#### STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### SITING COUNCIL

\*

THIRD TAXING DISTRICT ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT

JUNE 14, 2012 (7:00 p.m.)

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION,

\* DOCKET NO. 426

MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF AN ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION AT 6 FITCH STREET, NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: ROBIN STEIN, CHAIRMAN

BOARD MEMBERS: Larry Levesque, DPUC Designee

Brian Golembiewski, DEP Designee

Daniel P. Lynch, Jr. Edward S. Wilensky Philip T. Ashton

Dr. Barbara Currier Bell

STAFF MEMBERS: Linda Roberts, Executive Director

Robert Mercier, Siting Analyst Melanie Bachman, Staff Attorney

#### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE APPLICANT, THE THIRD TAXING DISTRICT ELECTRIC DEPARTMENT:

MURTHA CULLINA, LLP CityPlace I, 29th Floor 185 Asylum Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103 BY: ANDREW W. LORD, ESQUIRE FOR THE PARTY, THE CONNECTICUT LIGHT AND POWER COMPANY:

JEFFREY D. COCHRAN, ESQUIRE Senior Counsel Northeast Utilities Service Co. P.O. Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06141-0270

1 . . . Verbatim proceedings of a hearing 2 before the State of Connecticut Siting Council in the 3 matter of an application by The Third Taxing District Electric Department, held at Norwalk City Hall, 125 East 5 Avenue, Norwalk, Connecticut, on June 14, 2012 at 7:00 6 p.m., at which time the parties were represented as hereinbefore set forth . . . 7 8 9 10 CHAIRMAN DANIEL F. CARUSO: Good evening. 11 Ladies and gentlemen, this hearing is called to order today, Thursday, June 14, 2012 at 7:00 o'clock. And my 12 name is Robin Stein. I'm Chairman of the Connecticut 13 14 Siting Council. 15 This is a continuation of a public hearing 16 that began at 3:00 o'clock this afternoon. We have 17 copies of the hearing program on the table to my left. 18 The hearing is held pursuant to provisions of Title 16 of 19 the Connecticut General Statues and of the Uniform 20 Administrative Procedures Act upon an application from 21 The Third Taxing District Electric Department for a 22 Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public 23 Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of an electrical substation located at 6 Fitch Street here 24

1	in Norwalk.
2	The application was received by the
3	Council on April 10, 2012. And notice of this proposed
4	facility has been published in the Hour. And as you
5	probably know, the Applicant erected a sign at the
6	proposed property so as to inform the public of the name
7	of the applicant, the type of facility, and today's
8	hearing date and location.
9	This afternoon members of the Council and
10	staff conducted a field review of the site where the
11	Applicant seeks to develop the substation in order to
12	observe firsthand the potential effects of the proposal.
13	The hearing session tonight has been
14	reserved for the public to make short statements into the
15	record. These statements are not subject to questions
16	from parties or the Council. And members of the public
17	making statements may not ask questions of the parties or
18	the Council. These statements will become part of the
19	Council's consideration. A sign-up sheet is available
20	for those who wish to participate.
21	So while we don't have direct cross-
22	examination in this part, if you do have questions, you
23	can ask them, we'll note them, and at the end we'll try
24	to get the answers.

