

1	STATE OF CONNECTICUT	
2	SITING COUNCIL	
3		
4	Docket No. 440	
5	Application of New Cingular Wireless, PCS,	
6	LLC (AT&T) for a Certificate of Environmental	
7	Compatibility and Public Need for the	
8	Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a	
9	Telecommunications Facility Located at 522	
10	Colebrook Road, Colebrook, Connecticut	
11		
12	Continued Public Hearing held at the	
13	Town Hall, 2nd Floor Meeting Room, 562	
14	Colebrook Road, Colebrook, Connecticut, on	
15	October 24, 2013, beginning at 7:05 p.m.	
16		
17	Held Before:	
18	ROBIN STEIN,	
19	Chairperson	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Appearances:	
2	Siting Council Members:	
3	JAMES J. MURPHY, JR.,	
4	Vice Chairperson	
5	ROBERT HANNON, DEEP Designee	
6	PHILIP T. ASHTON	
7	DR. BARBARA BELL	
8	DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.	
9	DR. MICHAEL KLEMENS	
10		
11	Siting Council Staff Members:	
12	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.	
13	Acting Director,	
14	Staff Attorney	
15	MICHAEL PERRONE,	
16	Siting Analyst	
17	AARON DEMAREST, Audio Technician	
18		
19	For the Applicant:	
20	CUDDY & FEDER, LLP	
21	445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor	
22	White Plains, New York 10601	
23	(914) 761-1300	
24	By: LUCIA CHIOCCHIO, ESQ	
25		

1	Appearances (Cont'd.):
2	For the Town of Colebrook:
3	THOMAS McKEON, First Selectman
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good 2 evening, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to 3 call to order this meeting of the Connecticut 4 Siting Council, today, Thursday, October 24, 5 2013 approximately seven p.m. 6 My name is Robin Stein. I'm 7 Chairman of the Siting Council. Other members of the Council present and some of us 8 are standing since, we will for a few minutes 9 10 while they do the presentation. But just to tell you who's here, Vice Chairman is Senator 11 12 Murphy, Mr. Hannon, who's designee from the 13 Department of Energy Environmental 14 Protection; Mr. Ashton; Dr. Klemens; 1.5 Dr. Bell; and Mr. Lynch. Members of the 16 staff present are Melaine Bachman, Acting 17 Executive Director and Staff Attorney; 18 Michael Perrone, Siting Analyst, Aaron 19 DeMarest, who's the audio technician; Guy 20 Raboin, is our Court Reporter. 21 This is a continuation of a

This is a continuation of a public hearing that began at three p.m. this afternoon. Dockets and the hearing program and Council Citizen to Siting Council procedures are available on the table to my

22

23

24

25

2.1

2.4

1 left (indicating). And also remind you that
2 if you do intend to speak there's a sign-up
3 sheet over there.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title XVI of the Connecticut General Statutes, and the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from New Cingular Wireless, PCS, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a Telecommunication Facility Located at 522 Colebrook Road, in Colebrook, Connecticut. Application was received by the Council on August 14th of this year.

This application is also governed by the Telecommunication Act of 1996 which is administered by the Federal Communications Commission. This Act prohibits the Council from considering the health effects of radio frequency emissions on human health and wildlife. To the extent the emissions from towers are within the federal acceptable safe limit standard, which is also a standard followed by the State

Department of Public Health. The Federal Act also prohibits the Council from discriminating between and amongst providers of functionally equivalent services. means if one carrier already provides service for an area other carriers have an equal right to provide, see published notice in the filing of the application to Council in the

Republican American on July 26, 2013 and

July 30, 2013.

Council's legal notice of the date and time of this hearing was published in the Republican-American on September 11, 2013.

Upon Council's request, the Applicant erected a sign at the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the Applicant, type of facility, hearing date, location, and contact information for the Council.

This afternoon members of the Council staff and public personally conducted a field review of the proposed site in order to observe firsthand the potential effects of the proposal. This hearing session tonight

has been reserved for the public to make short statements into the record. These public statements are not subject to questions from parties or the Council, and members of the public making statements may not ask questions of the parties or Council. These statements will become part of the record for Council consideration, and as I

mentioned a sign-up sheet is available.

