1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
2	CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL
3	
4	Docket No. 445
5	Application From Homeland Towers, LLC, and
6	New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, for a
7	Certificate of Environmental Compatibility
8	and Public Need for the Construction,
9	Maintenance, and Operation of a
1 0	Telecommunications Facility Located Southwest
1 1	of the Intersection of Old Stagecoach Road
1 2	and Aspen Ledges Road, Ridgefield,
1 3	Connecticut
1 4	
1 5	Public Hearing held at the Ridgefield
16	Town Hall, Large Conference Room, 400 Main
1 7	Street, Ridgefield, Connecticut, Thursday,
1 8	April 24, 2014, beginning at 7:00 p.m.
1 9	
2 0	Held Before:
21	ROBERT STEIN, Chairperson
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	

1	Appearances:
2	Council Members:
3	JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.
4	Vice Chairperson
5	PHILIP T. ASHTON
6	DR. BARBARA C. BELL
7	ROBERT HANNON, DEEP Designee
8	LARRY LEVESQUE, ESQ., PURA Designee
9	DR. MICHAEL W. KLEMENS
10	DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.
11	
12	Council Staff:
13	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.,
1 4	Acting Executive Director, Staff
15	Attorney
16	DAVID MARTIN
17	Siting Analyst
18	
19	For Homeland Towers and New Cingular
2 0	Wireless, PCS, LLC:
21	CUDDY & FEDER, LLP
2 2	445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th floor
2 3	White Plains, New York 10601
2 4	By: CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER, ESQ.
2 5	

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 3

```
THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to call to order this meeting of the Connecticut Siting Council, today, Thursday April 24, 2014, at approximately 7 p.m.
```

My name is Robin Stein. I'm chairman of the Siting Council. Other members of the Council here and present are Senator Murphy who's our Vice Chairman; Mr. Hannon, who's the designee from the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Mr. Levesque, designee from the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority, Mr. Ashton, Dr. Klemens, Mr. Lynch and Dr. Bell. Members of the staff, Melanie Bachman who's our Executive Director; and David Martin, our Siting Analyst.

This is a continuation of a public hearing that began at 3 p.m. this afternoon. Copies of the hearing program and the Council's Guide to Siting Council Procedures are available for members of the public and are located just outside the door.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the

1 | Connecticut General Statutes and of the

- 2 Uniform Administrative Procedure Act, upon an
- 3 | Application from Homeland Towers, LLC, and
- 4 | New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, for a
- 5 | Certificate of Environmental Compatibility
- 6 and Public Need for the Construction,
- 7 | Maintenance and Operation of a
- 8 | Telecommunications Facility Located Southwest
- 9 of the Intersection of Old Stagecoach Road
- 10 and Aspen Ledges Road in Ridgefield,
- 11 | Connecticut.
- 12 The application was received
- 13 by the Council on February 21, 2014. The
- 14 application is also governed by the
- 15 | Telecommunication Act of 1996, which is
- 16 administered by the Federal Communications
- 17 | Commission. This Act prohibits the Council
- 18 | from considering the health effects of
- 19 | radiofrequency emissions on human health and
- 20 | wildlife to the extent the emissions from
- 21 | towers are within the federal acceptable safe
- 22 | limit standard, which standard is also
- 23 | followed by the State Department of Public
- 24 Health.
- The federal act also prohibits

1 3 2

1 | the Council from discriminating between and

2 | amongst providers of functionally equivalent

3 services. This means that if one carrier

4 | already provides service for an area, other

5 carriers have the right to compete and

6 provide service in the same area.

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

The applicant published notice of the filing of the application to the Council in the Ridgefield Press on January 23, and January 30, 2014. The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this hearing was published in the Danbury News Times on March 26, 2014.

Upon this Council's request the Applicant erected a sign at the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the applicant, type of facility, hearing date and location, and contact information for the Council.

This afternoon members of the Council, staff and public personally conducted a field review of the proposed site in order to observe firsthand the potential effects of the proposal.

This hearing session tonight

1 2

1.5

2 0

2.1

2 2

2 3

has been reserved first for the public to
make short statements into the record. These
public statements are not subject to
questions from the parties or the Council,
and members of the public making statements
may not ask questions of parties or the
Council. These statements will become part
of the record for Council consideration. A
sign-up sheet is available for those who
would like to participate. And again, that
sign-up sheet is just outside the door.

