 4 | DR. KLEMENS: Although |
| 5 | larger area by distributing the cell sites in | 5 | distasteful and making the residents unhappy, |
| 6 | different ways. | 6 | you're not alleging that something illegal |
| 7 | MR. LYNCH: But that would be | 7 | happened? |
| 8 | on a lower frequency, would it not, for the | 8 | THE WITNESS: I'm not alleging |
| 9 | public safety? | 9 | that something illegal happened. I -- I have |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, there are | 10 | no information either way on that, but it |
| 11 | a number of things that are different. So | 11 | certainly was a process by which the private |
| 12 | it's very hard to compare apples to apples | 12 | property ended up with a proposed cell tower |
| 13 | between the public safety data coverage and | 13 | on it. |
| 14 | the wireless services data coverage. | 14 | DR. KLEMENS: You mentioned |
| 15 | MR. LYNCH: Thank you, | 15 | about the high schools, the high school |
| 16 | Mr. Maxson. | 16 | putting a tower, trying to get the high |
| 17 | No more questions, | 17 | school, making it higher to compensate for |
| 18 | Mr. Chairman. | 18 | the lower ground, giving it more height. I |
| 19 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. | 19 | thought it was my understanding -- and maybe |
| 20 | Dr. Klemens. | 20 | I'm -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that the |
| 21 | DR. KLEMENS: Thank you, | 21 | Town has gone on record as saying they're not |
| 22 | Mr. Chairman. I just have a few questions. | 22 | allowing any cell towers at schools. Is that |
| 23 | On page 4 of the report on the | 23 | correct? |
| 24 | second paragraph, you talk about a maneuver | 24 | THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of |
| 25 | that prevented the town meeting from | 25 | any formal indications by the town one way or |
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|  | Page 356 |  | Page 358 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the other. | 1 | situated in the woods that is brown, and that |
| 2 | DR. KLEMENS: I think there | 2 | helps keep it from standing out. But it's |
| 3 | may have been a representation, at least, | 3 | brown and the antennas are closely packed to |
| 4 | made by the First Selectman, I think. I'm | 4 | the tower. They're not a large frames. |
| 5 | not sure. I'll have to go back and look at | 5 | DR. KLEMENS: Are they within |
| 6 | my notes. | 6 | the tower or are they flush mounted? |
| 7 | Can we move also now if this | 7 | THE WITNESS: The one at |
| 8 | tower -- I have asked the Applicant about | 8 | Wilbraham is flush mounted. The one in |
| 9 | camouflaging the tower, and the Applicant has | 9 | Nahant that I described is inside the cover. |
| 10 | responded with a document saying that they | 10 | DR. KLEMENS: Just so I'm |
| 11 | don't believe that the monopine is an | 11 | clear on this, so in your professional |
| 12 | appropriate way to camouflage the tower. | 12 | opinion, the best way to mitigate the visual |
| 13 | What is your opinion about | 13 | impact of this tower, if it is built, to the |
| 14 | other things such as the rust-color tower as | 14 | immediate neighbors that are surrounding on |
| 15 | opposed to aluminum and a metal tower? Would | 15 | Stagecoach and Aspen, would be a either flush |
| 16 | that help mitigate the visual impact, | 16 | mount or a monopole flagpole type antenna |
| 17 | particularly for the neighbors? The far | 17 | painted brown? |
| 18 | views are one thing, but I'm concerned about | 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. If I can |
| 19 | how we can lessen the impact of this tower on | 19 | clarify that, that I think there are sort of |
| 20 | the immediate neighbors and how would you, as | 20 | three components: reducing the height so |
| 21 | a professional in the field, find a way to | 21 | it's less -- giving less of a sense of |
| 22 | lessen that visual impact? | 22 | looming over the neighborhood; reduce the |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: I think one | 23 | visual mass by bringing in the antennas close |
| 24 | experience from perhaps a decade ago that | 24 | to the structure and not having 14-foot wide |
| 25 | really sort of sealed it for me was in | 25 | mounts; and lastly, reducing the visual |
|  | Page 357 |  | Page 359 |
| 1 | Nahant, Massachusetts, where a tower was | 1 | clutter which is enclosing the antenna cable |
| 2 | proposed behind the police station and the | 2 | and other assemblies inside a cowling. |
| 3 | residences immediately nearby. | 3 | So that the cleanest approach |
| 4 | So the Town hired an architect | 4 | is a concealed antenna monopole, a unipole as |
| 5 | to assist with a visual assessment, and the | 5 | it's called; secondarily, the flush mount |
| 6 | architect recommended that a structure -- | 6 | with a -- a dark color in a wooded area such |
| 7 | sometimes you can't hide a cell tower. So | 7 | as brown can be helpful. |
| 8 | you create a structure that has clean | 8 | DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. |
| 9 | architectural lines and perhaps the most | 9 | I have no further questions, |
| 10 | familiar and least objectionable of those | 10 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 11 | types of towers would be the -- what I call a | 11 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. |
| 12 | concealed antenna monopole, or a flagpole | 12 | Dr. Bell? |
| 13 | style tower. It doesn't have to have a flag | 13 | DR. BELL: Thank you, |
| 14 | on it, which is very narrow in diameter, | 14 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 15 | perhaps 3 feet at the top to accommodate the | 15 | Mr. Maxson, in your report you |
| 16 | kinds of antennas that we're using today. | 16 | talk about further study that the Town would |
| 17 | And it's just a clean pole, | 17 | need to do in order to provide more evidence, |
| 18 | and in the case of Nahant, the architect | 18 | or I think you would say, any evidence for |
| 19 | recommended a particular color, white, | 19 | adopting this particular tower proposal. |
| 20 | because Nahant is a seaside community and | 20 | But I'm kind of confused |
| 21 | white was kind of consistent with both masts | 21 | because the previous witness for the |
| 22 | and other kinds of construction. | 22 | Applicant mentioned the emergency services |
| 23 | In a wooded area, perhaps a | 23 | task force, which did do a study and which |
| 24 | brown might be more effective. There's, in | 24 | was, apparently, completed in October of |
| 25 | Wilbraham, Massachusetts, a tower that's | 25 | 2013. And they did that with the services of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | a consultant. I'm a little confused about | 1 | The proposed tower is not the result of |
| 2 | the name of the consultant, and what point | 2 | careful network planning and instead is an |
| 3 | Motorola was or wasn't involved. | 3 | opportunistic site that went looking for a |
| 4 | But that doesn't really | 4 | carrier. |
| 5 | matter. The point was Mr. Aarons referred to | 5 | Isn't that generally true in |
| 6 | a report that was done in October 2013, and | 6 | Connecticut? You can do only so much network |
| 7 | made some further statements about that. | 7 | planning. You have your areas that are |
| 8 | Have you seen that study? | 8 | devoid of service, but aren't you subject to |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: I have not seen | 9 | the whims of a property owner as to whether |
| 10 | that study. | 10 | or not you can get a satisfactory site? |
| 11 | DR. BELL: You're aware | 11 | THE WITNESS: I think those |
| 12 | that -- you're aware of it? | 12 | are two separate questions. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: From the | 13 | Yes, you're certainly always |
| 14 | testimony that I've read in the transcripts, | 14 | subject to the interests of landowners as to |
| 15 | I'm aware of it, but as my understanding, | 15 | whether you can establish a lease in a |
| 16 | it's not in evidence so I -- I am unable to | 16 | particular site within a search area. |
| 17 | peer review it. | 17 | What -- it strikes me that, in |
| 18 | DR. BELL: Okay. I was just | 18 | this particular case, it does not seem to |
| 19 | curious as to whether this -- you're aware of | 19 | have been a wireless company driving the |
| 20 | this because you read about it in the record, | 20 | selection of the site, that the definition of |
| 21 | but you, you are not aware of this beforehand | 21 | the need seems to be somewhat circular in |
| 22 | or for any other reason? | 22 | logic that this proposed facility will |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 23 | provide a whole lot of coverage; therefore, |
| 24 | DR. BELL: Word of mouth or | 24 | the coverage area that this proposed facility |
| 25 | something like that? | 25 | will provide is the coverage area that we |
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| 1 | Okay. That's the main | 1 | need. |
| 2 | question I had to ask you. Thank you. | 2 | And I think, if you were to |
| 3 | Thank you, Mr. Chair. | 3 | look at this in terms of a company trying to |
| 4 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton. | 4 | get coverage that overlaps with existing |
| 5 | MR. ASHTON: Just in time. | 5 | coverage to provide handoffs in a methodical |
| 6 | THE CHAIRPERSON: You arrived | 6 | way, that rather than seeing something stuck |
| 7 | just in time. | 7 | on the ridge of opportunity, more planning |
| 8 | MR. ASHTON: Thank you. | 8 | might have gone into it if it were driven by |
| 9 | Mr. Ainsworth, Mr. Maxson, | 9 | a carrier rather than by a site developer. |
| 10 | forgive me for being late. I had another | 10 | MR. ASHTON: That's an opinion |
| 11 | commitment I wanted to try and satisfy a | 11 | rather than fact base. Is that fair to say? |
| 12 | little bit. And if I ask a question that's | 12 | THE WITNESS: That's fair to |
| 13 | already been answered, Mr. Ainsworth, you can | 13 | say, yes. |
| 14 | always yell "asked and answered." I won't | 14 | MR. ASHTON: Okay. And you |
| 15 | take any offense at that. | 15 | are aware, for example, that the high school |
| 16 | MR. AINSWORTH: Not at all. | 16 | is off limits for a cell tower? |
| 17 | I'm happy to let you take it. | 17 | THE WITNESS: I am not aware |
| 18 | MR. ASHTON: I assume, Mr. | 18 | of that. |
| 19 | Maxson, that you have read the transcript to | 19 | MR. ASHTON: You did read the |
| 20 | date on this hearing? | 20 | town transcript? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. | 21 | THE WITNESS: I did, and |
| 22 | MR. ASHTON: As I read your | 22 | perhaps you could cite it, if you'd like me |
| 23 | report, which I think it's dated April 17th, | 23 | to comment on it. |
| 24 | if I remember right, the first paragraph or | 24 | MR. ASHTON: Are you aware |
| 25 | first sentence that caught my eye was, quote, | 25 | that, under Connecticut law, there is a |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | priority placed on aggregating carriers on a | 1 | on the amount of clearance above the treeline |
| 2 | single structure rather than having them | 2 | as to how many carriers the unipole could |
| 3 | proliferate all over the lot? | 3 | support, but it would support additional |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: I am aware of | 4 | carriers. |
| 5 | that. | 5 | MR. ASHTON: We can agree on |
| 6 | MR. ASHTON: Okay. | 6 | that. For a given height -- |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: I believe its | 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 8 | intention with the other aspects of siting | 8 | MR. ASHTON: Is my statement |
| 9 | wireless facilities that have to do with the | 9 | correct? |
| 10 | visual and other impacts of the facilities. | 10 | THE WITNESS: For A given |
| 11 | MR. ASHTON: Okay. "Evidence | 11 | height, could you repeat that statement, |
| 12 | of the purported benefits" -- I'm reading | 12 | please? |
| 13 | again -- "evidence on the purported benefits | 13 | MR. ASHTON: For a given |
| 14 | to emergency communication consists of vague, | 14 | height, would a flagpole with internal arrays |
| 15 | unsubstantiated statements." | 15 | facilitate being neutral or be a hindrance to |
| 16 | Do you feel that the testimony | 16 | the addition of other carriers? |
| 17 | of the people from Ridgefield is vague and | 17 | THE WITNESS: It would not be |
| 18 | unsubstantiated? | 18 | hindrance to the addition of other carriers. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: I'm referring | 19 | MR. ASHTON: If they require |
| 20 | specifically to the testimony of the | 20 | two levels to meet their requirements, would |
| 21 | gentleman who is speaking on behalf of or | 21 | that be a hindrance? |
| 22 | with respect to his experience with the | 22 | THE WITNESS: It would reduce |
| 23 | emergency communications services, that he -- | 23 | the number of carriers that the structure |
| 24 | it was not a radio communications engineer | 24 | could support, but I don't consider it to be |
| 25 | and that he was reporting information, but | 25 | a hinderance to the addition of the |
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| 1 | there was no substantive evidence to support | 1 | additional carriers. |
| 2 | his testimony. | 2 | MR. ASHTON: All right. I'll |
| 3 | MR. ASHTON: Do you have to be | 3 | let your testimony speak for itself on that |
| 4 | a radio communications engineer to determine | 4 | case. |
| 5 | there is no available service at a certain | 5 | You would reduce the visual |
| 6 | point? | 6 | effect by pulling the antennas in close to |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: No. | 7 | the pole being a flush mount. Is that fair |
| 8 | MR. ASHTON: Thank you. | 8 | to say? |
| 9 | You would prefer a flagpole by | 9 | THE WITNESS: Either a flush |
| 10 | your testimony that I heard this afternoon. | 10 | mount or a concealed mount of some kind, yes. |
| 11 | Is that correct? Is there going to be a | 11 | MR. ASHTON: Well, okay. Just |
| 12 | system on the site? | 12 | a flush mount, I think, was what you were |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I would rephrase | 13 | talking about at that instance. Would a |
| 14 | that slightly. I -- I don't think my | 14 | flush mount be acceptable more or less than a |
| 15 | preferences weigh into this. It's my | 15 | flagpole with the internal mounted carriers? |
| 16 | experience that a flagpole-style tower, a | 16 | And if so, why, why not? |
| 17 | unipole, as it's sometimes called, is among | 17 | THE WITNESS: In my |
| 18 | the least objectionable types of designs in | 18 | experience, it really depends on the context |
| 19 | many circumstances. | 19 | of the situation, and I gave two examples, |
| 20 | MR. ASHTON: And would that | 20 | one of a flush mount and one of a flagpole |
| 21 | facility allow additional carriers going on | 21 | mount. In general, the presence of a clean |
| 22 | the same structure or be a general hinderance | 22 | architectural line is -- tends to be less |
| 23 | for other carriers going on the same | 23 | objectionable than even a flush mount, which |
| 24 | structure? | 24 | has some articulation and visual mass to it. |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: It would depend | 25 | MR. ASHTON: Even if it |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | required a much thicker, fatter pole? | 1 | MR. FISHER: Good afternoon. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: If which | 2 | THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. |
| 3 | required a much thicker, fatter pole? | 3 | MR. FISHER: I do have some |
| 4 | MR. ASHTON: A flagpole with | 4 | questions. I'll try to take them in some |
| 5 | internal mounting would or would not require | 5 | order. Do you recall your testimony for the |
| 6 | a larger diameter structure than a flush | 6 | Town of Branford? It was Siting Council |
| 7 | mount? | 7 | Docket 427. It was the Short Beach area of |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Typically, if | 8 | town. |
| 9 | you're doing flush mount, the structure is of | 9 | THE WITNESS: I recall I had |
| 10 | a certain diameter, and the antennas will | 10 | testimony and provided information to the |
| 11 | extend from that a certain diameter. And | 11 | Town. I certainly don't have it memorized. |
| 12 | then with the concealed flagpole approach, | 12 | MR. FISHER: Sure. And my |
| 13 | the structure is inside the cover. | 13 | question is fairly straightforward on this. |
| 14 | MR. ASHTON: Right. And it's | 14 | Since the time of that testimony, which was a |
| 15 | the same diameter as a flush mount. Isn't | 15 | couple years ago, your academic, your |
| 16 | it? | 16 | professional experience, your certifications |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: And so the cover | 17 | haven't materially changed since that time, |
| 18 | would be approximately the same diameter as | 18 | have they? |
| 19 | the antennas on the flush mount. | 19 | THE WITNESS: What year are we |
| 20 | MR. ASHTON: Don't you have to | 20 | talking about? |
| 21 | have a whole working clearance inside there? | 21 | MR. FISHER: Docket 427, I |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: The covers come | 22 | think 2011, 2012. |
| 23 | off in order to do work on them. | 23 | THE WITNESS: In terms of if |
| 24 | MR. ASHTON: Okay. Do you | 24 | your asking about academics and professional |
| 25 | give safety any credence in your preferences | 25 | certifications, I would say no, but of course |
|  | Page 369 |  | Page 371 |
| 1 | for structures, safety of the workmen for | 1 | my experience has continued since then. |
| 2 | example, who have to replace, repair, modify, | 2 | MR. FISHER: Sure. Okay. And |
| 3 | whatever? | 3 | do you recall in that docket we had a fairly |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: I rely on | 4 | lengthy discussion and examination about |
| 5 | standards and best practices. So if | 5 | unipoles, as you've describe them? |
| 6 | something can be done and meet the standards | 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 7 | and best practices, that is sufficient. And | 7 | MR. FISHER: Okay. All right. |
| 8 | concealed antenna poles, flush mount poles | 8 | I'm going to move on. Did you see, in the |
| 9 | and standard antenna mounts can all be | 9 | application submitted in this particular |
| 10 | installed and maintained using best | 10 | docket at the very beginning, page 1 , that an |
| 11 | practices. | 11 | AT\&T search ring was issued about six years |
| 12 | MR. ASHTON: I use the word | 12 | ago. Did you see that in the application? |
| 13 | "safety." I didn't hear the word "safety" in | 13 | THE WITNESS: I saw a general |
| 14 | your response. I heard "best practices." I | 14 | statement that AT\&T had issued a search ring |
| 15 | didn't hear "safety." | 15 | a substantial time ago. I didn't recall any |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: With respect to | 16 | particular date being associated with it. |
| 17 | safety, those are the best practices I'm | 17 | MR. FISHER: Did you read in |
| 18 | talking about, yes. | 18 | the application the discussion about AT\&T |
| 19 | MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. | 19 | actually talking to the town first selectman? |
| 20 | Thank you, Mr. Chairman. | 20 | THE WITNESS: I recall some |
| 21 | THE CHAIRPERSON: I see | 21 | talk on that, yes. |
| 22 | attorney -- and we'll now go to | 22 | MR. FISHER: So when AT\&T met |
| 23 | cross-examination by the Applicant Attorney | 23 | with the first selectman in about 2009, you |
| 24 | Fisher. | 24 | saw in the application that they talked about |
| 25 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 25 | a number of different potential site |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | locations. Isn't that true? | 1 | were before that time? |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes, and it's my |
| 3 | MR. FISHER: And many of those | 3 | understanding that AT\&T, for a time, did not |
| 4 | site locations actually had nothing to do | 4 | have any active search rings in the area as |
| 5 | with this ridgeline, did they not? | 5 | well. |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 6 | MR. FISHER: Where is that |
| 7 | MR. FISHER: So AT\&T talked | 7 | information from? |
| 8 | with the Town about schools, golf courses, | 8 | THE WITNESS: Part of my |
| 9 | other properties the Town may suggest as | 9 | conversations with AT\&T. When I called them |
| 10 | private properties. Worcester Mountain, I | 10 | at the time of the RFP, they were not |
| 11 | think, was even mentioned, which is south of | 11 | actively seeking a site. |
| 12 | this location. Is that correct? | 12 | MR. FISHER: Who did you talk |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: You're going | 13 | to? |
| 14 | into details I don't specifically recall, but | 14 | THE WITNESS: I would have to |
| 15 | in general I recall some discussion on the | 15 | get the name from my records. |
| 16 | topic. | 16 | MR. FISHER: So you don't know |
| 17 | MR. FISHER: But you don't | 17 | who you spoke with? |
| 18 | have any knowledge yourself to suggest that | 18 | THE WITNESS: I don't recall |
| 19 | AT\&T didn't, in fact, have those meetings in | 19 | who I spoke with. |
| 20 | 2009 and ' 10 ? | 20 | MR. FISHER: Is it someone who |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I do not. | 21 | has responsibility for the Connecticut |
| 22 | MR. FISHER: And did you see | 22 | marketplace? |
| 23 | in the application that Homeland Towers | 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 24 | actually had their own independent site | 24 | MR. FISHER: Site search |
| 25 | search? | 25 | acquisition personnel? Consultant? An |
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| 1 | THE WITNESS: And what do you | 1 | in-house employee? |
| 2 | mean by "independent site search"? | 2 | THE WITNESS: As I said, I |
| 3 | MR. FISHER: That they, | 3 | don't recall. I'd have to check it. |
| 4 | independent of AT\&T and the Town had | 4 | MR. FISHER: Let me ask you a |
| 5 | conducted their own search for sites in this | 5 | question. You've represented municipalities |
| 6 | particular area of town? | 6 | and parties that are opposed to towers, have |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 7 | you not? |
| 8 | MR. FISHER: And did you, when | 8 | THE WITNESS: Among others, |
| 9 | you reviewed the application and first got | 9 | yes. |
| 10 | it, in Section 2 did you look at all the | 10 | MR. FISHER: Why do you think |
