STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

IN RE:	:	
	:	
APPLICATION OF CELLCO PARTNERSHIP	:	DOCKET NO. 494
D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS FOR A	:	
CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL	:	
COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR	:	
THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE	:	
AND OPERATION OF A WIRELESS	:	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY OFF	:	
CHESTNUT HILL ROAD, WOLCOTT,	:	
CONNECTICUT	:	NOVEMBER 13, 2020

UPDATED RESPONSES OF CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS TO CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL PRE-HEARING QUESTIONS, SET ONE

On October 21, 2020, the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") issued Pre-Hearing Questions, Set One to Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco"), relating to Docket No. 494. Below are Cellco's responses.

Question No. 1

Of the letters sent to abutting property owners, how many certified mail receipts were

received? If any receipts were not returned, which owners did not receive their notice? Were any

additional attempts made to contact those property owners?

Response

Cellco received return receipts from four (4) of the six (6) abutting property owners listed behind Tab 4 of the Application. Notice letters to Michael R. Van Geons and Executive Hill LLC were not returned by the U.S. Postal Service. Second notice letters were sent to these two property owners on November 11, 2020, by regular mail. Copies of the second notice letters are attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u>.

Question No. 2

Referencing page 3 of the Application, Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless (Cellco or Applicant) notes that a copy of an affidavit of publication in the *Waterbury-Republican American* will be submitted to the Council when available. Please provide the affidavit.

Response

A copy of the Affidavit of Publication was received on October 30, 2020 and is attached as Exhibit 2.

Question No. 3

How would the cost of the tower construction be recovered?

Response

The costs associated with providing Cellco customers with the nation's most reliable wireless service network, including the cost for development of network infrastructure (small cells and macro-cells), are paid for by the individuals, corporations and government entities that purchase Cellco's service.

Site/Tower

Question No. 4

Referencing Sheet C-1 of the Application, the nearest property line from the proposed tower is about 106 feet east-southeast. Could the tower be designed with a yield point to ensure that the tower setback radius remains within the boundaries of the subject property?

Response

Yes, the tower can be designed with a yield point so that the tower setback radius remains within the boundaries of the Property.

-2-

Question No. 5

Referencing page 8 and Site Evaluation Report in Tab 1 of the Application, Cellco notes that the proposed access drive would be about 650 feet long. Referencing Sheets OS-1 and SP-1 of the Application, the proposed access drive is noted as 850 feet long. Please provide the correct access road length and include corrected sheet(s) if necessary.

Response

The proposed access drive is approximately 850 feet long.

Question No. 6

Would any blasting be required to develop the site?

Response

Cellco does not anticipate the need for blasting. If the Council approves the Docket No. 494 application, Cellco will prepare a Geotechnical Survey of the tower site to determine the nature of sub-surface conditions.

Question No. 7

Referencing page i of the Application, Cellco notes that its antennas would be attached to a platform. Would type of platform would be installed? What is the structural design standard applicable to the proposed antenna mount?

Response

Cellco intends to utilize a low-profile antenna platform (with handrail) at the Wolcott South Facility. The structural design standards for the proposed antenna mounting platform are ANSI/TIA -222-G-4; TIA-222-G-2 and Verizon NTSD 445.

Question No. 8

Referencing page 12 of the Application, Cellco notes that, "The tower itself could also be

-3-

designed to be extended up to 20 feet..." If the tower were designed to be expandable in height, would the foundation also be designed accordingly to accommodate such tower expansion? Response

Yes, both the tower and the tower foundation would need to be designed to accommodate a tower expansion.

Question No. 9

What measures are proposed for the site to ensure security and deter vandalism, e.g. alarms, gates, locks, anti-climb fence design, etc.?

Response

The wireless facility compound will be surrounded by an eight (8) foot tall chain link security fence and gate. The gate will be locked with access limited to the wireless carriers sharing the facility. Cellco is willing to discuss and consider design changes that may make the fence more difficult to climb including but not limited to smaller chain link mesh size or the installation of privacy slats.

Typically, the monopole tower will include climbing pegs for site technicians. However, climbing page will be removed on the lower portion of the tower. Cellco's wireless equipment cabinet will maintain a silent intrusion alarm which is monitored remotely by Cellco technician at the mobile telephone switching office in Wallingford. If an alarm is activated, local police would be called to investigate. In addition to the security measures at the tower compound, Cellco will also install a gate that can be locked, near the northerly end of the access driveway to prevent vehicles from accessing the site.

Question No. 10

Pursuant to CGS §16-50p(a)(3)(G), identify the safety standards and/or codes by which

-4-

equipment, machinery or technology that would be used or operated at the proposed facility.

Response

- 2015 International Building Code with the 2018 CT Building Code Amendments.
- National Electric Code (NFPA70).
- 2018 CT State Fire Safety Code.
- TIA-222-G-4 "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures".
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

Question No. 11

Has the State of Connecticut Department of Agriculture purchased any development rights for the proposed site as part of the State Farmland Preservation Program? <u>Response</u>

No.

Question No. 12

Referencing Tab 13 of the Application, Farmland Soils Map, what acreage of prime farmland soils would be impacted by the proposed access drive and underground utility route? What is the total acreage of prime farmland soils on the subject property?

Response

The access drive and utility route will impact approximately 13,127 square feet (approximately 0.30 acres) of area designated as containing prime farmland soils. The total acreage of prime farmland soil on the Property is approximately 0.95 acres.

Question No. 13

Is the site parcel part of the Public Act 490 Program? If so, how does the town land use

-5-

code classify the parcel?

Response

No.

Question No. 14

Would any tree clearing occur within core forest? If so, how many acres? Under Connecticut General Statutes §16-50k, "Core forest" means unfragmented forest land that is three hundred feet or greater from the boundary between forest land and non-forest land, as determined by the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection." How would tree clearing affect core forest? If applicable, provide the pre-construction and post-construction areas of core and edge forest at the site.

Response

A portion of the proposed access drive would be located within an Edge Forest and the proposed tower compound and a portion of the access drive near the tower compound would be located within a small Core Forest block. Tree clearing is required within these two different forest categories as follows: Edge Forest – approximately 0.59 acres and Core Forest - 0.35 acres. Please refer to the enclosed Core Forest Map provided in Exhibit 3.

