CERTIFIED	COPY
-----------	------

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	OI
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Docket No. 529

Application from Homeland Towers, LLC, and Cellco
Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless for a Certificate
of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for
the Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a
Telecommunications Facility and Associated Equipment
Located at Parcel No. 65-002-1, Old Chester Road
North, Haddam, Connecticut.

Zoom Remote Council Meeting (Teleconference), on Thursday, March 13, 2025, beginning at 2 p.m.

Held Before:

JOHN MORISSETTE, Member and Presiding Officer

22

23

24

1	Appearances:
2	Councilmembers:
3	JOHN MORISSETTE (Hearing Officer)
4	
5	BRIAN GOLEMBIEWSKI,
6	DEEP Designees
7	
8	QUAT NGUYEN,
9	PURA Designee
10	
11	CHANCE CARTER
12	KHRISTINE HALL
13	BILL SYME
14	
15	
16	Council Staff:
17	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.,
18	Executive Director and Staff Attorney
19	
20	ROBERT MERCIER,
21	Siting Analyst
22	
23	DAKOTA LaFOUNTAIN
24	Administrative Support
25	

1	Appearances:(cont'd)
2	For HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC:
3	ROBINSON & COLE, LLP
4	280 Trumbull Street, TE 19
5	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
6	By: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQ.
7	KBaldwin@rc.com
8	860.275.8345
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Begin: 2:00 p.m.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Can everybody hear me okay?

Great, thank you.

This public hearing is called to order this Thursday, March 13, 2025, at 2 p.m. My name is John Morissette, Member and Presiding Officer of the Connecticut Siting Council.

Other members of the Council are Brian

Golembiewski, designee for Commissioner Katie

Dykes of the Department of Energy and

Environmental Protection; Quat Nguyen, designee

for Chairman Marissa Paslick Gillett of the Public

Utilities Regulatory Authority; Chance Carter,

Christine Hall, Bill Syme, and Elin Katz.

Members of the staff are Executive Director Melanie Bachman, Siting Analyst Robert Mercier, and Administrative Support Lisa Fontaine.

If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and/or telephones now.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative

Procedure Act upon an application from Homeland Towers, LLC, and Cellco Partnership, doing business as Verizon Wireless, for a certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility and associated equipment located at Parcel Number 65-002-1 on Old Chester Road North in Haddam, Connecticut.

This application was received by the Council on January 10, 2025. The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this public hearing was published in the Hartford Courant on February 12, 2025.

Upon this Council's request, the Applicants erected a sign in the vicinity of the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the Applicant, the type of the facility, the public hearing date, and contact information for the Council, including the website and phone number.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record communication with a member of the Council or a member of the Council's staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

The parties and intervenors of the proceeding are as follows; the Applicants, Homeland Tower,

LLC, and Cellco Partnership, d/b/a Verizon
Wireless; its representatives, Kenneth C.
Baldwin, Esquire, and Jonathan Schaefer, Esquire,
of Robinson & Cole, LLP.

We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, a copy of which is available on the Council's website, along with a record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this public hearing, and the Council's Citizens Guide to Citing Council Procedures.

Interested persons may join any session of this public hearing to listen, but no public comments will be received during the 2 p.m. evidentiary session. At the end of the evidentiary session, we will recess until 6:30 p.m. for the public comment session. Please be advised that any person may be removed from the evidentiary session or the public comment session at the discretion of the Council.

The 6:30 p.m. public comment session is reserved for the members of the public who have signed up to make brief statements into the record. I wish to note that the Applicants, parties, and intervenors, including their

representatives, witnesses, and members are not allowed to participate in the public comment session.

I also wish to note those who are listening and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the public comment session that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof, either by mail or by e-mail, and the written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken during the public comment session.

A verbatim transcript of this public hearing will be posted on the Council's website and deposited at the Haddam Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public.

I just want to go back. The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this public hearing was published in the Hartford Courant on February 12, 2025.

Upon this Council's request, the Applicants erected a sign in the vicinity of the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the applicant, the type of the facility, the public hearing date, and contact information for the Council.

1 The Council will take a 10 to 15 minute break 2 at a convenient juncture around 3:30 p.m. 3 We have one motion to take care of before we 4 start the hearing. We have one motion, Homeland 5 Towers, LLC, motion for protective order of the 6 lease agreement financial terms dated February 20, 7 2025. Attorney Bachman may wish to comment. 8 Attorney Bachman? 9 ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 10 Pursuant to General Statute Section 16-50o 11 and an interrogatory presented by Council's staff, 12 Homeland Towers submitted a motion for protective 13 order for the lease agreement financial terms, 14 which are exempt from public disclosure under the 15 Freedom of Information Act. And therefore, staff 16 recommends the motion be granted. 17 Thank you. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Bachman. 19 Is there a motion? 20 MS. HALL: I'll make a motion to approve the protective 21 order. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Hall. 23 Is there a second? 24 MR. CARTER: I'll second. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Carter.

1	We have a motion by Ms. Hall to approve the
2	motion for protective order. We have a second by
3	Mr. Carter. We'll now move to discussion.
4	Mr. Nguyen, any discussion?
5	MR. NGUYEN: I have no discussion. Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
7	Mr. Golembiewski?
8	MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: I have no discussion. Thank you.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
10	Mr. Carter?
11	MR. CARTER: No discussion. Thank you.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
13	Ms. Hall?
14	MS. HALL: No discussion. Thank you.
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
16	Mr. Syme?
17	MR. SYME: I have none.
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
19	And I have no discussion. We'll now move to
20	the vote.
21	Mr. Nguyen, how do you vote?
22	MR. NGUYEN: I vote to approve.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Mr. Golembiewski?
25	MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: I vote to approve. Thank you.