As a reminder to all, any off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the staff on the merits of the application is prohibited by law.

I wish to note that parties and intervenors, including their representatives and witnesses are not permitted to participate in this public comment session. I also wish to note for those who are here and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to

1 2

2 2

2 3

the Council within 30 days of the date hereof and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

We ask each person making a public statement in this proceeding to confine his or her statements to the subject matter before the Council and to avoid unreasonable reputation so that we may hear all of the concerns you and your neighbors may have. And be advised that the Council cannot respond at this time to questions from the public about the proposal.

A verbatim transcript will be made of this hearing and deposited at the Ridgefield Town Clerk's office for the convenience of the public.

And before we call on members of the public for their statements, we'd like to ask the Applicant to make a brief presentation to the public describing the facility, where it's located, why it's necessary and what alternatives were investigated.

And before we do that we will get out of the way.

1 MR. FISHER: Thank you,

2 Chairman.

1 2

1.5

2 2

2 3

I'm Attorney Chris Fisher. I represent the Applicants in this particular proceeding. For purposes of the public we're going to simply take you through a quick presentation to orient you to this project. All these materials are actually in the actual record that's before the Siting Council.

And beyond this evening you can also go on the Council's website. All the various documents that have been provided by the Applicant and some of the other parties and intervenors are included on the website and you can look at them as well.

This particular project is to provide wireless services, both cellular communications -- those would be the commercial carriers like AT&T that are one of the prime anchor tenants proposed on this facility, and also the actual town emergency communication services are proposed for this structure as well. As most folks know who live in town, reliable wireless

1 3 6

communications are an issue in this particular area of the community.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

2 5

This particular map, again is in the application. This is really intended to show where existing wireless infrastructure is located in Ridgefield and some of the adjoining communities. If you look around, not surprisingly more towards the right hand of this particular aerial photograph is Route 7 as it comes down from Danbury down into downtown Ridgefield, which is the lower part of the area -- aerial. And then extending up North Salem Road towards North Salem and Route 121.

Most of the existing infrastructure here that's been built out over the last several years is along the highways, or in some of the other ridges more towards Danbury, or in the North Salem, New York State side of the border.

In this particular map in the middle you'll see a green pin that says,

Homeland. It's the location of the proposed facility. Right there.

This is a copy of a technical

map that AT&T has prepared and provided to
the Council. This shows existing network
coverage at various thresholds that AT&T
considers to be reliable.

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 3

Again, if you look at the right-hand portion of the map, the green and yellow are areas where there is reliable signal strength. This is in -- shown in their existing 3G network. Those sites, again, are predominately along the Route 7 corridor and then coming down into downtown Ridgefield.

On this map you'll see a star that's located over on the left where the map is white. It's titled, S1855, the actual location of the site. And that number is just simply an internal AT&T numerical identifier that it uses for purposes of its sites.

This particular map shows what happens when the AT&T facility, if constructed in this location, what kind of service it would provide, again in the 3G environment. A similar service would be anticipated in a 4G LTE environment. Green

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 3

and yellow indicating the areas of reliable service. White does not necessarily mean that the network doesn't work. It's just not as reliable.

This is an aerial from close up of the actual property itself. For those that are familiar, when you access this actual location it's at the end of Old Stagecoach Road and Aspen Ledges where the two meet, which is at the top right -- thank you, Mr. Ashton -- top right corner. On this particular map to the south is down gradient and at the very bottom, which is off the map, is actually Ledges Road at the base of the valley.

This map is really just to orient everyone for purposes of the project. The dashed yellow line is the actual access route that's proposed. Much of it is already improved. There will be some additional improvements including some asphalt along some stretches of the proposed access. That dashed line comes in around. And then the tower location is that circle with the triangular dot. We've also shown on the map

1 3 9

1 2

1.5

2 0

2.1

2 2

2 3

some delineated wetlands that are located on site.

The parcel itself is about three acres and it's, in this particular location, there are some adjacent residences on Old Stagecoach that you can just see at the top of the aerial. And then some of the additional property that's around the actual parcel, that's -- for those who have followed some of the prior history in this particular project -- that is the location of the town-owned parcel that is now open space and controlled by the Conservation Commission.

This is a visibilty analysis and earlier today AT&T's consultants talked about the visibility of the project. The tower that's proposed is 150 feet tall. The municipal antennas will be extended to 161 and a half feet.

