### ORIGINAL

#### STATE OF CONNECTICUT

#### SITING COUNCIL

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

CONNECTICUT LIGHT & POWER COMPANY AND UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY

DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 P.M.)

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW 345-kV ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINE AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES BETWEEN THE SCOVILL ROCK SWITCHING STATION IN MIDDLETOWN AND THE NORWALK SUBSTATION IN

DOCKET NO. 272

DEC 2 3 2003
\*CONNECTICUT

SITING COUNCIL

BEFORE: PAMELA B. KATZ, CHAIRMAN

NORWALK, CONNECTICUT

BOARD MEMBERS: Colin C. Tait, Vice Chairman

Gerald J. Heffernan, DPUC Designee

Brian Emerick, DEP Designee

Daniel P. Lynch, Jr. Edward S. Wilensky Philip T. Ashton Brian O'Neill

James J. Murphy, Jr.

STAFF MEMBERS: S. Derek Phelps, Executive Director

Fred O. Cunliffe, Siting Analyst

Robert L. Marconi, AAG

#### **APPEARANCES:**

FOR THE APPLICANT, CONNECTICUT LIGHT & POWER COMPANY:

CARMODY & TORRANCE, LLP
50 Leavenworth Street
P.O. Box 1110
Waterbury, Connecticut 06721-1110
BY: ANTHONY M. FITZGERALD, ESQUIRE
BRIAN T. HENEBRY, ESQUIRE

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

#### FOR THE APPLICANT, UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY:

WIGGIN & DANA, LLP
One Century Tower
P.O. Box 1832
New Haven, Connecticut 06508-1832
BY: LINDA L. RANDELL, ATTORNEY
BRUCE L. McDERMOTT, ESQUIRE

1	Verbatim proceedings of a hearing
2	before the State of Connecticut Siting Council in the
3	matter of an application by Connecticut Light & Power
4	Company and United Illuminating Company, held at
5	Bridgeport City Hall, 45 Lyon Terrace, Bridgeport,
6	Connecticut, on December 17, 2003, at 7:10 p.m., at which
7	time the parties were represented as hereinbefore set
8	forth
9	
10	
11	CHAIRPMAN PAMELA B. KATZ: Before we
12	begin, on behalf of the nine members of the Siting
13	Council, I'd like to welcome you. In a moment, I'm going
14	to read our opening statement. I just want to tell you,
15	I was a selectman in my hometown, and I think our
16	selectmen's meeting room was probably a twentieth of the
17	size of this room. So this this is a little
18	intimidating for us up here. Are we ready to begin?
19	Ladies and gentlemen, this hearing is
20	called to order this day at 7:00 p.m., December 17, 2003.
21	My name is Pamela B. Katz, Chairman of the
22	Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council
23	are Brian Emerick, designee for Commissioner Rocque of
24	DEP; Gerald J. Heffernan, designee for Commissioner

1	Downes of DPUC; Edward S. Wilensky; James Murphy, Jr.;
2	Vice Chairman Colin C. Tait; Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.; Brian
3	O'Neill; and Philip T. Ashton.
4	Members of the staff here are Derek
5	Phelps, Executive Director, and Fred O. Cunliffe, Siting
6	Analyst on this docket.
7	The court reporter is Tony Vanacore and
8	the audio technician is Ed Chamberlain.
9	This hearing tonight is held pursuant to
10	the provisions of the General Statutes 16-50g through 16-
11	50aa and Sections 16-50j-1 through 16-50j-34 of the
12	Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies on an
13	application of The Connecticut Light and Power Company
L 4	and the United Illuminating Company for a Certificate of
15	Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the
16	construction of a new 345-kV transmission line and
L7	associated facilities between the Scovill Rock Switching
18	Station in Middletown and the Norwalk Substation in
19	Norwalk, Connecticut. This includes construction of the
20	Beseck Switching Station in Norwalk, the East Devon
21	Substation in Milford, and the Singer Substation in
22	Bridgeport, and modifications to the Scovill Rock
23	Switching Station and the Norwalk Substation and certain
24	interconnections. This application was received by the

1	Council on October 9, 2003.
2	This proceeding is a contested case under
3	the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act (UAPA) and will
4	be conducted in accordance with the applicable provisions
5	of the General Statutes of the State of Connecticut and
6	the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.
7	As required by law, ex parte or off-the-
8	record communication with a Council member or a Council
9	staff member on the merits of this application is
10	prohibited.
11	The parties and the intervenors to the
12	proceeding are as follows: The Applicants are
13	Connecticut Light & Power, represented by Anthony M.
14	Fitzgerald, Esquire, and Brian T. Henebry, Esquire, of
15	Carmody & Torrance, LLP. The Applicant is also United
16	Illuminating Company, represented by Linda L. Randell,
17	Esquire, and Bruce L. McDermott, Esquire, of Wiggin &
18	Dana, LLP.
19	An intervenor is the Norwalk Association
20	of Silvermine Homeowners, represented by Leigh Grant. A
21	party is Robert L. Megna, State Representative of the $97^{\rm t}$
22	District. An intervenor is Al Adinolfi, State
23	Representative of the 103 <sup>rd</sup> District.
2.4	A party is the Town of Middlefield.

UPARTING BE GLAR LUT

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

- 1 represented by Eric Knapp, Esquire, of Branse & Willis,
- 2 LLC. A party is the Town of Milford, represented by
- Julie Donaldson Kohler, Esquire, of Hurwitz & Sagarin,
- 4 LLC. A party is the Town of Wallingford, represented by
- 5 Peter G. Boucher, Esquire, of Halloran & Sage, LLP. A
- 6 party is the Town of Durham, represented by Peter G.
- 7 Boucher, Esquire, of Halloran & Sage, LLP. A party is
- 8 the City of Norwalk, represented by Louis S. Ciccarello,
- 9 Corporation Counsel. A party is the Town of Westport,
- 10 represented by Ira L. Bloom, Esquire.
- 11 An intervenor is Mary G. Fritz, State
- Representative of the 90<sup>th</sup> District. A party is the Town
- of Woodbridge, represented by Joanne D'Angelo, Esquire,
- 14 Town Counsel. A party is the City of Meriden,
- 15 represented by Deborah L. Moore, Esquire, of the City of
- 16 Meriden. And a party is Attorney General Richard
- Blumenthal, represented by Michael C. Wertheimer,
- 18 Assistant Attorney General.
- 19 An intervenor is Raymond Kalinowski, State
- 20 Representative of the 100<sup>th</sup> District. A party is the City
- of Bridgeport, represented by Melanie J. Howlett,
- 22 Associate City Attorney. A party is Communities for
- 23 Responsible Energy, represented by Trish Bradley,
- 24 President. A party is the Office of Consumer Counsel,