As a reminder to all off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council's staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

I wish to note for those who are here, and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for this session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of today. And such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the hearing.

We ask each person making a public statement in the proceedings to confine his or her statements to the subject

your neighbors may have.

4

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

matter before the Council and to avoid unreasonable or unnecessary repetition so that we may hear all of the concerns you and

Again, the Council members

cannot answer questions from the public about

the proposal at this time. A verbatim

transcript will be made of this hearing and

deposited at the Colebrook Town Clerk's

office for the convenience of the public.

Before calling on members of the public to make statements, I'd like to request that the Applicant make a brief presentation describing the facility.

MS. CHIOCCHIO: Thank you, Chairman.

My name is Lucia Chiocchio.

I'm with Cutty and Feder and we represent

AT&T.

MR. DeMARREST: You have to use the microphone, ma'am.

MS. CHIOCCHIO: Oh, sorry.

MR. DeMARREST: There you go.

MS. CHIOCCHIO: I'm actually

going to turn you over to our RF engineer,

1.5

Tony Wells, to describe the need for the need for the proposed facility.

ANTHONY WELLS: My name is

Tony Wells. I'm the radio frequency engineer

for this site. And I'll quickly go over the

coverage objectives here. And this first map

shows here is our existing coverage from the

sites that we have on air today. You can see

some of the surrounding sites over in the

eastern part, as well as South, and then in

some the neighboring towns here.

This location is the proposed site. And we have two -- two colors here, green and yellow, which looks almost like a brown, depending on how good your eyes are.

Oh, that looks much better. Here we go.

So the green areas are coverage levels that are acceptable for what we refer to in-building coverage in which that means there's enough signal strength to reach into concrete-type structure buildings or dense -- denser types of buildings, even some buildings such as this require those types of signal strengths in order for the signal to reach into those buildings.

1.5

The yellow is referred to as in-vehicle coverage, and for that it's just as it sounds. If you're driving in your vehicle or if you're standing outside of your vehicle then that's reliable service for those levels.

The white areas are areas below reliable service. It doesn't mean that you can't make a call in any of those areas. Occasionally, you will be able to make calls, but it's just not reliable, and from a day to day you may be able to make some calls, but the drop call rate is probably not acceptable in those areas.

And, oh, existing and future, sorry. And what we've done here is in addition to the existing site, we've added two potential sites that we're looking to adding sometime in the future. We don't have a date for those yet, but these -- this site and this site here are existing sites in the Connecticut Siting Council database, that fit into our network nicely with the addition of this site, so eventually those will be built, they're just not into the cycle yet.

2.1

And this is showing coverage with this proposed site, and the -- those two Connecticut Siting, those two sites that are in the Connecticut Siting Council database that I mentioned that currently exist today, and the surrounding network. And as you can see, a lot -- a lot of the area is filled in down here, especially in the Southern part of the town and there are still some -- some white areas as you see, that we don't have current plans for, but that ultimately may be filled in at a future date.

This is some basic statistics showing the incremental coverage once we have this site on air, what will we gain from that site? And what we show here is for a population, we show an additional 372 from the census data. Three hundred seventy-two people covered at in -- in vehicle and almost 500 -- or, I'm sorry. 372 for in-building, almost 500 for in-vehicle. Square miles covered, approximately 8 for in-building, and 9.3 for in-vehicle coverage. So this is additional coverage that would be gained form this proposed site.

2.1

2.4

Roadway coverage shown on -- shown here, 7 -- 7 miles of we refer to as main roads and 14.1 miles secondary roads for a total of approximately 21 miles.

And some of the significant roads here, just to show the average vehicle counts going through those roads for your reference here. And those are the main roads that are covered.

So that's the basic RF summary. And we'll turn it over to Mr. Libertine or, no, I'm sorry. I'll turn it over to Paul for the site specifics.

THE WITNESS (Lusitani): My name is Paul Lusitani. I'm the civil engineer who prepared the site plans.

This is an aerial photo of the area. Our proposed facility is right there (indicating). And it's in a densely-wooded area between Smith Hill Road and Colebrook Road, just south of the town hall.

