

## 1 STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL 3 4 5 Docket No. 511 6 Barrett Outdoor Communications application for a 7 Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and 8 operation of a telecommunications facility 10 located at 200 East Main Street Rear, 11 Stratford, Connecticut 12 13 VIA ZOOM AND TELECONFERENCE 14 15 Public Hearing held on Thursday, October 6, 2022, 16 beginning at 2 p.m., via remote access. 17 18

19

Held Before:

JOHN MORISSETTE, Presiding Officer

21

20

22

23

24

Reporter: Lisa L. Warner, CSR #061

1	Appearances:
2	
3	Council Members:
4	BRIAN GOLEMBIEWSKI, Designee for
5	Commissioner Katie Dykes, Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
6	ROBERT SILVESTRI LOUANNE COOLEY
7	MARK QUINLAN
8	Council Staff:
9	MELANIE BACHMAN, ESQ. Executive Director and Staff Attorney
11	CHRISTINA WALSH Supervising Siting Analyst
12 13	LISA FONTAINE Fiscal Administrative Officer
14 15 16 17	For Applicant Barrett Outdoor Communications, Inc.: UPDIKE, KELLY & SPELLACY, P.C. One Century Tower 265 Church Street, 10th Floor New Haven, Connecticut 06510
18	BY: JESSE A. LANGER, ESQ.
19	For Intervenor Dish Wireless, LLC:
20	CUDDY & FEDER LLP 445 Hamilton Avenue, 14th Floor
21	White Plains, New York 10601  BY: DANIEL PATRICK, ESQ.
22	KRISTEN MOTEL, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances: (Cont'd)
2	
3	For Intervenor Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless:
4	ROBINSON & COLE LLP 280 Trumbull Street
5	Hartford, Connecticut 06103-3597 BY: KENNETH C. BALDWIN, ESQ.
6	For Intervenor New Cingular Wireless PCS,
7	LLC d/b/a AT&T: BROWN RUDNICK LLP
9	185 Asylum Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103
10	BY: THOMAS J. REGAN, ESQ.
11	
12	Zoom co-host: Aaron Demarest
13	200m co-nosc. Aaron bemaresc
14	
15	
16	**All participants were present via remote access.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MR. MORISSETTE: This remote public
hearing is called to order this Thursday, October
6, 2022, at 2 p.m. My name is John Morissette,
member and presiding officer of the Connecticut
Siting Council. Other members of the Council are
Brian Golembiewski, designee for Commissioner
Katie Dykes of the Department of Energy and
Environmental Protection, Robert Silvestri,
Louanne Cooley and Mark Quinlan. Members of the
staff are Melanie Bachman, executive director and
staff attorney, Christina Walsh, supervising
siting analyst, and Lisa Fontaine, fiscal
administrative officer.

If you haven't done so already, I ask that everyone please mute their computer audio and telephones now.

This hearing is held pursuant to the provisions of Title 16 of the Connecticut General Statutes and of the Uniform Administrative Procedure Act upon an application from Barrett Outdoor Communications for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance, and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 200 East Main Street Rear, Stratford, Connecticut. The

application was received by the Council on July 25, 2022.

The Council's legal notice of the date and time of this remote public hearing was published in The Connecticut Post on August 23, 2022. Upon the Council's request, the applicant erected a sign at the entrance of the proposed site as to inform the public of the name of the applicant, the type of facility, the remote public hearing date, and contact information for the Council, including website and phone number.

As a reminder to all, off-the-record communications with a member of the Council or a member of the Council staff upon the merits of this application is prohibited by law.

The parties and intervenors to the proceeding are as follows: The Applicant, Barrett Outdoor Communications, Inc., represented by Jesse Langer, Esq. of Updike, Kelly & Spellacy.

Intervenor Dish Wireless, LLC, its representatives Daniel Patrick, Esq. and Christopher B. Fisher, Esq. of Cuddy & Feder LLP.

Intervenor Cellco Partnership doing business as Verizon Wireless, represented by Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. of Robinson & Cole LLP.

And Intervenor New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC doing business as AT&T, represented by Thomas J. Regan, Esq. of Brown Rudnick LLP.

We will proceed in accordance with the prepared agenda, a copy of which is available on the Council's Docket No. 511 webpage, along with the record of this matter, the public hearing notice, instructions for public access to this remote public hearing, and the Council's Citizens Guide to Siting Council Procedures. Interested persons may join any session of the public hearing to listen, but no public comments will be received during the 2 p.m. evidentiary session. At the end of the evidentiary session we will recess until 6:30 p.m. for the public comment session. Please be advised that any person may be removed from the remote evidentiary session or the public comment session at the discretion of the Council.

The 6:30 p.m. public comment session is reserved for the public to make brief statements into the record. I wish to note that the applicant, parties and intervenors, including their representatives, witnesses and members, are not allowed to participate in the public comment session. I also wish to note for those who are

listening and for the benefit of your friends and neighbors who are unable to join us for the remote public comment session that you or they may send written statements to the Council within 30 days of the date hereof, either by mail or by email, and such written statements will be given the same weight as if spoken during the remote public comment session.

A verbatim transcript of this remote public hearing will be posted on the Council's Docket 511 webpage and deposited in the Milford City Clerk's Office and the Stratford Town Clerk's Office for the convenience of the public.

Please be advised that the Council's project evaluation criteria under the statute does not include consideration of property value or ownership.

We'll take a 10 to 15 minute break at a convenient juncture at around 3:30 p.m.

I see on the agenda we have no motions.

And we'll now move to administrative notice taken by the Council. I wish to call your attention to those items shown on the hearing program marked Roman Numeral I-B, Items 1 through 79 that the Council has administratively noticed.

1	Does any party or intervenor have any
2	objection to the items that the Council has
3	administratively noticed?
4	Attorney Langer.
5	MR. LANGER: Good afternoon, Mr.
6	Morissette. None from the applicant. Thank you.
7	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
8	Patrick or Fisher?
9	MR. PATRICK: Good afternoon, Mr.
10	Morissette, none from the intervenor DISH.
11	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
12	Baldwin.
13	MR. BALDWIN: Good afternoon, Mr.
14	Morissette. No objection from Cellco Partnership.
15	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
16	Regan.
17	MR. REGAN: Good afternoon, Mr.
18	Morissette. No objection from AT&T.
19	MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you.
20	Accordingly, the Council hereby administratively
21	notices these items.
22	(Council's Administrative Notice Items
23	I-B-1 through I-B-79: Received in evidence.)
24	MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now move on to
25	the appearance by the applicant. Will the

applicant present its witness panel for the purpose of taking the oath and Attorney Bachman will administer the oath.

MR. LANGER: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

Morissette. And good afternoon, Council members.

Just for the record, Jesse Langer of Updike, Kelly

& Spellacy on behalf of the applicant. And with

me here today is John Barrett, who's the vice

president of Barrett Outdoor Communications, Inc.;

Chuck Regulbuto, who's the director of operations

for Northeast Site Solutions, LLC; Dean Gustafson,

who's the manager of natural resources,

professional soil scientist for All-Points

Technology Corporation; and Jason Mead, who is the

department manager of structural services,

All-Points Technology as well.

And I would just like to add that I appropriately conferred credentials when I wasn't supposed to, and so I've listed Mr. Mead as a PE, and I just wanted to note for the record that that's not the case.

And then finally, Mr. Brian Gaudet, who is a project manager for All-Points as well. I would ask that each witness be sworn in. Thank you.

1	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney
2	Langer.
3	Attorney Bachman, please administer the
4	oath.
5	MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr.
6	Morissette. Could the witnesses please raise
7	their right hand.
8	JOHN E. BARRETT,
9	CHUCK REGULBUTO,
10	DEAN GUSTAFSON,
11	JASON R. MEAD,
12	BRIAN GAUDET,
13	having been first duly sworn (remotely) by
14	Ms. Bachman, testified on their oaths as
15	follows:
16	MS. BACHMAN: Thank you.
17	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney
18	Bachman.
19	Attorney Langer, please begin by
20	verifying all exhibits by the appropriate sworn
21	witnesses.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	MR. LANGER: Thank you, Mr. Morissette.
24	So to expedite these preliminary matters, I'll
25	just ask the panel to respond collectively to the

```
1
   foundational questions for the exhibits. And I'd
2
   like to offer four exhibits for identification
3
   which are identified as Exhibits Roman Numeral
4
   II-B-1 through 4 on the hearing program. And I'm
5
   going to ask the witness panel to each respond to
6
   the following questions: Did you prepare or
7
   supervise in the preparation of Exhibits II-B-1
8
   through 4? Mr. Barrett.
               THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes.
10
               MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto.
11
               THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes.
12
               MR. LANGER: Mr. Gustafson.
13
               THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
14
               MR. LANGER: Mr. Mead.
15
               THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes.
16
               MR. LANGER: Mr. Gaudet.
17
               THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yes.
18
               MR. LANGER: And do any of you have
19
   additions, clarifications or modifications to each
20
   of these Exhibits II-B-1 through 4?
21
               Mr. Barrett.
22
               THE WITNESS (Barrett):
23
               MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto.
24
               THE WITNESS (Regulbuto):
                                         No.
25
               MR. LANGER: Mr. Gustafson.
```

1 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): No. MR. LANGER: Mr. Mead. 3 THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes. 4 MR. LANGER: Could you please explain? 5 THE WITNESS (Mead): Absolutely. I'd 6 like to make some updates. The plans currently 7 indicate the lowest array at 101 feet. DISH 8 intends to collocate at a 91 foot elevation. 9 In addition to that, utilities, 10 alternative utility routes have been proposed and are under review with UI. Electric and fiber 11 12 services will both now be installed underground. 13 And thirdly, the proposed tower hinge 14 point will be modified from approximately 20 feet 15 below the tower, top of the tower, at 16 approximately 15 feet. Thank you. 17 MR. LANGER: Thank you, Mr. Mead. 18 are the Exhibits II-B-1 through 4, as clarified, 19 true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? 20 Mr. Barrett. 21 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes. 22 MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto? 23 THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes. 24 MR. LANGER: Mr. Gustafson. 25 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.