1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
2	Mr. Carter?
3	MR. CARTER: I vote to approve. Thank you.
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: And Ms. Hall?
5	MS. HALL: I vote to approve. Thank you.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
7	Mr. Syme?
8	MR. SYME: Vote approval.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I vote approval.
10	We have an unanimous decision. The motion
11	for protective order is approved.
12	We will now move on to administrative notices
13	taken by the Council. I wish to call your
14	attention to those items on the hearing program
15	marked as Roman numeral 1D, items 1 through 89.
16	Do the Applicants have an objection to the
17	items that the Council has administratively
18	noticed?
19	Attorney Baldwin, good afternoon or
20	Attorney Schaefer.
21	MR. BALDWIN: Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette.
22	Ken Baldwin for the Applicant.
23	No objection. Thank you.
24	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
25	Accordingly, the Council hereby

administratively notices these existing documents.

We'll move on to the appearance of the Applicant. Will the Applicant present their witness panel for purposes of taking the oath?

And we will have Attorney Bachman administer the oath.

Attorney Baldwin?

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette.

Again, Kenneth Baldwin with Robinson & Cole on behalf of the Applicant, Homeland Towers and Cellco Partnership, doing business as Verizon Wireless.

We have a witness panel that includes six professionals. Unfortunately, Mike Libertine is having some technical difficulties getting into the Zoom. So I'll introduce the others with the hope that Mike will join us shortly.

To my left, your right at the witness table is Robert Burns, a professional engineer with All-Points technology and the project engineer for the Haddam South facility.

My immediate left is Ray Vergati, the regional manager for Homeland Towers, LLC.

To my right is Mr. Wesley Stevens. He's the radiofrequency engineer responsible for the Haddam

South facility.

To Mr. Stevens' right is Elizabeth Glidden.
Ms. Glidden is as also with Verizon Wireless,
responsible for real estate and project
development.

And then the far right, your left side of the table is Matt Gustafson, a wetland scientist with All-Points Technology.

And I will offer them all to be sworn at this time. And hopefully, shortly we'll have Mike Libertine, who you know is also with All-Points technology as their project manager. But I guess we'll have to swear him in, Mr. Morissette, when he gets here.

THE HEARING OFFICER: We'll do. Thank you, Attorney Baldwin.

And good afternoon, everyone.

Attorney Bachman, please proceed with the administration of the oath, please. Thank you.

ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette.

Could the witnesses please raise their right hands?

1	ROBERT BURNS,
2	RAYMOND VERGATI,
3	WESLEY STEVENS,
4	ELIZABETH GLIDDEN,
5	MATTHEW GUSTAFSON,
6	called as witnesses, being sworn by
7	THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, were examined and
8	testified under oath as follows:
9	
10	ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Bachman.
12	Attorney Baldwin, please begin by verifying
13	all the exhibits by the appropriate sworn
14	witnesses.
15	MR. BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette.
16	For the sake of efficiency this afternoon,
17	we'll be verifying the exhibits as a panel. There
18	are a total of five exhibits listed in the hearing
19	program under Roman two, section B, items one
20	through five.
21	And I would ask our panel, did you prepare or
22	assist in the preparation of the exhibits listed
23	in the hearing program? Mr. Burns?
24	THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.
25	MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?

1 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes. 2 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Stevens? 3 THE WITNESS (Stevens): Yes. 4 MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Glidden? 5 THE WITNESS (Glidden): Yes. 6 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson? 7 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes. 8 MR. BALDWIN: And do you have any corrections, 9 modifications or clarifications that you want to 10 offer to any of those exhibits at this time? 11 Mr. Burns? 12 THE WITNESS (Burns): No. 13 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati? 14 THE WITNESS (Vergati): No. 15 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Stevens? 16 THE WITNESS (Stevens): No. 17 MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Glidden? 18 THE WITNESS (Glidden): No. 19 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson? 20 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No. 21 MR. BALDWIN: And is the information contained in those 22 exhibits true and accurate to the best of your 23 knowledge. 24 Mr. Burns? 25 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.

```
1
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?
2
    THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes.
 3
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Stevens?
 4
    THE WITNESS (Stevens): Yes.
5
    MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Glidden?
6
    THE WITNESS (Glidden): Yes.
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
7
8
    THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
9
    MR. BALDWIN: And do you adopt the information
10
         contained in those exhibits as your testimony in
11
        this proceeding?
12
              Mr. Burns?
13
    THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.
14
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Vergati?
15
    THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes.
16
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Stevens?
17
    THE WITNESS (Stevens): Yes.
18
    MR. BALDWIN: Ms. Glidden?
19
    THE WITNESS (Glidden): Yes.
20
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Gustafson?
21
    THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
22
    MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Morissette, I offer them as full
         exhibits.
23
24
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Baldwin.
                                                         The
25
         exhibits --
```

1	MR. BALDWIN: I see Mister oh, I'm sorry.
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: No, go right ahead.
3	MR. BALDWIN: No, I just I see Mr. Libertine has
4	joined us remotely. So after you declare them
5	exhibits, maybe we can swear him in.
6	I apologize.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Why don't we have him sworn in
8	before I declare their exhibits, and he can also
9	swear to his verification as well.
10	MR. BALDWIN: Perfect. Thank you.
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
12	Mr. Libertine Attorney Bachman, could you
13	please swear in Mr. Libertine?
14	Good afternoon, Mr. Libertine.
15	MICHAEL LIBERTINE: Good afternoon. My apologies to
16	everyone for holding things up.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: No problem. Your timing worked
18	out well.
19	Attorney Bachman?
20	ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette.
21	Mr. Libertine, could you please raise your
22	right hand?
23	
24	
25	