T	represented by Mary J. Healey, Consumer Counsel, and
2	Bruce C. Johnson, Staff Attorney.
3	An intervenor is Themis Klarides, State
4	Representative from the $114^{\rm th}$ District. A party is The
5	Woodlands Coalition for Responsible Energy, Inc.,
6	represented by Lawrence J. Golden, Esquire, of Pullman &
7	Comley, LLC. An intervenor is ISO New England, Inc.,
8	represented by Anthony M. MacLeod, Esquire, of Whitman,
9	Breed, Abbott & Morgan, LLC.
10	An intervenor is the Department of
11	Transportation, represented by Arthur W. Gruhn, P.E.,
12	Chief Engineer. An intervenor is John E. Stripp, State
13	Representative of the $135^{\mathrm{th}}$ District. An intervenor is
14	the Town of Fairfield, represented by Kenneth A. Flatto,
15	First Selectman. An intervenor is PSEG Power of
16	Connecticut, LLC, represented by Harold W. Borden, Vice
17	President and General Counsel.
18	This hearing held tonight is solely for
19	the public to make brief oral statements into the record
20	Specifically, this is an opportunity for citizens, who
21	are not formally participating in the process as a party
22	or intervenor, to make their views known to the Council.
23	These public statements are not subject to cross-
24	examination and submission thereof does not entitle the

1	submitter to the right of cross-examination of the
2	parties and intervenors or the Council.
3	In fairness to everyone who wishes to
4	speak, I wish to limit statements to three minutes. And
5	we have a stoplight up here and it will indicate when
6	your time is up. And when it goes to yellow, you have 30
7	seconds left. These statements will become part of the
8	record for Council consideration.
9	In addition, written statements sent to
10	the Council within 30 days after the last hearing session
11	in this proceeding will be given the same weight as
12	spoken statements. The mailing address for the Council
13	is 10 Franklin Square, New Britain, Connecticut 06051,
14	and it appears on the easel, as does our website address.
15	A verbatim transcript will be made of each
16	hearing session and all transcripts will be deposited
17	with the towns and city clerk offices within the
18	municipalities of Middletown, Middlefield, Haddam,
19	Durham, Meriden, Wallingford, Cheshire, Haddam, Bethany,
20	Woodbridge, Orange, West Haven, Milford, Stratford,
21	Bridgeport, Fairfield, Westport, Norwalk, Easton,
22	Trumbull, Weston, Wilton, North Haven and New Haven.
23	After all the public hearings in the
24	municipalities have concluded, the Council will begin

9

1 hearings for the purpose of cross-examination of evidence 2 by the Council, its staff, and the parties and 3 intervenors. The date, time and locations of the evidentiary hearings will be noticed to the parties and 4 5 intervenors and posted on the Council's website, which is 6 wwwct.gov/csc. And if you didn't get that web address, 7 as I said, it's on the easel up front here. 8 At the end of each hearing session of this 9 proceeding, I will announce the date, time, and place of 10 the next session. 11 Prior to this meeting, I made a courtesy 12 call to the Mayor, as I usually do, and he unfortunately 13 had another obligation tonight, but he told me that he was sending a representative to speak. So at this time, 14 I'd like to -- I'd like to recognize the representative 15 16 for the Mayor of the City of Bridgeport, Mr. Michael P. 17 Nidoh -- if I -- who is the Director of Planning. 18 COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell 19 your name. 20 MR. MICHAEL NIDOH: Michael P. Nidoh, N-i-21 d-o-h. All set? Okay. 22 Good evening members of the Connecticut 23 Siting Council, representatives of the Town of Fairfield

and Trumbull, and other residents of Bridgeport and these

1	communities. On behalf of Mayor John Fabrizi, I welcome
2	you to the City of Bridgeport.
3	My name is Mike Nidoh. I'm the Director
4	of Planning for the City of Bridgeport and have been
5	working with the representatives of the United
6	Illuminating Company on the Bridgeport segment of the
7	proposed Middletown and Norwalk 345-kV transmission line
8	project. The City of Bridgeport has had an ongoing
9	dialogue with the UI Company that has been that has
10	involved the city departments of Emergency Services,
11	public facilities, Health, Engineering, Planning, and the
12	Office of the City Attorney. There has been an excellent
13	line of communication between the City and the UI Company
14	as this project has developed, and the City fully expects
15	to continue this dialogue through the final design,
16	permitting, construction and beyond.
17	Southwestern Connecticut has well
18	documented power needs that not only impact the economic
19	well being of Fairfield County, but also it affects the
20	quality of life of our residents. We all have the
21	tendency to expect that there will be power on demand.
22	However on a hot summer day when brownouts occur and
23	power reduction warnings are issued, we will all still
24	stand around and wonder why someone hasn't done something

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 to address this problem. We are here tonight to do just 2 that. The City of Bridgeport supports the United 3 4 Illuminating Company's proposal to route this 345-kV 5 transmission line underground through the city. Our 6 discussions with the company have already resulted in 7 some route changes that were based on city concerns. We 8 continue to discuss with the company such outstanding 9 issues as how these cables will pass under several 10 railroad, highway, river, and utility crossings, and 11 fully expect to be able to resolve these items amicably 12 over the coming months. 13 Of concern to the City of Bridgeport is 14 the siting of the proposed substation here in the city. 15 The City supports the proposed Singer Substation site on 16 lower Main Street and the city south end neighborhood. 17 We will vigorously oppose any substation sites that are 18 located within the historic residential neighborhood of 19 The siting of such a facility within the the south end. neighborhood would adversely impact the City's 20 established, redevelopment and land use plans of the 21 22 south end. 23 The City of Bridgeport respectfully 24 requests that the Connecticut Siting Council use its

1	authority to direct the siting of this proposed
2	substation to the United Illuminating Company's
3	recommended Singer site, thereby protecting the integrity
4	of the residential character of the City's south end.
5	Notwithstanding the State's approval of the substation
6	location, it is the position of the City that local
7	zoning approval is also required pursuant to Section 16-
8	235 of the Connecticut General Statutes. My department
9	intends to assist UI with that application and will
10	support them at those public proceedings.
11	Other issues of interests to the City
12	center around the development of a traffic management
13	plan, repaving of streets involved in construction, and
14	coordination of construction operations. The City is
15	already committed to working with the UI Company and its
16	contractors to resolve any conflicts with city ordinances
17	that regulate utility companies excavations in the
18	streets and nighttime construction prohibitions.
19	In summary, the City of Bridgeport is
20	supportive of the United Illuminating Company's plans to
21	locate the 345-kV transmission line again underground
22	within the city. Furthermore, it strongly supports the
23	siting of the proposed substation in the south end
24	neighborhood at the UI's recommended Singer site on lower

