	D1	D2	D3	D1-1700	
WF WY #1	50	50	50	50	
WF WY #2					
WF WY #3					
WF WY #4	636	636	636		
NWNGT G1	422	422	422	422	
MYST G7	565	565	565	565	
SALEM G4	400	400	400	400	
BP #4 GN	421	421	421	421	
CANAL G1	566	566	566	566	
Canal G2	577	577	577	577	
Mason 3	33	33	33	33	
Mason 4	33	33	33	33	
Mason 5	33	33	33	33	
New Boston	350	350	350	350	
New Boston	380	380	380	380	
		_	_		
BPTHBR#2	131	0	0	131	
MIDDTN#2	0	0	0	117	-
MIDDTN#3	0	236	236	236	-
MIDDTN#4	0	0	0	400	-
MONTV#5	0	0	0	0	
MONTV#6	0	0	0	0	
NH HARBR	448	448	448	448	
NORHAR#1	0	0	0	0	
NORHAR#2	0	0	0	0	

	D1	D2	03	Ď:	1700		Now iRP			-		ssing base p	ouk	Ma	×
AESTH PF ANSONIA1	184 60		84 60	184 60	184 60	181.0		AES THAMES BANTAM	BY BIT HOR WAT	181,0 0.1	1	1		0.07	
8E 10 ST 8E 11	158 145	1:	58 45	158 145	158 145	445.1		BRANFORD 10 BRIDGEPORT ENERGY 1	GT JF CC NG	19.8	1		. 1		
BE 12 BPTHBR#2	145 131	t	45 0	145 C	145 131	130.5			ST FOR	130.5 383.4			:		
SPTHBR#3 CAP D PF	372 52		72 52	372 52	372 52	383,4 55,3		BRIDGEPORT HARBOR 4 BRISTOL REFUSE	GT JF ST MSW	15.4 13.2	1	1	. 1	13.2	
COSCOB1 CRRA PF	0 26		0 26	0 26	0 26	19.5 25.6		COECCA	CC NG	3.5 55.3	1	1	:	3.48	
CRRA PF CRRRA PF	24 59		24 59	24 59	24 59	27.1 58.5		CEC 004 DAYVILLE POND U	HOR WAT	1.2	1	1	٠	1.23	
DEV#1516 DEV#1718	0		0	0	0				HOR WAT	1.6	‡	1	-	1.55	
DEVGAS11 DEVGAS12	30 0		30 0	30 0	30 0	29.3 29.2		COS COB 11 COS COB 12	GT JF	18.9	1		1		
DEVGAS13 DEVGAS14	0		0	0	0	30.0 29.7		COS COB 13 COS COB 14	GT JF	19.2	1		1		
DEXTR PF KC_GEN	97 16		37 16	37 16	37 16	38,0 14,0		CRRA HARTFORD LANDFILE Cyles 1	GT LFG IC FO2	1.9	1	1	1	1,89	
KLEENGT1	310 155		10 55	310 155	310 155		620	Cyleo 2 Cyleo 3	IC FO2	1.8	1		1		3
KLEENGT2 LAKERD#1	155		55 0	155 233	155 0	245.8	L	DEVON 10	HDR WAT	7,5	1	1	٠,	7.05	1:
LAKERD#2 LAKERD#3	0		0	251 255	0	251,3 248,2		DEVON 11 DEVON 12	GT JF	29.3			-		25
MIDDLTWN MIDDTN#2	0		0	0	0 117	117.0	188	DEVON 13 DEVON 14	GT JF	30,0 29.7			-		11
MIDDTN#3 MIDDTN#4	0		36 0	236 G	236 400	236,0 400.0		DEXTER EAST WINDSOR NORCAP LI	GC NG GT LFG	38.0	1		•		23 40
MILFD#1 MILFD#2	253 252	2	53 52	253 252	253 252	260.3 260.8			ST TOF HOP WAT	3.5	1	1	•	24.2 3.48	250 250
MILL#2 MILL#3	917 1284			917 1284	917 1284	876.9 1,137,5			OT JF HDR WAT	15,4	1		- 1		917 128
MONTV#5 MONTV#6	0		0	0	0	81.0 407.4		JOHN STREET #3	IC FO2	2.0	1	1	1	3	(
NH HAR#2 NH HAR#3	0		0	0	0		130	JOHN STREET #4 John Street 1	IC FO2	2.0	:		1		9
NH HAR#4 NH HAR#5	0		0	0	0			JOHN STREET 5 KIMB ROCKY RIVER PH2	IC FO2	14.0			-		(
NH HARBR NORHAR#1	448		48 0	448 0	448	447.9 162.0		IGHNEYTOWN B	HDR WAT	0.6	1	1		0.69	448
NORHAR#2 PIERCE	75		0 75	0 75	0 75	168,0 75,4	***	LAKE ROAD 1 LAKE ROAD 2	CC NG	245.8 231.3					75
