

STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Docket No. 497

Burlington Solar One, LLC application for a

Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and

Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and

operation of a 3.5-megawatt-AC solar photovoltaic

electric generating facility located at Lot 33,

Prospect Street, Burlington, Connecticut, and

.

Held

associated electrical interconnection.

VIA ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE

Public Comment Hearing held on Tuesday,

March 23, 2021, beginning at 6:30 p.m.

via remote access.

Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061

JOHN MORISSETTE, Presiding Officer

Before:

Reporter:

1	Appearances:
2	Council Members:
3	ROBERT HANNON Designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes
4	Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
5	QUAT NGUYEN
6 7	Designee for Chairman Marissa Paslick Gillett, Public Utilities Regulatory Authority
8	ROBERT SILVESTRI
9	MICHAEL HARDER
10	EDWARD EDELSON
11	Council Staff:
12	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ. Executive Director and
13	Staff Attorney
14 15	MICHAEL PERRONE Siting Analyst
16	LISA FONTAINE Fiscal Administrative Officer
17	
18	For Burlington Solar One, LLC:
19	PULLMAN & COMLEY, LLC 90 State House Square
20	Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3702 BY: LEE D. HOFFMAN, ESQ.
21	Verogy:
22	BRYAN FITZGERALD
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances: (Cont'd)
2	
3	Public Speakers:
4	JON CRANE
5	MARK SMALDONE
6	CRAIG CARDER
7	ANIELLO DePASCALE
8	EWELINA CZERCZAK
9	ERIC BOSWELL
10	PAUL ROCHFORD
11	TOM DIAMANTES
12	JOHN HEBERT
13	JOEL MOLINSKY
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	Also present: Aaron Demarest, Zoom co-host
19	
20	**All participants were present via remote access.
21	
22	***(Inaudible) (AUDIO INTERRUPTION) - denotes
23	breaks in speech due to interruptions in audio or
24	echo.
25	

MR. MORISSETTE: This remote public hearing is called to order this Tuesday, March 23, 2021 at 6:30 p.m. My name is John Morissette, member and presiding officer of the Connecticut Siting Council. Other members of the Council are Robert Hannon, designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes, the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Quat Nguyen, designee for Chairman Marissa Paslick Gillett, the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Robert Silvestri; Michael Harder; Edward Edelson and Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.

Members of the staff are Melanie
Bachman, executive director and staff attorney;
Michael Perrone, siting analyst; Lisa Fontaine,
fiscal administrative officer.

As everyone is aware, there is currently a statewide effort to prevent the spread of the Coronavirus. This is why the Council is holding this remote public hearing, and we ask for your patience. If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and/or telephone now.

This is a continuation of a remote public hearing that began today at 2 p.m. this afternoon. A copy of the prepared agenda is

available on the Council's Docket No. 497 webpage, along with the record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this remote public hearing, and the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Burlington Solar One, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a 3.5-megawatt-AC photovoltaic electric generating facility located at Lot 33, Prospect Street, Burlington, Connecticut. This application was received by the Council on January 22, 2021.

The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this remote public hearing was published in the Hartford Courant on February 18, 2021. Upon this Council's request, the applicant erected a sign near the proposed access road entering the subject property from Prospect Street so as to inform the public of the name of the applicant, the type of facility, the remote public hearing date, and contact information for the

Council, including website and phone number.

This remote public comment session is reserved for the public to make brief statements into the record. These public statements are not subject to questions from the parties or the Council, and members of the public making statements may not ask questions of the parties or the Council. In fairness to everyone who has signed up to speak, these public statements will be limited to three minutes and will become part of the record for Council consideration.

As a reminder, off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

I wish to note that parties and intervenors, including their representatives, witnesses and members, are not allowed to participate in the public comment session.

I also wish to note for those who are listening and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for this remote public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof by mail or by email,

and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the remote public comment session.

Please be advised that any person may be removed from the remote public comment session at the discretion of the Council.