1	Main Street.
2	Once again, on behalf of Mayor Fabrizi, I
3	thank you for making the City of Bridgeport your first
4	site for the public hearings on the proposed Middletown
5	to Norwalk transmission line project. If you haven't
6	already enjoyed a ballgame at Harvey Yards Park and a
7	concert at the arena, a show at the Playhouse on the
8	Green or the Downtown Cabaret Theatre, or visited the
9	Barnes Museum or the Discovery Museum, or any of our fine
10	restaurants, please do so at your earliest convenience.
11	You will be glad that you did. Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. Nidoh. And
13	do you also work for the Department of Economic
14	Development?
15	MR. NIDOH: Yes, I do. (Laughter).
16	CHAIRMAN KATZ: At this time in a
17	moment we're going to do public officials and residents,
18	but before we do that, the Applicants for this
19	application are going to make a brief presentation to the
20	public in the form of a video, and we will do that now.
21	MR. JOHN PRETE: Madam Chairman, I'm John
22	Prete, P-r-e-t-e. I'm the Project Director for UI
23	Company. And on behalf of UI and CL&P and at your
24	request, we have prepared a presentation. The

1	presentation summarizes our application, our project. It
2	will highlight the need, it will highlight the solution,
3	and it will go into details and the effect of Bridgeport,
4	Fairfield, and Trumbull. We have elected to do a self-
5	directed presentation so that we maintain a consistency
6	throughout the numerous public hearings that we'll have
7	over the next couple of months and to maintain
8	conciseness. So with that, I'll ask the presentation to
9	begin. And as it ends, it will also conclude our message
10	as you had asked. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you.
L2	(Whereupon, a video presentation prepared
L3	by the Applicant was played for the public.)
L 4	
L5	VIDEO PRESENTATION
16	
L7	The following is a brief summary of the
18	Middletown/Norwalk Project Proposal prepared at the
19	request of the Connecticut Siting Council for use during
20	its public hearings.
21	Economic growth in Connecticut has brought
22	with it an increasing demand for electricity. Between
23	1990 and 2001, electric consumption grew by 27 percent
24	statewide, significant growth despite Connecticut being

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 among the best in the country at conserving power. 2 During that same time period, electric consumption grew 3 by 30 percent in the southwest quarter of the State and by 33 percent in the greater Norwalk/Stamford area. 5 Today, Southwest Connecticut accounts for half the power consumption in the State. 6 7 Electrically, no state is an island. Every state relies on an interstate electric transmission 8 9 grid to move power to where it is needed. Most of the 10 time, Connecticut uses more power than it generates and needs to import about 2,000 megawatts or nearly one-third 11 12 of the power consumed in the State. Most of 13 Connecticut's imported power enters and moves around the 14 State on a 345 kilovolt or a 345-kV system. There are 15 nearly 400 miles of 345-kV lines in Connecticut. 16 the standard voltage for moving power throughout New 17 England. But the 345-kV system stops at the threshold of 18 Southwest Connecticut, which is served today by an increasingly inadequate 115-kV system. 19 In fact, the 115-20 kV system in Southwest Connecticut no longer meets 21 regional and national reliability standards. 22 The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 23 has designated Southwest Connecticut as one of the

nation's most severe reliability risks. And the

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 Independent System Operator of New England's transmission 2 grid has repeatedly indicated that upgrading the system 3 in Southwest Connecticut is the most urgent need in the 4 region. 5 Regional planning led by ISO New England has determined that to meet reliability standards, the 6 7 345-kV system should be extended into Southwest 8 Connecticut by strengthening the power source to a point 9 in Wallingford, and connecting that source to substations 10 in Milford, Bridgeport, and Norwalk. 11 To strengthen this source and make these 12 connections, CL&P and UI looked at a variety of overhead 13 and under-street options for each section of the upgrade. 14 After evaluating each option and each combination of 15 options, according to its system and technical merits, 16 its property and environmental impact, and its cost to consumers, the highest ranked solution was a combination 17 18 of overhead and under-street segments. 19 East of Milford the width of the existing right-of-way ranges from 125 to 320 feet, a sufficient 20 21 width to allow for an overhead solution, and all but a 22 small area in Middletown and Haddam. However, west of Milford the right-of-way has long stretches that are only 23

80 feet wide. If the existing right-of-way were to be

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

used here, 45 or more feet would need to be added to the
width of the right-of-way. This would require the
purchase of more than 115 acres of land and the
dislocation of an estimated 29 homes.

Although under-street solutions can run three to ten times the cost of overhead solutions, in this section of the project the shorter route of an under-street solution and the avoided cost of buying property to widen the existing overhead right-of-way, make an under-street solution comparable in cost to the overhead option.

The proposed route through Bridgeport is an all underground route that enters Bridgeport heading west along Barnum, Noble and Washington Avenues, heads south along Housatonic Avenue, continues as it becomes Water Street, shifts to following the railroad where Water Street meets I-95, and follows the railroad until turning onto Fairfield Avenue, which it follows into the Town of Fairfield. A short run along Main Street and Broad Street would be needed to tie the proposed Singer Substation into the system. This is the proposed route through Bridgeport.

A change proposed by the City of

Bridgeport during the municipal consultation process and

1	supported by the companies would take the route further
2	south along Noble Avenue, then follow the railroad across
3	the Pequonnock River before turning onto Water Street.
4	Alternative A, detailed in the
5	application, would run underground along the railroad
6	from the proposed Singer Substation, go north at Clinton
7	Avenue, then follow Brooklawn Avenue into Fairfield.
8	This route would be part of a design that would
9	transition into an existing overhead right-of-way through
10	Fairfield, Easton, Weston, Wilton and Norwalk.
11	Alternative B, detailed in the
12	application, is a mostly overhead route through every
13	town. In northern Bridgeport, the 80-foot right-of-way
14	would need to be widened to 125 feet. In eastern
15	Bridgeport, the 60-foot right-of-way would need to be
16	widened to 175 feet, and a two-acre transition station
17	would need to be built near Barnum and Seaview Avenues to
18	run the connection underground to the proposed Singer
19	Substation through this densely developed area of
20	Bridgeport. Widening the rights-of-way in Bridgeport
21	would require the dislocation of homes.
22	The proposed route in Fairfield is an all
23	underground route that enters Fairfield on Fairfield
24	Avenue and follows Boston Post Road most of the way

