

Docket No. 512

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular Wireless
PCS, LLC, d/b/a AT&T Application for a Certificate of
Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the
Construction, Maintenance, and Operation of a
Telecommunications Facility Located at 60 Vail Road,
Brookfield, Connecticut.

Zoom Remote Council Meeting (Teleconference), on Thursday, November 3, 2022, beginning at 2 p.m.

Held Before:

JOHN MORISSETTE, Member and Presiding Officer

1	Appearances:
2	Council Members:
3	JOHN MORISSETTE, (Hearing Officer)
4	
5	BRIAN GOLEMBIEWSKI,
6	DEEP Designee
7	
8	ROBERT SILVESTRI
9	MARK QUINLAN
10	LOUANNE COOLEY
11	DANIEL P. LYNCH, JR.
12	
13	Council Staff:
14	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ.,
15	Executive Director and Staff Attorney
16	
17	MICHAEL PERRONE,
18	Siting Analyst
19	
20	LISA FONTAINE,
21	Fiscal Administrative Officer
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:(cont'd)
2	For HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, & NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS,
3	LLC (HT/AT&T):
4	CUDDY & FEDER, LLP
5	445 Hamilton Avenue
6	White Plains, New York 10601
7	By: DANIEL PATRICK, ESQ.
8	DPatrick@cuddyfeder.com
9	914.761.1300
10	and: LUCIA CHIOCCHIO, ESQ.
11	LChiocchio@cuddyfeder.com
12	914.761.1300
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Can everyone hear me okay?

Very good. Thank you. This remote public hearing is called to order this Thursday,

November 3, 2022, at 2 p.m. My name is John

Morissette, Member and Presiding Officer of the Connecticut Siting Council.

Other members of the Council are Quat Nguyen, designee for Commissioner Marissa Paslick Gillett of the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority; Brian Golembiewski, designee for Commissioner Katie Dykes of the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; Robert Silvestri; Louanne Cooley; and Daniel P. Lynch, Jr.

Members of the staff are Melanie Bachman,

Executive Director and Staff Attorney; Michael

Perrone, Siting Analyst; and Lisa Fontaine, Fiscal

Administrative Officer.

If you haven't done so were already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and/or telephones now.

This hearing is held persuant to provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes, and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Homeland Towers, LLC, and

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, doing business as AT&T for a certificate of environmental compatibility and public need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 60 Vail Road in Brookfield, Connecticut. This application was received by the Council on August 10, 2022.

The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this remote public hearing was published in the Danbury News Time on September 17, 2022.

Upon this Council's request the Applicants erected a sign in the vicinity of the proposed site so as to inform the public of the name of the applicants, the type of the facility, the remote public hearing date, and the contact information for the Council including the website and phone number.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record communications with a member of the Council or a member of the Council's staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

Parties and intervenors of the proceeding are as follows. The Applicant, Homeland Towers, LLC, and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC, also doing business as AT&T. Its representatives are Lucia

Chiochhio, Esquire; and Daniel Patrick, Esquire, of Cuddy & Feder, LLP.

We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, a copy which is available on the Council's Docket Number 512 webpage along with the record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this remote public hearing and the Council's citizens guide to Siting Council procedures.

Interested persons may join any session of this public hearing to listen, but no public comments will be received during the 2 p.m. evidentiary session.

At at the end of the evidentiary session we will recess until 6:30 p.m. for the public comment session. Please be advised that any person may be removed from the remote evidentiary session or public comment session at the discretion of the Council.

At 6:30 p.m. the public comment session is reserved for the public to make brief statements into the record. I wish to note that the applicants, parties and intervenors including their representatives, witnesses and members are not allowed to participate in the public comment

session.

I also wish to note for those who are listening and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the remote public comment session, that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof either by mail or by e-mail, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken during the remote public comment session.

A verbatim transcript of this remote public hearing will be posted on the Council's Docket Number 512 webpage and deposited with the Town Clerk's office in Brookfield for the convenience of the public.

Please be advised that the Council's project evaluation criteria under the statute does not include consideration for property values.

We'll now move to agenda item B; we have a motion. On October 24, 2022, the Applicant submitted a motion for protective order related to the disclosure of monthly rent and financial terms contained within the lease agreement.

Attorney Bachman may wish to comment.
Attorney Bachman?

1	MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. The staff
2	recommends the motion be granted consistent with
3	the conclusions of law in Docket Number 366.
4	Thank you.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Bachman.
6	With that, do we have a motion?
7	MR. SILVESTRI: I'll move to approve the request,
8	Mr. Morissette.
9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Silvestri.
10	Do we have a second?
11	MR. LYNCH: Dan Lynch. I'll second.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Lynch.
13	We have a motion by Mr. Silvestri to approve
14	the motion for protective order, and we have a
15	second by Mr. Lynch. We will now move to
16	discussion.
17	Mr. Silvestri, any discussion?
18	MR. SILVESTRI: No discussion, Mr. Morissette.
19	Thank you.
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
21	Mrs. Cooley, any discussion?
22	MS. COOLEY: No discussion. Thank you.
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
24	Mr. Golembiewski, any discussion?
25	MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: No discussion. Thank you.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 2 Mr. Nguyen, any discussion? 3 MR. NGUYEN: Good afternoon. No discussion. 4 Thank you. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. 6 Mr. Lynch, any discussion? 7 MR. LYNCH: I have no discussion. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. And I have no discussion. We'll now move to the vote. 9 10 Mr. Silvestri, how do you vote? 11 MR. SILVESTRI: Vote to approve. Thank you. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 13 Mrs. Cooley, how do you vote? 14 MS. COOLEY: Vote to approve. Thank you. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 16 Mr. Golembiewski, how do you vote? 17 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: I vote to approve. Thank you. 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 19 Mr. Nguyen, how do you vote? 20 MR. NGUYEN: Vote to approve. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 22 Mr. Lynch, how do you vote? 23 MR. LYNCH: I vote approval. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 25 And I vote approval. The motion passes

unanimously. The motion for protective order is approved.

We'll now move onto item C, administrative notices taken by the Council. I wish to call your attention to those items shown on the hearing program marked as Roman numerals 1C, items 1 through 78, that the Council was administratively noticed.

Does any party or intervener have an objection to the items that the Council has administratively noticed?

Attorney Chiocchio and Attorney Patrick?
MR. PATRICK: Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette.

No objection from the Applicants.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Accordingly the Council hereby administratively notices these items.

We will now continue with the appearance by Applicant. Will the Applicant present its witness panel for the purpose of taking the oath, and Attorney Bachman will administer the oath.

MR. PATRICK: Yes. Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette.