| 11 | lists of sites that Homeland had | 11 | AT\&T would actually respond to that inquiry |
| 12 | investigated? | 12 | that you had? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 13 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 14 | MR. FISHER: And did you see | 14 | Respond to? |
| 15 | that numerous of those sites are not on this | 15 | MR. FISHER: Well, why would |
| 16 | ridge? | 16 | AT\&T give you information about their search |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 17 | rings? Just you called someone up, you don't |
| 18 | MR. FISHER: And that was well | 18 | recall who, and they said they didn't have |
| 19 | before the Town came up with its own proposal | 19 | search rings in the area? |
| 20 | in 2011. Isn't that correct? | 20 | THE WITNESS: Well, I have a |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: You're referring | 21 | working relationship with the wireless, many |
| 22 | to the request for proposals that the Town | 22 | representatives of the wireless company. I |
| 23 | issued? | 23 | often interact with them on various |
| 24 | MR. FISHER: Yes, so the site | 24 | opportunities. I bring opportunities to them |
| 25 | searches that AT\&T and Homeland conducted | 25 | if I identify a site that might be of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | interest to them. I do things to facilitate | 1 | MR. FISHER: Did you go on any |
| 2 | the development of wireless facilities. I'm | 2 | of the site visits that the Town had as part |
| 3 | not just someone who represents intervenors. | 3 | of its RFP process? |
| 4 | And, in that relationship, | 4 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 5 | it's easy to place a couple phone calls and | 5 | MR. FISHER: Okay. So you're |
| 6 | speak to individuals who have | 6 | familiar with where that tower location had |
| 7 | responsibilities in particular areas. | 7 | been proposed by the Town? |
| 8 | MR. FISHER: Okay, but you | 8 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 9 | don't recall, in this case, who you spoke to? | 9 | MR. FISHER: And that was, in |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 10 | fact, further up the slope from the location |
| 11 | MR. FISHER: Okay. You | 11 | currently under consideration by the Council. |
| 12 | mentioned -- and I think one of the Council | 12 | Isn't that true? |
| 13 | members asked you about your statement in | 13 | THE WITNESS: In terms of |
| 14 | your report from April 2014, that this was an | 14 | elevation? |
| 15 | opportunistic site that went looking for a | 15 | MR. FISHER: Yes. |
| 16 | carrier. Isn't it true, based on the | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 17 | conversation we just had, that the carrier | 17 | MR. FISHER: So it was closer |
| 18 | came first in this instance? | 18 | to the residents who are on Old Stagecoach |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: The carrier came | 19 | and Aspen Ledges. Correct? |
| 20 | and went. | 20 | THE WITNESS: I haven't done |
| 21 | MR. FISHER: Based on someone | 21 | an actual one-for-one comparison and measured |
| 22 | you don't recall having spoken with at AT\&T? | 22 | distances to the residences. In a general |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes and other | 23 | sense it -- it may have been in one |
| 24 | conversations in that time. | 24 | particular direction closer, yeah. |
| 25 | MR. FISHER: The truth is you | 25 | MR. FISHER: More on top of |
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| 1 | really don't know whether this search ring | 1 | the ridge? |
| 2 | came and went. You're just saying that. | 2 | THE WITNESS: At a higher |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: That's not the | 3 | elevation. |
| 4 | truth. That's an incorrect statement. | 4 | MR. FISHER: Okay. So when |
| 5 | MR. FISHER: You do | 5 | you had those conversations with the Town as |
| 6 | acknowledge that the site started with AT\&T, | 6 | part of the RFP process, did you talk to the |
| 7 | though? | 7 | Town at all about their public safety |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I don't know | 8 | communications needs? |
| 9 | that. | 9 | THE WITNESS: No, I did not. |
| 10 | MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead. | 10 | I was simply responding to the content and |
| 11 | Okay? How about 2011, when did you first | 11 | context of the request for proposals. |
| 12 | become aware that the Town had an RFP? | 12 | MR. FISHER: You wouldn't have |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I'm not | 13 | responded to the RFP if you didn't think |
| 14 | recalling exactly how I became aware of it, | 14 | there was a need for cellular service in this |
| 15 | whether it was through my -- my businesses' | 15 | area, would you have? |
| 16 | survey of requests for proposals or whether | 16 | THE WITNESS: Yes, that's a |
| 17 | it was something someone had mentioned to me. | 17 | fair statement. |
| 18 | MR. FISHER: But you, | 18 | MR. FISHER: So at the time of |
| 19 | obviously, received a copy of the RFP the | 19 | the RFP, you did some of your own coverage |
| 20 | Town had issued, evaluated and decided you | 20 | modeling to look at that particular town |
| 21 | wanted to submit a proposal. Correct. | 21 | location and determine whether you thought it |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 22 | was a good location or not for service? |
| 23 | MR. FISHER: And you did, in | 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes, on the |
| 24 | fact, submit a proposal? | 24 | assumption that because the Town was offering |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I did. | 25 | it as a potential location, that it was |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | something that the community was behind. | 1 | safety communications issues. |
| 2 | MR. FISHER: So, at that time, | 2 | MR. FISHER: But didn't the |
| 3 | you thought that project had some merit, and | 3 | RFP itself say that there had to be space |
| 4 | you were willing to spend the time and | 4 | reserved on any potential tower for public |
| 5 | resources to pursue it further as isotrope. | 5 | safety purposes? |
| 6 | Is that correct? | 6 | THE WITNESS: It may have, |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. | 7 | yes. |
| 8 | MR. FISHER: Let's assume for | 8 | MR. FISHER: So the Town was |
| 9 | the moment you were the successful bidder in | 9 | looking for someone to develop a tower site |
| 10 | response to the RFP and the project was | 10 | for cellular and public safety purposes. You |
| 11 | approved at town meeting, you'd be in front | 11 | would have coordinated with those entities of |
| 12 | of this Council as the applicant for that | 12 | course. Right? |
| 13 | site, would you not? | 13 | THE WITNESS: The Town was |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: It's possible, | 14 | still looking to develop a tower site for |
| 15 | yes. | 15 | cellular services, and it's customary for |
| 16 | MR. FISHER: Well, you would | 16 | towns to put in something additional that |
| 17 | have had a contractual obligation, wouldn't | 17 | says, and we'd like to be able to put our |
| 18 | you, with the Town to present the tower to | 18 | antennas on the tower as well. That does not |
| 19 | the Siting Council? | 19 | imply that there is any plan or coordination |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: To the extent | 20 | necessary until the Town is ready to utilize |
| 21 | that what was being proposed meets the | 21 | the tower. |
| 22 | criteria for standing before the Council, | 22 | MR. FISHER: But you have |
| 23 | yes. | 23 | heard that the Town has been planning for |
| 24 | MR. FISHER: Well, and as part | 24 | this for quite some time and has a task force |
| 25 | of that you would have called this person | 25 | and been looking into their own |
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| 1 | that you apparently called, again, and said, | 1 | communications needs, have you not? |
| 2 | are you interested in using the facility, | 2 | THE WITNESS: In 2011, when I |
| 3 | would you have not? With AT\&T, would you | 3 | responded to the RFP? No. |
| 4 | have had that conversation? | 4 | MR. FISHER: 2012? |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 5 | THE WITNESS: What I was aware |
| 6 | MR. FISHER: In fact that was | 6 | of was contained in the RFP, so if there was |
| 7 | part of the RFP content that someone who won | 7 | any discussion in there, then that would be |
| 8 | that RFP would go out and actually talk to | 8 |  |
| 9 | the carriers and try to coordinate their | 9 | MR. FISHER: Okay. You |
| 10 | efforts. Is that correct? | 10 | weren't the successful bidder on the RFP, |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, sure. | 11 | though. Is that correct? |
| 12 | MR. FISHER: And you would | 12 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| 13 | have talked to maybe the Town's | 13 | MR. FISHER: Did you ever talk |
| 14 | communications task force, their utility | 14 | to the Town after their decision on the RFP |
| 15 | consultants, Motorola maybe, to talk about | 15 | as to why that was the case? |
| 16 | what their needs might be as well. Right? | 16 | THE WITNESS: I did not. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: In -- I would | 17 | MR. FISHER: So you don't know |
| 18 | have done that in what context? | 18 | if your proposal was rejected based on your |
| 19 | MR. FISHER: In the context of | 19 | qualifications, the site design or the |
| 20 | being the winning bidder on an RFP. | 20 | economics you were willing to pay for |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: | 21 | developing a tower site on that property? |
| 22 | Potentially. Since I wasn't | 22 | THE WITNESS: The only thing I |
| 23 | proposing to develop a really tall cell tower | 23 | know is something that I read, which was that |
| 24 | that could support other kinds of antennas, I | 24 | my proposal consisted of three, quote/unquote |
| 25 | was not focused on addressing any public | 25 | -- quote/unquote, three towers, which I was |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | talking about placing utility poles with an | 1 | throwing darts at a dartboard if they're just |
| 2 | antenna on top of each in a way that would be | 2 | hypotheticals. So you don't have any |
| 3 | really close to the treeline and very, very | 3 | specific knowledge that the Town would |
| 4 | invisible. | 4 | entertain the concept of a tower at a school? |
| 5 | MR. FISHER: Was that | 5 | THE WITNESS: I have no |
| 6 | something that you read in the newspaper? | 6 | information that would suggest that the Town |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: It may have been | 7 | would be opposed to it. |
| 8 | the newspaper. It may have been some other | 8 | MR. FISHER: Well, I think we |
| 9 | document that I may have -- I just don't | 9 | just established in an earlier question that |
| 10 | recall. | 10 | you read the transcripts. Did you not see |
| 11 | MR. FISHER: Okay. Since | 11 | some of the testimony earlier by the |
| 12 | 2011, when the Town had the RFP, which we all | 12 | Applicants and others that the Town is, in |
| 13 | acknowledge the town meeting voted not to | 13 | fact, not interested in leasing school sites |
| 14 | proceed on, have you conducted any further | 14 | for towers? |
| 15 | site searches yourself in this particular | 15 | THE WITNESS: Has the town |
| 16 | area to develop a tower site? | 16 | meeting spoken on it? I don't believe so. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: No. Once I, you | 17 | MR. FISHER: How would one get |
| 18 | know, it was determined that I was not going | 18 | to a town meeting on that question? |
| 19 | to be a party to the RFP that had been issued | 19 | THE WITNESS: By a process, |
| 20 | and the RFP was then never, for want of a | 20 | which in Connecticut I'm not entirely |
| 21 | better word, fully consummated, I didn't | 21 | familiar with, but -- |
| 22 | pursue anything any further. | 22 | MR. FISHER: Well, assuming |
| 23 | MR. FISHER: Let's move ahead | 23 | the process was that you spoke to the first |
| 24 | to this application which was filed 2014. | 24 | selectman and he was, in fact, interested in |
| 25 | When were you contacted by Attorney Ainsworth | 25 | pursuing the concept, somebody would develop |
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| 1 | or representatives of RACT to consult for | 1 | the concept further, I assume, and puy it in |
| 2 | them? | 2 | on a few different agendas. And at some |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: | 3 | point you'd have a discussion at a town |
| 4 | Certainly sometime after the | 4 | meeting, is your point of view? |
| 5 | application was filed, but I don't recall | 5 | THE WITNESS: Right. |
| 6 | exactly when. | 6 | MR. FISHER: Did you ever |
| 7 | MR. FISHER: So after it was | 7 | write the Town, suggest to the Town, as part |
| 8 | on file. So it would have been 2014 then at | 8 | of this application process, that they do |
| 9 | some point? | 9 | that and consider school sites? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: I would expect | 10 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 11 | so, yes. | 11 | MR. FISHER: Okay. Do you |
| 12 | MR. FISHER: And as part of | 12 | have any other site-specific property that |
| 13 | your report from April of 2014, you suggested | 13 | you're suggesting be studied as an |
| 14 | various alternative tower sites for the Town | 14 | alternative site? |
| 15 | and the carriers to provide their service. | 15 | THE WITNESS: That question is |
| 16 | That's been part of your testimony to the | 16 | not clear to me. Could you restate it? |
| 17 | Council. Is that not right? | 17 | MR. FISHER: Well, you |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I tend to | 18 | generally testified that in your theory, the |
| 19 | bring up alternatives as illustrations of | 19 | tower should be sited on either side of the |
| 20 | other ways to skin the cat, so to speak, but | 20 | ridge, at least two, maybe more depending on |
| 21 | they're not specifically proposals, but | 21 | who's testifying. Have you looked at any |
| 22 | illustrations and suggestions. | 22 | specific properties, other than you mentioned |
| 23 | MR. FISHER: Well, you used | 23 | Barlow Mountain and the high school, for that |
| 24 | the analogy before, checkers. That sounds a | 24 | kind of development to occur? |
| 25 | little bit more like you're kind of just | 25 | THE WITNESS: No. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. FISHER: You're aware | 1 | lowering the tower height, not only reduce |
| 2 | generally of RACT's membership and where they | 2 | coverage, but have no real impact then on the |
| 3 | live. Are you not? | 3 | visibility from that area of the community? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Not | 4 | THE WITNESS: I think it |
| 5 | specifically. I understand that there are | 5 | really depends on the context. Since I have |
| 6 | members who live in the neighborhood near the | 6 | been up to that site and looked out from it |
| 7 | proposed tower. | 7 | and I have also seen photographs from |
| 8 | MR. FISHER: To the north of | 8 | residents' property of the balloon test, the |
| 9 | the tower. Isn't that correct? | 9 | height, it's downhill and the height |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Well, there is | 10 | reduction would have to be fairly substantial |
| 11 | nothing immediately to the south of the | 11 | to bring it close to the treeline or for it |
| 12 | tower, so that's the neighborhood, yes. | 12 | to really minimize the -- the effect that |
| 13 | MR. FISHER: Well, when you | 13 | the -- |
| 14 | say nothing immediately to the south, there | 14 | MR. FISHER: But the property |
| 15 | are houses to the south of the tower site, | 15 | to the north, that may not matter at all. It |
| 16 | are there not? | 16 | could be 150 feet. It could be 80 feet. It |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Of course. | 17 | may not make a difference visually? |
| 18 | MR. FISHER: So RACT | 18 | THE WITNESS: That's what I'm |
| 19 | membership, to your knowledge, is basically | 19 | just saying. I think it would make a big |
| 20 | the neighborhood that's to the north of the | 20 | difference visually. |
| 21 | tower site? | 21 | MR. FISHER: You believe it |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I have not | 22 | would make a big difference? |
| 23 | looked at the membership list. I have not | 23 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 24 | looked at their addresses. I don't know. | 24 | MR. FISHER: What do you base |
| 25 | MR. FISHER: But they're who | 25 | that on? |
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| 1 | your representing here today. It's their | 1 | THE WITNESS: As I just |
| 2 | interest. Is that not right? | 2 | described. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I'm speaking | 3 | MR. FISHER: Just your general |
| 4 | here on behalf of RACT, yes. | 4 | visits to the site? |
| 5 | MR. FISHER: Okay. Let's talk | 5 | THE WITNESS: And photographs |
| 6 | about tower height a little bit. If the | 6 | I've seen of the balloon test. |
| 7 | tower in this specific location, as proposed, | 7 | MR. FISHER: So what happens |
| 8 | is lowered, doesn't that affect the service | 8 | if, under your theory, the tower is |
| 9 | to the north from the site. Isn't that what | 9 | shortened; you said that there would have to |
| 10 | you were explaining to Mr. Martin in response | 10 | be a tower to the north then somewhere? |
| 11 | to some cross-examination? | 11 | THE WITNESS: Yes. I said |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 12 | that in the Ridgebury area, that the -- the |
| 13 | MR. FISHER: Have you looked | 13 | location of an additional facility to serve |
| 14 | at the visuals that have been done for | 14 | Ridgebury would have to be considered. |
| 15 | purposes of the tower, as proposed, is | 15 | And my point, as I testified |
| 16 | 150 feet in height to the neighborhood to the | 16 | earlier was that the proposed tower does not |
| 17 | north? | 17 | completely serve the Ridgebury area anyway. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 18 | MR. FISHER: But under your |
| 19 | MR. FISHER: And in reviewing | 19 | theory you could then end up with a tower, we |
| 20 | some of the transcripts and testimony, did | 20 | don't know where, on the north side of this |
| 21 | you see that, at least the Applicant's expert | 21 | ridge that's actually more impactful to RACT |
| 22 | believes that the impact is very limited, if | 22 | members? |
| 23 | at all, to that neighborhood? | 23 | THE WITNESS: I would not |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Okay. | 24 | suggest the north side of the ridge. I would |
| 25 | MR. FISHER: Doesn't, by | 25 | suggest the Ridgebury area which is north of |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the ridge. | 1 | didn't have access to it. |
| 2 | MR. FISHER: By Ridgebury | 2 | MR. FISHER: I would have to |
| 3 | Elementary School, something like that? | 3 | ask for the court reporter to report it, but |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: | 4 | it seemed like you were saying you didn't |
| 5 | Perhaps, or -- or further | 5 | have access to it so that you couldn't review |
| 6 | north of Ridgebury Road. | 6 |  |
| 7 | MR. FISHER: So that would be | 7 | THE WITNESS: I am -- was |
| 8 | a second tower to some neighborhood to the | 8 | speaking specifically with respect to the |
| 9 | north? | 9 | evidence on the record in this hearing and |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Which second | 10 | suggesting that the evidence on the record in |
| 11 | tower to some neighborhood in the north | 11 | this hearing is really quite vague with |
| 12 | appears to be necessary anyway because the | 12 | respect to the public safety, the public |
| 13 | proposed facility does not fully serve the | 13 | safety needs and how this particular tower |
| 14 | Ridgebury area. | 14 | would -- would address those needs. |
| 15 | MR. FISHER: Your opinion? | 15 | MR. FISHER: Did you tell |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: The Applicant's | 16 | Attorney Ainsworth that as part of preparing |
| 17 | coverage map shows that the proposed facility | 17 | your May report? |
| 18 | does not serve the entire Ridgebury area. | 18 | THE WITNESS: I did make some |
| 19 | MR. FISHER: Actually, that's | 19 | comments about it in my May report, if that's |
| 20 | what your coverage map shows, not what the | 20 | my first report, yes. |
| 21 | applicants' shows. Right? | 21 | MR. FISHER: That's your |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: I disagree. | 22 | second. |
| 23 | MR. FISHER: Okay. But on | 23 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 24 | your theory, a tower of some height would | 24 | Second report. |
| 25 | have to be built in a residential | 25 | MR. FISHER: On the public |
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| 1 | neighborhood north of where RACT lives. Is | 1 | safety? |
| 2 | that correct? | 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, certainly |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: It's very | 3 | he saw my report before it was published. |
| 4 | likely, yes. | 4 | MR. FISHER: I guess my point |
| 5 | MR. FISHER: Just a couple of | 5 | is RACT didn't ask the Applicant to provide |
| 6 | follow-up questions with respect to your May | 6 | that information, get it from the Town. You |
| 7 | report with regard to the Town's public | 7 | didn't submit a Freedom of Information |
| 8 | safety network and their planning. | 8 | request to the Town. You simply prepared |
| 9 | Did you, after the April | 9 | this supplemental report that's somewhat |
| 10 | hearing and having reviewed the transcripts | 10 | speculative about the Town's needs. Is it |
| 11 | of Mr. Aaron's testimony, did you reach out | 11 | not? |
| 12 | to Mr. Aarons and talk to him at all? | 12 | MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: No. | 13 | It's not our burden to prove that point. |
| 14 | MR. FISHER: Did you reach out | 14 | It's the Applicant's burden. |
| 15 | to the town officials, Mr. Marconi, or anyone | 15 | MR. FISHER: I don't agree |
| 16 | else, to talk about what you believe might be | 16 | that it's our burden of proof. I'm asking |
| 17 | an alternative for the Town's public safety | 17 | questions of a witness. |
| 18 | network? | 18 | THE WITNESS: Would you care |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: No. | 19 | to ask the question again? |