1	MR. LANGER: Mr. Mead.
2	THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes.
3	MR. LANGER: Mr. Gaudet.
4	THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yes.
5	MR. LANGER: And do each of you adopt
6	the information contained in these Exhibits II-B-1
7	through 4, as clarified, as your testimony here
8	today?
9	Mr. Barrett.
10	THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes.
11	MR. LANGER: Mr. Regulbuto.
12	THE WITNESS (Regulbuto): Yes.
13	MR. LANGER: Mr. Gustafson.
14	THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Yes.
15	MR. LANGER: Mr. Mead.
16	THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes.
17	MR. LANGER: Mr. Gaudet.
18	THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yes.
19	MR. LANGER: Thank you. Mr.
20	Morissette, I offer these exhibits and again
21	referenced in the hearing program II-B-1 through
22	4, as clarified, as full exhibits, and I offer the
23	witness panel for examination by the Council.
24	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney
25	Langer.

1	Does any party or intervenor object to
2	the admission of the applicant's exhibits?
3	Attorney Patrick.
4	MR. PATRICK: No objection,
5	Mr. Morissette.
6	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
7	Baldwin.
8	MR. BALDWIN: No objection.
9	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney
10	Regan.
11	MR. REGAN: No objection.
12	MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. The
13	exhibits are hereby admitted.
14	(Applicant's Exhibits II-B-1 through
15	II-B-4: Received in evidence - described in
16	index.)
17	MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now begin with
18	cross-examination of the applicant by the Council
19	starting with Mrs. Walsh and followed by Mr.
20	Silvestri.
21	Mrs. Walsh.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	MS. WALSH: Thank you, Mr. Morissette.
24	Turning to the response to Council Interrogatory
25	No. 3, it's regarding the costs broken down, and

there's a note that the utility installation

prices have changed. And I heard you mention a

change to possibly undergrounding utilities, and

I'm wondering if that is the only change in the

cost for utility routes.

MR. LANGER: Mr. Barrett, would you like to respond to that, please?

THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes. Because the UI line was always underground, it's only a marginal change in that cost. And we're going to share the trench with the fiber. So, if anything, we save a little money going that way. Those are the primary changes. Of course, the copper market it fluctuating, so there's always that to deal with.

MS. WALSH: Okay. Thank you. And are the decommissioning costs of the non-tower antenna array included in the estimated project costs?

THE WITNESS (Barrett): No, they're set aside actually within the easement agreement with the owner, the property owner, being as the property owner now owns that location.

MS. WALSH: Regarding the response to Interrogatory No. 4, this interrogatory discusses the decommissioning of the billboard after

1 T-Mobile's lease expires. Has that applicant had 2 any discussion with T-Mobile regarding the 3 potential collocation of T-Mobile at the proposed 4 tower facility? 5 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes. We heard from them about one month ago. They gave us 6 7 official notice that they wanted to move over with 8 us. 9 MS. WALSH: And at what height would 10 T-Mobile be located? 11 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Let's see, I'm 12 going to refer to Mr. Mead. THE WITNESS (Mead): Thank you, John. 13 14 Referring back to the exhibits, the spot that we 15 have available at the moment is 111. That's shown 16 on drawing C-2. 17 MS. WALSH: Okay. Thank you. And 18 what's planned for the area where the billboard is 19 currently located after it's removed? 20 THE WITNESS (Barrett): The developer 21 is going to put a Starbucks there. 22 MS. WALSH: Okay. Referring to the 23 response to Interrogatory No. 6 regarding the 24 safety zone clearances, on the site plan, sheet 25 C-2, it states there's a 25-foot safety zone

1 required from the outside rail to the platform. 2 And the interrogatory response states that Barrett 3 is consulting with Metro-North regarding the safe 4 distance from the railroad to the equipment 5 platform. Why is the further consultation 6 necessary? 7 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Because we're 8 actually looking at two different things, the 9 distance from the live energized powerlines versus 10 the track. And all of our questions before were 11 answered from the contractor's manual for working. 12 And we wanted to verify that the working distance 13 was the same as the safety distance from permanent 14 structures. 15 MS. WALSH: Okay. And that 16 consultation is ongoing? 17 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes, it is. 18 Actually, I'm waiting for an answer from 19 Connecticut DOT where it's been kicked over. They 20 may even answer while we're having this meeting. 21 MS. WALSH: Okay. And what is the 22 distance from the edge of the railroad to the 23 right-of-way -- railroad right-of-way to the 24 platform? 25 THE WITNESS (Barrett): I'll defer

1 again to Jason Mead. 2 THE WITNESS (Mead): Sorry, could you 3 repeat the question again, please? 4 MS. WALSH: What is the distance from 5 the edge of the railroad right-of-way to the 6 platform? 7 THE WITNESS (Mead): I don't have that 8 information on the plans currently. What I can 9 tell you is that we have 30 feet from the outside 10 track to the edge of the telecommunications 11 easement. 12 MS. WALSH: And was this the distance 13 that was discussed with Metro-North? 14 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes, it was. 15 MS. WALSH: Okay. Regarding the 16 response to Interrogatory No. 8, this is referring 17 to a tower yield point. Do the plans specify a yield point on the tower? 18 19 THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. 20 they do not currently. The yield point will most 21 likely make its way into the D&M set once we've 22 procured a tower design subject to approval. 23 MS. WALSH: And where would you expect 24 that yield point to be? 25 THE WITNESS (Mead): The overall tower

height is shown at 135. So we'd be looking at 15 feet below the top, i.e., 120 foot elevation.

MS. WALSH: Okay. And what is the yield point based on, is it property, distance to the property lines or the powerlines or railroad?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. In this particular case that is driven by the edge of the UI easement which actually overlaps the property line. So the UI easement controls in this particular instance.

MS. WALSH: And also in the interrogatory response it states a second tower yield point would be designed on the tower if a 20-foot extension were installed. And where would that yield point be?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. That is yet to be determined. What we do know is that we can effectively engineer a second yield point should a future extension be required.

THE WITNESS (Barrett): I just want to add that we really don't expect that addition. Since we started this, we now have all four of the major carriers coming on board without using that section.

MS. WALSH: Okay. Has there been any

further outreach with the town or emergency response entities such as Red Cross regarding use of the tower for emergency communications?

THE WITNESS (Barrett): Well, it just so happens that I am the person that provides communications for the Red Cross in that area, and no, there is no need at this time. And when it comes to the locals, I spoke with actually the chief of communications for Stratford Fire Department, and he confirmed that he has nothing that would help him on that location.

MS. WALSH: Okay. And regarding the design of the facility, the Connecticut State Building Code was updated effective October 1, 2022. What changes to the building code would affect the design of this facility?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. The code references that have been noted so far to date will be updated and taken into account, obviously, the recent change in the state code and the references to the TIA standard. So the design will be obviously put together at that point and submitted in accordance with the local codes, the latest standards.

MS. WALSH: Have you had a chance to

look at it at all to determine any design changes that would be required at this point?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes, I have. I did take a look at the latest submission last week, and there has been some changes in the wind speeds, local wind speeds. I think now the annex has changed. But I believe there has been a drop in wind speed design based on new information, and it is now falling more in accordance with ASCE 7-16.

MS. WALSH: Okay. And is there a security plan for the compound area such as an alarm or cameras to be installed at the compound?

THE WITNESS (Barrett): Not at this time. It has been contemplated. And part of that is working with the carriers for access going through, how we're going to make that work, but the intent is to have a security system of some sort there.

MS. WALSH: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions for Barrett Communications.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs. Walsh. We'll now continue with cross-examination of the application by Mr. Silvestri followed by Mrs. Cooley.

1 Mr. Silvestri. 2 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. 3 Morissette. And good afternoon, everyone. 4 Mr. Mead, I'd like to start with you, 5 Mr. Mead, going back to drawing C-2, if you will. 6 THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes. 7 MR. SILVESTRI: I want to make sure I 8 have the antenna locations correctly. And what I 9 surmise is that AT&T would be at 135 feet; is that 10 correct? 11 THE WITNESS (Mead): That's correct, 12 sir, yes. 13 MR. SILVESTRI: Verizon at 121? 14 THE WITNESS (Mead): That is correct, 15 yes. 16 MR. SILVESTRI: Then you mentioned 17 T-Mobile, I guess, would be at 111? 18 THE WITNESS (Mead): Tentatively, yes. 19 MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Understood. And 20 then you made the change for DISH, instead of 101 21 it would be 91; is that correct? 22 THE WITNESS (Mead): That is correct. 23 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you. 24 Also, I think this question would be for you as 25 well, when you look at the September 22, 2022

responses to the first set of interrogatories, again, going back to Question No. 8, it talks about the second hinge point that would be designed for the extension. Now, I understand fully how one hinge point would work on a tower. How does the second hinge point work, could you explain that to me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes, I'll do my best. Again, Jason Mead speaking. We recently ran into this situation with another site, my first time coming across the need to do this. It's not an easy task. It can, from what I understand from the tower manufacturer it can be Typically what happens is they engineer a done. yield point, thinking of this particular project, in close proximity to the top of the tower, as we mentioned, 15 feet from the top. And then from what I understand, they rely on the flange plate connection. So they overdesign the tower with the lower section and then they essentially take advantage of the flange connection for the second yield point. As far as the actual mechanics behind it, I would have to refer to a tower manufacturer in order to relay that information correctly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

my confusion here. Say, for example, we have an extremely strong wind, would the second hinge point be the first to go?