This is Daniel Patrick from the Law Firm of Cuddy
& Feder. I'm joined this afternoon with Lucia
Chiocchio of Cuddy & Feder also.

1 Here in the room for our witnesses we have 2 Mr. Raymond Vergati, the regional manager of 3 Homeland Towers, LLC. 4 Mr. Harry Carey, director of external affairs 5 at AT&T. 6 Robert Burns, PE, project manager of 7 All-Points Technology Corporation, PC. 8 Brian Gaudet, project manager, All-Points 9 Technology Corporation, PC. 10 Martin Lavin, radiofrequency engineer of C2 11 systems. 12 And joining us remotely via Zoom we have Dean 13 Gustafson, professional soil scientist and senior 14 wetlands scientist at All-Points Technology, PC. 15 And Paul H. Zito, the owner of New England 16 Radio Consultants, LLC, the emergency 17 communications consultant for the Town of 18 Brookfield. 19 Mr. Morissette, I present to you this witness 20 panel. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Patrick. 22 Attorney Bachman, could you please administer 23 the oath? 24 25

1	RAYMOND VERGATI,
2	HARRY CAREY,
3	ROBERT BURNS,
4	DEAN GUSTAFSON,
5	BRIAN GAUDET,
6	MARTIN LAVIN,
7	PAUL H. ZITO,
8	called as witnesses, being first duly sworn
9	by the EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, were examined and
10	testified on their oaths as follows:
11	
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Bachman.
13	Attorney Patrick, please begin by verifying
14	all the exhibits by the appropriate sworn
15	witnesses.
16	MR. PATRICK: Thank you very much.
17	I'd like to offer as exhibits identified in
18	the hearing program as Roman Numeral two,
19	Subsection B, 1 through 5. And for verification
20	purposes I will ask our witness panel a series of
21	questions, and I'll ask them to answer yes or no
22	individually.
23	Did you prepare or assist in the preparation
24	of the exhibits identified? Mr. Vergati?
25	THE WITNESS (Vergati): I did.

1 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Carey? 2 THE WITNESS (Carey): I did. 3 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Burns? 4 THE WITNESS (Burns): I did. 5 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gaudet? 6 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): I did. 7 MR. PATRICK: My Lavin? 8 THE WITNESS (Lavin): I did. 9 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gustafson? 10 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes. 11 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Zito? 12 THE WITNESS (Zito): (Inaudible.) 13 MR. PATRICK: Is Mr. Zito available? 14 THE WITNESS (Zito): Yes. Can you hear me? 15 MR. PATRICK: Yes. THE WITNESS (Zito): Yes. Yes -- in the affirmative. 16 17 MR. PATRICK: Thank you. 18 Second question, do you have any updates or 19 corrections to the identified exhibits? 20 Mr. Vergati? 21 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Just one slight revision. 22 speaking with the Town of Brookfield this week in 23 regards to public safety the existing plans before 24 the Siting Council show two omni antennas coming 25 off the top of the tower, each roughly 22 feet in

1 length. 2 For separation purposes it looks like the 3 Town's public safety folks would like to relocate 4 one of those antennas to a lower mounting height of roughly 90 feet. The next set of drawings we 5 6 provide to the Council will show that revision. 7 MR. PATRICK: Thank you very much. 8 Mr. Carey? 9 THE WITNESS (Carey): I do not. 10 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Burns? 11 THE WITNESS (Burns): I do not. 12 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gaudet? 13 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): No corrections. 14 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Lavin? 15 THE WITNESS (Lavin): No. 16 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gustafson? 17 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No corrections. 18 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Zito? 19 THE WITNESS (Zito): I do not. 20 MR. PATRICK: Thank you. 21 Third question, is the information contained 22 in the identified exhibits true and accurate to 23 the best of your belief? Mr. Vergati? 24 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yes, it is. 25 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Carey?

- 1 THE WITNESS (Carey): It is. 2 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Burns? 3 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 4 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gaudet? 5 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yes. 6 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Lavin? 7 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 8 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gustafson? 9 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes. 10 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Zito? 11 THE WITNESS (Zito): Yes. 12 MR. PATRICK: Fourth question, do you adopt these 13 exhibits as your testimony? 14 Mr. Vergati? 15 THE WITNESS (Vergati): I do. 16 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Carey? 17 THE WITNESS (Carey): I do.
- THE WIINESS (Carey): 1
- 18 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Burns?
- 19 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes.
- 20 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gaudet?
- 21 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yes.
- 22 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Lavin?
- 23 | THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes.
- 24 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Gustafson?
- THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.

1	MR. PATRICK: And Mr. Zito?
2	THE WITNESS (Zito): Yes.
3	MR. PATRICK: Thank you very much, all.
4	And Mr. Morissette, I'll turn it back over to
5	you. And I offer these exhibits and our witness
6	panel for examination by the Council.
7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Patrick.
8	Therefore, the exhibits are hereby admitted.
9	We'll now begin with cross-examination of the
LO	Applicant by the Council, starting with
L1	Mr. Perrone followed by Mr. Silvestri.
L2	Mr. Perrone?
L3	MS. BACHMAN: Mr. Morissette, Mr. Perrone is
L4	experiencing technical difficulties at this time.
L5	So I will get started with cross-examination, and
L6	he'll take over when he's able to get back on the
L7	meeting.
L8	Sorry for the inconvenience.
L9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Bachman.
20	Please continue.
21	MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
22	Aside from AT&T, or potentially Verizon, have
23	any other wireless carriers expressed an interest
24	in co-locating on the proposed facility?
25	THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati with Homeland

25

To date we have not heard from any other Towers. carriers expressing interest to co-locate on the facility that's proposed.

- MS. BACHMAN: Thank you. Referencing the response to Council interrogatory number six, what is the approximate location of the search ring center such as by identification of street intersection?
- MS. BACHMAN: Certainly. Referencing the response to Council interrogatory number six, what is the approximate location of the search ring center such as an identification by a street intersection as opposed to the coordinates.
- MR. PATRICK: Our team is looking for it, for the answer to that question. If we can defer to later on in the hearing, we can get you that answer? MS. BACHMAN: Okay, thank you.

How is the specific location on the subject property selected?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

The specific location on the property was selected in conjunction and working with the landlord, obviously, on how they currently use the parcel for commercial office space and storage of -- of particular items they use for their

business.

This particular area, the -- I guess it would be the north end of the property is an area that they don't actively use as much, and it's an area that makes sense to lease to Homeland Towers for a facility.

- MS. BACHMAN: Thank you. Is there a response from AT&T about the location of the tower at this, on the subject property?
- MR. PATRICK: No, there's not.
- THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ms. Bachman, to go back to your prior question regarding the search ring, that's basically a quarter mile in radius with the lat/long that was provided in the response to question six.