| 20 | MR. FISHER: You testified | 20 | MR. FISHER: Your May report |
| 21 | earlier that you didn't have access to the | 21 | that was somewhat critical of the Town and |
| 22 | Town's task force communications report. Do | 22 | how they've gone about planning their public |
| 23 | you know if Attorney Ainsworth asked for that | 23 | safety network needs. On behalf of RACT, you |
| 24 | information from the Applicant or not? | 24 | didn't ask the Applicants to get you any |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: Did I say I | 25 | additional information that you say you |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hadn't reviewed and that you thought might be | 1 | say that, yes. |
| 2 | important. You haven't followed up with the | 2 | MR. FISHER: Do you, or in |
| 3 | Town? | 3 | conversations with counsel, did you review a |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Of course not. | 4 | title report? Have you looked at anything to |
| 5 | What I'm trying to do here is to look at the | 5 | support that opinion? |
| 6 | evidence on the record and give my factual | 6 | THE WITNESS: I did not. |
| 7 | information about it and any opinions and | 7 | MR. FISHER: So -- and I think |
| 8 | conclusions that result from that. | 8 | you had mentioned that it was from press |
| 9 | And I don't think that what's | 9 | reports and information sources. Were those |
| 10 | on the record right now is anything that the | 10 | information sources your clients, members of |
| 11 | Council can rely on with respect to a | 11 | RACT? |
| 12 | purported need, absolute need for this | 12 | THE WITNESS: It may have |
| 13 | proposed cell tower to address public | 13 | been, yes. |
| 14 | communication safety needs. Clearly, it will | 14 | MR. FISHER: Would it surprise |
| 15 | provide some coverage for public safety | 15 | you that there was no subdivision and those |
| 16 | communications, but it -- it does not -- | 16 | lots existed well before the 2011 town RFP? |
| 17 | there's not a demonstration that this tower | 17 | THE WITNESS: If that's true, |
| 18 | is necessary for public safety | 18 | then it's a misunderstanding on my part. |
| 19 | communications. | 19 | MR. FISHER: But, if that is |
| 20 | MR. FISHER: So you think that | 20 | true that these lots have existed, that was a |
| 21 | Mr. Aarons and others in town should do more | 21 | condition known to the public and everybody |
| 22 | work to satisfy you that it's needed? | 22 | involved in 2011, was it not, when the town |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: I didn't say | 23 | was proposing the tower on the adjacent lot? |
| 24 | that. | 24 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 25 | MR. FISHER: You haven't asked | 25 | MR. FISHER: So the potential |
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| 1 | for additional information from the Town. | 1 | of having a tower developed on this lot that |
| 2 | You've said it's not enough, but it seemingly | 2 | was not the subject of the Town's plan to |
| 3 | is just a position you're taking that, gee, | 3 | acquire this 28 acres has always existed. |
| 4 | I'd like more information to review here. | 4 | Isn't that true? |
| 5 | MR. AINSWORTH: Objection. | 5 | THE WITNESS: |
| 6 | Argumentative. | 6 | Assuming the foregoing |
| 7 | THE CHAIRPERSON: I would | 7 | statements are true, yes. |
| 8 | sustain it because he's saying that they | 8 | MR. FISHER: Okay. |
| 9 | didn't provide it. I don't think it's really | 9 | Thank you, Chairman. I have |
| 10 | -- I think it just should be left at that. I | 10 | no more questions. |
| 11 | don't think to continue probing makes any | 11 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank |
| 12 | sense at this point. | 12 | you. |
| 13 | MR. FISHER: Sure. Well, | 13 | We're now going to have -- |
| 14 | let's move on. Let's go to some statements I | 14 | MR. AINSWORTH: One redirect, |
| 15 | heard earlier you make about the Town's site | 15 | if I may? |
| 16 | and then this proposed site. | 16 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure. |
| 17 | I heard you say on | 17 | MR. AINSWORTH: Just a single |
| 18 | cross-examination that, based on the | 18 | question. |
| 19 | information you had, you thought that the lot | 19 | You were just asked about the |
| 20 | on which this tower is proposed was | 20 | potential to develop this lot had already -- |
| 21 | subdivided after the town meeting vote where | 21 | has always existed because the lots predated |
| 22 | the Town's proposal for a tower on the | 22 | the 2011 date in the RFP. |
| 23 | adjacent parcel was rejected. Is that your | 23 | Are you aware of whether the |
| 24 | belief? | 24 | Town granted an easement that allowed the |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I believe I did | 25 | property to be accessed following 2011? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: I recall reading | 1 | Vergati. Yes. |
| 2 | about the easement. I don't recall as to | 2 | MR. FISHER: And do you adopt |
| 3 | when it was implemented. | 3 | the comments you've made in the submission as |
| 4 | MR. AINSWORTH: Thank you. | 4 | your testimony here today. |
| 5 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank | 5 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike |
| 6 | you. | 6 | Libertine. Yes, I do. |
| 7 | We're now going to have the | 7 | THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray |
| 8 | Applicant have their witnesses. We'll take a | 8 | Vergati. Yes. |
| 9 | five-minute break while you can readjust. | 9 | MR. FISHER: Chairman, we also |
| 10 | (Whereupon, the witness was | 10 | have Item 16, which we stylized at least as |
| 11 | excused, a recess was taken from 2:13 p.m. | 11 | prefiled rebuttal testimony. I can have |
| 12 | until 2:24 p.m.) | 12 | Mr. Libertine verify that now as well. |
| 13 | MICHAEL LAWTON, | 13 | Mr. Libertine, did you prepare |
| 14 | MICHAEL LIBERTINE, | 14 | Item Number 16 in a report dated June 13th of |
| 15 | MANUEL VICENTE, | 15 | 2014? |
| 16 | RAYMOND VERGATI, | 16 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, |
| 17 | having been previously duly sworn, were | 17 | I did. |
| 18 | examined and testify further on their | 18 | MR. FISHER: And the purpose |
| 19 | oaths as follows: | 19 | of that report was as rebuttal testimony? |
| 20 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. I'd | 20 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, |
| 21 | like to resume the meeting, proceed with the | 21 | that's correct. |
| 22 | appearance of the Applicant, Homeland Towers | 22 | MR. FISHER: And do you have |
| 23 | and New Cingular Wireless. | 23 | any corrections or modifications to the |
| 24 | I'm used to seeing Attorney | 24 | document at this time. |
| 25 | Fisher in the middle. He's seated in a seat | 25 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, |
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| 1 | next to Mr. Libertine. | 1 | not at this time. |
| 2 | To verify there's a new | 2 | MR. FISHER: And is it true |
| 3 | exhibit, I understand, marked as Roman | 3 | and accurate to the best of your belief and |
| 4 | Numeral II, Item B15 and 16. So would you | 4 | you adopt it as your rebuttal testimony? |
| 5 | please proceed and verify? | 5 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes. |
| 6 | MR. FISHER: Yes, Chairman, | 6 | MR. FISHER: Chairman, I would |
| 7 | thank you. | 7 | ask that Items 15 and 16 be admitted into the |
| 8 | Item Number 15, as shown in | 8 | record. |
| 9 | the hearing program, is a supplemental | 9 | THE CHAIRPERSON: |
| 10 | submission dated June 11th. | 10 | Mr. Ainsworth, do you object? |
| 11 | I would ask Mr. Libertine and | 11 | MR. AINSWORTH: No objection |
| 12 | Mr. Vergati, did you and your firms prepare | 12 | here. |
| 13 | or assist in the preparation and collect the | 13 | THE CHAIRPERSON: The exhibits |
| 14 | information that was provided in the | 14 | are admitted. |
| 15 | supplemental submission dated June 11th? | 15 | We will now begin |
| 16 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): This | 16 | cross-examination starting with Mr. Martin, |
| 17 | is Mike Libertine. Yes. | 17 | and the cross-examination will be on this |
| 18 | THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray | 18 | additional material that has been submitted. |
| 19 | Vergati. Yes, I did. | 19 | Thank you. |
| 20 | MR. FISHER: And as it relates | 20 | MR. MARTIN: Thank you |
| 21 | to your testimony and information, is it true | 21 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 22 | and accurate to the best of your belief. | 22 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 23 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Mike | 23 | MR. MARTIN: Mr. Libertine, on |
| 24 | Libertine. Yes. | 24 | your rebuttal testimony you -- what I gather, |
| 25 | THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray | 25 | you prefer a regular monopole versus a |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | monopine. That's your bottom line, is it | 1 | with or without platforms? |
| 2 | not? | 2 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): The |
| 3 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I | 3 | monopole I would refer to is the actual |
| 4 | would say that's a fair representation. I | 4 | physical vertical structure. So we have |
| 5 | could certainly go on about it if you'd like | 5 | monopoles that can be, as we discovered -- |
| 6 | me to. | 6 | you folks discussed a little bit earlier, |
| 7 | MR. MARTIN: That's all right. | 7 | they can have full arrays on them. They can |
| 8 | THE CHAIRPERSON: It's not | 8 | have close-contact arrays. I think generally |
| 9 | necessary. | 9 | speaking, when we talk about monopole, we're |
| 10 | MR. MARTIN: And earlier | 10 | talking about external antennas in a general |
| 11 | Dr. Klemens asked about painting, somehow, a | 11 | sense. |
| 12 | tower. Would a brown paint or pine-bark | 12 | MR. ASHTON: Okay. As far as |
| 13 | cladding or some other kind of treatment help | 13 | camouflage goes, do you recommended |
| 14 | to minimize the visual impact of a monopole | 14 | camouflage on this site? |
| 15 | at this location? | 15 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I |
| 16 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I | 16 | think there's been enough concern raised |
| 17 | think if we're primarily concerned with | 17 | that, for the benefit of folks, some form of |
| 18 | seasonal views that may extend to neighbors, | 18 | painting would probably work, and I don't |
| 19 | then painting probably does offer some | 19 | think that would be much of a detraction from |
| 20 | softening. | 20 | the longer-range views. I have concerns |
| 21 | I think my preference would be | 21 | about the bulk of a monopine in this |
| 22 | some type of an earth tone that would be | 22 | instance. |
| 23 | consistent with the matrix of the trees, the | 23 | MR. ASHTON: I understand |
| 24 | trunks in the area, and I would include that | 24 | that. I'm talking about just the monopole. |
| 25 | to include the antennas as well. | 25 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
|  | Page 405 |  | Page 407 |
| 1 | MR. MARTIN: And, in the | 1 | Uh-huh. |
| 2 | industry, are there different, I don't know, | 2 | MR. ASHTON: Are you convinced |
| 3 | camouflage patterns based upon the kinds of | 3 | that camouflage is needed for that? |
| 4 | trees that are surrounding a monopole that | 4 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I |
| 5 | are possible? | 5 | wouldn't say I'm absolutely convinced, but I |
| 6 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 6 | think it's something that tends to make good |
| 7 | There are. I have not seen a | 7 | neighbors, if -- if possible. So I think |
| 8 | lot in true application. Typically, we often | 8 | it's something that could certainly soften |
| 9 | see kind of a matte finish, brown, beige. | 9 | the effect. |
| 10 | We've seen blue-sky finishes, that type of | 10 | MR. ASHTON: A block party |
| 11 | thing. But certainly they're are | 11 | makes good neighbors. Would you recommend a |
| 12 | opportunities to do some type of a | 12 | block party or camouflage? |
| 13 | camouflage, yes. | 13 | THE CHAIRPERSON: No need to |
| 14 | MR. MARTIN: Thank you. | 14 | answer the question. |
| 15 | Those are my questions, | 15 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 16 | Mr. Chairman. | 16 | Thank you. |
| 17 | THE CHAIRPERSON: We will now | 17 | MR. MURPHY: So it may be |
| 18 | proceed with questions by -- | 18 | better, but it's not worse. |
| 19 | MR. MURPHY: I have no | 19 | MR. ASHTON: Nothing further. |
| 20 | questions, Mr. Chairman. | 20 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hannon. |
| 21 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ashton? | 21 | MR. HANNON: Thank you, |
| 22 | MR. ASHTON: If I might, just | 22 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 23 | clarify for me please. Mr. Martin used the | 23 | Seeing as how I can't find it |
| 24 | term "monopole." What is your definition of | 24 | right now, I thought part of the report that |
| 25 | a monopole? This is a single shaft structure | 25 | just came in with the prefiled rebuttal, it |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | also talked about the possibility of | 1 | know, what's the most effective, what's the |
| 2 | landscaping. Can you go into a little more | 2 | most practical. |
| 3 | detail on that? And I realize that may be on | 3 | And I think for all the |
| 4 | adjacent property. So -- | 4 | reasons Mike just stated, if we're going to |
| 5 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 5 | do some sort of landscaping, the best benefit |
| 6 | Correct. | 6 | would be on the neighboring properties |
| 7 | MR. HANNON: So just trying to | 7 | themselves. I think that makes a lot more |
| 8 | get more clarification on that. | 8 | sense in my experience, and I agree with |
| 9 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 9 | Mike. |
| 10 | Sure. We did take a look at | 10 | How we would approach that, |
| 11 | some additional landscaping opportunities and | 11 | our concern would be long-term responsibility |
| 12 | the challenge on the property, and actually | 12 | for those plantings. It's on someone else's |
| 13 | really the town property more so, to the | 13 | property. We don't want to be in that |
| 14 | north and to the east of our site, it's very | 14 | position. We don't know that its |
| 15 | cobbley there, very rocky. We have a lot of | 15 | appropriate; however, we are willing to work |
| 16 | roots already established there, some fairly | 16 | with those neighbors to fund the planting of |
| 17 | mature trees. So I had some concerns about | 17 | landscaping evergreen trees, whatever is most |
| 18 | trying to do what would typically be done as | 18 | appropriate, we're willing to work with them |
| 19 | something along the property line. | 19 | on that. |
| 20 | In this case the concerns are | 20 | And we think that that is |
| 21 | of the adjacent neighbors to both the north | 21 | something that makes sense in this particular |
| 22 | and east. I have had discussions with the | 22 | situation. And when you look at what's -- |
| 23 | Applicant, and they are willing to do some | 23 | what options are available to us, we're |
| 24 | form of landscaping on the adjacent | 24 | concerned not to do something with good |
| 25 | properties where I think it would be more | 25 | intentions that actually has worse impacts. |
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| 1 | effective. I think it would be a lot more -- | 1 | So I think that that's really our position. |
| 2 | or excuse me. It would be a lot less | 2 | THE CHAIRPERSON: And we |
| 3 | potential for damage to existing roots of the | 3 | should make it clear it's not something that |
| 4 | trees that are there today. It might be a | 4 | the Council can require or enforce. |
| 5 | more strategic planting scheme that could be | 5 | Obviously, it can be an agreement between the |
| 6 | done. So I think there are benefits to doing | 6 | parties, which we certainly would not be |
| 7 | it there as opposed to trying to do it on our | 7 | counter to, but it's not conditioned either |
| 8 | property, or on the adjacent town property | 8 | to require the placing of landscaping on |
| 9 | where I just don't think we'd have that, that | 9 | neighbors' properties or the issue of |
| 10 | benefit. | 10 | maintenance. But that again, it has been |
| 11 | The only thing I do want to | 11 | done in the past, but again, only through |
| 12 | clarify is that, in the discussions I've had, | 12 | agreements between the parties. |
| 13 | I'm not sure that we, as the Applicant, would | 13 | MR. HANNON: I have no other |
| 14 | want to be actually responsible for doing the | 14 | questions. |
| 15 | landscaping. I think it would be something | 15 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Lynch? |
| 16 | that would have to be worked out among all | 16 | MR. LYNCH: No questions, |
| 17 | the other parties, which I alluded to in my | 17 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 18 | memo. And I'm not sure what the specifics | 18 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens? |
| 19 | are, but Ray, you want to add to that? | 19 | DR. KLEMENS: Just a couple. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS (Vicente): Well, | 20 | Going back to this part of the |
| 21 | actually, I'll add to that. I think in | 21 | problem with the monopine that has been noted |
| 22 | looking at everything that's been submitted | 22 | in -- in your letter was a note, green, hardy |
| 23 | and what's on the record and listening to | 23 | and coniferous trees on that ridge. That's |
| 24 | Mike and -- and reading his materials, when | 24 | correct? |
| 25 | you talk about mitigation, it's about, you | 25 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | That's -- yes, that's correct. | 1 | call the larger array units at the top, is |
| 2 | DR. KLEMENS: And that would | 2 | when you'll be seeing it from a further |
| 3 | be consistent with the ridge's sort of dry | 3 | distance from the site where you're looking |
| 4 | southerly exposure? | 4 | at the ridgeline where it does protrude above |
| 5 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 5 | the ridgeline. |
| 6 | Certainly that's one of the | 6 | DR. KLEMENS: So to mitigate |
| 7 | factors, yes. | 7 | the views and to help both proximal and |
| 8 | DR. KLEMENS: And would you | 8 | distal views, probably the best thing from |
| 9 | consider that to be either further evidence | 9 | the viewshed perspective would be a brown |
| 10 | of the possibility of a lack of slimy | 10 | painted, earth-colored painted pole with |
| 11 | salamanders on that ridge? | 11 | flush mounted antennas. Because I think |
| 12 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm | 12 | we've gone through this whole thing of how |
| 13 | a little reluctant to speak to that area. | 13 | big an internally mounted pole has been since |
| 14 | It's not really my area of expertise. | 14 | Docket 442. Correct? |
| 15 | DR. KLEMENS: I understand. | 15 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): In |
| 16 | Can you speak -- now this, concerning the | 16 | an ideal world, if it worked for RF and they |
| 17 | pole, I think we have sort of come to the | 17 | could achieve their objectives and, you know, |
| 18 | conclusion -- or sort of that painted brown | 18 | all things being equal, I would agree with |
| 19 | monopole, but we haven't really talked about | 19 | that, absolutely. |
| 20 | the antennas arrays. Could your objectives | 20 | DR. KLEMENS: Can we ask would |
| 21 | be met with a monopole with flush mounted | 21 | RF be able to give us an opinion now? |
| 22 | antennas? | 22 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yes. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 23 | Generally in this, these days the tenor at |
| 24 | That's really an RF question | 24 | AT\&T is away from the unipole, away from the |
| 25 | more than it is a visual. I would say | 25 | flagpole close mounted antennas. And the |
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| 1 | certainly anything that could be done to | 1 | reasons for that are a couple of things. |
| 2 | bring in the horizontal array would certainly | 2 | First of all, the -- as AT\&T |
| 3 | help the views in terms of lessening that | 3 | tries to push more and more data and provide |
| 4 | bulk at the top of the tower. | 4 | faster and faster data services at higher |
| 5 | DR. KLEMENS: How much will | 5 | frequencies, one of the things that the |
| 6 | that help the neighbors at Aspen Ledges and | 6 | technology has changed, in the past sites |
| 7 | Stagecoach? | 7 | were built with all the radio equipment in a |
| 8 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): From | 8 | hut or in a -- or maybe not in a hut -- maybe |
| 9 | my perspective, I don't think that is going | 9 | mounted externally in cabinets at the base of |
| 10 | to do a whole heck of a lot for those types | 10 | the tower, or somewhere within the building. |
| 11 | of close views. I think the primary close | 11 | And those equipment cabinets |
| 12 | views are going to be see seasonally through | 12 | were connected to the antennas by coaxial |
| 13 | the trees and seeing more of the middle to | 13 | cable. And the newer technologies, LTE for |
| 14 | upper portion, but not necessarily, you know, | 14 | one specifically, but also UMTS, make use of |
| 15 | we're talking even at the ground level in | 15 | what's called "a remote radio head," and the |
| 16 | those neighbors' yards, even with the | 16 | advantage of the remote radio head is that |
| 17 | differential, you're still talking about an | 17 | the radio equipment can be placed on the |
| 18 | angle that is not necessarily something | 18 | tower within 10 feet of the antenna, thus |
| 19 | that's in your normal viewscape. You -- | 19 | mitigating the coaxial cable loss, which it's |
| 20 | you'd have to visually look up through the | 20 | replaced with fiber. |
| 21 | trees to see that. | 21 | So instead of running a number |
| 22 | So painting them would | 22 | of large coax cables up the tower, usually |
| 23 | probably have the same effect as bringing | 23 | internally, sometimes externally, those are |
| 24 | them in and painting them. I think where I'm | 24 | replaced by a fiber and a DC run that |
| 25 | more concerned about some of the, what I'll | 25 | serves -- that connects to the radio head |

