#### STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### SITING COUNCIL

\*

NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC (AT&T)

\* SEPTEMBER 18, 2012

\* (7:00 p.m.)

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND DIBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION

PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, \* DOCKET NO. 428
MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF A \*
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY \*

TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY
LOCATED AT ONE OF TWO SITES;
ROXBURY TAX ASSESSOR PARCEL
ID #32-008 OFF OF ROUTE 67,
ROXBURY, CONNECTICUT, OR
126 TRANSYLVANIA ROAD, ROXBURY

BEFORE: ROBIN STEIN, CHAIRMAN

BOARD MEMBERS: Michael Caron, DPUC Designee

Brian Golembiewski, DEP Designee

Daniel P. Lynch, Jr. Edward S. Wilensky Philip T. Ashton James J. Murphy, Jr.

Dr. Barbara Currier Bell

STAFF MEMBERS: Linda Roberts, Executive Director

Michael Perrone, Siting Analyst Melanie Bachman, Staff Attorney

#### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE APPLICANT, NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC, (AT&T):

CUDDY & FEDER LLP 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor White Plains, New York 10601

BY: DANIEL M. LAUB, ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER B. FISHER, ESQUIRE

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

FOR THE INTERVENOR, BRONSON MOUNTAIN FARM HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION:

HURWITZ, SAGARIN, SLOSSBERG & KNUFF, LLC 147 N. Broad Street P.O. Box 112 Milford, Connecticut 06460 BY: JOHN W. KNUFF, ESQUIRE

FOR THE PARTY, THE TOWN OF ROXBURY:

BARBARA HENRY
First Selectman
P.O. Box 203
29 North Street
Roxbury, Connecticut 06783

FOR THE INTERVENOR, THE TOWN OF WOODBURY:

SLAVIN, STAUFFACHER & SCOTT, LLC 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 300 West Watertown, Connecticut 06795 BY: PAUL R. JESSELL, ESQUIRE

1	Verbatim proceedings of a hearing
2	before the State of Connecticut Siting Council in the
3	matter of an application by New Cingular Wireless PCS,
4	LLC (AT&T), held at the Roxbury Town Hall, 29 North
5	Street, Roxbury, Connecticut, on September 18, 2012 at
6	7:00 p.m., at which time the parties were represented as
7	hereinbefore set forth
8	
9	
10	CHAIRMAN ROBIN STEIN: Good evening ladies
11	and gentlemen. This hearing is called to order Tuesday,
12	today, September 18, 2012. It's approximately 7:00 p.m.
13	I'm Robin Stein. I'm Chairman of the
14	Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council
15	who are present are Mr. Golembiewski, the designee from
16	the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection;
17	Mr. Caron from the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority;
18	Mr. Ashton; Mr. Lynch; Senator Murphy; Dr. Bell; and Mr.
19	Wilensky.
20	Members of the staff are Linda Roberts,
21	the Executive Director; Melanie Bachman, Staff Attorney;
22	Michael Perrone, Siting Analyst. Gail Gregoriades is the
23	court reporter and Joseph Reese the audio technician.
24	This is a continuation of a public hearing

that began at 3:00 p.m. this afternoon. 1 2 Copies of the hearing program and the 3 Council's Citizen Guide to Siting Council Procedures are available for the members of the public over there. 5 This hearing is held pursuant to the 6 provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedures Act 7 upon an application from New Cinqular Wireless PCS, LLC 8 9 (AT&T) for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility 10 and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and 11 operation of a telecommunications facility located at one 12 of two possible sites; the first one is Roxbury Tax Assessor Parcel ID#32-008 off of Route 67 in Roxbury, and 13 14 the other site at 126 Transylvania Road also in Roxbury. 15 The application was received by the Council on July 3, 16 2012. 17 This application is also governed by the 18 Telecommunications Act of 1996, which is administered by 19 the Federal Communications Commission Telecommunications 20 This act prohibits the Council from considering the health effects of radio frequency emissions on human 21 health and wildlife to the extent that the emissions from 22 23 towers are within the federal acceptable safe limits standard, which standard is also followed by the State 24

