

SUMMONS - CIVIL

JD-CV-1 Rev. 2-22
C.G.S. §§ 51-346, 51-347, 51-349, 51-350, 52-45a, 52-48, 52-259;
P.B. §§ 3-1 through 3-21, 8-1, 10-13

For information on
ADA accommodations,
contact a court clerk or
go to: www.jud.ct.gov/ADA.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
SUPERIOR COURT
www.jud.ct.gov



Instructions are on page 2.

- Select if amount, legal interest, or property in demand, not including interest and costs, is LESS than \$2,500.
- Select if amount, legal interest, or property in demand, not including interest and costs, is \$2,500 or MORE.
- Select if claiming other relief in addition to, or in place of, money or damages.

TO: Any proper officer

By authority of the State of Connecticut, you are hereby commanded to make due and legal service of this summons and attached complaint.

Address of court clerk (Number, street, town and zip code) 95 Washington Street, Hartford, CT 06106		Telephone number of clerk (860) 548 - 2700	Return Date (Must be a Tuesday) March 12, 2024
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judicial District <input type="checkbox"/> Housing Session	G.A. Number: _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Number: _____	At (City/Town) Hartford	Case type code (See list on page 2) Major: M Minor: 90

For the plaintiff(s) enter the appearance of:

Name and address of attorney, law firm or plaintiff if self-represented (Number, street, town and zip code) William Tong, AG By: Lori DiBella, Deputy Section Chief for Env., 165 Capitol Ave., Hartford 06106		Juris number (if attorney or law firm) 421262
Telephone number (860) 808 - 5250	Signature of plaintiff (if self-represented)	

The attorney or law firm appearing for the plaintiff, or the plaintiff if self-represented, agrees to accept papers (service) electronically in this case under Section 10-13 of the Connecticut Practice Book. Yes No

E-mail address for delivery of papers under Section 10-13 of the Connecticut Practice Book (if agreed)
lori.dibella@ct.gov

Parties	Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) and address of each party (Number; street; P.O. Box; town; state; zip; country, if not USA)		
First plaintiff	Name: Dykes, Katherine S., Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection	Address: Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, 79 Elm Street, Hartford, CT 06106	P-01
Additional plaintiff	Name:	Address:	P-02
First defendant	Name: The United Illuminating Company	Address: 100 MARSH HILL ROAD, ORANGE, CT 06477	D-01
Additional defendant	Name: Agent for service: Corporation Service Company, Goodwin Square,	Address: 225 Asylum Street, 20th Floor, Hartford, CT 06103	D-02
Additional defendant	Name:	Address:	D-03
Additional defendant	Name:	Address:	D-04
Total number of plaintiffs: 1		Total number of defendants: 1	<input type="checkbox"/> Form JD-CV-2 attached for additional parties

Notice to each defendant

1. **You are being sued.** This is a summons in a lawsuit. The complaint attached states the claims the plaintiff is making against you.
2. To receive further notices, you or your attorney must file an *Appearance* (form JD-CL-12) with the clerk at the address above. Generally, it must be filed on or before the second day after the Return Date. The Return Date is not a hearing date. You do not have to come to court on the Return Date unless you receive a separate notice telling you to appear.
3. If you or your attorney do not file an *Appearance* on time, a default judgment may be entered against you. You can get an *Appearance* form at the court address above, or on-line at <https://jud.ct.gov/webforms/>.
4. If you believe that you have insurance that may cover the claim being made against you in this lawsuit, you should immediately contact your insurance representative. Other actions you may take are described in the Connecticut Practice Book, which may be found in a superior court law library or on-line at <https://www.jud.ct.gov/pb.htm>.
5. If you have questions about the summons and complaint, you should talk to an attorney.

The court staff is not allowed to give advice on legal matters.

Date 01/25/2024	Signed (Sign and select proper box) 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commissioner of Superior Court <input type="checkbox"/> Clerk	Name of person signing LORI D. DIBELLA
---------------------------	---	--	--

If this summons is signed by a Clerk: a. The signing has been done so that the plaintiff(s) will not be denied access to the courts. b. It is the responsibility of the plaintiff(s) to ensure that service is made in the manner provided by law. c. The court staff is not permitted to give any legal advice in connection with any lawsuit. d. The Clerk signing this summons at the request of the plaintiff(s) is not responsible in any way for any errors or omissions in the summons, any allegations contained in the complaint, or the service of the summons or complaint.	<i>For Court Use Only</i> File Date

I certify I have read and understand the above:	Signed (Self-represented plaintiff)	Date	Docket Number
---	-------------------------------------	------	---------------

RETURN DATE: MARCH 12, 2024

KATHERINE S. DYKES	:	SUPERIOR COURT
COMMISSIONER OF ENERGY	:	
AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	:	
	:	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
v.	:	OF HARTFORD
	:	at Hartford
	:	
THE UNITED ILLUMINATING	:	
COMPANY	:	JANUARY 25, 2024

COMPLAINT

COUNT ONE (Failure to Comply with Partial Consent Order COWSPCB 15-001)

1. The Plaintiff is the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection (hereinafter “the Commissioner”) of the State of Connecticut and, as such, is charged with the supervision and enforcement of the statutes and regulations of the State of Connecticut respecting the environment, including Chapter 446K of the General Statutes governing water pollution control; and, is generally empowered by virtue of Conn. Gen. Stat. § 22a-6(a)(3) to institute all legal proceedings necessary to enforce statutes, regulations, permits or orders administered, adopted, or issued by the Commissioner.
2. The Defendant, The United Illuminating Company (hereinafter “Defendant”), is a specially chartered Connecticut corporation with a principal place of business located at 100 Marsh Hill Road, Orange, Connecticut.
3. On or about April 8, 2013, the Commissioner issued Unilateral Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 to the Defendant and other parties regarding contamination at the former

power plant known as “English Station” located on an approximately 8.9 acre parcel of land located at 510 A Grand Avenue and 510 Grand Avenue in New Haven, Connecticut and presently described in two deeds recorded at page 65 volume 9800, and page 53 volume 9800 in the City of New Haven Land Records (the “Site”).

4. On or about August 4, 2016, in administrative proceedings before the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection’s (“DEEP’s”) Office of Adjudications in the docket opened on Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001, a Final Decision was issued by the Commissioner’s designee approving, inter alia, Partial Consent Order COWSPCB 15-001 (the “Partial Consent Order” or “PCO”) as a partial resolution of the claims between the Commissioner and the Defendant, whereby Defendant was ordered to remediate the Site, English Station, in compliance with the terms of the PCO. A certified copy of Final Decision with the Partial Consent Order located within Appendix 2 thereto, is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit A, and incorporated herein.

5. The Partial Consent Order required the Defendant, among other things, to do all of the following:

- a. Use best efforts to submit to the Commissioner all documents required by this order in a complete and approvable form, for which any noncompliance or delay will specifically not be excused. (Paragraph B.10. of the Partial Consent Order);
- b. Conduct the investigation and cleanup of the Site in accordance with the detailed steps specified at Paragraph B.1. of the Partial Consent Order;
- c. Maintain security at the site at a level approved by the Commissioner from the access date until such time as all the on-site remediation requirements under Section B.1. are met and a certificate of compliance under Section B.7. is issued by the Commissioner. (Paragraph B.3. of the Partial Consent Order);

- d. Complete the onsite cleanup within three years of the access date defined in Section B.5. unless a later completion date is specified in writing by the Commissioner. (Paragraph B.1. of the Partial Consent Order);
 - e. Evaluate the alternatives for remedial actions to abate on-site pollution and impacts for industrial/commercial use of the site, including but not limited to any alternatives specified by the Commissioner on or before thirty (30) days after the approved date for the completion of the investigation. (Paragraph B.1.e.4. of the Partial Consent Order); and
 - f. Propose a detailed program and schedule to perform the preferred on-site remedial actions, including but not limited to a schedule for applying for and obtaining all permits and approvals required for such remedial actions. (Paragraph B.1.e.9. of the Partial Consent Order).
6. The Defendant has failed to comply with the requirements of the Partial Consent Order in the following ways:
- a. Defendant has failed to make submissions in an approvable format as required by Paragraph B.10. of the Partial Consent Order and this repeated noncompliance has caused delay, which is specifically unexcused under this paragraph. DEEP has issued multiple lengthy comment letters, conditional approvals, and also disapprovals pursuant to Paragraph B.1.f. of the Partial Consent Order, as a result of these unacceptable submissions;
 - b. Defendant failed to follow the specific steps outlined in Paragraph B.1. of the Partial Consent Order when Defendant attempted to skip the submission of a required Soil Remedial Alternatives Analysis, which resulted in DEEP issuing a 2019 disapproval;
 - c. Defendant has failed to maintain adequate site security after the access date pursuant to Paragraph B.3. of the Partial Consent Order, with repeated security breaches and vandalism occurring at the site followed by repeated ineffective responses or lack of necessary updates to security by Defendant including failure to submit a requested modified security plan;
 - d. Defendant has failed to meet the three-year timeframe to complete the onsite cleanup as required by Paragraph B.1. of the Partial Consent Order;
 - e. Defendant has failed to submit an approvable or binding requested evaluation of remedial cleanup alternatives for the main power plant building for the Commissioner's review and approval as required by Paragraph B.1.e.4. of the Partial Consent Order; or, in the alternative, Defendant has failed to complete its

investigation of the main power plant building in a timely fashion under Paragraph B.1. of the Partial Consent Order in order to allow for timely performance of the alternatives analysis for this building; and

f. Defendant has failed to provide the schedule for the remediation of the main power plant building pursuant to paragraph B.1.e.9. of the Partial Consent Order

7. By virtue of the foregoing, the Defendant has committed multiple violations of the Partial Consent Order.

8. The violations of the Partial Consent Order alleged in subparagraphs c, d, e, and f of Paragraph 6 of this Count One of the Complaint are continuing violations.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, in accordance with applicable law, including but not limited to Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 22a-6, 22a-424, 22a-425, 22a-427, 22a-430, 22a-431, 22a-432, 22a-438, 22a-449, 22a-465, and 22a-467, the Plaintiff Commissioner respectfully requests that the Court grant the following relief:

1. A permanent injunction requiring Defendant to comply with all requirements of Partial Consent Order COWSPCB 15-001.
2. A permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from violating the provisions of Partial Consent Order COWSPCB 15-001.
3. A permanent injunction requiring Defendant to take whatever action is necessary to correct and/or remediate the violations that are the subject of this Complaint.
4. A permanent injunction prohibiting the Defendant from violating the provisions of Conn. Gen. Stat. Chapter 446k governing Water Pollution Control.
5. A civil penalty not to exceed Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) per day for each violation of Partial Consent Order COWSPCB 15-001 pleaded in the Complaint.
6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable to effectuate the purposes of this action.


This action is brought by the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection in her official capacity; therefore, she is not liable for any costs.

Dated at Hartford this 25th day of January, 2024.

PLAINTIFF
KATHERINE S. DYKES
COMMISSIONER OF
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

WILLIAM TONG
ATTORNEY GENERAL

BY:



Lori D. DiBella
Deputy Section Chief for Environment
Juris No. 421262
Matthew I. Levine
Section Chief for Environment
Juris No. 414845
Office of the Attorney General
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
Tel. (860) 808-5250
lori.dibella@ct.gov
matthew.levine@ct.gov

VERIFICATION


STATE OF CONNECTICUT)

) ss. Hartford, CT

JANUARY 25, 2024

COUNTY OF HARTFORD)

Personally appeared Lori Saliby, Director of the Emergency Response & Spill Prevention Division of the Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance of the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, the subscriber, and made oath to the truth of the matters contained in the aforesaid complaint.


Lori Saliby

Subscribed and sworn to before me, this 25th day of January, 2024.



Lori D. DiBella
Commissioner of the Superior Court

EXHIBIT A



79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

Receipt # 111843



Instr # 2016-08916

VOL 9481 PG 105

10/04/2016 11:50:27 AM

64 Pages

ORDER

Michael B. Smart City Clerk

OFFICE OF ADJUDICATIONS

IN THE MATTER OF

:

ORDER NO. AOWSPCB 13-001

ASNAT REALTY, ET AL

:

AUGUST 4, 2016

FINAL DECISION

On July 22, 2016, Department staff and Respondents Asnat Realty, LLC, Evergreen Power, LLC, Uri Kaufman and Mehboob Shah (collectively, the "English Station Parties") filed a proposed consent order signed by each party (attached as "Appendix 1"). Also on July 22, 2016, Department Staff and the Respondent United Illuminating Co., Inc. ("UI") filed a proposed partial consent order signed by both parties resolving certain allegations contained in the underlying administrative order that is the subject of this hearing (attached as "Appendix 2"). The time in which to file objections to the proposed consent order and proposed partial consent order has passed, and no objections have been filed. Regs., Conn. State Agencies § 22a-3a-6(1)(2)(B).

Pursuant to Delegation of Authority § VIII, F.4.c.i., Hearing Officers from the Office of Adjudications may issue final decisions in contested cases concerning "enforcement actions brought pursuant to Title 22a of the General Statutes[.]" I therefore accept the proposed consent order and the proposed partial consent order and issue them as my final decision. This concludes this proceeding as to the English Station Parties and as to those allegations against UI resolved by the partial consent order, as set out in section 23 of the partial consent order titled "Effects of Order."

Brendan Schain, Hearing Officer

I certify that this document is a true copy of a record
(original or photocopy, whichever is applicable)
on file at the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection.

Rodriguez
Signature (Your title), Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

SERVICE LIST

Order AOWSPCB 12-001 -- In the Matter of ASNAT Realty, LLC, et al.