1	MICHAEL LIBERTINE,
2	called as a witness, being sworn by
3	THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, was examined and
4	testified under oath as follows:
5	
6	ATTORNEY BACHMAN: Thank you.
7	THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you.
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
9	MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Libertine, if you could help us? We
10	just verified the exhibits with the other panel
11	members. But if you could answer the following
12	questions; this is with respect to the exhibits
13	listed under the Applicant's section of the
14	hearing program, Roman two, section B, items one
15	through five.
16	Did you prepare or assist in the preparation
17	of those exhibits?
18	THE WITNESS (Libertine): I did, yes.
19	MR. BALDWIN: And do you have any corrections,
20	modifications or clarifications to offer to any of
21	those exhibits at this time?
22	THE WITNESS (Libertine): I do not.
23	MR. BALDWIN: And is the information contained in those
24	exhibits true and accurate to the best of your
25	knowledge?

1 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, they are. 2 MR. BALDWIN: And do you adopt the information in those 3 exhibits as your testimony in this proceeding? 4 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes. 5 MR. BALDWIN: Now, Mr. Morissette, I offer them as full 6 exhibits. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Attorney 8 Baldwin. The exhibits are hereby admitted. 9 Thank you. 10 We'll now begin with cross-examination of the 11 Applicants by the Council starting with 12 Mr. Mercier followed by Mr. Nguyen. 13 Mr. Mercier, good afternoon. 14 MR. MERCIER: Good afternoon. Thank you. 15 I only have a few questions; they're going to 16 be based on the interrogatory responses. 17 just refer to that document. And I'll just begin 18 with response number eight. 19 This had to do with potential extension of 20 the tower to accommodate other carriers, and in 21 the response it was mentioned that Homeland Towers 22 was in contact with the Haddam resident state 23 trooper to discuss the need for potential improved 24 service in the area. 25 What was the result of that discussion?

they intend to build -- do the Connecticut State

Police intend to, or the resident trooper intend

to locate on the tower to your knowledge?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

My discussion with the trooper -- and there's actually a new trooper that's involved there in the Haddam area, but the prior trooper, Joshua Muckle, more or less relies on the cell phones for their public safety to do his job as a trooper there in the area.

The discussion was not with the state police themselves to put radios or equipment on the tower. It was strictly with the resident state trooper for his need to have cell service to conduct his or her job properly.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you.

So at this time, there's no there's no other entities such as a municipal town garage or ambulance service that would like to locate on the facility. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): That's correct. We have told -- Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

We've told the Town that should they have interest in the tower, that we would certainly work with them. Typically, we reserve the top of

1 the tower for municipal use, be it fire, police, ambulance, and so forth. 2 3 MR. MERCIER: Okay. And if one of those entities did 4 locate on the tower at the top, is that typically 5 through a whip antenna? 6 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers. 7 From my experience, towns these days 8 are typically putting up a whip or a Yagi anywhere 9 from 7 to 20 feet in length, but it's typically a 10 whip antenna. 11 MR. MERCIER: Okay, thank you. 12 I'm going to move on to actually 13 interrogatory response number 27, and this had to 14 do with a coverage table that was included in the 15 interrogatories. So, I have a couple questions on 16 the table. 17 Looking at the table, I see, you know, 18 there's different values, you know, for each 19 frequency. What do the values represent? Is that 20 new coverage, or is that total coverage that would 21 be provided by the site? 22 THE WITNESS (Stevens): This is Wesley Stevens, Verizon 23 Wireless. 24 So this is representative of new coverage.

So essentially, this is the area of coverage that

1 before was not ideal coverage, which on our -- on 2 our maps is represented by the neg 85 RSRP, dBm 3 RSRP. So essentially, new coverage that was 4 either completely missing before, or the more 5 marginal coverage values of neg 95 dBm RSRP. 6 Essentially, whatever new coverage that the 7 new location provided was added up along the --8 the routes in mileage and provided in this table. 9 MR. MERCIER: I apologize. I didn't hear that. 10 that -- the signal level is negative 95 on this 11 table? Or is that neg 85? 12 THE WITNESS (Stevens): No, so this is essentially 13 wherever -- wherever the new location improved 14 coverage up to a level of neg 85 dBm RSRP. 15 MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you. 16 You know, given the topography of this area, 17 you know, for -- we'll just take the 700 megahertz frequency, for example. There's adjacent sites 18 19 that kind of extend into the coverage footprint 20 area of the proposed site. Is that correct? 21 THE WITNESS (Stevens): Yes, that's correct. 22 MR. MERCIER: Okay. What's the importance, if any, or 23 significance, if any, of having the overlapping 24 coverage?

So --

25

THE WITNESS (Stevens):

MR. MERCIER: That may be a large amount?

THE WITNESS (Stevens): Yeah. So, Wesley Stevens,

Verizon Wireless.

So, the over -- overlapping coverage with some of the nearby sites is significant for a few reasons, but mainly there's advantages with some existing traffic at the cell edge of some of our existing locations, which puts a significant load on our existing sites since it is more difficult for them to efficiently serve far away traffic. It will hopefully be offloaded by this new site.

So, we get some benefits of offloading some of our exhausted or near exhausted sectors from nearby sites with the overlapping coverage.

MR. MERCIER: And how would a cell site with overlapping coverage decide which service a customer will be assigned to? You know, a customer is on a certain cell site, there's overlapping coverage -- how?

How would that be assigned?