PLAINFLD RVRSD PF	0		0	0	0		777 777	LAKE ROAD 3 LISBON RESOURCE RECOV	ST MSW	13.0	1	1	:	13	0
SHEPAUG SMD1112J	42		42 0	42 0	42 38	41,5 73,48		MECHANICOVILLE	HDR WAT	0.1	1	1		0.05	42 36
SMD1314J	0		Đ	0	0	75.06		MIDDLETOWN 10 MIDDLETOWN 2	ST FO8 GT JF ST FO8	17.1	1		1		0
STEVENSN	28		28	28	28	28.3		MIDOLETOWN 3	ST FOR	236.0 400.0			-		26 85
WALL LV1	44 0		0	0	85 0 0	82,8 84,8 41,2		MILFORD FOWER 1 MILFORD FOWER 2	ST FOR CC NG	260.3 260.8					(
WALL LV3 WATERTWN			0 96	0 96	0	95.7	26.25	MILLSTONE POINT 2 MILLSTONE POINT 3	ST NUC	876.9 1,137.5			-		96
WATGEN WSIDE1 WSIDE2	24		24 0	24 0	24 24	71.2		MONTVILLE 19 and 11 MONTVILLE 5	IC PO2 ST FO6	5.3 81.0	1		1		24
WSIDE3	24		<u>a</u>	0	24			MONTVILLE 6 NEW HAVEN HARBOR	ST FOS	407,4 447,0			-		24
total	5570	55	83	6322	6400	7466	1212	NEW MILFORD	GT OBG	2.2	1	1	- 1	2.22	7139
Conn Imp Conn Export	2536 -2528	25 -25		2530 -1785	1729 1725			NORDEN 2 NORDEN 3	IC FO2	1.9	1		1		
Cross Sound Conn Load?		-3	52	-352 7761	-352 7777			NORWALK HARBOR 1 NORWALK HARBOR 10 (3)	ST FOE	152.0	1		• 1		
	7746	77		7755 6	7773 4			NORWALK HARBOR 2 NORWICH JET	ST FO6	168.0	1		- ;		
DFC-ERG MI				-				NORWICH WATP PIERCE STATION	IC FO2	2.0 76.4	i		1		
Additional Pro		14					127.2	PINCHBECK PPL WALLINGFORD UNIT 1	ST WDS GT NG	42,7			;		
	317	2	25	225	394	737		PPL WALLINGFORD UNIT 2 PPL WALLINGFORD UNIT 3	G7 NG GT NG	40.1 42.9			:		
	317						135	PPL WALLINGFORD UNIT 4 PPL WALLINGFORD UNIT 5	GT NG	41.9 41.2			:		
				noa	e steam	1,671,4			HOR WAT	0.2	1		:	0.16 0.31	
						1483		RAINBOW ROBERTSVILLE	HOP WAT	8.2 0.4	1	1	:	8.2 0.35	
								ROCKY RIVER SANDY HOOK HYDRO	PS WAT	29.4 0,1	1	1	:	29,4 0.08	
								SECREC-PRESTON	HDR WAT	1.7	\$ \$	1	-	1,67 16	
								SHELTON LANDFILL SHEPAUG	ST LFG HW WAT	41.5	1		-		
								SO, MEADOW 11 SO, MEADOW 12	OT JF	35.8 37.7			-		
								SO, MEADOW 13 SO, MEADOW 14	GT JF	38.3 38.7			-		
								SO, MEADOWS SO, MEADOWS	ST MSW	25.8			-		
								STEVENSON TAPTVILLE CT	HW WAT	28,3 2,0	1	1	:	2.03	
								TORRINGTON TERMINAL 18 TOUTANT	HOR WAT	15,6 0,4	1	1	. 1	0.4	
								TUNNEL 10	HDR WAT	1.3	1	1	•	1.26	
								WALLINGFORD REFUSE	ST MOW	1,5 6.4	1	1	:	1.5 6.35	
								WATERBURY GENERATION WATERSIDE POWER	GT PO2	95.7 71.2			:		
								WHEELABRATOR BRIDGEP	HDR WAT	58.5	1	1	:	0.23	
								WILLEMANTIC 2	HOR WAT	0.2 } 7 848 7	382.2	1	39.2	0.23	
										7,848.2	302.2	143,1 2 29	25		
								FCA2 total		8,207.1	FCA2				
								MSW Wat		101.2 136.1	101.4 125.1			49 66	
								UFG OBG		1.9				1.9 2.2	
								TOF						24	
														143	