<u>Party</u>	<u>Representative(s)</u>
<u>Respondents</u> ASNAT Realty, LLC Evergreen Power, LLC Mehboob H. Shah	Keith R. Ainsworth, Esq. Evans, Feldman & Ainsworth, LLC 261 Bradley St., P.O. Box 1694 New Haven, CT 06507-1694 krainsworth@EFandA-law.com
The United Illuminating Company	Elizabeth C. Batron, Esq. Michael L. Miller, Esq. 242 Trumbull St. Hartford, CT 06103 ecbarton@daypitney.com mlmiller@daypitney.com
Uri Kaufman	Ann M. Catino, Esq. Halloran & Sage, LLP 225 Asylum St. Hartford, CT 06103 catino@halloran-sage.com
Ira Schwartz	John R. Bashaw Reid & Riege One Financial Plaza, 21 st Floor Hartford, CT 06103 jbashaw@rrlawpc.com
Grant Mackay Demolition Company	Ronald W. Zdrojeski, Esq. David McCullough, Esq. Sutherland Asbill & Brennan, LLP The Grace Building, 40 th Floor 1114 Ave. of the Americas New York, NY 10036-7703 ron.zdrojeski@sutherland.com david.mccullough@sutherland.com

DEEP staff

Dept. of Energy and Environmental Protection
Storage Tank and PCB Enforcement Unit
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106

AAG Lori D. Dibella, Esq.
AAG Matthew Levine, Esq.
AAG Sharon Seligman, Esq.
55 Elm St., P.O. Box 120
Hartford, CT 06141-0120
lori.dibella@ct.gov
matthew.levine@ct.gov
sharon.seligman@ct.gov

Intervening Party
City of New Haven

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Amber N. Sarno, Esq.
Shipman & Goodwin, LLP
One Constitution Plaza
Hartford, CT 06103-1919
jwilliams@goodwin.com
asarno@goodwin.com

APPENDIX 1

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

v.

*ASNAT REALTY, LLC,
EVERGREEN POWER, LLC,
URI KAUFMAN,
AND MEHBOOB H. SHAH*

COWSPCB 16-003

CONSENT ORDER

- A. The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection ("the Commissioner") finds:
1. United Illuminating Company ("UI") is a regional electric distribution company, established in New Haven, Connecticut in 1899, currently engaged in the purchase, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity and related services to residential, commercial and industrial customers.
 2. UI has a business address of 181 Marsh Hill Road, Orange, Connecticut.
 3. From 1914 until December of 2000, UI owned property located at 510 Grand Avenue in New Haven, Connecticut, (the "Site"). Said Site is presently described in two deeds recorded at page 14 of volume 7814 and page 195 of volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records.
 4. The Site is referred to and known as "English Station." The Site, part of an island in the Mill River, is depicted on the map included as Exhibit A to this Consent Order.
 5. Quinnipiac Energy, LLC, ("Quinnipiac Energy") is a limited liability corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a business address of 1013 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware, 19805.
 6. On or about August 16, 2000, UI transferred the property to Quinnipiac Energy as described in a deed which is recorded at page 72 of Volume 5716 of the City of New Haven land records.
 7. At some point prior to December 9, 2006, the Site was divided into two parcels, Parcel A and Parcel B.
 8. Parcel A is on the northern portion of the Site along Grand Avenue and includes a building known as Station B.
 9. Parcel B is on the southern portion of the Site and includes the former

power generation building known as the Plant.

10. On April 8, 2013, the Commissioner issued Administrative Order # AOWSPCB 13-001 (the "Order") to Respondents ASNAT Realty, LLC, Evergreen Power, LLC, Uri Kaufman, Ira Schwartz, and Mehboob Shah, as well as UJ, Quinnciac Energy, and Grant MacKay Company, Inc. ("Grant MacKay").
11. Respondent ASNAT Realty, LLC ("ASNAT") is a limited liability corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a business address of 220-46 73rd Avenue, Bayside, New York, 11364.¹
12. Respondent Evergreen Power, LLC ("Evergreen") is a limited liability corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with a business address of Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19805.
13. In the Order, the Commissioner alleges that Respondent Uri Kaufman, ("Kaufman"), is a managing member of Respondent ASNAT and Respondent Evergreen and is responsible for the day to day activities of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen including, but not limited to, any decision regarding environmental conditions and demolition activities on the Site, and who, with respect to the Site, (i) has had general and active supervision of the affairs of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (ii) has actively participated in the running of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (iii) has been in a position of responsibility which allows him to influence the company policies and/or activities and the day-to-day operations of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (iv) has had control over the day-to-day operations of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, including their compliance with environmental statutes, regulations, and permits, and (v) has the authority to influence, and did so influence, the actions of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen.
14. In the Order, the Commissioner alleges that Respondent Ira Schwartz, ("Schwartz"), is a managing member of Respondent ASNAT and Respondent Evergreen and is responsible for the day to day activities of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen including, but not limited to, any decision regarding environmental conditions and demolition activities on the Site, and who, with respect to the Site, (i) has had general and active supervision of the affairs of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (ii) has actively participated in the running of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (iii) has been in a position of responsibility which allows him to influence the company policies and/or activities and the day-to-day operations of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (iv) has had control over the day-to-day operations of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, including their compliance with environmental statutes, regulations, and permits, and (v) has the authority

¹ On February 17, 2012, the Commissioner issued a Cease and Desist Order No. CDOWSUST 12-001 to ASNAT, Evergreen Power, LLC, Jacqueline Cohen, Grant Mackay Demolition Company, Grant MacKay Company d/b/a Grant Mackay Demolition Company and Joseph Vendetti. On October 4, 2012 the Hearing Officer issued a final decision on the Cease and Desist Order making it a final order of the Commissioner. In addition to making the findings of the Cease and Desist Order final, the Hearing Officer also substituted Mehboob Shah for Jacqueline Cohen as a responsible party.

to influence, and did so influence, the actions of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen.

15. In the Order, the Commissioner alleges that Respondent Mehboob Shah ("Bobby Shah" or "Shah") is the manager responsible for the day to day activities of Respondent ASNAT and Respondent Evergreen at the Site, including, but not limited to, any decision regarding demolition activities on the Site, who, with respect to the Site, (i) has had general and active supervision of the affairs of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (ii) has actively participated in the running of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (iii) has been in a position of responsibility which allows him to influence the company policies and/or activities and the day-to-day operations of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, (iv) has had control over the day-to-day operations of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen, including their compliance with environmental statutes, regulations, and permits, and (v) has the authority to influence, and did so influence, the actions of Respondents ASNAT and Evergreen.
16. In the Order, the Commissioner alleges that Respondent Kaufman and Respondent Schwartz employed Respondent Shah as property manager and directed his activities on the Site.
17. On May 5, 2005, Quinnipiac Energy entered into a lease agreement with Respondent Evergreen wherein Quinnipiac Energy agreed to lease Parcel A to Respondent Evergreen for a term of ninety-eight (98) years. The lease was recorded in Volume 7254, page 34 of the City of New Haven Land Records.
18. On December 9, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy transferred Parcel A to Respondent Evergreen, as described in a deed which is recorded at page 14 of volume 7814 of the City of New Haven land records.
19. Respondent Evergreen owns the property located at 510 Grand Avenue in New Haven, Connecticut, as described in a deed which is recorded at page 14 of volume 7814 of the City of New Haven land records, known as Parcel A.
20. On December 13, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy transferred Parcel B to Respondent ASNAT, as described in a deed which is recorded at page 195 of volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records.
21. Respondent ASNAT owns the property located at 510 Grand Avenue in New Haven, Connecticut, as described in a deed which is recorded at page 195 of volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records, known as Parcel B.
22. Reports documenting historic site investigation activities identify that the soil and groundwater at the Site are polluted with substances including but not limited to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH), and metals, all at concentrations exceeding the standards established by the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("R.C.S.A.") §§ 22a-133k-1 through 22a-133k-3,

including the Remediation Standard Regulations ("RSRs").

23. In 2002, Advanced Environmental Interface, Inc., ("AEI"), an environmental consulting firm, prepared a Site-Wide PCB Characterization and Cleanup Plan for the Site on behalf of Quinipiac Energy (the "2002 AEI Report").
24. By March of 2005, AEI reported that contamination at the Site was much more widespread than reported in the 2002 AEI Report.
25. On or before May 16, 2005, Respondents Evergreen, ASNAT, Kaufman and Schwartz retained GeoQuest, an environmental consulting firm, and Marc Casslar, GeoQuest's licensed environmental professional, to consult with them regarding environmental conditions at the Site.
26. By December 27, 2006 and continuing thereafter, the Commissioner alleges that Respondents Kaufman and Schwartz instructed AEI as to how to proceed with environmental investigation and remediation at the Site.
27. On or about July 20, 2007, AEI informed Respondent Shah that PCB transformers on the Site must be inspected quarterly and that PCB warning signs must be posted on any areas of the Site where there is the potential for PCB exposure.
28. By November 23, 2007 and continuing thereafter, despite the lack of compliance with the environmental statutes and regulations related to investigation and remediation of the conditions at the Site and without approval from the Commissioner, Respondents Kaufman and Schwartz allowed work to be conducted on the Site that disturbed the hazardous conditions at the Site and further created a source of pollution to the waters of the state.
29. On January 19, 2011, Respondent Shah contracted with United Industrial Services, a division of United Oil Recovery, Inc., ("United"), to remove and dispose of approximately 4,300 gallons of transformer oil from the Site, ("Waste Transformer Oil").
30. On January 17, 2011, Respondent Shah certified, via a Waste Stream Profile Sheet, that the Waste Transformer Oil contained less than two (2) ppm PCBs.
31. On January 19, 2011, a project manager for Respondent ASNAT, certified, via a nonhazardous waste manifest, that the Waste Transformer Oil contained less than forty-nine (49) ppm PCBs.
32. On or about January 20, 2011, United sampled the Waste Transformer Oil prior to off-loading it for disposal and discovered that the Waste Transformer Oil contained in excess of one hundred thousand (100,000) ppm PCBs.

33. To date, five (5) PCB transformers, which contained PCB transformer oil, remain at the Site in the Plant on Parcel B.
34. On or about June 27, 2011, Respondent Evergreen and Respondent ASNAT entered into a contract with Grant MacKay agreeing to allow Grant MacKay access to the Site to demolish the Plant and salvage all metal, equipment and/or other items of value.
35. Since at least June 27, 2011, Grant MacKay had access to the Site and engaged in activities that have disturbed the environmental condition at the Site including but not limited to dismantling activities and metal removal which caused PCB and other pollution to spread throughout the Site and potentially offsite.
36. On February 8, 2012, the Commissioner issued Cease and Desist Order No. CDOWSUST 12-001 ("Cease and Desist Order") to, among others, ASNAT, Evergreen, and Jacqueline Cohen.
37. On October 4, 2012 the Hearing Officer issued a final decision on the Cease and Desist Order making it a final order of the Commissioner. In addition to making the findings of the Cease and Desist Order final, the Hearing Officer also substituted Shah as a responsible party for Jacqueline Cohen.
38. Pursuant to the terms of the final Cease and Desist Order, the Respondents ASNAT, Evergreen and Shah are required to secure the Site and the buildings on the Site and ensure that (1) no activity of any kind takes place on the Site, other than activities necessary for the investigation, removal, and proper disposal of contaminants and remediation of the Site and (ii) no person, other than a person associated with the investigation and remediation activities required under the Cease and Desist Order, emergency response personnel, other public officials or government agents, enters the buildings located on the Site.

CONNECTICUT'S PROPERTY TRANSFER ACT

39. Based on the Site's status as a large quantity hazardous waste generator, it is an "establishment" pursuant to Connecticut's Property Transfer Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 22a- 134 et seq.
40. On December 9, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy transferred Parcel A to Respondent Evergreen, and on December 13, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy transferred Parcel B to Respondent ASNAT.
41. Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-134a(c) states that: "Prior to transferring an establishment, the transferor shall submit to the transferee a complete Form I or a Form II and, no later than ten days after the transfer, shall submit a copy of such Form I or Form II to the commissioner. . . . If the transferor is unable

to submit a Form I or Form II to the transferee, the transferor shall, prior to the transfer, submit a complete Form III or Form IV prepared and signed by a party associated with the transfer to the transferee and, no later than ten days after the transfer, shall submit a copy of such Form III or Form IV to the commissioner. If no other party associated with the transfer of an establishment prepares and signs the proper form as a certifying party, the transferor shall have the obligations for such preparation and signing."

42. Quinnipiac Energy, Respondent Evergreen and Respondent ASNAT were required to comply with Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-134a in connection with the December 2006 property transfers related to the Site.
43. Neither Quinnipiac Energy nor Respondent Evergreen filed with the Commissioner a transfer act filing, as required by Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-134a, regarding the transfer of Parcel A of the Site.
44. Neither Quinnipiac Energy nor Respondent ASNAT filed with the Commissioner a transfer act filing, as required by Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-134a, regarding the transfer of Parcel B of the Site.
45. Section 22a-134a(j) of the General Statutes provides that the "Commissioner may issue an order to any person who fails to comply with any provision of sections 22a-134 to 22a-134e, inclusive, including, but not limited to, any person who fails to file a form . . . or to any person who fails to carry out any activities to which that person agreed in a Form III . . . If no form is filed . . . the Commissioner may issue an order to the transferor, the transferee, or both, requiring a filing."
46. By virtue of the above, Respondents Evergreen and ASNAT have each violated Connecticut's Property Transfer Act, Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 22a-134 *et seq.*

ESTABLISHING A FACILITY, CREATING A CONDITION, AND/OR MAINTAINING A FACILITY OR CONDITION WHICH CAN REASONABLY BE EXPECTED TO CREATE A SOURCE OF POLLUTION TO THE WATERS OF STATE

47. By virtue of the above, from at least December 14, 2006 to present, Respondents Evergreen and ASNAT: have established a facility or created a condition and/or are maintaining a facility or condition which reasonably can be expected to create a source of pollution to the waters of the State; have maintained a discharge of wastes in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. § 22a-427; have initiated, created, originated or maintained an unpermitted discharge in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. § 22a-430; and have disposed of PCBs or PCB containing items, products or materials in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. § 22a-467.
48. By virtue of the above, from at least December 14, 2006 to present, the Commissioner alleges Respondents Kaufman, Schwartz and Shah are

personally liable for the violations of Respondents Evergreen and ASNAT as described in this order.