The Edge Forest on the property, that 300-foot zone between Core Forest and nonforested habitat, may support species that commonly utilize this type of habitat, but it represents sub-optimal breeding habitat for forest-interior birds that prefer Core forest habitat. This is due to what is known as "edge effect", the result of decreased forest quality, greater levels of disturbance, and increased rates of nest predation and brood parasitism within this transitional forest area. The proposed facility would only result in an impact to approximately 0.4% of the total Edge Forest area associated with this forest block. From a landscape perspective, looking at the entirety of the small Core Forest that the project encroaches into, the proposed development would reduce the overall contiguous forest block by only 0.2% of the total forest block. The Wolcott South Facility's location in the northern outer edge of the contiguous small Core Forest block lessens its impact on this habitat. Because of the facility's is location at the periphery of the small Core Forest, it will not bisect or otherwise separate the forest block. Thus, the proposed development represents a de minimis habitat reduction to core forest and the project is not anticipated to adversely impact forest interior species.

Coverage Capacity

Question No. 15

Referencing pages 8 and 9 of the Application, Cellco has identified the adjacent sites with which the proposed facility would hand off signals. Provide the antenna centerline heights for Cellco on each of those facilities and tower types (e.g. monopole).

Response

Location Name	Antenna Centerline	Structure Type
WATERBURY 4 CT	65'	Rooftop
WATERBURY 5 CT	31'	Rooftop
WATERBURY CT	128'	Monopole
WOLCOTT CT	177'	Self-Supporting Tower
WATERBURY FULTON CT	67'	Rooftop
WATERBURY EAST CT	87'	Self-Supporting Lattice
WOLCOTT N CT	135'	Self-Supporting Lattice
THOMASTON S CT	137'	Self-Supporting Lattice
WATERBURY 3 CT	147'	Monopole
WOLCOTT CTR SC CT	37'	Wood Utility Pole

Question No. 16

Would the proposed facility provide 5G services?

<u>Response</u>

The initial deployment plan for the Wolcott South Facility does not include the installation of 5G technology, however certain frequencies may be reused for 5G services in the future.

Question No. 17

Are all frequencies used to transmit voice and data?

Response

Yes. Initially, all frequencies would be deployed as LTE carriers and would support both voice and data traffic.

Question No. 18

What is the lowest height at which Cellco's antennas could achieve its wireless service objectives from the proposed site? What would be the consequences in terms of hand-off, coverage and/or capacity relief if the proposed tower was ten feet shorter, i.e. the antennas were located at a centerline height of 106 feet?

Response

Cellco's antennas are proposed to be located at the lowest height determined to be acceptable to meet its wireless service objectives in the area. If Cellco were required to reduce the height of its antennas to 106 feet AMSL, Cellco would experience a reduction in coverage which would in turn result in a reduced offload to the neighboring sites.

Question No. 19

Could the required coverage and capacity upgrade needs be met by a series of small cell

-8-

facilities or a distributed antenna system rather than the proposed macro tower facility? <u>Response</u>

It may be theoretically and technically possible to install a large number of small cells or Distributed Antenna System nodes in the area that could closely match the coverage footprint of the proposed Wolcott South Facility (macro cell). Such an approach, however, is not economically feasible and is not consistent with good RF Engineering practice. Typically, small cell facilities or DAS nodes would utilize existing infrastructure (i.e. electric distribution poles) along public rights of way in areas where coverage and/or capacity problems exist. These existing utility poles are often encumbered by other equipment (i.e. transformers, street lights and risers) that will limit Cellco's ability to use the pole. Structural limitations of the existing poles will limit Cellco's ability to deploy all of the equipment needed to provide service in all of its operating frequencies. Providing some form of back-up power to small cells or DAS nodes is very difficult and, in many cases, impossible, making the service even more vulnerable to storm events. In areas where this existing infrastructure is not available, for example, along private roads or on private and municipal properties, property rights would need to be acquired and new poles would need to be installed.

The actual number of small cell facilities that would be needed to provide a service comparable to that from the proposed Facility is not known but would be significant given the overall size of the area that Cellco is attempting to serve with the proposed facility.

Question No. 20

What is the signal strength for which Cellco designs its system? For in-vehicle coverage? For in-building coverage?

-9-

Response

Cellco's minimum design threshold for LTE service is -95 dB Receive Signal Reference Power (RSRP) for in-vehicle service and -85 dB RSRP for in-building service.

Question No. 21

What is the existing signal strength within the area Cellco is seeking to cover from this site?

Response

The existing RSRP signal strength in the area around the proposed Wolcott South coverage footprint ranges from -105 dBm to -90 dBm on 700MHz and from -95 dBm to non-existent on 2100MHz and 1900MHz.

Question No. 22

Does Cellco have any statistics on dropped calls and/or ineffective attempts in the vicinity of the proposed facility? If so, what do they indicate? Does Cellco have any other indicators of substandard service in this area?

Response

Our statistics show higher than normal dropped calls for Waterbury Alpha sector and Wolcott North Beta sector. Both of these would be improved significantly once the Wolcott South Facility is operational.

Question No. 23

Referencing page 8 of the Application, for 700 MHz and 850 MHz, the proposed coverage length and area are 4 miles and 20 square miles, respectively. For 1900 MHz and 2100 MHz, the proposed coverage length and area are 1 mile and 7 square miles. Explain this significant reduction in coverage at the higher frequencies.

Response

It's a law of Physics, lower frequencies propagate farther than higher frequencies and are better able to "bend" around obstructions. Radio waves at the higher operating frequencies (1900 MHz and 2100 MHz) are shorter and therefore do not propagate as far as from the transmission source those at the lower operating frequencies. The coverage area at the higher frequencies is therefore significantly smaller.

Question No. 24

Referencing Tab 6 of the Application, Coverage Maps, please provide the existing 850 MHz coverage map. Also, provide the existing and proposed 850 MHz coverage map.

Response

The 850 MHz coverage maps requested are included in Exhibit 4.

Question No. 25

Referencing page 2 of the Site Search Summary of the Application, the Wolcott South site search was initiated in 2015. Provide the approximate location of the center of the search ring and its radius.

Response

The search ring center is located very close to the proposed Wolcott South Facility and the search area has a radius of approximately 1,000 feet.

