

## Docket No. 512

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular Wireless
PCS, LLC, d/b/a AT&T Application for a Certificate of
Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the
Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a
Telecommunications Facility Located at 60 Vail Road,
Brookfield, Connecticut.

Zoom Remote Public Hearing (Teleconference), on Thursday, November 3, 2022, beginning at 6:30 p.m.

Held Before:

JOHN MORISSETTE, Member and Presiding Officer

1	Appearances:
2	Council Members:
3	JOHN MORISSETTE, (Hearing Officer)
4	
5	BRIAN GOLEMBIEWSKI,
6	DEEP Designee
7	
8	ROBERT SILVESTRI
9	MARK QUINLAN
10	LOUANNE COOLEY
11	DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.
12	
13	Council Staff:
14	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.,
15	Executive Director and Staff Attorney
16	
17	MICHAEL PERRONE,
18	Siting Analyst
19	
20	LISA FONTAINE,
21	Fiscal Administrative Officer
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:(cont'd)
2	For HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, & NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS,
3	LLC (HT/AT&T):
4	CUDDY & FEDER, LLP
5	445 Hamilton Avenue
6	White Plains, New York 10601
7	By: DANIEL PATRICK, ESQ.
8	DPatrick@cuddyfeder.com
9	914.761.1300
10	and: LUCIA CHIOCCHIO, ESQ.
11	LChiocchio@cuddyfeder.com
12	914.761.1300
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Can everyone hear me okay?

Great. Thank you.

This remote public hearing is called to order this Thursday November 3, 2022, at 6:30 p.m. My name is John Morissette, Member and Presiding Officer of the Connecticut Siting Council.

Other members of the Council are Quat Nguyen, designee for Commissioner Marissa Paslick Gillett of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Brian Golembiewski, designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes of the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; and Robert Silvestri; Louanne Cooley; and Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.

Members of the staff are Melanie Bachman,

Executive Director and Staff Attorney; Michael

Perrone, Siting Analyst; and Lisa Fontaine, Fiscal

Administrative Officer.

If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and/or telephones now.

This is a continuation of a remote public hearing that began at 2 p.m., this afternoon. A copy of the prepared agenda is available on the Council's Docket Number 512 webpage, along with a

record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this remote public hearing, and the Council's citizens guide to Citing Council procedures.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, doing business as AT&T for a certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 60 Vail Road in Brookfield, Connecticut.

This application was received by the Council on August 10, 2022. This application is also governed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which is administered by the Federal Communications Commission. The act prohibits this Council from considering the health effects of radiofrequency emissions on human health and wildlife to the extent the emissions from the towers are within federal acceptable safe limits standards, which standard is also followed by the State Department of Health.

The federal act also prohibits this Council from discriminating between and amongst providers of functionally equivalent services. This means that if one carrier already provides services for an area, other carriers have the right to compete and provide service in the same area.

The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this remote public hearing was published in the Danbury News Times on September 17, 2022.

Upon the Council's request, the Applicants erected a sign in the vicinity of the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the applicants, the type of facility, the remote public hearing date and contact information for the council, including the website and phone number.

This remote public comment session is reserved for the public to make brief statements into the record. The public statements are not subject to questions from the parties or the Council, and members of the public making statements may not ask questions of the parties or the Council.

In fairness to everyone that has signed up to speak, these statements will be limited to three

minutes and three minutes only, and will become part of the record for the Council's consideration. Please be advised that written comments may be submitted by any person within 30 days of this public hearing.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record communications with members of the Council or members of the Council's staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

I wish to note that parties and interveners including their representatives, witnesses and members are not allowed to participate in the public comment session.

I also wish to note for those who are listening and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the remote public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof by mail or by e-mail, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken at the remote public comment session.

Please be advised that any person may be removed from the Zoom remote public comment session at the discretion of the Council.

We ask that each person making public

statements in this proceeding to confine his or her statements to the subject matter before the Council, and to avoid unreasonable repetition so that we may hear all of the concerns you and your neighbors may have.

Please be advised that the Council cannot answer questions from the public about the proposal.