MR. SILVESTRI: Let me try to mention

THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes, the lower section would be designed stronger, obviously, a stiffer section than the upper section. So yes, if you have the 20-foot section in place, the upper hinge point would control.

MR. SILVESTRI: Understood. And that would fall back probably onto the tower, correct? THE WITNESS (Mead): As far as fallback onto the tower, I'm sorry, I don't understand the question.

MR. SILVESTRI: Well, let me try to explain that one. If you have the second hinge point on the extension, that's the first to go in the event of a catastrophic wind storm. that whole extension then fall back onto the antenna arrays that are below it?

THE WITNESS (Mead): I would have to get back to you on that question. Again, that's more into the mechanics of the engineering with regard to the tower manufacturers. Like I said, we've only come across this once recently. So I

could provide additional information, if need be.

MR. SILVESTRI: Yeah, the curiosity point I have is that if that second hinge point does go, does it actually create more damage by falling against all the antennas that are there seeing that the first hinge point might stay during this presumed catastrophic wind storm. I think you understand where I'm coming from.

THE WITNESS (Mead): I do. I do.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. All right. Let me move then to the application, and I'm looking at drawing C-1. And I'm trying to understand the purpose of the elevated platform. Why are some pieces of equipment on the elevated platform and some pieces of equipment on ground level?

THE WITNESS (Mead): So Jason Mead.

The purpose of the elevated platform was to minimize the impact to the boat storage facility and utilize the embankment, the railroad embankment as much as possible. Obviously, real estate was limited. So the design has been optimized with the carrier base equipment shown in designated spaces on the elevated platform and with the remaining ancillary equipment, i.e. generators, et cetera, dedicated to the space

remaining to the east.

MR. SILVESTRI: Now, is there more of a security concern because you would have to protect two areas now, you'd have to protect the elevated platform and you'd have to protect anything that's on ground level?

THE WITNESS (Mead): The design is encompassed with a secure fence around the periphery so it will be nonaccessible.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Then there are some different issues with proposed carriers as far as generators go. And right now we have an AT&T generator proposed for ground level. Would there be space either on the ground or on the platform should the other carriers opt to have a standby generator?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. We have actually looked at this. And as it stands right now, we do have sufficient space within the, if I may refer to, again, drawing C-1, the eastern portion of the compound where you'll see the AT&T generator placement. There is enough residual space to place conventional diesel generators. There's also a 16-foot future bay shown at the eastern end of the elevated platform that could,

1 if need be, support a generator. 2 MR. SILVESTRI: Understood. Just one 3 more clarification. Again, right now the tower is 4 proposed for potentially four carriers. AT&T's 5 generator would be one. Is there room for three 6 more? 7 THE WITNESS (Mead): Yes. 8 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you. 9 And let me just check my notes. I believe that's 10 all the questions I have for the applicant at this 11 point, Mr. Morissette, and I thank you. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 13 Silvestri. Just one comment. The information on 14 the hinge point that you requested, hopefully it's 15 possible to get an answer to that during our break 16 to have the response after our break. 17 Attorney Langer, do you think that's 18 possible? 19 MR. LANGER: We'll endeavor to do so, 20 Mr. Morissette. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 22 We'll now continue with 23 cross-examination of the applicant by Mrs. Cooley 24 followed by Mr. Quinlan. 25 Mrs. Cooley.

1 MRS. COOLEY: Good afternoon, Mr. 2 Morissette. Between Mrs. Walsh and Mr. Silvestri, 3 I think all of my questions have been answered. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs. 6 Cooley. We'll now continue with cross-examination 7 by Mr. Quinlan followed by Mr. Golembiewski. 8 Mr. Ouinlan. 9 MR. QUINLAN: Yes. I would just like 10 to ask the applicant to submit a copy of the 11 letter from the Federal Aviation Administration 12 when they conclude their evaluation of the site. 13 MR. MORISSETTE: Attorney Langer, I 14 believe that's been submitted. Could you clarify 15 that? 16 MR. LANGER: That's my understanding. 17 We provided the update and approval in response to 18 the interrogatories, if that's what you're looking 19 for, Mr. Quinlan. 20 MR. QUINLAN: Yeah. I saw the original 21 letter but I didn't see the update. Okay. Thank 22 you then. 23 MR. LANGER: You're quite welcome. 24 MR. MORISSETTE: Anything else, Mr. 25 Ouinlan?

1 MR. QUINLAN: That's all my questions. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 4 We'll now continue with Mr. Golembiewski. 5 Mr. Golembiewski. 6 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. 7 Morissette. 8 I think my initial questions would be 9 for Mr. Gustafson. I wanted to discuss the 10 Coastal Consistency Review that was done, I guess, 11 associated with the original Petition 1467. 12 just wanted to confirm that this property extends 13 all the way to the Housatonic River or is it a 14 separate landlocked property? 15 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): My 16 understanding is the subject property extends to 17 the Housatonic River edge or bank. 18 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. And then you identified not inland wetlands but title wetlands; 19 20 is that correct? 21 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): That is 22 correct. 23 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. And those all 24 are associated with the Housatonic River? 25 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): That's

correct. Our delineation located along the western banks of the Housatonic River underneath I-95, that boundary represents essentially the ordinary high water mark, and within that the resource, coastal resources include both some fringe tidal wetlands and tidal flat resources.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. And I know the closest, based on the drawings, the closest work would be associated with the utility connection, is that still the case?

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): That's a great question. With the revised underground utility routing that's being contemplated, the nearest activities would actually be the eastern end of the proposed tower facility and elevated compound, and that would be more than 300 feet west of the nearest tidal wetland area.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay.

THE WITNESS (Gustafson): That area, that was where we had a utility interconnection that was originally about 40 feet away, it looks like that with this alternate underground route that would no longer be proposed.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. So your opinion then, I know in the original Coastal

1 Consistency Review you confirmed that there would 2 be no impacts to coastal resources or adverse 3 impacts, is that still your opinion? THE WITNESS (Gustafson): That is, yes. 4 5 With any of the minor revisions to this project, 6 that would still be my opinion that there would be 7 no adverse effect to coastal resources. 8 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okav. I looked at 9 one of the most recent exhibits and there were 10 some photos of the proposed site. And I did 11 notice that there were some boat storage, boats 12 being stored in that area. Do you know what a 13 water dependent use is? 14 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): 15 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. 16 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): So there is a 17 marina on the, at least on or adjacent to the 18 subject property, and that would be considered a 19 water dependent use. 20 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: So replacing a 21 current boat storage area with a cell tower site, 22 wouldn't that be replacing an existing water 23 dependent use with a non-water dependent use? 24 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): So, as Mr. 25 Mead had indicated during one of his responses,

1 the reason, one of the principal reasons for 2 proposing the elevated platform would be to 3 minimize the boat storage area. So we don't think 4 the facility will have an adverse effect to water 5 dependent uses associated with the subject 6 property. 7 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. So by making 8 that statement, there still is sufficient area to 9 do the same essentially level of boat storage and 10 maintenance and repair as pre and post cell tower? 11 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): That's 12 correct. 13 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: So you're saying 14 there's no adverse impact to water dependency at 15 the site because of that? 16 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): Exactly. 17 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. All right. don't know, who should I ask questions regarding 18 19 visibility? 20 MR. LANGER: Mr. Gaudet. 21 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. So as I look 22 at the visibility study, there would be 23 year-round, I guess, visibility to the Charles E. 24 Wheeler Wildlife Area which is pretty much 25 south-southeast of the site. How would you

characterize, even though it's year-round, how would you characterize it from, I guess, what would they see and how different would it look pre and post installation?

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yeah, it's going to be visible there. There's so much existing infrastructure in that area between the transmission lines, the I-95 corridor, the overpass for the UI lines over the river that the facility is really going to blend in pretty well. So you'll see it really above the I-95 overpass.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. So someone in that area recreating or kayaking or whatever really won't see much difference with the tower?

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yes. I'll point you to in the visibility analysis photo sim number 36, is I think somewhat representative of the wildlife area, same distance, at least, if you were in the, truly within the bounds of the wildlife area.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay.

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): It really blends in with what's there today. And then both the views, so you're going up the Housatonic, photo 19 again sort of gives you that shot from the western

shoreline there. It's really in line with that existing, for the most part, the UI infrastructure there blends in pretty well.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. How about from the Housatonic River state boat launch on the Milford side, I guess those are photos 22, 23, that's even sort of an obstructed view, like the view I would get at Fenway Park if I went to a game.

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Actually, those can be pretty brutal to look through those boats.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay.

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): So photo 23, you're going to see the bottom portion of the tower. The top portion is going to be obstructed by 95, obviously, with the highway running right over it. Again, as you launch your boat and sort of move south into the river, it will open up some views. Again, you'll be able to see the base of the tower, and obviously as you move further south you'll start to see the top of it peek above 95.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. And then I know it doesn't have visibility shown on the study, but I was just wondering. Silver Sands State Park, which is pretty far, the far eastern I

guess if you want to say extent of the circle, the area, what would you characterize, they would have no view of it or --

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): The visibility there is nonexistent. Assuming that we're looking at that same area, it's really sort of off the map to the --

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Correct, yeah, it's just an extension of the beaches.

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yeah, there's no expected visibility out that far. I think if there were to be any beyond the study area, we would probably see pockets of that, you know, some sort of visibility, whether it be year-round or seasonal, intervening between the proposed site and edge of the study area in that location.

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Morissette. That is all my questions.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. Golembiewski.