The cross streets for that particular -particular lat/long is Duracell Drive, and it
looks like Research -- Research Drive would be the
cross streets.

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.

Following up on the question to AT&T, why was this particular property selected for network operations? Does it meet the needs of AT&T?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): I'll turn it over to Martin Lavin.

1 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin Lavin, C Squared. 2 It does meet the needs of AT&T, yes. 3 MS. BACHMAN: Did the Applicant consider a rooftop 4 facility at this site? Why or why not? 5 THE WITNESS (Lavin): I believe Mr. Vergati could speak 6 to the availability of rooftop --7 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Height. 8 THE WITNESS (Lavin): In terms of height. I don't 9 think there's any rooftops in this area that would 10 serve our needs. Height-wise, it's just not high 11 enough. 12 MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Lavin. What height is 13 required to meet AT&T's needs at this site? 14 THE WITNESS (Lavin): (Unintelligible) -- response, 15 it's the proposed height. 16 MS. BACHMAN: Referencing the response to Interrogatory 17 Number 34, the power density analysis for AT&T 18 that's included, does AT&T have any data on the 19 Town's RF power density? THE WITNESS (Lavin): We didn't have it when that was 20 21 produced, but we can obtain that and submit an 22 updated report. 23 MR. LYNCH: Excuse me, Mr. Morissette? 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes, Mr. Lynch? 25 MR. LYNCH: I know I'm going deaf -- but I have a hard

1 time hearing the witness panel. I know the acoustics aren't the best, but could the witness 2 3 panel just speak up a little bit more, please? 4 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Certainly. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Lynch. 6 MS. BACHMAN: Mr. Lavin, if the tower is approved could 7 AT&T submit a cumulative far-field RF analysis for 8 the facility as part of the development and 9 management plan? 10 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, certainly we can. 11 Thank you. MS. BACHMAN: 12 Referencing attachment one of the 13 application, page 1 of the RF report notes that 14 FirstNet is a federal agency. Does FirstNet 15 provide specific feedback to AT&T regarding which 16 areas require public safety enhancement? THE WITNESS (Lavin): As far as I know, those areas are 17 agreed upon between FirstNet and AT&T, yes. 18 19 MS. BACHMAN: And did you receive any feedback from 20 FirstNet regarding a need for deployment in this 21 area of Brookfield? 22 THE WITNESS (Lavin): I -- I didn't personally. 23 don't know exactly what the underlying 24 decision-making process was. 25 MS. BACHMAN: Referencing the responses to counsel

interrogatory number five, Applicants note that the public and town officials raised questions regarding the facility's ability to improve coverage at the Town's schools.

Could you possibly elaborate on that, please?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati with

Homeless Towers.

I think that question could be posed to

Mr. Paul Zito who is the Town's consultant.

THE WITNESS (Zito): This particular site for -- that

AT&T is proposing for cellphone -- or cell

coverage in that area does not affect the school,

the Brookfield Public Schools.

The Town is strictly looking at this for increased coverage on the Federal Road Route 7 corridor where all the box stores are and lot of road traffic.

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Zito.

I'm going to turn it over to Mr. Perrone. He is back on, and we'll make sure that we can hear him.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Attorney Bachman.

Before you turn it over to Mr. Perrone, concerning the question relating to the power density from the Town, would it be acceptable,

1 assuming that the application is approved, that 2 that also be submitted as part of the D and M 3 plan, and the cumulative RFP analysis as well? 4 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. Martin Lavin. We can -- we 5 just incorporate whatever the Town's parameters 6 are, and we can certainly get that in time for a D 7 and M Plan, assuming we get approval. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Lavin. 9 Is that acceptable, Attorney Bachman? 10 MS. BACHMAN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Very good. 12 Mr. Perrone, please continue. 13 MR. PERRONE: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 14 Referencing the response to Council 15 interrogatory number 27, do you have the existing 16 coverage gaps for Route 7 and Grays Bridge Road for 700 megahertz? 17 18 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Well, I had only calculated the 19 new coverage there. 20 I can get you the existing gap distances. 21 MR. PERRONE: For those two roads at 700 megahertz? 22 And moving on, referencing the application 23 sheet SP1, a tower yield point is proposed. Could 24 you explain how the yield point works? 25 For example, do you over design the lower

1 section relative to the higher section? 2 THE WITNESS (Burns): Excuse me. Robert Burns, 3 All-Points Technologies. 4 Essentially, yes, that's the answer. The 5 tower is designed for TIA 222-H. And then the 6 area of the yield point has additional steel at 7 the bottom of the hinge point so that it buckles 8 on itself. 9 MR. PERRONE: And is the cost of the yield point 10 included in the tower cost figures? 11 THE WITNESS (Burns): Ray, do you know if the 12 figures --13 THE WITNESS (Vergati): (Unintelligible.) 14 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yeah, I think the answer to that 15 is yes. 16 MR. PERRONE: Also Mr. Burns, what stormwater 17 management design features would be employed for 18 this project? 19 THE WITNESS (Burns): So this project will essentially 20 be -- the grade will match existing grade. 21 surface will be gravel, which is -- essentially is 22 what is out there today. 23 So any slight increase in runoff will be held 24 within the voids of the stone in the compound, and 25 then either infiltrate or run out naturally.

MR. PERRONE: And referencing page 14 of the application, approximately 70 cubic yards of crushed stone would be used for the compound in the driveway construction.

For the access drive itself would the crushed stone be only for the new gravel section? Or would you cut into the paved section and put gravel there, too.

THE WITNESS (Burns): The only proposed work there will be within the area of within the fence and the small area in front where the utilities are.

That driveway -- the, quote, driveway exists today. On our drawings I believe it's the area that's hatched. I don't know how good a copy you have. Sometimes that's an issue.

In drawing SP2, it's hatched.

- MR. PERRONE: And the length of the gravel section, do you have an approximate length on that?
- THE WITNESS (Burns): The length of the overall gravel area?
 - MR. PERRONE: Yeah, that portion of the access.
- THE WITNESS (Burns): It's -- the total is 45 by 70,

 but the area outside the fence is 10 feet.
 - MR. PERRONE: I was referring to the access drive in linear feet.