1	Department of Public Health. The federal act also
2	prohibits the Council from discriminating between and
3	amongst providers of functionally equivalent services.
4	This means that if one carrier already provides service
5	for the area, other carriers have the right to compete
6	and provide service in the same area.
7	The Applicant published notice of the
8	filing of this application to the Council in May 30th and
9	June 6, 2012 and appeared in The Voices. The Council's
10	legal notice of the date and time of this hearing was
11	published on August 1st of this year in The Voices and on
12	July 31, 2012 in the Waterbury Republican American.
13	Upon this Council's request, the Applicant
14	erected a sign at both proposed sites so as to inform the
15	public of the name of the applicant, the type of
16	facility, the hearing date and location, and contact
17	information for the Council.
18	This afternoon members of the Council,
19	staff, and public personally conducted a field review of
20	both proposed sites in order to observe firsthand the
21	potential effects of the proposal.
22	This hearing session tonight has been
23	reserved for the public to make short statements into the
24	record. These public statements are not subject to

1 questions from the parties or the Council, and members of 2 the public making statements may not ask questions of the 3 parties or Council. So if you have a specific question of the Applicant, you can address it to the Council. if there's time, we'll try to elicit a response. 5 6 A sign-up sheet is available for those who 7 wish to participate, also located there. 8 Again as a reminder to all, any off-the-9 record communication with a member of the Council or a 10 member of the Council staff upon the merits of this 11 application is prohibited by law. 12 I wish to note that parties and 13 intervenors, including their representatives and 14 witnesses are not allowed to participate in the public 15 comment session. There will be an opportunity at the 16 continuation of the evidentiary hearing, whose time and 17 date will be announced. 18 I also wish to note for those who are here 19 and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are 20 unable to join us for the public comment session, that 21 you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of today. And such written statements 22 23 will be given the same weight as if spoken at the

24

hearing.

1	We ask that each person making a public
2	statement in this proceeding to confine his or her
3	statements to the subject matter before the Council and
4	to avoid unnecessary repetition so that we may hear all
5	the concerns that you or your neighbors may have.
6	A verbatim transcript will be made of this
7	hearing and deposited at the Roxbury, Woodbury, and
8	Southbury Town Clerks' Offices for the convenience of the
9	public.
10	And before I call on the public, Attorney
11	Laub, would you or someone from your team like to make a
12	brief presentation?
13	MR. DANIEL M. LAUB: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
14	For for tonight's presentation, I'd like to ask Mr.
15	Lusitani to present the Site A facility. He was the
16	project engineer for that. And then Mr. Kobylenski will
17	follow him in presenting the details regarding Site B on
18	Transylvania Road.
19	MR. PAUL LUSITANI: My name is Paul
20	Lusitani. I'm the engineer for the tower site on
21	Southbury Road
22	A VOICE: Is your mic on?
23	(pause)
2.4	MR. LUSTTANT: My name is Paul Lusitani.

- 1 I'm the engineer for the tower site on Southbury Road.
- 2 I'm going to give a general overview of the proposed
- 3 installation.
- This is plan of the overall parcel. We
- 5 propose to locate a 75-by-75 compound on the south side
- of the parcel. Within the compound will be a 170-foot
- 7 tower.
- 8 We propose to access the site from
- 9 Southbury Road. We're going to utilize a future road
- 10 being built by the property owner, which is shown here in
- 11 red. From there we're going to construct a short access
- 12 road to the site. The utilities will be coming from
- Southbury Road and they will run underground to the
- 14 site.
- 15 MR. FRANCIS KOBYLENSKI: Thank you. I'm
- 16 Francis Kobylenski. I'm a licensed professional engineer
- in the State of Connecticut and I've been involved with
- 18 the design of the facility that's proposed at 126
- 19 Transylvania Road, which is a parcel approximately 21
- 20 acres. And the proposal is to -- hold on -- the proposal
- is to construct a 170-foot monopole telecommunications
- tower at that location with a 75-foot by 75-foot compound
- 23 area that would encompass shelters that various cellular
- 24 providers would install to serve their antennas.

1	The parcel of 21 acres is hilly as you may
2	know if you know Transylvania Road. The road this is
3	on the east side of the road and the site is accessed by
4	initially the house driveway. That will be then the
5	driveway for the site will come off of that existing
6	paved driveway as a gravel driveway and traverse up the
7	hill to the compound site. The gravel driveway is
8	proposed to be 12 feet wide with one-foot shoulders. As
9	I've just described, here's the Transylvania Road, the
10	house, and the paved driveway. And the gravel driveway
11	will then access up through the wooded area.
12	The 75-by-75 foot compound that would
13	house the tower or be occupied by the tower and the
14	shelters initially as part of this application, it
15	would just be the monopole, the antennas at the top of
16	the monopole, and the shelter that would accommodate
17	AT&T. But of course there's availability for other
18	providers in the compound and on the antenna itself.
19	The gravel driveway has been designed to
20	accommodate underground power and telephone from an
21	aerial pole that's located near the house. And from that
22	location, it would run underground up the driveway to the
23	compound. The driveway that's proposed when fully
24	constructed is just under 600 feet long. And as I

