

From: Dottie DeLuca <info@fleurdelisct.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 9, 2024 11:37 AM

To: Suzanne Fogle <sfged444@gmail.com>

Cc: Paska <grammy2017@protonmail.com>; JoAnn Villamizar <jlvilla56@aim.com>; Tajkeyes <tajkeyes@optonline.net>; CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>; vking@mcmgmt.com; Baldwin, Kenneth <KBALDWIN@rc.com>; Daniel Patrick <dpatrick@cuddyfeder.com>; Ichiocchio@cuddyfeder.com; Jason Jaffee <jason.jaffee12@gmail.com>; david.j.anderson@gmail.com; Meredith Miller <meredithfordmiller@aol.com>; Joanna Schipke <joschipke@yahoo.com>; Jo Vi <jlvilla56@aol.com>; Dino Trevisani <marchantfarm@gmail.com>; Ann Taylor <ann@newpondfarm.org>; Nadezhda Anikeev <nadezhda.anikeev@gmail.com>; Danielle M. Caldwell <dcaldwell29@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Intervenors Docket 517

We are still being silenced . What is the point of being an intervenor when you can't even speak? Just because we don't have an attorney we are silenced? That is just flat out wrong!

Fleur De Lis Antiques and Design

4 Long Ridge Rd

Redding CT 06896

203-664-1673

Info@fleurdelisct.com

www.fleurdelisct.com

Showroom Hours:

Thursday - Saturday 11-5 pm

On Jan 8, 2024, at 1:48 PM, Suzanne Fogle <sfged444@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Bachman, Melanie** <Melanie.Bachman@ct.gov>

Date: Mon, Jan 8, 2024 at 7:47 AM

Subject: RE: Intervenors Docket 517

To: Suzanne Fogle <sfged444@gmail.com>

Good morning, Suzanne.

During the pre-hearing conference back in October, you were informed that grouped intervenors who are not represented by an attorney must designate ONE representative to speak on behalf of the group. This is clearly described in the memo we sent before the pre-hearing conference.

<image002.png>

Also during the pre-hearing conference, I told the participants if they don't indicate ONE representative to speak on behalf of the group, the Presiding Officer will ask who that ONE representative is during the hearing, which occurred.

<image003.png>

For cross examination of the applicant and Verizon, ONE representative from the grouped intervenors is to be designated to ask questions. You did a great job in November.

For cross examination of the grouped intervenors, EACH intervenor is responsible for answering questions from the Council, applicant and other intervenors. So, although you would appear as a collective grouped intervenor panel, similar to the applicant's witness panel, you would answer questions directed to you, Danielle would answer questions directed to her, etc. consistent with the procedure you note below.

Thank you. Have a great day.

Melanie

Melanie A. Bachman, Esq.

Executive Director/Staff Attorney

Connecticut Siting Council

10 Franklin Square

New Britain, CT 06051

860-827-2951

<image001.png>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. Information in this e-mail or any attachment may be confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure by state or federal law. Any unauthorized use, dissemination, or copying of this message is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please refrain from reading this e-mail or any attachments and notify the sender immediately. Please destroy all copies of this communication.

From: Suzanne Fogle <sfged444@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 5, 2024 8:42 AM
To: Bachman, Melanie <Melanie.Bachman@ct.gov>
Subject: Intervenors Docket 517

Melanie A. Bachman, Esq.
Executive Director/Staff Attorney
Connecticut Siting Council
10 Franklin Square
New Britain, CT 06051

Re: MCM Holdings, LLC
Proposed Wireless Telecommunications Tower Facility
288 Simpaug Turnpike (Parcel 12-29), Redding, Connecticut

Docket 517

Dear Ms Bachman,

At the November 30th evidentiary hearing, our group of intervenors were taken by surprise when we were told that only the designated representative would be allowed to speak. We had to scramble to get our questions together and communicated to the presenter, which proved all but impossible.

When we discussed this with you in October, everyone in the group understood you to say that we had the choice of being represented as a group or speaking individually, and that having a group representative did not preclude intervenors from speaking.

Additionally, the Siting Council's procedure document states:

"All parties and intervenors will then be allowed to introduce their exhibits and witness panels, and be subject to cross-examination by the Council, the applicant and all other parties and intervenors."

Given this we would like to proceed with speaking and being cross examined individually. We believe this allows us to more clearly and concisely communicate different viewpoints and would be the fairest way to proceed.

We will operate on that basis unless we hear differently.

Kind regards,

Suzanne Fogle