Question No. 26

Referencing pages 2 and 3 of the Site Search Summary of the Application, three raw land sites were investigated. Explain why Site Nos. 2 and 3 were rejected in favor of Site No. 1. <u>Response</u>

The Wolcott South search was initiated in 2015 and over the next four and a half years

was handled by several different site acquisition consultants. Based on our review of notes and information in the site search file it appears the site acquisition consultants reached out to all the owners of the three parcels listed in the site search summary and only heard back from PAL Properties LLC. We can only conclude that the other property owners were not interested in leasing land to Cellco for a tower site.

In addition, during our review of the site search files, we discovered that a fourth parcel had apparently given some consideration as an alternative cell site. This site, a 55.3-acre parcel immediately east of the project site, presented some challenges. Based on a desk-top survey Cellco identified wetlands and vernal pools on this parcel. Access to the portion of the parcel explored for the tower site was also problematic as it would have extended through an adjoining residential lot. This site was ultimately rejected after the landowner and Cellco could not agree on business terms for a land lease.

Question No. 27

Referencing page 7 of the Application, Cellco's Waterbury site (alpha sector), Wolcott site (gamma sector) and Wolcott North site (beta sector) are currently operating at or near their capacity limits. At which frequencies? Please include a projected exhaustion date for each of these sectors. Would the deployment of the proposed facility be sufficient to address these capacity concerns, or would an additional facility be required in the near term to off-load traffic? <u>Response</u>

Waterbury Alpha sector is currently operating above its capacity limit in the 700, 850, 1900, and 2100 MHz frequency ranges.

Wolcott Gamma sector and Wolcott North Beta sector are currently operating on 700 and 2100 MHz only. They will be upgraded with 850 and 1900 MHz but are projected to exhaust in

-12-

the second half of 2021. The proposed Wolcott South Facility will be sufficient to address the capacity concerns in the area bounded by these three sites.

Question No. 28

Have any other wireless carriers expressed an interest in co-locating on the proposed facility to date? Has the host municipality expressed an interest in co-locating emergency services antennas? Would Cellco provide space for municipal emergency services antennas, if requested?

Response

On October 30, 202 AT&T filed a request to intervene in the Docket No. 494 proceeding expressing an interest in sharing the proposed facility. No other wireless carrier has expressed any interest in sharing this tower. The same if true for the Town of Wolcott. Cellco will make the tower available for sharing, if requested.

Question No. 29

Would flush-mounted antennas provide the required coverage? Would the flush-mount configuration result in reduced coverage and/or necessitate greater antenna height with multiple levels of antennas? Explain.

Response

The antennas need to be in a side by side arrangement so we can take advantage of a feature called beamforming which improves capacity. Flush mounting the antennas at different heights would result in decreased capacity by preventing use from using beamforming.

Backup Power

Question No. 30

Would the backup generator have containment measures to protect against fluid leakage?

Response

As a propane-fueled generator there is no need for any type of liquid fuel containment. The generator's engine and cooling systems are designed to contain all other fluids needed for generator operations. No secondary containment measures are incorporated into the generator unit itself.

Question No. 31

What would be the respective run time for Cellco's proposed propane generator before it would need to be refueled, assuming it is running at full load under normal conditions? <u>Response</u>

Under normal loading conditions, the proposed 25 kW propane generator could operate for approximately 85 hours (3.5 days) before refueling of the 500-gallon fuel tank would be necessary. If the generator were to fail, the backup battery system could keep the cell site operating for approximately eight (8) hours.

Question No. 32

What measures would the Applicant implement or employ to ensure an adequate supply of backup power for the site in the event of a propane fuel shortage?

Response

In the unlikely event of a shortage of propane fuel, Cellco would rely on its battery system for back-up power. If commercial power were interrupted for an extended period, Cellco may also consider utilizing a portable generator at the proposed cell site. Once the decision is made to deploy a portable generator to a cell site, the generator would be delivered to the site and operating within 24 hours.

-14-

Question No. 33

Could the proposed generator be shared by other carriers that may locate at the proposed facility? What effect would a shared generator have on the run time of the generator if at full load?

Response

A 25-kW generator would not be capable of supporting the operational needs of Cellco and a additional wireless carriers at the proposed cell site. The 25-kW generator would need to be replaced with a larger capacity generator (50 kW or 60 kW or 100 kW) depending upon how many additional carriers wanted to share this back-up power supply. An appropriately-sized generator for multiple carriers would likely maintain similar run times as a smaller unit supporting only one carrier.

Question No. 34

Referencing page 7 of the Application, would the battery backup be used to provide uninterrupted power and prevent a reboot condition? How long could the battery backup alone supply power to the facility in the event that the generator fails to start?

Response

Yes, battery backup system would provide uninterrupted power to the facility and prevent a "reboot" condition. The backup battery system can keep the cell site operating for up to eight (8) hours if the generator fails to start.

Public Safety

Question No. 35

Will the proposed facility support text-to-911 service? Is additional equipment required for this purpose?

<u>Response</u>

Yes, the proposed Facility will be capable of supporting text-to-911. No additional cell site equipment is necessary to support this service.

Question No. 36

Would Cellco's antennas comply with federal E911 requirements?

Response

Yes.

Question No. 37

Would Cellco's installation comply with the intent of the Warning, Alert and Response Network Act of 2006?

Response

Yes.

Environment

Question No. 38

Provide the total tree clearing area for the proposed project development area.

Response

The total area of tree clearing for the entire project area, including the access driveway

and tower compound is approximately 1.11 acres.

Question No. 39

Would the proposed project comply with the 2002 Connecticut Guidelines for Soil

Erosion and Sediment Control and the 2004 Connecticut Stormwater Quality Manual?

<u>Response</u>

Yes.

Question No. 40

Could the access road be rerouted to cross Wetland 1 at what appears to be a narrower portion of the wetland south of the proposed crossing? If so, please provide the length of the access road, total tree clearing area for the project, and wetland impact area.

Response

The narrower portion of the wetland was evaluated as a possible crossing location to determine if a reduction in permanent wetland impacts could be achieved. However, due to the existing topography on the west side of Wetland 1, the proximity of the easterly boundary of the Property, and ledge outcropping along the edge of Wetland 1, crossing at the narrower portion of the wetland, south of the proposed crossing would result in over 5,000 square feet of permanent impacts to accommodate grading requirements. In particular, the eastern fill slope of the access road, proximate to Wetland 1 would require a 175-foot segment extending into the wetland, representing a major contribution to the relatively large area of permanent wetland impact for this particular design.