49. On September 16, 2015, UI signed a Partial Consent Order, # COWSPCB 15-001, whereby UI is required to conduct the investigation and cleanup of the Site in accordance with the terms of the Partial Consent Order. A copy of Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001 is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
50. UI does not currently own, or control access to, the Site. UI requires access, without interference from the Respondents ASNAT, Evergreen, Kaufman, Schwartz and/or Shah necessary to be able to comply with its obligations under Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001, to investigate, remediate, monitor and secure the Site.
51. Pursuant to the terms of Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001, the Commissioner is to endeavor, using all reasonable efforts, to obtain and, if so obtained, use all reasonable efforts to maintain, access to, or control of, the Site, pursuant to a written access agreement, on terms that enable UI to comply with the terms and conditions of Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001 requiring UI to investigate, remediate, monitor and secure the Site.
52. Respondents ASNAT, Evergreen, Kaufman and Shah (together, the "English Station Parties" or the "Respondents") deny and dispute each of the Commissioner's allegations and findings in this Consent Order, and admit no liability hereunder. Nothing in this Consent Order shall be construed as an admission of any liability, an admission of guilt, an admission against interest, or, except for the English Station Parties' waiver of any rights to contest the terms or enforceability of this Consent Order or to contest the terms or issuance of Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001, a waiver of any rights by the English Station Parties, all of which are expressly reserved.
53. The English Station Parties acknowledge that they will not object to issuance of Partial Consent Order, # COWSPCB 15-001, between the Commissioner and UI, as a final order of the Commissioner, nor will they otherwise challenge any of its terms or request any revisions to Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001.
54. The Commissioner will request that UI supply the Commissioner with either electronic copies or additional paper copies of all studies and remediation plans submitted by UI pursuant to Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001, so that the Commissioner may provide to the English Station Parties said electronic and/or extra paper copies.
55. This Consent Order is contingent upon, and will not become effective until, Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001 becomes a final order of the Commissioner.
56. In accordance with Section 22a-3a-6(l) of the Department's Rules of Practice,

this Consent Order #COWSPCB 16-003 shall supersede and replace Administrative Order AOWSPCB 13-001 as to Respondents ASNAT, Evergreen, Kaufman, and Shah.

B. Now, therefore, with the agreement of Respondents ASNAT, Evergreen, Kaufman, and Shah (collectively, the "Respondents" or the "English Station Parties"), the Commissioner, acting under §§ 22a-6, 22a-134, 22a-134a, 22a-134e, 22a-424, 22a-425, 22a-427, 22a-430, 22a-431, 22a-432, 22a-433, 22a-449, 22a-465, and 22a-467 of the Connecticut General Statutes, orders Respondents as follows:

1. Respondents, and each of them:

a. do presently and shall immediately upon issuance of this Consent Order consent to UI, its officers, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, and other authorized representatives of UI (collectively, "UI") entering and having continued access to the Site in a manner that enables UI to comply with its obligations pursuant to Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001.

b. to the extent UI does not fulfill its obligations under Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001, do presently and shall consent to such other person(s) or entity(ies) conducting investigation and remediation of the Site, their officers, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, and other authorized representatives, entering and having continued access to the Site for purposes of effectuating the investigation and cleanup work described in Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB-15-001.

c. shall immediately upon issuance of this Consent Order refrain from engaging in actions that adversely, substantially and materially affect UI's ability to comply with the obligations under Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001 or otherwise engaging in activities that cause environmental conditions or exacerbate or contribute to existing environmental conditions at the Site that cause a significant increase in costs.

d. to the extent UI does not fulfill its obligations under Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001, shall refrain from engaging in actions that adversely, substantially and materially affect the ability of any such other person(s) or entity(ies) conducting investigation and remediation of the Site to comply with the investigation and clean up work described in Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001.

e. shall agree to the recordation and implementation of an environmental land use restriction or restrictions ("ELUR(s)") affecting the Site on the City of New Haven land records, in accordance with §§ 22a-133n to 22a-133s, inclusive, of the General Statutes, and § 22a-133q-1 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and any deed notice pursuant to 40 CFR Part 761 and the RSRs, as applicable.

f. shall include notice of such ELURs in any sale or lease agreement, or in connection with any other transfer, regarding the Site and shall include terms that expressly condition any such transfer, sale or lease agreement on the transferee's, purchaser's or lessee's (as applicable) agreement to abide by the terms of this Consent Order and to assume all liabilities arising from the failure by such transferee, purchaser or lessee to comply with the ELUR(s); shall condition any transfer, lease or sale of the Site, to the extent such transfer, lease or sale takes place prior to finalization of such ELUR(s), on the subsequent property owner(s) agreement to the recordation and implementation of ELUR(s) affecting the Site on the City of New Haven land records; and shall condition any grant of easement or any other interest regarding the Site so as to require the holder of such easement or interest to subordinate its interest in the Site to any later-recorded ELUR on the Site.

g. shall refrain from violating any applicable provisions of the statutes and regulations of the State of Connecticut governing solid waste and hazardous waste management, water pollution control, and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) management, including Chapters 445, 446d and 446k of the General Statutes.

h. are presently obligated to and shall immediately upon issuance of this Consent Order maintain sufficient security measures at the Site in order to (i) ensure that no activity of any kind takes place on the Site, other than activities necessary for the investigation, removal, and proper disposal of contaminants and remediation of the Site and (ii) ensure that no person, other than a person associated with the investigation and remediation activities required under this order, emergency response personnel, other public officials or government agents, enters the Site and/or the buildings located on the Site. The Respondents shall retain this obligation until the Access Date, as that term is defined in Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001, at which time UI will assume responsibility for site security pursuant to Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001. The Respondents shall resume this obligation and provide sufficient security measures at the Site commensurate with Site risks, as determined by the Commissioner, once UI has completed all actions required by Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001. If, for any reason, UI shall fail to fully comply with its obligations under Section B.1 of Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001, the Respondents shall resume the obligation to maintain sufficient security measures at the Site, in accordance with this subparagraph. Nothing in this Consent Order shall affect the Respondents' ability to dispute the level of security that the Commissioner deems "commensurate with Site risks" once UI has completed all actions required by Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001.

i. do presently and shall immediately upon issuance of this Consent Order consent to Grant MacKay, its officers, agents, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, and other authorized representatives of Grant MacKay entering onto and having access to the Site in connection with the decontamination and removal of any property brought to the Site in connection with Grant MacKay's activities at the Site.

j. in the event that a person(s) or entity(ies) other than the Respondents is required to or agrees to investigate and remediate contamination emanating from the Site to off-site locations, including but not limited to the Mill River, shall consent to allow such person(s) or entity(ies) to enter onto and have continued access to the Site for purposes of effectuating such off-site investigation and remediation.

2. This Order shall apply to and bind ASNAT Realty, LLC, Evergreen Power, LLC, Uri Kaufman, and Mehboob Shah (together, the "English Station Parties"), together with their successors and assigns.

3. Administrative Order #AOWSPCB 13-001. Nothing in this order shall create any obligation on the English Station Parties for contribution or remediation, including the Mill River. However, in the event that Partial Consent Order #COWSPCB 15-001 does not become effective, or UI does not completely or satisfactorily fulfill its obligations thereunder, or the Respondents, or any of them, fail(s) to comply with their obligations under this consent order, the Commissioner may re-initiate enforcement proceedings against Respondents ASNAT, Evergreen, Kaufman, and Shah, or any one(s) of them, to seek, at minimum, the relief set forth in Section B of Administrative Order #AOWSPCB 13-001.

4. Upon issuance of this Consent Order as a final order of the Commissioner, and no later than five (5) business days thereafter: (a) the Commissioner shall withdraw with prejudice and without costs to any party the civil action pending in Connecticut Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford, captioned *Esty v. ASNAT Realty, et al.*, Docket No. HHD-CV-13-6037966-S; (b) pursuant to § 22a-424 of the General Statutes and §§ 22a-3a-2(c)(2)(E) and 22a-3a-2(m) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies the Commissioner shall revoke Administrative Order #AOWSPCB 13-001, captioned *State of Connecticut v. ASNAT Realty, LLC, et al.*, with respect to Respondent Ira Schwartz, for purposes of effectuating this Consent Order only; (c) Kaufman shall withdraw with prejudice and without costs to any party the administrative appeal pending in Connecticut Superior Court, Judicial District at New Britain, captioned *Kaufman v. Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, et al.*, Docket No. HHB-CV-13-6022397-S; and (d) Schwartz shall withdraw with prejudice and without costs to any party the administrative appeal pending in Connecticut Superior Court, Judicial District at New Britain, captioned *Schwartz v. Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection*, Docket No. HHB-CV-13-6022377-S. Upon the Respondents execution of this Consent Order, Schwartz shall deliver to the Commissioner an executed withdrawal of said administrative appeal along with a statement of permission for the Commissioner to file said withdrawal when this Consent Order becomes a final order of the Commissioner. The Commissioner agrees to hold the executed withdrawal and statement of permission to file in escrow until such time as the Consent Order becomes a final order of the Commissioner. The Commissioner's revocation of Administrative Order #AOWSPCB 13-001 with respect to Respondent Ira Schwartz and Schwartz's withdrawal of the administrative appeal pending in Connecticut Superior Court, Judicial District at New Britain, captioned *Kaufman v. Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental*

Protection, et al., Docket No. HHB-CV-13-6022397-S, resolve any and all claims between the Commissioner and Schwartz with respect to the Site. Nothing in this Consent Order shall be read as a waiver or admission by the Respondents of any defenses either previously asserted to date or to be asserted in the future all of which are expressly reserved, except that no such defense(s) may be asserted by Respondents so as to challenge the validity or enforcement of the terms of this Consent Order. The Respondents expressly do not waive any claims they may have against any parties whether previously asserted or to be asserted in the future, except that no such claim(s) may be asserted by Respondents so as to challenge the validity or enforcement of the terms of this Consent Order.

5. Full compliance. Respondents shall not be considered in full compliance with this order until all actions required by this order have been completed as approved and to the Commissioner's satisfaction.

6. Release from UI. The Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001 provides, in Section B.18, that UI "shall make all reasonable efforts to support any effort by the Commissioner to obtain the Required Access . . . Reasonable efforts by [UI] shall include . . . if requested by the Commissioner, a release by [UI] of contribution claims against [ASNAT and Evergreen] in respect of onsite conditions at the Site as long as [ASNAT and Evergreen], on behalf of [themselves] and [their] owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members, also agree to provide a reciprocal general release reasonably acceptable to [UI]." The Commissioner agrees to request that UI supply this release to the English Station Parties, at any time in the future, if such is requested in writing by the English Station Parties. The English Station Parties acknowledge that receipt of such release from UI is, as contemplated by Section B.18 of the UI Consent Order, contingent on ASNAT and Evergreen, on behalf of themselves and their owners, agents, attorneys, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members, providing to UI a reciprocal general release reasonably acceptable to UI.

7. Definitions. As used in this order, "Commissioner" means the Commissioner or a representative of the Commissioner.

8. Dates. The date of "issuance" of this order is the date the order is deposited in the U.S. mail or personally delivered, whichever is earlier. The date of submission to the Commissioner of any document required by this order shall be the date such document is received by the Commissioner. The date of any notice by the Commissioner under this order, including but not limited to notice of approval or disapproval of any document or other action, shall be the date such notice is deposited in the U.S. mail or is personally delivered, whichever is earlier. Except as otherwise specified in this order, the word "day" as used in this order means calendar day. Any document or action which is required by this order to be submitted or performed by a date which falls on a Saturday, Sunday or a Connecticut or federal holiday shall be submitted or performed by the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or Connecticut or federal holiday.

9. Certification of documents. Any document, including but not limited to any notice, which is required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this order shall be signed by Respondents or, if a Respondent is not an individual, by such Respondent's chief

executive officer or a duly authorized representative of such officer, as those terms are defined in §22a-430-3(b)(2) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and by the LEP(s) or other individual(s) responsible for actually preparing such document, and Respondents or Respondent(s)' chief executive officer and each such individual shall certify in writing as follows:

"I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto, and I certify, based on reasonable investigation, including my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining the information, that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement made in the submitted information is punishable as a criminal offense under §53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law."

10. False statements. Any false statement in any information submitted pursuant to this order is punishable as a criminal offense under § 53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law.

11. Notice of transfer; liability of Respondents. Until Respondents have fully complied with this order, Respondents shall notify the Commissioner in writing no later than 15 days after transferring all or any portion of the facility, the operations, the Site or the business which is the subject of this order or after obtaining a new mailing or location address. Respondents' obligations under this order shall not be affected by the passage of title to any property to any other person or municipality.

12. Commissioner's powers. Nothing in this order shall affect the Commissioner's authority to institute any proceeding or take any other action to prevent or abate violations of law, prevent or abate pollution, recover costs and natural resource damages, and to impose penalties for past, present, or future violations of law. Nor shall anything in this order affect the Commissioner's power to enforce the terms of this Consent Order. If at any time the Commissioner determines that the actions taken by Respondents pursuant to this order have not been fulfilled to the Commissioner's satisfaction, then the Commissioner may institute any proceeding to require Respondents to undertake further investigation or further action to prevent or abate violations or pollution.

13. Respondents' obligations under law. Nothing in this order shall relieve Respondents of other obligations under applicable federal, state and local law.

14. No assurance by Commissioner. No provision of this order and no action or inaction by the Commissioner shall be construed to constitute an assurance by the Commissioner that the actions taken by Respondents pursuant to this order will result in compliance or prevent or abate pollution.

15. Access to Site. Any representative of the Department of Energy and

Environmental Protection may enter the facility or the Site without prior notice for the purposes of monitoring and enforcing the actions required or allowed by this order and/or Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001.

16. No effect on rights of other persons. This order neither creates nor affects any rights of persons or municipalities that are not parties to this order.

17. Notice to Commissioner of changes. Within 15 days of the date Respondents become aware of a change in any information submitted to the Commissioner under this order, or that any such information was inaccurate or misleading or that any relevant information was omitted, Respondents shall submit the correct or omitted information to the Commissioner.