- mentioned, it traverses up the hill and diagonal -- and
  here's kind of a little blow-up -- it diagonals up across
  the slope to the compound. The compound area existing is
  generally gentle topography. The driveway going up the
  slope varies from 12 percent to quite steep, a very short
  run of 24 percent, and then generally a nine percent
  grade once the bluff is crossed.
- 8 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Okay. We'll now go to
  9 the public. The list I have -- please when I call your
  10 name, go up to the mic and spell your last name so we
  11 make sure we have that correctly, and give us your
  12 address. The first person on the list is Trudy Swenson
  13 McKinna.
- MS. TRUDY SWENSON MCKINNA: I'm sorry, I
  didn't hear all of that. Do I have to spell my last
  name?
- 17 CHAIRMAN STEIN: That would be -- yes
  18 please --
- MS. MCKINNA: Okay --
- 20 CHAIRMAN STEIN: -- because we want to
- 21 make sure we have it correct.
- MS. MCKINNA: My name is Trudy Swenson
- 23 McKinna. It's S-w-e-n-s-o-n, M-c-K-i-n-n-a.
- 24 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you.

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	MS. MCKINNA: And I'm can I begin? I
2	live on Transylvania Road, but I am here representing the
3	Roxbury Conservation Commission.
4	The Town of Roxbury Conservation
5	Commission submits this letter in opposition to the
6	Application for a Certificate of Environmental
7	Compatibility and Public Need submitted by AT&T on the
8	2nd of July 2012 to the Connecticut Siting Council under
9	Docket 428.
10	First: We object to the form of the
11	application. AT&T has structured its application
12	fundamentally as a choice between two sites for
13	construction of a communication tower facility in
14	Roxbury. Except for the election of public officials,
15	decisions made by voters, the legislators, and regulatory
16	bodies in the State of Connecticut are yes/no choices.
17	AT&T has proposed its Candidate A site in a manner that
18	at least suggests Roxbury will get the Candidate B site
19	it previously has opposed unless it is agreeable to
20	AT&T's construction of Candidate A. We believe this
21	strategy to be improper. And if allowed to succeed, a
22	bad precedent.
23	Second: We join in the statements,
24	criteria, questions and concerns submitted by the Town of

1 Roxbury on the 1st of August 2012, and object to the 2 significant impact as outlined therein that either of 3 these sites will have on the environment, town infrastructure, the picturesque and historical New England drive along Route 67, which follows the 5 6 Transylvania Brook, and the valuation of resident 7 properties. 8 Third: We note that AT&T's marketing 9 material on its consumer websites claims at least 10 moderate coverage throughout much of Roxbury. This 11 characterization stands in sharp contrast to the poor to 12 non-existent coverage throughout large areas of Roxbury 13 indicated by the coverage maps included in its 14 application. Both claims cannot be true. Indeed, 15 coverage is poor to non-existent in many locations in 16 Roxbury. We believe the primary objective of any new tower to be located in Roxbury at this time should be to 17 18 materially extend and improve coverage in Roxbury. The 19 eight to fourteen percent increase in coverage claimed to

towns. We believe that any coverage enhancement in Roxbury afforded by either proposed tower, except for

coverage enhancement that would be provided in other

20

21

22

23

24

result from either proposed tower is small in comparison

both to the need for improvement in Roxbury and to the

drivers on Route 67 who should not be using cell phones while driving, is a byproduct rather than the primary objective of AT&T's application.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Fourth: The Conservation Commission and the town as a whole and many of its residents had strenuous objections to the site designated Candidate B in the application on both -- on the grounds both of the impact the proposed tower facility would have on this environmentally and infrastructurally fragile area and on the less than satisfactory engineering plan for site development. Whatever improvements now might apply to the site plan, the area is still fragile. There is no assurance that AT&T will act quickly and responsibly to preserve its character and integrity when problems occur and indemnify both the town and its residents against any expense or liability associated with such problems. would expect stormwater runoff from Candidate Site B to regularly damage Transylvania Road, a dirt road eligible for both historic and scenic status, to create safety hazards for drivers and hikers in doing so, and to impair nearby downstream wetlands. We continue to object also to Candidate Site A, but acknowledge that its potentially adverse environmental and infrastructural impacts are less severe.