And then this is a topography map of the area showing basically the same thing. There's our site there (indicating) between Smith Hill Road and Colebrook Road.

1.5

2.1

This is a plan of the overall parcel. It's a 73-acre residential parcel.

We're proposing the 120-foot tower near the southern property line. It's approximately 130 feet from the property. We're proposing access off of Smith Hill Road, following this path right here (indicating). It's a 1337 foot long, 12-foot-wide graveled-access road. We're proposing to pull utilities from a pole on Smith Hill Road and it will follow the access road back to the compound.

The plan on the left is of the proposed 120-foot tower. It shows AT&T on the top and room for three additional carriers below. The plan on the right shows the 75-by-75 compound with the 120-foot tower, AT&T's equipment, shelter, and room for three additional carriers.

THE WITNESS (Wells): These -this is a calculation showing maximum

permissible exposure levels relative to the

FCC limit. If you are below 100 percent of
the FCC limit, that means that there are no
restrictions on access and it's considered
that 24-hour exposure is safe. What we do

with these calculations is we do what we refer to as a worst-case analysis.

3 Generally, the signal is propagated over the

horizon, most of the energy is focused over

5 | the horizon. When we do this type of

6 | analysis, we pretend the antennas are

7 | actually pointed right at the ground and then

8 | calculate those exposure levels at the

9 ground. Even doing the worst-case

10 calculations using that you're only at 11

11 | percent of the FCC limit.

4

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In practice, having measured many, many of these sites after they're on the air for a single carrier at 120 feet, you're probably looking at more impracticality at being around 2 percent maybe 3 percent of the FCC standard, which --which I found to be the levels ambient in the environment from your typical home environment where you have computers or wi-fi, if you have a wi-fi network in your house or even the transformers at -- at the end of your driveway. Typically, you're not seeing any -- any difference between that and

UNITED REPORTERS, INC.
www.unitedreporters.com
Nationwide - 866-534-3383 - Toll Free

what's under a site, because the antennas are

```
1 | relatively high at 120 feet.
```

MS. CHIOCCHIO: We do have

3 | some visuals, and then we're done.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Good

5 | evening, folks. I'll be brief. My name is

6 Mike Libertine.

7 We did do a visual study

8 associated with the facility -- and can I

9 borrow that real quick?

10 THE WITNESS (Wells): Just

11 | careful. Don't pull that back.

12 | THE WITNESS (Libertine): All

13 | right. Great.

14 Our site location is centered

15 | right here (indicating). In the graphic

16 | you'll notice we have a circle here, that

17 | represents two miles in any direction from

18 | the site. We've got 183 running down in this

19 direction, Smith Hill Road here (indicating).

20 | And what you're looking at is an aerial

21 | photograph. We've got the state forest up

here in the northeast corner of what we call

23 our study area which is this two mile radius

24 here.

22

25

And essentially we go through

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

several manipulations where we build a computer model to understand where potentially we may be able to see the facility at 120 feet in height, at its ground elevation.

One of the, I quess, unique things about this area that works to, from my perspective, visually, in terms of minimizing the visibility of the facility that works real well is that you've got a combination of very steep topography here, with ground elevations rising anywhere from above 500 feet to over 1600 feet above mean sea-level. So you've got some steep terrain. And when you combine that with about 80 percent of the study area is covered in trees, that really helps. It makes it very challenging for Tony from an RF perspective to get the coverage, but it certainly is an advantage from my perspective in terms of trying to minimize the visibility.

So we build a computer model to kind of figure out preliminarily where we may see it. We also go out and raise a weather balloon, similar to what we did today

1.5

2.1

except on a much calmer day so that we can go out and take some photos and assess and fine tune the model that we've built.

And essentially, at the end of the day, I'm going to move right along.

There's not a lot of visibility associated with the facility. We can run through these. We've got a lot of photos that we presented to the Council where we have aspects back towards the hillside, from locations in town where it's clear you can't see the facility.

Right here (indicating) as you're approaching on 183 coming down into the downtown area, this is -- obviously we took these pictures in May when the leaves were on the trees. There is going to be some limited seasonal visibility for a short stretch. Essentially right out as you pass in front of the cemetery, as you're coming down into downtown. It would be essentially kind of buried behind these trees here (indicating). If you want to move right ahead, Lucia.