1	through town. The route would leave Boston Post Road
2	briefly, taking Pequot Avenue and Old Post Road before
3	returning to Boston Post Road as it goes into Westport.
4	This is the proposed route through Fairfield.
5	Alternative A, detailed in the
6	application, would have the underground route enter
7	Fairfield on Brooklawn Avenue, then take Stratfield Road,
8	which is Route 59, to the existing transmission right-of-
9	way in the north of town. A two to four-acre transition
10	station would convert the underground into overhead lines
11	that would run along an existing right-of-way through
12	Fairfield, Easton, Weston, Wilton and Norwalk. The 80-
13	foot right-of-way in this area would need to be widened
14	to 125 feet.
15	Alternative B, detailed in the
16	application, involves an entirely overhead route through
17	Fairfield and the towns to the west. As with the other
18	overhead alternative, it would require widening the
19	existing 80-foot right-of-way to 125 feet. Alternative E
20	would require the dislocation of homes in Fairfield.
21	In Trumbull, neither the proposed
22	underground route nor Alternative A would affect the
23	town. However, Alternative B would involve widening the
24	existing 100-foot right-of-way from Stratford to 115

Т	reet, and widening the existing 80-100t right-of-way into
2	Fairfield to 125 feet. No widening would be needed for
3	the right-of-way into Bridgeport. This alternative would
4	require the dislocation of homes in Trumbull.
5	As the blackout on August $14^{\mathrm{th}}$ showed us, a
6	weakness anywhere on the grid can affect an area much
7	larger than where the weakness is. Because the
8	Middletown/Norwalk Project will provide significant
9	reliability and economic benefits to customers anywhere
10	on the New England regional grid, the companies
11	anticipate that the \$604 million dollar cost of the
12	proposed solution will be shared by customers throughout
13	New England. And according to a December 2002 ruling by
14	the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, completion of
15	the project by 2007 at reasonable costs will greatly
16	assist in obtaining this regionalized rate treatment.
17	END PRESENTATION
18	
19	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you. At this time,
20	we will first recognize public officials and then members
21	of the public. When I call your name, please come up to
22	the podium. And we ask that you observe our three-minute
23	traffic light. Can I have the list of public officials
24	who signed up. (Pause). The first name on the list is

HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 State Senator John McKinney. Senator McKinney. 2 COURT REPORTER: Spell your name. 3 SENATOR JOHN McKINNEY: J-o-h-n, M-c-K-i-4 n-n-e-y. 5 Thank you, Chairman Katz and members of the Siting Council. For the record, my name is John 6 7 McKinney, State Senator. I represent the Towns 8 Fairfield, Easton, Weston, and Newtown. I want to first 9 thank you for all of your hard work with respect to Phase 10 1, and looking at your lengthy public hearing schedule for all of the hard work you have ahead of you on Phase 11 12 2. 13 I stood before you in the Town of Weston in strong opposition to Phase 1 because I believed then 14 15 and still believe now that our future energy needs are better served by more energy conservation, better energy 16 17 efficiency, and not in 130-foot tall steel poles. 18 While the State of Connecticut is still 19 not doing enough with respect to energy conservation, the 20 345-kV line is here. And now the question is how do we get it done on Phase 2. The primary route, which would 21 22 go under-street through the Town of Fairfield is the 23 better route and the route that I am here to speak in

favor of. Clearly our -- the character of our

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 communities, our environment are, I believe, hurt through 2 130-foot tall towers. And I want to compliment the 3 Applicants for realizing that there is more that can be 4 done with respect to under-grounding or under-streeting. I also want to thank you for all of your work that 5 6 you've done on that too. 7 As you may recall, Phase 1 was initially 8 put out without any of the lines going underground. 9 Thanks to a little intervention on the part of the 10 Legislature, a lot of hard work on your part and the 11 parts of the five towns, we realized that a lot of the 12 route could be put underground. I would just ask that 13 you consider as you look at Devon Station and points 14 north, whether there is more room for the proposal to go 15 underground or under-street. I could stand here before 16 you and say that the 130-foot poles aren't going to be in 17 my backyard and that's great and leave it at that, but I 18 think more can be done underground and under-street. And I would ask that as you go forward with this application, 19 2.0 you question the Applicants to see why more can't be done 21 north of Devon Station. 22 The last thing I would urge is that we 23 move forward with this proposal in as timely a manner as 24 possible. I have a great fear that something down in

1	Washington might happen which will give the FERC power
2	and control over everything that happens in Connecticut
3	and take it away from you and take it away from the
4	citizens of this State, and I think that would be a
5	terrible tragedy.
6	So, I again want to thank you for all of
7	your hard work, and speak in favor of the primary route,
8	which is underground and under-street, as I think that is
9	the better alternative to meet our energy needs, and
10	appreciate all your time. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Senator. Next,
12	speaking for Congressman Shays is Paul Pimentel.
13	COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell
14	your last name please.
15	MR. PAUL PIMENTEL: Paul Pimentel, P-i-m-
16	e-n-t-e-l. I'm District Director for Congressman
17	Christopher Shays and he's asked me to read the following
18	statement on his behalf.
19	"Madam Chairman and Members of the Siting
20	Council, thank you for taking the time to come to
21	Bridgeport to hear from the public on this important
22	issue.
23	We can all agree that some amount of
24	additional power will be necessary in our region in the

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 next 20 years, but I believe there are still many 2 unanswered questions about the exact size of the need. 3 As a state and a nation, we are not doing enough to be energy efficient and to slow the growth of demand for 5 power. Clearly much more can be done to encourage 6 conservation and demand management, including 7 implementation of real time and peak pricing programs so 8 business and residential users can make an informed 9 economic decision about the cost of using power at times 10 of peak demands. With that said, it would seem the Council 11 12 has already made its decision about the need for 345-kV, 13 and so my comments will focus on the question of how that 14 additional transmission capacity will be provided. 15 bottom line is it is simply unacceptable to have 117-foot 16 tall towers running through residential neighborhoods. 17 The potential environmental and quality of life impacts 18 of such a plan are enormous and deserve the utmost 19 consideration in your deliberations. 20 I am very grateful that the draft plan put forward by the utility recognizes these facts and calls 21 22 for much greater use of underground transmission in 23 Fairfield County than previous proposals. Nonetheless, 24 I'm hopeful even more of the line can be buried.