A verbatim transcript of this remote public hearing will be posted on the Council's Docket Number 512 webpage, and deposited at the Brookfield Town Clerk's office for the convenience of the public.

Please be advised that the Council's project evaluation criteria under the statute does not include consideration for property value.

Before I call on members of the public to make statements, I request the Applicants to make a very brief presentation to the public describing the proposed facility, and I believe Mr. Burns will be making that presentation.

Mr. Burns?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Thank you, Mr. Morissette. For the record, my name is Robert Burns. I'm a licensed civil engineer in the State of

Connecticut and I work for All-Points Technology
Corporation. I'm here tonight to present a
proposed telecommunication facility located at 60
Vail Road in Brookfield, Connecticut.

The property in question is a 3.99-acre parcel located on the west side of Vail Road. The proposed facility will -- is to be located in the northeast corner of the parcel adjacent to existing railroad tracks.

Vehicular access to the facility is from the existing paved driveway and parking area for the existing business, and then along an existing dirt, slash, gravel access driveway.

The compound is to be a 45-foot by 70-foot gravel surface compound surrounded by an eight-foot high chain-link fence, with a twelve-foot wide access gate on the southwestern side of the compound.

The proposed compound has been sized for four carriers, AT&T who will be the first anchor tenant, and three future carriers and -- and an additional area for municipal ground equipment, if they require.

Outside of the fence on the southwestern side of the compound is a proposed utility area, which

will include a utility backboard which will house the proposed electric meters, an electric transformer, and a small -- small telephone cabinet. This area will be surrounded by steel bollards for protection.

The proposed electric and telco service that will feed the site will be installed overhead beginning at an existing -- beginning at a proposed utility pole on the east side of Vail Road and run overhead to a new utility pole adjacent to the proposed compound, and then it will feed the facility underground from there.

Inside the fence -- and maybe we want to go to CP-1? Perfect.

Inside the fence in the northwest corner of the compound is AT&T's ground equipment, which will include an eight-foot by eight-foot walk-in cabinet and a seven-foot by nine-foot concrete pad with a 15 kW -- 15 kW diesel-fired generator.

In the center of the compound is a 160-foot tall monopole with a municipal whip antenna at the top.

The Town will install two whip antennas on this -- that's their proposal. One will be at the top, the 20-foot long whips and the other one will

be down at approximately the 90-foot mark.

AT&T is planning to install 12 panel antennas, 12 remote radio heads and 2 surge arresters, and the center line of those antennas will be at 161. The tower will be designed for three additional future carriers at ten-foot intervals below AT&T. So 151, 141, and 131.

And that concludes the presentation of this project, proposed project. Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Burns.

Just a quick note on remote public hearings. Remote public hearings are quite different from the in-person public hearings. For in-person public hearings, members of the public just sign in and step up to the podium and offer their comments.

For remote public hearings the public is required to sign up to speak in advance in order to provide the Council staff with the necessary time to facilitate connection precautions to prevent interruptions -- or in common terms, bombing of the proceedings.

There are protocols, procedures and consistency measures that are followed as part of the remote public hearing process. Written

comments may be submitted within 30 days of this public hearing.

We will now call upon the First Selectwoman Carr to make a public statement followed by Paska Ann Nayden.

Tara Carr, First Selectman of the Town of Brookfield, please?

FIRST SELECTWOMAN CARR: Yes, sir. Can you hear me?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I can. Thank you.

FIRST SELECTWOMAN CARR: Thank you. Good evening. My name is Tara Carr, and I am the First Selectwoman of Brookfield, Connecticut.

This 60 Vail Road tower proposal is one that is rooted in providing a convenience, faster WiFi and increased personal cellphone coverage rather than necessity.

True, there are parts of Brookfield where cellphone coverage is degraded depending on service provider, but I am not in favor of a tower that will ultimately host technology that produces an additional overlay of various microwave radiation frequencies and wavelengths of pulsed modulated microwave radiation.

I cannot support this effort knowing there is no conclusive evidence suggesting that radiation

technology is not harmful to human health and wildlife, and therefore no evidence suggesting it would not be harmful to the residents of Brookfield and our environment.