We ask each person making a public statement in this proceeding to confine his or her statements to the subject matter before the Council and to avoid unreasonable repetition so that we may hear all of the concerns you and your neighbors may have. Please be advised that the Council cannot answer questions from the public about the proposal.

A verbatim transcript of this remote public hearing will be posted on the Council's Docket No. 497 webpage and deposited in the Burlington Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public.

Please be advised that the Council does not issue permits for stormwater management. If the proposed project is approved by the Council, a Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) Stormwater Permit is independently required. DEEP could hold a public hearing on any

stormwater permit application.

Please also be advised that the Council's project evaluation criteria under the statute does not include consideration of property value.

Before I call on members of the public to make statements, I request the applicant to make a very brief presentation to the public describing the proposed project.

Mr. Fitzgerald, would you please make a presentation?

MR. FITZGERALD: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Morissette. Good evening, Siting Council staff and members of the public. My name is Bryan Fitzgerald, and I'm the director of development for Verogy and Burlington Solar One. Verogy is a Hartford based solar energy developer. Verogy develops, finances, installs and operates solar energy systems in the municipal commercial utility scale markets with a primary focus in New England and the mid-Atlantic regions.

Burlington Solar One is a 3.5 megawatt solar photovoltaic electric generating array located at Lot 33 on Prospect Street in Burlington, Connecticut. Access to the proposed

project would originate from Prospect Street and utilize an existing access road. The project would occupy approximately 16 acres in total in the northern section of the 62 acre parcel.

Burlington Solar One will consist of approximately 12,662 solar modules to be mounted on a fixed-tilt racking system. DC electricity from these solar panels will be converted to AC electricity via string level inverters. Renewable energy produced will be delivered to a three-phase 23 kV distribution circuit located on Prospect Street.

Burlington Solar One will help diversify Connecticut's energy supply mix, and anticipated annual electricity production is expected to be approximately 6,266 megawatt hours per year. For scale, that's enough electricity to power about 763 average homes each year and offset approximately 4,430 metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions.

Throughout the development of the project, the applicants completed a comprehensive environmental assessment for the proposed project and development area. These studies included but were not limited to wetland delineations and

studies, comprehensive forestland analysis, consultation and a final determination from the Connecticut DEEP Natural Diversity Data Base, and an FAA no hazard determination from that agency. Additionally, the proposed project would comply with the air and water quality standards of Connecticut DEEP.

Lastly, feedback from neighboring property owners and community organizations has helped improve the design of Burlington Solar One. Specifically, we have increased setbacks from the northern and western property lines to leave more forested buffers intact in these areas specifically.

And I'll just note that the image up on the screen right now does not reflect the new proposed layout. That was the original.

But that's all. Thank you for your time this evening. We appreciate it.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Fitzgerald.

Before we move on to the public statements, just a note on remote public hearings. Remote public hearings are quite different from in-person public hearings. For in-person public

1 hearings members of the public could sign in, step 2 up to the podium and offer their comments. For 3 remote public hearings the public is required to 4 sign up to speak in advance in order to provide 5 Council staff with the necessary time to 6 facilitate connection precautions to prevent 7 interruption or in common terms "bombing" of the 8 proceedings. There are protocols, procedures and 9 consistency measures that are followed as part of 10 the remote public hearing process. Written 11 comments may be submitted within 30 days of the 12 public hearing, and we encourage you to do that. 13

We will now call on Jon Crane to make a public statement, followed by Mark and Patricia Smaldone.

Mr. Jon Crane.

MR. CRANE: Can you hear me?

MR. MORISSETTE: Yes, I can. Thank

you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CRANE: Thank you. Distinguished members of the Connecticut Siting Council, my name is Jon Crane, and I'm here representing the Whigville Preservation Group. Our mission is to preserve and to protect the heritage of Whigville, its agricultural heritage in both its farmlands

and its woodlands. Whigwille is a unique and historic neighborhood.

As our State Senator, Derek Slap, said about this proposed solar farm in a letter to the Siting Council, "While this project is a welcome addition to the Town of Burlington, the concerns regarding the site line issues have merit." And we agree.