18. Notification of noncompliance. In the event that Respondents or any one or more of them become aware that they did not or may not comply, or did not or may not comply on time, with any requirement of this order or of any document required hereunder, the Respondents shall immediately notify by telephone the individual identified in the next paragraph and shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that any noncompliance or delay is avoided or, if unavoidable, is minimized to the greatest extent possible. Within five (5) days of the initial notice, Respondents shall submit in writing the date, time, and duration of the noncompliance and the reasons for the noncompliance or delay and propose, for the review and written approval of the Commissioner, dates by which compliance will be achieved, and Respondents shall comply with any dates which may be approved in writing by the Commissioner. Notification by Respondents shall not excuse noncompliance or delay, and the Commissioner's approval of any compliance dates proposed shall not excuse noncompliance or delay unless specifically so stated by the Commissioner in writing.

19. Submission of documents. Any document required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this order shall, unless otherwise specified in this order or in writing by the Commissioner, be directed to:

Yvonne Bolton
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Bureau of Materials Management and Compliance Assurance
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106

20. Joint and several liability. Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for compliance with this order.

Respondents consent to the issuance of this Consent Order without further notice. The undersigned Manager certifies that Mehboob Shah is fully authorized to enter into this Consent Order and to legally bind Respondent ASNAT Realty, LLC to the terms and conditions of this Consent Order. The undersigned Manager certifies that Mehboob Shah is fully authorized to enter into this Consent Order and to legally bind Respondent Evergreen Power, LLC to the terms and conditions of this Consent Order.

Respondent ASNAT Realty, LLC

By: Betty Ann
Mehboob Shah
Its Manager _____

Date: 07-18-16

Respondent Evergreen Power, LLC

By: Betty Ann
Mehboob Shah
Its Manager _____

Date: 07/18/16

Respondent Uri Kaufman expressly denies each of the Commissioner's allegations and findings above and admits no liability hereunder. Notwithstanding any other language in this Consent Order, nothing shall be read (i) as an admission against interest; (ii) as an admission of any liability; or (iii) as a waiver by Kaufman of any of Kaufman's rights, all of which are expressly reserved, except that Kaufman waives any rights to contest the terms or enforceability of this Consent Order or to contest the terms or issuance of Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001. By signing below, Kaufman does not admit the facts alleged and found herein, but nonetheless undertakes to guarantee that he shall comply with, and ensure Respondents ASNAT's and Evergreen's compliance with, the requirements contained above in Section B.1 of this order.

Date: _____

Respondent Mehboob Shah

Betty Ann
Date: 07-18-16

Respondent ASNAT Realty, LLC

By: _____
Mehboob Shah
Its Manager _____


Date: _____

Respondent Evergreen Power, LLC

By: _____
Mehboob Shah
Its Manager _____

Date: _____

Respondent Uri Kaufman expressly denies each of the Commissioner's allegations and findings above and admits no liability hereunder. Notwithstanding any other language in this Consent Order, nothing shall be read (i) as an admission against interest; (ii) as an admission of any liability; or (iii) as a waiver by Kaufman of any of Kaufman's rights, all of which are expressly reserved, except that Kaufman waives any rights to contest the terms or enforceability of this Consent Order or to contest the terms or issuance of Partial Consent Order # COWSPCB 15-001. By signing below, Kaufman does not admit the facts alleged and found herein, but nonetheless undertakes to guarantee that he shall comply with, and ensure Respondents ASNAT's and Evergreen's compliance with, the requirements contained above in Section B.1 of this order.



Date: 7/18/16

Respondent Mehboob Shah

Date: _____

ORDER NO. _____

Issued as an order of the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection.

By: _____
Commissioner

Date: _____

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A - English Station, 510 Grand Avenue, New Haven




EXHIBIT B

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : AOWSPCB 13-001

V. :

THE UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY :

PARTIAL CONSENT ORDER NUMBER COWSPCB 15-001¹

Date Issued: _____

A. The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection (the "Commissioner") finds:

1. Respondent The United Illuminating Company ("UI") is a regional electric distribution company, established in New Haven, Connecticut in 1899, currently engaged in the purchase, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity and related services to residential, commercial and industrial customers.
2. Respondent has a business address of 180 Marsh Hill Road, Orange, Connecticut.
3. From 1914 until December of 2000, Respondent owned an approximately 8.9 acre parcel of land located at 510 Grand Avenue in New Haven, Connecticut, as set forth in Sections A.5. through A.10. below, said site is presently described in two deeds recorded at page 14 of volume 7814, and page 195 volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records (the "Site"). The Site, part of an island in the Mill River, is depicted on the map included as Exhibit A, to this Consent Order. For purposes of Respondent's obligations under this Consent Order, any reference to the Site includes all soil, surface water, groundwater and sediment located within the perimeter of the Site as shown on Exhibit A, but shall not include offsite soil, groundwater and sediment in the Mill River, including the East and West branches of said River or any areas that are offsite from the Site.
4. The Site is referred to and known as "English Station."
5. On or about August 16, 2000, Respondent transferred the Site to Quinnipiac Energy, LLC ("Quinnipiac Energy") as described in a deed which is recorded at page 72 of volume 5716 of the City of New Haven land records.

¹ This Consent Order is referred to herein as "Partial Consent Order", "Consent Order" or "order."

6. At some point prior to December 9, 2006, the Site was divided into two parcels, Parcel A and Parcel B.
7. Parcel A is located on the northern portion of the Site adjacent to Grand Avenue and includes, among other structures, a building known as Station B.
8. Parcel B is located on the southern portion of the Site and includes, among other structures, a former power generation building.
9. On December 9, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy sold Parcel A to Evergreen Power, LLC ("Evergreen"), as described in a deed which is recorded at page 14 of volume 7814 of the City of New Haven land records.
10. On December 13, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy sold Parcel B to ASNAT Realty, LLC ("ASNAT"), as described in a deed which is recorded at page 195 of volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records.

SITE HISTORY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

11. Groundwater below and near the Site is classified as a GB groundwater area.
12. The surface water of the adjacent Mill River is classified as SD/SB.
13. From 1929 through 1992 Respondent operated an electrical power plant ("the Plant") at the Site.
14. The Plant was constructed on a man-made island in the middle of the Mill River located south of Grand Avenue in New Haven, CT. The island is constructed of historically placed fill and comprised of ash, dredge spoils, and other miscellaneous debris.
15. In 1992 the Plant was placed on deactivated status.
16. Respondent's activities on the Site involved the use and storage of equipment and oil, both containing polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs").
17. PCBs are a class of human-made chemicals whose manufacture, along with many of its uses, was banned by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in 1979. PCBs have been shown to cause cancer in animals. PCBs have been shown to cause other non-cancer health effects in animals and humans including, but not limited to, effects on the immune system, reproductive system, endocrine system, and

nervous system. Studies in humans provide supportive evidence for the potential impact of PCBs on humans.

18. Respondent's activities on the Site also involved the use and/or management of other equipment and/or materials that contained various pollutants including but not limited to metals, volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds, and total petroleum hydrocarbons.
19. Respondent operated five (5) PCB transformers ("PCB Transformers"), seventy (70) large high voltage capacitors, and eight (8) pieces of PCB containing electrical equipment including additional transformers and circuit breakers.
20. Respondent also operated two (2) PCB storage areas at the Site.
21. Respondent's employees routinely sprayed waste oil, including transformer oil contaminated with PCBs, for dust control, on coal piles, transit areas and handling areas.
22. Between 1975 and 1997, Respondent filed a number of spill reports with the Commissioner in connection with spills at the Site.
23. On or about December 8, 1997, while excavating on the Site, Respondent's employees discovered an oily material on the groundwater table at the Site, which later broke out through a rotted bulkhead and spilled into the Mill River. Analysis of the oily material indicated the presence of PCBs at levels near 350 parts per million ("ppm").
24. The Site has been the subject of a number of plans, reports and investigations that, among other things, have confirmed the presence of PCBs and other hazardous contaminants at the Site at levels exceeding Connecticut's Remediation Standards Regulations ("RSRs"), Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("R.C.S.A.") §§22a-133k-1 through 22a-133k-3. Notwithstanding that not all of these plans, reports or investigations, may have been reviewed and approved by the Commissioner, these plans, reports and investigations shall be taken into account by Respondent in connection with its investigation and remedial actions hereunder, including the following:
 - A 1999 Draft Asbestos and Hazardous Materials Survey for English Station, prepared by GEI Consultants, Inc.;
 - A May 2000 Draft Remedial Action Report prepared by GEI Consultants, Inc.;
 - A 2000 Dismantling Cost Study prepared by TLG Services, Inc.;

- A 2002 Site-Wide PCB Characterization and Clean-Up Plan, prepared by Advanced Environmental Interface;
 - A 2012 Conceptual Remediation Action Plan for PCB Impacted soil, prepared by Stantec Consulting Services;
 - A 2015 Subsurface Investigation Report for the Former English Station, prepared by HRP Associates; and
 - A Revised Equipment Decontamination Work Plan, prepared by Partner Engineering and Science, Inc.
25. The RSRs apply to any action taken to remediate polluted soil or other environmental media, surface water or a groundwater plume at or emanating from a release area which action is required pursuant to Chapter 445 or 446k of the General Statutes.
 26. On March 27, 2003 the Commissioner granted a Widespread Polluted Fill Variance for the Site in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 22a-133k-2(f)(1) ("Fill Variance").
 27. On or about March 1, 2005, Quinnipiac Energy submitted a Significant Environmental Hazard Report to the Commissioner reporting that PCBs, at concentrations greater than thirty (30) times the industrial/commercial direct exposure criteria established by the RSRs, were present in surface soils at the Site, posing a potential risk to human health through contact and exposure as required by Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") § 22a-6u.
 28. On or about May 22, 2007, EPA Region 1 conditionally approved a PCB cleanup plan for Parcel A of the Site proposed by Quinnipiac Energy, although the clean-up was not completed.
 29. To date, five (5) PCB Transformers, which contained PCB transformer oil, remain at the Site in the Plant on Parcel B.

ESTABLISHING A FACILITY, CREATING A CONDITION, AND/OR MAINTAINING A FACILITY OR CONDITION WHICH CAN REASONABLY BE EXPECTED TO CREATE A SOURCE OF POLLUTION TO THE WATERS OF STATE

30. By virtue of the above, prior to the transfer of the Site to Quinnipiac Energy on August 16, 2000, Respondent established a facility or created a condition and/or maintained a facility or condition which reasonably can be expected to create a source of pollution to the waters of the State; maintained a discharge of waste in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-427; initiated, created, or originated or maintained an unpermitted discharge in

violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-430; and/or disposed of PCBs or PCB-containing items, products or materials in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-467. Respondent denies each such allegation and admits no liability hereunder.

31. Proper disposal of PCBs and the prevention of pollution are within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner under the provisions of Chapters 439, 445 and 446k of the Connecticut General Statutes, including but not limited to, §§ 22a-5, 22a-6, 22a-427, 22a-430, 22a-432, 22a-464, 22a-465, and §22a-467.
32. On April 8, 2013, the Commissioner issued Administrative Order # AOWSPCB 13-001 to Respondent and several other respondents, including ASNATand Evergreen (collectively, the "Current Owner"), Uri Kaufman, Ira Schwartz, and Mehboob Shah, as well as Quinnipiac Energy, and Grant MacKay Company Inc.
33. By agreement to the issuance of this Consent Order Respondent makes no admission of fact or law with respect to the matters addressed herein, including the allegations set forth above; other than the facts asserted in Sections A.1 through 5, A.13, A.15 and A.34 and Respondent shall not be deemed to have made any such admissions by the fact that the Respondent has agreed to perform work pursuant to this Consent Order.
34. The Commissioner and Respondent acknowledge and agree that the current zoning for the Site is heavy industrial and further acknowledge and agree that the remedial actions shall be consistent with this current zoned use.

B. Now, therefore, with the agreement of Respondent, the Commissioner, acting under §22a-6, §22a-424, §22a-425, §22a-427, §22a-430, §22a-431, §22a-432, §22a-449, §22a-465, and §22a-467 of the Connecticut General Statutes, orders Respondent as follows:

1. On-Site Remediation: Respondent shall conduct the investigation and cleanup of the Site in accordance with this Consent Order. Such investigation and cleanup shall be completed pursuant to a schedule acceptable to the Commissioner, provided however that the cleanup, not including any confirmatory monitoring performed by Respondent after the completion of such cleanup activities, shall be completed within 3 years of the Access Date defined in Section B.5 below, unless a later completion date is specified in writing by the Commissioner. Whenever this Consent Order refers to the RSRs, the standards shall be those in effect at the time of the Effective Date unless the Commissioner and the Respondent otherwise agree. The Commissioner and Respondent further agree as follows:
 - a. On or before thirty (30) days from the Effective Date of this order, Respondent shall retain one or more Licensed Environmental Professional(s) ("LEP"(s)) acceptable to the Commissioner to prepare the documents and

implement or oversee the actions required by this order and shall, by that date, notify the Commissioner in writing of the identity of such LEP(s). Respondent shall retain one or more LEP(s) acceptable to the Commissioner until this order is fully complied with, and, within ten (10) days after retaining any LEP(s) other than the one(s) originally identified under this section, Respondent shall notify the Commissioner in writing of the identity of such other LEP(s). The consultants (LEP(s)) retained to perform PCB investigation, remediation, disposal, and confirmatory sampling must be familiar with the PCB requirements of both the applicable state and federal regulations, including but not limited to, those found at 40 CFR Part 761. Respondent shall submit to the Commissioner a description of the LEP's education, experience and training which is relevant to the work required by this order within ten (10) days after a request for such a description. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Commissioner from finding a previously acceptable LEP unacceptable; the Commissioner has determined that the LEPs listed on Exhibit B hereto are acceptable.