that's at the top. By putting the radio head at the top, you've removed a bunch of cable loss. There's also advanced technologies that, as LTE is deployed at 1900 and at WCS frequencies, they'll be using four-branch diversity. And four-branch diversity requires four connections between the radio equipment and the antenna itself at the high end.

All the antennas that AT\&T is deploying right now serve both low band and high band separately. So, as the technology evolves and as people are looking to do more and more with data and AT\&T is required to use those higher frequencies to provide data services to their customers, there's more and more pressure to place the radio equipment closer to the antennas. And that's what's driving the hesitancy to want to build a unipole because the radio -- the radio head can't be placed at the top of one of those, because it's physically too large.

But where if you use a platform the radio heads can be placed around the outside of the pole within the platform,
term and the penalty of the inability to locate the radio heads at the top is something that, in that particular case, can be lived with.

DR. KLEMENS: So, basically, it's sort of a Hobson's choice. If it's going to have basically a situation where we can try to mitigate as much as we try to mitigate visual impacts, we're actually creating reduced efficiency at the tower?

THE WITNESS (Lawton): That's correct.

THE CHAIRPERSON: It's called balancing.

DR. KLEMENS: Balancing, that's another way to call it.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Politically more correct.

DR. KLEMENS: Politically more correct? Okay. I'm not actually known for being so politically correct, but I'll do my best, Mr. Chairman.

THE WITNESS (Vicente):
Dr. Klemens, if I could also, as a tower developer, address that question
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and they're -- they're not seen.
So that's sort of a roundabout answer to your question is that AT\&T, the -the historic answer has been that, in order to replace a platform with 12 antennas, AT\&T would need more than one height. That continues to be true, but there's an additional complication that's becoming more and more a reality that is making AT\&T more and more hesitant to want to deploy this type of thing from the start.

However, as a last resort, as in recent dockets, AT\&T will do it if that's the only available choice.

DR. KLEMENS: If by doing that are we actually building a tower that is almost obsolete when it's built?

THE WITNESS (Lawton): In a way there is sort of an internal sort of movement or initiative to review all towers that are using not the full array, as we call it, and to see if those towers need to be replaced, too, with something that will be more future proof, or if the actual tower that's been placed is necessary in the long
as far as the flagpole design. Because I think we see -- we see applications and we work with all the carriers, and we're very concerned about that design. And I think, you know, your statement that it may be an old or dying design is probably true.

Because when we -- just five years ago we were designing for 3-foot antennas, 4-foot antennas. The carriers are using 8 -foot antennas, and the additional radio heads that are quite large. The idea of putting that amount of equipment inside the flagpole -- and we struggle with this in other states when we're in front of their zoning boards. It drives the height of the structure up, and it absolutely minimizes the potential for collocation.

So we have significant concerns about that design since we don't think that it can accommodate what the carriers' needs are today and will be in the future. So it's a concern for us as well.