Again, I cannot in good conscience support anything that could possibly harm our people, our animals, including bees, cows and horses, for example. As the effects of radiation are still under study I propose we wait for conclusive research as to the existence of or absence of harmful effects.

One of my primary responsibilities, which I consider my duty and my obligation, is to ensure the protection of life and property of our community. Just last year, the Federal Communications Commission, the FCC was challenged by the US Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit because there were no definitive answers on environmental harm and dangerous exposure to children. The FCC was remanded and they must address the points ordered by the Court.

I urge that we wait until we know with 100 percent certainty that our residents of Brookfield will be safe.

Regarding Brookfield's emergency

communications system upgrade approved at our town referendum last spring, it is a fact that we need a structure to house our new equipment facilitating moving forward from a 1986 analog to a digital system, but our new equipment is not 3.5 gigahertz power dependent.

To be very clear, we do need a structure for our new communication system, however I would definitely prefer our new equipment not be coupled with additional cellular antennas, or any other potentially harmful devices.

I do not support this tower because I do not support C-band frequency -- also referred to as 5G by the manufacturers, at any location in our town. We already have high microwave emissions throughout our town, which could have long-term implications from towers and small wireless telecommunications facilities and antennas in Brookfield as it is.

I urge you to consider carefully the overwhelming facts of substantial written evidence of possible harmful effects, and that there is no significant gap in service available to wireless tech/telecommunications services for the residents of our fine town.

1 The cell tower proposed is close to 2 residential neighborhoods and daycare facilities, 3 potentially threatening their health. 4 Ultimately we need to ask ourselves, is more 5 connectivity really worth the potential chronic 6 health problems possibly associated with powerful 24/7 EMF radiation? 7 8 Thank you for the opportunity to comment 9 tonight. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, First Selectwoman 11 Carr. 12 Next up on our list is Paska Ann Nayden, 13 followed by Gwen Arment. 14 Paska? 15 PASKA ANN NAYDEN: Good evening. Can you hear me okay? 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, I can. Thank you. 17 PASKA ANN NAYDEN: Excellent. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good evening. 19 PASKA ANN NAYDEN: Thank you. Thank you, esteemed 20 members of CT Siting and the industry 21 representatives, and thank you for allowing me the 22 time to express my findings. 23 My name is Paska Nayden from Easton, 24 Connecticut. 25 I e-mailed a notarized affidavit to your

office today, and it basically shows there's no gap in wireless telecommunications services in the area -- because I use the technology from the industry called the Wilson Pro and Cell line -- Cell LinQ technology to determine cellular telecommunication access for various points driven by the Brookfield residents.

I have heard for the last two years we are preempted by the FCC, and we can't talk about health -- and I want to talk about health right now. It appears a little case from 1999 is harming us with false information, misrepresentation perpetrated over the years.

This case, the cellular telephone company versus the Town of Oyster Bay -- it's in Circuit Two, January 29, 1999. AT&T argued then that the permit denials violated the TCA because neither was supported by substantial evidence.

The Town acknowledges, then, that the health concerns expressed by the residents cannot constitute substantial evidence as per the TCA.

Law -- when looking at the words "concern" and looking at the words "effect," concern is an uneasy state of blended interests -- so I can understand that.

Effect, though -- something produced by an agent or a cause, a result, an outcome or consequence. And according to Black's Law, 6th Edition, the definition of substantial, of real worth, actually existing. It's real. It's not seemingly or imaginary.

What we have provided this Siting Council over the last few days and tonight is tens of thousands of peer-reviewed scientific studies not founded -- not funded by the wireless industry, but established science concluding biological effects at levels at millions of times lower than the FCC RF EMR exposure guides.

These are not mere concerns, so please do not address us as giving you concerns. We are giving you hardcore evidence based on what I know and personally have studied.

As to public safety, the State of Connecticut under Governor Lamont -- who shut down the entire state on March 9, 2020 -- by the way. And he used his emergency powers from a virus that never had substantial evidence on March 9th.

But rumor and fear -- what do you call that?
Okay.