1	cannot and frankly need not allow meeting our community's
2	future power needs to come at the expense of our
3	environment or by destroying the character of our towns.
4	I urge the Siting Council to support under-grounding of
5	the 345-kV lines to the maximum extent possible. Thank
6	you."
7	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Sir. Next is
8	State Senator Bill Finch.
9	COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell
10	it please.
11	SENATOR BILL FINCH: It's Bill Finch, B-i-
12	l-1, F-i-n-c-h.
13	First off, I sincerely want to thank you
14	for coming to Bridgeport. I do an electronic newsletter
15	to about twelve hundred people who have asked to be kept
16	in touch with state government and I put that in there
17	this week and a lot of people reacted very positively
18	that you were coming here to Bridgeport to hear people's
19	concerns. And I really want to tell you how much we
20	appreciate you being here. It's isn't just a great place
21	to go out to dinner and to the ballpark, it's full of
22	great people with a lot of good ideas.
23	Although I'm not a formal intervenor, I
24	guess the people here there's a few of us that weren't

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 as legislators -- I want to say that during the process 2 of the give and take during the legislature, we did make 3 our feelings known to the utility company. There are a lot of issues that they come before us for. And I want 5 to say how proud I am of both the Woodlands Coalition, 6 the Siting Council, and the utility companies for coming 7 up with a proposal that so many people can feel good I work in the field of economic development and 8 9 it always seems like if it stinks, or if it smells, or if 10 it doesn't pay taxes, we end up with it. And this isn't one of those things. This is a good thing for us. 11 12 going to make sure the lights are on and it's not going 13 to take up land that we could use in our very tiny little 14 city for economic development. 15 I don't think that when I saw you folks on the CTN network a couple of years ago, I ever thought I 16 17 would be standing here saying thank you, this is a great Not that I didn't have confidence in you or the 18 process, but it was so contentious. And I don't need to 19 20 tell you that. 21 This is a wonderful thing. Certainly it 22 could be improved, but as we in the Legislature know,

POST REPORTING SERVICE HAMDEN, CT (800) 262-4102

matter how much you compromise, there may still be things

there are many times when no matter what you do, no

23

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

that are left off the table that you aren't able to do despite the fact that you want to. There may be ways we can make this plan better, but in terms of my end of the State, the 22<sup>nd</sup> Senatorial and the entire 4<sup>th</sup> congressional district downward, we're very happy that this part of the plan is underground, allowing us great economic development opportunities because it's not taking land and it's providing the electricity we so desperately need.

So, I -- I want to thank you. And I want to thank the members again of the Woodland Coalition and the utility companies for compromising and putting together a plan that we can be proud of. And as I said, I'm sure there are things we can do about it differently. Senator McKinney eluded to maybe a few other places that it could be put underground, but I don't want to lose sight of the fact that where we started was that none could be put underground because of technology problems, and we're today at a time when a great part of this line is being put underground.

I want to thank you. I want to make sure that you understand that we want it underground and we don't want any changes to the current proposal that has it underground through Bridgeport. Thank you very much.

HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Senator. 2 is State Senator Ernest Newton. 3 SENATOR ERNEST NEWTON: For the record, my name is Ernie Newton, State Senator for Bridgeport and 5 Stratford. I also would like to welcome you to a great 6 city and say this is the best place to have your first 7 hearing. 8 And I must admit that the first proposal 9 that came out with the towers and the overhead, I was 10 totally against it, especially coming through urban areas 11 where people have to go out their homes and look at these 12 towers. I was totally against it, and thank the General 13 Assembly for at least having a discussion on what it is 14 we should fight for to make sure that we put them 15 underground. 16 And so I want to commend you Madam 17 Chairman and this committee for listening to people who 18 really didn't want to walk out of their homes and look at 19 these tall power lines stretching across the State of 20 Connecticut. And I'm sure we will have some more debates 21 when the session opens on our problem with Long Island 22 Sound. I'm sure we will discuss that issue, but I want 23 to say that I want to commend you all for listening. You 24 know, a lot of people say that committees don't listen to

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

the people who they represent. And you all have listened to the consumers and the people who live in the State of Connecticut.

Now, I'm just hoping that -- we have a 5 saying in Hartford, that this is a work in progress, and 6 so we can always fine tune and improve it as we move 7 further down the line here in the State of Connecticut. So if there is any way possible that you all can put all 8 9 of it underground, I think we ought to look at that. 10 I know UI, who's a great friend to the City of 11 Bridgeport, might not agree with that, but I think it's 12 something to look at. And we've come a long ways from 13 your first proposal -- or their first proposal with the 14 towers on top of and going through the city that they 15 have agreed to put it underground. So, I want to commend you all, thank UI, and those individuals who listened to 16 17 the General Assembly and listened to the consumer that there was a way we could put them underground and be 18 cost-efficient. And so they did that. But I'm just 19 20 hoping that this is a work in progress for the other part 21 of the state that might have to look at the towers that 22 overlook them.

23 So thank you all for coming to Bridgeport.

And we do have some fine restaurants because I know

- 1 you're going to be hungry, some very fine restaurants.
- 2 And I'm sure the Mayor would love you all to spend your
- 3 money here in the city of Bridgeport. Thank you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Senator. And
- 5 we did have an excellent dinner over on Wall Street. The
- 6 next person is the First Selectman of Fairfield, Kenneth
- 7 Flatto. Mr. Flatto.
- 8 COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell
- 9 it please.
- 10 MR. KENNETH FLATTO: Ken Flatto, K-e-n, F-
- 11 l-a-t-t-o, First Selectman of Fairfield. And it's a
- 12 pleasure as well to be here tonight. It's a little damp
- out tonight, but thank you so much for coming out, thank
- 14 you for affording us this opportunity.
- And particular thanks to UI and NU for a
- 16 couple of things. This process has been very different.
- And really they deserve kudos to coming to our
- 18 communities -- coming to all the communities to listening
- 19 -- to trying to listen and to trying to assess what they
- 20 can do. We understand there is some issues. We're
- 21 hoping they can do as much as they can. We're hoping you
- 22 can do as much as you can to address and respond to the
- concerns of all the communities all the way along the
- route, in particular Segment 4 in the Town of Fairfield.