1	In summary, as you consider AT&T's
2	application, we ask that you reflect on these important
3	issues. The application appears to us to be disingenuous
4	in several ways and it appears to ignore local interests
5	and disregard the rights of those most vested in our
6	community. There is a significant lack of investigation
7	into alternate sites, particularly sites that would serve
8	Roxbury better with less adverse impact. While
9	apparently legal in the context of state law, AT&T's
10	proposed installations do not conform to local
11	regulations designed to avoid or minimize adverse impact
12	on natural diversity, local endangered species, vernal
13	pools and wetlands, historic and scenic town maintained
14	dirt roads, drainage systems, scenic resources, and the
15	appraised and comparative value of many homes in which
16	residents have made lifetime investments. And this is on
17	behalf of the Conservation Commission, myself Trudy
18	Swenson McKinna, Hugh Rawson, Vice Chair, Kathy Massy,
19	Secretary, Ron Fantass, Cecilia Santillo, Gary Steinman,
20	and Barbara Monsted. Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. The next
22	person on the list is Mark Wilson.
23	MR. MARK WILSON: Mark Wilson, W-i-l-s-o-
24	n, 116 Transylvania Road.

1	I don't have anything quite so well
2	prepared, but I just want to say a couple of words. I've
3	been living here for 12 years. I moved in for the rural
4	character. I could have never imagined this situation
5	happening. So it's, you know, an understatement to say
6	I'm opposed. I just want to state that very level-
7	headedly here.
8	I guess in all the time I've been here,
9	I've never had any complaints on coverage. And I would
10	have said that even before hearing what transpired
11	earlier in the meeting where the coverage seems to be in
12	question, and it certainly is a very it seems a small
13	benefit for the impact that these towers are going to
14	have. So it's I just want to make that statement.
15	The visibility issues, we do these balloon
16	floats with all the leaves up. You know, we did one a
17	few years ago with the leaves down and they're very
18	visible. I've got the pictures. I've got a presentation
19	I'm going to follow up with a written submission of
20	that. So I know in the Transylvania area it's very
21	visible with the leaves down, which is at least half of
22	the year. So please take that into account.
23	The the property values thing, I know
24	you do the research and the response is there's no proof

HEARING RE: NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS (AT&T) SEPTEMBER 18, 2012 (7:00 PM)

1 that it affects property values. In a rural area -- you 2 know, a rural, suburban, I just can't believe that's 3 true. This is quite -- at least the Transylvania installation is really in between quite a lot of houses for this area and I -- I just hope you'll take that into 5 account and really weigh the seemingly slight benefits 6 7 here from what I can see. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. John Ambruso. 9 MR. JOHN AMBRUSO: My name is John 10 Ambruso, A-m-b-r-u-s-o. I live at 118 Transylvania. I'm 11 an abutter. And the way I look at it is I'm going to 12 have to live with a diesel generator starting up every once in a while on maintenance, which I know it has to do 13 14 because that's what I did in the service. 15 The fact that there's a vernal pond, a big 16 one within a hundred and fifty to two hundred feet of your installation, I want -- I would like to know what's 17 18 going to happen with the diesel spill if it happens, 19 never mind the noise. 20 If you think your driveway is going to 21 hold up, I've got a lot of bills from gravel companies 22 that says it won't because it's too steep and it will

wash out every time you get a major, major rain. That's

a couple of inches in say half an hour. And I've

23

24

1	experienced	it	as	my	neighbors	have.

14

- Years ago New York City looked like it was 2 3 made out of telephone lines. And then during the 50's and 60's all you could see was TV antennas on top of 5 houses. Once this went into effect over a year ago on a 6 letter that I accidentally got from you guys, I started 7 noticing the towers. And it seems like every time you come over the crest of a ridge and you look forward, you 8 9 see another tower. I mean this is cuckoo. There are 10 other things coming that are going to be better than a 11 tower. And I don't see why you're going to invest your 12 time and money for 2G or 3G. I really think you should 13 go to 4G.
- Winter maintenance on that driveway -- I 15 don't know how the trucks are going to make it up unless 16 they have chains. I've got to make an arrangement with 17 my fuel oil dealer to get fuel.
- 18 To say the least, I'm against it, and you 19 know my reasons now. Thank you very much.
- 20 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. I guess these 21 two people are together, Julie and Bill Steers -- or is 22 one of you going to be the spokesperson for --
- 23 MS. JULIE STEERS: Hi. I'm Julie Steers 24 and Bill Steers. We live at 121 Transylvania Road and we

