# STATE OF CONNECTICUT CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

# IN RE:

APPLICATION BY ARX WIRELESS INFRASTRUCTURE, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AND PUBLIC NEED FOR THE CONSTRUCTION, MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF A WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY AT 43 OSGOOD AVENUE, NEW BRITAIN, CONNECTICUT DOCKET NO. 503

August 26, 2021

# RESPONSES OF INTERVENOR NEW CINGULAR WIRELESS PCS, LLC <u>d/b/a AT&T TO CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL'S</u> REQUEST FOR LATE-FILED EXHIBITS

In a memorandum dated July 21, 2021, the Connecticut Siting Council (the "Council") requested that AT&T submit the following information as late-filed exhibits.

1. Provide the sum of the existing 700 MHz secondary roadway coverage gaps that are associated with 3.9 miles of proposed incremental secondary road coverage noted on page 4 of the Radio Frequency Analysis Report under Tab E of the Application;

*Response:* The following table represents the new coverage to secondary roads as noted on page 4 of the Radio Frequency Analysis Report under Tab E of the Application. Due to rounding, the length in miles below totals 3.6 miles.

Street Name	Length (miles)
Eddy Glover Blvd	0.9
Commonwealth	0.4
Ave	0.4
Lasalle St	0.3
McClintock St	0.2
Governor St	0.2
Osgood Ave	0.2
High St	0.1
Lawlor St	0.1
Worthington St	0.1
Wainwright Dr	0.1
Walker Rd	0.1
Lasalle Ct	0.1
Patton Rd	0.1

Francis St	0.1
Curtin St	0.1
Hawthorne St	0.1
Miller St	0.1
Tremont St	0.1
Highview Ave	0.1
Lucyan St	0.1

2. Explain why the proposed facility would not fully cover the area to the east in the vicinity of Eddy Glover Boulevard; and

Response: As depicted on the attached Exhibit "A" entitled "Terrain Profile – Proposed Site to Corner of Allen and Derby" (the "Profile"), there is a hill which blocks AT&T's signal from effectively providing extensive coverage to the east of 43 Osgood Avenue, New Britain, CT, especially in the vicinity of Allen Street and McClintock Street approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile southeast of the proposed site.

3. Explain if a telecommunications facility at 52 Derby Street, New Britain would meet AT&T's wireless service objectives or not.

Response: We hereby incorporate herein our response to item 2 above. A hypothetical telecommunications facility at 52 Derby Street, New Britain, CT would be approximately 150' lower in elevation that the proposed telecommunications facility at 43 Osgood Avenue, New Britain, CT. As depicted in the attached Profile, there is a hill between the two locations that prevents continuous coverage and therefore would not meet AT&T's wireless service objectives.

Respectfully submitted,

New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC

By: <u>/s/ Thomas J. Regan</u>

Thomas J. Regan, Esq. Brown Rudnick LLP 185 Asylum Road Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 509-6527 tregan@brownrudnick.com

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this day, August 26, 2021, an electronic copy of the foregoing was sent to the Connecticut Siting Council and:

David A. Ball, Esq. Philip C. Pires, Esq. Cohen & Wolf, P.C. 1115 Broad Street Bridgeport, CT 06604 (203) 368-0211 dball@cohenandwolf.com ppires@cohenandwolf.com

Joseph E. Skelly, Jr., Esq. Office of Corporation Counsel City of New Britain 27 West Main Street New Britain, CT 06051 (860) 826-3420 Joseph.Skelly@newbritainct.gov

> <u>/s/ Thomas J. Regan</u> Thomas J. Regan, Esq.

cc: Brian Leyden, AT&T Lynn Brady, AT&T Edward D. Pare, Jr. SAI Group, LLC C Squared Systems, LLC

64139251 v2-WorkSiteUS-024519/1567

# EXHIBIT A

**5**\*