Our group supports renewable energy, and we realize that zero carbon energy projects are important. With that said, we do question the large size of this solar project right in the heart of our community. The developer, Verogy, and the landowner, Rob Hiltbrand, after listening to our concerns, have revised their footprint to increase the buffers on the north and the west boundaries. And while it may not be exactly what we're looking for, we do believe it does start to move us in the right direction.

We are asking that this project size be reduced or realigned even more, especially on the east boundary along Wildcat Road. We also request that there will be additional buffers added, more berms and more landscaping than is being proposed right now along the boundaries even with this

revised proposal, as well as a commitment to maintaining those buffers throughout the life of this project.

We are also concerned about the aesthetics of the hookup to the Eversource transmission lines with nine utility poles, 40 to 45 feet in height, right on Prospect Street. We hope that that issue can be addressed so that it can maybe blend into the landscape more and prevent it from being an eyesore there on Prospect Street.

Finally, it's our understanding that
Verogy will be selling this project after it's
been completed. It is our hope that the
Connecticut Siting Council will require this
developer and all of its successors to maintain a
bond that will ensure a thorough decommissioning
at the end of this project.

On behalf of the Whigville Preservation Group, I thank you for your time and your consideration.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Crane.

I will now call upon Mark and Patricia Smaldone followed by Craig Carder.

MR. SMALDONE: Great. Thank you. Mr.

Commissioner, can you hear me?

MR. MORISSETTE: Yes, I can. Thank you.

MR. SMALDONE: Okay. Great. Thank you. Thank you, CT Siting Council members for this opportunity to speak. I can speak for just about all of us that alternative and sustainable energy sources are sorely needed; however, a project such as this does not make sense. Clearing of the forest to make way for a large-scale solar array farm in the heart of an idyllic residential community almost flies in the face of what sustainability is all about.

In general, we support solar projects that target application use for large rooftop buildings, malls, factories and unuseful land such as a landfill. Once this proposed land is used for solar, it is no longer suitable or usable for any other use for a minimum of 35 years. This includes the forest. We are no longer creating more forests or open spaces.

Having said that, we also recognize the rights of the private property owner, and the potential future use of this land could be developed, including housing or some other use

leading to the clearing of the land.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, we considered that the CT Siting Council will likely approve this project given their past approval track record. As a result, we met with the property owner and Verogy to express our views and collaboratively discuss changes to the project that included moving the project further south into the gravel area, moving the solar panels further away from the abutting property owners, shrinking the overall solar panel array footprint to keep the forest and add more natural buffer space to the abutting property owners, provide adequate landscaping screening to buffer the solar panels for both the abutting property owners and the community at large, increasing the fence height from 7 to 8 feet, again, to buffer the solar panels. All of this was done to protect our neighbors and the rural scenic nature of our neighborhood.

We are very grateful the private landowner and Verogy have entertained these suggestions which is illustrated in the most recent map submittal. In addition to the items listed above, I have a few more questions or concerns. One, I would like to see adequate

funding set aside for the decommissioning of the solar array project once it reaches end of life.

This money should be set aside upfront and bonded to ensure that it's there at the end.

Two, I'm concerned about what the electrical utility operations will look like at the end of 33 Prospect Street as it connects into the grid. I would like to request that the CT Siting Council, Verogy and Eversource not place a bunch of telephone poles with transformers clustered together at the entrance of 33 Prospect Street.

(TIME EXPIRED)

MR. SMALDONE: Was I on mute the whole time?

MR. HOFFMAN: Mr. Morissette, you're on mute.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. I was on mute. Excuse me, Mr. Smaldone, your time had expired, so you were cut off.

MR. SMALDONE: Okay.

MR. MORISSETTE: If you have additional comments that you were unable to provide to us, you certainly have the ability to provide us written comments either by mail or email.

1

MR. SMALDONE: Okay.

2

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you again.

3

I will now call on Craig Carder

4

followed by Aniello DePascale.

5

Mr. Carder.

6

MR. CARDER: Hello, everyone. Can you

7

hear me okay?