1 THE WITNESS (Burns): The existing? 2 MR. PERRONE: The proposed. THE WITNESS (Burns): There, there is no proposed 3 4 driveway. All the work will be done within the 5 lease area, the driveway that exists today. 6 So they'll enter the site over the bituminous 7 area through the parking area, and then it becomes 8 gravel after that -- but they drive it today. So 9 there's no improvement to that being proposed. 10 We are showing a construction entrance, but 11 that's just temporary so that the vehicle's tires 12 can be cleaned prior to them going out in the 13 public right of way. That will be removed after. 14 MR. PERRONE: And regarding the 70 cubic feet of 15 material to be removed for construction of the 16 compound, does that also include the excavation 17 for the tower foundation? 18 THE WITNESS (Burns): It does not. It's just the 19 compound, the six inches of gravel -- so 20 essentially six inches across the entire area. 21 MR. PERRONE: What would you do with the surplus cut 22 material from the excavation? 23 THE WITNESS (Burns): If -- well, in this case we 24 wouldn't need it on site, because we're not 25 filling anywhere. So it will be trucked off site.

MR. PERRONE: Okay. And while we're on the subject of the foundation, could you describe how the geotechnical testing is conducted?

THE WITNESS (Burns): So we will have a geotechnical engineer go to the site, or geotech -- he'll bring in a drill rig. He'll do one extended boring, the -- the -- I'm trying to remember the scope. I think it's 35 feet. And then he'll do a couple of probes in the compound which are 10 foot smaller holes to determine if they do hit rock, the extent of it, or if we need to do anything additional to support the concrete slabs we'll put in.

And then at that point, the geotech is turned over to the tower designer, and he designs the tower and the foundation based on that and the load we -- we provided.

MR. PERRONE: What types of equipment would be necessary for the geotech investigation?

THE WITNESS (Burns): A truck-mounted drill rig,

similar to what somebody would have for a well drilled in their house. It's the same type of thing. He'll back in and he'll drill right off of the truck.

In this case it's totally accessible. So they'll be able to get a truck in there, pretty

1 simple. 2 MR. PERRONE: Would any tree clearing be necessary to 3 support the geotech? 4 THE WITNESS (Burns): The geotech? No, we're removing 5 four trees for the proposed design, but I don't 6 believe those trees will be in the way to do the 7 geotech. 8 MR. PERRONE: And moving onto the backup generator, do you have the approximate run time for the diesel 9 10 generator based on its fuel tank capacity? 11 THE WITNESS (Burns): Oh, boy. I do not. It's not 12 something I have, but it can be provided. 13 MR. PERRONE: And also with that, the fuel tank 14 capacity in gallons? THE WITNESS (Burns): Yeah. I believe it is actually 15 16 shown on drawing C3 on the detail. So it's a 17 belly tank, and it's a 54-gallon belly tank. 18 Belly tank means it sits underneath the 19 generator. The generator is mounted on the tank. 20 MR. PERRONE: Would that tank be double walled? 21 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 22 MR. PERRONE: Okay. And I see the generator 23 containment pad. Would that containment pad 24 contain all generator fluids, like fuel, oil, 25 coolant?

1 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yeah, so one of the things that 2 AT&T does is they put -- when they do their 3 concrete generator pad, they provide a -- I 4 know it. 5 It's escaping me now, but essentially a 6 trench around the outside. So if the diesel does 7 spill outside of the double-walled tank, it will 8 hold in that trench. And then they have a 9 mechanism, a pipe that holds it so that it won't 10 leak out. 11 And with that we feel that it will contain 12 any kind of spill. 13 MR. PERRONE: My remaining questions are on the 14 visibility topic. 15 THE WITNESS (Burns): Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. PERRONE: Are there any state or local -- thank 17 you. 18 Are any state or locally designated scenic 19 roads located in the vicinity of the proposed 20 site? 21 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Give me one second just to look 22 at the viewshed map. 23 There don't appear to be any. It's Brian 24 Gaudet with All-Points, as well. 25 MR. PERRONE: In the response to Council interrogatory

1 36, there's mention of alternative designs of the 2 antennas. And it mentions that that would require 3 additional height. 4 Approximately how much taller would a unipole 5 have to be to meet AT&T's wireless service 6 objectives? 7 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): I mean, you're talking three 8 antennas per sector. So that would be an 9 additional 25 to 30 feet. I can let Martin Lavin 10 speak on that, but I believe it would be somewhere 11 in that range just for AT&T. 12 THE WITNESS (Lavin): The question again was? 13 sorry. About visibility and? 14 MR. PERRONE: Sure. If a unipole design were employed 15 with internally mounted antennas, how much taller 16 would the structure be? 17 THE WITNESS (Lavin): We would be -- we need two 18 ten-foot sections above where we are. So we're 19 looking at it being at least 20 feet taller. 20 MR. PERRONE: Okay. Thank you. That was I have. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Perrone. 22 We'll now continue with cross-examination of 23 the applicant by Mr. Silvestri followed by Mrs Cooley? Mr. Silvestri? 24 25 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Morissette, and good

1 afternoon.

MR. SILVESTRI:

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon.

MR. SILVESTRI: Mr. Vergati, if you could reference drawing CP-1 for me? And when we started the hearing, you had an addition, slash, correction on the municipality's whip antennas. That drawing has two whip antennas up on top.

Could you reiterate what you said about relocation?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Sure, absolutely. Ray Vergati,

Homeland Towers. Just to reiterate, the two
existing antennas, one would remain off the top of
the tower. So you'd have one 22-foot whip
antenna.

The second one would be removed and relocated down to a mounting height of 90 feet AGL; the same spec antenna, 22.3 feet in length.

Understood. Thank you. And the

relocated spot at 90 feet, that would be below the fourth potential carrier. Would that be correct?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): It would, and when you do the math we'd actually be able to fit a fifth carrier in there, should that need arise, with a 22-foot length antenna at 90 feet and that -- with three or four-foot separation from the bottom antenna.

1 So you could effectively fit five carriers on 2 this pole and not have interference with public 3 safety. 4 MR. SILVESTRI: You took my followup question away --5 but thank you. 6 All right. Mr. Burns, a followup to what 7 Mr. Perrone had asked about the diesel generator. 8 And good afternoon to you as well. 9 THE WITNESS (Burns): Good afternoon, Mr. Silvestri. 10 For the record, Robert Burns from All-Points 11 Technologies. 12 MR. SILVESTRI: If I understood correctly, there would 13 be a pad for the diesel generator with a trench. 14 Did I hear that correctly? 15 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes, sir. 16 MR. SILVESTRI: Is the trench for that diesel generator 17 exposed to the elements? 18 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yes. 19 MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Then if you have a spill, oil, 20 diesel fuel would go into the trench. You 21 mentioned there would be a plug to prevent it from 22 running out -- which I'm kind of familiar with 23 these, these petro type plugs. 24 THE WITNESS (Burns): Correct. 25 MR. SILVESTRI: But being exposed to the elements,