5

## HEARING RE: THIRD TAXING DISTRICT JUNE 14, 2012 (7:00 PM)

1	From from my memory of the faces I saw
2	this afternoon, it seems that most of those are in
3	attendance and are either the Applicant or the party, but
4	we do welcome those from the public. And I do have a
5	list of two people who have asked to speak. The first
6	one is Vincent Scicchitano. Would you where is oh,
7	I'm sorry would you come up please. Spell your name
8	and if you wish your address and it's all yours.
9	MR. VINCENT SCICCHITANO: Hello. My name
10	is Vincent (mic static)
11	COURT REPORTER: You're not on the record.
12	MR. SCICCHITANO: Vincent Scicchitano, S-
13	c-i-c-c-h-i-t-a-n-o. I live in Norwalk, Connecticut, 56
14	Winding Lane. I am the owner of 8 Fitch Street, which is
15	a commercial piece of property next located next door.
16	And I also am the owner of 9 Fitch Street, which is a
17	residential side of the street.
18	Now if you'll bear with me, I'll be as
19	brief as possible, but I'm going to give you a quick
20	history of the neighborhood as it applies to myself and
21	the rest of the people, and once again, I will be as
22	brief as possible, and I assure you there is a point to
23	this.
24	When I opened by auto repair business and

I purchased the building 13 years ago, the City of 1 2 Norwalk turned me down initially. It had been a 3 contractor's yard and I wanted to turn it into an auto repair, which was a lesser impact on the neighborhood. But in the name of what was called East Norwalk Village 5 6 at the time, the mixed use of commercial and residential 7 was kind of a tricky situation there. So they were very -- very selective as to which type of business was going 8 9 to operate there all in the name of the residents. 10 applied for several -- several variances because of the 11 church across the street and the residents across the 12 street, which all showed up and voiced opposition. After making a number of concessions, I was allowed to 13 14 operate my business, only Monday through Friday, no 15 evenings, no weekends. I can't have a tow truck. I 16 can't a dumpster. When it snows, I have to remove the snow via backhoe and dump truck. And the point to this 17 18 is that the impact it has on the residential 19 neighborhood, for which I own across the street. 20 So this -- this substation I understand is 21 on the commercial side of the street. And as far as a 22 commercial -- as far as the commercial aspect, I would 23 have to say that I'm not really opposed to it, it is the commercial side of the street. However, unfortunately 24

1 owning the home across the street as well, it's going to 2 have a very negative impact upon that house and that 3 I'm probably going to have a very difficult time selling it. I'm definitely going to have a difficult 5 time renting it. As far as substations go and power plants, whether a real problem or a perceived problem, it 6 7 really doesn't matter, someone is going to buy a home or 8 rent a home, you know, and no one is going to go to the 9 effort of looking into studies to see if it's dangerous 10 or not. Pretty much without even knowing the facts, 11 people just automatically assume that. 12 So I'm just here to urge this group to think very strongly upon the impact that it has on the 13 14 residential side of the street, not so much the 15 commercial side. I'm sure you've all -- those of you 16 that have gone and seen it, it's kind of a funny 17 neighborhood there. And the point to the story is that 18 many years ago when I purchased these properties, I was 19 of the understanding that it was going to maintain a 20 village feel or a village part of Norwalk, which 21 certainly has changed considerably. With traffic 22 patterns changing on Fitch Street and everything involved 23 with that, it's no longer a -- half residential, half commercial. 24

8

1 So once again, I'm just here to urge the 2 power company that, you know, when this gets passed through and it gets built, that they take very careful 3 care and consideration regarding the residents across the street as to how to make it at least look like it's part 5 6 of the village feel. 7 Now just one little tidbit, unfortunately in a funny irony, I also own 10 Grant Street, which is 8 9 right next door to Connecticut Light and Power, which 10 plowed down a fence about three years ago. It took me 11 about three years to get them to stand the fence straight 12 up. So I've had a very poor track record with relations 13 with the power companies as far as how they affect my 14 properties next door just to give you a little history. But I'm sure that this is a different situation. 15 16 However, it still is a big impact on the residents across 17 the street. So I hope that the planning phase of this 18 definitely includes a serious aesthetic makeover so that 19 it doesn't look like a power plant. 20 That's really all I have to say. Thank 21 you very much for your time. 22 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Even though we only have 23 -- and I know you were here earlier -- but we only have a 24 couple of people. I think I should give the Applicant