8

MR. MORISSETTE: Yes, I can. Thank

9 you.

10

MR. CARDER: Thank you very much.

11 12 Thank you to the Siting Council for hearing the

13

residents. I live actually abutting the property on the Wildcat Road side at 29 Wildcat Road. My

14

address was actually explicitly called out in one

15

of the reports as someone who would be directly

16

impacted by this project. An area in particular

17

is visibility of the panels and the fence, which I

18

can attest that the 300 to 350 feet that the panels will be from my property line will be

1920

visible to me and my family. And it is close.

21

have children that play in our yard that will be

22

in proximity to the project that is going up. So

23

I do have concerns about visibility and echo some

24

of the statements that were shared previously

25

about potentially moving the panels or doing a

I

better job to camouflage with natural trees and things like that coming up.

Also, with the close proximity, I do have concerns about property value and my property value. I specifically, my family and I, we purchased this land a little over five years ago and built a home here with the intent explicitly of building a home in the woods in a natural environment. And we did that. If we ever did intend to sell, that is a bit tarnished. If someone goes on Google Maps and looks at our property, they potentially will see solar panels.

Also, another concern is actually during the construction, anyone who knows Whigville in this area, there's a lot of rock and there's a lot of ledge, so 350 feet from my property, if there will be blasting or any kind of rough construction that we'll be involved in for months, and potentially how that would impact our well water, how it would impact natural water flow on our property, you know, will we have flooded basements after this project goes into place? Those are our concerns. Those are short term.

Also concerns are long term with, which I echo Mark and Jon, this project is an investment

1 for someone. If it turns out to be not as 2 profitable as they had hoped, what impact does 3 that have on our property and our land, will they 4 maintain the panels, will very effectively remove 5 the panels? 6 And lastly, if this happens and this 7 goes through, will it open the door to greater 8 expansion? If we accept the panel dimensions as 9 they go in now, and it is profitable, it seems 10 like the landowner and others might want to add 11 other solar panels and expand the footprint and

by other future projects. So thank you.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Carder.

Next is Aniello DePascale followed by

project does it open us up to harm down the road

add more, which will now be visible. And so there

are concerns that if we move forward with this

Richard and Ewelina Czerczak.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DEPASCALE: Hello. Can you hear me?

MR. MORISSETTE: Yes, I can hear you. Thank you.

MR. DEPASCALE: All right. My name is Aniello DePascale, and I live at 19 Charles Place, approximately one half mile to the east of this

proposed solar farm.

I'm a ham radio operator and have been since January of 1960. I have lived here since 1978, and I am active every day on the radio. Solar farms and their inverters that are part of the system are notorious for noise that renders the airwaves useless for ham radio. There are over 60 licensed ham radio operators in Burlington, and we wonder what this solar panel solar farm will do to our hobby. Thank you.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Richard and Ewelina Czerczak. Thank you. Sorry if I mispronounced your name.

MS. CZERCZAK: Can you hear us?
MR. MORISSETTE: Yes. Thank you.

MS. CZERCZAK: Thank you. My name is Ewelina Czerczak and I'm here with my husband, Richie. I would like to begin tonight by letting everyone understand that both myself and my husband agree that there is a need for green energy, and we are not against solar panel farms. We need to find a way to protect our environment. Solar farms are our current best option for clean energy. With that said, we also need to be able to find balance and make sure we do not destroy

nature to create the green energy.

Leveling 16.6 acres of forest, 7 of which are considered core forest, is not a green thing to do. The recommendation from DEEP states that the site of this project needs to be revised or moved to not disturb the core forest. When forests are cleared, it displaces wildlife, affects water quality and possibly creates other environmental issues I can't speak to as I am not an expert in that field.

Our other concern is the possibility of increased noise coming from the quarry. We know the quarry is currently only operated Monday through Friday and noise was never an issue before for us, but with the acres of trees being taken down that buffer goes away and the noise will increase not just from the quarry but from the regular traffic. We would like to know if anything can be done to prevent the noise. The schedule of operations in the quarry may change down the road to include weekends, and the increased noise will affect community -- (AUDIO INTERRUPTION)

MR. MORISSETTE: I'm sorry, you just broke up. I'm sorry, we can't hear you. Your

bandwidth is low.