We have provided substantial evidence of

1 harmful effects in compliance with the TCA law. We have documented pulse modulated microwave 2 3 radiation pollution, and now especially 3.5 4 gigahertz in a public safety emergency. 5 If a plane needs three to five miles 6 clearance, which has been documented all the way 7 since last January --8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Nayden. 9 Unfortunately, your time has run out. We will now 10 proceed with Gwen Arment followed by Zoltan 11 Nanassy. 12 Gwen Arment, please? 13 Gwen Arment? There you are. 14 You're still on mute. 15 GWEN ARMENT: Yes, Hi. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: There you go. 17 GWEN ARMENT: I had to unmute myself. Thank you very 18 much. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good evening. 20 GWEN ARMENT: Good evening. Thank you. 21 I would like to address the lack of public 22 need for the construction, maintenance and 23 operation of yet another telecommunications 24 facility at 60 Vail Road in Brookfield. 25 The Applicant must, one, prove that a

significant gap in wireless services in Brookfield exists. In fact, the Applicant must prove that a specific carrier has a significant gap in personal wireless services at a specific location where they want to put a tower.

Number two, prove that their proposed installation on the site, on the specific section of this site and at this specific height is the only feasible means to ram the gap.

On September 24, 2022 I -- with a group of residents using a professional spectrum analyzer, Wilson Pro Cell LinQ Meter -- measured all existing wireless carrier frequencies in various parts of Brookfield to determine wireless communications service as well as radiation admitted by -- emitted by Brookfield cell towers, all of which registered in the extreme hazard box of the chart.

Results of our findings have been sent to Connecticut Siting for public record. 60 Vail Road was one of our stops. In all locations we found no significant gap in personal wireless service. In fact, we found quite good signal strength in wireless providers, Verizon being the strongest.

In addition, there are already four towers in Brookfield. One existing tower would be less than a mile from the 60 Vail Road site. According to Brookfield zoning regulations, towers must be at least one mile apart. Therefore, if better communication between first responders in Brookfield locations is needed Verizon should be the wireless provided for them, rather than the installation of yet another cell tower.

What is currently in the vicinity of Brookfield are 35 facilities; 10 communication towers, 7 CL&P power mounts, 6 rooftops, and 12 small-cell installations located within approximately 4 miles of the proposed facility. Actually, there are approximately 142 antennas around Brookfield, including the 60 Vail Road area.

There's plenty of wireless service in that area. Brookfield is already saturated. I have wireless service all around Brookfield. Why should I be radiated in order to provide service for Bethel and Danbury?

According to the 1996 Telecommunications Act and Second Circuit case law; see July 2022 ruling in Flower Hill, New York, if there is no

1 significant gap in wireless communication service, 2 the Town can say no. 3 I recommend the application be denied and 4 propose a moratorium on any new wireless towers in 5 Brookfield. Thank you so much. 6 ZOLTAN NANASSY: Hello? Hello? Can you hear me? 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, we're 8 continuing with Zoltan Nanassy. I'm sorry. I think you're on mute. 9 10 Mr. Nanassy, we'll come back to you --11 ZOLTAN NANASSY: No, I just fixed it -- but you muted 12 me, as the administrator. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. You're all set now. 14 Please continue. 15 ZOLTAN NANASSY: Okay. So I'm Zoltan Nanassy, 16 (unintelligible) road, South Brookfield. 17 speaking here as the Chairman of the Police 18 Commission in support of the Brookfield Police 19 getting a spot on the antenna for our 20 communication needs, because we have considerable 21 gaps in the southern part of Brookfield, and we 22 are being told by our consultant that this would 23 solve our problem. 24 Thank you. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Nanassy.

We will now continue with Major Peter Frengs on behalf of Chief Puglisi. Major Frengs?

MAJ. PETER FRENGS: Good evening.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good evening.

MAJ. PETER FRENGS: How you doing? I'm Major Peter Frengs, second in command of the Brookfield Police Department.