I have a couple of questions. The first one is relating to drawing C-2. In the original petition the drawing reflected that the transmission structure was going to be relocated

closer to the railroad track. Is the current design -- first of all, has that structure been moved and does the current design reflect the new position of the structure?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Thank you. Jason Mead. Yes, to answer your question, yes, the plans have been updated to reflect the as-built locations of the new UI pole structures.

MR. MORISSETTE: So the pole has been moved already?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Correct.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. My second question is relating to the Connecticut Airport Authority's letter dated to the Council August 26, 2022. And I believe what they are requesting is that although the application to the FAA evaluation has been submitted and provided by the FAA, they were asking whether it included the 5G C-band infrastructure impact on air traffic at Sikorsky Memorial Airport. Do you know if the FAA had considered that in their analysis?

MR. LANGER: Mr. Gaudet, do you think you could respond to that at least from a general standpoint?

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): Yeah, so the FAA

has a list of sites, airports where the 5G spectrum C-band's impacts are located. The nearest one to this site on their website, on the FAA website is Westchester County Airport. The closest one in Connecticut is Bradley. Sikorsky does not show up on that, so I can't speak to whether they evaluate that in their criteria, but knowing what's on their website and what's available to us as the public to review, there was no reason to look at Sikorsky separately.

MR. MORISSETTE: They didn't explicitly indicate that they looked at it, but based on your review of the best available information, it's not required?

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): I would agree with that.

MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Thank you. My last final question, where the structures are going to be -- not the structures, the cabinets, excuse me, I'm having a hard time here, where the cabinets are going to be on the platform, and they are going to be kind of open air within the platform, is there any need to enclose the platform or is it going to continue to be open?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. I can

1 answer that question. The equipment platform has 2 been designed in such a way schematically that it 3 envisages the use of a protective ice canopy over 4 the top with including a metal deck. So the 5 cabinets will be protected from direct elements 6 related to rain and ice. However, the equipment 7 itself will all be outdoor equipment cabinets, similar to an normal tower site, so each of the 8 9 cabinets will be deploying outdoor equipment. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. So the 11 cabinets are similar to the cabinets you would use 12 on a normal site that would be established on the 13 ground level, you're just elevating them into the 14 air on the platform? 15 THE WITNESS (Mead): That is correct, 16 and probably all NEMA 3R rated for weather 17 exposure. 18

MR. MORISSETTE: Great. Thank you.

That concludes my cross-examination. Thank you,
everyone.

We'll now continue with cross-examination of the applicant by Dish Wireless.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Mr. Morissette, could I ask one more question?

1 MR. MORISSETTE: Certainly. Go right 2 ahead, Mr. Golembiewski. 3 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: It would be of 4 Mr. Gustafson. I look at the proposed access, and 5 I guess if you want to call it an access road or 6 path, and then, you know, the development of the 7 site. Is there any need to do any upgrades or 8 modifications to any existing stormwater features? 9 THE WITNESS (Gustafson): I think that 10 question is probably better suited to Jason Mead. 11 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. 12 THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. On 13 the proposal that we put forward, no. 14 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: So the D&M plan will 15 have no additional stormwater features on it, 16 catch basins, culvert? 17 THE WITNESS (Mead): That's correct. 18 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: Okay. Thank you. 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 20 Golembiewski. We have another question from Mr. 21 Silvestri. 22 Mr. Silvestri. 23 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. 24 This just popped into my head when Morissette. 25 Mr. Mead was talking about metal, the ice bridge,

et cetera, and I have to pose it at least to put my mind at ease. So we're having all the metal for the proposed cell tower and the cell tower itself pretty must adjacent to the transmission lines. Is there any concern with stray current, if you will, coming from the transmission lines that could cause a hazard with all the metal that's involved with the proposed cell tower?

THE WITNESS (Mead): Thank you. I'll try to answer that question as best I can. The proposed facility will have its own exterior rendering similar to any tower site that will be isolated, well, to protect it from a lightning strike of course.

THE WITNESS (Gaudet): I think it's similar to -- Brian Gaudet with All-Points. I think it's similar to sites around the state where you have either standalone monopoles going up through transmission structures or attachments onto other transmission lines. So I think the equipment itself is grounded and the carriers could probably speak to it better, but seemingly has no impact to either transmission function or the RF transmission function for the carriers' output.

1 MR. SILVESTRI: Again, I was more 2 interested in the safety aspect for anybody that 3 might be on the platform doing maintenance work or 4 whatever it may be. 5 THE WITNESS (Gaudet): I think the 6 clearances here, you know, as far as what the OSHA 7 requirements are for clearances for worker safety 8 from transmission lines will all be met based on 9 the positioning of the tower from the transmission 10 lines. 11 MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you, 12 both. 13 And Mr. Morissette, thank you as well. 14 Thank you, Mr. MR. MORISSETTE: 15 Silvestri. I'll just quickly go through the 16 Council and Mrs. Walsh to see if there are any 17 follow-up questions before we move on. 18 Ms. Walsh, any follow-up questions? 19 MS. WALSH: Nothing further. 20 you. 21 Thank you. MR. MORISSETTE: Mrs. 22 Cooley? 23 MRS. COOLEY: Nothing further from me 24 either. Thank you. 25 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Mr.

1 Ouinlan? MR. QUINLAN: No followup. Thank you. 3 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 4 Okay. We'll now continue with cross-examination 5 of the applicant by Dish Wireless, Attorney Patrick. 6 7 MR. PATRICK: No cross-examination from 8 Dish. 9 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 10 Patrick. We'll now continue with 11 cross-examination of the applicant by Verizon 12 Wireless, Attorney Baldwin. 13 MR. BALDWIN: Just a quick question, 14 Mr. Morissette, I guess for Mr. Mead. 15 Mr. Mead, I think you testified that in 16 your opinion there was adequate room within the 17 facility compound for additional generators; is 18 that correct? 19 THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. Yes, 20 that is correct based on the use of diesel 21 generators, yes. 22 MR. BALDWIN: You anticipated my next 23 question. Is there adequate room or would you 24 have to investigate it further, is there adequate 25 room for a propane fuel tank if that was needed?

1 THE WITNESS (Mead): Jason Mead. Yes, that would need to be investigated further. 2 3 MR. BALDWIN: Okay. Thank you. Thank 4 you, Mr. Morissette. 5 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 6 Baldwin. We'll now continue with 7 cross-examination by Attorney Regan. 8 MR. REGAN: No questions, Mr. 9 Morissette. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Mr. 11 Barrett, you had something to add? 12 THE WITNESS (Barrett): Yes. 13 would be to Mrs. Walsh's question regarding the 14 distance from the powerlines. I just received an email from Kevin Waugh at the Connecticut DOT 15 16 Office of Rail. He said that we meet the -- let's 17 see, it says here they generally follow the AREMA 18 standards for clearances. There is no set 19 clearance distance. It changes based on the site 20 and structures. I can't share the AREMA manual 21 under license, but I did attach the older version 22 of the Metro-North clearances. I can't be sure if 23 this is completely up to date at the moment, but 24 the 32-foot clearance at the site should be

25

enough.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you,
Mr. Barrett.

Mrs. Walsh, do you have any follow-up

MS. WALSH: No, thank you.

questions on that response?

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. We'll now continue with the appearance by Dish Wireless, LLC. Will the party present its witness panel for the purpose of taking the oath and Attorney Bachman will administer the oath.

MR. PATRICK: Thank you very much, Mr. Morissette. For the record, my name is Daniel Patrick from the law firm Cuddy & Feder. I am joined today with my corepresentative for DISH, Kristin Motel, not Chris Fisher as indicated in the hearing program.

For the witness panel we are joined today by Pawan Madahar, a radio frequency engineer for Dish Wireless, LLC; Austin Pappas, the senior site acquisition specialist and project manager of Dish Wireless, LLC; and Michael Jones, the president of M&K Development who is joining us via separate Zoom.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney Patrick. Attorney Bachman, will you administer

1 the oath, please. 2 MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. 3 Morissette. Would all the witnesses please raise 4 your right hand. 5 PAWAN MADAHAR, 6 AUSTIN PAPPAS, 7 MICHAEL JONES, 8 having been first duly sworn (remotely) by 9 Ms. Bachman, testified on their oaths as 10 follows: 11 MS. BACHMAN: Thank you. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 13 Bachman. 14 Attorney Patrick, please begin by 15 verifying all the exhibits by the appropriate 16 sworn witnesses. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 MR. PATRICK: Yes. So I would like to 19 offer the exhibits identified as III-B-1 and 2 in 20 the hearing program. And for verification 21 purposes I'll ask our witnesses to respond yes or 22 no to the following questions: Did you prepare or 23 assist in the preparation of the exhibits 24 identified? Pawan Madahar. 25 THE WITNESS (Madahar): Pawan Madahar.

1	Yes.
2	MR. PATRICK: Austin Pappas.
3	THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin. Yes.
4	MR. PATRICK: Michael Jones.
5	THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes.
6	MR. PATRICK: Do you have any updates
7	or corrections to the identified exhibits? Pawan
8	Madahar.
9	THE WITNESS (Madahar): Pawan Madahar.
10	No.
11	MR. PATRICK: Austin Pappas.
12	THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin. No.
13	MR. PATRICK: Michael Jones.
14	THE WITNESS (Jones): No.
15	MR. PATRICK: Is the information
16	contained in the identified exhibits true and
17	accurate to the best of your belief? Pawan
18	Madahar.
19	THE WITNESS (Madahar): Pawan Madahar.
20	No.
21	MR. PATRICK: Austin Pappas.
22	THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin. Yes.
23	MR. PATRICK: Michael Jones.
24	THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes.
25	MR. PATRICK: Pawan, can I ask

1 THE WITNESS (Madahar): Can you please 2 repeat the question? 3 MR. PATRICK: Is the information 4 contained in the identified exhibits true and 5 accurate to the best of your belief? 6 THE WITNESS (Madahar): Yes. 7 MR. PATRICK: Pawan Madahar changes his 8 answer to "yes." 9 Do you adopt these exhibits as your 10 testimony? Pawan Madahar. 11 THE WITNESS (Madahar): Pawan Madahar. 12 Yes. 13 MR. PATRICK: Austin Pappas. 14 THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin. Yes. 15 MR. PATRICK: Michael Jones. 16 THE WITNESS (Jones): Yes. 17 MR. PATRICK: Mr. Morissette, we offer these witnesses and the exhibits for admission and 18 19 cross-examination. 20 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 21 Patrick. Does any party or intervenor object to 22 the admission of Dish Wireless, LLC's exhibits? 23 Attorney Langer. 24 MR. LANGER: No objection from the 25 applicant. Thank you.