MS. CZERCZAK: -- who is responsible for the cleanup? Are the funds set aside for that purpose?

MR. MORISSETTE: Excuse me, you broke up, and we didn't hear about a minute and a half of your presentation.

MR. CZERCZAK: We can't hear you then either.

MS. CZERCZAK: Secondly, what happens at the time of the contract renewal in 15 years? Correct me if I'm wrong, but there's the possibility of renewal for up to 30 years. What improvements are expected to be done in the next 15 years and then the years after -- (AUDIO INTERRUPTION) -- of the panels or possible upgrades to solar racking so that panels can -- (AUDIO INTERRUPTION) -- we need to know what the future holds, and we do not want to be blindsighted by these in the future.

What happens at the time of decommissioning of the Burlington Solar One field? Who is responsible for the cleanup? Are the funds set aside for that purpose? Solar panels are made several -- (AUDIO INTERRUPTION) -- so that

1 disposal of them is not cheap as they can't be 2 dropped off at a local -- (TIME EXPIRED) 3 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. 4 MS. CZERCZAK: Finally, the last 5 part --6 MR. MORISSETTE: Your time has expired. 7 Thank you so much for your comments. 8 We will now move on to Joel Molinsky 9 followed by Eric Boswell. 10 Joel Molinsky. 11 (No response.) 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Joel Molinsky? 13 (No response.) 14 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. We'll come back 15 to Joel. Eric Boswell followed by -- hi, 16 Mr. Boswell. 17 Hi. MR. BOSWELL: 18 MR. MORISSETTE: -- followed by Paul 19 Rochford. 20 Go ahead, Mr. Boswell. 21 MR. BOSWELL: Hi. I live at 60 Stone 22 Road, and I will see those solar panels from my 23 backyard through the woods. Those are in the 24 background or those are the woods. There is a 25 water conservation easement directly in front of

those rocks, and beyond that I will see these solar panels. So that's how close I am to this.

And so being that it was pretty close, I started taking a look at where this project is. Understanding that you're moving the project, I only have what was available online so I kind of -- I don't know if this is even an appropriate time to have this discussion since we don't even have the current information. So I'd like to make a point of that.

Another point is that I looked at how the runoff and the wetlands were going to be protected. And based on the current configuration, the water will shoot right through the system and go straight into the wetlands, not protecting them at all is how the elevations are set up. And when I saw that, that made me start to think that maybe the planning and engineering of this project isn't all that well thought out.

And when I started looking at the O&M plan there were more doubts. There was less detail there. It wasn't specific. Everybody is raising this concern about financial assurance and bonding. That's not stated in there. So there's a major concern that this is only seen in the

short term of getting it developed and getting it sold and not in the long term which we all have to -- which all the people here have to deal with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the wetlands are at risk based on their design. And when I look at that there are the core forests, the 7 acres of core forest and other forest and the current research about the soil cycle that's associated with that, and the thin margin of soil on top of rock that we already have, it's just a recipe for disaster. And there's been enough of these solar projects in Connecticut where runoff and sediment issues have had disastrous outcomes. And this one is another one that's pointed in that direction because there's not going to be an effective way to be able to control the runoff with that hard rock and the thin margin of soil which is now going to be even thinned out further and being taken out of the carbon cycle altogether.

So, looking at it that way and looking at that these projects only work because of public funding, and you're removing core forest, and how far does this really affect getting us towards our carbon neutral goal in Connecticut or reaching our carbon goals. Nothing. It is very marginal.

There are other projects -- better areas to put this project. And Connecticut is very far along already in meeting its goals for CO2, for their CO2 emission goals from energy production. So there isn't really a big benefit on that front from this. (TIME EXPIRED)

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you,
Mr. Boswell, your time has expired. If you'd like
to finish with your comments, please submit them
in writing either in email form or by letter.
Thank you.