The current town public safety radio was installed in 1986, almost 40 years ago. Though it was updated in 2007, as of 2020 the radio infrastructure and subscriber units are no longer supported. The radios we currently use are outdated, so when issues arise the radios cannot be repaired.

Instead, this requires an officer to drive the units to our radio supplier in Naugatuck where we need to purchase new ones. This has occurred a few times over the past year. This is a financial -- this has financial implications. It is not sustainable.

More importantly, as public safety officers we depend on our communication system to ensure our safety and the safety of the people we are sworn to protect. That is why it is critical that a new radio tower be built on Vail Road.

For the past several years lower Federal Road has experienced a dead zone in radio coverage. This results in officers' transmissions failing to go through to dispatch or other officers. Due to the proximity of Super 7 highway and businesses along this stretch of Federal Road, the police department responds to a high volume of calls in this area, ranging from car accidents to burglary, theft, assaults.

I'm sure you've experienced the frustration of poor cell service in front of Shop Rite and Kohl's. Imagine that inability to communicate while chasing a robbery suspect through the woods. As you can see, this poses a severe safety risk to the officers and the civilians in the area. The new tower would mitigate that dead zone.

This tower is necessary to improve the radio communication to all who use it, and then to ensure the safety of the Brookfield community.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Major Frengs.

We'll now continue with William Sopchak.

Mr. Sopchak?

WILLIAM SOPCHAK: Yes, hello.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good evening.

WILLIAM SOPCHAK: I just want to point out the rail tanker cars that are shown on the aerial map contained in attachment four to the application.

These tanker cars in the so-called parking spot I heard earlier are in very close proximity to the tower site. My understanding is that these tankers contain alcohol, which is of course highly flammable and possibly explosive.

In addition to the risk of the tower falling near these tanker cars, there was also the risk of having the tower's electronics so close to that kind of fuel source.

Some history -- I know there was a concern over these tanker cars when a tower was proposed for this site in the late 1990s. At that time, the application for a tower at that location was denied by the local zoning board.

Safety is my primary concern. The macro burst that went through here in 2017 illustrates the need for extra clearance for these structures. At the very least, the contents of those tanker cars should be identified, and consideration given to their impact on the application.

Thank you.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Sopchak.

We'll now continue with Andrew Ellis, Fire Chief of the Brookfield Volunteer Fire Company.

Fire Chief Ellis?

CHIEF ANDREW ELLIS: Good evening. Thank you for this opportunity to talk. To echo what Major Frengs so eloquently said, I have the same concerns. I need that tower to go up to hold our radio infrastructure.

We have a radio system that's failing. We are missing calls when we're down in the south end of town. I personally was in charge of a vehicle accident with multiple patients involving extrication several months ago in that part of town, in the south end of town and I could not get through on my radio to call for additional help.

That is inadequate radios and inadequate equipment that we're putting our first responders with, and protecting our community with -- and it needs to be addressed.

And it's been identified as -- one of the most important parts of our new radio system is to have that tower in that part of town to facilitate communications in the buildings and in the southern end of town, in that area especially along the Route 7 corridor, Super 7, which we're

out there frequently for car accidents.

My concern is that if we fail to put this up, our radio project will be delayed and it will have to be redesigned -- and the additional costs to the town.

And I'm on borrowed time with my radios. And that's the most important thing that I have, my people on the end of these radios and they're serving our community. And I need to know that our radios are going to work.

And we've worked really hard to get this project through as far as the radios go through the Town, and to hit this stumbling block here would definitely put a hurt on the project and delay it further. And I think it's very, very crucial that it passes and that we can get our radio equipment up there.

Also, we do rely on cell service on our fire apparatus, and in the police cars and in the ambulances that are using our mobile data terminals. So any increase in service around town is going to help us.

We have a large incident somewhere -- someone mentioned the rail cars. We could have a hazmat call there at Farmco, and we might need to use our

iPads and our other equipment that runs off of cell service.

And we need to make sure we have adequate -so that does also benefit us, not just having the
radio towers up, but added cell coverage around
town helps us operate with our MDTs, which is our
mobile data terminals, and our phones which we use
frequently.