1 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney 2 Baldwin. 3 MR. BALDWIN: No objection, 4 Mr. Morissette. 5 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney 6 Regan. 7 MR. REGAN: No objection, Mr. 8 Morissette. 9 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. The 10 exhibits are hereby admitted. 11 (Intervenor Dish Wireless, LLC Exhibits 12 III-B-1 and III-B-2: Received in evidence -13 described in index.) 14 MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now continue 15 with cross-examination of Dish Wireless by the 16 Council starting with Mrs. Walsh followed by 17 Mr. Silvestri. 18 Mrs. Walsh. 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION 20 MS. WALSH: Thank you. Referring to 21 the Council interrogatory response number 8, DISH 22 gives three frequency ranges. How do these ranges 23 interact in DISH's network, is there handoff 24 between the frequencies for the user or once 25 contact is made in one frequency the user stays in

1 that one frequency? 2 THE WITNESS (Madahar): DISH license 3 from the FCC for the AWS and 600 megahertz bands. 4 So these consist separately 600 megahertz is low 5 band coverage and the AWS is mid band. So we are 6 using this mid band for throughput and 600 7 megahertz is coverage. 8 MS. WALSH: I'm sorry, you use 600 9 megahertz for, what was that? 10 THE WITNESS (Madahar): For the 11 coverage we have carrier aggregation with the AWS 12 band to have high throughput. 13 MS. WALSH: And the other two frequency 14 ranges, are those both considered the AWS range, 15 the mid band range? 16 THE WITNESS (Madahar): Yes. 17 MS. WALSH: Okay. And the signal 18 strength that you design to is higher for the mid 19 band range than the low band range; is that 20 correct? 21 THE WITNESS (Madahar): That's correct. 22 MS. WALSH: Referring to the 23 propagation plots in your responses to 24 interrogatories, there's a site that's labeled 25 1135B that's to the southwest of the proposed

```
1
   site. Is that site currently in operation?
2
               THE WITNESS (Madahar): No. And no
3
   site in Connecticut is area (inaudible) --
4
               MS. WALSH: So did you say it was not
5
   in operation?
6
               THE WITNESS (Madahar): Not in
7
   operation.
8
               MS. WALSH: Not in operation. And is
9
   it currently being proposed?
10
               THE WITNESS (Madahar): Yes.
11
               MS. WALSH: What's the status of that
12
   proposal?
13
               THE WITNESS (Madahar): I have to
14
   check. We can get the answers later.
15
               MS. WALSH: Okay. And would DISH use
16
   any alarms or any other type of security measures
17
   for its own equipment cabinets?
18
               THE WITNESS (Madahar): Maybe Austin
19
   can answer that question.
20
               THE WITNESS (Pappas): The one cabinet
21
   that we have proposed will be locked
22
   independently.
23
               MS. WALSH: Okay. And I have nothing
24
   further. Thank you.
25
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs. Walsh.
```

So we have one open question as to the proposed status of the facility questioned by Mrs. Walsh. If we could get a response to that question after the break so we could have a clean record with no open items. That would be very much appreciated.

MR. PATRICK: Yes, we're looking to get that response before the end of the hearing.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. We'll now continue with cross-examination of Dish Wireless by Mr. Silvestri followed by Mrs. Cooley.

Mr. Silvestri.

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr.

Morissette. I'd like to reference the responses
of Dish Wireless to the prehearing interrogatories
dated September 23, 2022, in particular the
response to Question No. 14. And it states that
no back-up generator is proposed by DISH aside
from the battery backup. Why is DISH not
proposing a generator?

THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin Pappas.

So we have a battery pack, battery backup that will be placed there. It has a four-hour capacity. It also has a charging port at some point that we can use a mobile generator pull-in to fill in that backfeed as needed.

MR. SILVESTRI: So if you have to pull in a mobile generator, when would you actually deploy it knowing that you only have a four-hour battery life?

THE WITNESS (Pappas): It would be dependent on what kind of disaster situation it was in, but it could be pulled in on site dependent, within the timeline of the staff that's available. I'd have to get you an exact time for our contractors.

MR. SILVESTRI: I'm curious about that part as well as the spatial requirements for pulling in something mobile. As it stands now, and I'll also pose similar questions to Cellco/Verizon, there's only one generator being proposed and that's by AT&T. So if other carriers have to bring in some type of mobile connection, I don't know what type of space requirements are going to be there to allow all the other carriers to connect to whatever they have to support their battery backup. I don't know if you can answer what type of space requirements you would need to bring in something mobile.

THE WITNESS (Pappas): I don't have specific dimensions. I could get that for you

1 before the end of the hearing, but I don't have 2 specific dimensions on the model that we use. 3 MR. SILVESTRI: Again, what I'm trying 4 to prevent is some type of catastrophe that I've 5 been involved with in my area where cells go down 6 and there is no back-up power at all. So the last 7 question I'm going to pose to you, are you 8 amenable to installing a standby generator? 9 THE WITNESS (Pappas): It would be 10 something DISH would be open to. I'd have to 11 discuss it with partners, but it would be 12 something that we'd be open to discussing for 13 sure. 14 MR. SILVESTRI: All right. Thank you 15 for the response. 16 Mr. Morissette, that's all I have. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 19 Silvestri. We'll now continue with 20 cross-examination by Mrs. Cooley followed by Mr. 21 Quinlan. 22 Mrs. Cooley. 23 MRS. COOLEY: Thank you, Mr. 24 My questions were also centered Morissette. 25 around the question about why DISH has not

1 proposed a back-up generator. I think the Council 2 in many applications has questioned the need for 3 back-up generators and has shown that we would prefer that as a backup. So I think that's 4 5 definitely something that DISH should consider. I 6 was happy to hear that that is something they're 7 amenable to. But other than than, I don't have 8 any further questions. 9 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, 10 Mrs. Cooley. We'll now continue with 11 cross-examination by Mr. Quinlan followed by Mr. 12 Golembiewski. 13 Mr. Quinlan. 14 MR. QUINLAN: I'd like to get a 15 response on that. So if you could get back to us 16 on what they're, if they're willing to do that and 17 have at least a three-day back-up power capacity. 18 That's what I would ask for. 19 THE WITNESS (Pappas): Absolutely, yes. 20 Understood. 21 MR. QUINLAN: Thank you. That's all my 22 questions. 23 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you, 24 Mr. Quinlan. And let's see here, I have no

further questions beyond what's been asked

25

1 already. 2 So we have three open questions that 3 we'd like to have answered after the break, if 4 possible. One is the proposed site that Mrs. 5 Walsh asked about and it's what is the status of 6 the proposed site that she mentioned, is there 7 space, and how long would it take to get a mobile 8 generator asked by Mr. Silvestri, and to confirm 9 the willingness of installing a back-up generator 10 asked by Mr. Quinlan. 11 Very good. We will now continue with 12 cross-examination of DISH by the applicant, 13 Attorney Langer. 14 MR. LANGER: No cross-examination by 15 the applicant. Thank you. 16 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. We'll continue with cross-examination by Attorney 17 18 Baldwin. 19 Attorney Baldwin. 20 MR. BALDWIN: I have no questions, Mr. 21 Morissette. Thank you. 22 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll 23 continue cross-examination by Attorney Regan. 24 Attorney Regan? 25 MR. REGAN: No questions, Mr.

1 Morissette.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you, everyone. We'll continue with the appearance of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless. Will the party present its witness panel for the purpose of taking the oath, and Attorney Bachman will administer the oath.

MR. BALDWIN: Thank you, Mr.

Morissette. Again, Kenneth Baldwin with Robinson & Cole on behalf of Cellco Partnership doing business as Verizon Wireless as an intervenor in this proceeding. Our witness panel consists of Tony Befera, principal engineer, real estate/regulatory with Verizon Wireless, and Shiva Gadasu who's a radio frequency design engineer with Verizon Wireless, and we offer them to be sworn at this time.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney Baldwin.

Attorney Bachman, please administer the oath.

MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr.

Morissette. Will the witnesses please raise their right hand.

1 SHIVA GADASU, 2 ANTHONY BEFERA, 3 having been first duly sworn (remotely) by 4 Ms. Bachman, testified on their oaths as 5 follows: 6 Thank you. MS. BACHMAN: 7 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 8 Bachman. 9 Please begin by verifying the exhibits 10 by the appropriate sworn witnesses. Attorney 11 Baldwin. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 MR. BALDWIN: We have two exhibits to 14 They're listed in the hearing program offer. 15 under Roman V [sic], Verizon's request to 16 intervene and, more importantly, the interrogatory 17 responses to the Council's questions, Set One, 18 dated September 21, 2022. 19 I'll ask my witnesses to verify those 20 exhibits. Did you prepare or assist in the 21 preparation of the responses to the 22 interrogatories identified as Exhibit 2 in the 23 hearing program? Mr. Gadasu. 24 THE WITNESS (Gadasu): 25

Mr. Befera.