Question No. 41

Could the Applicant maintain a vegetated buffer between the proposed access road and abutting properties to the east and west?

Response

Yes. The access road's limit of disturbance extends to within approximately 11 feet from the property line to the east and approximately 16 feet from the property line to the west. An existing vegetated buffer will remain between the access road and the abutting properties. Question No. 42

Would the proposed project be consistent with the 2015 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

-17-

Vernal Pool Best Management Practices?

Response

A Wetland and Vernal Pool Impact Analysis prepared by All-Points Technology Corp., P.C., ("APT") dated August 12, 2020 and provided in the Application (Attachment 11), assessed the potential impact to one vernal pool identified in proximity to the proposed project using the Corps' 2015 Vernal Pool Best Management Practices methodology. The proposed project avoids impacting the 100-foot Vernal Pool Envelope and results in minimal impact to the 750-foot Critical Terrestrial Habitat ("CTH") area of only an approximately 1% increase in development within the CTH. This de minimis increase maintains the CTH "developed" area well below the established criterium. In addition, vernal pool protection measures will be implemented during construction as detailed in APT's Wetland and Vernal Pool Impact Analysis (refer to Environmental Notes – Resources Protection Measure attachment to that document). Therefore, the project would be consistent with the Corps' 2015 Vernal Pool Best Management Practices. Question No. 43

Would any fuels be stored on site during construction? If yes, please provide a Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plan.

Response

No fuels will be stored on the Property during facility construction. Any equipment refueling will be completed by truck or hand.

Question No. 44

Referencing page 6 of the Visual Assessment of the Application, Cellco states "As presented on the attached viewshed maps..." Please provide such viewshed maps.

Response

The view shed maps are attached as the last two pages of the Visual Assessment behind Tab 9 of the Application. Unfortunately, it appears the viewshed maps were not included in the electronic version of the Application provided to the Council. Additional copies of the viewshed maps are attached to these responses as <u>Exhibit 5.</u>

Question No. 45

Would the proposed facility comply with Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) noise control standards at the property boundaries?

Response

Yes. See the Environmental Sound Evaluation dated November 5, 2020 included <u>Exhibit</u> <u>6</u>.

Question No. 46

Has Cellco received a response from the State Historic Preservation Office regarding the proposed project?

<u>Response</u>

No. Cellco has not yet submitted project information to the SHPO for review. However, as indicated in the Preliminary Historic Resources Determination in the Application (<u>Attachment 12</u>), no sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places are located proximate (within 1/2 mile) of the proposed Facility. Based on this information, Cellco anticipates a "No Effect" determination from SHPO.

Question No. 47

Please submit photographic site documentation with notations linked to the site plans or a detailed aerial image that identify locations of site-specific and representative site features. The

submission should include photographs of the site from public road(s) or publicly accessible area(s) as well as Site-specific locations depicting site features including, but not necessarily limited to, the following locations as applicable:

For each photo, please indicate the photo viewpoint direction and stake or flag the locations of site-specific and representative site features. Site-specific and representative site features include, but are not limited to, as applicable:

- 1. wetlands, watercourses and vernal pools;
- 2. forest/forest edge areas;
- 3. agricultural soil areas;
- 4. sloping terrain;
- 5. proposed stormwater control features;
- 6. nearest residences;
- 7. Site access and interior access road(s);
- 8. utility pads/electrical interconnection(s);
- 9. clearing limits/property lines;
- 10. mitigation areas; and
- 11. any other noteworthy features relative to the Project.

A photolog graphic must accompany the submission, using a site plan or a detailed aerial image, depicting each numbered photograph for reference. For each photo, indicate the photo location number and viewpoint direction, and clearly identify the locations of site-specific and representative site features shown (e.g., physical staking/flagging or other means of marking the subject area).

The submission shall be delivered electronically in a legible portable document format (PDF) with a maximum file size of <20MB. If necessary, multiple files may be submitted and clearly marked in terms of sequence.

<u>Response</u>

The Remote Field Review requested in attached as Exhibit 7.

Robinson+Cole

EXHIBIT 1

KENNETH C. BALDWIN

280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597 Main (860) 275-8200 Fax (860) 275-8299 kbaldwin@rc.com Direct (860) 275-8345

Also admitted in Massachusetts and New York

November 11, 2020

Michael R. Van Geons 67 Oakville Avenue Waterbury, CT 06708

Re: Docket No. 494 - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco") – Proposed Telecommunications Facility off Chestnut Hill Road, Wolcott, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Van Geons:

On August 27, 2020, the attached notice letter was sent to you, certified mail return receipt requested. I have not, to date, received a return receipt nor have I received the original mailing back indicating that the notice remains unclaimed. In an excess of caution and to ensure that you receive some notice of the above-referenced filing, I am sending this notice letter to you by regular mail.

If you have any questions regarding Cellco's application, please contact me. Copies of the full Siting Council application are available for review on the Siting Council's web site (www.ct.gov/csc) under the "Pending Matters" tab for Docket No. 494.

Sincerely,

Kung MM

Kenneth C. Baldwin

KCB/kmd Attachment

Boston | Hartford | New York | Providence | Stamford | Albany | Los Angeles | Miami | New London | rc.com

Robinson+Cole

KENNETH C. BALDWIN

280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597 Main (860) 275-8200 Fax (860) 275-8299 kbaldwin@rc.com Direct (860) 275-8345

Also admitted in Massachusetts and New York

August 27, 2020

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Michael R. Van Geons 67 Oakville Avenue Waterbury, CT 06708

Re: Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless – Proposed Telecommunications Facility off Chestnut Hill Road, Wolcott, Connecticut

Dear Mr. Van Geons:

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco") will be submitting an application to the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") on or about September 2, 2020, for the construction of a new telecommunications facility in the Town of Wolcott, Connecticut.

The proposed facility would consist of a new 120-foot monopole tower in the northern portion of a 10.17-acre parcel south of Chestnut Hill Road in Wolcott (the "Property"). The tower, radio equipment, backup generator and propane fuel tank will be installed within a 50' x 50' fenced facility compound. Access to the facility would extend from Chestnut Hill Road along a new gravel access driveway. Site plan drawings showing the Property and the proposed facility improvements are attached for your review. The location and other features of the proposed facility, including tower height, are subject to change under the provisions of Connecticut General Statutes § 16-50g et seq. and 47 U.S.C. § 1455e.