1 should you get precipitation what would happen? 2 Would it overflow? Would you have to get a 3 certain amount of response time to get there to 4 clean it up? 5 What would happen in that event? 6 THE WITNESS (Burns): Well, the -- the -- if there was 7 a spill, the alarm would go to AT&T, and they 8 would have to send somebody out to repair it. 9 As far as what would happen, it would be 10 exposed to air until somebody came out, siphoned 11 it all out of the -- the containment trench and 12 then fixed the -- the leak. 13 MR. SILVESTRI: Do you know the capacity of the trench? 14 Would it be a hundred percent for the diesel 15 fuel? 110? Greater than that? THE WITNESS (Burns): I have dimensions on it. 16 17 haven't calculated the capacity. It's -- it's around -- it goes around the entire pad. 18 19 eight inches deep and a foot wide. 20 I could certainly run some numbers and get 21 you what the capacity of the trench is. 22 MR. SILVESTRI: Yeah, if you could get that back to me, 23 you know, maybe right after the break or something 24 like that, that would be appreciated. 25 Thank you, Mr. Burns.

THE WITNESS (Burns): Thank you.

MR. SILVESTRI: The next one -- I'm not sure who it's directed to, but if you could look at the wetland inspection map there are two potential routes for power and telco service. One of them is underground and the other one is overhead.

Has there been a decision as to which one would be selected should this project go through?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati with Homeland Towers. You are correct. We're -- there are two options considered for bringing utilities to the site. We did a utility consultation walk with Robert Macy, the Eversource representative back in February of 2022.

Eversource's preference in this case would be to come in with the new pole off of Vail Road to the site and set a pole up by the -- by the compound.

MR. SILVESTRI: So when you have a pole by others, by others would be Eversource. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): They are the provider.

That is correct.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. And is there concern going overhead? Most of the connections that I've seen for underground power and -- well, for power and

telco service have been underground as opposed to going overhead.

Is there any concern on reliability, storm, or anything else from your perspective?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): I think typically every site is different, obviously. We -- we like to harden our sites as a developer and -- and trench whenever possible.

In this particular case, because we -- we don't have a typical access drive going through a wooded area where we're doing an aerial that is susceptible to a tree limb falling and taking the site out, we feel that just the simple short run with one aerial pole is not an issue from losing power. It doesn't increase the risk that much. We're not going through a wooded area.

So to answer the question, no, we don't -- we don't believe it's an issue on our end.

MR. SILVESTRI: No. Thank you, Mr. Vergati. Just one followup on that one.

Where you have the proposed new pole going overhead to the compound directly across the street is the existing utility poles. Do you know if there would be a connection from that existing pole across the street to the proposed new pole?

1 THE WITNESS (Vergati): I believe there would be. 2 take that as a homework assignment and double 3 check the notes from the utility walk that was 4 completed with Eversource -- but I believe there 5 is new overhead service going from that pole to 6 the new poll. 7 MR. SILVESTRI: And that's something you could get back 8 to me today as well? 9 THE WITNESS (Vergati): I think so. 10 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you. 11 One last question I have -- and again, I'm 12 not sure who could answer it, but being next to 13 the railroad and the railroad siting, is there 14 concern regarding subsurface contamination during 15 excavation for the pole for the compound; PCBs, 16 petroleum, et cetera? 17 What's your feeling on that? 18 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Homeland Towers completed a 19 phase one on the site, like we do on all of our 20 sites. The phase two was not recommended. 21 So we don't believe there's any issue in 22 contamination on the property. 23 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you. 24 Mr. Morissette, that's all the questions I 25 have. Thank you.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Silvestri. THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray. I think I might 2 3 be able to answer that question regarding the 4 overhead service. The new pole that would be set 5 on Vail Road it would not be trenched. 6 If you looked at detail -- it's hard to see, 7 but you see O-H, for overhead. So there would be 8 a new pole set on Vail, and it would be an 9 overhead service to the new pole setup by the compound. There would be no trenching whatsoever. 10 11 MR. SILVESTRI: Got it. Thank you. 12 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Thank you. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, 14 Mr. Silvestri. 15 We'll now continue with cross-examination by Mrs. Cooley, followed by Mr. Golembiewski. 16 17 Mrs. Cooley? 18 Good afternoon. First, I'd like to just MS. COOLEY: 19 apologize because I'm getting a few delays here 20 and there. So if my questions freeze, I'll still 21 be here and I'll try to continue answering --22 asking the questions. Mr. Silvestri asked most of the questions 23 24 that I have, but I just had one question about the 25 gen. Was there ever any consideration of a

1 propane generator at the site? Or is there natural gas at the site? 2 3 THE WITNESS (Vergati): I can't -- I don't know if AT&T 4 has considered propane here. They're obviously 5 proposing diesel right now. 6 In my conversations with the Town, they're 7 looking into it. It looks like they may prefer to 8 go propane from their perspective. They would 9 have a much smaller generator, approximately 10 around 14 kV. 11 I don't know if there is natural gas on the 12 street. I can look into that for you, but I 13 believe because of the lack of proximity of 14 wetlands to the site and not having an issue with 15 that, we think that diesel has been the way to go 16 here for -- for AT&T. 17 MS. COOLEY: Okay. Thank you. All right -- and I 18 think that's really all the questions that I had. 19 Thank you. 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mrs. Cooley. 21 We will continue to cross examination by 22 Mr. Golembiewski, followed by Mr. Nguyen. 23 Mr. Golembiewski? 24 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. I don't 25 have any questions.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, 2 Mr. Golembiewski. 3 We'll continue with cross-examination by 4 Mr. Nguyen, followed by Mr. Lynch. 5 Mr. Nguyen? 6 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. Good 7 afternoon, everyone. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. 9 MR. NGUYEN: Couple of followups with respect to the 10 diesel backup generator. Who owns that backup 11 generator, and who will maintain it? 12 THE WITNESS (Vergati): The proposed diesel generator, 13 would be owned by AT&T, and they would be 14 responsible for maintenance of that, of that 15 generator. 16 MR. NGUYEN: Now that backup generator is -- will 17 certainly support AT&T. If other carriers come in 18 would that be shared, that generator? 19 Or each will have its own? 20 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Sure. Again for the record, 21 this is Ray Vergati with Homeland Towers. 22 We've been finding obviously out there that 23 each carrier is putting in their own backup 24 generation solely for their own purposes on the 25 majority of sites.

In certain cases there's a shared generator, but I think the carriers have realized that each having their own generator as opposed to a shared generator prevents a single source of failure.

In this particular case, should the next carrier come to the site they would have their own generator.