We have an alerting system that's hooked up to our phones as well a backup, a redundant system because our radios are so poor. We to add a redundant alerting system for our volunteers, so our cell phones alert us to calls as do our fire pagers -- that I have right here.

So again, we rely on the cell service and we're relying on the physical structure, that tower to increase our coverage in our new radio system. So I'm asking that we push through this project and we go on with it.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Chief Ellis. Thank you for coming out this evening.

We'll now continue with Wayne Woodtke, Deputy Chief of Brooklyn Volunteer Fire Company.

Mr. Woodtke?

DC WAYNE WOODTKE: Hi. Good evening. Thank you for

having me and giving me the opportunity to speak on this. My name is Wayne Woodtke. I'm the Deputy Chief of EMS for Brookfield Volunteer Fire Company. I am charged with managing the ambulance service for our residents of the town.

I am speaking in support of this tower going up, because albeit the graphs and data that we see online for coverages -- I can tell you from clinical experience that that is not a reliable system that we have.

And when we're out in that area, certainly needing to transmit cardiac EKGs to the hospital when somebody has a life threatening cardiac rhythm, I need reliability and connectivity. And no delays to be able to transport -- transmit that information to the hospital so that our cardiologists can be standing at the ready.

And in terms of traumatic injuries, I need to make sure I have early notification so that I get all of the key players in place in a prompt amount of time. And if I have a ten-minute transmit -- transport time with a patient and I'm delayed by five minutes or so, transmitting that data, whether it be by telephone or cellular modem through my cardiac monitor, patients suffer. And

there's really no other way of saying that.

I have seen it be delayed on a few different cases, actually multiple cases especially in this geographic area of town.

And it also provides improved cellular service. It would also improve our secure access in reaching our medical providers receiving the residents that we're transporting to Danbury Hospital and other facilities; and not speaking over the radio and actually having them securely and privately speaking to the receiving physicians at Danbury Hospital and other hospitals that we may have to transmit to.

So again, when it comes down to this tower, I'm in full support of that and I believe it's going to help improve medical care that our residents certainly need.

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Deputy Chief Woodtke.

Unfortunately, Presiding Officer Morissette had some technical difficulties. He's about to reenter the meeting, but our next speaker is

Ms. Joan Polzin.

JOAN POLZIN: Can you hear me? Okay.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

I'm sorry, everyone, I'm back.

Did Mr. Woodtke have an opportunity to complete his public comment?

MS. BACHMAN: Yes, Mr. Morissette. We completed his statement, and we have moved on to Ms. Polzin.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you,

Attorney Bachman.

Ms. Polzin, please continue?

JOAN POLZIN: I would like to tell you briefly what brings me here tonight. In 2010 my 38-year-old daughter was diagnosed with a rare and terminal brain cancer called glioblastoma multiforme. She died a year and a half later at the age of 40.

Her largest brain tumor was behind her ear where she held her cellphone. She wondered why this was happening to her, and even asked us if we thought it could be the cellphone.

We said, no, they wouldn't sell them if they could cause brain cancer. How naive we were?

Allison lived in California with her husband. For 10 years she was in the computer industry, worked for yahoo and Microsoft, and she was leading a very good life. When she got sick she was already in Manhattan with her husband.

And many years went by.

In 2017 I became aware of a study on

cellphone radiation and its possible harmful effects, which was done by the National Toxicology Program in Washington, paid for by our own taxes and government.

And it spanned many years and it cost \$25 million dollars, and it was peer reviewed by many experts. It found evidence of brain, those type of brain tumors. They're kind of rare -- they're rare brain tumors, the gliomas. Evidence of heart tumors, adrenal gland tumors and more.

I thought I might have an answer from my daughter's question, why this was happening to her.

So I learned a lot about electromagnetism.

After that I did a lot of studying, reading studies and learning -- reading books and learning all about it. I found out there was a huge body of research showing substantial harm to humans, animals, insects and in fact all life caused by electromagnetic radiation at the non-ionizing levels being emitted by our cellphones, WiFi, and all wireless devices -- and of course, cell towers.