- b. On or before sixty (60) days from the Access Date of this order, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a scope of study for an investigation of the Site and its potential impact on human health and the environment, including, but not limited to, the existing and potential extent and degree of contamination of soil and ground water, surface water, and sediment within the Site boundary (i.e., within the tunnel on the Site), as well as contamination of the Plant and any other building structures on the Site and any content therein (the "Scope of Study"). The Scope of Study shall:
- be consistent with and comply with the sampling requirements in 40 CFR Part 761 for PCBs, including but not limited to the Standard Operating Procedure for sampling on, into and through concrete;
 - identify, document, inventory and assess asbestos and asbestos-containing materials to determine if such materials are friable, damaged, unstable, and accessible or may be disturbed by other actions required by this Consent Order, and to determine how to conduct asbestos abatement in a manner that is necessary to comply with all applicable laws in connection with a plan of abatement for such materials in accordance with Section B.1.e.8. below.
 - other than with respect to asbestos characterization as addressed above, fully characterize PCB constituents of all caulk, paint, flooring, roofing, mastics, fireproofing, soundproofing, waterproofing, sealants and all other materials. Notwithstanding the above, Respondent shall investigate the presence of lead and mercury.

- identify non-hazardous and hazardous waste and other hazardous materials at the Site; and
- comply with all prevailing standards and guidelines, including, but not limited to, the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection's (the "Department" or "DEEP") Site Characterization Guidance Document; and
- include:
 - the proposed location and depths of any additional ground water monitoring wells;
 - a proposed sampling and analytical program including at least the parameters to be tested, proposed sampling and analytical methods, for sediments within the boundary of the Site and soils, surface water, groundwater, the Plant and other structures at the Site and any contents therein as set forth above;
 - quality assurance and quality control procedures; and
 - a schedule for conducting the investigation.

The proposed Scope of Study for the Commissioner's review and approval may reference and evaluate existing data to support the proposed investigation.

- c. If the Commissioner determines that the investigation carried out under the approved Scope of Study, in addition to previous studies and investigations of the Site, does not fully characterize the extent and degree of soil, sediment (within the boundaries of the Site), ground water, and surface water pollution at the Site as well as contamination of the Plant or other structures on the Site and any contents therein, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner, Respondent shall perform additional investigation in accordance with a supplemental plan and schedule approved in writing by the Commissioner. Unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, the supplemental plan and schedule shall be submitted by Respondent for the Commissioner's review and written approval on or before thirty (30) days after notice from the Commissioner that such supplemental plan is required.
- d. Respondent shall implement the approved Scope of Study and, if same are required, any approved supplemental plan(s), in accordance with the approved schedule(s). Respondent shall notify the Commissioner of the date and time of installation of monitoring wells and of each soil, on-site

sediment, building material and water sampling event at least five (5) full business days prior to such installation or sampling.

- e. Except as may be provided in the investigation schedule approved by the Commissioner, on or before thirty (30) days after the approved date for completion of the investigation, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a comprehensive and thorough report which:
- 1) describes in detail the investigation performed;
 - 2) identifies the type, quantity and location of all asbestos, non-hazardous and hazardous wastes or other hazardous materials on the Site;
 - 3) defines the existing and potential extent and degree of soil, sediment within the boundary of the Site, ground water, and surface water pollution as well as all contamination of the Plant and any other structures on the Site and contents therein;
 - 4) evaluates the alternatives for remedial actions to abate on-site pollution and impacts for industrial/commercial use of the Site, including but not limited to any alternative specified by the Commissioner, which alternatives are in compliance with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations, provided that
 - to address the direct exposure and volatilization requirements under the RSRs for all contaminants (other than PCBs which are addressed below), Respondent will not be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions other than those required to comply with the commercial/industrial provisions in the RSRs;
 - for PCBs, for direct exposure, a) outside the buildings, Respondent will not be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions other than those required to comply with 40 CFR Part 761 and with the inaccessible soil provisions of §22a-133k-2(b)(3) of the RSRs, b) inside the buildings, the Respondent shall only be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions associated with the high occupancy standards in 40 CFR Part 761, and c) under the buildings, the Respondent shall only be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions associated with the more stringent of the high occupancy standards in 40 CFR Part 761 and the inaccessible soil provisions of §22a-133k-2(b)(3) of the RSRs; and
 - The RSR Pollutant Mobility provisions, for both PCBs and for releases into fill, apply in full to all alternatives; the Fill Variance exempts the Pollutant Mobility provisions with respect to the fill itself. The alternatives for remedial actions evaluated by Respondent must also include those alternatives for remedial actions required to comply with this Consent Order as set forth in this subsection B.1. and any approval

issued to Respondent by the Connecticut Department of Public Health requiring Respondent to abate asbestos containing materials that are friable, damaged, unstable, and accessible or may be disturbed by other actions required by this Consent Order.

- 5) states in detail the most expeditious schedule for performing each alternative;
 - 6) lists all permits and approvals required for each alternative, including but not limited to any permits required under Sections 22a-32, 22a-42a, 22a-342, 22a-361, 22a-368, 22a-430, 22a-465 or 22a-467 of the Connecticut General Statutes;
 - 7) proposes a preferred alternative from among those evaluated pursuant to and consistent with the provisions identified in Section B.1.e.4., with supporting justification therefor;
 - 8) provides that Respondent shall only be required to abate asbestos that is friable, damaged, unstable, and accessible or may be disturbed by other actions required by this Consent Order, and to determine how to conduct asbestos abatement in a manner that is necessary to comply with all applicable laws; and
 - 9) proposes a detailed program and schedule to perform the preferred on-site remedial actions, including but not limited to a schedule for applying for and obtaining all permits and approvals required for such remedial actions.
- f. Unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, on or before thirty (30) days after approval of the report described in the preceding section, Respondent shall submit, for the Commissioner's review and written approval, contract plans and specifications for the approved remedial actions, a revised list of all permits and approvals required for such on-site actions, and a revised schedule for applying for and obtaining such permits and approvals, consistent with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations and this Consent Order. Respondent shall use best efforts to obtain all required permits and approvals.
- g. Respondent shall implement the approved remedial actions in accordance with the approved schedule. Respondent shall notify the Commissioner at least five (5) full business days prior to conducting remedial actions at the Site. Any such notice may include multiple dates that Respondent expects to be undertaking remediation at the Site. Within fifteen (15) days after completing such actions, Respondent shall certify to the Commissioner in writing that the actions have been completed as approved.
- h. Except as may be provided in the approved remedial action schedule, on or before thirty (30) days after the approved date for completion of the remediation, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a comprehensive and thorough report which describes all remedial actions performed at the Site. Such report shall also include a soil,

on-site sediment, ground water and surface water post-remediation monitoring program to determine the degree to which the approved on-site remedial actions have been effective, and a schedule for performing the post-remediation monitoring program. Respondent shall implement the approved monitoring program to determine the effectiveness of the remedial actions in accordance with the approved schedule.

- i. If the approved remedial actions do not result in the prevention and abatement of soil, on-site sediment, ground water, and surface water pollution and contamination of the Plant, other structures on the Site or items contained therein, in a manner that complies with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner, additional remedial actions and measures for monitoring and reporting on the effectiveness of those actions shall be performed in accordance with a supplemental plan and schedule approved in writing by the Commissioner, provided Respondent shall not be required to take actions more stringent than as provided in section B.1.e.4. and section B.1.k. Unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, the supplemental plan and schedule shall be submitted for the Commissioner's review and written approval on or before thirty (30) days after notice from the Commissioner that such supplemental plan is required.
 - j. On a schedule established by the Commissioner or, if no such schedule is established, on a quarterly basis beginning no later than ninety (90) days after completion of the approved remedial actions or, as applicable, supplemental remedial actions, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a report describing the results to date of the approved monitoring program to determine the effectiveness of the on-site remedial actions.
 - k. The current zoning of the Site is heavy industrial. The remedial actions shall be consistent with the current zoned use and be no more stringent than those alternatives referenced in Section B.1.e.4. and Section B.1.e.7., and, if approved therein, may make use of environmental land use restrictions ("ELURs") and/or existing or constructed features that render soil inaccessible or environmentally isolated in accordance with the RSRs. Nothing herein prevents Respondent from agreeing to a more stringent standard of remediation.
2. Revisions. Respondent may, by written request, ask that the Commissioner approve, in writing, revisions to any document approved hereunder in order to make such document consistent with law or for any other appropriate reason.
 3. Site Security. Subject to Section B.18. concerning Site Access, upon the Access Date of this Consent Order and until Respondent is in full compliance with the requirements of Section B.1. hereto (as provided in Section B.7.), Respondent shall maintain security at the Site. Respondent shall maintain security at the Site to, at a

minimum, the current level of security maintained at the Site by the Current Owner and approved by the Commissioner.

4. Effective Date. Respondent's parent company has made application to the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority ("PURA") and Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities ("MDPU") for approval of a merger transaction ("Transaction") with a subsidiary of Iberdrola USA, Inc. (the "PURA Application"). Respondent shall promptly notify the Commissioner when the Transaction closes. The "Effective Date" of this order shall be the later of the Closing of the Transaction or when this Consent Order becomes a final order of the Commissioner; provided, however, that if the Transaction does not close within ninety (90) days following the receipt of PURA approval and approval of the MDPU, then the Commissioner has the discretion to terminate this agreement. If PURA does not approve the PURA Application then this agreement is null and void. Respondent shall have no obligations under this Consent Order until the Effective Date.
5. Access Date. The "Access Date" is the date that the Commissioner provides written notification to Respondent that the Commissioner has secured "Required Access" as defined in Section B.18. and that such Required Access is in effect after the Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Order, Respondent shall have no obligations under this Consent Order prior to the Effective Date.
6. Progress Reports. On or before the last day of each month following the Effective Date and continuing until all actions required by this order have been completed as approved and to the Commissioner's satisfaction, Respondent shall submit a progress report to the Commissioner describing the actions which Respondent has taken to date to comply with this order including the amounts incurred regarding such compliance; provided, however, that for any period in which actions required by this order consist solely of groundwater monitoring, Respondent shall submit a progress report on or before the last day of each month in which a groundwater monitoring event takes place.
7. Full Compliance. Respondent shall not be considered in full compliance with this Consent Order until all actions required by this order have been completed as approved and to the Commissioner's satisfaction. Subject to Section B.15., upon such full compliance or in the event of payment by Respondent as provided in Section B.18. or Section B.24., the Commissioner will issue to Respondent a certificate of compliance, which shall fully and finally conclude Respondent's obligations with respect to the Site, and Respondent shall have no further obligation or liability for any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner relating thereto, except in the event of Respondent's unlawful behavior or gross negligence.
8. Sampling. All sampling shall be performed in accordance with procedures specified or approved in writing by the Commissioner, or, if no such procedures have been specified or approved, in accordance with the most recent final version of EPA publication SW-846, entitled "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste,

Physical/Chemical Methods," Standard Operating Procedures for Sampling Porous Surfaces For Polychlorinated Biphenyls, the most recent final version of the Department's "Site Characterization Guidance Document," and relevant policies and guidelines issued by the Commissioner.

9. Sample Analyses. All sample analyses which are required by this order and all reporting of such sample analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory certified by the Connecticut Department of Public Health and approved to conduct such analyses. In addition,
 - The Reasonable Confidence Protocols shall be used when there is a method published by Department. In all cases where the Reasonable Confidence Protocol method is used, a properly completed laboratory QA/QC certification form, certified by the laboratory shall be provided to the Commissioner with the analytical data.
 - In cases where a Reasonable Confidence Protocol method has not been published, the analytical data shall be generated using a method approved by the Commissioner, such method shall include and report a level of quality control and documentation equivalent to the Reasonable Confidence Protocols.
 - The reporting limit shall be established consistent with the Reasonable Confidence Protocols and standard industrial and laboratory practices. The Reporting Limit shall not be set at levels greater than those used in such standard practices, as determined by the Commissioner, in consultation with the Commissioner of Public Health and in no case shall be greater than the Applicable Criteria or Background Concentration established in §22a-133k-1 through §22a-133k-3 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies. The Reporting Limit for a given sample shall be corrected for specific sample weight or volume, and dilutions, and, for soil and sediment samples moisture content (reported as dry weight).
10. Approvals. Respondent shall use best efforts to submit to the Commissioner all documents required by this order in a complete and approvable form. If the Commissioner notifies Respondent that any document or other action is deficient, and does not approve it with conditions or modifications, it is deemed disapproved, and Respondent shall correct the deficiencies and resubmit it within the time specified by the Commissioner or, if no time is specified by the Commissioner, within thirty (30) days of the Commissioner's notice of deficiencies. In approving any document or other action under this order, the Commissioner may approve the document or other action as submitted or performed or with such conditions or modifications as the Commissioner deems necessary to carry out the purposes of this order. Nothing in this section shall excuse noncompliance or delay. Any reference in this Consent Order to an approved document such as a scope of work or a schedule shall mean approved by the Commissioner.

11. Definitions. As used in this order, "Commissioner" means the Commissioner or a representative of the Commissioner.

12. Dates. The date of submission to the Commissioner of any document required by this order shall be the date such document is received by the Commissioner. The date of any notice by the Commissioner under this order, including but not limited to, notice of approval or disapproval of any document or other action, shall be the date such notice is deposited in the U.S. mail or is personally delivered, whichever is earlier. Except as otherwise specified in this order, the word "day" as used in this order means calendar day. Any document or action which is required by this order to be submitted or performed by a date which falls on a Saturday, Sunday or a Connecticut or federal holiday shall be submitted or performed by the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or Connecticut or federal holiday.

13. Certification of Documents. Any document, including but not limited to any notice, which is required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this order shall be signed by Respondent or, if a Respondent is not an individual, by such Respondent's chief executive officer or a duly authorized representative of such officer, or by a "responsible corporate officer" of Respondent as that term is defined in §22a-430-3(b)(2) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and by the LEP(s) or other individual(s) responsible for actually preparing such document, and Respondent or Respondent's chief executive officer and each such individual shall certify in writing as follows:

"I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto, and I certify, based on reasonable investigation, including my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining the information, that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement made in the submitted information is punishable as a criminal offense under §53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law."

14. False Statements. Any false statement in any information submitted pursuant to this order is punishable as a criminal offense under §53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law.