This map is a broader two-mile area within which the consultants studied overall visibility in the view shed areas on this map. That central to the circle, there's a crosshatch which is indicating the tower location.

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 3

In the area, what they look at is they look at some of the scenic roads, open space. These areas of yellow that you see on the map are areas where you would anticipate year-round views, which means some portion of the tower above the treeline. The other areas that are shown in orange are seasonal visibilty, and that's a view through a treeline.

We have some photographs, not the entire report, but certainly the entire report is available online to show you some of the qualitative views. This, for example, is Barlow Mountain Elementary School. You can see the tower simulated in the center portion of the picture.

That's 150-feet tall and what you see there are four different platforms for carrier antennas. AT&T would be installed at the top on this particular picture and then there would be space for additional carriers which we would anticipate to locate on the structure. They would be the other competing wireless carriers in the marketplace.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2 2

2 3

2.4

25

This is a view from Seth Low Pierrepont State Park. And we put in -- there's an actual aerial right at the trailhead where you can put in kayaks and other nonmotorized boating.

This is just east of Barlow Mountain and looking back towards the ridge line at the tower structure.

This is along -- it says, Hugby drive. It's actually Hobby drive.

A VOICE: Hobby Drive.

MR. FISHER: Thank you.

Hobby Drive, thank you. Hobby Drive, which is a residential street. This is one of the views characteristic of that particular location.

This is at Tiger Hollow, which is the sports field right behind Ridgefield High School. This view you're looking back at the ridge to the East and that's the tower structure.

This is some of the areas just across from Mamanasco Lake. Again, looking in the distance the tower shows above the treeline.

1 4 2

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 3

This is Blue Ridge Road. This is getting a little bit more up into West

Mountain, so that you're looking ridge to ridge in this particular area.

This is Teahouse Lane. Again, it's central to the photo.

This is up at Hudser's Camp and that's the McKeon Farm, open space and the large equestrian facility that's been developed there. This is looking essentially south, southeast and you can see the -- just the top 20 or 30 feet of the tower in this particular photo.

This is the last photo. The Town at one point, not this project, but a prior iteration had proposed a monopine, or an evergreen tree-type of structure for purposes of the tower.

This is a simulation of one of the photos, in this case of what that would look like. We had had conversations with the Town. Some have informally suggested it should be a monopine. The Conservation Commission prefers it to be a monopole. It's ultimately a decision for the Siting Council.

1 4 3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

Thank you, Chairman. That's our presentation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I'm going to start calling the people who have signed up. I first want to apologize if I mispronounce your name or have trouble reading your writing in advance.

And please, when you come up to the podium, would you spell your last name. And if you wish, you could give us your address.

The first speaker is Paul Camoia.

PAUL CAMOIA: Thank you. Yes, you have the spelling of the name, C-a-m-o-i-a. It's 56 Spireview Road, Ridgefield.

Okay. All right. Three very salient points to make here that have been largely, up until today, thank you, been omitted from all the presentations. The first one is I'll go to this gentleman's perspective of a point of view.

All of those photographic shots with the simulated tree above -- the

1 2

2.1

2 2

2 3

2 5

simulated pole above the treeline are within at least a half-mile distance from the actual site. There are no pictures taken which would simulate the view for the residents of Spireview Road which is my block. Okay?

Mr. Dowel lives right at the end of Stagecoach Road and Aspen Ledges. And none from Aspen Ledges looking southwest towards the monopine. All these homes would be greatly affected by a diminution in property value once you see 50 feet above the tree line.

The second point is the land title issue, which I'm glad Mr. Lynch brought up today. I also looked into the leasehold, or the apparently leasehold in this case.

None of the principals have ever shown up to a meeting. Insight Towers, Alexandria

Virginia. There has been evidence since 2011 that they were tax -- paying property taxes, that the Town had actually owned the land and that Policone, Stevens & Curry who were the -- who defaulted on liens on that land were granting easement rights to the Town. Okay?

A lot of conflicting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

information here on who the actual landholder is. And as I think Mr. Klemens asked about who actually funded the purchase price of these parcels. Now there's two parcels here at play. They're in map 8830, okay, of the Town. It's the town map 8830. It's parcel D1 and then parcel D2.