State law provides that owners of record of property which abuts a parcel on which a facility is proposed to be located must receive notice of the submission of this application. This notice is directed to you either because you may be an abutting land owner or as a courtesy notice.

Boston | Hartford | New York | Providence | Stamford | Albany | Los Angeles | Miami | New London | rc.com

Robinson & Cole LLP

Michael R. Van Geons August 27, 2020 Page 2

If you have any questions concerning the application, please direct them to either the Connecticut Siting Council or me. My address and telephone number are listed above. The Siting Council may be reached at its New Britain, Connecticut office at (860) 827-2935.

Very truly yours,

Kunig mm

Kenneth C. Baldwin

KCB/kmd Attachment

Robinson+Cole

KENNETH C. BALDWIN

280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597 Main (860) 275-8200 Fax (860) 275-8299 kbaldwin@rc.com Direct (860) 275-8345

Also admitted in Massachusetts and New York

November 11, 2020

Executive Hill LLC 67 Oakville Avenue Waterbury, CT 06708

Re: Docket No. 494 - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco") – Proposed Telecommunications Facility off Chestnut Hill Road, Wolcott, Connecticut

Dear Sir or Madam:

On August 27, 2020, the attached notice letter was sent to you, certified mail return receipt requested. I have not, to date, received a return receipt nor have I received the original mailing back indicating that the notice remains unclaimed. In an excess of caution and to ensure that you receive some notice of the above-referenced filing, I am sending this notice letter to you by regular mail.

If you have any questions regarding Cellco's application, please contact me. Copies of the full Siting Council application are available for review on the Siting Council's web site (www.ct.gov/csc) under the "Pending Matters" tab for Docket No. 494.

Sincerely,

Kunie MM

Kenneth C. Baldwin

KCB/kmd Attachment

Robinson+Cole

KENNETH C. BALDWIN

280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597 Main (860) 275-8200 Fax (860) 275-8299 kbaldwin@rc.com Direct (860) 275-8345

Also admitted in Massachusetts and New York

August 27, 2020

Via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested

Executive Hill LLC 67 Oakville Avenue Waterbury, CT 06708

Re: Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless – Proposed Telecommunications Facility off Chestnut Hill Road, Wolcott, Connecticut

Dear To Whom It May Concern:

Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco") will be submitting an application to the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") on or about September 2, 2020, for the construction of a new telecommunications facility in the Town of Wolcott, Connecticut.

The proposed facility would consist of a new 120-foot monopole tower in the northern portion of a 10.17-acre parcel south of Chestnut Hill Road in Wolcott (the "Property"). The tower, radio equipment, backup generator and propane fuel tank will be installed within a 50' x 50' fenced facility compound. Access to the facility would extend from Chestnut Hill Road along a new gravel access driveway. Site plan drawings showing the Property and the proposed facility improvements are attached for your review. The location and other features of the proposed facility, including tower height, are subject to change under the provisions of Connecticut General Statutes § 16-50g et seq. and 47 U.S.C. § 1455e.

State law provides that owners of record of property which abuts a parcel on which a facility is proposed to be located must receive notice of the submission of this application. This notice is directed to you either because you may be an abutting land owner or as a courtesy notice.

Boston | Hartford | New York | Providence | Stamford | Albany | Los Angeles | Miami | New London | rc.com

Robinson & Cole LLP

Executive Hill LLC August 27, 2020 Page 2

If you have any questions concerning the application, please direct them to either the Connecticut Siting Council or me. My address and telephone number are listed above. The Siting Council may be reached at its New Britain, Connecticut office at (860) 827-2935.

Very truly yours,

Kunig mm

Kenneth C. Baldwin

KCB/kmd Attachment

verizon

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS 20 ALEXANDER DRIVE, 2nd FLOOR WALLINGFORD, CT 06492

SITE NAME:

WOLCOTT_SOUTH_CT LOCATION CODE:

469936

SITE ADDRESS: CHESTNUT HILL ROAD WOLCOTT, CT 06716





Know what's below.

Call before you dig.

	PRE	PARED BY:				
	ſ				5	
		300 APC CHELM 1 (S	A&E(DLLO [SFOR[978) 9	DFFICE: DRIVE, SUITE 7 D, MA 01824 923–7965	-	
	APP	LICANT:				
	С	ELLCO I	PART	NERSHIP d/	b/a	
	20	ALEXANI WALLI	DER I NGFC	ZON DRIVE, 2 ND FLC DRD, CT 06492	OOR	
	PROFESSIONAL STAMP:					
X		The second secon	SF CC	NNNECCICUT * AUTOM		
		1	Tra	4301		
& SEDIMENTATION NOTES	TH	IS DOCUMENT	IS THE	DESIGN PROPERTY	AND	
	COPYI B WITH	RIGHT OF NEX IY THE TITLE (HOUT THE EXP	IUS ANI CLIENT. RESS V	D FOR THE EXCLUSE DUPLICATION OR U WRITTEN CONSENT O	VE USE JSE F THE	
	CREATOR IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. DRAWING SCALES ARE INTENDED FOR 24",38" SIZE PRINTED MEDIA ONLY. ALL OTHER PRINTED SIZES ARE DEEMED "NOT TO SCALE".					
	SUBMITTALS					
	REV	DATE	DESC	CRIPTION	BY	
	0	04/28/20	FOR R	EVIEW	MLB	
	1	05/06/20	FOR C	SC	MLB	
					$\left - \right $	
	CITT				<u> </u>	
	SITE INFO: SITE NAME: WOLCOTT_SOUTH_CT					
5	I	LC	CATIC	ON CODE:		
THESE DOCUMENTS AND AUTHORIZE DESCRIBED HEREIN. ALL	. 469936 SITE ADDRESS: CHESTNUT HILL ROAD					
	WOLCOTT, CT 06716 NEW HAVEN COUNTY					
DATE:	SHEET TITLE:					
DATE:			TITLE	SHEET		
DATE:	NEX	KIUS PROJ. N	10:	SHEET NUMBE	R:	
DATE:	CHE	ECKED BY:		 T 1		
	KB/JM/JH				L	
		05/06/20	05/06/20			