15. Commissioner's Powers. Subject to provisions of Section B.23., nothing in this order shall affect the Commissioner's authority to institute any proceeding or take any other action to prevent or abate violations of law, prevent or abate pollution, recover costs and natural resource damages, and to impose penalties for past, present, or future violations of law. If at any time the Commissioner determines that the actions taken by Respondent pursuant to this order have not successfully corrected all violations, fully characterized the extent and degree of any pollution or successfully abated or prevented pollution, the Commissioner may institute any

proceeding to require Respondent to undertake further investigation or further action to prevent or abate violations or pollution; provided, however, that in the event the Commissioner issues a certificate of compliance pursuant to Section B.7., the Commissioner may only institute any proceeding to require Respondent to undertake further investigation or further action to prevent or abate violations or pollution after issuing a certificate of compliance if the Commissioner determines that a certificate of compliance was obtained through the submittal of materially inaccurate or erroneous information, or otherwise materially misleading information or that material misrepresentations were made in connection with the obtaining of the certificate of compliance. In accordance with Conn. Gen. Stat. Sec. 22a-6dd, the requirements and standards for remediation required of Respondent pursuant to this Consent Order shall not be modified by the Department unless both the Department and Respondent agree to such modification.

16. Respondent's Obligations Under Law. Nothing in this order shall relieve Respondent of other obligations under applicable federal, state and local law.
17. No Assurance by Commissioner. No provision of this order and no action or inaction by the Commissioner shall be construed to constitute an assurance by the Commissioner that the actions taken by Respondent pursuant to this order will result in compliance or prevent or abate pollution.
18. Access to Site. The Commissioner and Respondent acknowledge that Respondent does not currently own, or control access to, the Site, and that Respondent requires access, without interference from the Current Owner or the property owner, necessary to be able to comply with its obligations under this Consent Order to investigate, remediate, monitor and secure the Site and shall not be obligated to proceed with such obligations that require Site access unless and until it has such access and only for so long as it continues to have access pursuant to the terms of this Section B.18. The Commissioner will endeavor, using all reasonable efforts, to obtain and, if so obtained, will use all reasonable efforts to maintain, access to, or control of, the Site, pursuant to a written access agreement, on terms that enable Respondent to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Order requiring Respondent to investigate, remediate, monitor and secure the Site, and that require the Current Owner (or, as applicable, any subsequent owners) to (i) refrain from engaging in actions that adversely, substantially and materially affect Respondent's ability to comply with the obligations under this Consent Order or otherwise engaging in activities that cause environmental conditions or exacerbate or contribute to existing environmental conditions at the Site that cause a significant increase in costs, (ii) agree to the recordation and implementation of ELURs pursuant to 40 CFR Part 761 and the RSRs, as applicable, and (iii) include notice of such ELURs in any sale or lease agreement regarding the Site and terms that expressly condition any such sale or lease agreement on the purchaser's or lessee's (as applicable) agreement to assume all liabilities arising from the failure by such purchaser or lessee to comply with the ELUR(s) ("Required Access"). Respondent shall make all reasonable efforts to

support any effort by the Commissioner to obtain the Required Access and shall not take any actions to impede or prevent the Required Access. Reasonable efforts by Respondent shall include, but not be limited to, providing a release, indemnification and hold harmless to the Current Owner from liability as the Current Owner arising solely out of the activities of Respondent or its contractors on the Site in the course of performing work under this Consent Order, and shall further include, if requested by the Commissioner, a release by Respondent of contribution claims against the Current Owner in respect of onsite conditions at the Site as long as the Current Owner, on behalf of itself and its owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members, also agrees to provide a reciprocal general release reasonably acceptable to Respondent. Reasonable efforts by Respondent shall not include paying the Current Owner or its owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members or reimbursing or funding, directly or indirectly, all or any part of any payment to the Current Owner or its owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members by others or remediating to standards that are more stringent than required by this Consent Order. In the event that the Commissioner after the Effective Date, in his sole discretion, determines (following consultation with Respondent) that the Commissioner is unable to secure the Required Access, the Commissioner may direct Respondent to make payment to the Commissioner in accordance with this section in lieu of completing performance of work otherwise required in this Consent Order. In the event that the Commissioner, after the Effective Date, is unable to maintain Required Access to the Site, then the three year period for completion in Section B.1. is tolled until either the Commissioner obtains access or until the Commissioner, following consultation with Respondent, but in his sole discretion, directs Respondent to make payment to the Commissioner in accordance with this section in lieu of completing performance of work otherwise required by this Consent Order. The Commissioner shall give notice of such direction to Respondent together with a draft certificate of compliance. Within one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of such notice, Respondent shall pay, by cashier or certified check, \$30 million minus any costs incurred or accrued for remediation and investigation (not including attorney's fees and any direct time charges of Respondent's employees, managers or officers) after the Effective Date of this order for compliance with this order, to the account designated by the Commissioner, and such payment shall fully resolve Respondent's obligations herein and the Commissioner shall provide a certificate of compliance as provided for in Section B.7. herein. The Commissioner shall use the funds for the investigation and remediation of the Site, and any funds remaining after the completion of the investigation and remediation of the Site shall, with the concurrence of the Governor and the Attorney General, be used for a public purpose. Within thirty (30) days of the Commissioner's issuance of such notice and certificate of compliance, Respondent shall provide a detailed accounting of any remedial costs incurred. Payment of the funds required by this section shall satisfy Respondent's obligations under this Consent Order.

19. No Effect on Rights of Other Persons. This order neither creates nor affects any rights of persons, entities (of any form or nature) or municipalities that are not parties to this order. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the parties expressly disclaim any intent to create any rights enforceable by any non-parties as third-party beneficiaries hereunder.
20. Notice to Commissioner of Changes. Within fifteen (15) days of the date Respondent becomes aware of a change in any information submitted to the Commissioner under this order, or that any such information was inaccurate or misleading or that any relevant information was omitted, Respondent shall submit the correct or omitted information to the Commissioner.
21. Notification of Noncompliance. In the event that Respondent becomes aware that it did not or may not comply, or did not or may not comply on time, with any requirement of this order or of any document required hereunder, Respondent shall immediately notify by telephone the individuals identified in the next section and shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that any noncompliance or delay is avoided or, if unavoidable, is minimized to the greatest extent possible. Within five (5) days of the initial notice, Respondent shall submit in writing the date, time, and duration of the noncompliance and the reasons for the noncompliance or delay and propose, for the review and written approval of the Commissioner, dates by which compliance will be achieved, and Respondent shall comply with any dates which may be approved in writing by the Commissioner. Notification by Respondent shall not excuse noncompliance or delay, and the Commissioner's approval of any compliance dates proposed shall not excuse noncompliance or delay unless specifically so stated by the Commissioner in writing.
22. Submission of Documents. Any document required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this order shall, unless otherwise specified in this order or in writing by the Commissioner, be directed to:

Gary Trombly, Jr.
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Storage Tank & PCB Enforcement Unit
79 Elm Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

And

Craig Bobrowiecki
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Remediation Division
79 Elm Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

23. Effect of Order. Except as provided herein, as of the Effective Date, other than as may be necessary to compel Respondent's compliance with this Consent Order (i) this Consent Order fully resolves all matters alleged in Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 against Respondent at the Site, and all known claims of the Commissioner against Respondent related to environmental conditions at the Site, (ii) subject to Section B.15., upon such full compliance or in the event of payment by Respondent as provided for in Section B.18. and Section B.24., the Commissioner will issue to Respondent a certificate of compliance, which shall fully and finally conclude Respondent's obligations with respect to the Site and Respondent shall have no further obligation or liability for any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner relating thereto, except in the event of Respondent's unlawful behavior or gross negligence, (iii) the Commissioner agrees to dismiss all claims, orders, demands, and allegations raised in Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 against Respondent in connection with environmental conditions at the Site. Nothing in this Consent Order shall prevent the Commissioner from maintaining Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 and proceedings relating thereto, or initiating new proceedings or actions, with respect to environmental impacts at off-site locations, including, but not limited to, sediments, soil, groundwater or any contaminants that have emanated offsite from the Site.


24. Provisions Relating to the Cost of Compliance with this Order. If the total costs to Respondent of performing the obligations after the Effective Date of this Consent Order exceed \$30 million, the State, at Respondent's request, will discuss options for recovering or funding any costs above that amount, for example, through public funding or recovery from third parties, but is not bound to agree to or support any means of recovery or funding. Nothing in this section shall alter Respondent's obligation to fully comply with this Consent Order, including but not limited to, the time for compliance during any time that there are discussions about recovery of costs exceeding \$30 million. Respondent shall comply with this Consent Order even if the costs of such compliance exceed \$30 million, except in the event of payment by Respondent as provided for in Section B.18.

Respondent shall maintain an accounting of all of the costs incurred or accrued regarding compliance with this Consent Order. Upon issuance of a certificate of compliance pursuant to Section B.7. herein, to the extent that the costs incurred by Respondent under this Consent Order for the investigation and remediation of the Site after the Effective Date are less than \$30 million, then Respondent shall remit to the State the difference between such costs and \$30 million for a public purpose as determined in the discretion of the Governor, the Attorney General, and the Commissioner. Within thirty (30) days of a written demand by the Commissioner for the accounting of the costs incurred by Respondent regarding compliance with this Consent Order, Respondent shall provide a detailed accounting of such costs. Within thirty (30) days following a written demand by the Commissioner and the issuance by the Commissioner of a certificate of compliance resolving Respondent's liabilities regarding matters addressed in this

Consent Order, Respondent shall make payment of the difference between such costs (which shall include any costs incurred or accrued in relation to the cessation of activities) and \$30 million to the recipient identified by the Commissioner.

Respondent consents to the issuance of this Partial Consent Order without further notice. The undersigned certifies that, JAMES P. TORGERSON is fully authorized to enter into this Partial Consent Order and to legally bind Respondent The United Illuminating Company to the terms and conditions of the Consent Order.

Respondent The United Illuminating Company

BY: 

DATE: 9/16/15

ORDER NO. _____

Issued as a final order of the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection.

BY: _____
Commissioner

DATE: _____

Exhibit A - English Station, 510 Grand Avenue, New Haven




EXHIBIT B TO PARTIAL CONSENT ORDER NUMBER COWSPCB 15-001

Project Management Consultant:

TRC Environmental Corporation
21 Griffin Road North
Windsor, Connecticut 06095
860-298-9692

Project Licensed Environmental Professional (LEP):

Sarah Trombetta, CPG, LEP, CHMM
TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Licensed Environmental Professional, Connecticut (#294, 1998)
Certified Professional Geologist, American Institute of Professional Geologist, (#8899, 1993)
Certified Hazardous Materials Manager (#15404, 2010)

Project Support LEP:

Marya Mahoney, LEP
TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Licensed Environmental Professional, Connecticut, (#478, 2007)

TRC Project Resources:

Ed Doubleday, Project Management and Project Performance

TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Certified Project Management Professional (2011)
FEMA Incident Command Station Certification (2008)
U.S. Naval Academy

Carl Stopper, P.E., Connecticut Professional Engineer, TRC PCB and Site Remediation Expert

TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Professional Engineer, Connecticut (#13255, 1984)

Eric Plimpton, P.E., Connecticut Professional Engineer, TRC Asbestos Materials Expert

TRC Windsor Connecticut Office
Professional Engineer, Connecticut (#20593) 1998
Certified Hazardous Materials Manager, Master Level (#11384) 2002
Certified Safety Management Practitioner (#14197) 2013
Asbestos Analyst, AIHA (#4554) 1992
Asbestos Project Monitor, Connecticut (#000082) 1993
Asbestos Management Planner, Connecticut (#000219) 2002
Asbestos Inspector, Connecticut (#000074/000219) 1993
Asbestos Project Designer, Connecticut (#000152) 1999
Lead Inspector/Risk Assessor, Connecticut (#001206) 1996
Lead Planner Project Designer, Connecticut (#001866) 1998

David Sullivan, TRC Indoor TSCA/PCB Expert
TRC Lowell, Massachusetts Office
Massachusetts Licensed Site Professional (#1488, 2004)
Extensive experience with USEPA Region I TSCA Office and Managers

Stacy McAnulty, P.E., TRC Site Remediation and PCB Sediment Expert
TRC Madison, Wisconsin, Office
Professional Engineer in Wisconsin, Maine, Colorado, and North Carolina

APPENDIX 2

STATE OF CONNECTICUT : AOWSPCB 13-001

V.

THE UNITED ILLUMINATING COMPANY

PARTIAL CONSENT ORDER NUMBER COWSPCB 15-001¹

Date Issued: _____

A. The Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection (the "Commissioner") finds:

1. Respondent The United Illuminating Company ("UI") is a regional electric distribution company, established in New Haven, Connecticut in 1899, currently engaged in the purchase, transmission, distribution and sale of electricity and related services to residential, commercial and industrial customers.
2. Respondent has a business address of 180 Marsh Hill Road, Orange, Connecticut.
3. From 1914 until December of 2000, Respondent owned an approximately 8.9 acre parcel of land located at 510 Grand Avenue in New Haven, Connecticut, as set forth in Sections A.5. through A.10. below, said site is presently described in two deeds recorded at page 14 of volume 7814, and page 195 volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records (the "Site"). The Site, part of an island in the Mill River, is depicted on the map included as Exhibit A to this Consent Order. For purposes of Respondent's obligations under this Consent Order, any reference to the Site includes all soil, surface water, groundwater and sediment located within the perimeter of the Site as shown on Exhibit A, but shall not include offsite soil, groundwater and sediment in the Mill River, including the East and West branches of said River or any areas that are offsite from the Site.
4. The Site is referred to and known as "English Station."
5. On or about August 16, 2000, Respondent transferred the Site to Quinnipiac Energy, LLC ("Quinnipiac Energy") as described in a deed which is recorded at page 72 of volume 5716 of the City of New Haven land records.

¹ This Consent Order is referred to herein as "Partial Consent Order", "Consent Order" or "order."