Parcel D1 is the parcel that begins at the end of Old Stagecoach Road. Okay? That you, I guess, some of you walked through today. All right? When you make the right turn down that path you're heading for parcel D2. Okay? The site of that tower has been the same since 2011. As I brought up to the Siting Council before in e-mails, that we had a run through this already. There was a balloon siting in same spot. Okay? There was a sign posted there that there's a proposed tower to come from Homeland, et cetera. And this was just prior to a town vote. Okay? And the town vote, which was a couple months later, we voted down, not only buying the parcel, but ever erecting a tower there.

And that brings you to my

1 2

1.5

2 2

2 3

third point, the environmental issue also either omitted or not given quite the attention that it should. Number one, if you take the coordinates of that site you will be right on top of the Titicus Aquifer.

Now I've heard a lot of talk from these gentlemen and these attorneys that, oh, don't worry. We can control, you know, the wash of -- once we pave and we put up construction. The wash will not come back to Aspen Ledges Road, as some of these gentlemen said. It will go to Ledges Road, which is south towards the school. Okay? So that's another misconception. All right? That the wash is going to come back towards Stagecoach. It's not. It's going to go right down Ledges Road.

And I would suggest that any of you here, and some people who have not been in that area would get in the car one day in daylight, and just drive around and make notes of the streets and where you would be in relationship to that tower. And I think you'll get a different -- I think you'll get a truer perspective on what's

1 4 7

going on.

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 3

I don't think these gentlemen are giving you the proper perspective here.

Okay? As far as location. And then again, an aquifer does not conceive or start by water running down a hill and then going into the Titicus River. Okay? It filters through that very fine sand and stone and works its way down about, well, 40 or 50 feet depending on the location, and forms a hole, a cavity in the side of that mountain. And that water comes out directly onto Ledges Road. All right? This is another thing that's not being brought up here.

So that water, part of the Croton Harmon system from New York City and New York State, about 10 million residents from Westchester down to the five boroughs of New York City are fed that water through the Croton Harmon system. The water goes right out Ledges Road. It crosses over into New York State within a hundred yards, if it's that much, and then the river meanders through and it pops up not far off of Route 116. You can see it, the river flow

1 4 8

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

right into the reservoir. And that reservoir
feeds the Croton Harmon system.

And it also feeds about 300 residences in the immediate area. That water is pumped up to a well which is on Aspen Ledges Road, not far from this monopole siting, the proposed monopole siting. And it would also affect the drinking water of the community. All right? Of which myself and, you know, several -- I said about hundred -- well, about 300 families. You could check with the water company.

That is a very, very, very big issue here and it has always been put to the side as if that, well, it doesn't, you know, it's -- there is no aquifer there. It doesn't start there.

And my other point, the last point about this in engineering, if you're going to put up a 160-foot pole that weighs several tons. Okay? You're going to have to excavate into that, that ledge and on top of that aquifer at least 30 to 40 feet. Okay? And to secure it.

And if you look a lot of the

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 3

telephone poles in the area along the ledge, they all list, because even after they come and they dig out and you see the rock and then sand, and the rock and the sand. They put it in. It's vertical the first day and then it starts leaning. Okay? I still don't see how this massive construction project and this pole. Okay? Would even -- you would even want to attempt it in an area such as that.

It's ludicrous and it's caused the residents of our neighborhood over the last four years a lot of aggravation and stress. And there are residents who will testify and have testified that they were coerced into becoming proponents of this.

Okay? And that they would either get money upfront or on the backend when the pole went up. All right?

And if anybody has anything to say about that, they know where I can be reached. They have my contacts. I think this is just a travesty, and it's a continued waste of the taxpayers' money of the Village of Ridgefield.

1 Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Next is Tom Figgatt, if that's

4 the proper.

2 2

2 3

5 TOM FIGGATT: Thank you.

6 My name is Tom Figgatt,

7 | F-i-g-g-a-t-t. I live on Mimosa Circle in

8 | Ridgefield. I'm not an abutter to the

9 proposed tower site.

A VOICE: We can't hear you.

11 TOM FIGGATT: I am not an

12 abutter to the proposed tower site. My

13 | points here today are about the need for cell

14 | service in northern Ridgefield.

15 Some years ago driving along

16 | North Street I was waived down by a lady of a

17 | certain age whose car had broken down. She

18 | had driven her Toyota Prius up from 684 where

19 there are no gas stations, had run out of gas

20 and gone on electric. And finally had run

21 out of juice about two miles short of

downtown Ridgefield. She had to waive me

down, was dependent on the kindness of what

24 | she hoped was a gentleman stranger to give

25 | her a ride to a gas station. Why? Because

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 3

there's no cell service. She couldn't call somebody to come and pick her up. She couldn't get AAA to come.