SEND.		PRF	PARED BY:			
N/F 25-4520652 0 ⊡ ⊕ # ¢ \$ \$	NOW OR FORMERLY ASSESSOR'S ID CALCULATED POINT IRON PIPE/ROD FOUND BOUND FOUND MANHOLE CATCH BASIN UTILITY POLE LIGHT POLE ITREE / BUSH - LOCUS PROPERTY LINE - ABUTTERS PROPERTY LINE - ABUTTERS PROPERTY LINE - OVERHEAD WIRES \ TREELINE - CONTOUR LINE STONE WALL	APP C 20	TRANFORM YOU 3000 APC CHELI 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	A&E CO DULO E MISFOF (978) S PARTI DER E DER E NGFO	SILUS FFICE: RIVE, SUITE 7 RD, MA 01824 923-7965 TNERSHIP d/t CONSTRUE, 2 ND FLO RD, CT 06492	5 -/a / OR
C SPECIFIC SURVEY DATE: CONTAL DATUM: CAL DATUM: R: NAME: ADDRESS CANT: DICTION: DICTION: D: D: PEFERENCE:	2 NOTES: 12-31-2019 NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983 (NADB3) NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NADB8) PAL PROPERTIES LLC 1141 WOLCOTT HILL ROAD WOLCOTT, CT 06716 WOLCOTT, CT 06716 CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERZON WRELESS 20 ALEXANDER DR., 2ND FLOOR WALLINGFORD, CT 06492 TOWN OF WOLCOTT NEW HAVEN COUNTY 104-1-58 BOOK 465 PAGE 301				Albertania Albertania	
I REFERENCE: NG DISTRICT: HORIZONTAL DA FROM AN RTK I UNDERGROUND I WAS DETERMINE	N/A R-40 & I TUM AND VERTICAL DATUM WERE SPS SURVEY. JTILITY INFORMATION PRESENTED D FEMIN SIPEACE ENDERINGE AND D FEMIN SIPEACE ENDERINGE AND	L		SUDMI	TTALS	
F RECORD. ALL TED IN THE FIEL WORK. CALL DI OF 72 HOURS I DRDING TO FEDE MAPS, THE PRO Y ARE LOCATED	UNDERGROUND UTILITES SHOULD D. PRIOR TO COMENCEMENT OF GSAFE 1-800-322-4844 A PRIOR TO PLANNED ACTIVITY. RAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT POSED IMPROVEMENTS ON THIS IN AN AREA DESIGNATED AS ZONE	REV 1	DATE 01/09/2020	DESC	TITLES FOR REVIEW	BY BCF
A OF MINIMAL FL ITY PANEL NO. (E DATE: 12-17-) SURVEY BY EL	ООД HAZARD". 39009 С 0109 H -2010 MM TOTAL STATION & RTK GPS.					
IS NOT A BOUN	IDARY SURVEY. S SHOWN ARE FROM DEEDS AND	⊢				
F RECORD AND ROXIMATE ONLY ANDS SHOWN H NTS TECHNOLOG	THE TOWN OF WOLCOTT GIS AND EREON WERE DELINEATED BY Y CORPORTATION.	SITI	E INFO:			
IRVEY HAS BEEN TIONS OF CONNE 18-1 THROUGH 2 RVEYS AND MAP 19TED BY THE CC 'ORS INC. ON SE	PREPARED PURSUANT TO THE CTICUT STATE AGENCIES SECTIONS 0-300B-20 AND THE "STANDARDS S IN THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT" NUNECTICUT ASSOCIATION OF LAND PTEMBER 26, 1997.	SITE NAME: WOLCOTT SOUTH CT LOCATION CODE:				
F SURVEY: IMPRI ARY SURVEY CAT OF ACCURACY: GE OF SURVEY:	DVEMENT LOCATION SURVEY EGORY: DEPENDENT RESURVEY HORIZONTAL CLASS: A-2 TOPOGRAPHIC CLASS: T-2 VERTICAL CLASS: V-2 PROPOSED CELLULAR EQUIPMENT	SITE ADDRESS: CHESTNUT HILL ROAD WOLCOTT, CT 06716				
DECUMENT AND CO EAR THE LIVE SI SIGNATED PROFE TIONS RENDER A BEST OF MY KN NTIALLY CORREC	DPIES THEREOF ARE VALID ONLY IF GNATURE AND EMBOSSED SEAL OF SSIONAL, UNAUTHORIZED NY DECLARATION NULL AND VOID. IOWLEDGE AND BELIFF, THIS MAP IS T AS NOTED HEREON.	SHEET TITLE:				
		NEX	KIUS PROJ. N	iO:	SHEET NUMBER	ξ:
11	A.	CHI	CKED BY:	ATE:	C-1	
An h. H.	P.L.S. #70103	01/:	23/2020			



	PREPARED BY:
	TRAFORM YOUR BUSINESSTHROUGH WIRELESS TAXE OFFICE: 300 APOLLO DRIVE, SUITE 7 CHELMSFORD, MA 01824 1 (978) 923-7965
	APPLICANT:
OH4	CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a
UP #E/1150	Verizon 20 ALEXANDER DRIVE, 2 ND FLOOR WALLINGFORD, CT 06492
RIPF	PROFESSIONAL STAMP:
	ACCONNECTION OF CONNECTION OF CONNECTION OF CONNECTION OF CONNECTION OF CONNECTION OF CONTRACT OF CONT
-7	
ROAD	
AITH (RM) ROAD I 06716	
	SUBMITTALS
	1 01/23/2020 SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW BCF
	SITE INFO:
	SITE NAME: WOLCOTT SOUTH CT LOCATION CODE:
	SITE ADDRESS: CHESTNUT HILL ROAD WOLCOTT CT 06716
	SHEET TITLE:
	EXISTING CONDITIONS
	NEXIUS PROJ. NO: SHEET NUMBER:
	CHECKED BY:
	CHECKED BY DATE: C-2
	01/23/2020















Cellco Partnership d/b/a

ALL-POINTS

TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION

567 VAUXHALL STREET EXTENSION - SUITE 31 WATERFORD, CT 06385 PH: (860)-663-1 WWW.ALLPOINTSTECH.COM FAX: (860)-663-0

verizon

20 ALEXANDER DRIVE 2ND FLOOR WALLINGFORD, CT 06492

Z

(TYP.) COMMERCIAL TYPE 'C' SILT FILTER FABRIC (TYP.) (W/ WIRE FENCING, WHERE REQUIRED) COMPACTED BACKFILL UPGRADIENT FLOW MIN 24 GEOTEXTILE SILT FENCE DETAIL C-2

. AKE ON 3

CENTER (MIN.)