6. At some point prior to December 9, 2006, the Site was divided into two parcels, Parcel A and Parcel B.
7. Parcel A is located on the northern portion of the Site adjacent to Grand Avenue and includes, among other structures, a building known as Station B.
8. Parcel B is located on the southern portion of the Site and includes, among other structures, a former power generation building.
9. On December 9, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy sold Parcel A to Evergreen Power, LLC ("Evergreen"), as described in a deed which is recorded at page 14 of volume 7814 of the City of New Haven land records.
10. On December 13, 2006, Quinnipiac Energy sold Parcel B to ASNAT Realty, LLC ("ASNAT"), as described in a deed which is recorded at page 195 of volume 7817 of the City of New Haven land records.

SITE HISTORY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

11. Groundwater below and near the Site is classified as a GB groundwater area.
12. The surface water of the adjacent Mill River is classified as SD/SB.
13. From 1929 through 1992 Respondent operated an electrical power plant ("the Plant") at the Site.
14. The Plant was constructed on a man-made island in the middle of the Mill River located south of Grand Avenue in New Haven, CT. The island is constructed of historically placed fill and comprised of ash, dredge spoils, and other miscellaneous debris.
15. In 1992 the Plant was placed on deactivated status.
16. Respondent's activities on the Site involved the use and storage of equipment and oil, both containing polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs").
17. PCBs are a class of human-made chemicals whose manufacture, along with many of its uses, was banned by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in 1979. PCBs have been shown to cause cancer in animals. PCBs have been shown to cause other non-cancer health effects in animals and humans including, but not limited to, effects on the immune system, reproductive system, endocrine system, and

nervous system. Studies in humans provide supportive evidence for the potential impact of PCBs on humans.

18. Respondent's activities on the Site also involved the use and/or management of other equipment and/or materials that contained various pollutants including but not limited to metals, volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds, and total petroleum hydrocarbons.
19. Respondent operated five (5) PCB transformers ("PCB Transformers"), seventy (70) large high voltage capacitors, and eight (8) pieces of PCB containing electrical equipment including additional transformers and circuit breakers.
20. Respondent also operated two (2) PCB storage areas at the Site.
21. Respondent's employees routinely sprayed waste oil, including transformer oil contaminated with PCBs, for dust control, on coal piles, transit areas and handling areas.
22. Between 1975 and 1997, Respondent filed a number of spill reports with the Commissioner in connection with spills at the Site.
23. On or about December 8, 1997, while excavating on the Site, Respondent's employees discovered an oily material on the groundwater table at the Site, which later broke out through a rotted bulkhead and spilled into the Mill River. Analysis of the oily material indicated the presence of PCBs at levels near 350 parts per million ("ppm").
24. The Site has been the subject of a number of plans, reports and investigations that, among other things, have confirmed the presence of PCBs and other hazardous contaminants at the Site at levels exceeding Connecticut's Remediation Standards Regulations ("RSRs"), Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies ("R.C.S.A.") §§22a-133k-1 through 22a-133k-3. Notwithstanding that not all of the these plans, reports or investigations, may have been reviewed and approved by the Commissioner, these plans, reports and investigations shall be taken into account by Respondent in connection with its investigation and remedial actions hereunder, including the following:
 - A 1999 Draft Asbestos and Hazardous Materials Survey for English Station, prepared by GEI Consultants, Inc.;
 - A May 2000 Draft Remedial Action Report prepared by GEI Consultants, Inc.;
 - A 2000 Dismantling Cost Study prepared by TLG Services, Inc.;

- A 2002 Site-Wide PCB Characterization and Clean-Up Plan, prepared by Advanced Environmental Interface;
 - A 2012 Conceptual Remediation Action Plan for PCB Impacted soil, prepared by Stantec Consulting Services;
 - A 2015 Subsurface Investigation Report for the Former English Station, prepared by HRP Associates; and
 - A Revised Equipment Decontamination Work Plan, prepared by Partner Engineering and Science, Inc.
25. The RSRs apply to any action taken to remediate polluted soil or other environmental media, surface water or a groundwater plume at or emanating from a release area which action is required pursuant to Chapter 445 or 446k of the General Statutes.
26. On March 27, 2003 the Commissioner granted a Widespread Polluted Fill Variance for the Site in accordance with R.C.S.A. § 22a-133k-2(f)(1) ("Fill Variance").
27. On or about March 1, 2005, Quinnipiac Energy submitted a Significant Environmental Hazard Report to the Commissioner reporting that PCBs, at concentrations greater than thirty (30) times the industrial/commercial direct exposure criteria established by the RSRs, were present in surface soils at the Site, posing a potential risk to human health through contact and exposure as required by Connecticut General Statutes ("C.G.S.") § 22a-6u.
28. On or about May 22, 2007, EPA Region 1 conditionally approved a PCB cleanup plan for Parcel A of the Site proposed by Quinnipiac Energy, although the clean-up was not completed.
29. To date, five (5) PCB Transformers, which contained PCB transformer oil, remain at the Site in the Plant on Parcel B.

ESTABLISHING A FACILITY, CREATING A CONDITION, AND/OR MAINTAINING A FACILITY OR CONDITION WHICH CAN REASONABLY BE EXPECTED TO CREATE A SOURCE OF POLLUTION TO THE WATERS OF STATE

30. By virtue of the above, prior to the transfer of the Site to Quinnipiac Energy on August 16, 2000, Respondent established a facility or created a condition and/or maintained a facility or condition which reasonably can be expected to create a source of pollution to the waters of the State; maintained a discharge of waste in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-427; initiated, created, or originated or maintained an unpermitted discharge in

violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-430; and/or disposed of PCBs or PCB-containing items, products or materials in violation of Conn. Gen. Stat. §22a-467. Respondent denies each such allegation and admits no liability hereunder.

31. Proper disposal of PCBs and the prevention of pollution are within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner under the provisions of Chapters 439, 445 and 446k of the Connecticut General Statutes, including but not limited to, §§ 22a-5, 22a-6, 22a-427, 22a-430, 22a-432, 22a-464, 22a-465, and §22a-467.
32. On April 8, 2013, the Commissioner issued Administrative Order # AOWSPCB 13-001 to Respondent and several other respondents, including ASNAT and Evergreen (collectively, the "Current Owner"), Uri Kaufman, Ira Schwartz, and Mehboob Shah, as well as Quinnipiac Energy, and Grant MacKay Company Inc.
33. By agreement to the issuance of this Consent Order Respondent makes no admission of fact or law with respect to the matters addressed herein, including the allegations set forth above, other than the facts asserted in Sections A.1 through 5, A.13, A.15 and A.34 and Respondent shall not be deemed to have made any such admissions by the fact that the Respondent has agreed to perform work pursuant to this Consent Order.
34. The Commissioner and Respondent acknowledge and agree that the current zoning for the Site is heavy industrial and further acknowledge and agree that the remedial actions shall be consistent with this current zoned use.

B. Now, therefore, with the agreement of Respondent, the Commissioner, acting under §22a-6, §22a-424, §22a-425, §22a-427, §22a-430, §22a-431, §22a-432, §22a-449, §22a-465, and §22a-467 of the Connecticut General Statutes, orders Respondent as follows:

1. **On-Site Remediation:** Respondent shall conduct the investigation and cleanup of the Site in accordance with this Consent Order. Such investigation and cleanup shall be completed pursuant to a schedule acceptable to the Commissioner, provided however that the cleanup, not including any confirmatory monitoring performed by Respondent after the completion of such cleanup activities, shall be completed within 3 years of the Access Date defined in Section B.5 below, unless a later completion date is specified in writing by the Commissioner. Whenever this Consent Order refers to the RSRs, the standards shall be those in effect at the time of the Effective Date unless the Commissioner and the Respondent otherwise agree. The Commissioner and Respondent further agree as follows:
 - a. On or before thirty (30) days from the Effective Date of this order, Respondent shall retain one or more Licensed Environmental Professional(s) ("LEP"(s)) acceptable to the Commissioner to prepare the documents and

implement or oversee the actions required by this order and shall, by that date, notify the Commissioner in writing of the identity of such LEP(s). Respondent shall retain one or more LEP(s) acceptable to the Commissioner until this order is fully complied with, and, within ten (10) days after retaining any LEP(s) other than the one(s) originally identified under this section, Respondent shall notify the Commissioner in writing of the identity of such other LEP(s). The consultants (LEP(s)) retained to perform PCB investigation, remediation, disposal, and confirmatory sampling must be familiar with the PCB requirements of both the applicable state and federal regulations, including but not limited to, those found at 40 CFR Part 761. Respondent shall submit to the Commissioner a description of the LEP's education, experience and training which is relevant to the work required by this order within ten (10) days after a request for such a description. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Commissioner from finding a previously acceptable LEP unacceptable; the Commissioner has determined that the LEPs listed on Exhibit B hereto are acceptable.

- b. On or before sixty (60) days from the Access Date of this order, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a scope of study for an investigation of the Site and its potential impact on human health and the environment, including, but not limited to, the existing and potential extent and degree of contamination of soil and ground water, surface water, and sediment within the Site boundary (i.e., within the tunnel on the Site), as well as contamination of the Plant and any other building structures on the Site and any content therein (the "Scope of Study"). The Scope of Study shall:
- be consistent with and comply with the sampling requirements in 40 CFR Part 761 for PCBs, including but not limited to the Standard Operating Procedure for sampling on, into and through concrete;
 - identify, document, inventory and assess asbestos and asbestos-containing materials to determine if such materials are friable, damaged, unstable, and accessible or may be disturbed by other actions required by this Consent Order, and to determine how to conduct asbestos abatement in a manner that is necessary to comply with all applicable laws in connection with a plan of abatement for such materials in accordance with Section B.1.e.8. below.
 - other than with respect to asbestos characterization as addressed above, fully characterize PCB constituents of all caulk, paint, flooring, roofing, mastics, fireproofing, soundproofing, waterproofing, sealants and all other materials. Notwithstanding the above, Respondent shall investigate the presence of lead and mercury.

- identify non-hazardous and hazardous waste and other hazardous materials at the Site; and
- comply with all prevailing standards and guidelines, including, but not limited to, the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection's (the "Department" or "DEEP") Site Characterization Guidance Document; and
- include:
 - the proposed location and depths of any additional ground water monitoring wells;
 - a proposed sampling and analytical program including at least the parameters to be tested, proposed sampling and analytical methods, for sediments within the boundary of the Site and soils, surface water, groundwater, the Plant and other structures at the Site and any contents therein as set forth above;
 - quality assurance and quality control procedures; and
 - a schedule for conducting the investigation.

The proposed Scope of Study for the Commissioner's review and approval may reference and evaluate existing data to support the proposed investigation.

- c. If the Commissioner determines that the investigation carried out under the approved Scope of Study, in addition to previous studies and investigations of the Site, does not fully characterize the extent and degree of soil, sediment (within the boundaries of the Site), ground water, and surface water pollution at the Site as well as contamination of the Plant or other structures on the Site and any contents therein, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner, Respondent shall perform additional investigation in accordance with a supplemental plan and schedule approved in writing by the Commissioner. Unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, the supplemental plan and schedule shall be submitted by Respondent for the Commissioner's review and written approval on or before thirty (30) days after notice from the Commissioner that such supplemental plan is required.
- d. Respondent shall implement the approved Scope of Study and, if same are required, any approved supplemental plan(s), in accordance with the approved schedule(s). Respondent shall notify the Commissioner of the date and time of installation of monitoring wells and of each soil, on-site

sediment, building material and water sampling event at least five (5) full business days prior to such installation or sampling.

- e. Except as may be provided in the investigation schedule approved by the Commissioner, on or before thirty (30) days after the approved date for completion of the investigation, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a comprehensive and thorough report which:
- 1) describes in detail the investigation performed;
 - 2) identifies the type, quantity and location of all asbestos, non-hazardous and hazardous wastes or other hazardous materials on the Site;
 - 3) defines the existing and potential extent and degree of soil, sediment within the boundary of the Site, ground water, and surface water pollution as well as all contamination of the Plant and any other structures on the Site and contents therein;
 - 4) evaluates the alternatives for remedial actions to abate on-site pollution and impacts for industrial/commercial use of the Site, including but not limited to any alternative specified by the Commissioner, which alternatives are in compliance with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations, provided that
 - to address the direct exposure and volatilization requirements under the RSRs for all contaminants (other than PCBs which are addressed below), Respondent will not be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions other than those required to comply with the commercial/industrial provisions in the RSRs;
 - for PCBs, for direct exposure, a) outside the buildings, Respondent will not be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions other than those required to comply with 40 CFR Part 761 and with the inaccessible soil provisions of §22a-133k-2(b)(3) of the RSRs, b) inside the buildings, the Respondent shall only be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions associated with the high occupancy standards in 40 CFR Part 761, and c) under the buildings, the Respondent shall only be obligated to evaluate alternatives for remedial actions associated with the more stringent of the high occupancy standards in 40 CFR Part 761 and the inaccessible soil provisions of §22a-133k-2(b)(3) of the RSRs; and
 - The RSR Pollutant Mobility provisions, for both PCBs and for releases into fill, apply in full to all alternatives; the Fill Variance exempts the Pollutant Mobility provisions with respect to the fill itself. The alternatives for remedial actions evaluated by Respondent must also include those alternatives for remedial actions required to comply with this Consent Order as set forth in this subsection B.1. and any approval

issued to Respondent by the Connecticut Department of Public Health requiring Respondent to abate asbestos containing materials that are friable, damaged, unstable, and accessible or may be disturbed by other actions required by this Consent Order.

