



STATE OF CONNECTICUT
CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

March 12, 2014

Lucia Chiocchio, Esq.
Christopher B. Fisher, Esq.
Cuddy & Feder LLP
445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor
White Plains, NY 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 444** – New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at the FirstLight Hydro Generating Company property, New Milford Tax Assessor Map 83, Lot 4, Kent Road, New Milford, Connecticut.

Dear Attorneys Chiocchio and Fisher:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than March 25, 2014. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available.

Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office, as well as send a copy via electronic mail. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan and in accordance with Section 16-50j-12 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Copies of your responses shall be provided to all parties and intervenors listed on the service list, which can be found on the Council's pending proceedings website.

Yours very truly,

Melanie Bachman
Acting Executive Director

MB/MP

c: Parties and Intervenors

Docket No. 444
Pre-Hearing Questions
March 12, 2014
Set Two

41. Has AT&T considered co-locating on the tower at the Gaylordsville Volunteer Fire Department or replacing the tower with a taller one in order to provide service? Would this location meet coverage objectives?
42. The proposed antenna platform has four sectors. Why are the antennas only proposed to be installed on three of the four sectors?
43. Are all of the proposed panel antennas approximately eight feet tall?
44. Provide the lengths (in feet) of the existing paved access (from Kent Road) to be utilized and the proposed gravel access drive to reach the compound.
45. In AT&T's response to interrogatory number 4, AT&T shows the same amount of net cut for the compound area and the entire project. Wouldn't the correct amount of net cut for the compound area be 293.87 cubic yards? Explain.
46. In AT&T's response to interrogatory number 20, in this scenario, are the existing coverage gaps the same irrespective of whether it's cellular, PCS, or LTE? Or does AT&T generally base its coverage gaps on LTE? If the gaps are not the same for cellular, PCS, and LTE, provide the missing data.
47. In AT&T's response to interrogatory number 23, AT&T listed the coverage areas for cellular and PCS. Provide similar coverage area data for LTE.
48. Using the same scale as the previous coverage plots, provide existing coverage plots for LTE and PCS. Also include LTE and PCS coverage plots depicting the existing and proposed coverage.
49. What type(s) of outdoor lighting would be installed on the equipment shelter, if any? When would the lighting operate?
50. Estimate the number of homes with year-round visibility of the tower and seasonal visibility of the tower.
51. In AT&T's response to interrogatory number 11, AT&T listed numbers in the direction column. Are those compass bearing degrees (with zero degrees being north)? Is this bearing based on the direction from the proposed tower to the adjacent hand off site? Based on that orientation, indicate the directions as using terms such as north, southeast, etc.