9

1 just a couple of minutes to make a brief presentation of 2 the project. I'm sorry, I went a little bit out of 3 order, but if -- if you -- I think it would be helpful. 4 MR. GEORGE LEARY: My name is George 5 Leary. I'm the General Manager of the Third Taxing 6 District. And tonight we have -- Paul Poke (phonetic) is 7 here, who is the Chairman of the Third Taxing District 8 Commission. 9 We -- we're -- I know I'm preaching to the 10 choir because everyone knows what I'm going to be saying, 11 but I'll put it in the record in any event. 12 We're proposing to build a new 115-kV substation at 6 Fitch Street. The substation is going to 13 14 serve the electric customers in the Third Taxing District 15 only. We're before the Siting Council now in Docket 426. 16 The project is being proposed by the people of the Third 17 Taxing District and it will serve only the people of the 18 Third Taxing District. 19 The Commission has spent the last several 20 years looking for a place to build this substation. 21 We've known for a long time we wanted to do it. It was 22 not easy to find a spot. We did look around very 23 carefully and tried to weigh all the pros and cons.

when this 6 Fitch Street property became available, we

24

### HEARING RE: THIRD TAXING DISTRICT JUNE 14, 2012 (7:00 PM)

made every effort to buy it, and did. The site is next
to the transmission lines that run along the railroad.

And it is adjacent to our East Avenue Substation. So
it's -- for us it's perfect.

CL&P owns the transmission lines along the

railroad and they basically are our partners in this project. They will be building the section of the project that's up on the railroad and along the transmission line corridor.

The substation is necessary to provide for load growth in East Norwalk. We have some new businesses and big customers that will we'll be growing and this will help us serve them. It will give us more reliable service and it will stabilize the price we're going to pay. Actually, we should come out ahead financially.

Now in addition to providing safe, reliable electric power to our customers, the District owns the firehouse in East Norwalk, we own the library building, we own the historic cemetery, own and maintain several parks in East Norwalk, and we've adopted several traffic islands. So I think we've shown that we can be good public citizens. All these properties are well maintained and are an asset to the community. The -- it's safe to say the District has been good stewards and

good neighbors.

gets in my opinion.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 Now I quess we can all agree an electric 3 substation is not a thing of great -- well some of us can't agree, but it's not a thing of great beauty, but 5 we've made every effort to make this project attractive. 6 We have the control house out front. We have nice 7 landscaping in the front. We'll do additional screening 8 on both sides of the station. So we're going to make it 9 as attractive as we can for the neighborhood and make it 10 an asset to the neighborhood. So this project will be 11 well maintained, it won't generate any traffic, it will 12 make no noise, and it will make no odors. So I think an industrial site like this, this is about as good as it 13

So I think we'll be good neighbors and -- and we'd be happy to answer questions later if anyone wishes. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. And just -- I also want to remind people that if you have friends and neighbors who can't come but would want to send something in writing or if you want to send us anything in writing, you have 30 days to do it after the close of this hearing, so -- and that will be -- become part of the record. Okay, the next person is Peter Johnson.

12

### HEARING RE: THIRD TAXING DISTRICT JUNE 14, 2012 (7:00 PM)

1 MR. PETER JOHNSON: Hello. My name is 2 Peter Johnson. I live at 46 Gregory Boulevard, East 3 Norwalk. The last name is J-o-h-n-s-o-n. 4 I just wanted to say that I'm in favor of 5 this for the reliability. Even though we do have good 6 reliability now, we'd like to improve it and keep it better. And that's it, I'm in favor of it. 7 8 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. That's the 9 last name I have on the list, so -- do you want to come 10 and speak, ma'am? Please give us your name and spell 11 it. 12 MS. DIANE CECE: Can I speak now and submit additional comments in writing or is this just --13 14 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Yes. 15 MS. CECE: Thank you. Good evening. 16 Diane Cece, C-e-c-e. I live at 37 Olmstead, O-l-m-s-t-e-17 a-d, Place in East Norwalk. 18 I want to say that I'm here tonight to 19 speak in general in favor of this application for the 20 following reasons. (1) I do think that it is necessary 21 for the capacity not just now but in the future. I 22 believe there's two significant projects in East Norwalk 23 coming up in the next couple of years that will require this capacity. And in the absence of it, I'm not quite 24