	Local So	ourcing I	Requirem	ent in C	onnectio	cut						
		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Connecticut Sub-Area 50/50 Peak Load	[1]	7,510	7,625	7,750	7,860	7,960	8,045	8,120	8,190	8,245	8,300	8,356
LSE's Installed Capacity Requirement in CT		8,653	8,832	8,813	9,122	9,255	9,357	9,451	9,548	9,619	9,684	9,749
DSM RM gross-up		1.150	1.143	1,161	1,000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1,000	1.000	1.000
Pool reserve		15.2%	15.8%	13.7%	16.1%	16.3%	16.3%	16,4%	16,6%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Local Sourcing Requirement in CT	[2]	n/a	6,737	6,817	7,570	7,655	6,598	6,694	6,811	6,914	7,031	7,162
2008 CT Sub-Area Internal Installed Capacity per ISO-NE Additional Planned Capacity	[3]	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946
Inclusion of Lake Road Units in CT	[4]						760	760	760	760	760	760
Connecticut peaking generation contracts	[5]		188	376	506	506	506	506	506	506	506	506
DPUC Public Act 05-01 contracts	[6]		96	716	716	716	716	716	716	716	716	716
Additional new capacity cleared in FCA#1	[7]		148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148
Additional planned new capacity	[8]			0	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26
Connecticut Project 150 not in FCM	נפן	2	10	14	104	127	127	127	127	127	127	127
Assumed new renewable generation	[10]	19	34	34	35	35	46	57	67	77	86	95
Assumed economic retirements, cancellations, or delays	'nή	0	0	0	0	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)
Net Planned Capacity Additions	[12]	21	476	1,287	1,534	291	1,062	1,073	1,083	1,093	1,102	1,111
Demand-Side Management	[13]											
"Active" demand resources cleared in FCA#1	[14]	525	523	528	487	487	487	487	487	487	487	487
Emergency Gen (capacity value)		235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235
Additional "active" demand resources qualified in FCA#2	[15]			23	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
"Passive" demand resources cleared in FCA#1	[16]	163	218	221	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190
Additional "passive" demand resources qualified in FCA#2	[17]	0	0	83	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72
Additional Connecticut planned EE not in FCA#1/FCA#2	[18]	0	30	47	109	175	239	302	363	422	479	534
Additional EE planned by UI and CL&P (@ meter)	, ,	131	24	38	101	162	222	280	336	390	443	495
Total Demand Resources	[19]	687	771	903	879	944	1,009	1,071	1,132	1,191	1,248	1,304
Purchases & Sales		(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)
Total Installed Capacity in CT	[21]	7,555	8,092	9,036	9,258	8,082	8,917	8,990	9,061	9,130	9,196	9,261
CT LSR Shortfall (Surplus)	[22]	n/a	(1,355)	(2,219)	(1,688)	(427)	(2,319)	(2,296)	(2,250)	(2,215)	(2,165)	(2,098)

Sources and Notes:

371

502

- [1]: 2008 CELT 50/50 base economic growth peak load forecast through 2017 then extrapolated at 2016-17 growth rate.
- Sum of three electrically-defined Connecticut sub-areas: Norwalk, SW Connecticut, and rest of Connecticut.
- [2]: 2010: uses proposed value for 2010/11 reconfiguration auction in Nov 21, 2008 RCM meeting materials and includes a reserve margin adjustment.
 - 2011: from "Calculating Local Sourcing Requirements and Maximum Capacity Limit using System "As Is" Assumptions," PSPC Meeting 252, September 17, 2008.
 - 2012-13 from PSPC Meeting 253 using system "at Criterion" assumptions.
 - 2014-16: from PSPC meeting 254 using system "at Criterion," including the impact of NEEWS.
 - 2017-2019; estimated with fitted line.
- [3]: 2008 CELT; equal to summer expected capacity for the Connecticut sub-area.
- [4]: Assumes NEEWS in 2014, which would bring these Lake Road units electrically into Connecticut.
- [5]: Includes peaking generation contracted in Docket 08-01-01; Devon 15-18 online by June, 2010 (188 MW); Middletown 12-13 online by June, 2011 (188 MW); and New Haven Harbor to June, 2012 (130 MW).
- [6]: Includes Kleen online by June, 2011 (620 MW) and Waterbury online by June, 2010 (96 MW); Waterside is already included as existing in [3]; Amaresco is counted as a demand resour-
- [7]: Includes new units contracted or under construction, or expansions at existing sites, cleared in FCA#1.
- [8]: Includes additional units qualified in the FCA#2 which are (1) contracted or (2) expansions at existing site with an accepted offer below 0.75*CONE. Watertown Biomass (26 MW), although qualified in FCA#2, has been delayed to 2012.
- [9]: Assumes 150 MW contracted will come online; Watertown biomass (15 MW contracted for Project 150) and Milford (8 MW) are already counted in the FCA data.
- [10]: Assumed new renewable generation developed in the Renewables section of this IRP.
- [11]: Assumed environmentally-driven retirements in 2013 consistent with NRG comments in 2008 IRP (environmental analysis indicates these units would retire as early as 2011, although no or permanent delist bids were submitted in FCA #2).
- [12]: Sum of [4] through [11].
- [13]: All demand resource capacity values reflect the removal of the reserve margin gross-up in the years 2012 through 2019.
- [14]: 209-2010: "active" resources cleared in FCA#1, excluding emergency generation in excess of Connecticut's 235 MW share of the ISO's 600 MW capacity value limit.