MR. BALDWIN:

1 THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes. 2 MR. BALDWIN: Do you have any 3 corrections, modifications or amendments to offer 4 to any of those responses? Ms. Gadasu. 5 THE WITNESS (Gadasu): Yes, I do. Ι 6 have a small correction to a response to 7 Interrogatory Question No. 11. The response 8 reads, The current 28 Sidney Street facility, 9 Alpha and Gamma Sectors pointing to the northeast 10 and northwest are in exhaust today. The 11 neighboring site to the west, Stratford West CT 12 Alpha Sector is also in exhaust. Cellco's 13 proposed facility -- this is a correction from 14 here. So Cellco's proposed relocated facility 15 with the additional sector will help eliminate 16 these existing capacity problems which are 700 and 17 850 megahertz. Thank you. 18 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera, any 19 amendments or corrections? 20 THE WITNESS (Befera): No. Thank you. 21 MR. BALDWIN: And is the information 22 contained in those responses true and accurate to 23 the best of your knowledge? Mr. Gadasu. 24 THE WITNESS (Gadasu): 25 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera.

1 THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes. 2 MR. BALDWIN: And do you adopt the 3 information contained in those responses as your 4 testimony in this proceeding? Mr. Gadasu. 5 THE WITNESS (Gadasu): Yes. 6 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Befera. 7 THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes. 8 MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Morissette, I offer 9 them as full exhibits. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 11 Baldwin. Does any party or intervenor object to 12 the admission of Verizon Wireless's exhibits? 13 Attorney Langer. 14 MR. LANGER: No objection. 15 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney 16 Patrick. 17 MR. PATRICK: No objection. Thank you. 18 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. And 19 Attorney Regan. 20 MR. REGAN: Just for the record, I 21 think Mr. Baldwin meant Romans IV, not Romans V. 22 MR. MORISSETTE: Attorney Baldwin. 23 MR. BALDWIN: I thought I said Roman 24 IV. If I said Roman V, I stand corrected. 25 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you.

And thank you, Attorney Regan. The exhibits are hereby admitted.

(Intervenor Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless Exhibits IV-B-1 and IV-B-2: Received in evidence - described in index.)

MR. MORISSETTE: We'll now begin with cross-examination of Verizon Wireless by the Council starting with Mrs. Walsh followed by Mr. Silvestri. Mrs. Walsh.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

MS. WALSH:

site is being relocated?

Regarding the response to Interrogatory No. 11, and maybe I missed it in your correction, it states that the capacity, the sites that are nearby that are near exhaustion would benefit from the capacity relief from the proposed site. How does the relocation of this site help in increasing the capacity to the surrounding sites given that it's using the same frequencies and the

Thank you, Mr. Morissette.

THE WITNESS (Gadasu): This is Shiva
Gadasu from Verizon Wireless. So the proposed
relocation facility will be a 4-sector design as
opposed to the 3-sector design on the existing
billboard. So by adding the fourth sector, you're

1 adding additional capacity to the site which will 2 help with these capacity problems. 3 MS. WALSH: Okay. So it's increasing 4 the range of the sectors and the distance they are 5 pointing to or the direction they're pointing to? 6 THE WITNESS (Gadasu): That is correct. 7 MS. WALSH: Okay. Are the decommissioning costs of the non-tower antenna 8 9 array factored into your estimated project costs? 10 THE WITNESS (Befera): Tony Befera. 11 No, they are not. 12 MS. WALSH: Okay. Do you have an 13 estimation of the cost of decommissioning that 14 non-tower antenna array? 15 THE WITNESS (Befera): It would 16 probably be about \$15,000. It's an expense 17 whereas the development of the replacement 18 facility is capitalized, so it's handled 19 separately. 20 Okay. The site plans show MS. WALSH: that Verizon would install up to 15 antennas. 21 How 22 many would be installed initially? THE WITNESS (Gadasu): This is Shiva 23 24 We are proposing 15 from the initial Gadasu. 25 stage.

1 MS. WALSH: Okay. And given that I believe I read that Verizon currently has a 3 generator at the non-tower antenna location, what 4 were the factors that determined that Verizon does

5 not need a generator at the proposed site?

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS (Befera): Well, we'd like to have a generator at the proposed site, but we're still trying to work through some spatial issues. We're required by our corporate review to restrict the site for diesel against ourselves. So we can't do a diesel generator here. We'd have to do a propane generator here which requires a propane tank with a 10-foot radial spark zone. we're still working trying to work through it with the applicant, but, you know, if there isn't room we're not going to be able to have a permanent generator here.

MS. WALSH: Do you have any idea what space you would need for antennas?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes, we have, you know, proposed drawings drawn out that are being reviewed and tweaked. We're hopeful that it will work out with the applicant that we can have a generator. We want our own generator there for reliability. We just can't do a diesel generator

1 after -- and it's not, you know, Verizon has its 2 own world of protections that they self-impose. 3 And, you know, where the facility is basically 4 next to the train tracks and, you know, our 5 environmental review was such that Verizon is not willing to store any amounts of diesel fuel on the 6 7 Now, don't misunderstand me, any levels of 8 petro carbons that may have been detected that 9 caused Verizon to make that decision are well 10 below the reportable limits, but it's always been 11 our policy that if there's any trace that we would 12 diesel restrict the site and go with a propane 13 generator. 14 MS. WALSH: Okay. So you are saying 15 that you are currently in consultation with the 16 applicant in the hopes of installing a propane 17 generator at the site? 18 THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes. 19 MS. WALSH: Okay. Nothing further. 20 Thank you. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs. Walsh. 22 We'll now continue with cross-examination of 23 Verizon Wireless by Mr. Silvestri and followed by

Mr. Silvestri.

24

25

Mrs. Cooley.

1

2

talking about the generator.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. And thank you, Mrs. Walsh, for

Mr. Befera, I have a couple of follow-up questions on that. The NTAA has a 60-kilowatt diesel generator that's maintained by Cellco. Why do you have it there but you don't want to put one at the proposed location?

THE WITNESS (Befera): I'm not familiar with the location that you're referencing. really has to do with the environmental review and soil samples and all that kind of stuff. So this location that you're referencing must have --

Tony, that's the existing MR. BALDWIN: billboard site. The NTAA is an acronym that the Siting Council adopted through its interrogatories.

THE WITNESS (Befera): Oh, oh, okay. Well, that's because the physical location, you're at the opposite end of the property away from the train tracks, and the environmental review that was done when that site was initially built close to 20 years ago, I believe, didn't raise any concerns at that time. This location, on the other hand, the environmental consultant goes to

the site and sees, oh, it's going to be right next to the train tracks, you know, we suggest you sample soils, and we sampled soils and, you know, nothing, nothing, you know, well below reportable limits anything that was detected, but it was such that our policy is not to store diesel fuel there. You know, when you have a long-term lease at a location, you know, say something happens in the future, somebody has a spill and we're deemed an operator at that site with a leasehold interest, Verizon is very conservative in that regard and don't want to get pulled into something that they have nothing to do with in terms of environmental, safety or hazards or anything like that.

MR. SILVESTRI: I appreciate your response, and I'll leave the litigation part, if you will, to the attorneys. I'm still confused though that if you have a diesel generator that has secondary containment with alarms that are in it, no alarms go off, I don't know how you could be liable for something that's on the ground. But I won't look for an answer at this point, I'll just let that go as a comment.

However, I will ask you with a proposed four-hour battery backup with a CAM-Lock for a

portable generator, when would you actually deploy the generator to come in, the portable one, how much time would it take to reach the site and hook it up, and again, what type of spatial requirements would you be looking at for yours, very similar to the question that I just posed to DISH?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE WITNESS (Befera): Well, similar to DISH's response, it would depend on if it's, you know, an unanticipated power outage or it's an anticipated storm that we know is going to knock things out for an extended period of time. assuming it's an extended period of time so that we actually would bring a portable or attempt to bring a portable generator, ours is kind of like on a mini trailer, they take up about a parking lot spot. We would -- they're going to contract to have them deployed to any site in the New England six states of New England within two hours time. We have them staged in, you know, geographically strategic locations to minimize that time. So our contract is to have it deployed within two hours of request.

MR. SILVESTRI: When you say "request," request by whom?

THE WITNESS (Befera): Request by our operations folks to the contractor that delivers these generators to the sites for us.

MR. MORISSETTE: And when you say the portable generator would be brought in, would that be a diesel generator?

THE WITNESS (Befera): It would be a diesel generator. It's on a trailer and it has, in addition to your double wall tank, it has like a containment on the trailer, like a berm, so to speak, under it on the trailer. So if there was a a mishap of refueling or anything like that, nothing is going to fall on the ground.

MR. SILVESTRI: But the controls that you would have on the trailer, could you also put similar types of controls on a standalone diesel generator and satisfy whatever criteria that you have?

THE WITNESS (Befera): No, my headquarters environmental health and safety team won't allow us to have a permanent diesel generator at this location.

MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. To sum up then, you are amenable to putting a standalone standby generator at the site should the project be

```
1
   approved, but it would have to be propane,
2
   correct?
3
               THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes.
4
               MR. SILVESTRI: Very good. Thank you.
5
   Thank you, Mr. Morissette. I'm all set.
6
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr.
7
   Silvestri. We'll now continue with
8
   cross-examination by Mrs. Cooley followed by Mr.
9
   Ouinlan.
10
               Mrs. Cooley.
11
               MRS. COOLEY: Thank you, Mr.
12
   Morissette. I have no further questions.
13
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs.
14
   Cooley. We'll now continue with cross-examination
   by Mr. Quinlan followed by Mr. Golembiewski.
15
16
   Ouinlan.
17
               MR. QUINLAN: I have no further
18
   questions. Thank you.
19
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr.
20
   Ouinlan. We'll now continue with
21
   cross-examination by Mr. Golembiewski.
22
               Mr. Golembiewski.
23
               MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: I have no questions,
   Mr. Morissette. Thank you.
24
25
               MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. I have a
```

couple questions, first of all, having to do with the relocated facility and having to do with coverage. Would you say that the new location improves coverage? We talked about capacity. But does this new location also improve coverage?