FROM THE ANCHOR TRENCH.

3 SEDIN C-2 SCALE : N.T.S.

STAKE 60" MIN.; 6FT O.C.

12" SMALL ANIMAL CROSSING EACH 60 FT LENGTH

1. BEGIN AT THE LOCATION WHERE THE SOCK IS TO BE INSTALLED BY EXCAVATING A 2-3° (5-7.5 CM) DEEP X 9° (22.9 CM) WIDE TRENCH ALONG THE CONTOUR OF THE SLOPE. EXCAVATED SOIL SHOULD BE PLACED UP SLOPE

2. PLACE THE SOCK IN THE TRENCH SO THAT IT CONTOURS TO THE SOIL SURFACE. COMPACT SOIL FROM THE

PLACE THE SOCK IN THE THENCH SO THAT IT CONTOURS TO THE SOL SUH-RACE. COMPACT SOL FHOM THE EXCAVATED TRENCH AGAINST THE SOCK ON THE UPHILL SIDE SOCKS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN 60 FT CONTINUOUS LENGTHS WITH ADJACENT SOCKS TIGHTLY ABUT. EVERY 60 FT THE SOCK ROW SHALL BE SPACED 12 INCHES CLEAR, END TO END, FOR AMPHIBIAN AND REPTILE TRAVEL. THE OPEN SPACES SHALL BE STAGGERED MID LENGTH OF THE NEXT DOWN GRADIENT SOCK.
SECURE THE SOCK WITH 18-24' (45.7-61 CM) STAKES EVERY 3-4' (0.9 -1.2 M) AND WITH A STAKE ON EACH END. STAKES SHOULD BE DRIVENT HROUGH THE MIDDLE OF THE SOCK LEAVING AT LEAST 2-3' (5-7.5 CM) OF STAKE EXTENDING ABOVE THE SOCK. STAKES SHOULD BE DRIVEN PERPENDICULAR TO THE SLOPE FACE.

SEDIMENTATION CONTROL BARRIER

COMPOST FILTER SOCK



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

LEGAL NOTICE

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Section 16-50l(b) of the Connecticut General Statutes and Regulations pertaining thereto, of an Application to be submitted to the Connecticut Siting Council ("Council") on or about September 2, 2020, by Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Cellco" or the "Applicant"). The Application proposes the installation of a wireless telecommunications tower and related facility in the northern portion of an approximately 10.17-acre parcel south of Chestnut Hill Road in Wolcott, Connecticut. Cellco proposes to construct a 120-foot monopole tower within a 50' x 50' fenced compound. Access to the facility will extend from Chestnut Hill Road over a new gravel driveway to the cell site. Cellco will also install equipment cabinets, a propane-fueled generator and a propane fuel tank within the fenced compound. The location and other features of the proposed facility are subject to change under provisions of Connecticut General Statutes § 16-50g et. seq.

On the day of the Siting Council public hearing on this proposal, Cellco may be asked to fly a balloon at the height of the proposed tower described above. Interested parties and residents of the Town of Wolcott are invited to review the Application electronically at www.ct.gov/csc or during normal business hours at any of the following offices:

Connecticut Siting Council Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless 10 Franklin Square 20 Alexander Drive New Britain, CT 06051 Wallingford, CT 06492 Thomas Dunn, Mayor Wolcott Town Clerk Wolcott Town Hall Wolcott Town Hall 10 Kenea Avenue 10 Kenea Avenue Wolcott, CT 06716 Wolcott, CT 06716 Michael Dalton, City Clerk Neil M. O'Leary, Mayor City of Waterbury City of Waterbury 235 Grand Street 235 Grand Street Waterbury, CT 06702 Waterbury, CT 06702 or the offices of the undersigned. All inquiries should be addressed to the Connecticut Siting Council or to the undersigned. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. Robinson & Cole IIp 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597 (860) 275-8200 R-A Aug. 27 & 28, 2020 Its Attorneys

STATE OF CONNECTICUT County of New Haven

Waterbury

Uchiber 2012 20 Ti

The subcriber, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he (she) is the <u>but keepr</u> of the **Republican-American** and that the foregoing notice for

ROBINSON & COLE

was published in said **Republican-American** in **2** editions of said newspaper issued between **08/27/20** and **08/28/20**

where A	
1	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS THE
	day of October 2020
	Ocris a sartar
	Notary Public
My Comm	nission Expires:
	ALL OLL OLL OLL OLL OLL OLL OLL OLL OLL
	and a second and a second a s
EXHIBIT 3



Legend

- Proposed Verizon Wireless Site Layout
- Proposed Limits of Disturbance
- Proposed Verizon Power and Telco Service
- Subject Property
 - Approximate Parcel Boundary (CTDEEP GIS)

<u>Map Notes:</u> Base Map Source: CTECO 2019 Aerial Photograph Map Scale: 1 inch = 150 feet Map Date: October 2020

Existing Forest Block (+/- 305 Acres)

150

75



Core Forest (+/- 152 Acre)

*Existing Forest within the Limits of Disturbance: +/- 0.35 Acre of Core Forest +/- 0.59 Acre of Edge Forest

Proposed Wireless

150 Feet

Telecommunications Facility Wolcott South CT Chestnut Hill Road Wolcott, Connecticut













Proposed Site	Trail
Study Area (2-Mile Radius)	Scenic Highway
Locations (January 14, 2020)	DEEP Boat Launches
Year-Round	Municipal and Private Open Space Property
Seasonal	State Forest/Park
Not Visible	Protected Open Space Property
Predicted Year-Round Visibility (93 Acres; +/- 60 occurs over open water on the Chestnut Hill Reservoir)	Federal
Areas of Potential Seasonal Visibility (44 Acres)	Land Trust
Municipal Boundary	Municipal
	Private
	State



Proposed Site	——— Trail
Study Area (2-Mile Radius)	Scenic Highway
Predicted Year-Round Visibility (93 Acres; +/- 60 occurs over open water on the Chestnut Hill Reservoir)	DEEP Boat Launches
Areas of Potential Seasonal Visibility (44 Acres)	Municipal and Private Open Space Propert
Locations (January 14, 2020)	State Forest/Park
Year-Round	Protected Open Space Property
Seasonal	Federal
Not Visible	Land Trust
Municipal Boundary	Municipal
	Private
	State

EXHIBIT 6



November 5, 2020

Robinson & Cole LLP Kenneth Baldwin

RE: Environmental Sound Evaluation – Verizon Wireless Service Facility

Ken,

Modeling Specialties was requested to analyze the sound emissions from its proposed Wireless Facility at 104 Chestnut Hill Road in Wolcott, CT. The basis for this analysis is the Nexius Drawings dated January 9, 2020. The purpose of this statement is to address the expected facility sound levels at the property lines of the site. The analysis identified the sound levels expected at the nearest property lines in the four cardinal directions shown on page C-1 and OS-1 of the plans.