DR. KLEMENS: I appreciate that. I was not referring to it internally. I was referring to externally mounted
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | antennas. Pretty much since Docket 442, I've | 1 | blue, almost white. |
| 2 | learned an awful lot about what happens with | 2 | And we feel that it worked |
| 3 | the interior mounted antennas. | 3 | well in Loughborough. One is at the Vista |
| 4 | I have one other question | 4 | Fire Department, and the other one is on |
| 5 | about the visual, because we really have two | 5 | Route 138 just before 684. We have the color |
| 6 | sets of view stakeholders in this. We have | 6 | samples, and we could definitely provide some |
| 7 | the proximal; we have the distals. And have | 7 | information to this board because it is a |
| 8 | you ever considered a two-tone tower where | 8 | good question. It's a good thought, and we |
| 9 | the lower part is painted to mitigate for the | 9 | have done it, and I think we've done it with |
| 10 | near-term view and would the platforms on | 10 | some success. |
| 11 | that -- could be something that -- which is | 11 | DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. |
| 12 | you're saying it's going to be hard. You | 12 | That's very responsive. I appreciate that. |
| 13 | have to look really up to see them from the | 13 | Now there's one more question, |
| 14 | neighborhood, but they're going to see that | 14 | that I want to get into this transcript. I |
| 15 | from other areas, so would it help to make | 15 | looked at the point -- and Mr. Gustafson is |
| 16 | this a two-tone tower? | 16 | not here, but I noted in the fourth response |
| 17 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): It | 17 | and using math, and I guess allows you, |
| 18 | could and I don't want to -- well, I'm going | 18 | Mr. Libertine, how many years ago was the |
| 19 | to sound a little wishy-washy. I've seen | 19 | last bog turtle shell found in Fairfield |
| 20 | them done. And I've seen them done fairly | 20 | County? |
| 21 | well. Given, under the right conditions on | 21 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I |
| 22 | the right day, they can look fabulous. If | 22 | would have to refer back to him. |
| 23 | you go with a sky-blue top, we're going to | 23 | DR. KLEMENS: Please do. I'd |
| 24 | get a fair amount of days where we have a | 24 | like to get it into the record, sir. |
| 25 | slate gray sky, and that can tend to jump out | 25 | MR. FISHER: Based on the |
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| 1 | a little bit more. | 1 | literature, the most recent report was a |
| 2 | But your point is a good one, | 2 | shell that was found in 1983. |
| 3 | and it's one that maybe should be considered | 3 | DR. KLEMENS: So then I would |
| 4 | a little bit more because they can work. I | 4 | understand, you know, a shell could be there |
| 5 | would be very concerned about what that top | 5 | years. We're talking about the last record |
| 6 | color might be to kind of come up with | 6 | is more than three decades. Is that correct? |
| 7 | something that's a neutral enough color so as | 7 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 8 | not to create that contrast that you might | 8 | That's correct. |
| 9 | get depending upon the type of sky. | 9 | DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. |
| 10 | DR. KLEMENS: Well, I | 10 | No further questions, |
| 11 | certainly don't think sky blue would work, | 11 | Mr. Chairman. |
| 12 | but how about something in the off-white or | 12 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. |
| 13 | something that would blend more into a sky. | 13 | Dr. Bell? |
| 14 | Isn't that less? Wouldn't that blend better | 14 | DR. BELL: Thank you, |
| 15 | to have the white -- or not a bright, | 15 | Mr. Chair. |
| 16 | brilliant white, but sort of a dull white. | 16 | I have a question about the |
| 17 | Would that not blend better? | 17 | archaeological report. So the shovel test |
| 18 | THE WITNESS (Vicente): | 18 | locations are marked in that report on a |
| 19 | Dr. Klemens, we've actually done that a few | 19 | diagram, but they said they backfilled the |
| 20 | times, and we did it right over the border in | 20 | hole. So they do not identify where they did |
| 21 | the Loughborough, New York. There's two | 21 | the shovel tests on the ground? |
| 22 | towers that we developed there, and we did | 22 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): They |
| 23 | exactly that. At a certain height before the | 23 | don't in the field, but they do, as you said, |
| 24 | tree canopy, we cut it off from a more earth | 24 | they're depicted on a map. |
| 25 | tone color at the base to a very, very light | 25 | DR. BELL: Okay. So we |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | wouldn't have been able to see them on our | 1 | DR. BELL: Okay. Thank you. |
| 2 | field review? | 2 | A couple of RF questions. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, | 3 | Earlier I asked Mr. Maxson if he had seen the |
| 4 | not with the disturbance that is on that hole | 4 | report that was referred to by Mr. Aarons' in |
| 5 | in that area. | 5 | his previous testimony. My question is, did |
| 6 | DR. BELL: Okay. On the | 6 | AT\&T, did you specifically examine the |
| 7 | line-of-sight diagram that you did in your | 7 | report? |
| 8 | supplemental material, there's one of them | 8 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): I did |
| 9 | that's a dotted -- it has a dotted line. So | 9 | not. |
| 10 | you have yellow for possible to see and black | 10 | DR. BELL: So you talked it |
| 11 | for not visible. But then there's one that's | 11 | over perhaps with Mr. Aarons or -- |
| 12 | a dotted line. So I wasn't sure whether that | 12 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): No, in |
| 13 | meant you didn't know exactly because you | 13 | fact, I didn't. I -- my -- I'm here to speak |
| 14 | didn't field verify it? | 14 | about AT\&T's RF needs. And as far as the |
| 15 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No. | 15 | Town's RF needs, I'm not an expert on that. |
| 16 | That that's probably my bad for not having a | 16 | DR. BELL: Okay. So I'll ask |
| 17 | little bit better explanation in the legend. | 17 | you -- my other question did have to do with |
| 18 | What we tried to show is there's each view is | 18 | the Town's RF needs, but it's kind of a |
| 19 | shown from the receptor location to the tower | 19 | generic question. You may or may not know |
| 20 | that are clearly marked. But the -- there | 20 | the answer. |
| 21 | they're actually shown in black and red, and | 21 | My understanding is that the |
| 22 | the black areas are areas where it's not | 22 | Town -- and much of Mr. Maxson's report |
| 23 | visible, and then the red depicts where it | 23 | referred to the two-way type of radio that we |
| 24 | would be visible from those locations | 24 | are kind of familiar with and the lay public |
| 25 | horizontally. | 25 | is familiar with. We have to have a |
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| 1 | Below that what we try to show | 1 | dedicated channel, that's my understanding. |
| 2 | is basically the same information on a | 2 | Does that sound correct to you? |
| 3 | cutaway or vertical scale. Just to show what | 3 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): I |
| 4 | the yellow dots are was just to get the -- I | 4 | believe it does. |
| 5 | was trying to get -- to give the read of the | 5 | DR. BELL: Okay. Now, what |
| 6 | idea that you're standing in the trees, | 6 | you're moving towards as carriers, is of |
| 7 | because when we had a solid line, it looked | 7 | course, LTE, we understand that, and the |
| 8 | like you were standing to one side of the | 8 | public safety network called FirstNet, which |
| 9 | trees. | 9 | is the federal public safety network which is |
| 10 | So all we were really trying | 10 | being held out as a beacon, let's call it, |
| 11 | to depict there in the yellow dotted line was | 11 | for the public safety groups. |
| 12 | to give the indication that you are among the | 12 | What they're offering is LTE. |
| 13 | trees. We have to do a cutaway of the trees | 13 | What they've chosen as their technology, if |
| 14 | in a certain swath or width, and that's all | 14 | you want to call it that, software technology |
| 15 | that was trying -- that we were trying to | 15 | for the public safety network is LTE. Does |
| 16 | depict there. So it does not contradict or | 16 | LTE provide for a dedicated channel? |
| 17 | give any other information other than this is | 17 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): In a |
| 18 | the -- this is the line of sight standing in | 18 | way, yes. It is two-way communication. It's |
| 19 | the location in the black dot looking up at | 19 | essentially a channel pair. There's an |
| 20 | the top of the tower. | 20 | uplink channel which is used for the |
| 21 | DR. BELL: Okay. | 21 | communication between the user equipment, |
| 22 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): So | 22 | whatever type of agreement that may be, and |
| 23 | the visual aspect is really in the aerial | 23 | the tower, and then a downlink channel which |
| 24 | photo above that particular diagram, and | 24 | is used for the communication between the |
| 25 | that's what that's trying to show. | 25 | tower and the user's equipment. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | But I , as far as the specifics | 1 | monopole is filled up with coax and new |
| 2 | of the public safety's LTE flavor and their | 2 | antennas can't go inside, the cables inside? |
| 3 | technology, I -- I can't really speak to that | 3 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): That's |
| 4 | because I haven't done any reading on public | 4 | correct. And in fact, in my town, an |
| 5 | safety technology, quite frankly. I have a | 5 | applicant came to the ZBA wishing to put |
| 6 | whole lot to at AT\&T. | 6 | coaxial cables on the outside of the |
| 7 | DR. BELL: Okay. And just one | 7 | monopole. And it's if -- eventually if |
| 8 | follow-up which may help or may be worse. | 8 | enough coax is used, the internal space will |
| 9 | As I understand it, LTE allows | 9 | fill up so that there will be nothing left. |
| 10 | you to use different frequencies in the | 10 | Also you have to, in order to |
| 11 | course of establishing what you just | 11 | add more coax, you have to pull it through, |
| 12 | described as an uplink and a downlink. So | 12 | and it becomes difficult as it gets more and |
| 13 | now I'm looking at the term "frequencies" as | 13 | more full. So at that point the only |
| 14 | opposed to my word use previously, "channel," | 14 | solution is to run -- run the coax on the |
| 15 | or uplink or downlink, your words. | 15 | outside, where -- where it's not that main |
| 16 | Does LTE allow you to dedicate | 16 | driver toward going to fiber, but certainly |
| 17 | a frequency as opposed to skipping around to | 17 | one of the advantages of using fiber is that |
| 18 | different frequencies depending on what's | 18 | a lot more runs of fiber will fit in a given |
| 19 | available within the whole network at any | 19 | monopole size than coax. |
| 20 | given time. Do you see what I'm asking? | 20 | MR. ASHTON: Fiber may be |
| 21 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. | 21 | cheaper. |
| 22 | I'm afraid you've lost me. I'm sorry. | 22 | THE CHAIRPERSON: All right. |
| 23 | DR. BELL: All right. Then I | 23 | Mr. Lynch? |
| 24 | better not pursue it. | 24 | MR. LYNCH: Just one |
| 25 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): Sorry. | 25 | follow-up, Mr. Lawton. There's also a |
|  | Page 429 |  | Page 431 |
| 1 | DR. BELL: That's okay. Thank | 1 | considerable difference in weight, is there |
| 2 | you. | 2 | not? |
| 3 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Martin, | 3 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): Between |
| 4 | do you have a -- | 4 | coaxial and fiber, yes. |
| 5 | MR. MARTIN: One last | 5 | MR. LYNCH: Thank you. |
| 6 | question. | 6 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Dr. Klemens. |
| 7 | Mr. Lawton, could you give us | 7 | DR. KLEMENS: I'm very |
| 8 | an idea of the comparative size between the | 8 | intrigued still by this two-tone concept. If |
| 9 | traditional coax cable and the newer | 9 | we were to do that, where would you -- and I |
| 10 | fiber-optic cable that they're using in | 10 | don't know if you have this data now -- where |
| 11 | conjunction with the remote radio heads? | 11 | would you turn from brown to the other color? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): Yeah, | 12 | What is the tree canopy height more or less? |
| 13 | the cable, coaxial cable, typically based on | 13 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I |
| 14 | length, can very anywhere between -- for | 14 | would want probably to do a tree branch |
| 15 | this, for the wireless application it's | 15 | survey on the site, but I'd say generally |
| 16 | coaxial cable for all sorts of things -- but | 16 | we'd probably be thinking about doing |
| 17 | typically the carriers would use anything | 17 | something in a 55 to 60-foot range as it |
| 18 | between a seven-eighths diameter and an inch | 18 | transitions above the canopy. |
| 19 | and five-eighths, sometimes two and a quarter | 19 | DR. KLEMENS: Fifty-five to |
| 20 | inch in rare cases. And typically it's | 20 | 65 ? |
| 21 | between seven-eighths and five-eighths, and | 21 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 22 | the fiber, the fiber cable is, you know, a | 22 | Correct. We have taken |
| 23 | very small fiber cable. | 23 | several measurements of trees on the north |
| 24 | MR. MARTIN: Is it? I guess, | 24 | and east side of the property. In this case, |
| 25 | we had them covered where the interior of the | 25 | I would also want to understand a little bit |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | to our south. So as you look, because the | 1 | don't know the source of that information? |
| 2 | primary views, if there any are going to come | 2 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I |
| 3 | from that southern area, so we want to make | 3 | believe it's cited other than it was -- well, |
| 4 | sure that we're just intercepting that | 4 | the citation from the actual literature where |
| 5 | correctly as well. I'd say generally in | 5 | that particular discovery, who the source of |
| 6 | that, in that range. So a third of the tower | 6 | that discovery or that particular -- |
| 7 | would probably be in the earth tone, and | 7 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Excuse me. |
| 8 | two-thirds would likely be -- be whatever sky | 8 | I believe that's part of the record. |
| 9 | effect that we would want to achieve. | 9 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): It |
| 10 | DR. KLEMENS: Because it's -- | 10 | is part of the record. |
| 11 | you're dealing with, Mr. Vicente, is this is | 11 | THE CHAIRPERSON: So the |
| 12 | a very similar habitat. I mean landscape | 12 | citation is part of the record? |
| 13 | adjacent there. Loughborough in that | 13 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 14 | incidence, it's really very similar to | 14 | That's correct. |
| 15 | Ridgefield. It's ridge and valley, and if | 15 | MR. AINSWORTH: Not typically |
| 16 | it's, you're saying, working effectively at | 16 | within your expertise to testify on such |
| 17 | those two towers, I think it's something I | 17 | things, is it? |
| 18 | hope you can consider. | 18 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No. |
| 19 | THE WITNESS (Vicente): Yes. | 19 | I think I've made that clear. |
| 20 | And the heights that we did the break in | 20 | MR. AINSWORTH: In the |
| 21 | color of the two sites in Loughborough, I | 21 | supplemental filing you made with the visual |
| 22 | think were approximately between 55 and 65 | 22 | intersects, the maps behind, I guess tab -- |
| 23 | feet. | 23 | or Exhibit 2 of the June 11th exhibit, you |
| 24 | DR. KLEMENS: Thank you. | 24 | have the, sort of the cross section with |
| 25 | No further questions, | 25 | various trees depicted in the yellow line. |
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| 1 | Mr. Chairman. | 1 | Do those trees correspond to actual trees in |
| 2 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. | 2 | the field? |
| 3 | We will now go to | 3 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): They |
| 4 | cross-examination by Attorney Ainsworth. | 4 | absolutely do. They are taken from a |
| 5 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 5 | combination of survey information we took on |
| 6 | MR. AINSWORTH: Mr. Libertine, | 6 | the town property, and then they are actually |
| 7 | you testified regarding the last bog turtle | 7 | extracted from aerial photographs. So it's a |
| 8 | shell found in Fairfield. | 8 | combination of those two particular effects. |
| 9 | What's your degree in? | 9 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So |
| 10 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): My | 10 | there, so you actually took the trees |
| 11 | degree is an environmental science and | 11 | precisely where they were located in the |
| 12 | business and communications. | 12 | field and located them on your diagram in the |
| 13 | MR. AINSWORTH: Ever study | 13 | same location? |
| 14 | herpetology and amphibians? | 14 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): We |
| 15 | THE WITNESS (Lawton): No. I | 15 | did for that cross section, yes. |
| 16 | made it very clear that I didn't want to | 16 | MR. AINSWORTH: And did you do |
| 17 | answer questions about the slimy salamander, | 17 | it by type of tree as well? |
| 18 | and I would prefer not to on the bog turtle | 18 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Not |