MR. NGUYEN: Thank you. And I would like to pose this question specifically to Homeland Towers and AT&T regarding the concept of an energy storage solution. Essentially it's a program that could potentially -- an alternative to diesel or propane or natural gas power generators.

So the question is, has your company looked into that?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): As a developer of towers throughout New England, Homeland does not get involved in the network designs or equipment.

It's more on the carrier base.

And I'm not sure if you're alluding to, you know, maybe installing solar panels on a cell tower to generate electricity that can be stored in batteries on site, that would be a question I think for -- for the carrier.

And I'll have Harry Carey to speak to that.

1 THE WITNESS (Carey): Harry Carey, AT&T. We have not 2 looked into that at this point, but are certainly 3 willing to do so. 4 MR. NGUYEN: Thank you. Now this question for AT&T, 5 question number 19, the company indicated that 6 currently the facility does not support 5G+. And 7 5G+, it's just an ATT name -- but that's a move to 8 the millimeter on the wavelength? 9 Is that right? 10 THE WITNESS (Lavin): It's Martin Lavin for AT&T. 11 does not, as it's originally installed, support 12 5G+. It supports the lower band 5G. 13 MR. NGUYEN: And should there be a need in the future, 14 would that be easily accommodated? 15 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, you just have to add the 16 millimeter wave antennas, and that's all that 17 would need to be done. 18 MR. NGUYEN: And is the company willing to consider 19 that? 20 THE WITNESS (Lavin): As far as I know at the moment, 21 it's not a priority or needed at this location. 22 The millimeter wave is the ultra wideband, and I 23 think at the moment AT&T doesn't feel it's 24 necessary to install there. 25 MR. NGUYEN: Yeah. I understand that, at the moment.

1 I'm talking about the future? 2 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Well, in the future? If it's 3 needed, certainly. It's -- it has very limited 4 coverage due to the frequency, but if there's a need for it I don't think AT&T would have any 5 6 objection to installing it. 7 MR. NGUYEN: There was a question by Mr. Silvestri on 8 CP-1. And it's my understanding that there's some 9 modification to the Town's antenna that will be 10 relocated. Is that right? 11 THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati with 12 Homeland Towers. 13 Could you repeat the question in regards to 14 the sheet CP-1 and the relocation of the antenna? 15 MR. NGUYEN: Yeah, with respect to CP-1 I want to ask 16 whether or not the lightning rod -- is there a 17 need for a lightning rod? And if not -- if not, 18 then why not? 19 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Right now there's no proposed 20 lightning rod. I can speak to -- I could have 21 (unintelligible) speak to not having the need for 22 a lightning rod on the facility. 23 MR. NGUYEN: And who would determine the need for that? 24 Is it per the regulation? 25 THE WITNESS (Burns): For the record, Robert Burns, All Points Technologies. Excuse me.

The lightning rod of what's been -- these towers are so grounded with our grounding design that they haven't found the need for a lightning rod.

If there's one required per regulation we would put one on, but the tower manufacturer along with the grounding design would determine it.

And for the record, if I may, Mr. Morissette?

I have the generator answers. If I can do that
while I'm sitting here -- if that's okay with you?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Certainly. That would be great.

THE WITNESS (Burns): As far as run time on the
generator, assuming that it's running at a hundred
percent, which it doesn't, you know, the load is
not always a hundred percent, it will be about 54

And then the trench, the containment trench within the concrete pad will hold well over 200 gallons. So it will hold all 54 gallons plus have room for more.

- THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Burns.
- 23 | THE WITNESS (Burns): Thank you, sir.
- 24 MR. NGUYEN: Should I continue?

hours.

25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Please continue, Mr. Nguyen.

MR. NGUYEN: In addition to the diesel backup generator, my understanding is that there will be a battery backup as well that lasts about three to four hours. Is that right?

THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin Lavin for AT&T.

Yes, there's always a battery backup as a bridge between commercial power and the generator. All of our equipment to the site runs on DC power. So if the commercial power goes out or the DC plant stops functioning, the batteries instantly take over.

And after a certain amount of time, maybe an hour or so, then the generator would come on to recharge the batteries.

MR. NGUYEN: Thank you.

Other questions regarding the utility
poles -- it was testified earlier that there will
be two poles going in the area to the compound.

Is that right?

THE WITNESS (Burns): For the record once again, Robert
Burns with All-Points.

In walking the site with the power company, they are going to require a new poll on Vail Street mid length between two existing poles. And then from there, the utilities will run overhead

1 to a pole right outside the compound. The exact 2 location will be determined by the utility 3 company. 4 And then from that pole it will run down 5 underground to the meter bank, et cetera. 6 MR. NGUYEN: Okay. 7 THE WITNESS (Burns): So there will be two new polls, 8 yes, one on the street and one on our property. 9 MR. NGUYEN: Yes. And then you answered my followup 10 regarding the underground to the utility main. 11 That's all I have, Mr. Morissette. Thank you 12 very much. And thank you, gentlemen. 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Nguyen. 14 We'll continue with cross-examination by 15 Mr. Lynch. Mr. Lynch? 16 MR. LYNCH: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 17 I'm kind of losing my voice -- so bear with 18 My first question is a curiosity question. 19 We've been going out to this area for the last 20 20 years, if not more, finding coverage gaps for all 21 of the telecom people. Now here we are so many 22 years later. 23 Why haven't we identified these coverage gaps 24 in Brookfield before? Or why now? And either 25 Homeland or AT&T can explain to me why we're here.

THE WITNESS (Vergati): This is Ray Vergati, Homeland

Towers. I -- I can speak, you know, as a tower

developer we -- we look for opportunities where we

think the carriers have a need because of -- of

lack of service.

I think it's no secret that this southern portion of Brookfield/Bethel line regarding the Super Route 7 there, or Route 7 and the amount of traffic and the box stores on Federal Road, that there's been a need here.

I -- I've been doing this tower work for the better of 22, 23, years, and I recall back in the early 2000's trying to look for a site in this area for the carriers.

From my perspective, Homeland worked with the Town of Brookfield back in 2016/2017 to put a tower up, further up on 7 on town property at 100 Pocono Road behind the Fire Department. Verizon ended up installing on that. They were the applicant and the anchor there.

We've always known there's a need as a developer on the southern portion, and that's why we started our site search in securing a ground lease. We're hopeful that other carriers will come to the site.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you.

And this is one -- I'd like to say, it's more of a curiosity question. You know, gaps have existed out there for years -- and that would lead me to, I guess, Mr. Lavin, do you have to change your antenna angles to deal with the terrain?

THE WITNESS (Lavin): We -- we only have them 120 degrees apart. Depending on terrain and road layout and population and traffic densities, we do adjust based on that. Sometimes they're not -- not 120 degrees apart.