1	One of the interesting things about your
2	process is it's engendered a huge amount of regional
3	cooperation. We have absolute unanimity in our segment.
4	In the Towns of Fairfield, Westport, Trumbull, Easton,
5	Bridgeport, total consensus as to the primary route being
6	the only route that we believe is acceptable and
7	environmentally conscious, the only route that will
8	provide the mechanism that you need to have a smooth
9	process and to enable this project to go forward.
10	We would have had obviously a larger
11	turnout tonight. We had a turnout in our town in one of
12	the preliminary hearings of about 50 people. And across
13	the board, whether it was civic groups, our chamber of
14	commerce, everybody understands that the primary route
15	that is being recommended, which does go through
16	commercial districts of our town, is the appropriate
17	route to be underground through those districts. No. 1,
18	it is a hugely more quick route to be able to accomplish.
19	No. 2, the districts and the area to go through in terms
20	of the hours of work certainly will be of more benefit
21	and of less effect upon the community at large by going
22	through those routes.
23	The alternatives in reality aren't
24	alternatives. They are potentially an environmental

1 If you look at either Alternative A or 2 Alternative B in our town as an example, you would be 3 potentially going through expanded rights-of-way that are not only going to affect and impact historic parts of our 5 town, some of the most scenic parts of our town, but also 6 some of the key pieces of property in our town. The 7 largest taxpayer in our community is General Electric. 8 The GE property is right on the border of one of those 9 right-of-ways. I don't know what their response would be 10 if they were to see that go forward, but I can tell you it will not be a simply response. The neighborhoods that 11 12 would potentially be affected by either of those 13 alternatives and the historic properties that are along those neighborhoods, including a new elementary school 14 15 along Burr Street that is almost right alongside, would 16 be unbelievably adversely impacted. 17 So we are very very hopeful that you are 18 going to stick with the primary route under consideration for Segment 4. We are, obviously, supportive of all the 19 20 efforts of our fellow communities to urge you to do as 21 much as you can to make the entire route as community friendly as you can. But we thank you very much and we 22 23 hope you will support in our segment the primary route

and appreciate your consideration. And our testimony

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 will be forwarded to you as well. Thank you so much. 2 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. First 3 Selectman, we appreciate your comments. I understand there might have been a public official or two who did 5 not get a chance to sign up on the list and I just want to give them an opportunity. Is there any public 6 7 official in the room? Sir, if you can come down and identify yourself. 8 9 MR. RAYMOND BALDWIN: My name is Raymond 10 Baldwin, Jr., I'm the First Selectman of the Town of 11 Trumbull. 12 Again, I'd like to echo the sentiments of 13 the previous speakers in thanking you, Chairman Katz, for 14 being here in Bridgeport this evening. 15 My remarks may seem a bit self-serving 16 because the Town of Trumbull is an Alternative B route, 17 but I'd like to echo the sentiments of Senator Finch when 18 he said economic development is a key benefactor of this 19 proposal. We in the Town of Trumbull have experienced 20 significant growth in the last year or two. 21 anticipate nearly a million square feet of new corporate and industrial development in the Town of Trumbull. 22 And 23 I think the project that has been proposed, the 24 alternative that has been proposed is an appropriate one.

1	I too would like to commend the United
2	Illuminating Company for being so direct and forthright
3	with our community in telling us the options. And I'd
4	also like to commend the Woodland Coalition for
5	expressing their concerns. Again in echoing the previous
6	speakers, I'd like to see as much be done to put as many
7	of these lines underground as possible so that it does
8	not disrupt the communities that are affected directly.
9	Thank you very much.
10	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. First
11	Selectman. Is there any other town or public official
12	who wishes to speak? At this time, I will take the list
13	for members of the public. (Pause). Would you please
14	come down to the microphone and please give your name,
15	address, and spell your name for the court reporter. As
16	was mentioned earlier, we are developing a transcript of
17	this hearing, which will be here in the Bridgeport City
18	Hall. And if I massacre the pronunciation of your name,
19	feel free to correct me when you get to the microphone.
20	The first name I have on the list is Ellen
21	McCarthy Payden.
22	COURT REPORTER: Spell your name please.
23	MS. ELLEN McCARTHY PAYDEN: Good evening.
24	Ellen McCarthy Payden, P-a-v-d-e-n. And I live in

1 Weston, Connecticut. 2 COURT REPORTER: McCarthy is? 3 MS. PAYDEN: M-c-C-a-r-t-h-y. And since I 4 was going to miss your visit to our town, I wanted to 5 come here tonight. 6 So Chairwoman Katz and members of the 7 Siting Council, thank you for providing this opportunity 8 to express our concerns about Northeast Utilities and the 9 UI Company's Phase 2 transmission project from Middletown 10 to Norwalk. 11 My husband and I moved to Weston 12 approximately 25 years ago to enjoy and appreciate the 13 ambiance of nature. Our home is adjacent to the existing 14 power line right-of-way. Phase 2 will destroy the 15 environment of our home by the impact of encroaching 50 16 feet of our property by eminent domain, which will 17 eliminate approximately a hundred trees which provide 18 wildlife protection and habitats, as well as natural barriers for our property, obliterate tree root structure 19 20 so necessary to balance the water absorption and runoff, 21 which when removed will cause flooding to our basement 22 and garage, as well as impose potential negative impacts 23 on our well water. There is no city water available in

all of Weston. It will also destroy the leaching fields

1	of our septic system. The fumes and runoff of the
2	defoliation chemicals used to maintain the existing
3	right-of-way will invade not only our home but also
4	infiltrate the adjoining documented wetlands.
5	Located in Weston's historical district,
6	the visibility of 100-foot monopoles will severely impact
7	the scenic landscape of this beautiful New England
8	neighborhood.
9	Also, what are the determined health
10	implications of magnetic fields and corona related
11	effects by 345-kV power lines or of the decibel
12	contributions of this increased voltage.
13	If Connecticut truly needs to strengthen
14	the supply of energy, it should do so without placing
15	unfair and undue burdens on individual residents,
16	neighborhoods or towns. Burying the power lines
17	underground will minimize the impact on the environment.
18	It is the $21^{\rm st}$ Century and technology is available to
19	implement modern solutions. It is deplorable to consider
20	using overhead 1950's technology solely to enhance the
21	bottom line of greedy utility companies.
22	The preservation of our environment is in
23	your hands. Please do not miss this opportunity. We and
24	future generations trust that you will assist us in

- maintaining the beauty and safety of our state. Thank
  you for your time and consideration.
- 3 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you. Next on the
- 4 list is Claire Baker. What I think I'll also do in case
- 5 that person wants to move down toward the front, is I'll
- say who's up next and then I'll also say who's on deck so
- 7 they can move down. Following Miss Baker is Rabbi Israel
- 8 C. Stein.
- 9 COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell
- it please.
- MS. CLAIRE BAKER: My name is Claire
- Baker, C-l-a-i-r-e. Baker, B-a-k-e-r. And I live in
- 13 Fairfield. Do you need the address? Okay.
- 14 Thank you very much for coming and
- listening to us. I can't tell you what a wonderful
- 16 feeling it is to know that a private citizen has a chance
- to speak at a hearing like this.
- I also as a resident of Fairfield support
- the underground route 100 percent. I think it's an
- 20 excellent idea and excellent solution. The plans for
- 21 construction in themselves are very sensible and very
- 22 sensitive. I understand the work will be done at night,
- that Route 1 will not be a -- will not be completely
- 24 blocked, there will always be a lane open, and that

### HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

during the daytime the road will be covered with metal
plates so that traffic won't be disrupted and businesses
will not be affected. And I think that's an excellent
idea.