And again, there's only one other shot we really had that -- this is

1.5

actually downtown, and this is important here. We did not see at this time of year, however, I did notice today when the balloon was up that if you were standing essentially either coming out of the general store or even a little bit further back, if you look through the trees you could see the red balloon through the trees vaguely. So there are, again, will be some limited visibility right in this general area, but it's going to be more or less buried within the mass of the trees.

And we do have one shot from Stillman Hill Road. This is kind of the --what I always say, the money shot. It's obviously that it's going to rise above the treeline, probably about 30 or 40 feet above the treeline. This is at the apex of Stillman Hill Road which is, I believe, Route 182.

So again, overall the visibility is very, very limited. Its dense woods on the site, so in terms of even this time of year with the leaves off the trees, we're talking about if you were on the

1 | property and standing maybe within four or

- 2 | five or even 600 feet of the facility you
- 3 | might see portions of it through the trees.
- 4 But you can't see it out on Smith Hill Road,
- 5 even this time of the year.
- 6 So from my perspective,
- 7 overall a great site from that -- from the
- 8 visibility standpoint.
- 9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.
- We'll now go down the list.
- 11 | just want to apologize in advance if I
- 12 | mispronounce anybody's name.
- 13 As you please come up, just
- 14 | identify yourself, spell your last name and
- 15 | we'll listen to your comments.
- 16 The first person is Edna
- 17 Travis.
- 18 EDNA TRAVIS: Hello everybody.
- 19 | Thank you.
- 20 My name is Edna Travis, that's
- 21 T-r-a-v-i-s. And I live at 397 Smith Hill
- 22 | Road, and I'm on the Conservation Commission.
- 23 And I was involved in a letter that I presume
- 24 | you all read at the hearing today, and I was
- 25 at the site visit this afternoon and at the

hearing earlier today.

1

2

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I just want to start by 3 saying how impressed I was by all of you. 4 Everyone here. I thought that the site visit 5 was -- was amazing. Everybody was really 6 interested. Everybody was really -- really 7 taking it seriously. And back at the 8 hearing, I thought, everyone of you, all of you, were thoughtful, creative, you engaged 10 in dialogue, you asked important questions, 11 and it just gave me a really good feeling 12 about the way that everything is -- is being 13 And frankly, I was surprised. handled. 14 Because I just hadn't thought it was going to 1.5 be as thoughtful.

And I -- so one of my main things that I want to say, and I guess I can't ask you, I can just state my -- my hope and dream, is that I won't be disappointed. And I hope that all the things that you talked about this afternoon, and the questions that you asked and answered and that you said that you would look into, and that you said you would -- changes that you would make the application with response --

1.5

in response to the questions that were in letters and comments and questions from the Siting Council will, in fact, be addressed, that you will read them and that you will think about them seriously and incorporate them, and that the whole application and the whole project will be better as a result of it.

I have confidence that you will do that, and that this wasn't just something that you, you know, you went through as an exercise. I've never sat through any other Siting Council hearings, so I have no idea whether this is how you handle all of them, but I was very, very impressed.

And I want to -- some of the things that came out, I don't know whether all my other fellow residents of Colebrook are aware of them, and I'm a layperson and really didn't understand a lot of it, but two things that really surprised me, were one, that it really did sound to me as if this is really just a preliminary tower that we're putting up right now, and that in all likelihood there are going to be other

1 carriers that are going to be coming on 2 board. In fact, there was a reference to 3 this just now. And that that will, one, 4 perhaps mean that the height is going to go 5 up by about 20 feet or so, which would change 6 the whole sort of dynamic of what we're now 7 seeing in the application. And also, that there's this whole issue of all the different 8 9 carriers are going to have their own 10 generators, and that will not only create 11 problems there, but it will also mean that 12 there will be more traffic to the access And it sounded to me as if there was a 13 road. 14 lot of concern about whether or not that 1.5 everybody could work together and all the 16 future carriers could work together, and that 17 they could not -- you know, share generators, 18 and cut down on the access road. 19 And I just want to say, I hope

And I just want to say, I hope you all -- I assume, that you're taking all very seriously, and that what you said about how you're going to see to it that people work together and play better together, is indeed, the fact.

