

STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov Web Site: www.ct.gov/csc

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

June 10, 2020

Lucia Chiocchio, Esq. Cuddy & Feder LLP 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor White Plains, New York 10601

RE: **DOCKET NO. 488** - Homeland Towers, LLC and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at one of two sites: Kent Tax Assessor ID #M10, Block 22, Lot 38 Bald Hill Road or 93 Richards Road, Kent, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Chiocchio:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than July 1, 2020. To help expedite the Council's review, please file individual responses as soon as they are available. At this time, consistent with the Council's policy to prevent the spread of Coronavirus, please submit an electronic copy only to siting.council@ct.gov. However, please be advised that the Council may later request one or more hard copies for records retention purposes.

Copies of your responses shall be provided to all parties and intervenors listed on the service list, which can be found on the Council's website under the "Pending Matters" link.

Any request for an extension of time to submit responses to interrogatories shall be submitted to the Council in writing pursuant to §16-50j-22a of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies.

Sincerely,

s/Melanie Bachman

Melanie Bachman Executive Director

c: Parties and Intervenors

MB/RM/CW

Docket 488 - Kent Homeland Towers, LLC and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC

Pre-Hearing Interrogatories – Set Two June 10, 2020

- 41. Would fuels be stored on site during construction? If so, provide fuel storage/spill prevention control details.
- 42. Would the backup generator have containment measures to protect against fuel leakage? Please describe.
- 43. Would the backup generator be managed to comply with Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies Section 22a-174-3b?
- 44. Referring to the Applicants' response to PDA Interrogatory 18, it states that neither tower would be visible from the public boat launch on South Spectacle Pond. Please identify the location of photograph 21 that is included in the Application Site B Visibility Assessment?
- 45. The Application Viewshed Analysis maps for both proposed sites have a designation for scenic highways in the legend. Does this designation include Town of Kent Scenic Roads? If not please include this information as well as expected visibility from these roads.
- 46. Referring to PDA's response to Council Interrogatory 1a, please respond in detail regarding Isotrope LLC's hypothetical small cell deployment along Route 341 as to its viability and accuracy in modeling AT&T's coverage from such a system.
- 47. Referring to the Applicants' response to PDA Interrogatory 10 and Attachment 3, it appears there is little difference in modeled coverage from both sites at a height of 150 feet and a height of 110 feet. Please describe in detail why a tower height of 150 feet rather than 110 feet is necessary for each proposed site.
- 48. Would an antenna height of 110 feet at Site B provide comparable coverage to an antenna height of 150 feet at Site A? Please explain.
- 49. Referring to the Applicants' response to Council Interrogatory 5, if blasting was required, what are the protocols that would be followed to protect adjacent residences, wells and structures from potential damage?
- 50. Referring to the Applicants' response to Council Interrogatory 6, what tower extension height would be included in the design of the tower/foundation?
- 51. Referring to the Applicants' response to Council Interrogatory 10, please submit an aerial image of the proposed site with the correct, surveyed lot lines.
- 52. Is a stealth lookout tower at either site a feasible alternative to a monopine/monopole?

53. Please submit photographic site documentation with notations linked to the site plans or a detailed aerial image that identifies the locations of site-specific and representative site features. The submission should include photographs of the site from public road(s) or publicly accessible area(s) as well as Site-specific locations depicting site features including, but not necessarily limited to, the following locations as applicable:

For each photo, please indicate the photo viewpoint direction and stake or flag the locations of site-specific and representative site features. Site-specific and representative site features include, but are not limited to, as applicable:

- 1. wetlands, watercourses and vernal pools;
- 2. forest/forest edge areas;
- 3. agricultural soil areas;
- 4. sloping terrain;
- 5. proposed stormwater control features;
- 6. nearest residences;
- 7. Site access and interior access road(s);
- 8. utility pads/electrical interconnection(s);
- 9. clearing limits/property lines;
- 10. mitigation areas; and
- 11. any other noteworthy features relative to the Project.

A photolog graphic must accompany the submission, using a site plan or a detailed aerial image, depicting each numbered photograph for reference. For each photo, indicate the photo location number and viewpoint direction, and clearly identify the locations of site-specific and representative site features show (e.g., physical staking/flagging or other means of marking the subject area).

The submission shall be delivered electronically in a legible portable document format (PDF) with a maximum file size of <20MB. If necessary, multiple files may be submitted and clearly marked in terms of sequence.