THE WITNESS (Stevens): Generally speaking, when -when a user tries to first connect to the network
to -- to use the service, it will attempt to take
whatever signal from which site and sector has
the -- the best quality, which usually is

determined by the strength of the signal, so the -- the RSRP.

There are some exceptions depending on other interference in the area that might affect the quality, but also once a user is connected they will attempt to stay on that connection, that same site and sector as long as possible up until a certain degraded value, in which case it will attempt to switch to the next best site and sector.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.

Attached to the interrogatory responses was Exhibit 2, which are the site plans. So I'm going to refer to those, and I believe I'm going to begin with the site plan SP-2. I believe that is, here on the council webpage PDF number -- page number 55.

First off, in response 42, it's just basically stated that the plans were revised to include the bat protection measures, which are these, the revised plans. Were there any other revisions to the plans besides the addition of the bat protection measure?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns from All-Points.

No, there weren't.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you.

Staying with sheet SP-2. Looking at the access drive, I could see the drive coming up and then it crosses a culvert and it says, we're going to protect the culvert. What type of procedures or methods are used to protect the culvert as the road crosses it?

THE WITNESS (Burns): So the -- Robert Burns with All-Points again.

So the culvert itself is a 15-inch reinforced concrete pipe. So it's -- it's a fairly strong pipe. We are not impacting at all with the exception of the access drive will go over the top of it.

The access drive itself will not lose any cover over that pipe. As a matter of fact, we're about six inches higher than what's existing out there over the pipe.

The idea is I would want the contractor to locate the pipe, inspect the pipe, and then just understand that it's there, and -- and when he's doing his work, be cognizant of it. And if it's -- if it's damaged, then he needs to repair it.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. So it hasn't actually been

inspected yet, the first condition, or anything of that nature. Is that correct? THE WITNESS (Burns): Nothing -- nothing -- Robert Burns again, with All-Points. Nothing formal. I mean, we've been out there. We've looked in it, and actually it's surprisingly clear. There is some debris on the bottom of it, but the idea would be I'd want him to inspect it and -- and clean it.

MR. MERCIER: After the access drive is constructed, and, you know, the site is functional, who would maintain the pipe crossing? Would that be the landowner?

Or is that part of your lease arrangement to maintain it to make sure, you know, it doesn't get clogged and overtop the road or anything like that with, you know, debris if it rains heavily?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns with All-Points.

That, the pipe itself is not part of this at all. As a matter of fact, it takes water to the south of us and bypasses the site. So, I'm -- I'm not sure what's in the lease agreement, but it is an existing pipe that has no effect to -- of the proposed facility.

So, I'm not sure Homeland will be responsible

1 for it.

MR. MERCIER: Yes, I was just looking at the -- it looks like the -- that would be the west side.

And there's, like, a little -- there's a little collection point where the pipe extends from then under the road.

THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.

MR. MERCIER: I guess my question was, if that fills up with debris and twigs, twigs and things, and blocks flow, would that eventually cause a problem of flooding along your road?

THE WITNESS (Burns): It could cause some issues with the access drive. If it -- if that pipe is -- is totally blocked and any of that water comes down, it would be in an isolated area, I think, because of -- of the topography.

But -- but that pipe has been there a long time and has been functional for that time. So, my feeling is if the contractor cleans it out and inspects it, it should stay that way for quite some time.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you.

Moving up the access road, actually right to the compound that you could see an underground -there's a mark that's an underground utility line extending downgradient, downhill towards old -that road there, I can't see it -- Old Chester
Road, and it's going to attach to a pole there.

What type of clearing would you need to install that line? And what kind of equipment would be used?

THE WITNESS (Burns): So, Robert Burns with All-Points Technologies.

Clearing-wise for an underground pipe would be approximately ten feet. They would use probably a mini excavator, and if it's -- the sleep -- that the slope is not too steep, they could winch the excavator off with a heavier piece of equipment.

And this, so there's -- there's no real issues installing that pipe up the hill.

- MR. MERCIER: What would be the depth that it would be installed to?
- THE WITNESS (Burns): I want to say they're 30 inches deep. Thirty to 36 inches is -- is what's required.
- MR. MERCIER: And just going back to the clearing, is there anything within ten feet, you know, that you would have to chop down trees, things of that nature, do some maybe sporadic grubbing --

1 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yeah, so the -- I'm sorry. 2 Sorry to interrupt, Bob. 3 MR. MERCIER: Go ahead. 4 THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns with All-Points 5 again. 6 The idea would be that, to run that conduit 7 in areas where there weren't trees to miss as many 8 as possible -- but yes, there may. There may have 9 to be some targeted trimming and some, some minor 10 clearing. 11 MR. MERCIER: Thank you. 12 How come a route down the access road towards 13 the abandoned commercial building wasn't selected 14 for a utility run? 15 THE WITNESS (Burns): So we walked with -- Robert Burns 16 with All-Points, again. 17 The site was walked with Eversource. was their preferred run. It's a shorter run and 18 19 there's -- there's probably less disturbance, but -- but that -- that's, you know, it was -- it 20 21 was the shortest run and it was reviewed with 22 ever -- Eversource in the field. 23 THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati with 24 Homeland Towers, just to add to Mr. Burns's 25 comment.

And it was discussed also with their landlord about the potential of running utilities along the access drive that's partly existing and probably proposed. The landlord has future plans for this property with development.

It was preference also on his part to -- not to encumber any of the middle of the property with typically a 25, 30-foot-wide utility easement that could cause issues for him down the road with any placement of -- of structures on the property.

MR. MERCIER: Okay. Thank you.