Now I don't think that we should have such a huge part of our town where it's simply not safe to have a car break down. When my daughter was in middle school and high school I was always concerned if she might end up at a party at somebody's house where things weren't going right and she might want a ride home, but couldn't call us, or these days, couldn't text us. Now that was a few years back. She's in grad school now, but I have friends who have kids in middle school. The same issue is still there. We need cell service.

I run a business from my home.

I have what's called a femtocell. It

connects me up to the Internet for cell

service. The business I run is as a tax

advisor. I help people file their taxes.

People come to my home to meet with me from

time to time, but no one can ever call me

because I can't receive an incoming cell

phonecall.

I can make outgoing calls 1 2 because it connects up over to the Internet, but boy, it's expensive. And it means I 3 still have to have a regular phone, because 4 5 when we have the frequent storms that we have here you have to have a regular landline 6 7 because the cellphones don't work when the 8 snows come and you're stuck in two feet of 9 snow.

We need cell service in this part of Ridgefield. I don't know if this is the right tower. I don't know if it's in the right place. I don't know if it looks the right way, but we need cell service.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

The next person, Carol

Mahlstedt, if -- I know I got the Carol part right.

20 No?

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

TOM FIGGATT: She might be in a meeting next door.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, if someone can find her, fine. But in the meantime, Fred Martsen.

FRED MARTSEN: Yes, my name is
Fred Martsen, M-a-r-t-s-e-n. And I live at
Sugarloaf Mountain Road in Ridgefield.
It's an area which is blacked out regarding

I made a similar comment. You know, my wife and I were traveling in far

8 Western China, Hunan Province. And I could

9 observe the peasants out there in their rice

10 | fields communicating on their cell phones.

11 | And here we are 50 miles north of Manhattan

12 and we don't have cell service. It's

13 | ridiculous.

cell service.

5

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2 3

24

25

It's the old, I guess they call it the Nimby attitude, not in my backyard. So to think that a few people's view is more important than basically the health and safety of the public, to me is,

That's basically what I have to say, and that's it.

well, quite -- it's not appropriate.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Carl Bruce.

CARL BRUCE: Good evening.

Welcome to Ridge-field. Did you know we're

the number one town in Connecticut? 1 It's not

because we're putting communication towers on 2

ledges. And certainly not in residential 3

neighborhoods with one-acre properties. 4

A VOICE: Can't hear you.

I'm asking you 6 CARL BRUCE:

7 not to approve this proposed tower on our

beautiful ridge. The proposed cell tower on 8

9 the ridge overlooking Ledges Road should be

rejected because of its impact on scenery and 10

11 recreational use of nearby lands as well as

the potential environmental impact to nearby

13 properties.

5

1 2

14

1.5

25

The area of town know as Ridgebury is surrounded by many beautiful ridgelines, including the ridgeline on which

16

17 the tower is proposed. This is also known as

18 Ridgbury Mountain.

19 I'm not sure if you had a

2 0 chance to go down into the valley today, but

21 if you do visit the high school and

2 2 essentially stand on the northern side of

2 3 that property you have a view of all of the

surrounding ridges. 24

Construction of a tower on the

1 | site will include the removal of trees that

- 2 | will scar this ridgeline forever. And the
- 3 construction raises concerns about runoff and
- 4 | erosion which could impact nearby wetlands
- 5 and homeowners below the ridge on Ledges
- 6 Road. The tower will negatively impact
- 7 | scenic views from nearby Pierrepont State
- 8 Park and be visible from other popular
- 9 walking, horse riding and trail locations in
- 10 the area.
- 11 The tower site is directly
- 12 | adjacent to open space recently purchased by
- 13 the Town's conservation commission.
- 14 | Ironically the commission offered Wilton Bank
- 15 | \$350,000 for this property in 2011 and Wilton
- 16 | Bank ended up selling the property to Insight
- 17 Towers for 265,000 in 2013.
- The town voters in 2011
- 19 | rejected the placement of a tower
- 20 | approximately 50 feet from the proposed
- 21 location by a vote of 254 to 108. This was
- 22 | at a town meeting. I don't know what your
- towns are like, but have you ever seen 400
- 24 | people show up at a town meeting?
- The stated purpose of the

conservation commission buying the large piece of land -- is 28 acres adjacent to this

3 property -- was to preserve the ridge and

4 beauty of the ridge and lands. That was

5 | their stated plan. A 150-foot cell tower

6 | should not be constructed in long-established

7 residential neighborhoods anywhere in the

8 state of Connecticut, and certainly not in

9 the one-acre areas.

destroying a neighborhood?