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Now my particular concern, as

1.5

I think you all know, is -- is the whole wetlands impact. And I was, first of all, so surprised to find that the new member of the Siting Council was Dr. Klemens, whose book I have and who is the guru of wetlands and amphibians in Connecticut if not nationally. So that was just a wonderful surprise. I didn't really get until after the end of the site visit, this was who I was actually walking around with.

But first of all, Dean

Gustafson had been incredibly helpful to me
in -- in my efforts to talk to him. I'm just
a layperson. I've talked to -- I've talked
to the people that I knew about all these
issues, and we put in -- the Conservation

Commission put into its letter some
suggestions that we had gotten from other
people about how to minimize the impacts of
any amphibians that -- or herpetofauna as
they're called -- on the wetlands and the
vernal pool. And I heard at the hearing
that -- that Dean Gustafson had discussed it
with the other Applicants and it sounded as
if, perhaps, a lot the changes that I'd

```
1
    actually or the Conservation Commission had
 2
    actually requested, were -- were not
 3
    objectionable and would, in fact, be
4
    implemented and put in the final document.
 5
    And I -- such as moving the access road,
6
    there were many discussions about other ways
7
    of reconfiguring the access road, and how to
8
    -- how to enhance the viability of any
    amphibians living in the -- in that vernal
9
10
    pool, and I was very, very impressed by that.
```

But then when Dr. Klemens started to talk, he made me feel how totally amateurish I and all my people I talked to have been, and that I really got the feeling that -- he had things that I hadn't even thought of, that he knew about, on a global matter, that could improve the way you do business in the future, for new -- for other projects; requiring more deep analysis upfront such as the looking at the species of concern list, site specific, not just accepting the DEEP diversity database, and such as the aviation -- avian -- avian breeding grounds for migratory birds, and the -- looking more specifically at the

1 macro-invertebrates on this site rather than 2 just kind of going out three days in May 3 which obviously is after the -- any migration 4 has occurred, and -- and just kind of then 5 coming up with some generic comments about 6 what you could do to mitigate, assuming that 7 there are some vernal pool species on this 8 site.

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, just to close, I just want to say, I have seen those salamanders crossing the road on Smith Hill Road on rainy nights in April. And I appreciate your efforts to -- you, especially, Dr. Klemens, because I'm hoping that you will be -- you will not only be trying to make a difference for future cases, because I understand this is your first site, and it's really pretty much along the way, but that you'll be -your thoughts will be incorporated into this plan, as I think, I hope they will be, and as I heard said, the suggestions that were in the letter will be incorporated, and that other projects will be better off because of his presence.

So, thank you very much. And

1 | I really enjoyed my day.

2 Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Cords.

5 MANUEL CORDS: My name is

6 Manuel Cords, C-o-r-d-s, and I'm the

7 | president of the Colebrook Land Conservancy

8 | and the Conservancy's Board of Trustees asked

9 | me to chat with you all to give some views to

10 | you tonight. I'm appreciative of the Council

11 | coming out to Colebrook. We're quite

12 backwater.

4

I -- I sent a letter to you

14 October 13th, I assume that will be in the

15 record. The Conservancy owns the barn at the

16 | corner of 182 and 183. We provided

17 Mr. Libertine with our thoughts on -- on

that. I also sent a copy of that letter

19 directly to you with respect to the historic

20 | value.

18

21 I don't -- I did not see our

22 | letter in the -- in the docket, so I would

23 appreciate if the Council would review our

24 | letter respecting the barn, which is the

25 oldest Upland Dairy barn in this part of the

world. It was built in the 1790s and its qualified to be on the barn register.

2.1

So we're -- we're quite concerned by the picture that Mr. Libertine showed of the tower from Route 182 which is on the hill going down to the barn. We would, of course, in the best of all worlds would be not to build the tower. On the other hand, if it could be camouflaged in some way to look like a small sequoia that might be something that the Council might consider.

We are also concerned about some of the environmental impacts. We detailed those in the letter, we thought. We though Mr. Gustafson's comments were -- were meritorious and we would like to recommend that the Council adopt those. as well.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Ms. Campbell.