So, when you're doing the clearing and, you know, some excavation here and there, is this -- is debris going to be hauled off site? Are you going to deposit it on the property somewhere?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns with All-Points.

Right now the plan is to have everything excavated, excavated and removed off site.

Obviously, they'll use some in the areas where we have fill, but anything in excess will be hauled offsite.

MR. MERCIER: Looking at the compound location, you know, to the west is a hill that, you know, slopes upward; the water runs down towards the compound.

And on the other side of the compound, it runs

downhill towards the road again, Old Chester Road.

For the western side where the water is coming downhill, how is that going to be managed? Is there some kind of collection point? Is there any concern of the type of, you know, heavy thunderstorm? Some type of heavy rain event that might channelize somewhere and, you know, impact your compound?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns with All-Points, again.

No, the -- the feeling was that, you know, our grades are at three to one. Everything is gravel in the compound and then there's the twelve-foot grass strip there. I don't think we'll have issues with washout.

Obviously, they'll have to manage it during construction. And if we're going to get a storm when their excavation is open, that's, you know they're going to have to move some DNS controls around. But no, I don't have any worries about that.

MR. MERCIER: And how about the eastern side as water leaves the site going downslope? Are there any channels there existing now? I'm not sure if there any kind of inspection was conducted. Would

flow concentrate in these channels if -- if they are there?

THE WITNESS (Burns): No. So, it's sheet flow down the hill, and our compound meets existing grade at the eastern side. I held grade at that eastern side.

And then slope, the compound is approximately 2 and a half percent sloped to the west, and then we went up at three to one to meet that. It's -- it's a level area there that we -- we were able to make work, and if we hold the eastern side the compound works very well.

MR. MERCIER: Thank you. I understand there's going to be -- well, it's under construction right now -- I believe is the roundabout for Route 82 and 154, which is, you know, kind of -- what's that going be? North northeast of your site, kind of where Old Chester Road meets the area. I noticed it on the Town of Haddam website, they have a nice informational page.

Would the roundabout construction affect the proposed pole placement on Old Chester Road?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

When we conducted our utility walk with Eversource and our utility consultant, McPhee, that was taken into consideration. We had plans from the State DOT understanding the limits of the roundabout and the pole that would be put in, the new pole. And we're outside the bounds of that roundabout.

It works for the State. It works for Eversource, so we've worked closely with them to make sure that this design is part of this roundabout.

MR. MERCIER: Would the tower setback radius extend into the roundabout area? Or is it a sufficient distance away? It's unclear on the construction plans on the town website the extent of the roundabout.

I just want to make sure that, you know, the tower radius does not extend onto the traffic portion. Has anybody looked at that?

THE WITNESS (Burns): So the tower itself -- Robert Burns with All-Points.

The tower itself will be designed with a yield point. The yield point will be to the closest property line, which is to the north, which is 86 -- I want to say 86 feet away.

That property line to the east is a hundred and?

A VOICE: Forty.

1 THE WITNESS (Vergati): 140 feet away. Sorry. 2 So I don't -- I don't see us having an issue 3 there as long as the yield point is put in the tower. We will stay on our parcel. 4 5 MR. MERCIER: Okay. I wasn't sure on the yield point 6 just because the abutting property to the north is 7 also owned by the landlord. But thank you for 8 that. 9 THE WITNESS (Vergati): You're welcome. 10 MR. MERCIER: I have no other questions. Thank you. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Mercier. 12 We will now continue the cross-examination of 13 the applicants by Mr. Nguyen followed by 14 Mr. Golembiewski. 15 Mr. Nguyen, good afternoon. 16 MR. NGUYEN: Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette. And good 17 afternoon, everyone. Just a few questions. Thank 18 you. 19 Referencing page 20 of the application of the 20 overall scheduling, I see that the company will 21 begin upon approval of this project. With respect 22 to Siting Council approval of the D and M plan, it 23 looks like the company will start the construction 24 within weeks.

Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

Assuming D and M approval is received by the Council, we will then file a building permit with the building department in Haddam. They would issue a building permit. That could take anywhere from a few days up to 30 days.

Once that permit is issued, then we would commence construction at the site.

MR. NGUYEN: And it appears that once you get a green light to perform the actual construction it would take -- it looks like a couple of months.

Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yeah. Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

Typically, it's a 60 to 90-day process from start to finish for us to get in there and remove trees, get the road in, you know, do the excavation for the foundation, pour the concrete, the rebar, make sure it cures, do the break tests, put the fencing up.

And then once the tower is up, the tower goes up in a matter of a few hours. Once our work is completed, then the next tenant, in this case Verizon, as the Applicant, full applicant, would

commence on their work.

MR. NGUYEN: And in terms of construction hours, what are the typical days and hours of the construction activities?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray with Homeland Towers.

Bob is looking up on the plans.

We typically, as a matter of business, on our sites we'll do construction activities Monday through Saturday, eight to five. If the local building department, their construction hours can give us any leeway, you know, our goal is to get in there and get out as quick as possible.

Some towns don't allow construction on the weekends or after certain hours. We'll certainly adhere to -- in this case, Bob Russo is the building official. We'll work with his department to make sure that we adhere to the Town's hours for construction, and days.

THE WITNESS (Burns): And just a supplement on that?

Robert Burns from All-Points.

The hours aren't on these drawings, but they will be listed on the -- they're typically listed on the D and M set. But we talked to the Town.