| 19 | as well, but that's just a literature | 19 | in all cases. They're primarily -- and |
| 20 | citation. | 20 | actually, they were all deciduous trees. And |
| 21 | MR. AINSWORTH: Did you review | 21 | so we felt as though it was not necessary to |
| 22 | that literature? | 22 | go and actually catalog each particular |
| 23 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I | 23 | species in each location. |
| 24 | did not. Mr. Gustafson did. | 24 | MR. AINSWORTH: And the width |
| 25 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So you | 25 | and height of the tree is also depicted in |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | your cross section accurately? | 1 | from that particular neighborhood. So I |
| 2 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No. | 2 | wanted to at least -- I wanted to at least |
| 3 | That's -- that's a representation. We're | 3 | provide some substantiating evidence for the |
| 4 | talking about the -- the area that is the | 4 | Council to consider, and that was really the |
| 5 | illustration below the aerial photograph? | 5 | entire point of the effort. |
| 6 | MR. AINSWORTH: Yes. | 6 | MR. AINSWORTH: Did you go |
| 7 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 7 | out? Did you go back to the field to develop |
| 8 | Those are just representations | 8 | this, these line-of-sight analyses that you |
| 9 | of trees in full leaf, but they really do not | 9 | submitted on June 11? |
| 10 | respond -- or they don't correspond | 10 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): We |
| 11 | specifically to any given tree. | 11 | did from our property and the town property, |
| 12 | MR. AINSWORTH: And they don't | 12 | yes. |
| 13 | depict the branching patterns as they exist | 13 | MR. AINSWORTH: When did you |
| 14 | on the individual trees? | 14 | approximately go? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, | 15 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): We |
| 16 | they do not. | 16 | went the Saturday before this was written. |
| 17 | MR. AINSWORTH: And I noticed, | 17 | So -- I'm sorry. I don't have a calendar in |
| 18 | in your June 13th report, the shorter one, | 18 | front of me. I want to say on or about June, |
| 19 | the third paragraph you wrote, "In an effort | 19 | whatever the first weekend in June was. |
| 20 | to demonstrate the lack of visibility and any | 20 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. And you |
| 21 | significant adverse visual impact on the | 21 | were -- during the balloon flight, you didn't |
| 22 | immediate neighborhood to the north, | 22 | have an opportunity to observe the balloon |
| 23 | All-Points Technology created the three | 23 | from the neighboring property? |
| 24 | sightline profiles." | 24 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 25 | Isn't it true that you sought | 25 | That's correct. |
|  | Page 437 |  | Page 439 |
| 1 | out initially to demonstrate a lack of | 1 | MR. AINSWORTH: It's also true |
| 2 | visibility? | 2 | that you didn't ask the neighbors to see what |
| 3 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): That | 3 | the view might look like? |
| 4 | is true, yes. | 4 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): That |
| 5 | MR. AINSWORTH: Isn't normal | 5 | is correct. |
| 6 | scientific procedure to have a theory and | 6 | MR. AINSWORTH: And when you |
| 7 | then develop the evidence before you come to | 7 | came back in the first weekend of June or the |
| 8 | the conclusion? | 8 | week before you produced these line-of-sight |
| 9 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I've | 9 | analyses, you didn't ask for permission to |
| 10 | been on this site for the better part of a | 10 | verify it from those properties either? |
| 11 | year and a half, so I've seen it in all | 11 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 12 | seasons. I've already formed an opinion. | 12 | That's correct. |
| 13 | All we were trying to do here | 13 | MR. AINSWORTH: Initially, in |
| 14 | was to depict the fact that we have a | 14 | your testimony last time, you begin to sound |
| 15 | significant amount of intervening deciduous | 15 | like you thought that a monopine would give |
| 16 | trees and that during this time of year when | 16 | some relief to some of the near-view |
| 17 | the leaves are on the trees it essentially | 17 | stakeholders, and it seems today that that |
| 18 | creates a wall. And I also made it clear | 18 | testimony is entirely different? |
| 19 | that it's my opinion that that will change | 19 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 20 | somewhat when the leaves are off the trees. | 20 | That's your opinion. I do |
| 21 | There was no conscious effort, | 21 | believe a monopine, if we were talking about |
| 22 | on my part, to make it more than it was. | 22 | a monopine in the right setting, and we |
| 23 | It's a representation to try to understand | 23 | didn't have other considerations, certainly |
| 24 | there was a question from Doc Klemens that it | 24 | it would create during the wintertime some |
| 25 | was unfortunate that we did not have shots | 25 | relief to nearby receptors through the trees, |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | absolutely. I said that last time, and I | 1 | visual sightline analysis doesn't take into |
| 2 | still stand by that. | 2 | account the viewpoint from someone looking |
| 3 | What I am trying to balance is | 3 | out of a window on a second story. Does it? |
| 4 | the fact we're not only looking at near range | 4 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No, |
| 5 | views here. We're looking at the totality of | 5 | it does not. |
| 6 | the facility and where it can be seen. And | 6 | MR. AINSWORTH: Now, there are |
| 7 | when I take all of those considerations in, | 7 | no coniferous trees on this ridge -- or few |
| 8 | my feeling is that something other than a | 8 | coniferous trees on the ridge. There are |
| 9 | monopine would serve the community, as a | 9 | other types of stealth tree models that can |
| 10 | whole, better than just trying to accomplish | 10 | be produced other than the monopine, aren't |
| 11 | it to essentially deal with one or two | 11 | there? |
| 12 | particular view lines. | 12 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Sure. |
| 13 | MR. AINSWORTH: And a | 13 | MR. AINSWORTH: And wouldn't |
| 14 | discussion with your client regarding cost, | 14 | one of those be also more compatible with the |
| 15 | in between that testimony and this testimony, | 15 | kinds of trees you would find on the ridge? |
| 16 | had no impact on your testimony today? | 16 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): |
| 17 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): No. | 17 | Would they be compatible as a |
| 18 | Cost has no -- I -- I have no concern for | 18 | matrix component of the forest there? Yes, |
| 19 | cost. Quite honestly, that's not my concern. | 19 | absolutely, but we'd still be talking about |
| 20 | I'd also offer that some of | 20 | some views that are significantly above the |
| 21 | the techniques that are often employed for | 21 | treeline and would have some of the same |
| 22 | stealthing, regardless of whether it's a tree | 22 | effect that a monopine would have, and that |
| 23 | or whether it's going with an internal array | 23 | would be some of my concern. |
| 24 | or whether it's painting and landscaping, | 24 | The other limitation with |
| 25 | it's going to be costly. These are no cheap | 25 | doing deciduous trees -- and they are done. |
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| 1 | facilities, even if it's regular CO going up | 1 | You're absolutely correct, and I've seen -- |
| 2 | the standard monopole. | 2 | I've seen photographs of several done both |
| 3 | MR. AINSWORTH: And | 3 | that are shown as dead trees. So in other |
| 4 | approximately how much of the tower is above | 4 | words, they don't have any leaf |
| 5 | the level of the houses at the top of the | 5 | characteristics to them. And then I've seen |
| 6 | ridge? | 6 | others where they actually do have faux |
| 7 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): On a | 7 | leaves on them as well. My concern is always |
| 8 | straight-line basis we're probably talking -- | 8 | the bulk aspect. |
| 9 | when you say the house, are you talking the | 9 | If we were talking about |
| 10 | ground level at these homes? | 10 | something that was peaking $10,12,15$ feet |
| 11 | MR. AINSWORTH: I guess let's | 11 | above the existing canopy, I think any kind |
| 12 | start at the ground level. | 12 | of tree in this case, even a coniferous |
| 13 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 13 | monopine, might work because, again you're |
| 14 | Probably in the range -- I | 14 | talking about something that's more or less |
| 15 | believe there's about an 80-foot differential | 15 | uniform heightwise from distant views, but we |
| 16 | from the level of the entrance to our access. | 16 | have some locations here where we just -- |
| 17 | So we'll call that the general level at the | 17 | that just can't be achieved, and that's my |
| 18 | top of the ridge there down to our ground | 18 | concern with doing any type of a facility |
| 19 | level. | 19 | that's going to have that type of a branching |
| 20 | So let's be conservative. | 20 | pattern that's going to be wider on the |
| 21 | I -- the number was on the record last time. | 21 | horizon. |
| 22 | I don't have that in front of me, but let's | 22 | MR. AINSWORTH: If you had all |
| 23 | say it's 75 feet. So we have another 75 feet | 23 | of the licensed carriers in Connecticut on |
| 24 | on top. | 24 | this facility, how many carriers would that |
| 25 | MR. AINSWORTH: And your | 25 | be that might be for someone else as well? |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I'm | 1 | of if we -- in some areas the views would |
| 2 | aware of four that are active in the | 2 | have views of all four carriers, 40 feet and |
| 3 | commercial wireless industry. | 3 | probably some of the facility below that. So |
| 4 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. If you | 4 | we're talking about in a couple of locations |
| 5 | had four carriers collocated on this | 5 | we could be talking about 50 or 60 feet above |
| 6 | facility, would each of them have a platform | 6 | the tree line. |
| 7 | associated with it? | 7 | I think the tree, the mass of |
| 8 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): I | 8 | the tree that you would have to establish, or |
| 9 | can't speak for all the carriers, but my | 9 | a faux tree would have to be substantially |
| 10 | guess is, in some capacity, they would have | 10 | larger and would likely draw people's |
| 11 | multiple antennas. So yeah, it would involve | 11 | attention more than a tower which has become |
| 12 | some type of platform or T-arm arrangement or | 12 | more or less part of the landscape, you know, |
| 13 | cage arrangement, yes. | 13 | in the last 10 or 15 years. That's, again my |
| 14 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. And so, | 14 | opinion. |
| 15 | in your opinion, would that angular visual | 15 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. So just |
| 16 | mass of the four carriers be less or more | 16 | to clarify, in your opinion a cell tower is |
| 17 | visually appealing than something that's been | 17 | more part of the landscape than a tree? |
| 18 | designed to be more natural looking, like a | 18 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): Than |
| 19 | tree, either a deciduous tree or one without | 19 | a tree that is that far above the tree line |
| 20 | leaves or a coniferous tree? | 20 | and is not of the same type or character, |
| 21 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): | 21 | yeah. |
| 22 | Well, from my perspective -- | 22 | MR. AINSWORTH: Okay. |
| 23 | and we're going to have to limit this part of | 23 | I have no further questions. |
| 24 | the conversation, I think, to more | 24 | Thank you. |
| 25 | longer-range views because that's where we'd | 25 | THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. |
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| 1 | be talking about having that profile, for | 1 | Before closing this hearing, the Connecticut |
| 2 | lack of a better term. | 2 | Siting Council announces that briefs and |
| 3 | And I would be glad to speak | 3 | proposed findings of fact may be filed with |
| 4 | to near-range views afterwards, but from my | 4 | the Council by any party or intervenor no |
| 5 | perspective, it still would be overall less | 5 | later than July 17, 2014. Submission of |
| 6 | bulky. Would it look more industrial? | 6 | briefs or proposed findings of fact are not |
| 7 | Absolutely. That's the nature of the beast. | 7 | required by this Council, rather we leave it |
| 8 | However, if we went with even four carriers | 8 | to the choice of the parties and intervenors. |
| 9 | and made the assumption that they were spaced | 9 | Anyone who has not become a |
| 10 | out 10 feet apart from the top down, that | 10 | party or intervenor, but who desires to make |
| 11 | still would not provide the same mass or bulk | 11 | his or her views known to the Council, may |
| 12 | that we would when we're trying to simulate a | 12 | file written statements with the Council |
| 13 | tree. | 13 | within 30 days of the date hereof. The |
| 14 | Because of the conical shape | 14 | Council will issue draft findings of fact, |
| 15 | and the length of those branches, it's just | 15 | and thereafter, parties and intervenors may |
| 16 | -- it's not -- it would not be the same from | 16 | identify areas of inconsistencies between the |
| 17 | a mass bulk perspective, I guess is the only | 17 | Council's draft findings of fact in the |
| 18 | way I can really describe it. Would it look | 18 | record. However, no information, no new |
| 19 | less natural than a fake tree? That's really | 19 | evidence, no argument, or no reply briefs, |
| 20 | something that everybody has their own | 20 | without permission, will be considered by the |
| 21 | opinion. | 21 | Council. Copies of the transcript of this |
| 22 | My opinion is I prefer to see | 22 | hearing will be filed at the Ridgefield Town |
| 23 | something that is as streamlined as we can | 23 | Clerk's office. |
| 24 | make it when you're talking about those type | 24 | I hereby declare this hearing |
| 25 | of profiles above the tree line. In the case | 25 | adjourned, and thank you all for your |
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| A | acquisition | advantage | 403:10,11 | angular 444:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aarons 360:5 | 374:25 | 415:16 | 433:4,6,13,21 | announces |
| 393:11,12 | acres 399:3 | advantages | 433:25 434:15 | 47 |
| 396:21 426:4 | act 334:14 | 430:17 | 434:20 435:9 | answer 347:13 |
| 426:11 | active 374:4 | adverse 436:21 | 435:16,24 | 407:14 417:3,4 |
| able 343:15 | 444:2 | aerial 425:23 | 436:6,12,17 | 426:20 433:17 |
| 382:17 414:21 | actively 374:11 | 435:7 436:5 | 437:5 438:6,13 | answered |
| 424:1 | actual 378:21 | affect 389:8 | 438:20 439:1,6 | 361:13,14 |
| absolute 396:12 | 406:3 417:24 | affidavit 336:15 | 439:13 440:13 | antenna 357:12 |
| absolutely 407:5 | 434:4 435:1 | 337:12 | 441:3,11,25 | 358:16 359:1,4 |
| 414:19 419:16 | add 347:5 | affidavits 336:4 | 442:6,13 | 369:8,9 384:2 |
| 435:4 440:1 | 409:19,21 | afraid 428:22 | 443:22 444:4 | 415:18 416:8 |
| 442:19 443:1 | 430:11 | afternoon 334:2 | 444:14 446:15 | antennas 348:10 |
| 445:7 | addition 366:16 | 337:5 365:10 | 446:22 450:13 | 350:19 357:16 |
| academic | 366:18,25 | 370:1,2 | alleging 355:6,8 | 358:3,23 367:6 |
| 370:15 | additional | agenda 335:5 | allow 337:25 | 368:10,19 |
| academics | 341:21 342:6,9 | agendas 387:2 | 338:10 365:21 | 381:24 382:18 |
| 370:24 | 365:21 366:3 | aggregating | 428:16 | 404:25 406:10 |
| accept 337:8 | 367:1 382:16 | 364 | allowed 399:24 | 412:20,22 |
| 338:22 | 391:13 395:25 | ago 350:21 | allowing 355:22 | 414:11,25 |
| acceptable | 397:1 403:18 | 356:24 370:15 | allows 422:17 | 415:12 416:10 |
| 367:14 | 408:11 417:8 | 371:12,15 | 428:9 | 416:18 417:5 |
| accepts 338:2 | 419:10 | 419:8 422:18 | allpoints 436:23 | 419:9,9,10 |
| access 393:21 | additions | agree 353:2 | alluded 409:17 | 420:1,3 430:2 |
| 394:1,5 441:16 | 339:20 | 366:5 395:15 | alternative | 444:11 |
| accessed 399:25 | address 394:14 | 410:8 414:18 | 341:12 385:14 | anticipate |
| accommodate | 396:13 418:25 | agreement | 387:14 393:17 | 348:22 |
| 357:15 419:20 | addresses | 411:5 427:22 | alternatives | anybody 338:18 |
| accomplish | 388:24 | agreements | 349:25 353:3 | anyway 391:17 |
| 440:10 | addressing | 411:12 | 385:19 | 392:12 |
| accomplished | 345:10 381:25 | ahead 377:10 | aluminum | apart 445:10 |
| 350:21 | adjacent 350:16 | 384:2 | 356:15 | apparently |
| account 442:2 | 397:23 398:23 | ainsworth 333:3 | amount 343:2 | 359:24 381:1 |
| accurate 401:22 | 408:4,21,24 | 333:7 335:17 | 366:1 419:12 | appealing |
| 403:3 449:2 | 409:8 432:13 | 335:21,25 | 420:24 437:15 | 444:17 |
| accurately | adjourned | 336:23 337:24 | amphibians | appear 335:24 |
| , | 447:25 448: | 338:19 339:7 | 433:14 | 336:9 |
| achieve 345:21 | administrative | 339:10,19 | analogy 385:24 | appearance |
| 414:17 432:9 | 334:14 335:11 | 340:1,6,9,13 | analyses 438:8 | 335:6 336:14 |
| achieved 443:17 | admitted 403:7 | 340:17 361:9 | 439:9 | 337:3,9 338:2 |
| acknowledge | 403:14 | 361:13,16 | analysis 349:9 | 338:23 348:11 |
| 377:6 384:13 | adopt 340:14 | 384:25 393:23 | 442:1 | 354:3 400:22 |
| acquire 354:23 | 402:2 403:4 | 394:16 395:12 | analyst 332:16 | appears 392:12 |
| 399:3 | adopting 359:19 | 397:5 399:14 | andre 338:15 | apples 353:12 |
| acquired 354:14 | advanced 416:3 | 399:17 400:4 | angle 413:18 | 353:12 |