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

Cell towers are not ubiquitous, as Mr. Aarons suggested this afternoon. This is a scenic residential neighborhood, not Interstate 84. I think the idea of cellphone service in this part of town is popular and certainly everyone wants it, but should we be happy if this is

I don't know the residents of 304 or 310 Old Stagecoach Lane, or 2 Aspen Ledges, or the folks on Spireview Lane, but to suggest that they're not going to have a view of this tower from their property is just ridiculous.

I should also note that the presentation just before the meeting started

did not show the closest images that were
available in their presentation. The view
few from 96 Hobby Drive is just -- is
ridiculous.

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 3

So I know we all want cellphone service, but do we want to do it by sticking this in the back of our neighbor's yard? And there's more towers coming. They could certainly end up on Mimosa or in somebody else's neighborhood. We heard this afternoon that there's a second tower planned by AT&T somewhere between downtown and this site.

The fact that our First
Selectmen continues to support the placement
of this tower in backyards really ought to
cost him the election. And we also heard
this afternoon that super storms are really
the reason that we need communication towers
in this area. It's too easy to blame the
super storms.

The super storms really caused havoc because of the regulatory agencies in Hartford not holding CL&P accountable. It's only in the last year that we've seen CL&P

make any investment in this area, which
should eliminate our power outages
significantly.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2 2

2 3

24

25

The suggestion was also this afternoon that there's no cellphone service at the high school. You know, the Town has said that for years, but they've never really made any efforts to provide other communication methods at the fields. It would be quite easy to run a landline phone out there that can be made available to all of the sports coaches.

I ask you to let AT&T and Homeland Towers find a location that won't be so detrimental to our scenic town.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Cynthia Conti.

CYNTHIA CONTI: Conti,

C-o-n-t-i, and I'm at 560 North Salem Road.

I would like to speak in favor of the cell tower in the Ridgebury section of Ridgefield, Connecticut. I understand the issues that have been brought up against the tower and I hope that the engineering question is going to be resolved, but my

focus is strictly on safety.

1 2

1.5

2 0

2.1

2 2

2 3

A cell tower will enhance safety in three important areas. First, the town emergency response teams. Second, the safety of our middle school and senior high school students using school facilities after hours, or just missing their ride home.

Walking on North Salem Road is not a safe alternative. And while a phone down on the fields might be an alternative, that probably would not be available all the time and it's not just sporting events where it would be required.

But third and the most important to me is my personal safety. During our two October storms we lost our telephone landline on the second day. We do have a generator, but that does not help with the telephone. My husband and I are seniors and while we're in good health now, it is an issue without cell service that there's no way to call an ambulance, should one be needed. In fact, that is true for anyone in our area at any age.

Thank you.

1 2

2 0

2.1

2 2

2 3

THE CHAIRMAN: We don't have any other people who have signed in to speak. So I'll just ask, is there anyone who hasn't spoken and is not an intervenor who wishes to speak before we close the hearing?

So I guess the answer is -- okay.

Is that somebody coming up to speak? Yes.

ELLEN BAFUNDO: I did not sign. I'm sorry. My name is Ellen Bafundo, B-a-f-u-n-d-o, Norrans Ridge Drive.

We have rented in Ridgefield.

It was started -- supposed to be one or two
years, but here we are five years later. And
we are looking to purchase a property and
we're particularly interested in a property
in the Ridgebury section, but you know, we
refuse to buy if this tower does go ahead.

And I -- it may not just feel like this tower would materially hurt, you know, homeowners in that area, I'm concerned we have children. I don't want to be within a quarter-mile and even, you know, even further than that within a cellphone tower.

that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

25

I mean, you can say that these studies are
inconclusive, but you know, these
electromagnetic fields are not -- are cancer
causing and I refuse to subject my family to

So -- and I know cellphone service is a problem. They didn't exist up until how many years ago, though? And there are other ways of addressing this without putting an ugly, unsightly tower that would be in neighborhoods that would materially affect homeowners and also affect their health.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Is there anybody else? Yes?