We see -- we can find out what their requirements

1 are, and then they will be on the D and M set of 2 drawings. 3 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you. 4 Moving on to question number 38, this 5 referenced the noise. And the question was, 6 besides the backup power source, what noise from 7 the equipment, which is the backup power source, 8 could be generated? And the answer indicated 9 there the equipment cooling fans, and it is 10 Is that correct? minimal. 11 THE WITNESS (Burns): This is Robert Burns with 12 All-Points. 13 Yes, that the equipment cabinets themselves 14 have small cooling fans on them, much like your 15 computers at home. So, there's really no --16 minimal noise from that. The noise comes from the 17 generator. 18 MR. NGUYEN: And in terms of minimal, can you quantify 19 in terms of dBA? THE WITNESS (Burns): I don't know that answer offhand. 20 21 Sorry. 22 MR. NGUYEN: And certainly within -- it's going to be 23 less than the noise standard? 24 THE WITNESS (Burns): 25 The state noise standard? MR. NGUYEN:

1	THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, correct.
2	MR. NGUYEN: And I think there's something Mr. Mercier
3	touched on, the yield point. So 86 feet north of
4	the tower site, that technically that's still
5	within the land owner's property.
6	Is that correct?
7	THE WITNESS (Burns): This is Robert Burns with
8	All-Points again.
9	Yes, the same property owner owns the
10	property to the north.
11	MR. NGUYEN: So, in terms of the yield point, you
12	indicated that 90 feet above ground level could be
13	added.
14	THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns again. Yes.
15	MR. NGUYEN: Okay. That's all I have at this time,
16	Mr. Morissette. And thank you.
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: I'll continue with
18	cross-examination of the Applicants by
19	Mr. Golembiewski, followed by Mr. Carter.
20	Mr. Golembiewski, good afternoon.
21	MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette.
22	Good afternoon, everyone. I have just two quick
23	questions about visibility.
24	My question is, what would the visibility be
25	from Eagle Landing State Park of the tower?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Good afternoon,

Mr. Golembiewski.

I'm just trying to get a reference point to where that is specifically. Is that to the north or south of our site?

- MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: I believe it is -- I think it's east of the site. It is on the west side of the river, just past the bridge.
- THE WITNESS (Libertine): Okay. That, that area was not specifically accessed. I can say that there, there looks to be some limited seasonal visibility in that general area, but that's primarily south of the bridge.

So if we're talking along that northern shoreline, the visibility really does not extend that far. As a matter of fact, if -- I just -- and I apologize, because I just don't see a call out for that particular resource. But it looks as though visibility does not extend from the west side of the river.

We're probably talking -- I'm just getting -about 1500 feet or so. There's really no
visibility that extends anywhere within about a
1500-foot radius from that west shore. So, I'm
fairly confident that there's enough screening and

distance and topography there that there would not be any significant views.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Okay. And then a boater on the Connecticut River, what would be the most significant view of the tower that they would have?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, I guess there's kind of good news here that tempers any of the views, and that is we did fly a drone over the river and did include some of those shots within the visibility assessment.

And so, anyone on the river who's looking within the direction of the proposed site, we've taken advantage of the rise in the hill so that the tower is backed by the hillside. So, there's no areas where the tower actually extends above either the hills or the treeline.

So they would be able to see it. It's going to be camouflaged with color. So, it does -- it's pretty effective in burying it, but I think if you know what you're looking for, you could probably pick it out. But I think that the views are very minimal from along the river in terms of an obvious point of reference.

There is visibility. It's fairly well

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5

depicted on our viewshed maps, but again, we've taken advantage of that rise, which is about another 200-plus feet above the ground level of where we're building the tower. So, it's a fairly effective screening mechanism.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: And then my last question is, you did say that the tower would be colored, I believe, as brown -- is what's stated in the application?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): That's what's being proposed at the moment, yes.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: And then the antennas and the actual platforms, they would not necessarily be brown. They would be whatever. There they would not have any type of color scheme. Is that true?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Well, typically we would like to avoid doing that. It -- it can be done, but there are some technical limitations to doing that.

So, as it stands now it would just be the monopole that we propose doing as brown.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Okay. So, I guess you said there's limitations, so you can't really paint some of that equipment, the radio heads and the panels and such. Is that possible to paint those, or no?

THE WITNESS (Libertine): I might have to defer to our radiofrequency expert on what the limitations are from -- but in terms of being able to transmit and receive, anything is possible. I think there's a maintenance issue that would certainly be part of that as well.

I will say just because, again, of its location against that hillside, it's fairly -- it's fairly minimal in terms of the overall visibility. But to your point, if, you know, on a certain aspect of the sun hitting the white, it might be a little bit more obvious to the observer.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Okay.

THE WITNESS (Stevens): And this is Wesley Stevens with Verizon Wireless.

Just from the technical perspective of the limitations of painting antennas specifically, the -- really the problem comes down to is, you know, whenever you apply anything that was not intended to those antennas, paint, you know, being one of them, it's going to essentially block some of that signal.

So it's, you know, depending on the frequency, it could have a pretty significant

1 impact on our, you know, propagation to the point 2 where the site basically wouldn't work anymore. 3 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Okay. 4 THE WITNESS (Stevens): A lot of times when we need to 5 have some sort of, you know, visibility change to 6 it, you know, there -- there are a few cases where 7 there are specific types of pre-manufactured films 8 that actually get applied to the antennas before 9 they're even shipped out. 10 But that's very situational and very 11 expensive. And also, again, maintenance is 12 definitely an issue because those tend to 13 deteriorate. 14 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Okay. Thank you. 15 It was nice to see you, Mr. Libertine. 16 THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you. 17 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 18 That's all my questions. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Golembiewski. 20 We'll now continue cross-examination by 21 Mr. Carter, followed by Ms. Hall. 22 Mr. Carter, good afternoon. 23 MR. CARTER: Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette. And good 24 afternoon, all. Thank you all for being here this 25 afternoon. I won't be taking up much time, per

usual. I just have potentially one -- well, hopefully just one question, actually, about co-location.

