

BRUCE L. MCDERMOTT 203.772.7787 DIRECT TELEPHONE 860.240.5723 DIRECT FACSIMILE BMCDERMOTT@MURTHALAW.COM

November 2, 2023

Melanie A. Bachman, Esq. Executive Director/Staff Attorney Connecticut Siting Council 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Re: Docket No. 516 – The United Illuminating Company Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the Fairfield to Congress Railroad Transmission Line 115-kV Rebuild Project

Dear Ms. Bachman:

On behalf of The United Illuminating Company ("UI"), enclosed please find UI's responses to the Town of Fairfield's Interrogatories and Requests for Production dated October 12, 2023. Please note that no response to Interrogatory Fairfield 8 is being filed today. A request for an extension for the filing of that response will be filed separately.

An original and fifteen (15) copies of this filing will be hand delivered to the Council today.

Should the Council have any questions regarding this filing, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Bruce L. McDermott

Enclosures

cc: Service List (via Electronic Mail only)

Murtha Cullina LLP 265 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510 T 203.772.7700 F 203.772.7723

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Shawn Crosbie

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 1: Please identify by name, position or title, address, any person

answering or assisting in the answering of these interrogatories and

requests for production on behalf of UI.

A-Fairfield 1: Individuals responsible for the preparation of a response to an

interrogatory are identified on each response. Also refer to Ul's pre-hearing submission filed on July 18, 2023 as amended on

August 11, 2023.

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Meena Sazanowicz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 2: Please provide copies of all National Electrical Safety Codes (NESC)

which substantiate UI's position that the 115-kV transmission lines must

be rebuilt on new monopoles.

A-Fairfield 2: Please refer to the Company's response to Interrogatory SCNET 2-2.

The United Illuminating Company Docket No. 516 Witness: Meena Sazanowicz

Page 1 of 1

Please identify all Eversource transmission lines located within one mile Q-Fairfield 3:

of UI's proposed project.

A-Fairfield 3: Please refer to the Company's response to Interrogatory SCNET 2-3.

The United Illuminating Company

Witness: Correne Auer

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 4: Please describe the steps taken by UI to identify properties and

structures located within the proposed right-of-way ("ROW") which are either eligible for state and/or federal- historic designation, locally designated, or which otherwise contribute architecturally, historically or culturally to Southport, the Town of Fairfield and the City of Bridgeport.

A-Fairfield 4: Please refer to the Company's response to Interrogatory SCNET 2-4.

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Correne Auer Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 5: Please list all properties identified in UI's application which are not listed

on the National Register of Historic Places but are either eligible for state and/or federal designation, are locally designated, or otherwise contribute architecturally, historically or culturally to Southport, the Town

of Fairfield or the City of Bridgeport.

A-Fairfield 5: Please refer to the Company's response to Interrogatory SCNET 2-5.

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Correne Auer

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 6: If the answer to interrogatory 5 is "none," please explain why such

resources were omitted in the original application.

A-Fairfield 6: Please refer to the Company's responses to SCNET 2-4 and

SCNET 2-5.

The United Illuminating Company

Docket No. 516

Witnesses: Correne Auer/ Meena Sazanowicz Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 7: For any property or structure identified in response to Interrogatory No. 5, please respond to the following:

- a. Please qualify with specificity the potential impact of UIs proposed project on said property or structure and identify the nearest proposed monopole.
- b. Please identify any alternative configurations or designs, including entirely underground or entirely on rebuilt catenary structures or a combination of both, that would minimize the impact of UI's proposed project on said property or structure.
- c. Please provide a cost comparison for any alternative configuration or design identified in response to Interrogatory 7(b). In providing a comparison in any cost increases, use consistent general assumptions.

A-Fairfield 7: Please refer to the Company's responses to SCNET 2-4 and SCNET 2-5.

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Annette Potasz/

Matt Parkhurst

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 9: For each privately owned land identified in your answer to

Interrogatory 8, state whether UI intends to acquire an easement from

that property's owner.

A-Fairfield 9: If there is privately owned land upon which a structure will be located,

UI intends to acquire an easement from the property owner.

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Annette Potasz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 10: For each private property owner that UI proposes to acquire a new

easement from, did UI assess whether a new easement will render that property a non-conforming lot under applicable local zoning regulations.

A-Fairfield 10: Yes, based on UI's evaluation of the local zoning regulations, four

properties will be non-compliant based on new permanent easements

needed for UI's Project.

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Annette Potasz

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 11: How many of the private properties that UI proposes to acquire a new

easement on will be rendered a non-conforming lot under applicable local zoning regulations because of a new easement acquired by UI.