Gadasu. Yes, it does improve coverage because our existing facility on the billboard we have about 69 feet centerline. At the new relocated facility we are at 121 feet centerline which will help increase our coverage from the site and also help increase our coverage to the north of the site where we have been looking for a new macro facility for years now.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. So would you say it's the increase in height that's predominantly driving that or is it the shift to the northeast?

THE WITNESS (Gadasu): Increase in height.

MR. MORISSETTE: It's the height primarily driving it. Okay. Very good. Thank you. My second question is what security measures would be implemented at the site by Verizon?

MR. BALDWIN: Mr. Morissette, do you

1 mean for the Verizon equipment at the site? 2 MR. MORISSETTE: Yes. 3 MR. BALDWIN: Thank you. 4 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. 5 THE WITNESS (Befera): I'm sorry, if 6 that was my question. I apologize. I didn't get 7 it. MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. I'll repeat it. 8 9 What security measures will Verizon implement at 10 the site? 11 THE WITNESS (Befera): Well, we assume 12 that the developer of the site will have it fenced 13 in for security. Our cabinets are locked so no 14 one can get inside them except our own network 15 field engineers. 16 MR. MORISSETTE: Is there any type of 17 alarms on the cabinets that if they're tampered 18 with that alarms would go off to notify? 19 THE WITNESS (Befera): Yes, we have 20 silent alarming on the cabinets that would go to 21 an operations control center in the area, and the 22 field technician on call for that site at that 23 moment in time would be contacted to go to the 24 site. 25 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you.

1 That's all the questions that I have. We'll now 2 continue with cross-examination of Verizon 3 Wireless by the applicant, Attorney Langer. 4 MR. LANGER: No questions, your Honor, 5 on cross. 6 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 7 Langer. We'll now continue with Attorney Baldwin. 8 Attorney Baldwin. 9 MR. BALDWIN: I'm all set, Mr. 10 Morissette. 11 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 12 Baldwin. We'll now continue with 13 cross-examination of Verizon Wireless by Attorney 14 Regan. 15 Attorney Regan? 16 MR. REGAN: No questions, Mr. 17 Morissette. 18 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 19 Okay. At this point we're going to take a 20 12-minute break. And hopefully that gives you, 21 let me see, DISH and Barrett enough time to come 22 up with the responses that are outstanding. 23 will return at 25 of 4, and we'll continue with 24 the appearance of New Cingular Wireless PCS and 25 hopefully have responses to the open questions.

1 So thank you, everyone. We'll see you back here 2 at 25 of 4. 3 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 3:23 p.m. until 3:35 p.m.) 4 5 MR. MORISSETTE: Before we obtain the 6 answers to the open questions, apparently, 7 Attorney Patrick, I did not call upon you to 8 cross-examine Verizon. Would you like to 9 cross-examine Verizon, Attorney Patrick? 10 MR. PATRICK: Thank you, Mr. 11 No. No cross-examination from DISH. Morissette. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 13 Let's run down the open questions that we Okay. 14 The first one is for Barrett having to do have. 15 with a yield point, and Mr. Silvestri asked 16 whether the tower would collapse upon itself. Do 17 you have a response to that question? 18 MR. LANGER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 19 Morissette. I'm going to defer to Mr. Mead on 20 that. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 22 Langer. Mr. Mead. 23 THE WITNESS (Mead): Thank you. Jason 24 So in response to Mr. Silvestri's question, Mead. 25 the question was if we installed a second yield

```
1
   point and if the tower extension location would it
2
   collapse and cause damage upon the equipment
3
          The answer to that is yes.
4
               MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Mr.
5
   Silvestri, do you have any followup?
6
               MR. SILVESTRI: No, that's what I
7
   anticipated, Mr. Morissette. I thank you, and I
8
   thank Mr. Mead.
9
               THE WITNESS (Mead): Thank you.
10
               MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you.
11
   And the second set of questions have to do with
12
   DISH.
          The first question was the proposed, the
13
   proposed site identified by Mrs. Walsh and what
14
   the status of that site is.
15
               MR. PATRICK: Mr. Morissette, I'll
16
   defer to Austin Pappas.
17
               THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin.
18
   proposed site at 1135, that has, it's a rooftop
19
   build, and it is not filed with the municipality
20
   but it is on schedule to be filed this month.
21
               MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Mrs.
22
   Walsh, any followup?
23
               MS. WALSH: Do you have an address and
24
   height for that proposed facility?
25
               THE WITNESS (Pappas): We do.
```

1 Austin. Pawan is going to pull up the information 2 now for that as well. 3 MR. MORISSETTE: I'm sorry, could you 4 repeat that, please? 5 THE WITNESS (Pappas): Sure. We need to pull the drawings for the adjacent site that's 6 7 being referenced, so Pawan is pulling up that 8 information on his laptop right now. 9 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Very good. 10 We'll continue on and when you get that if you 11 could let us know. 12 The next set of questions, one was from 13 Mr. Silvestri relating to the mobile generator, 14 how long would it take to dispatch it, and is 15 there enough space at the proposed site for the 16 mobile generator to be parked, I'll call it. 17 THE WITNESS (Pappas): Austin. So it 18 would be a mobile generator. It is approximately 19 105 by 68 by 56. It takes about two hours to get 20 brought to the facility by a tech. It lasts about 21 eight hours. And we send techs every eight hours 22 to check on the temp generator. 23 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. 24 Mr. Silvestri, any followup? 25

MR. SILVESTRI: Just one. At that

1 point would you actually deploy the mobile 2 generator? 3 THE WITNESS (Pappas): It's deployed 4 based on the disaster. So depending on the 5 situation, we would work with the group of 6 contractors to make sure that it's facilitated for 7 that scenario. 8 MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Thank you. 9 Thank you, Mr. Morissette. 10 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 11 Silvestri. 12 And the final question posed by Mr. 13 Quinlan, is DISH willing to install a permanent 14 generator with a three-day run time. THE WITNESS (Pappas): Not at this 15 16 time. The back-up generator solution is what DISH 17 is looking to propose for the area and for this 18 location. 19 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you 20 for your responses. And we'll go back to Mrs. 21 Walsh's question. Do you have information she's 22 looking for? 23 THE WITNESS (Pappas): Yes. So the 24 site address is 2399 Main Street, Stratford. The 25 height, I'm looking for it now, I'm sorry. Pawan,

it's not proposed on this sheet. The centerline of the antennas will be 49 feet.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you.
Mrs. Walsh, anything else?

MS. WALSH: No, nothing further. Thank you.

MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you. And thank you, everyone, for scrambling to get those questions cleared up so we could have them answered at today's hearing.

Very good. We'll now continue with the appearance or we'll start with the appearance of New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC doing business as AT&T. Will the party present its witness panel for the purpose of taking the oath, and Attorney Bachman will administer the oath.

MR. REGAN: Thank you, Mr. Morissette. For the record, Tom Regan from Brown Rudnick, LLP here today representing New Cingular Wireless doing business as AT&T. I would like to present our panel, which is Martin Lavin, our RF engineer from C Squared, and Dan Bilezikian, our site acquisition specialist from SAI. I would ask that they be sworn at this time.

MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney

1 Regan. 2 Attorney Bachman, please administer the 3 oath. 4 MS. BACHMAN: Thank you, Mr. 5 Morissette. Can the witnesses please raise your 6 right hand. 7 MARTIN J. LAVIN, 8 DANIEL BILEZIKIAN, 9 having been first duly sworn (remotely) by 10 Ms. Bachman, testified on their oaths as 11 follows: 12 Thank you. MS. BACHMAN: MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 13 14 Bachman. 15 Attorney Regan, could you please begin 16 by verifying all the exhibits by the appropriate 17 sworn witness. 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 MR. REGAN: Yes, absolutely. So we 20 have four exhibits today which are are listed on 21 the hearing program as Roman Numeral V-B-1 through 22 4. I would ask each of my witnesses, I would ask 23 my witnesses to verify the exhibits. 24 Mr. Lavin, will you please answer the 25 following questions: Did you prepare or assist in

```
1
   the preparation of these exhibits?
2
               THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin Lavin.
3
   Yes.
4
               MR. REGAN: Are there any corrections,
5
   modifications or clarifications to any of these
6
   exhibits?
7
               THE WITNESS (Lavin): Yes, one
8
   clarification, typo on our interrogatory
9
   responses, attachment C, the second plot. The
10
   legend should read 1900 megahertz, not 700.
11
               MR. REGAN: Thank you, Mr. Lavin. As
12
   modified, are these exhibits true and accurate to
13
   the best of your knowledge?
14
               THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin Lavin.
15
   Yes.
16
               MR. REGAN: And do you accept these as
17
   your testimony here today?
               THE WITNESS (Lavin): Martin Lavin.
18
19
   Yes.
20
                           Thanks. Mr. Bilezikian,
               MR. REGAN:
21
   will you answer the following questions. Did you
22
   prepare or assist in the preparation of these
23
   exhibits?
24
               THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): I did.
25
               MR. REGAN: Are there any corrections,
```

1 modifications or clarifications to any of these 2 exhibits? 3 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): No. 4 MR. REGAN: Are these exhibits true and 5 accurate to the best of your knowledge? 6 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Yes. 7 MR. REGAN: And do you accept these 8 exhibits as your testimony here today? 9 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): I do. 10 MR. REGAN: Thank you. Mr. Morissette, I would ask that AT&T's Exhibits 1 through 4 be 11 12 admitted as full exhibits. 13 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Attorney 14 Regan. Does any party or intervenor object to the 15 admission of AT&T's exhibits? Attorney Langer. 16 MR. LANGER: No objection from the 17 applicant. Thank you. 18 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. Attorney 19 Patrick. 20 MR. PATRICK: No objection. Thank you. 21 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. And 22 Attorney Baldwin. 23 MR. BALDWIN: No objection. 24 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. The 25 exhibits are hereby admitted.