1	are across the street from
2	CHAIRMAN STEIN: For the record spell your
3	last name please.
4	MS. STEERS: S-t-e-e-r-s. And we are
5	directly across from the proposed site at 118
6	Transylvania Road.
7	As my neighbors have also spoken, we could
8	share their sentiments about the feelings to have a cell
9	tower across from our property. It dismays us. We
10	bought our property 20 years ago to have a rural
11	existence and some privacy. So to have a large
12	infrastructure that is going to be built across from our
13	property and to impact our property, which it will and
14	I can show you pictures of what happens to our property
15	with improper drainage and improper infrastructure that
16	may happen up the road from us or uphill from us.
17	We purchased our property and we bought
18	the abutting lot at a great expense to us. So to
19	MR. BILL STEERS: To preserve
20	MS. STEERS: to preserve and I
21	just stepping back, to live on a dirt road, we have we
22	accept certain compromises, bottom out oil pans and axels
23	and everything else. So we're concerned about if a tower
24	comes in and in the building phase and the maintenance

### HEARING RE: NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS (AT&T) SEPTEMBER 18, 2012 (7:00 PM)

1 phase, it just is going to really impact our road. 2 going to impact a one and a half lane dirt road. It's 3 going to cause problems for the town in the future. It's going to cause traffic. It's going to cause a lot of 5 things that we didn't buy into when we built our lot, and 6 we -- when we bought our adjacent lot. 7 So -- we understand our neighbor needs to do what she needs to do. We understand that there is a 8 9 need or a desire to have cell towers, and that's fine. 10 But for our road, it -- our road was not made for this --11 for that type of infrastructure. It was not made for 12 that type of development. It's not maintained for that 13 type of development. Although the town has worked with 14 us to help with the drainage and all the other issues 15 that come out of our road, but coming up from the --16 uphill, which is where this tower will be built, will be 17 on the uphill. We're on the downhill and we know 18 firsthand what can happen to the downhill if it's not 19 done right, if it's not maintained, if it's not working 20 because -- and I -- and I'm happy to share pictures from 21 this year --22 MR. STEERS: On your --23 MS. STEERS: On my -- and it's on -- of

what can happen through the storms. When the application

24

1	there were two applications, the Transylvania Road and
2	the Route 67 application came to wetlands, and I was at
3	both meetings, and the wetlands commission basically said
4	if we had we don't have to approve the Transylvania
5	road application, but if we had the opportunity we would
6	not approve it because of the problems of the runoff of
7	the water, of the soils and everything else that are
8	going to come off that mountainside or the hillside that
9	will go downstream into the wetlands, we won't approve
10	it. The other site, the 67 site was approved. The
11	landowner went to Wetlands, approved the driveway. It
12	was a driveway to whatever, but it was approved. It
13	mitigated you know, it was it made wetlands the
14	landowner took the time to get that driveway approved.
15	It made the wetlands test. The Transylvania Road would
16	not make the wetlands test. And I can attest not as a
17	I'm on the wetlands commission and I'm you know, but
18	as a neighbor, as a person who has lived with
19	Transylvania Road, it would not make the wetlands test.
20	It just won't because there's too much coming off of it.
21	There's too much in storms and things and the weather
22	events that are coming, there's just the mountainside,
23	the soils, everything else just can't handle the runoff.
2.4	And what was brought out with the from our wetlands

### HEARING RE: NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS (AT&T) SEPTEMBER 18, 2012 (7:00 PM)

1 commissioner -- or from our Chair was like your 2 engineering plan is fine except in the storms that we are 3 experiencing now, and they won't handle it. And the problem for us is we're the property owner right below 5 that and we don't want to see any more runoff 6 compromised, wetlands, and everything else that is coming 7 -- you know, that compromise our wetlands or to our 8 property that is coming off of that hillside for the 9 infrastructure that is being built potentially off of 10 Transylvania Road, which is a road that frankly is not 11 engineered -- I'm not an engineer, but I just know from 12 living there it's not ready to handle that kind of infrastructure either in the building phrase and the 13 14 maintenance phase. 15 The other site -- I'm sorry, you know, if 16 there's another site, 67, granted you have to do the crossing, granted you have to go up the hill, but from a 17 18 long-term standpoint, again I look at it and say it's a 19 no-brainer, that's an easier way to maintain and build and deal with a site if that's what has to be. To me --20 21 and again I'm biased, it would be a better site. And I'm 22 happy to pass around the bunny, but to show you what can 23 happen -- (indiscernible, moved away from mic) --24 CHAIRMAN STEIN: You -- really if you want