I just wanted to know, has the Applicant reached out to Chester's resident state trooper or any other emergency services about the potential for co-location of radio services on the new -- or the proposed tower?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

I believe the resident trooper for Haddam -and I'll double check it, may be the same as
Chester, but I'll reconfirm that. We have not
reached out specifically to the state police that
would handle their radios, but certainly they'll
be aware that, you know, if the site is approved,
it's available for use for state police should
they deem it.

MR. CARTER: Thank you.

And have you had any outreach to any of the other emergency services in the area, like fire or EMS?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

Yes, I've spoken to individuals with the volunteer fire department there in -- in Haddam,

1 the ambulance folks and public safety first 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 MR. CARTER: 12 13 14 Mr. Morissette. Thank you. 15 16 Mr. Carter. 17 18 Ms. Hall, followed by Mr. Syme. 19 Ms. Hall, good afternoon. 20 MS. HALL: Thank you. 21 22

23

24

25

responders overall. They've been very supportive of this application. They're very supportive of a recent tower that's under construction, almost finished in the northern section of Haddam. They have conveyed to me that they rely on their cell phones immensely for this corridor that's been a notorious dead spot for years, and they're hoping it's approved so they can use their cell phones to do their job efficiently. Thank you. And I hope that I didn't steal any thunder from Ms. Hall with my line of questioning, but that's all that I have, THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. And thank you, We'll now continue with cross-examination by Two quick questions. I believe somewhere, probably in the interrogatories -- I don't have the citation right in front of me -- you stated that if more tenants were to be located on the tower, that the emergency generator that you're

putting in is not going to be sufficient to serve everybody. So, what would happen in that case?

Would you need to install additional generation equipment that might produce additional noise? What might happen in that case?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati with Homeland Towers.

The typical practice that we find with each carrier is that their network is their network, and they do not share either their antennas, typically, or their backup generation. So, to answer your question, any future tenants coming to the tower would have their own generators. Some do battery backup without generators.

So, they would have their own backup generation.

MS. HALL: Okay. Thank you.

And one other question. And I want to thank you for the visual impact studies. It did help a lot to visualize where things might be visible or not. And the acknowledgement in it that the equipment would be painted, which -- and the tower, which I was a little confused by your response to question number 17 in the interrogatories. It said if the Council orders

the tower to be painted, maintenance would become an issue that Homeland would need to address going forward. So, I'm puzzled why.

That seems kind of tentative. You're not pulling back from a commitment to paint the tower.

Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

No, absolutely not. I -- I've been in the tower business for quite some years. These towers, when they're painted and painted properly, meaning by the manufacturer at the time the tower is being fabricated, they are put on with a primer. And typically on average, they last seven years, eight years, and they have much more of a lifespan, obviously, and so forth.

If maintenance became an issue where the paint was peeling or flaking, that would be our responsibility as a tower owner to ensure that we comply with the conditions of the D and M approval and that tower maintains its color. In this case, we're proposing brown. Actually, thunder gray would be the swatch color that we always recommend.

But we -- we're not shying away from

1	maintenance whatsoever. If the paint doesn't
2	last, we will be out there painting it.
3	MS. HALL: Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
4	That's all the questions I have. Thank you.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: We'll continue with
6	cross-examination by Mr. Syme, followed by myself.
7	Mr. Syme, good afternoon.
8	MR. SYME: Good afternoon, everybody.
9	I've reviewed the plans, the interrogatories.
10	I think it's very thorough. And to be honest with
11	you, I didn't come up with any questions. So I
12	will yield my time. Thank you.
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Syme.
14	And good afternoon, everyone. My questions
15	are around the view and the viewshed analysis. I
16	would like to go to photo eleven. I think that's
17	a good representation.
18	Mr. Libertine, you discussed I think this
19	represents your discussion you were having about
20	the height of the tower in relation to the hill.
21	THE WITNESS (Libertine): Uh-huh?
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: I think it represents it very
23	well, because I was curious about whether the
24	tower could be moved further up the hill.
25	So, I guess I would like Mr. Burns' reaction

1 to that and whether it's, because of the slope, whether it's doable. And then I'd like 2 3 Mr. Libertine to opine on the view, the viewshed 4 of doing that. 5 Mr. Burns? 6 7 Burns with All-Points.

THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, Mr. Morissette. Robert

Really it can't be moved further to the -- I guess it's southwest. That hill goes fairly steep. The area where we are putting the compound is really the only area in this vicinity where it could go, or at least on this parcel. It's a flat area between two hillsides.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Thank you.

Mr. Libertine?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS (Libertine): Yes, good afternoon. Libertine with All-Points.

Mr. Morissette, I think photo eleven is a great shot to use as kind of the, I guess, the touch point. It really is indicative of the very small area or condensed area where currently, as placed, where the tower would be visible above the treeline. It's the perspective, because you are so close to it.

As you go north to south on Route 154 in this

area, there are some vantage points which we have documented here in the visibility report that's on -- on the record.

To answer your question, if we -- if it was feasible to go any higher on that site, I do think that we would still be able to maintain the advantage of using the hillside from the locations east of the site, and I would say on the east side of the river.

Obviously, there would be a point of diminishing returns. I would say that we are probably talking being able to go up another 50, 60 feet in elevation. You would still be able to maintain that.

However, anything along this general route in this district west of the river is just going to only increase the visibility above the treeline, and I think that's one of the things we -- again, as Mr. Burns had indicated, we found a somewhat relatively flat area that was -- that worked for us.