HELEN CAMPBELL: My name is

Helen Campbell, C-a-m-p-b-e-l-l. I live at

122 Old Colebrook Road, and I own the

property that is adjacent to where the tower
is going to be built.

1.5

earlier hoping to hear some more specifics as to how far away the tower was going to be from my property line. It was my understanding that the tower is going to be 120 feet, and the structure is going to be 130 feet from my property line. I wasn't even comfortable with that, because if, for some reason, the tower fell over, that only left 10 feet for them to work. However, after listening to the information, I find that there is a possibility of the tower being 140 feet in which case it would definitely fall into my property.

And the other thing too, was the additional generators which I had no idea that was a possibility. I'm concerned about the noise factor. And quite frankly, yeah, there's a lot of trees now around that tower but that doesn't mean that's the way it's gong to be in the future. Somewhere down the line, I might want to go into the back of my property. I don't know what the zoning will be at that time, whatever. So I don't think we can totally put our attention to the fact

that there are trees to block the noise, and
trees to block the view.

But like I said, in -- oh, and the other thing was that I was really disappointed to hear that AT&T did not do a field study where they could get that information firsthand. I think that's very important. I mean, right now, in my backyard, I have bears, I have deer, we've had mountain or cougars whatever you want to call them. We have all kinds of birds, cardinals, the giant woodpecker, which -- I don't know if any of you are familiar, they're very hard to see. You usually hear them, you don't see them. And my concern is what that might do to that.

Thank you.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

19 | I believe it's Dr. Maltz.

1.5

DR. ALESIA MALTZ: Good evening. My name is Alesia Maltz, M-a-l-t-z. I live on 25 Wheeler Road. My background is in public health and environmental health. I was going to speak about the bio-initiative report and the WHO assessment. My

1 understanding following your introduction, is

- 2 | that those comments are not allowed here. I
- 3 | was particularly concerned about sitings
- 4 | within 1500 feet of a school. The -- am I
- 5 | correct in that?
- THE CHAIRPERSON: I mean, I
- 7 | can't stop you from -- from talking about it,
- 8 unfortunately we are -- we are bound by the
- 9 Federal law and its been upheld in court, so,
- 10 | you know, our hands are -- our hands are
- 11 | tied, so, that's --
- 12 DR. ALESIA MALTZ: I
- 13 understand.
- 14 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- that's
- 15 | really the status of this.
- DR. ALESIA MALTZ: Okay. I
- 17 | understand.
- 18 MR. ASHTON: Could you just
- 19 | pull that mike down just a bit. That's it.
- 20 | Thank you.
- DR. ALESIA MALTZ: Absolutely.
- 22 | Is that -- is that better?
- MR. ASHTON: Much better.
- 24 Thank you.
- DR. ALESIA MALTZ: The -- my

1 other comment is in response to the 2 presentation tonight is about the -- that 3 there may be three additional carriers below 4 and this being a possibly preliminary tower, 5 the map that was shown suggested that the 6 needs are not strong in the particular area 7 and -- and the possibility of increasing 8 wattages is of concern -- radio frequences is 9 a concern.

Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Has anyone -- that's the last person on the list. Is there anybody else signed up? Is thee anybody else who wants to speak? I will try to get --Well, could you then give us your name and spell it out, please.

KENNETH ANDRESEN: My name is Ken Andresen, that's A-n-d --

MR. ASHTON: How about pulling that mike up now?

KENNETH ANDRESEN: My name is

Ken Andresen, and that's A-n-d-r-e-s-e-n. I

live at 402 Smith Hill Road. And I was very

happy to learn recently that 140 acres across

1.5

2.1

2.4

from where this tower is going to be built,
went into conservancy land. The reason for
that is how much Colebrook treasures and
values its natural resources and its history.

We are across the street from a house that was built in the late 1700s. It was recently refurbished; at great expense by the people that bought it, who treasure the historicity of the house and of the village. Unfortunately, they'll be looking at this tower from their front yard.

The store across the road was recently reopened to Colebrook's advantage by a family, and in order to enable the store to reopen, a number of individuals in Colebrook invested quite a bit of money to enable it. They invested that money because they treasure Colebrook, they treasure the history of Colebrook, and they want to see it continue to be the vital town that it is. Unfortunately that tower will be visible from the store.