 All years assume emergency generation remains at Connecticut's share of the ISO's 600 MW capacity value limit.
- [15]: Includes additional resources qualified in FCA#2, with an accepted offer below 0.75*CONE; assumes 50% additional derate.
- [16]: 2009: EDC planned EE.
 - 2010-2019: "passive" demand resources cleared in FCA#1.
- [17]: Includes additional resources qualified in FCA#2, with an accepted offer below 0.75*CONE; assumes 50% additional derate.
- [18]: Additional EE planned by EDCs.
- [19]; Sum of [14] through [18].
- [20]: Reflects the LIPA contract for 100 MW capacity over Cross Sound Cable through 2018. Assumed in place in 2019.
- [21]: Sum of [3], [12], [19], and [20].
- [22]: Equals [2] minus [21].

Connecticut Requirement Under Transmission Security Analysis													
		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
Connecticut Requirement under Transmission Security Analysis	[1]	6,669	6,900	7,040	7,160	7,275	6,280	6,360	6,430	6,500	6,571	6,642	
Connecticut Sub-Area 90/10 Peak Load	[2]	8,025	8,165	8,305	8,425	8,540	8,645	8,725	8,795	8,865	8,936	9,007	
Required Reserves (Millstone Unit 3)	[3]	1,144	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	
Connecticut Import Limit	[4]	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600	
2008 CT Sub-Aarea Internal Installed Capacity per ISO-NE	[5]	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	6,946	
Additional Planned Capacity													
Inclusion of Lake Road Units in CT	[6]	0	0	0	0	0	760	760	760	760	760	760	
Connecticut peaking generation contracts	[7]	0	188	376	506	506	506	506	506	506	506	506	
DPUC Public Act 05-01 contracts	[8]	0	96	716	716	716	716	716	716	716	716	716	
Additional new capacity cleared in FCA#1	[9]	0	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	148	
Additional planned new capacity	[10]	0	0	0	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
Connecticut Project 150 not in FCM	[11]	2	10	14	104	127	127	127	127	127	127	127	
Assumed new renewable generation	[12]	19	34	34	35	35	46	57	67	77	86	95	
Assumed economic retirements, cancellations, or delays	[13]	0	0	0	0	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	(1,267)	
Net Planned Capacity Additions	[14]	21	476	1,287	1,534	291	1,062	1,073	1,083	1,093	1,102	1,111	
Demand-Side Management	[15]												
"Active" demand resources cleared in FCA#1	[16]	525	523	528	487	487	487	487	487	487	487	487	
Additional "active" demand resources qualified in FCA#2	[17]	0	0	23	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
"Passive" demand resources cleared in FCA#1	[18]	163	218	221	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	190	
Additional "passive" demand resources qualified in FCA#2	[19]	0	0	83	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	72	
New "passive" demand resources qualified w/ accepted	offers be	0	0	167	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	
Additional derate for new demand resources		0	0	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	
Additional Connecticut planned EE not in FCA#1/FCA#2	[20]	0	30	47	109	175	239	302	363	422	479	534	
Additional EE planned by UI and CL&P (@ meter)		131	24	38	101	162	222	280	336	390	443	495	
Total Demand Resources	[21]	687	771	903	879	944	1,009	1,071	1,132	1,191	1,248	1,304	
Purchases & Sales	[22]	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	
Total Installed Capacity in CT	[23]	7,555	8,092	9,036	9,258	8,082	8,917	8,990	9,061	9,130	9,196	9,261	
Derated installed capacity for TSA	[24]	6,536	7,070	7,702	7,945	6,823	7,605	7,667	7,727	7,784	7,840	7,895	
CT TSA Shortfall (Surplus)	[25]	133	(170)	(662)	(785)	452	(1,325)	(1,307)	(1,297)	(1.284)	(1,270)	(1,253)	
Existing Peaking Generation (2008 CELT)		870	870	870	870	870	870	870	870	870	870	870	
New Peaking Generation		0	284	1,092	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	1,222	
Millstone 3		1,144	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,235	
Connecticut share of RTEG		235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	235	
Demand Resources for TSA (excl. RTEG, no RM gross-up)		393	469	575	644	709	774	836	897	956	1,013	1,069	
EFORd: Peaking Generation		33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	33%	
EFORd: Other Generation		5,17%	5.11%	5,11%	5,33%	5.33%	5.33%	5.33%	5.33%	5.33%	5.33%	5.33%	
EFORd: Demand Resources		46.08%	17.56%	17.56%	17.66%	17.66%	17.66%	17.66%	17.66%	17.66%	17.66%	17.66%	