All things considered, this seemed to be
the -- the best on-balance way to achieve what we
were trying to do, but I do think anything higher
is just going to only accentuate these views above

treeline in this immediate area.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I agree, and this is a good representation of that. Thank you.

The other topic I'd like to touch on is on -I didn't see it, and it's probably in here
somewhere, whether a monopine was considered or
not, and why not.

THE WITNESS (Libertine): We -- we always -- it's actually a real pleasure working with Homeland Towers, because Ray and his team are always very sensitive and thorough in how we evaluate what might be the best application for these, these particular facilities.

And so, you know, we always try to take a balance of what the context of the area is. Now we -- we do have the backdrop of the hill, but as you can see, view eleven is a great representation of the type of matrix of forests that we have. It's all deciduous.

So, when we looked at this area our feeling was the monopine would likely be such a larger intrusion on the landscape in terms of its width that it really didn't fit both from a context and visibility perspective, because once again, it would be fairly significantly above the treeline

and it would just be a much larger object in the area.

And again, the visibility is fairly minimal overall. So, it just didn't seem to really -- from, I'd say an overall standpoint, it didn't seem like it was going to really accomplish what the monopines are really intended to do.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good point. I can see. I can see the concerns now, and it would. I agree. I don't think it would fit in, either.

Now we did talk a little bit about painting the tower, and so it sounds like we're going to have it painted by the manufacturer before it's installed. Is that a correct assumption?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

That's correct. Ninety-nine percent of the time we'll have the manufacturer apply the -- the paint.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Okay.

Well, that concludes my questioning for this afternoon. We have one open question from Mr. Carter relating to the Chester resident state trooper, and whether it's the same one as Haddam. Hopefully, there's a response to that so we can close that question down.

1 THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray with Homeland 2 Towers. 3 I'll take it as a quick hallmark assignment, 4 and I've got the phone number for the trooper and 5 I can try ringing them and confirm if -- his name 6 is Ed Miller, the new trooper -- if he does, in 7 fact, handle Chester and Haddam. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, thank you. I appreciate 9 that Mr. Vergati. Unfortunately, we're going to 10 be wrapping it up for this afternoon, and I don't 11 want to have anything open. 12 So Mr. Carter, we're going to move on and we 13 will not have an answer to your question, but 14 hopefully that is satisfactory for you. 15 MR. CARTER: It will work for me, Mr. Morissette. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I apologize for that, but I don't see holding up the hearing for a 17 18 response for that. 19 Mr. Vergati, you are off the hook. 20 Okay. Well, that concludes our questioning 21 for this afternoon. Since we do have a little bit 22 of time, I'm just going to go through and see if 23 any other questions have come up. 24 Mr. Mercer, anything else? 25 MR. MERCIER: I have no other questions. Thank you.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: Yes, actually, I do, Mr. Morissette. 2 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. 4 MR. NGUYEN: I just want to go back to the yield point. 5 So, is it correct, then, that the yield point 6 might not be needed in this situation? 7 THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns with All-Points. 8 No, I believe it is needed. The -- the 9 closest property line is 86 feet away. Sorry, I 10 keep getting that wrong. And it's -- even though it's the same property owner, I think the -- the 11 12 requirements are that you still put that, the 13 yield point in because -- who knows? He may sell 14 the property some day. 15 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you. Thank you for that 16 clarification, because in my mind, that it is 17 needed. 18 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 19 MR. NGUYEN: And we certainly hope this will never 20 happen. 21 THE WITNESS (Burns): Agreed. 22 MR. NGUYEN: But the theoretical thing is that it might 23 fall into some other property. Okay? 24 That's all I have. Thank you. All right. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Nguyen.

```
1
              Mr. Golembiewski, any follow-up questions?
2
    MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI.: I have no follow-up questions.
3
              Thank you, Mr. Morissette.
4
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5
              Mr. Carter, any follow-up questions?
6
    MR. CARTER: No followups. Thank you, Mr. Morissette.
7
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
8
              Ms. Hall, any follow-up questions?
9
    MS. HALL: No questions. Thank you.
10
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Great.
11
              Mr. Syme, any follow-up questions?
12
    MR. SYME: I have none. Thank you.
13
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Okay. So the Council
14
         will recess until 6:30 p.m., at which time we will
15
         commence with the public comment session of this
16
         public hearing.
17
              Thank you, everyone, for your questions and
18
         answers this afternoon, and we'll see everybody at
19
         6:30 p.m. Thank you.
20
    MS. HALL: Thank you.
21
    THE WITNESS (Libertine): Thank you.
22
23
                          (End: 2:58 p.m.)
24
25
```

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 54 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the remote teleconference meeting of The Connecticut Siting Council hearing in Re: DOCKET NO. 529, APPLICATION FROM HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, AND CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AND ASSOCIATED EOUIPMENT LOCATED AT PARCEL NO. 65-002-1, OLD CHESTER ROAD NORTH, HADDAM, CONNECTICUT, which was held before JOHN MORISSETTE, Member and Presiding Officer, on March 13, 2025.

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025

1	INDEX
2	WITNESSES (Homeland Towers) PAGE
3	Robert Burns Raymond Vergati
4	Wesley Stevens Elizabeth Glidden Matthew Gustafson 13
5	
6	Michael Libertine 17 (EXAMINER) By Mr. Baldwin 13
7	By Mr. Baldwin 13
8	By Mr. Mercier 18 By Mr. Nguyen 33, 53
9	By Mr. Golembiewski 37 By Mr. Carter 42
10	By Ms. Hall By The Hearing Officer (Morissette) 42 44 47
11	By The Hearing Officer (Mortssette) 47
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	