MR. LYNCH: Okay. Thank you. Now you're putting a fault into the tower as -- I forget what height, but if it's going to stay within the compound, why do you need a fault on it?

THE WITNESS (Burns): Robert Burns once again. The hinge point is dictated by the distance to the property line, not -- not the compound itself.

So I believe the hinge point here is at 129, and the closest property line is 36 feet.

MR. LYNCH: I'm sorry. I kind of misread the application. It said it was going to be totally within the lease. If the tower falls, that would be totally within the leased area. So thank you for correcting that.

1 THE WITNESS (Burns): You're welcome. 2 MR. LYNCH: Now if I can revisit the backup generator 3 for a second. Thanks to my good colleague, Mr. Silvestri, we've gone over a little bit. 4 5 But you're using -- the generator will be 6 powered by diesel? 7 THE WITNESS (Burns): That's correct. 8 MR. LYNCH: So I have two questions. One of them 9 involves when they fill up the diesel generator, 10 how much? What capacity does it take? 11 percent? 90 percent? 12 What do they use for capacity in the 13 generator? 14 THE WITNESS (Burns): You mean, how -- how big the tank 15 is and how much ultimately they would --16 MR. LYNCH: How much they fill the tank, is what I'm 17 asking? THE WITNESS (Burns): I don't know. I don't know 18 19 exactly, but my assumption is that they top it off 20 right to the top. 21 MR. LYNCH: Okay. Would you check that for me? 22 That's -- yeah. Again, we can come back later on. 23 THE WITNESS (Burns): Sure. 24 MR. LYNCH: Now as far as under our current crisis for 25 diesel fuel, would it be more advantageous to

switch over to a cheaper propane gas, rather than rely on what's happening in the diesel fuel market right now?

THE WITNESS (Burns): It's not really for me to answer.

Ray, Ray Vergati can answer that question.

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers.

It's typically a carrier-by-carrier decision if they decide to use propane, natural gas or -- or diesel on the site. In this particular case, the decision is just to use diesel by AT&T.

I -- I don't know if the market rates come to play on -- on their decision. I think what they'll look at typically is from an environmental standpoint, if there's close proximity of wetlands they'll go propane. In this case, there's not. I think they're a hundred and twenty-three, 'four feet away from the site. So they're going with diesel.

You know, as a homeowner I just filled up last week at \$5.80 cents a gallon for diesel. I wish I had the luxury of going to propane, but I don't.

So I don't think that makes the decision right now in how they design a site for backup generation.

And getting back to a previous question,

there is no natural gas out on the street on Vail

Road.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you. Yeah, the reason -- it is a

MR. LYNCH: Thank you. Yeah, the reason -- it is a volatile market in the gas industry. So that's why I put that out there.

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Yeah. I think, you know,
it's -- again, it's a -- it's a case-by-case
basis. And each has its pros and cons, I believe.

Look, the site down and you have a tree across the road, you can't walk in propane. You can certainly walk in diesel by hand to -- to get a generator back up and running.

I believe sometimes diesel generators, the way they're cooled, they may run at a lower dB level for noise. So sometimes we'll make that decision based upon proximity to property lines or residential dwellings and dB levels.

So there's a lot that goes into, I think, when a carrier picks a type of fuel source. I'm not sure -- possibly the way things are going, they may migrate toward propane if diesel costs keep going higher.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you.

Mr. Silvestri also brought up the overhead

electrical versus the underground. And I think we -- I share some of the concerns he has about the overhead being, you know, more subject to storms and stuff, and reliability.

Is it something that you would consider as an option going underground?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): We completed the utility walk with Eversource, and this was their preference.

We're happy to take a look at it. I would discuss with my environmental folks -- if I recall, there may be a seasonal swale that fills up on the side of the road there that would preclude us from trenching -- or preferably not. We would not look to trench through that area. So we can -- we can certainly take a look at the overhead.

And again, as I mentioned earlier, all of Vail Road has overhead service. I don't think we're creating a large risk in the site going down with a simple run for the utilities being overhead given the lack of the amount of trees on the site, and the fact that everything is already aerial there.

But we're happy to take a look if -- if underground is an option and it makes sense.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you. And my last question has to

do -- again Mr. Silvestri brought this up. With the railroad line, under the Affordable Care Act railroads in the state, and some towns have gotten funds for expansion or improving the rail line.

If they did that and you have your right of way runs -- their right of way, excuse me, runs right up to your leased area, how would that impact your site?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): I -- I can't speak for their expansion right now. They have existing tracks there. I guess -- in myself looking at the right of way they had with their tracks, I don't know if there's additional room to put another track closer to our site.

It wouldn't affect in the -- in the sense that, as Mr. Burns testified, we have a hinge point at 129. So in the event of a failure, the tower would remain on the property.

Even, let's say hypothetically, the railroad put another track within the right of way, we're not encroaching onto their property and -- and they're not encroaching onto our landlord's property.

MR. LYNCH: Yeah. Well, that was pretty much my concern. If they didn't do any improvements or

expansion, and they had increased the right of way, you know, would that -- I think you just covered it.

But would that in any way impact the site?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): No, we don't believe it would.

MR. LYNCH: Thank you.

Mr. Morissette, those are my questions.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Lynch.

While we're on the topic of hinge points, I have some follow-up questions concerning that.

Considering that the railroad is adjacent to the property, understanding that you have a hinge point at 129 feet preventing it from going off the property, does the hinge point also incorporate directionality to it so it could be designed so it collapses away from the railroad right of way?

THE WITNESS (Vergati): Not that we know of. Ray Vergati, Homeland Towers. I believe the -- the hinge point is obviously a thinner thickness of steel that would go around the tower itself.

I don't believe the tower manufacturer designs it specifically on one side of the tower. Storms blow in, obviously, from north, south, east, west.

Maybe that's a question for Bob to answer a

little bit better, but I think from my experience as a developer I don't believe the manufacturers design the hinge in one specific part of the tower.

Bob, you may have more on that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.

Good afternoon, Mr. Burns.

THE WITNESS (Burns): Good afternoon, Mr. Morissette.

I just wanted to bring up the fact that the closest point from the tower to the -- to the railroad track is 54 feet, and the property line is actually closer. So our hinge point will be well before that 54 feet. It's actually 36 feet down.