We will now go to Paul Rochford followed by Lucio Caraco.

MR. ROCHFORD: Hello, my name is Paul Rochford. I'm the president of the Burlington Land Trust, and I am speaking for the Burlington Land Trust. I'd like to thank the Siting Council for this opportunity to speak.

The Burlington Land Trust is a conservation organization certainly, but we do believe strongly in property rights. I'm here to encourage Rob and the Siting Council to work with and address the concerns of these neighbors. And by saying that, not to imply that they haven't done so in some ways. There's a revised plan,

1 which is a good first step, but there are some 2 legitimate concerns here that I believe should be 3 worked out to be good neighbors with these people. 4 And lastly, I would say that there was 5 some vague comments that Rob has made to us about 6 preserving the land as open space when the project 7 is over. We would like to see that formalized and 8 codified and worked out, a percentage of it or 9 something, meaningful open space. And so we would 10 make that request of Rob and the Siting Council. 11 And that's it. 12 Thank you. We will MR. MORISSETTE: 13 now continue with Lucio Caraco followed by Kent 14 Glowa. Lucio Caraco? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. MORISSETTE: One more time, Lucio 17 Caraco? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Next on the list is 20 Kent Glowa followed by Tom Diamantes. 21 MR. CRANE: Ken Glowa is unable to 22 attend. 23 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Thank you. Tom 24 Diamantes? 25 Thank you. MR. DIAMANTES: Yes. Tom

1 Diamantes. I'm a resident of this Whigville area 2 in Burlington. And I just want to start off by 3 saying that Connecticut is one of the most heavily 4 forested states in America. Our forests clean our 5 air and water, shelter our wildlife, sequester 6 carbon, contribute tens of millions of dollars to 7 our economy, and add immeasurably to the quality 8 of our lives, yet every day our forests are under 9 threat. Our dense and growing population and 10 industrial developments such as this continue to 11 stress our forests. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: I'm sorry, 13 Mr. Diamantes, you're on mute. 14 MR. DIAMANTES: Okay. Did you catch 15 any of it? 16 MR. MORISSETTE: We caught the opening 17 statement. 18 MR. DIAMANTES: Okay. Good. 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Please continue. 20 MR. DIAMANTES: Okay. Thanks. To me 21 it seems that this proposed site is marginal, at 22 best. Reading the DEEP report, there's several 23 negative impacts associated with this project. 24 One is the loss of core forest. So it's 16.6

acres of forest, 6.9 acres of core forest, so it's

25

a total of 23.58 acres of forests. The footprint of the project is only 11.58 acres, so you're taking down more forest than you are with the proposed footprint of this project. And as Mr. Edelson stated, land is a limited resource here in Connecticut, and we should try to protect it.

The DEEP also noted the forested corridor impact, the need of a 300 foot buffer to protect connectivity in the forest along the wetland, movement corridors, you know, there's the wetland and floodplain impact, obviously the visibility impact to the neighbors, and this project is surrounded by existing homeowners on two sides and wetlands on the other two sides.

The property values of those surrounding homes is something that should be considered. The potential for hazardous materials, the noise from the transformers, the addition of nine telephone poles.

They also mentioned something in their petition about creating two full-time positions.

No one discussed anything about that.

And this project goes against the objectives of the Town of Burlington as well. So, you know, one of the objectives is to protect

1 natural resources. Well, this project does not do 2 that. Another objective is to maintain the 3 character of the community. This certainly does 4 not do that. As one of the neighbors mentioned, 5 they went and they bought a house out in the 6 middle of the woods, and now they have this 7 industrial power plant going in in their backyard. 8 It's not fair to the neighbors. 9 So I feel that this project does not 10 provide a balance between the need for alternative 11 sources of energy and the goals of the town, the 12 state, the residents of Burlington, and the need 13 to preserve our -- (TIME EXPIRED) 14 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 15 Diamantes. Your time expired. Again, if you have 16 additional comments, please submit them by either 17 email or mail. Thank you again. 18 We will now move to John and Karen 19 Hebert. John and Karen Hebert? 20 MR. HEBERT: Can you hear me now? 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Yes, I can. Yes. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. HEBERT: Good. My name is John 24 Hebert, and this evening I would first like to

thank the Siting Council for allowing me to speak.