Sources and Notes:

- [1]: Equals [2] plus [3] minus [4], consistent with ISO methodology used to assess Norwalk Harbor's dynamic delist bid in FCM.
- [2]: 2008 CELT 90/10 base economic growth peak load forecast through 2017 then extrapolated at 2016-17 growth rate.
- [3]: 2009, summer expected capacity of Millstone 3 in 2008 CELT.
- 2010-19 represents winter rating MW committed in FCA#1 and includes an 80 MW uprate.
- [4]: NEEWS is assumed in 2014, and increases the Connecticut import limit from 2,500 MW to 3,600 MW.
- [5]: 2008 CELT; equal to summer expected capacity for the Connecticut sub-area.
- [6]: Assumes NEEWS in 2014, which would bring these Lake Road units electrically into Connecticut.
- [7]: Includes peaking generation contracted in Docket 08-01-01: Devon 15-18 online by June, 2010 (188 MW); Middletown 12-13 online by June, 2011 (188 MW); and New Haven Harbor of June, 2012 (130 MW).
- [8] Includes Kleen online by June, 2011 (620 MW) and Waterbury online by June, 2010 (96 MW); Waterside is already included as existing in [5]; Amaresco is counted as a demand resource.
- [9]: Includes new units contracted or under construction, or expansions at existing sites, cleared in FCA#1.
- [10]: Includes additional units qualified in the FCA#2 which are (1) contracted or (2) expansions at existing site with an accepted offer below 0.75*CONE. Watertown Biomass (26 MW), although qualified in FCA#2, has been delayed to 2012.
- [11]: Assumes 150 MW contracted will come online; Watertown biomass (15 MW contracted for Project 150) and Milford (8 MW) are already counted in the FCA data.
- [12]: Assumed new renewable generation developed in the Renewables section of this IRP.
 [13]: Assumed environmentally-driven retirements in 2013 consistent with NRG comments in 2008 IRP (environmental analysis indicates these units would retire as early as 2011, although no or permanent delist bids were submitted in FCA #2).
- [14]: Sum of [6] through [13].
- [15]: All demand resource capacity values reflect the removal of the reserve margin gross-up in the years 2012 through 2019.
- [16]: 2009-2010: "active" resources cleared in FCA#1, excluding emergency generation in excess of Connecticut's 235 MW share of the ISO's 600 MW capacity value limit.
 All years assume emergency generation remains at Connecticut's share of the ISO's 600 MW capacity value limit.
- [17]: Includes additional resources qualified in FCA#2, with an accepted offer below 0.75*CONE; assumes 50% additional derate.
- [18]: 2009: EDC planned EE.
 - 2010-2019: "passive" demand resources cleared in FCA#1.
- [19]: Includes additional resources qualified in FCA#2, with an accepted offer below 0.75*CONE, assumes 50% additional derate.
- [20]; Additional EE planned by EDCs.
- [21]: Sum of [16] through [20].
- [22]: Reflects the LIPA contract for 100 MW capacity over Cross Sound Cable through 2018. Assumed in place in 2019.
- [23]: Sum of [5], [14], [21], and [22].
- [24] Demand resources exclude real-time emergency generation and the reserve margin gross-up, then are derated based on ICR assumptions. Millstone 3 is not derated; all other generating resources are derated based on ICR assumptions.
- [25]: Equals [1] minus [24].