1 sure how that would be managed and what that would mean 2 for me as a current ratepayer. Also for service 3 reliability. We kind of have a saying in the Third Taxing District that we never lose our power. And we'd 5 kind of like to keep it that way. In fact, I have 6 neighbors right across the street from me -- my street is 7 divided with another utility and they routinely lose power, but I'd like to see our reliability stay the way 8 9 it is. And also for future rate stabilization or even 10 possibly a decrease in our rates with the way the 11 distribution would be handled, getting off of the 12 stranglehold of CL&P. However, I have -- I have a couple of 13 14 concerns. (1) I certainly sympathize with the 15 residential and commercial neighbors of the proposed site 16 and I want to make sure that everything is being done to 17 address their needs. 18 I also want to say that I've had some 19 opportunity in the past to review the engineered plans 20 for this. And whenever I looked at the drawings, I had no reservations at all because it seemed that it was 21 22 being managed in as friendly -- a neighborhood friendly 23 project as it could be because the Third Taxing District is a good corporate citizen here in our neighborhood. 24

1 And so the setting of the lines and the big poles that 2 you see and the house and the landscaping and the buffers 3 all seem to be if not adequate, even, you know, maybe overly done to make sure that it was a good fit with the 5 neighborhood. But I actually see the two dimensional 6 drawing here tonight. And though the commissioner and 7 the Taxing District's engineer assure me that what I'm 8 looking at ends up being an optical illusion compared to 9 what the real drawings are, it does leave me with a 10 little bit of concern because in my mind's eye this is 11 not necessarily what I was thinking. So, I have a 12 concern about that rendering versus what the plans were that I first saw. 13 14 I continue to have some concern over 15 noise, light, and what may be considered environmental 16 dangers and having these things in neighborhoods and 17 would like an opportunity to review that further and then 18 do a little bit more research and then reserve the right 19 to send in an additional statement if necessary within your comment period. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN STEIN: Thank you. Is there 21 22 anybody else from the public who wishes to speak? 23 not, does the Applicant have any rebuttal comments before we close the hearing? 24

1	MD TODD. He de not		
1	MR. LORD: We do not.		
2	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Does the party CL&P have		
3	any		
4	MR. COCHRAN: We do not have any rebuttal.		
5	CHAIRMAN STEIN: Okay. Before closing		
6	this hearing, the Connecticut Siting Council announces		
7	that briefs and proposed findings of fact may be filed		
8	with the Council by any party no later than July 16,		
9	2012. The submission of briefs or proposed findings of		
10	fact are not required by the Council, rather we leave it		
11	to the choice of the parties.		
12	The Council also announces that state		
13	agencies desiring to submit comments on the application,		
14	pursuant to the General Statutes, are to submit their		
15	comments no later than June 28, 2012.		
16	And again to repeat, any member of the		
17	public who desires to make his or her views known to the		
18	Council or add anything additional to what they said		
19	tonight, may file written comments with the Council		
20	within 30 days of today.		
21	The Council will, after all the		
22	information is taken in, issue draft findings of fact.		
23	And thereafter, parties may identify errors or		
24	inconsistencies between the Council's draft findings of		

fact and the record. However, no new information, no new 1 2 evidence, no new argument or reply briefs without our permission will be considered. 3 Copies of the transcript of this hearing 4 5 will be filed at the Norwalk City Clerk's Office. And I hereby declare the hearing adjourned 6 7 and thank you all for your participation, and drive home 8 safely. 9 10 (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at 7:19 11 p.m.)

#### INDEX OF SPEAKERS

	PAGE
Cece, Diane	12
Johnson, Peter	12
Scicchitano, Vincent	5