25

1 My wife and I both own a property, several 2 properties adjacent to the northern portion, in 3 the general area of the northern portion of this 4 project. And I will tell you that the buffer that 5 I saw on the original plans did not appear to be 6 adequate, and I believe that, if anything, a 7 larger buffer is needed to separate the solar panels from the edge of the property. And if 8 9 there was any way that the landowner could do 10 something like that, then I would be very pleased 11 Thank you. with that. That's it. 12 Thank you. Okay. MR. MORISSETTE: 13 We'll go back to a couple of folks that were 14 unavailable to speak and we'll see if they're 15 available. 16 Joel Molinsky. Is Joel Molinsky

available?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOLINSKY: Yes, I'm here.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Please continue.

MR. MOLINSKY: Yes. Thank you for allowing me some time. I just had a couple brief questions for the Council. What is the plan for the wildlife that will be displaced by the trees and the acreage that's being cut down for the

solar farm?

MR. MORISSETTE: Unfortunately, public comment sessions are for public comment only.

There is no questions that will be answered by either the applicant or the Council themselves.

MR. MOLINSKY: Okay. Well, I would like to just then say that I'm very concerned about what will happen to the wildlife in this area as we already have, you know, seen bear activity, coyote activity and whatnot already being pushed into areas where they're not usually found. So I'm just, you know, worried that with small children around in the area that this may in fact add to what we're already seeing.

And then also, I don't know if this was brought up, I would be very interested to understand a little bit more about any type of natural barrier that will be provided around the solar farm to help with noise reduction as well with the extra activity that will be happening around the solar farm area. So I'm hoping that there are plans in place to add some type of natural barrier, whether it's adding more trees or something, to help with what's already being taken down.

1

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr.

2

Molinsky. Information about the filings

3

6

7

8

associated with this docket can be found on the

4 Connecticut Siting Council's website under Docket

5 497, and all the information that is part of the

record is available on that website for your

viewing pleasure. Thank you.

I will now call Lucio Caraco.

9

(No response.)

10

MR. MORISSETTE: Lucio Caraco?

11

(No response.)

12

MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Thank you.

The Council announces that it will

13

That completes our list of speakers for this

14

evening.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue the evidentiary session of the public hearing on Tuesday, April 13, 2021, at 2 p.m. via Zoom remote conferencing. A copy of the agenda for the continued remote evidentiary hearing session will be available on the Council's Docket 497 webpage, along with the record of this matter, a public hearing notice, instructions for public access to the remote evidentiary hearing session, and the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures.

Please note that anyone who has not become a party or intervenor but who desires to make his or her views known to the Council may file written statements to the Council until the public comment record closes.

Copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed at the Town of Burlington Clerk's Office.

I hereby declare this hearing adjourned, and thank you all for your participation. Good evening.

(Whereupon, the above proceedings were adjourned at 7:10 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REMOTE HEARING

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

I hereby certify that the foregoing 34 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken of the Remote Public Comment Hearing in Re:

DOCKET NO. 497, BURLINGTON SOLAR ONE, LLC

APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL

COMPATIBILITY AND DIRECT NEED FOR THE

9 COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE

CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A

3.5-MEGAWATT-AC SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC ELECTRIC

GENERATING FACILITY LOCATED AT LOT 33, PROSPECT

STREET, BURLINGTON, CONNECTICUT, AND ASSOCIATED

ELECTRICAL INTERCONNECTION, which was held before

JOHN MORISSETTE, PRESIDING OFFICER, on March 23,

¹⁶ **2021.**

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter BCT REPORTING, LLC 55 WHITING STREET, SUITE 1A PLAINVILLE, CONNECTICUT 06062

Yisa Wallell