- 1 them to be part of the record, you -- you really should
- 2 print them so we can --
- MS. STEERS: Can I do that --
- 4 CHAIRMAN STEIN: You can -- you have --
- 5 you have time to -- I mean you don't have to do it
- 6 obviously tonight, but you --
- 7 MS. STEERS: Do I have time --
- 8 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Yes. If -- in my remarks
- 9 you have 30 days to submit anything additional in writing
- 10 --
- MS. STEERS: Okay --
- 12 CHAIRMAN STEIN: -- which can also be
- 13 pictures --
- MS. STEERS: Okay --
- 15 CHAIRMAN STEIN: -- and we are expecting a
- 16 storm event tonight, so --
- MS. STEERS: Okay --
- 18 CHAIRMAN STEIN: -- if you're -- if you're
- 19 finished, that would be --
- MS. STEERS: Okay, but with -- with the
- 21 record, I will finish and say the town did a wonderful
- job mitigating our damage. And I don't know if you were
- 23 out on the site, but if you had looked up Transylvania
- 24 Road and saw like five --

1	MR. STEERS: Culverts
2	MS. STEERS: culverts and catch basins
3	and those catch the water that will supposedly come down
4	and goes under our property and catches the water and
5	takes it out. And that's like an 18-inch pipe to handle
6	the water. And I simply
7	MR. STEERS: Right now there's just
8	where the below where the property where you're
9	going to put the tower is just two culverts. I'm not
10	sure of the size, but they're not anywhere near
11	MS. STEERS: Eight inch I think
12	MR. STEERS: as big as what's above us.
13	And there's a lot of water that comes off that hillside.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Okay, thank you. Is
16	there anybody else? I don't believe that I have any
17	other names on the list. Is there anybody else who
18	wishes to speak?
19	COURT REPORTER: One moment please.
20	(pause - tape change)
21	MR. GARY STEINMAN: Mr. Chairman
22	CHAIRMAN STEIN: That's what happens when
23	you wait
24	MR. STEINMAN: Yeah

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

1	CHAIRMAN STEIN: go ahead. Make sure
2	you give us your name and spell it out.
3	MR. STEINMAN: My name is Gary Steinman,
4	G-a-r-y. Steinman, S-t-e-i-n-m-a-n.
5	And the only reason I'm getting up is I
6	knew that there was a person who was planning on speaking
7	and I would at least like to touch on the issue. Just
8	for the record, I am on the Conservation Commission and I
9	was past chair and vice-chair. Right now two of our
LO	commission members are real estate agents. And there are
L1	two other real estate agents who have recently retired
L2	from the commission. So amongst the things that we hear
L3	about in our meetings are things about property values.
L 4	The issue of property values came up with the
L5	Transylvania site the last round. And I believe AT&T's
L 6	position was there is no research that demonstrates that
L7	cell towers change property values. Well that sort of
L8	flies in the face of common sense. But our the agents
L 9	on our commissions on our commission regularly report
20	in their activity in the area around even where these
21	proposed towers are going and they're having a hard time
22	getting people even to look at properties, never mind buy

them. So, I just wanted to put that on the oral record

for this evening, and I'm sure that the person who was

23

24

HEARING RE: NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS (AT&T) SEPTEMBER 18, 2012 (7:00 PM)

1 going to make a statement in that regard will send you a 2 letter. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Alright, thank you. 4 will now close the public comment session of the hearing. 5 I'd like to announce that the evidentiary 6 portion of the hearing, which started this afternoon, 7 will be continued at the Siting Council's offices in New Britain on Tuesday, October 30th of this year at 11:00 8 9 o'clock in the morning. 10 Again, please note that anyone who has not 11 become a party or intervenor, but desires to make his or 12 her views known or submit any additional photos or 13 written material, you may say -- so do that with the 14 Council up until the point where the record closes, which 15 will be at least 30 days and even further than today. 16 Again, copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed in the Town Clerks' Offices of 17 18 Roxbury, Woodbury, and Southbury. 19 I, therefore, declare this hearing 20 adjourned. And for those of us who have to -- and you 21 who have to drive some distance, be very careful tonight. 22 Thank you. 23 (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at 7:36

24

p.m.)

#### INDEX OF SPEAKERS

	PAGE
Ambruso, John	16
McKinna, Trudy Swenson	10
Steers, Bill	17
Steers, Julie	17
Steinman, Gary	23
Vilson, Mark	14