I don't believe they've designed it -- excuse me -- with a direction, a directional hinge point, if you will. I don't know what else I would call it, so.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Right. Okay, so -- but within your design you've captured enough in your hinge point so that it shouldn't be an issue, unless something unusual had happened as far as heavy high-speed winds blowing it into the railroad, but that's highly unlikely --

THE WITNESS (Burns): Mr. Morissette, I apologize for

1 interrupting. But the closest railroad track is 2 also -- I think it's like a spur line where they 3 park railroad -- whatever you call it. 4 THE WITNESS (Vergati): Cars? 5 THE WITNESS (Burns): Yeah, thank you. 6 So it wouldn't affect railroad traffic per 7 So it's more or less a parking spot. 8 That's the closest point. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Great. Thank you for 10 those answers. 11 Let's see. Mr. Lavin, I've got a couple of 12 questions for you. 13 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Hello, Mr. Morissette. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good afternoon. 15 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Good afternoon. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Concerning attachment one, page 17 10, 10 and 11 -- which is relating to the existing 18 coverage at 700 megahertz -- it's actually more 19 towards page 11. 20 Now with this facility you clearly improved 21 coverage on Route 7 and Grays Bridge Road -- and 22 even I think it's Route 202. I don't think it was 23 necessarily your intent to improve coverage on 24 202, but it certainly did. 25 But if I look south towards I-84 and Route 6,

1 you have a pretty good sized gap south of 84. 2 What's the plan for filling in that coverage 3 gap in that area? 4 THE WITNESS (Lavin): I don't know what the plan is 5 offhand. The train certainly blocks us in that 6 If you look at page 7 of the RF direction. 7 report, it goes from blue to green to yellow in 8 that direction -- which it prevents the -- is why 9 the signal isn't getting in that direction nearly 10 as well as it's making its way up and down 11 Route 7. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Oh, I see. I didn't pick up on 13 that. 14 So North of 84 you have a ridgeline? THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, and -- and south of there it 15 16 kind of -- it levels out south of there, which 17 would result in shadowing south of 84. 18 I don't know of a specific plan, but I'm 19 guessing there would have the -- have to be 20 another site in that area. 21 THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, there would have to be 22 another site to cover south of the ridgeline? 23 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, that makes sense. 25 Okay. All right.

1 If you were -- a hypothetical here. If you 2 were to move it south, you would still have that 3 gap? 4 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okav. 6 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, we would. 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Very good. All 8 right. That's all the questions I have this 9 afternoon. 10 We do have some open questions here. So what 11 I propose to do -- well, let me run off what 12 questions that I see that we have open, and then 13 we can take a short break and see if we can get 14 some answers for them, and hopefully be able to 15 close the record here. 16 So the first one is the coverage gap on 17 Route 7 and Grays Bridge, by Mr. Perrone at the 18 700 megahertz level? 19 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes. The existing gap on Grays 20 Bridge is 0.9 miles. The existing gap on US-7 is 21 0.8 miles. And the site fills both in completely. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. 23 Mr. Perrone, does that satisfy your question? 24 MR. PERRONE: Yes, it does, thank you. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.

1	THE WITNESS (Lavin): Sorry for missing that on the				
2	first go round.				
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: No problem. In this let me				
4	see. The question that is open relating to the				
5	fuel tank.				
6	And the capacity of the fuel tank, is it				
7	filled to a hundred percent or something there				
8	lower than that in percentage?				
9	That was asked by Mr. Lynch.				
10	MS. CHIOCCHIO: I think we have an answer for that,				
11	Mr. Morissette.				
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Great. Thank you.				
13	THE WITNESS (Burns): Once again, Mr. Morissette				
14	Robert Burns from All-Points.				
15	In checking with AT&T, the fuel tank is				
16	topped off. So it's up to a hundred percent.				
17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.				
18	Mr. Lynch, does that satisfy your question?				
19	MR. LYNCH: Yes, Mr. Morissette.				
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.				
21	And the last one I have open is Mr. Ray				
22	Vergati is going to look at underground versus				
23	overhead, and I don't believe that can be				
24	accomplished here this afternoon.				
25	So I would propose that if the project gets				

1 approved, that it be addressed in the D and M 2 plan. Is that acceptable, Attorney Chiocchio? 3 MR. PATRICK: Yes, that is acceptable to the Applicant. 4 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Well, that's all the 5 items I had that was open. 6 Attorney Patrick, do you have anything else? 7 MR. PATRICK: No, sir. Not today. Thank you. 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I'm going to quickly go 9 through -- since we have a little bit of time 10 here, go through the Council and to see if they 11 have any follow-up questions concerning this 12 matter. We'll start with Mr. Perrone. 13 Mr. Perrone, any follow-up questions? 14 MR. PERRONE: No, Mr. Morissette. I'm all set. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you. 16 Mr. Silvestri, any follow-up questions? 17 MR. SILVESTRI: No, Mr. Morissette. It's just while we 18 were conducting business I was looking at Google 19 Maps. It's an interesting array of utility poles 20 that go up and down Valley Road -- just a comment. 21 Thank you. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Silvestri. 23 Mrs. Cooley, any follow-up questions. 24 MS. COOLEY: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. I am all set. 25 THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you.

1	Mr. Golembiewski, any follow-up questions?			
2	MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: No. No, thank you, Mr. Morissette.			
3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.			
4	Mr. Nguyen, any follow-up questions?			
5	MR. NGUYEN: I have none. Thank you.			
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.			
7	Mr. Lynch, any follow-up questions.			
8	MR. LYNCH: Wait a minute. Let me get out my list			
9	no more questions, Mr. Morissette.			
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thank you, Mr. Lynch.			
11	And I have no followup questions. So with			
12	that we'll wrap it up and thank you, everyone.			
13	So the Council will recess until 6:30 p.m.,			
14	at which time we will commence with the public			
15	comment session of this remote public hearing.			
16	Thank you, everybody, for your attention in			
17	this matter. And we will see you at 6:30.			
18	Thank you.			
19				
20	(End: 3:10 p.m.)			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 59 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the remote teleconference meeting of The Connecticut Siting Council in Re: DOCKET NO. 512, HOMELAND TOWERS, LLC, AND NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC, D/B/A AT&T APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 60 VAIL ROAD, BROOKFIELD, CONNECTICUT., which was held before JOHN MORISSETTE, Member and Presiding Officer, on November 3, 2022.

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 6/30/2025

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES	PAGE
3	Martin Lavin Brian Gaudet Dean Gustafson	
4	Raymond Vergati Harry Carey	
5	Robert Burns Paul H. Zito	12
6		12
7	(EXAMINER) By Mr. Patrick	12
8	EXAMINERS By Ms. Bachman (for Perrone)	16
9	By Mr. Perrone By Mr. Silvestri	22 30
10	By Ms. Cooley By Mr. Nguyen	36 38
11	By Mr. Lynch By The Hearing Officer	44 52
12	Dy The hearing officer	32
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		