The alternate route, which is why I'm really here speaking, would go along the existing way, which is along my property. The tower is right on my property line. The expansion of the right-of-way would cause my lot to be nonconforming. I would lose control over much more of my property. And over the years, we have been very very proud of maintaining the habitat and the wildlife that we have on our properties. I am terrified to think of what the construction of these towers would do.

I understand there's a good possibility there would be blasting. The only route to the tower on my property would probably be my driveway. And I understand there would be many truckloads of cement and all kinds of construction equipment that would be coming up and down my driveway, which is a stone driveway, as are many of the driveways in our part of the country, and I just don't see how it could tolerate that.

I have a stream on my property. I'm concerned about the blasting. The line goes into

- wetlands as it leaves my property. My neighbors have
- 2 horses. I can't imagine how those horses could exist
- during this construction on the property. And then the
- 4 line would go up into a 183 acres of Brett Woods open
- 5 space. There are nesting sites of all kinds of wildlife
- 6 there. As you can see, I'm not being very technical
- about this. I'm a private citizen, but I am voicing my
- 8 concerns.
- 9 My last concern is about the herbicides
- that would be used to maintain the low vegetation. And
- 11 I'm also very concerned about that. Thank you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Miss Baker.
- 13 Next is Rabbi Israel C. Stein. On deck is Dave
- 14 Huntington.
- 15 RABBI ISRAEL C. STEIN: Israel, I-s-r-a-e-
- 16 l. Stein, S-t-e-i-n.
- 17 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, your first
- 18 name?
- 19 RABBI STEIN: Israel, I-s-r-a-e-l. Madam
- 20 Chairwoman, I thank you very much for coming to our
- 21 beautiful city and it is an honor to make this
- 22 presentation to you.
- 23 I've been involved in environmental
- concerns for a long long time and I'm proud to be here to

1	tell you that it's beginning to sound a lot like a chorus
2	with these complimentary remarks that you've heard and
3	continue to hear, that indeed for the least environmental
4	harm that the building of the lines under streets and
5	underground is indeed the preferred program to follow.
6	And it's not only a matter of those who are concerned
7	with the environment, but indeed those standards were
8	contained in a report that was written by the
9	representatives of the working group that was appointed
10	by Governor Rowland to study transmission lines during
11	last year's moratorium, and consisted of
12	environmentalists, state agency representatives, and
13	Northeast Utility representatives. So it wasn't only
14	those of us who love to hug trees, but for those indeed
15	who represent outside interests as well. Their
16	presentation and their suggestions represented a
17	consensus point of view as well. And that indeed they
18	not only abide by the environmental standards its own
19	people agreed upon, and they should be commended, but
20	also for those of us in the community who are concerned
21	with the quality of life as well.
22	I do want to address just quickly the
23	subject of the overhead transmission lines that can and
24	do impact on human life and natural surroundings. And

1	for that, I would suggest that you go to the Commerce
2	Park area in Bridgeport and there you will notice that
3	the towers march through crowded commercial areas with no
4	buffer at all and over a small wooded corridor separating
5	the office part from a residential area to the southwest.
6	And when you look at those lines that are so low and
7	swoop just above the buildings and the trees and realize
8	how injurious they are and how unpleasant they are and
9	how they run perpendicular to the major north/south road
10	servicing the city and cutting across the public rights-
11	of-way not following them and looking at that part of the
12	City of Bridgeport where those transmission structures
13	dominate the areas and dominate the streets and the
14	scenes, you can imagine the disruption that would be
15	caused if new and bigger towers were added, and then you
16	can appreciate the wisdom of placing the line completely
17	underground along the route following a major public
18	road. These are not only the standards that I as an
19	environmentalist am concerned with, but also for the
20	quality of life of people living in the area. And you've
21	heard so many interesting comments about that already and
22	also endorsed by the Comprehensive Assessment and Report
23	Energy Resources Infrastructure of the SWCT group. And I
24	thank you very much.

Τ	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Rabbi. Next is
2	Dave Huntington. On deck is Robert Halstead.
3	MR. DAVID HUNTINGTON: My name is David
4	Huntington, H-u-n-t-i-n-g-t-o-n, Fairfield, Connecticut.
5	I am highly in favor of this new 345-kV
6	line. I think we certainly need the extra power or we're
7	going to be in trouble down the line.
8	My comments are more to the location of
9	the line. I believe that it would be possible to install
10	this line along the Merritt Parkway, probably on the
11	southerly side where the State of Connecticut presently
12	has a 300-foot right-of-way, the parkway being built on
13	the northerly 150 feet. I realize that the parkway is a
14	national historic monument. I can't believe that a small
15	right-of-way along the southerly portion could not be
16	built. I believe that some day the parkway will have to
17	be modified and that we will run into that historic
18	district problem at that time also.
19	I'm sure that there will be some
20	environmental problems in installing it in this location,
21	but I think the economic benefits and the lessening of
22	the cost of construction compared with going down the
23	Post Road and through all of the city streets with the
24	interference that's going to be had with sewer lines,

## HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1 electric lines, telephone lines and all kinds of 2 utilities under the road. Many portions of that street are concrete under the old pavement, which is an 3 4 additional cost of construction. 5 Also where the line parallels the railroad, we already have a pretty high voltage line 6 7 along the railroad. And this line is very close to it, 8 whether we're going to get into a problem with the 9 correolous effect -- or whatever it is -- the corona 10 effect. With two lines so close together, that might be 11 a problem. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. Huntington. 13 Next is Robert Halstead. On deck is Wayne Parks. 14 COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell 15 it for me please. 16 MR. ROBERT HALSTEAD: Robert Halstead, Ro-b-e-r-t, H-a-l-s-t-e-a-d. 17 18 I have a suggestion. The gentleman before 19 me came up with a pretty good idea actually I never heard I didn't know that the overhead lights -- or 20 21 lines in the north end were not the preferred way before 22 I came here tonight. But I thought that if anything were to be done on that site on the north end, considering the 23

fact that the neighbors there have put up with that

24

## HEARING RE: CL&P and UI DECEMBER 17, 2003 (7:10 PM)

1	abomination site for so many years, that perhaps to
2	better neighbors, the utility company could do more than
3	just pull them out, or if you put lines in, just put the
4	lines in. There's a perfect opportunity up in that area
5	between Commerce Park, Roger's Park and Veteran's Park to
6	put a bikeway. Most of the land is owned by the City.
7	If you were to put some kind of lines in there or pull
8	them out, if you would be a better neighbor and use
9	spend a little bit more money for public relations to put
10	a bike path there. People have no way to walk or to jog
11	or to ride bikes in that area.
12	Any the gentleman before me came up
13	with an idea of the parkway. If that ever came about,
14	that could also be a bike path. That would also solve
15	the transportation problem and it would greatly improve
16	the quality of life of the area. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. Halstead.
18	Next is Wayne Parks. On deck is Louie Johnson. Mr.
19	Johnson is the last name that I have on the list. If
20	there's any other member of the public who wishes to
21	speak, please come down and sign up.
22	COURT REPORTER: State your name and spell
23	it for me please.
24	MR. WAYNE PARKS: Wayne Parks, W-a-y-n-e,

P-a-r-k-s, Fairfield. I plan to get done before the light starts blinking.

I want to start with I agree with the previous local reps and citizens supporting the path, the path down the Post Road that is. The effect on property values are obvious. I don't think I need to address that.

And that is the environmental issue — it isn't just what we feel, it's what we see. And what we see is a manmade steel structure rising 25 percent higher than, you know, the leafy towers that God has made before us. So it's the detrimental impact to the eye that concerns me as much as the ground impacts, you know, of all the things that the previous speakers have mentioned. This impact is much — goes much farther. It's much broader than tearing up the ground and disrupting wildlife, because not just the people who are underneath the right-of-way will be affected, it's all of you and everybody else who lives for quite a distance away who will now see these towers rising above if we went with the north plan.

I just want to say I thank you for your consideration and I hope we can move it along as quickly as possible and go down the Post Road. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. Parks.
2	Next on the list is Louie Johnson.
3	COURT REPORTER: State your name and
4	MR. LOUIS JOHNSON: I want to thank
5	excuse me I wanted to thank everybody
6	COURT REPORTER: Spell your name please.
7	MR. JOHNSON: L-o-u-i-s. Johnson, J-o-h-
8	n-s-o-n.
9	I live on a right-of-way in Fairfield and
10	I also share property for the substation. And there's
11	not a day that goes by that I look at my four children,
12	who range from the ages of twins at the age of one and
13	a four-year-old, that I made the right decision by moving
14	so close to a substation. And I think about that every
15	day.
16	And I do support the underground lines
17	running through Fairfield on the Post Road. Any more, I
18	would be forced to move or look at my situation. And
19	we've grown to love where we live, we love our home, we
20	love the community, and we want to stay there.
21	So on behalf of my family and my
22	neighbors, Plan B or C for the alternative route would
23	not be acceptable and we feel that it would damage us
24	economically as well as environmentally. Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.
2	If I could have the last list. (Pause). Mr. Edward
3	Carter. And again, if there is anyone who wishes to speak
4	after Mr. Carter, we need you to sign up on the list now.
5	This is the last call.
6	MR. EDWARD CARTER: Edward Carter,
7	Fairfield, Connecticut. Edward, E-d-w-a-r-d, C-a-r-t-e-
8	r.
9	Certainly we've heard an excellent
10	articulation of the case for the underground lines
11	related to the environmental and the community impact
12	side of the coin. And I think that that's clearly
13	prominent in all of our concerns. But I've been
14	surprised that I haven't heard one other point made and I
15	will take 30 seconds to mention it. You spoke to the
16	need for these power lines because of reliability. May I
17	remind you that the only time we've really had a
18	disastrous power failure situation in recent years, the
19	origin of the problem appeared to be related to the
20	vulnerability of overhead lines. So as you weigh your
21	concerns about the difficulties of constructing
22	underground or anything else that may be of concern
23	there, let me remind you if your real objective is to
24	give Connecticut more reliability, you certainly will

1	want to stick with underground lines.	
2	And that applies all the way upstate, not	
3	just here. We all, I think, are sighing a bit with	
4	relief that you are talking about underground here, but I	
5	can't help but be concerned for our neighbors further	
6	north. And I think we all share an equal concern for the	
7	reliability that would be achieved if everything could be	
8	put underground.	
9	CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you, sir. That's	
10	all the names I have signed up to speak. So that will	
11	close our public comment.	
12	On behalf of the Siting Council, we'd like	
13	to thank all the members of the public and the public	
14	officials who came here tonight to speak and give us your	
15	views, they're very valuable. We call this our listening	
16	tour and we have six more stops. We resume after the	
17	holidays. They are evening public hearings. And the	
18	next public hearing, public comment is January $5^{\rm th}$ at $7:00$	
19	p.m. at Bedford Middle School on North Avenue in	
20	Westport. This hearing is primarily for the Towns of	
21	Westport and Norwalk, but of course anyone can attend.	
22	I would like to thank you all for your	
23	participation and please drive safely.	

24

1 (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at 8:15

2 p.m.)

#### INDEX OF SPEAKERS

	PAGE
Baker, Claire	37
Baldwin, Raymond (First Selectman Trumbull)	33
Carter, Edward	47
Finch, Bill (State Senator)	25
Flatto, Kenneth (First Selectman Fairfield)	30
Halstead, Robert	43
Huntington, David	42
Johnson, Louis	46
McKinney, John (State Senator)	21
Newton, Ernest (State Senator)	28
Nidoh, Michael	9
Parks, Wayne	44
Payden, Ellen McCarthy	34
Pimentel, Paul (for Congressman Shays)	23
Stein, Israel (Rabbi)	39

#### **CERTIFICATE**

I, Paul Landman, a Notary Public in and for the State of Connecticut, and President of Post Reporting Service, Inc., do hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing record is a correct and verbatim transcription of the audio recording made of the proceeding hereinbefore set forth.

I further certify that neither the audio operator nor I are attorney or counsel for, nor directly related to or employed by any of the parties to the action and/or proceeding in which this action is taken; and further, that neither the audio operator nor I are a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties, thereto, or financially interested in any way in the outcome of this action or proceeding.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand and do so attest to the above, this 22nd day of December, 2003.

Paul Landman,

President

Post Reporting Service 1-800-262-4102