There's a sign in front the historical society which says that Colebrook is the most authentic Post-Revolutionary town

in Connecticut, or is it New England? The church was built in 1843. And apart from the building that you are sitting in, that church is the newest building in Colebrook. It's an historical district.

I have many pictures of
Colebrook as it existed in the late 1800s and
the early 1900s, except for the fact that the
road is paved and you'll see a few electric
wires, there's no change. Some might think
that change is good, sometimes it is. But in
this rare example, this rare jewel of a town
where people are investing their lives and
their pocketbooks, in order to keep it
authentic and beautiful, to have things like
that come into our town and essentially
change it is a huge mistake and a travesty.
Very few things in this world remain
authentic to their origins. We value that
here.

And I would hope that although, the Connecticut Siting Council has unlimited power to do whatever it wishes. We have this meeting, we attend, you must attend to listen to us. But there's nothing in the

1 | rules that say you actually have to pay any

- 2 attention to us, but I hope you do. And I
- 3 hope that in exercising your absolute power,
- 4 | it does not, as the adage would say, corrupt
- 5 | you absolutely but that you honestly and
- 6 | thoughtfully consider the changes that you'll
- 7 | make in Colebrook the most authentic
- 8 post-revolutionary town in Connecticut.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 (Applause.)
- 11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Thank
- 12 you.
- Just let me ask the Applicant
- 14 | to respond. There was one question of the
- 15 distance from the proposed tower site to the
- 16 | Campbell property line. Can you clarify
- 17 that, please.
- 18 THE WITNESS (Wells): Yes,
- 19 | the 130 foot distance is correct.
- THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, if you
- 21 | could, 130 feet is the distance. And just, I
- 22 | guess, two other points, one, state law
- 23 | encourages collocation of -- of providers of
- 24 | service on a tower, the other option in the
- 25 | scenario would be providers interested would

1.5

2.1

be, you have separate towers, so but the state does encourage them to be, and that would also have to go through a process if other carriers want it, including information on what would be the RF frequency if another -- another provider wanted to go on the tower.

Well, I totally appreciate the comments of the last speaker, the Siting Council does not have absolute power, there are federal and state laws that very much limit our powers, but we do our best and we do listen to people, but absolute power is -- is not something we have.

Just to let you know that the evidentiary portion of the hearing which started at three o'clock which we didn't quite finish, will be continued in New Britain at the Council offices on Thursday, November 7th at one p.m.

Again, to repeat that anyone who wishes to provide any additional material in writing can file the -- those statements or any of your neighbors, with the Council before the record closes, so there will be

more -- obviously more time. Copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed a the Colebrook Town Clerk's Office, and I hereby declare this hearing adjourned. And I want to thank you all for your participation. Good evening. (Whereupon, the above proceedings were adjourned at 7:50 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

2	I hereby certify that the foregoing 36
3	pages are a complete and accurate
4	transcription of a digital sound recording
5	taken of the Continued Public Hearing in Re:
6	DOCKET NO. 440, APPLICATION OF NEW CINGULAR
7	WIRELESS, PCS, LLC, FOR A CERTIFICATE OF
8	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED F
9	FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND
10	OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATION FACILITIES
11	LOCATED AT 522 COLEBROOK ROAD, COLEBROOK,
12	CONNECTICUT, which was held before ROBIN
13	STEIN, Chairperson, at the Town Hall, Second
14	Floor Meeting Room, 562 Colebrook Road,
15	Colebrook, Connecticut, on October 24, 2013.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Guy B. Raboin, President
21	Notary Public
22	UNITED REPORTERS, INC.

25

23

24

UNITED REPORTERS, INC. www.unitedreporters.com
Nationwide - 866-534-3383 - Toll Free

90 Brainard Road, Suite 103

Hartford, Connecticut 06114

1	I N	D E X
2	Public Speakers	Page
3	EDNA TRAVIS	132
4	MANUEL CORDS	139
5	HELEN CAMPBELL	140
6	DR. ALESIA MALTZ	142
7	KENNETH ANDRESEN	144
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		