1 (Intervenor New Cingular Wireless PCS, 2 LLC d/b/a AT&T Exhibits V-B-1 through V-B-4: 3 Received in evidence - described in index.) 4 MR. MORISSETTE: We will now begin with 5 cross-examination of AT&T by the Council, starting 6 with Mrs. Walsh followed by Mr. Silvestri. 7 Mrs. Walsh. 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 MS. WALSH: Thank you. Referring to 10 the response to Interrogatory No. 10 regarding 11 FirstNet subscribers, the response lists the 12 FirstNet subscribers in Bridgeport and Stratford. 13 By "subscribers," does it mean the number of 14 individuals within each entity such as the fire 15 department or the police station rather than the 16 emergency entity as a whole? 17 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): No, it means 18 the number of people who have subscribed who have 19 an account. It doesn't mean the individual within 20 an organization that is a subscriber. 21 MS. WALSH: The number of users of the 22 system? 23 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Correct. 24 Okay. At what frequencies MS. WALSH: 25 would AT&T provide 5G services initially?

1 THE WITNESS (Lavin): Excuse me. This 2 is Martin Lavin. I can get that answer up here in 3 just a moment. I just have to look at our radio 4 frequency layout for the site. I'm just opening 5 it here. 5G services will be offered at 850 6 megahertz and only at 850 megahertz. It would be 7 our 5G, not our 5G Plus. 8 MS. WALSH: Okay. Referring to, Mr. 9 Lavin, to your prefile testimony, the Question No. 10 4, the response states there's a coverage 11 deficiency with nonreliable service for calls and 12 data services. Are calls still a measure for 13 reliability for AT&T? 14 THE WITNESS (Lavin): They do not drive 15 our network expansion. They're a very small 16 portion of our traffic. The overwhelming majority 17 is high speed data as opposed to voice services. 18 MS. WALSH: Okay. And for AT&T, would 19 any alarms or other type of security measures be 20 used on your equipment cabinets at the site? 21 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Dan 22 Bilezikian. Yes, the equipment is alarmed 23 connected back to the network operations center. 24 MS. WALSH: I have nothing further. 25 Thank you.

1 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs. Walsh. 2 We'll now continue with cross-examination of AT&T 3 by Mr. Silvestri followed by Mrs. Cooley. 4 Mr. Silvestri. 5 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you, Mr. 6 Morissette. From what I see, you're proposing 7 both battery backup as well as a 15-kilowatt 8 generator. So should power go out, what actually 9 kicks in first, is it the batteries or is it the 10 generator? 11 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Generator. 12 MR. SILVESTRI: The generator kicks in 13 first. So once the generator would die, so to 14 speak, you still have a couple hours with the 15 batteries before you'd lose everything? 16 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Correct. 17 MR. SILVESTRI: Thank you. And do you 18 have an estimate for the cost of the 15-kW 19 generator? 20 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): I don't have 21 a figure for that, no. 22 MR. SILVESTRI: Okay. Thank you. 23 Thank you, Mr. Morissette. That's all I have. 24 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 25 Silvestri. We'll now continue with

1 cross-examination by Mrs. Cooley followed by Mr. 2 Ouinlan. 3 Mrs. Cooley. 4 MRS. COOLEY: Thank you, Mr. 5 Morissette. I have no further questions. Thanks. 6 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mrs. 7 Cooley. Now we'll continue with cross-examination 8 by Mr. Quinlan followed by Mr. Golembiewski. Mr. Quinlan. 10 MR. QUINLAN: No additional questions. 11 Thank you. 12 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you, Mr. 13 Quinlan. 14 Mr. Golembiewski. 15 MR. GOLEMBIEWSKI: I have no questions 16 of AT&T. Thank you. 17 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. I just 18 have one clarifying question. AT&T is not on the 19 billboard; is that correct? 20 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Dan Bilezikian. Yes, that's correct. 21 22 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. So that's why 23 it appears that your coverage improves quite a bit 24 and therefore that's the reason. That's correct, 25 right?

1 THE WITNESS (Bilezikian): Yes. 2 MR. MORISSETTE: Okay. Very good. 3 That's all the questions that I have. We will 4 continue with cross-examination of AT&T by the 5 applicant, Attorney Langer. 6 MR. LANGER: No cross from me. Thank 7 you. 8 MR. MORISSETTE: Thank you. We'll now 9 continue with cross-examination by Dish Wireless, 10 Attorney Patrick? 11 MR. PATRICK: No cross-examination. 12 Thank you. 13 Thank you. We'll now MR. MORISSETTE: 14 continue with cross-examination of AT&T by Verizon 15 Wireless, Attorney Baldwin. 16 MR. BALDWIN: No questions, Mr. 17 Morissette. Thank you. 18 MR. MORISSETTE: Very good. Thank you, 19 everyone. I do not believe we have any open 20 questions so we have completed our hearing for 21 this afternoon. Therefore, the Council will 22 recess until 6:30 p.m., at which time we will 23 commence with the public comment session of this 24 remote public hearing. I want to thank you once 25

again, everyone, and we will see you at 6:30.

```
1
    Thank you, everyone.
2
                  (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at
3
    3:50 p.m.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE FOR REMOTE HEARING I hereby certify that the foregoing 85 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original stenotype notes taken before the CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL of the REMOTE PUBLIC HEARING IN RE: DOCKET NO. 511, BARRETT OUTDOOR COMMUNICATIONS APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE, AND OPERATION OF A TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY LOCATED AT 200 EAST MAIN STREET REAR, STRATFORD, CONNECTICUT, which was held before JOHN MORISSETTE, PRESIDING OFFICER, on October 6, 2022. Yisa Wallell Lisa L. Warner, CSR 061 Court Reporter

1	INDEX
2	COUNCIL'S ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE ITEMS I-B-1
3	through I-B-79: RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE ON PAGE 8.
4	APPLICANT'S WITNESSES: (Sworn on page 10) JOHN E. BARRETT
5	CHUCK REGULBUTO DEAN GUSTAFSON
6	JASON R. MEAD BRIAN GAUDET
7	EXAMINERS: PAGE
8	Mr. Langer (Direct) 10 Ms. Walsh (Start of Cross) 14
9	Mr. Silvestri 22,39 Mr. Golembiewski 29,39
10	Mr. Morissette 35
11	Mr. Baldwin 42
12	APPLICANTS' EXHIBITS (Received in evidence)
13	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
14	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE II-B-1 Application for a Certificate of 14 Environmental Compatibility and Public
15	Need, filed by Barrett Outdoor Communications, received July 25, 2022,
16	and attachments
17	II-B-2 Applicant's affidavit of 14 Publication, dated July 29, 2022
18	II-B-3 Applicant's sign posting affidavit, 14
19	dated September 9, 2022
20	<pre>II-B-4 Applicant's responses to Council 14   interrogatories, Set One, dated</pre>
21	September 22, 2022
22	
23	
24	
25	

_				
1	Index: (Cont'd)			
2				
3	DISH WIRELESS, LLC WITNESSES: (sworn on page 45) PAWAN MADAHAR			
4	AUSTIN PAPPAS MICHAEL JONES			
5	EXAMINERS: PAGE			
6	Mr. Patrick (Direct) 45 Ms. Walsh (Start of cross) 48,73			
7	Mr. Silvestri 51,74 Mr. Quinlan 54			
8				
9	DISH WIRELESS, LLC EXHIBITS (Received in evidence)			
10	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE			
11	III-B-1 DISH's request to intervene, dated 48			
12	July 27, 2022			
13	<pre>III-B-2 DISH's responses to Council 48   interrogatories, Set One, dated   September 23, 2022</pre>			
	* * *			
15	CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS			
16	WITNESSES: (Sworn on page 57) SHIVA GADASU			
17	ANTHONY BEFERA			
18	EXAMINERS: PAGE			
19	Mr. Baldwin (Direct) 57 Ms. Walsh (Start of cross) 60			
20	Mr. Silvestri 64 Mr. Morissette 68			
21	CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS EXHIBITS			
22	(Received in evidence) EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE			
23	<pre>IV-B-1 Verizon's request to intervene, 60   dated August 9, 2022</pre>			
24	IV-B-2 Verizon's responses to Council 60			
25	interrogatories, Set One, dated September 21, 2022			

1	Index: (Cont'd)	
2		
3	NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC D/B/A AT&T WITNESSES: (Sworn on page 77)	
4	MARTIN J. LAVIN DANIEL BILEZIKIAN	
5	EXAMINERS:	PAGE
6	Mr. Regan (Direct) Ms. Walsh (Start of cross) Mr. Silvestri	77 80 82
7	Mr. Morissette	83
8	NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC D/B/A AT&T E (Received in evidence)	XHIBITS
10	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION  V-B-1 AT&T's Request to Intervene,	PAGE 80
11	dated August 10, 2022	
12	V-B-2 AT&T's responses to Council interrogatories, Set One, dated September 20, 2022	80
13	V-B-3 AT&T's pre-filed testimony of Martin J. Lavin, dated September 29, 2022	80
15	V-B-4 AT&T's pre-filed testimony of	80
16	Daniel Bilezikian, dated September 29, 2022	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
1		