Please come and spell your -- tell us your

name and spell your last name, please.

CAROL MAHLSTEDT: Yeah, I'm

Dr. Carol Mahlstedt. I'm a psychologist. I

have a practice here in Ridgefield. I'm also

chairperson of the Ridgefield Crisis Team.

We're the team of mental health workers that goes into the schools whenever Ridgefield has a suicide or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2 0

21

2 2

2 3

24

2 5

a sudden death. And as we all know, we've had way too many of those. I also have done a lot of work in the aftermath of Newtown and I am the trainer for Region 6, behavioral health training for the crisis and disaster response teams for the whole area.

So I just want to talk about my experience working in crises here in town without a cell tower and I'm becoming more and more alarmed. This is -- I'm really speaking about the greater good. I know people are concerned about it being in their neighborhood. I know my view isn't going to be popular, but I'm really worried about the impact of not having cellphones available, particularly in the high school. So in the last several crises that I intervened in the high school, in the building you could be on one floor and be a ten-minute walk away from another part of the building and there is no cell service. And you cannot communicate with people during a major crisis.

This goes all the way back to 9/11. I was in the high school during 9/11 and there were kids, dozens of them who knew

their dads or moms worked in the towers or worked near the towers and we couldn't reach them, and this has just gone on.

And then when I was working with people in Newtown one of the things I heard, which probably a lot of people don't know, is that not at Sandy Hook, but in all the other schools in Newtown kids were in lockdown the entire day. And some kids were in closets, in band rooms for four hours. They had phones. They could text their parents and let them know they were safe.

And all I could think about is, what would it be like for Ridgefield parents if their child were locked in closets in the high school band room and they couldn't communicate with their parents for five hours? It just feels unacceptable to me. It feels like a real safety issue.

That's all I'm going to say.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very

23 much.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1 2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 0

2.1

24

25

Is there anybody else who would like to speak? Yes.

MARY O'NEILL: My name is Mary 1 O'Neill, O apostrophe N-e-i-l-l. I'm at 20 2 Rolling Ridge Road in Ridgefield. 3 Two points. One, I've had 4 Verizon for ten years and I have never lost 5 service even during Sandy. I might have 6 dropped a call now and then, but I have never 7 8 lost service. 9 The second point I want to 10 make is that there is modern technology that 11 we could be using that is the size of the 1 2 palm of your hand. There is no reason for us 13 to be using a cell tower in the State of 14 Connecticut. We should be going into the 1.5 21st century and start using modern 16 technology that would provide cell service 17 that everyone needs and not putting up a cell tower a half a mile from my home. 18 19

Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Anyone else?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. We now

2 3 close the public comment session of the

24 hearing.

2 0

2.1

2 2

2 5

The evidentiary hearing, which

started this afternoon, will continue at the Siting Council offices in New Britain on Tuesday, June 3rd of this year at 1 p.m., in Hearing Room 1.

And additional prefiled testimony, exhibits, witness lists and items to be noticed administratively with the Council and all other parties and intervenors must be filed on or before Tuesday, May 27, 2014.

And also, which I believe I stated previously, a verbatim transcript of this hearing will be made and deposited at the Ridgefield Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public. And you can also, as stated before, go online to the Siting Council website.

I hereby declare this hearing adjourned and thank you all for your participation. And drive home safely.

(Whereupon, the above proceedings were adjourned at 7:45 p.m.)

2 3

1 2

1.5

2 0

2 2

2 4

## CERTIFICATE

2	I hereby certify that the foregoing 39
3	pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original
4	verbatim notes taken of the Public Hearing in Re: DOCKET NO. 445, APPLICATION FROM
5	HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, AND NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC, FOR A CERTIFICATE OF
	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED
6	FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY
7	LOCATED SOUTHWEST OF THE INTERSECTION OF OLD STAGECOACH ROAD AND ASPEN LEDGES ROAD,
8	RIDGEFIELD, CONNECTICUT, which was held before ROBERT STEIN, Chairperson, at the
9	Ridgefield Town Hall, Large Conference Room,
10	400 Main Street, Ridgefield, Connecticut, on April, 24, 2014.
11	
1 2	
1 3	
1 4	
15	
16	Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857
17	Court Reporter UNITED REPORTERS, INC.
18	90 Brainard Road, Suite 103 Hartford, Connecticut 06114
19	
2 0	
21	
2 2	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	