UNITED REPORTERS, INC.

DOCKET NO. 445
June 17, 2014
Page 452

| applicable | 335:14 | 363:14,19,24 | 335:21 337:5 | balancing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 345:25 | architect 357:4 | 364:6,11 365:3 | 338:13 339:7 | 418:14,15 |
| applicant | 357:6,18 | 365:8,20 366:5 | 369:22,23 | balloon 349:7 |
| 335:10 336:22 | architectural | 366:8,13,19 | 384:25 393:23 | 390:8 391:6 |
| 356:8,9 359:22 | 357:9 367:22 | 367:2,11,25 | 394:16 400:24 | 438:21,22 |
| 369:23 380:12 | area 342:5 | 368:4,14,20,24 | 433:4 | band 416:11,12 |
| 393:24 395:5 | 344:10 349:12 | 369:12,19 | authority | barbara 332:5 |
| 400:8,22 | 353:1,5 357:23 | 405:21,22 | 331:16 449:9 | barlow 387:23 |
| 408:23 409:13 | 359:6 362:16 | 406:12,23 | automobiles | base 342:23 |
| 430:5 | 362:24,25 | 407:2,10,19 | 351:12 | 343:6,13,16 |
| applicants 337:6 | 370:7 373:6 | 430:20 | available 335:5 | 363:11 390:24 |
| 386:12 389:21 | 374:4 375:19 | asked 356:8 | 336:6 337:18 | 415:9 421:25 |
| 392:16,21 | 379:15 384:16 | 361:14 376:13 | 340:18 365:5 | based 350:9 |
| 395:14,24 | 390:3 391:12 | 393:23 396:25 | 410:23 417:14 | 376:16,21 |
| application | 391:17,25 | 399:19 404:11 | 428:19 | 383:18 397:18 |
| 331:6 334:14 | 392:14,18 | 426:3 | avenue 332:21 | 405:3 422:25 |
| 334:22 371:9 | 404:24 412:13 | asking 370:24 | avesos 338:15 | 429:13 |
| 371:12,18,24 | 412:14 424:5 | 395:16 428:20 | aware 352:16 | basically 388:19 |
| 372:23 373:9 | 432:3 436:4 | aspect 425:23 | 355:24 360:11 | 418:5,7 425:2 |
| 384:24 385:5 | areas 341:25 | 443:8 | 360:12,15,19 | basis 441:8 |
| 387:8 405:8 | 344:17,20 | aspects $364: 8$ | 360:21 363:15 | beach 370:7 |
| 429:15 449:5 | 345:5 349:13 | aspen 331:12 | 363:17,24 | beacon 427:10 |
| applications | 362:7 376:7 | 334:21 358:15 | 364:4 377:12 | beast 445:7 |
| 419:2 | 420:15 424:22 | 378:19 413:6 | 377:14 383:5 | becoming 417:8 |
| appreciate | 424:22 446:1 | 449:7 | 388:1 399:23 | beginning |
| 347:19 419:23 | 447:16 | assemblies | 444:2 | 331:18 371:10 |
| 422:12 | arent 362:8 | 359:2 | awful 420:2 | behalf 337:6 |
| approach 359:3 | 442:10 | assessment |  | 364:21 389:4 |
| 368:12 410:10 | argument | 357:5 | B | 395:23 |
| appropriate | 447:19 | assist 339:12 | b 331:20 332:23 | beige 405:9 |
| 356:12 410:15 | argumentative | 357:5 401:13 | 344:12,23 | belief 397:24 |
| 410:18 | 397:6 | associated | 400:14 | 401:22 403:3 |
| approved | arrangement | 371:16 444:7 | b15 401:4 | believe 336:20 |
| 380:11 | 444:12,13 | assume 361:18 | b31 339:13 | 337:8 348:1,4 |
| approximately | array 413:2 | 380:8 387:1 | 340:7,14 | 349:2 356:11 |
| 334:5 368:18 | 414:1 417:21 | assuming | bachman | 364:7 386:16 |
| 432:22 438:14 | 440:23 | 386:22 399:6 | 332:11 339:4 | 390:21 393:16 |
| 441:4 | arrays 366:14 | assumption | back 343:5,16 | 397:25 427:4 |
| april 334:9 | 406:7,8 412:20 | 379:24 445:9 | 344:13 356:5 | 434:3,8 439:21 |
| 339:15 361:23 | arrived 361:6 | assurance | 411:20 422:22 | 441:15 |
| 376:14 385:13 | articulation | 354:20 | 438:7 439:7 | believes 389:22 |
| 393:9 | 367:24 | attachment | backfilled | bell 332:5 |
| archaeological | ashton 332:4 | 335:13 | 423:19 | 359:12,13 |
| 423:17 | 361:4,5,8,18 | attention 446:11 | bad 424:16 | 360:11,18,24 |
| archaeology | 361:22 363:10 | attorney 332:13 | balance 440:3 | 423:13,14,25 |
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| 424:6 425:21 | branch 431:14 | cabinets 415:9 | carriers 351:23 | 430:16 439:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 426:1,10,16 | branches 445:15 | 415:11 | 352:11,19 | certificate 331:7 |
| 427:5 428:7,23 | branching | cable 359:1 | 364:1 365:21 | 334:16 449:1,5 |
| 429:1 | 436:13 443:19 | 415:13,19 | 365:23 366:2,4 | certifications |
| benefit 406:17 | branford 370:6 | 416:2 429:9,10 | 366:16,18,23 | 370:16,25 |
| 409:10 410:5 | break 400:9 | 429:13,13,16 | 367:1,15 381:9 | certify 449:2 |
| benefits 364:12 | 432:20 | 429:22,23 | 385:15 419:3,9 | chair 361:3 |
| 364:13 409:6 | brief 339:16 | cables 415:22 | 419:21 427:6 | 423:15 |
| best 344:1 | briefing 337:23 | 430:2,6 | 429:17 443:23 | chairman 334:7 |
| 358:12 369:5,7 | briefs 447:2,6 | cage 444:13 | 443:24 444:5,9 | 336:1 337:5 |
| 369:10,14,17 | 447:19 | calendar 438:17 | 444:16 445:8 | 340:17,22 |
| 401:22 403:3 | bright 421:15 | call 334:3 | 446:2 | 341:5 346:3,10 |
| 410:5 414:8 | brilliant 421:16 | 357:11 414:1 | case 357:18 | 349:16,20 |
| 418:22 | bring 375:24 | 417:21 418:16 | 362:18 367:4 | 353:18,22 |
| better 341:25 | 385:19 390:11 | 427:10,14 | 376:9 383:15 | 359:10,14 |
| 384:21 407:18 | 413:2 | 441:17 | 408:20 418:3 | 369:20 399:9 |
| 421:14,17 | bringing 358:23 | called 339:3 | 431:24 443:12 | 401:6 402:9 |
| 424:17 428:24 | 413:23 | 359:5 365:17 | 445:25 | 403:6,21 |
| 437:10 440:10 | britain 331:17 | 374:9 375:17 | cases 429:20 | 405:16,20 |
| 445:2 | 334:11 449:9 | 380:25 381:1 | 435:19 | 407:22 411:17 |
| bidder 380:9 | brown 357:24 | 415:15 418:13 | cat 385:20 | 418:22 423:11 |
| 381:20 383:10 | 358:1,3,17 | 427:8 | catalog 435:22 | 433:1 |
| big 390:19,22 | 359:7 404:12 | calls 376:5 | caught 361:25 | chairperson |
| 414:13 | 405:9 412:18 | camouflage | cause 345:1,6 | 331:21 334:1 |
| bit 361:12 | 414:9 431:11 | 350:17 356:12 | cell 333:2 335:8 | 335:18 336:18 |
| 385:25 389:6 | build 416:19 | 405:3,13 | 345:24 353:5 | 336:25 338:9 |
| 406:6 421:1,4 | building 351:23 | 406:13,14 | 354:1,13,21 | 338:21 339:6 |
| 424:17 431:25 | 415:10 417:16 | 407:3,12 | 355:2,12,22 | 340:20,24 |
| black 424:10,21 | built 355:3 | camouflaging | 357:7 363:16 | 346:4 349:17 |
| 424:22 425:19 | 358:13 392:25 | 356:9 | 381:23 396:13 | 351:18 353:19 |
| blend 421:13,14 | 415:7 417:17 | canopy 421:24 | 446:16 | 359:11 361:4,6 |
| 421:17 | bulk 406:21 | 431:12,18 | cellular 379:14 | 369:21 397:7 |
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| border 421:20 | 377:15 | care 395:18 | 370:11 385:4 | 430:22 431:6 |
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| dedicated 427:1 | designee 332:6 | 439:23 | 416:6 | 443:4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 436:20 437:1 | 406:19 | difficult 430:12 | 339:12 | 358:5,10 359:8 |
| demonstration | develop 381:23 | direction 378:24 | doesnt 357:13 | 359:12,13 |
| 396:17 | 382:9,14 | director 332:12 | 360:4 389:8,25 | 360:11,18,24 |
| demonstrations | 384:16 386:25 | disagree 392:22 | 442:1 | 404:11 411:18 |
| 349:8 | 399:20 437:7 | discovered | doing 368:9 | 411:19 412:2,8 |
| department | 438:7 | 406:5 | 409:6,14 | 412:15 413:5 |
| 422:4 | developed 399:1 | discovery 434:5 | 417:15 431:16 | 414:6,20 |
| depend 365:25 | 421:22 | 434:6 | 442:25 443:18 | 417:15 418:5 |
| depending | developer 363:9 | discussed 406 | dominating | 418:15,19,24 |
| 387:20 421:9 | 418:25 | discussing | 348:11 | 419:23 421:10 |
| 428:18 | developing | 345:18 | dont 337:20 | 421:19 422:11 |
| depends 350:15 | 383:21 | discussion | 341:12 345:6 | 422:23 423:3,9 |
| 350:22 367:18 | development | 342:12 345:9 | 356:11 365:14 | 423:13,14,25 |
| 390:5 | 376:2 387:24 | 351:7 371:4,18 | 366:24 368:20 | 424:6 425:21 |
| depict 425:11,16 | devoid 362:8 | 372:15 383:7 | 370:11 372:14 | 426:1,10,16 |
| 436:13 437:14 | diagram 423:19 | 387:3 440:14 | 372:17 374:16 | 427:5 428:7,23 |
| depicted 423:24 | 424:7 425:24 | discussions | 374:18 375:3 | 429:1 431:6,7 |
| 434:25 435:25 | 435:12 | 352:18 408:22 | 375:17 376:9 | 431:19 432:10 |
| depicts 424:23 | dialogue 351:4 | 409:12 | 376:22 377:1,8 | 432:24 |
| deploy 417:10 | diameter 357:14 | distal 414:8 | 383:17 384:9 | draft 447:14,17 |
| deployed 416:4 | 368:6,10,11,15 | distals 420:7 | 385:5 386:2,16 | draw 446:10 |
| deploying | 368:18 429:18 | distance 414:3 | 388:24 391:20 | driven 363:8 |
| 416:11 | didnt 369:13,15 | distances 378:22 | 395:15 396:9 | driver 430:16 |
| deposited 335:1 | 371:15 372:19 | distant 443:15 | 397:9,11 400:2 | drives 419:15 |
| describe 371:5 | 375:18 379:13 | distasteful 355:5 | 405:2 406:18 | driving 362:19 |
| 445:18 | 382:2 384:21 | distinctly | 409:9 410:13 | 416:19 |
| described 358:9 | 393:21 394:1,4 | 344:16 | 410:14 413:9 | dry 412:3 |
| 391:2 428:12 | 395:5,7,24 | distributing | 419:19 420:18 | dull 421:16 |
| design 343:20 | 396:23 397:9 | 353:5 | 421:11 423:23 | duly 339:3 |
| 383:19 419:1,4 | 424:13,14 | disturbance | 431:10 434:1 | 400:17 |
| 419:6,19 | 426:13 433:16 | 424:4 | 436:10,12 | dying 419:6 |
| designed 444:18 | 438:21 439:2,9 | diversity 416:6 | 438:17 441:22 |  |
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| 414:10 | entertain | 438:3 447:19 | expertise 412:14 | 386:24 426:13 |
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| economics | entrance 441:16 | 385:6 421:23 | 389:1 | fair 363:11,12 |
| 383:20 | environmental | 424:13 | explanation | 367:7 379:17 |
| effect 348:14 | 331:7 334:17 | examinati | 424:17 | 404:4 420:24 |
| 367:6 390:12 | 433:11 449:5 | 371:4 | explicitly 354:1 | fairfield 422:19 |
| 407:9 413:23 | environments | examine 426:6 | exposure 412:4 | 433:8 |
| 432:9 442:22 | 51:15 | examined 339:4 | extend 368:11 | fairly 341:10 |
| effective 357:24 | equal 414:18 | 400:18 | 404:18 | 370:13 371:3 |
| 409:1 410:1 | equipment | examiners 450:3 | extent 337:16,21 | 390:10 408:16 |
| effectively | 415:7,11,17 | 450:11 | 380:20 | 420:20 |
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| particularly | photographs | 412:17 414:10 | 402:11 407:25 | 334:14 437:6 |
| 356:17 | 390:7 391:5 | 414:13 416:25 | preparation | proceed 335:4 |
| parties 337:20 | 435:7 443:2 | poles 348:8 | 401:13 | 384:14 400:21 |
| 375:6 409:17 | physical 406:4 | 369:8,8 384:1 | prepare 339:11 | 401:5 405:18 |
| 411:6,12 447:8 | physically | police 357:2 | 401:12 402:13 | proceedings |
| 447:15 | 416:22 | politically | prepared 335:4 | 448:3 |
| party 337:10 | pierrepont | 418:17,19,21 | 395:8 | process 354:7 |
| 338:5 384:19 | 349:12 | portable 342:15 | preparing | 355:11 378:3 |
| 407:10,12 | pinebark 404:12 | 343:8,9,14,14 | 339:12 394:16 | 379:6 386:19 |
| 447:4,10 | place 376:5 | 343:19 351:2,7 | presence 367:21 | 386:23 387:8 |
| pattern 443:20 | 416:17 | 352:5 | present 380:18 | produced 439:8 |
| patterns 405:3 | placed 364:1 | portion 341:15 | press 354:10 | 442:10 |
| 436:13 | 415:17 416:21 | 413:14 | 398:8 | professional |
| pay 383:20 | 416:24 417:25 | position 397:3 | pressure 416:17 | 356:21 358:11 |
| pcs 331:6 332:18 | placing 384:1 | 410:14 411:1 | pretty 420:1 | 370:16,24 |
| 334:16 449:4 | 411:8 | positive 338:12 | prevent 355:2 | profile 445:1 |
| peaking 443:10 | plains 332:22 | possibilities | prevented | profiles 436:24 |
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| 445:25 | 447:3,6 | 364:12,13 | 370:4 393:6 | read 336:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| program 339:13 | proposing | 396:12 | 395:17 399:10 | 347:8,14 |
| 401:9 | 381:23 398:23 | purpose 336:4 | 405:15,18,20 | 360:14,20 |
| project 380:3,10 | protrude 414:4 | 338:23 344:7 | 411:14,16 | 361:19,22 |
| proliferate | prove 395:13 | 402:18 | 423:10 426:2 | 363:19 371:17 |
| 364:3 | provide 344:8 | purposes 382:5 | 432:25 433:17 | 383:23 384:6 |
| proof 395:16 | 352:25 353:4 | 382:10 389:15 | 446:23 | 386:10 425:5 |
| 417:24 | 359:17 362:23 | pursuant 334:11 | quite 382:24 | reading 364:12 |
| properties | 362:25 363:5 | pursue 380:5 | 394:11 419:11 | 400:1 409:24 |
| 341:23,24 | 385:15 395:5 | 384:22 428:24 | 428:5 440:19 | 428:4 |
| 350:2 372:9,10 | 396:15 397:9 | pursuing 386:25 | quote 361:25 | readjust 400:9 |
| 387:22 408:25 | 415:3 416:15 | push 415:3 | 383:24,25 | ready 382:20 |
| 410:6 411:9 | 422:6 427:16 | put 350:6 |  | real 390:2 |
| 439:10 | 438:3 445:11 | 382:16,17 | R | reality 417:9 |
| property 341:22 | provided 342:22 | 430:5 | r 331:20 332:1 | realize 347:8 |
| 354:13,14,23 | 352:10 370:10 | putting 345:3 | 333:1,7 400:14 | 408:3 |
| 355:12 362:9 | 401:14 | 350:12 352:3 | 400:16,16 | really 337:13 |
| 383:21 387:12 | providing | 355:16 416:1 | ract 335:7 385:1 | 345:25 346:17 |
| 390:8,14 | 341:20 | 419:12 | 388:18 389:4 | 346:23 348:1 |
| 399:25 408:4 | provisions | puy 387:1 | 391:21 393:1 | 350:15,22 |
| 408:12,13,19 | 334:12 |  | 395:5,23 | 356:25 360:4 |
| 409:8,8 410:13 | proximal 414:7 | Q | 398:11 | 367:18 377:1 |
| 431:24 435:6 | 420:7 | qualifications | racts 388:2 | 381:23 384:3 |
| 438:11,11,23 | public 331:8,15 | 383:19 | radio 343:2,10 | 390:5,12 |
| proposal 354:8 | 334:17 335:3 | quarter 429:19 | 343:18,21 | 394:11 397:9 |
| 359:19 373:19 | 338:1 342:13 | question 347:19 | 345:16 351:7 | 408:13 411:1 |
| 377:21,24 | 345:10,12,17 | 350:3 351:1,8 | 352:1 364:24 | 412:14,19,24 |
| 383:18,24 | 346:19,24 | 351:22 361:2 | 365:4 415:7,15 | 420:5,13 |
| 397:22 | 351:10 352:14 | 361:12 370:13 | 415:16,17,25 | 425:10,23 |
| proposals 354:7 | 353:9,13 379:7 | 375:5 386:9,18 | 416:1,7,17,20 | 428:3 432:14 |
| 373:22 377:16 | 381:25 382:4 | 387:15 395:19 | 416:20,24 | 436:9 438:4 |
| 379:11 385:21 | 382:10 393:7 | 399:18 407:14 | 418:2 419:11 | 445:18,19 |
| propose 341:15 | 393:17 394:12 | 412:24 417:3 | 426:23 429:11 | reason 360:22 |
| proposed 341:9 | 394:12,25 | 418:25 420:4 | radios 342:23 | reasons 410:4 |
| 341:14,16 | 395:22 396:13 | 422:8,13 | 351:4 | 415:1 |
| 342:2,4,7,7 | 396:15,18 | 423:16 426:5 | raised 406:16 | rebuttal 402:11 |
| 347:4,22,25 | 398:21 426:24 | 426:17,19 | range 431:17 | 402:19 403:4 |
| 352:24 355:12 | 427:8,9,11,15 | 429:6 437:24 | 432:6 440:4 | 403:24 407:25 |
| 357:2 362:1,22 | 428:2,4 449:5 | questioning | 441:14 | recall 370:5,9 |
| 362:24 378:7 | 449:9 | 347:9 | rare 429:20 | 371:3,15,20 |
| 380:21 388:7 | published 395:3 | questions 346:3 | ray 401:18,25 | 372:14,15 |
| 389:7,15 | pull 430:11 | 346:6,13 | 402:7 409:19 | 374:18 375:3 |
| 391:16 392:13 | pulling 367:6 | 349:21 351:17 | raymond 450:9 | 375:18 376:9 |
| 392:17 396:13 | purchase 354:19 | 353:17,22 | reach 343:13,16 | 376:22 384:10 |
| 397:16,20 | purported | 359:9 362:12 | 393:11,14 | 385:5 400:1,2 |
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| recalling 377:14 | regardless | 395:20 398:4 | residences 357:3 | rf 412:24 414:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| received $334: 23$ | 440:22 | 402:14,19 | 378:22 | 414:21 426:2 |
| 350:10 377:19 | regular 403:25 | 407:24 423:1 | residential | 426:14,15,18 |
| receptor 424:19 | 441:1 | 423:17,18 | 392:25 | rfp 374:10 |
| receptors | regulatory | 426:4,7,22 | residents 354:22 | 377:12,19 |
| 439:25 | 331:16 449:9 | 436:18 | 355:5 378:18 | 378:3 379:6,13 |
| recess 400:11 | rejected 383:18 | reporter 394:3 | 390:8 | 379:19 380:10 |
| recommend | 397:23 | 449:16 | resort 417:12 | 381:7,8,20 |
| 407:11 | relates 401:20 | reporters | resources 380:5 | 382:3 383:3,6 |
| recommended | relating 345:15 | 449:17 | respect 364:22 | 383:10,14 |
| 357:6,19 | relationship | reporting | 369:16 393:6 | 384:12,19,20 |
| 406:13 | 375:21 376:4 | 364:25 | 394:8,12 | 398:16 399:22 |
| record 336:16 | relevance | reports 354:10 | 396:11 | ridge 341:14,21 |
| 337:15,19 | 342:13 | 398:9 | respond 375:11 | 342:1 348:24 |
| 340:25 355:21 | relief 439:16,25 | repositioned | 375:14 436:10 | 349:11,14 |
| 360:20 394:9 | reluctant 412:13 | 341:19 | responded | 355:3 363:7 |
| 394:10 396:6 | rely 369:4 | representation | 356:10 379:13 | 373:16 379:1 |
| 396:10 403:8 | 396:11 | 356:3 404:4 | 383:3 | 387:20 391:21 |
| 409:23 422:24 | remember | 436:3 437:23 | responding | 391:24 392:1 |
| 423:5 434:8,10 | 361:24 | representations | 379:10 | 411:23 412:11 |
| 434:12 441:21 | remote 415:15 | 436:8 | responds 343:14 | 432:15 441:6 |
| 447:18 | 415:16 429:11 | representatives | response 337:25 | 441:18 442:7,8 |
| records 374:15 | removed 416:2 | 375:22 385:1 | 351:12 369:14 | 442:15 |
| red 424:21,23 | repair 369:2 | represented | 380:10 389:10 | ridgebury 342:1 |
| redirect 399:14 | repeat 366:11 | 375:5 | 422:16 | 342:5,8 391:12 |
| reduce 358:22 | repeater 343:3,4 | representing | responsibilities | 391:14,17,25 |
| 366:22 367:5 | 343:13,16 | 335:7 389:1 | 376:7 | 392:2,6,14,18 |
| 390:1 | 344:23 | represents | responsibility | ridgefield |
| reduced 418:10 | repeaters | 376:3 | 374:21 410:11 | 331:12 334:9 |
| reduces 348:11 | 342:22 | request 354:6 | responsible | 334:22 335:2 |
| reducing 358:20 | rephrase 365:13 | 373:22 379:11 | 409:14 | 346:20 350:24 |
| 358:25 | replace 369:2 | 395:8 | responsive | 364:17 432:15 |
| reduction | 417:5 | requested | 422:12 | 447:22 449:8 |
| 390:10 | replaced 415:20 | 335:11 | restate 387:16 | ridgefielders |
| refer 342:21 | 415:24 417:23 | requests 377:16 | result 362:1 | 333:2 335:7 |
| 352:9 406:3 | reply 447:19 | require 342:9 | 396:8 | ridgeline 372:5 |
| 422:22 | report 339:14 | 366:19 368:5 | resume 400:21 | 414:4,5 |
| referred 360:5 | 340:5 341:9,18 | 411:4,8 | review 360:17 | ridges $345: 3$ |
| 426:4,23 | 346:13,17 | required 368:1 | 394:5 397:4 | 412:3 |
| referring 347:21 | 353:23 359:15 | 368:3 416:14 | 398:3 417:20 | ridgetops 344:2 |
| 364:19 373:21 | 360:6 361:23 | 447:7 | 424:2 433:21 | right 348:20 |
| 419:24,25 | 376:14 385:13 | requirements | reviewed 373:9 | 361:24 367:2 |
| regard 393:7 | 393:7,22 394:3 | 366:20 | 393:10 396:1 | 368:14 371:7 |
| regarding 433:7 | 394:17,19,20 | requires 416:7 | reviewing | 381:16 382:12 |
| 440:14 | 394:24 395:3,9 | reserved 382:4 | 389:19 | 385:17 387:5 |