Since we are talking tonight about a cell tower, I'd like to refer the Siting Council to a

website called Physicians for Safe Technology, and to an article entitled, Cell Tower Health effects.

This article reports the results of studies done on people who live near cell towers. There are over 70 studies listed at the end of the article. I have sent a separate e-mail with a link to this website to you. These studies show substantial evidence of harmful effects.

Those of us who know how harmful the radiation is do not want another cell tower in Brookfield, nor do we want small cells in front of our homes.

The science is vast and clear, decades of peer reviewed research. There are many biological effects, not just cancer, many different effects depending on proximity, duration --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mrs. Polzin, but your time has expired -- but thank you for coming out this evening.

We'll I'll continue with Nadezhda Anikeev.
Ms. Anikeev?

NADEZHDA ANIKEEV: Yeah, can you hear me?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, thank you.

NADEZHDA ANIKEEV: I apologize. Yes, Nadezhda Anikeev and I am a Brookfield resident as well concerned

about the tower.

And I do understand the needs of emergency services, but we can't do it at the expense of other people. And it has been mentioned many, many times, there are great effects of the radiation if these towers are built.

And as this afternoon Mr. Nguyen pointed out the question if 5G can be put down there and -- but we all know -- but it was officially a, yes, it can be, and it probably will be. So there would be even more radiation added.

And who? Who is governing the safety? Who is checking the safety. We can't, as I said before, do, you know, help other people with these emergency services right by harming others in order to provide it.

And I think I'm going to just take the rest of my time reading the side effects of the radiation, which a lot of them are -- actually match COVID symptoms. I have pointed this out before -- which might mean that you would get increased emergency calls. Just some of them emergency, some of them would be not.

You know, COVID symptoms and other stuff. So I'm just going to start reading you. You just

stop me when my time is up.

So the symptoms due to radiation might be insomnia, night sweats, various sleep disturbances, headaches, dizziness, nausea, difficulty concentrating, depression, anxiety, memory loss, fatigue, tremors, muscle spasm, muscle and joint pain -- like in foot pain, food pains, digestive problems, abdominal pain, enlarged thyroid, dehydration, immune abnormalities, altered sugar metabolism, hair loss, pressure in and behind the eyes.

And I'll submit a written testimony later. I hope to include a YouTube video where it's explained how, because we're electric beings and because we have water in our systems, how the radiation affects us, the whole process.

So cataracts, heart palpitations, arrhythmia, chest pain, blood pressure -- low and high blood pressure, deterioration of vision, sinusitis, bronchitis, asthma, pneumonia.

And then I'm going to just read at random from another list online. It was declassified in 1972. Different, like, chest pains, increased irritability, anxiety -- which a lot of people have a lot of it. So --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Anikeev.

That concludes our public comments for this evening. I want to thank everybody for coming out and voicing their opinions.

Thank you, all.

But before closing this evidentiary record in this matter, the Connecticut Siting Council announces that briefs and proposed findings of fact may be filed with the Council by any party or intervener no later than December 3, 2022.

The submission of briefs and proposed findings of fact are not required by this Council, rather we leave it to the choice of the parties and the interveners.

Anyone who has not become a party or intervener, but who desires to make his or her views known to the Council may file written statements with the Council within 30 days of the date hereof.

The Council will issue draft findings of fact, and thereafter parties and interveners may identify errors or inconsistencies between the Council's drafts, findings of fact and the record.

However, no new information, no new evidence, no argument, and no reply beliefs without

permission will be considered by the Council. Copies of the transcript of this hearing will be filed at the Brookfield Town Clerk's office. I hereby declare this hearing adjourned, and thank you, everyone, for participation. Have a good evening. Thank you again. (End 7:12 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE

CHILL LOIL

I hereby certify that the foregoing 36 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the Connecticut Siting Council Remote Public Hearing in Re: DOCKET NO. 512, HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, AND NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC, D/B/A AT&T APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 60 VAIL ROAD, BROOKFIELD, CONNECTICUT, which was held before JOHN MORISSETTE, Member and Presiding Officer, on November 3, 2022.

Robert G. Dixor, CVR-M 857

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025