While the facility will usually be virtually silent, there are two sources of sound expected at the facility. Temperature sensitive electronics will support the antennas and are located in a cabinet within the fenced

compound at the foot of the tower. It will usually be cooled by small fans inside the front door that draws ambient air through the unit. It has a smooth broadband character that produces about 50 dBA at 3 feet from the unit. The fan on the electronics cabinet will operate continuously, so there is no variation from moment to moment or cycling from equipment startup. The fans are mounted on the inside of the cabinet so they are hardly heard from the outside of the cabinet (which will always remain closed). In these ways, the cabinet configuration is designed for minimal effect on the surrounding area. When the ambient conditions exceed a safe temperature, a heat exchanger or air conditioner mounted on the cabinet door will provide additional cooling for the equipment. Various heat exchanger and air conditioner options are available depending on the thermal load expected at the site. The higher capacity options will produce about 50 dBA at a distance of 23 feet from the unit. The cooler operation is only expected during the hottest days of the summer. The sketch to the right shows the cabinet that will house the electronics and also the backup batteries. The sketch shows the option with a door mounted air conditioner.

The installation will include an emergency generator fully enclosed in an acoustic enclosure. For one half-hour every week, the engine will be remotely tested to assure availability. The propane-fired Generac QT-25A generator has a rating of 25 kW and a specified sound emission of 60 dBA at a distance of 23 feet. But since it will have no load during those tests, the "quiet test" unit will emit several dB less sound.



A sound level modeling study was developed to estimate the operating sound of the worst-case operating sound which includes the generator plus the larger cabinet cooler during its infrequent operation. The modeling is based on a commercial noise model CadnaA by DataKustic. The results are summarized in Figure 1, showing both the orientation of the equipment and the nearest property lines. No credit was taken for terrain or forest shielding in this conservative study. The results indicate that the operating sounds from this equipment will be 52 dBA or less at the property lines. The expected sound levels are well below the CDEEP most stringent daytime criteria of 55 dBA at residential receptors. In that way, it is expected to always meet the CDEEP requirements.





Figure 1: Details of the Property Line Distances and Modeling Results

I certify that the analysis of sound level study is accurate and consistent with analysis and prediction methods that are common to the acoustic analysis of such sources. I am qualified to make this statement based on training and experience in conducting analyses of environmental sounds. I am a Certified Consulting Meteorologist with more than 20 years of experience conducting environmental analyses in the technical areas of air quality, noise, and visual aesthetics. I have published many refereed papers on various aspects of environmental sound. I have analyzed the sound emissions of major industrial projects such as power plants, wastewater treatment plants and manufacturing plants and smaller facilities as proposed here. Related to these analyses, I have testified as a technical expert before the Connecticut Siting Council and Energy Facility Siting Boards of Massachusetts, Maine and Rhode Island.

Modeling Specialties

Douglas L Sheadel

Douglas L. Sheadel, CCM Principal

CT Siting Council Docket 494 - Interrogatory 47 Response

REMOTE FIELD REVIEW



CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL DOCKET NO. 494 WOLCOTT SOUTH CT CHESTNUT HILL ROAD WOLCOTT, CT 06716

PREPARED FOR:

verizon

PREPARED BY: ALL-POINTS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, P.C. 567 Vauxhall Street Extension – Suite 311 Waterford, CT 06385

Photographed November 6, 2020





рното	DESCRIPTION
1	CHESTNUT HILL ROAD LOOKING EAST TOWARDS PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE





рното	DESCRIPTION
2	CHESTNUT HILL ROAD LOOKING SOUTH TOWARDS PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE





РНОТО	DESCRIPTION
3	GRILLEY ROAD LOOKING SOUTHWEST TOWARDS PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE







РНОТО **4** DESCRIPTION
PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE - FOUR CARDINAL POINTS





РНОТО	DESCRIPTION
5	WEST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING EAST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY





РНОТО	DESCRIPTION
6	WEST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING EAST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY





DESCRIPTION

WEST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING WEST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY





ΡΗΟΤΟ

DESCRIPTION

WEST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING EAST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY





DESCRIPTION

PROPOSED EDGE OF CLEARING LOOKING WEST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY







РНОТО **9** DESCRIPTION
PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE - FOUR CARDINAL POINTS





PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING WEST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY



10



DESCRIPTION

PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING WEST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY









рното	DESCRIPTION
13	PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING EAST







DESCRIPTION
PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE - FOUR CARDINAL POINTS





DESCRIPTION

PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOUTH





EAST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING WEST





DESCRIPTION

PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOUTHWEST





РНОТО	DESCRIPTION
18	LOOKING SOUTHEAST TOWARDS PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE





рното	DESCRIPTION
19	PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING NORTHWEST TOWARDS ADJACENT PROPERTY





рното	DESCRIPTION
20	PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOUTHWEST





рното	DESCRIPTION
21	WEST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOUTHEAST







 PHOTO
 DESCRIPTION

 22
 SOUTHWEST OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE - FOUR CARDINAL POINTS





DRODOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOLITHEAST TOWARDS RRODOSED COMPOLIND
PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOUTHEAST TOWARDS PROPOSED COMPOUND





DESCRIPTION

SOUTH OF PROPOSED ACCESS DRIVE LOOKING SOUTHEAST TOWARDS PROPOSED COMPOUND





DESCRIPTION

NORTH OF PROPOSED COMPOUND AT PROPOSED LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE LOOKING SOUTHEAST





ΡΗΟΤΟ DESCRIPTION SOUTH OF PROPOSED COMPOUND LOOKING NORTH








CENTER OF PROPOSED COMPOUND - FOUR CARDINAL POINTS

DESCRIPTION