| 389:2 392:21 | 396:14,15,18 | 384:15 | 386:24 | 424:18 425:1,3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 396:10 404:7 | 427:8,9,11,15 | seaside 357:20 | senator 346:8 | 425:25 |
| 407:24 416:11 | 428:5 | seasonal 404:18 | sense 358:21 | shown 401:8 |
| 420:21,22 | safetys 428:2 | seasonally | 378:23 397:12 | 424:19,21 |
| 421:20 428:23 | salamander | 413:12 | 406:11 410:8 | 443:3 |
| 430:22 439:22 | 433:17 | seasons 437:12 | 410:21 | shows 342:4 |
| ring 371:11,14 | salamanders | seat 400:25 | sentence 347:6 | 392:17,20,21 |
| 377:1 | 412:11 | seated 400:25 | 361:25 | side 342:1 |
| rings 374:4 | samples 422:6 | second 347:24 | separate 362:12 | 387:19 391:20 |
| 375:17,19 | satisfactory | 353:24 392:8 | separately | 391:24 425:8 |
| road 331:11,12 | 362:10 | 392:10 394:22 | 416:12 | 431:24 |
| 334:21,21 | satisfy 361:11 | 394:24 442:3 | serve 342:1,5 | sight 425:18 |
| 392:6 449:7,7 | 396:22 | secondarily | 345:4 391:13 | sightline 436:24 |
| 449:17 | saturday 438:16 | 359:5 | 391:17 392:13 | 442:1 |
| robert 331:21 | saw 371:13,24 | section 373:10 | 392:18 416:11 | signal 352:4 |
| 332:6 449:8,16 | 395:3 | 434:24 435:15 | 440:9 | signals 349:25 |
| robin 334:6 | saying 352:22 | 436:1 | served 342:8 | significant |
| rocky 408:15 | 355:21 356:10 | see 336:21 | serves 415:25 | 341:10 419:18 |
| roman 401:3 | 377:2 390:19 | 347:12 349:13 | service 341:21 | 436:21 437:15 |
| roots 408:16 | 394:4 397:8 | 369:21 371:8 | 344:10 351:24 | significantly |
| 409:3 | 420:12 432:16 | 371:12 372:22 | 352:6,9,10,14 | 442:20 |
| roundabout | says $382: 17$ | 373:14 386:10 | 352:15,20 | similar 351:14 |
| 417:2 | scale 425:3 | 389:21 405:9 | 362:8 365:5 | 432:12,14 |
| route 422:5 | scenic 348:13 | 413:12,21 | 379:14,22 | simply 337:17 |
| run 415:24 | scheme 409:5 | 417:22 419:2,2 | 385:15 389:8 | 379:10 395:8 |
| 430:14,14 | school 341:22 | 420:13,14 | services 351:22 | simulate 445:12 |
| running 415:2 | 349:13 350:1,7 | 424:1,10 | 353:1,4,14 | simulcast |
| runs 430:18 | 350:13,18 | 428:20 439:2 | 359:22,25 | 343:25 344:1 |
| rustcolor 356:14 | 355:15,17 | 445:22 | 364:23 382:15 | 344:10,12,14 |
|  | 363:15 386:4 | seeing 363:6 | 415:4 416:16 | 344:19 345:5,9 |
| S | 386:13 387:9 | 400:24 407:23 | set $344: 4$ | 345:11 |
| s 332:1 333:1 | 387:23 392:3 | 413:13 414:2 | sets 420:6 | simulcasting |
| 339:2 | schools 355:15 | seek 340:18 | setting 439:22 | 344:8,23 |
| safety 342:13 | 355:22 372:8 | seeking 374:11 | seveneighths | 345:13,25 |
| 345:10,12,17 | schwartz 338:16 | seemingly $397: 2$ | 429:18,21 | single 364:2 |
| 346:19,24 | science 433:11 | seen 360:8,9 | shaft 405:25 | 399:17 405:25 |
| 351:10 352:14 | scientific 437:6 | 390:7 391:6 | shape 445:14 | sir 339:10 |
| 353:9,13 | sealed 356:25 | 405:7,10 417:1 | shell 422:19 | 348:21 422:24 |
| 368:25 369:1 | search 362:16 | 420:19,20 | 423:2,4 433:8 | site 341:9,11,14 |
| 369:13,13,15 | 371:11,14 | 426:3 437:11 | short 370:7 | 341:16 344:21 |
| 369:17 379:7 | 372:25 373:2,5 | 440:6 443:1,2 | shortened 391:9 | 344:23 345:22 |
| 382:1,5,10 | 374:4,24 | 443:5 | shorter 436:18 | 345:24 347:25 |
| 393:8,17 | 375:16,19 | selection 362:20 | shots 437:25 | 362:3,10,16,20 |
| 394:12,13 | 377:1 | selectman 356:4 | shovel 423:17,21 | 363:9 365:12 |
| 395:1,23 | searches 373:25 | 371:19,23 | show 341:9,12 | 371:25 372:4 |
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| 372:24 373:2 | 433:17 | 430:8 | 441:2 | 365:22,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 373:24 374:11 | slope 378:10 | spaced 445:9 | standards 369:5 | 366:23 368:6,9 |
| 374:24 375:25 | small 429:23 | speak 348:7 | 369:6 | 368:13 405:25 |
| 376:15 377:6 | soften 407:8 | 350:23 367:3 | standing 358:2 | 406:4 419:16 |
| 378:2 380:13 | softening 404:20 | 376:6 385:20 | 380:22 425:6,8 | structures 369:1 |
| 382:9,14 | software 427:14 | 412:13,16 | 425:18 | struggle 419:13 |
| 383:19,21 | solid 425:7 | 426:13 428:3 | start 338:25 | stuck 363:6 |
| 384:15,16 | solution 346:22 | 444:9 445:3 | 350:12 417:11 | studied 387:13 |
| 387:14 388:15 | 347:10 430:14 | speaking 364:21 | 441:12 | study 359:16,23 |
| 388:21 389:9 | somebody | 389:3 394:8 | started 377:6 | 360:8,10 |
| 390:6 391:4 | 338:17 386:25 | 406:9 | starting 403:16 | 433:13 |
| 397:15,16 | somewhat | species 435:23 | state 331:1 | style 357:13 |
| 406:14 408:14 | 362:21 395:9 | specific 345:4 | 335:13 349:12 | stylized 402:10 |
| 414:3 431:15 | 395:21 437:20 | 386:3 387:22 | stated 410:4 | subdivided |
| 437:10 | sorry 352:8 | 389:7 | statement 366:8 | 354:17 397:21 |
| sited 387:19 | 375:13 394:23 | specifically | 366:11 371:14 | subdivision |
| sites 341:12 | 428:22,25 | 345:15,16 | 376:13 377:4 | 398:15 |
| 343:25 344:1,5 | 438:17 | 347:3,21 | 379:17 419:5 | subject 336:11 |
| 345:11 350:7 | sort 341:11 | 364:20 372:14 | statements | 337:13 338:7 |
| 353:5 373:5,11 | 349:22 351:4 | 385:21 388:5 | 360:7 364:15 | 362:8,14 399:2 |
| 373:15 385:14 | 354:7 356:25 | 394:8 415:14 | 397:14 399:7 | submission |
| 386:13 387:9 | 358:19 410:5 | 426:6 436:11 | 447:12 | 401:10,15 |
| 415:6 432:21 | 412:3,17,18 | specifics 409:18 | states 419:14 | 402:3 447:5 |
| sitespecific | 417:2,19,19 | 428:1 | station 343:6,13 | submissions |
| 387:12 | 418:6 421:16 | speculative | 343:16 357:2 | 336:16 |
| siting 331:2 | 434:24 | 395:10 | stations 342:23 | submit 338:1,5 |
| 332:16 334:4,7 | sorts $351: 13$ | speed 344:24 | statutes 334:13 | 338:6 377:21 |
| 364:8 370:6 | 429:16 | spend 380:4 | stealth 442:9 | 377:24 395:7 |
| 380:19 447:2 | sought 436:25 | spent 342:14 | stealthing | submitted 336:3 |
| situated 358:1 | sound 420:19 | spoke 374:17,19 | 440:22 | 336:13 337:11 |
| situation 367:19 | 427:2 439:14 | 376:9 386:23 | stein 331:21 | 338:11 339:17 |
| 410:22 418:7 | sounds 351:3 | spoken 376:22 | 334:6 449:8 | 371:9 403:18 |
| six 371:11 | 385:24 | 386:16 | story 442:3 | 409:22 438:9 |
| size 429:8 | source 434:1,5 | square 331:17 | straightforward | subsequently |
| 430:19 | sources 354:11 | 449:9 | 370:13 | 354:16 |
| skin 385:20 | 398:9,10 | staff 332:10,13 | straightline | substantial |
| skipping 428:17 | south 372:11 | 338:13 341:2 | 441:8 | 348:23 371:15 |
| sky 420:25 | 388:11,14,15 | stagecoach | strategic 409: | 390:10 |
| 421:9,11,13 | 432:1 | 331:11 334:21 | streamlined | substantially |
| 432:8 | southerly 412:4 | 358:15 378:18 | 445:23 | 446:9 |
| skyblue 420:23 | southern 432:3 | 413:7 449:7 | street 333:4 | substantiating |
| slate 420:25 | southwest | stakeholders | strikes 362:17 | 438:3 |
| slates 344:19 | 331:10 334:20 | 420:6 439:17 | structure | substantive |
| slightly 365:14 | 449:7 | stand 440:2 | 348:12 357:6,8 | 365:1 |
| slimy 412:10 | space 382:3 | standard 369:9 | 358:24 364:2 | success 422:10 |
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| successful 380:9 | 352:19 | 351:2 352:1,10 | testify 400:18 | 350:4 352:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 383:10 | sworn 339:4 | 352:15,17 | 434:16 | 352:13,22 |
| sufficient 369:7 | 400:17 | 367:13 369:18 | testifying | 353:1 357:25 |
| suggest 343:25 | system 343:1 | 370:20 371:19 | 387:21 | 360:23 361:1 |
| 372:9,18 386:6 | 365:12 | 384:1 406:10 | testimony 336:3 | 361:12 363:10 |
| 387:7 391:24 | systems 342:17 | 406:24 413:15 | 336:11 337:3,9 | 363:12 376:10 |
| 391:25 |  | 413:17 423:5 | 337:9 339:16 | 377:3,4,22 |
| suggested 348:9 | T | 436:4 439:21 | 340:2,5,14 | 379:16 380:7 |
| 385:13 | t 345:20 371:11 | 441:8,9 442:19 | 345:15 360:14 | 383:12 385:16 |
| suggesting | 371:14,18,22 | 443:9,14 445:1 | 364:16,20 | 388:12,20 |
| 341:18 353:3 | 372:7,19 373:4 | 445:24 446:4,5 | 365:2,10 367:3 | 390:18 391:21 |
| 387:13 394:10 | 373:25 374:3,9 | tall 381:23 | 370:5,10,14 | 392:19 394:19 |
| suggestions | 375:11,16 | tarm 444:12 | 385:16 386:11 | 394:21 395:9 |
| 385:22 | 376:22 377:6 | task 359:23 | 389:20 393:11 | 398:17 402:21 |
| suite 449:17 | 381:3 400:13 | 381:14 382:24 | 401:21 402:4 | 404:1,4,7 |
| summary | 400:14,15,16 | 393:22 | 402:11,19 | 409:22 411:1 |
| 339:24 | 414:24 415:2 | techniques | 403:4,24 426:5 | 411:23 412:1,1 |
| supplemental | 416:10,14 | 440:21 | 439:14,18 | 412:6,24 |
| 339:16 340:3 | 417:3,5,9,13 | technologies | 440:15,15,16 | 413:19 416:1 |
| 395:9 401:9,15 | 426:6 428:6 | 415:13 416:3 | tests 423:21 | 416:18 417:2,8 |
| 424:8 434:21 | tab 434:22 | technology | thank 335:18 | 417:13,25 |
| supply 343:1 | take 336:19 | 415:6 416:1 | 340:23 341:4 | 418:11,16 |
| support 365:1 | 337:17 338:3 | 427:13,14 | 342:11 343:23 | 421:7 422:12 |
| 366:3,3,24 | 361:15,17 | 428:3,5 436:23 | 346:2,4,9 | 423:8 424:9,11 |
| 381:24 398:5 | 370:4 400:8 | telecommunic... | 347:23 349:17 | 424:16 425:14 |
| supported | 408:10 440:7 | 331:10 334:19 | 349:19 351:16 | 425:25,25 |
| 350:20 | 442:1 | 449:6 | 351:18 353:15 | 427:1 429:1 |
| sure 356:5 | taken 338:4 | tell 394:15 | 353:19,21 | 430:3 433:19 |
| 370:12 371:2 | 400:11 431:2 | tend 385:18 | 359:8,11,13 | 434:8,14 436:3 |
| 381:11 397:13 | 435:4 449:3 | 420:25 | 361:2,3,8 | 436:3 438:25 |
| 399:16 408:10 | talk 343:24 | tends 367:22 | 365:8 369:20 | 439:12,20 |
| 409:13,18 | 347:2 352:4 | 407:6 | 399:9,11 400:4 | 440:19 443:14 |
| 424:12 432:4 | 353:24 359:16 | tenor 414:23 | 400:5 401:7 | 443:17,19,20 |
| 442:12 | 371:21 374:12 | term 342:20 | 403:19,20 | 444:17,25 |
| surprise 398:14 | 379:6 381:8,15 | 405:24 418:1 | 405:14 407:16 | 445:7,19 |
| surprised 355:1 | 383:13 389:5 | 428:13 445:2 | 407:21 422:11 | 446:13 |
| surrounding | 393:12,16 | terms 349:11 | 423:9,12,14 | theory 387:18 |
| 358:14 405:4 | 406:9 409:25 | 363:3 370:23 | 426:1 429:1 | 391:8,19 |
| survey 377:16 | talked 371:24 | 378:13 413:3 | 431:5 432:24 | 392:24 437:6 |
| 431:15 435:5 | 372:7 381:13 | terrain 345:4 | 433:2 446:24 | theres 346:17,18 |
| sustain 397:8 | 408:1 412:19 | test 390:8 391:6 | 446:25 447:25 | 346:23 347:15 |
| swath 425:14 | 426:10 | 423:17 | thats 336:23 | 357:24 396:17 |
| swear 335:9,20 | talking 342:14 | testified 339:5 | 337:19 340:4 | 401:2 406:16 |
| swearing 339:1 | 342:18 345:14 | 387:18 391:15 | 343:10 345:23 | 416:3,16 417:7 |
| switching | 347:3 349:24 | 393:20 433:7 | 347:16,18 | 421:21 422:13 |
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| 424:8,11,18 | 410:20 411:1 | tone 404:22 | 417:16,24 | 447:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 427:19 430:25 | 412:17 413:9 | 421:25 432:7 | 418:10,25 | towns 381:13 |
| 441:15 | 413:11,24 | top 350:18 355:3 | 420:8,16 | 382:16 393:7 |
| theyll 416:5 | 414:11 419:2,4 | 357:15 378:25 | 424:19 425:20 | 393:17,22 |
| theyre 345:21 | 419:20 421:11 | 384:2 413:4 | 427:23,25 | 395:10 397:15 |
| 355:21 358:4 | 422:9 432:17 | 414:1 416:1,2 | 432:6 441:4 | 397:22 399:2 |
| 385:21 386:1 | 432:22 434:19 | 416:21 418:2 | 446:11,16 | 426:15,18 |
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