A-Fairfield 11: See A-Fairfield 10.

Interrogatory Fairfield 12 (Set One)

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Annette Potasz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 12: Please produce a copy of UI "standard permanent easements" as

referenced in footnote 16 of UI's Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to the Connecticut Siting Council for Fairfield to Congress Railroad Transmission Line 115-kv

Rebuild Project Volume 1: Description of Proposed Project.

A-Fairfield 12: Please refer to the Company's response to FSL-18.

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Matt Parkhurst/

Annette Potasz
Page 1 of 1

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 13: On how many of the properties that UI proposes to obtain an easement on will the private property owner have to remove an existing structure

in order to accommodate the construction of the project?

A-Fairfield 13: No existing permanent structures (i.e., buildings or other affixed structures) will need to be removed to accommodate the construction of the Project.

Various fences, bollards, and guardrails will be removed as needed by Ul's construction contractor to accommodate the Project work. However, these facilities will be replaced like for like upon completion of construction.

On one property, where an easement is required, existing trash dumpsters and an associated fence enclosure will have to be temporarily moved or relocated by the private property owner.

UI will also request the private property owner for all areas designated as 'work pads' to be made clear of temporary non-fixed items in order to accommodate the construction of the project. Temporary non-fixed items could include staged vehicles, staged materials, and other miscellaneous items such conex boxes and roll-off containers.

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Annette Potasz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 14: Please describe in greater detail any and all future land uses within the new easements that will be restricted and/or prohibited in order for the property subject to the new easement to be compatible with overhead transmission line operations.

A-Fairfield 14: Ul's easement will include restrictions against excavation, fill, grade changes and construction of permanent structures as well as vegetation that creates a hazard to the electric facilities or access and maintenance thereof.

The United Illuminating Company

Docket No. 516

Witnesses: Annette Potasz
Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 15: Please describe in greater detail all construction prohibitions under UI

standard permanent easements.

A-Fairfield 15: See A-Fairfield 14.

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Shawn Crosbie Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 16: Of the estimated cost for the project, how much money has been

estimated and/or allocated for UI's acquisition of new easements?

A-Fairfield 16: UI has estimated and/or allocated approximately \$30 million for the

acquisition of new easements for the Project.

The United Illuminating Company

Docket No. 516

Witnesses: Annette Potasz/

Meena Sazanowicz

Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 17: Please identify the name, position or title, and address of all persons

who participated or were involved with evaluating the estimated cost to

acquire the new easements?

A-Fairfield 17: Annette Potasz and Meena Sazanowicz. Upon Project approval, UI,

through its Energy Land Management department will engage with a CT State License Appraiser to determine the fair market value of each of

the proposed easements.

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Annette Potasz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 18: Please produce all documents UI relied upon to arrive at the estimated

cost for acquiring the new easements.

A-Fairfield 18: During the Project planning phase, a high level estimate is used to

ascertain the magnitude of expenses the company could incur to acquire all required land rights and payments to private property owners. There is no property specific research done since the Project is not approved.

The United Illuminating Company Docket No. 516 Witness: Annette Potasz

Page 1 of 1

Did UI research the effect new easements will have on each property's Q-Fairfield 19:

fair market value and valuation by the Town for purposes of its Grand

List?

A Fairfield 19: No.

The United Illuminating Company Docket No. 516 Witnesses: Page 1 of 1

If your answer to Interrogatory 19 is yes, then please describe UI's research and produce all documents relating to that research. Q-Fairfield 20:

A-Fairfield 20:

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Annette Potasz

Page 1 of 1 Docket No. 516

In estimating the cost for the project, did UI include the loss of value the easements will cause to each property and to the Town's Grand List? Q-Fairfield 21:

See A-Fairfield 18. A-Fairfield 21:

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Annette Potasz

Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 22: If your answer to Interrogatory 21 is yes, then please produce all documents relied upon by UI for estimating the loss of value the

documents relied upon by UI for estimating the loss of value the easements will cause to each property and to the Town's Grand List.

A-Fairfield 22: See A-Fairfield 18.

The United Illuminating Company Witnesses: Annette Potasz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 23: Has UI consulted with any appraiser on the estimated cost for acquiring

the proposed new easements?

A-Fairfield 23: UI has not consulted with an appraiser on the estimated cost for

acquiring easements.

The United Illuminating Company Witness: Annette Potasz Docket No. 516 Page 1 of 1

Q-Fairfield 24: If your answer to Interrogatory 23 is yes, please identify the name and

address of all appraisers UI has consulted with and produce all communications by and between each appraiser identified in your

answer.

A-Fairfield 24: See A-Fairfield 23.