



STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051

Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950

E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov

www.ct.gov/csc

April 12, 2011

TO: Parties and Intervenors

FROM: Linda Roberts
Executive Director

LR

RE: **DOCKET NO. 414** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 36 Ritch Avenue, Greenwich, Connecticut.

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) is in receipt of correspondence from State Representative Fred Camillo, dated April 11, 2011 concerning the above-referenced application.

In the event that Representative Camillo does not avail itself of the opportunity to attain party or intervenor status under Connecticut General Statutes §16-50n, this correspondence shall become part of the record in this proceeding in the form of a limited appearance.

Therefore, a copy of this correspondence is being distributed to all participants in this proceeding and will also be administratively noticed in the record.

LR/RDM/laf

Enclosure

LIST OF PARTIES AND INTERVENORS
SERVICE LIST

Status Granted	Document Service	Status Holder (name, address & phone number)	Representative (name, address & phone number)
Applicant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail	Celco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless	Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. Robinson & Cole LLP 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597 (860) 275-8345 (860) 275-8299 - fax kbaldwin@rc.com Sandy Carter Regulatory Manager Verizon Wireless 99 East River Drive East Hartford, CT 06108
Party (granted on 2/8/11)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail	John Hartwell	John Hartwell 42 Ritch Avenue W. Greenwich, CT 06830 (203) 531-1858 (203) 531-0695 fax jmhartwell@optonline.net
Intervenor (granted on 1/6/11)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail	T-Mobile Northeast LLC	Julie D. Kohler, Esq. Jesse A. Langer, Esq. Cohen and Wolf, P.C. 1115 Broad Street Bridgeport, CT 06604 (203) 368-0211 (203) 394-9901 fax jkohler@cohenandwolf.com jlanger@cohenandwolf.com

Fontaine, Lisa

From: Roberts, Linda
Sent: Tuesday, April 12, 2011 9:36 AM
To: CSC-DL Siting Council
Subject: FW: Docket 414

From: Alfred Camillo [mailto:acamillo@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2011 2:09 PM
To: Roberts, Linda
Cc: Scott Frantz; Lile Gibbons; Lile Gibbons; Livvy Floren; Rep. Floren, Livvy; John Bowman
Subject: Docket 414

Dear Ms.Roberts,

I am writing to you regarding Docket 414 and the cell tower application from Cellco/Verizon to build a 3-carrier (AT&T, Verizon & T-Mobile) monopine tower in Greenwich at 36 Ritch Avenue. This application, if approved, would place this tower within 150 feet of four homes. These homes represent the single biggest investment that the homeowners will ever make in their lifetimes. That alone should give the Connecticut Siting Council pause. However, there are other factors which make this application extremely objectionable.

In 2002, AT&T settled a lawsuit with the Town of Greenwich which allowed them to put a tower at 36 Ritch Ave. West, with a provision that it would only be a single-carrier flagpole tower. The current plan for that site is for AT&T to take down their tower, Verizon to build one, and AT&T will rent space on that tower. The neighbors who were nice enough to agree not to fight the 2002 agreement are now seeing that their honesty and reasonableness is being forgotten and the original deal/agreement ignored. I submit to you that if any person were in the shoes of the neighbors right now, they would fight this injustice to the end. Verizon, AT&T and Mr. Kelly, the property owner, should not be allowed to dance around the 2002 agreement and in doing so, significantly reduce the neighbors' property values. That AT&T is not party to this application also seems to violate the spirit of transparency that is the foundation of Siting Council proceedings.

Moreover, with the proposed merger of AT & T and T-Mobile, it is very questionable as to whether or not there is even need for a tower there as coverage seems to be more than adequate.

Thank you for considering these comments, reasons, and and call for decency and reject the application at 36 Ritch Avenue West in Greenwich.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions you may have.

Sincerely,

Fred Camillo
State Representative
151st District

4/12/2011