- 5) states in detail the most expeditious schedule for performing each alternative;
 - 6) lists all permits and approvals required for each alternative, including but not limited to any permits required under Sections 22a-32, 22a-42a, 22a-342, 22a-361, 22a-368, 22a-430, 22a-465 or 22a-467 of the Connecticut General Statutes;
 - 7) proposes a preferred alternative from among those evaluated pursuant to and consistent with the provisions identified in Section B.1.e.4., with supporting justification therefor;
 - 8) provides that Respondent shall only be required to abate asbestos that is friable, damaged, unstable, and accessible or may be disturbed by other actions required by this Consent Order, and to determine how to conduct asbestos abatement in a manner that is necessary to comply with all applicable laws; and
 - 9) proposes a detailed program and schedule to perform the preferred on-site remedial actions, including but not limited to a schedule for applying for and obtaining all permits and approvals required for such remedial actions.
- f. Unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, on or before thirty (30) days after approval of the report described in the preceding section, Respondent shall submit, for the Commissioner's review and written approval, contract plans and specifications for the approved remedial actions, a revised list of all permits and approvals required for such on-site actions, and a revised schedule for applying for and obtaining such permits and approvals, consistent with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations and this Consent Order. Respondent shall use best efforts to obtain all required permits and approvals.
- g. Respondent shall implement the approved remedial actions in accordance with the approved schedule. Respondent shall notify the Commissioner at least five (5) full business days prior to conducting remedial actions at the Site. Any such notice may include multiple dates that Respondent expects to be undertaking remediation at the Site. Within fifteen (15) days after completing such actions, Respondent shall certify to the Commissioner in writing that the actions have been completed as approved.
- h. Except as may be provided in the approved remedial action schedule, on or before thirty (30) days after the approved date for completion of the remediation, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a comprehensive and thorough report which describes all remedial actions performed at the Site. Such report shall also include a soil,

on-site sediment, ground water and surface water post-remediation monitoring program to determine the degree to which the approved on-site remedial actions have been effective, and a schedule for performing the post-remediation monitoring program. Respondent shall implement the approved monitoring program to determine the effectiveness of the remedial actions in accordance with the approved schedule.

- i. If the approved remedial actions do not result in the prevention and abatement of soil, on-site sediment, ground water, and surface water pollution and contamination of the Plant, other structures on the Site or items contained therein, in a manner that complies with all applicable state and federal statutes and regulations, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner, additional remedial actions and measures for monitoring and reporting on the effectiveness of those actions shall be performed in accordance with a supplemental plan and schedule approved in writing by the Commissioner, provided Respondent shall not be required to take actions more stringent than as provided in section B.1.e.4. and section B.1.k. Unless otherwise specified in writing by the Commissioner, the supplemental plan and schedule shall be submitted for the Commissioner's review and written approval on or before thirty (30) days after notice from the Commissioner that such supplemental plan is required.
 - j. On a schedule established by the Commissioner or, if no such schedule is established, on a quarterly basis beginning no later than ninety (90) days after completion of the approved remedial actions or, as applicable, supplemental remedial actions, Respondent shall submit for the Commissioner's review and written approval a report describing the results to date of the approved monitoring program to determine the effectiveness of the on-site remedial actions.
 - k. The current zoning of the Site is heavy industrial. The remedial actions shall be consistent with the current zoned use and be no more stringent than those alternatives referenced in Section B.1.e.4. and Section B.1.e.7., and, if approved therein, may make use of environmental land use restrictions ("ELURs") and/or existing or constructed features that render soil inaccessible or environmentally isolated in accordance with the RSRs. Nothing herein prevents Respondent from agreeing to a more stringent standard of remediation.
2. Revisions. Respondent may, by written request, ask that the Commissioner approve, in writing, revisions to any document approved hereunder in order to make such document consistent with law or for any other appropriate reason.
 3. Site Security. Subject to Section B.18. concerning Site Access, upon the Access Date of this Consent Order and until Respondent is in full compliance with the requirements of Section B.1. hereto (as provided in Section B.7.), Respondent shall maintain security at the Site. Respondent shall maintain security at the Site to, at a

minimum, the current level of security maintained at the Site by the Current Owner and approved by the Commissioner.

4. Effective Date. Respondent's parent company has made application to the Public Utilities Regulatory Authority ("PURA") and Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities ("MDPU") for approval of a merger transaction ("Transaction") with a subsidiary of Iberdrola USA, Inc. (the "PURA Application"). Respondent shall promptly notify the Commissioner when the Transaction closes. The "Effective Date" of this order shall be the later of the Closing of the Transaction or when this Consent Order becomes a final order of the Commissioner; provided, however, that if the Transaction does not close within ninety (90) days following the receipt of PURA approval and approval of the MDPU, then the Commissioner has the discretion to terminate this agreement. If PURA does not approve the PURA Application then this agreement is null and void. Respondent shall have no obligations under this Consent Order until the Effective Date.
5. Access Date. The "Access Date" is the date that the Commissioner provides written notification to Respondent that the Commissioner has secured "Required Access" as defined in Section B.18. and that such Required Access is in effect after the Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Order, Respondent shall have no obligations under this Consent Order prior to the Effective Date.
6. Progress Reports. On or before the last day of each month following the Effective Date and continuing until all actions required by this order have been completed as approved and to the Commissioner's satisfaction, Respondent shall submit a progress report to the Commissioner describing the actions which Respondent has taken to date to comply with this order including the amounts incurred regarding such compliance; provided, however, that for any period in which actions required by this order consist solely of groundwater monitoring, Respondent shall submit a progress report on or before the last day of each month in which a groundwater monitoring event takes place.
7. Full Compliance. Respondent shall not be considered in full compliance with this Consent Order until all actions required by this order have been completed as approved and to the Commissioner's satisfaction. Subject to Section B.15., upon such full compliance or in the event of payment by Respondent as provided in Section B.18. or Section B.24., the Commissioner will issue to Respondent a certificate of compliance, which shall fully and finally conclude Respondent's obligations with respect to the Site, and Respondent shall have no further obligation or liability for any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner relating thereto, except in the event of Respondent's unlawful behavior or gross negligence.
8. Sampling. All sampling shall be performed in accordance with procedures specified or approved in writing by the Commissioner, or, if no such procedures have been specified or approved, in accordance with the most recent final version of EPA publication SW-846, entitled "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste,

Physical/Chemical Methods," Standard Operating Procedures for Sampling Porous Surfaces For Polychlorinated Biphenyls, the most recent final version of the Department's "Site Characterization Guidance Document," and relevant policies and guidelines issued by the Commissioner.

9. Sample Analyses. All sample analyses which are required by this order and all reporting of such sample analyses shall be conducted by a laboratory certified by the Connecticut Department of Public Health and approved to conduct such analyses. In addition,
 - The Reasonable Confidence Protocols shall be used when there is a method published by Department. In all cases where the Reasonable Confidence Protocol method is used, a properly completed laboratory QA/QC certification form, certified by the laboratory shall be provided to the Commissioner with the analytical data.
 - In cases where a Reasonable Confidence Protocol method has not been published, the analytical data shall be generated using a method approved by the Commissioner, such method shall include and report a level of quality control and documentation equivalent to the Reasonable Confidence Protocols.
 - The reporting limit shall be established consistent with the Reasonable Confidence Protocols and standard industrial and laboratory practices. The Reporting Limit shall not be set at levels greater than those used in such standard practices, as determined by the Commissioner, in consultation with the Commissioner of Public Health and in no case shall be greater than the Applicable Criteria or Background Concentration established in §22a-133k-1 through §22a-133k-3 of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies. The Reporting Limit for a given sample shall be corrected for specific sample weight or volume, and dilutions, and, for soil and sediment samples moisture content (reported as dry weight).
10. Approvals. Respondent shall use best efforts to submit to the Commissioner all documents required by this order in a complete and approvable form. If the Commissioner notifies Respondent that any document or other action is deficient, and does not approve it with conditions or modifications, it is deemed disapproved, and Respondent shall correct the deficiencies and resubmit it within the time specified by the Commissioner or, if no time is specified by the Commissioner, within thirty (30) days of the Commissioner's notice of deficiencies. In approving any document or other action under this order, the Commissioner may approve the document or other action as submitted or performed or with such conditions or modifications as the Commissioner deems necessary to carry out the purposes of this order. Nothing in this section shall excuse noncompliance or delay. Any reference in this Consent Order to an approved document such as a scope of work or a schedule shall mean approved by the Commissioner.

11. Definitions. As used in this order, "Commissioner" means the Commissioner or a representative of the Commissioner.
12. Dates. The date of submission to the Commissioner of any document required by this order shall be the date such document is received by the Commissioner. The date of any notice by the Commissioner under this order, including but not limited to, notice of approval or disapproval of any document or other action, shall be the date such notice is deposited in the U.S. mail or is personally delivered, whichever is earlier. Except as otherwise specified in this order, the word "day" as used in this order means calendar day. Any document or action which is required by this order to be submitted or performed by a date which falls on a Saturday, Sunday or a Connecticut or federal holiday shall be submitted or performed by the next day which is not a Saturday, Sunday or Connecticut or federal holiday.
13. Certification of Documents. Any document, including but not limited to any notice, which is required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this order shall be signed by Respondent or, if a Respondent is not an individual, by such Respondent's chief executive officer or a duly authorized representative of such officer, or by a "responsible corporate officer" of Respondent as that term is defined in §22a-430-3(b)(2) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and by the LEP(s) or other individual(s) responsible for actually preparing such document, and Respondent or Respondent's chief executive officer and each such individual shall certify in writing as follows:
- "I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto, and I certify, based on reasonable investigation, including my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining the information, that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement made in the submitted information is punishable as a criminal offense under §53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law."
14. False Statements. Any false statement in any information submitted pursuant to this order is punishable as a criminal offense under §53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law.
15. Commissioner's Powers. Subject to provisions of Section B.23., nothing in this order shall affect the Commissioner's authority to institute any proceeding or take any other action to prevent or abate violations of law, prevent or abate pollution, recover costs and natural resource damages, and to impose penalties for past, present, or future violations of law. If at any time the Commissioner determines that the actions taken by Respondent pursuant to this order have not successfully corrected all violations, fully characterized the extent and degree of any pollution or successfully abated or prevented pollution, the Commissioner may institute any

proceeding to require Respondent to undertake further investigation or further action to prevent or abate violations or pollution; provided, however, that in the event the Commissioner issues a certificate of compliance pursuant to Section B.7., the Commissioner may only institute any proceeding to require Respondent to undertake further investigation or further action to prevent or abate violations or pollution after issuing a certificate of compliance if the Commissioner determines that a certificate of compliance was obtained through the submittal of materially inaccurate or erroneous information, or otherwise materially misleading information or that material misrepresentations were made in connection with the obtaining of the certificate of compliance. In accordance with Conn. Gen. Stat. Sec. 22a-6dd, the requirements and standards for remediation required of Respondent pursuant to this Consent Order shall not be modified by the Department unless both the Department and Respondent agree to such modification.

16. Respondent's Obligations Under Law. Nothing in this order shall relieve Respondent of other obligations under applicable federal, state and local law.
17. No Assurance by Commissioner. No provision of this order and no action or inaction by the Commissioner shall be construed to constitute an assurance by the Commissioner that the actions taken by Respondent pursuant to this order will result in compliance or prevent or abate pollution.
18. Access to Site. The Commissioner and Respondent acknowledge that Respondent does not currently own, or control access to, the Site, and that Respondent requires access, without interference from the Current Owner or the property owner, necessary to be able to comply with its obligations under this Consent Order to investigate, remediate, monitor and secure the Site and shall not be obligated to proceed with such obligations that require Site access unless and until it has such access and only for so long as it continues to have access pursuant to the terms of this Section B.18. The Commissioner will endeavor, using all reasonable efforts, to obtain and, if so obtained, will use all reasonable efforts to maintain, access to, or control of, the Site, pursuant to a written access agreement, on terms that enable Respondent to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Order requiring Respondent to investigate, remediate, monitor and secure the Site, and that require the Current Owner (or, as applicable, any subsequent owners) to (i) refrain from engaging in actions that adversely, substantially and materially affect Respondent's ability to comply with the obligations under this Consent Order or otherwise engaging in activities that cause environmental conditions or exacerbate or contribute to existing environmental conditions at the Site that cause a significant increase in costs, (ii) agree to the recordation and implementation of ELURs pursuant to 40 CFR Part 761 and the RSRs, as applicable, and (iii) include notice of such ELURs in any sale or lease agreement regarding the Site and terms that expressly condition any such sale or lease agreement on the purchaser's or lessee's (as applicable) agreement to assume all liabilities arising from the failure by such purchaser or lessee to comply with the ELUR(s) ("Required Access"). Respondent shall make all reasonable efforts to

support any effort by the Commissioner to obtain the Required Access and shall not take any actions to impede or prevent the Required Access. Reasonable efforts by Respondent shall include, but not be limited to, providing a release, indemnification and hold harmless to the Current Owner from liability as the Current Owner arising solely out of the activities of Respondent or its contractors on the Site in the course of performing work under this Consent Order, and shall further include, if requested by the Commissioner, a release by Respondent of contribution claims against the Current Owner in respect of onsite conditions at the Site as long as the Current Owner, on behalf of itself and its owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members, also agrees to provide a reciprocal general release reasonably acceptable to Respondent. Reasonable efforts by Respondent shall not include paying the Current Owner or its owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members or reimbursing or funding, directly or indirectly, all or any part of any payment to the Current Owner or its owners, agents, officers, directors, shareholders, partners and members by others or remediating to standards that are more stringent than required by this Consent Order. In the event that the Commissioner after the Effective Date, in his sole discretion, determines (following consultation with Respondent) that the Commissioner is unable to secure the Required Access, the Commissioner may direct Respondent to make payment to the Commissioner in accordance with this section in lieu of completing performance of work otherwise required in this Consent Order. In the event that the Commissioner, after the Effective Date, is unable to maintain Required Access to the Site, then the three year period for completion in Section B.1. is tolled until either the Commissioner obtains access or until the Commissioner, following consultation with Respondent, but in his sole discretion, directs Respondent to make payment to the Commissioner in accordance with this section in lieu of completing performance of work otherwise required by this Consent Order. The Commissioner shall give notice of such direction to Respondent together with a draft certificate of compliance. Within one hundred twenty (120) days of receipt of such notice, Respondent shall pay, by cashier or certified check, \$30 million minus any costs incurred or accrued for remediation and investigation (not including attorney's fees and any direct time charges of Respondent's employees, managers or officers) after the Effective Date of this order for compliance with this order, to the account designated by the Commissioner, and such payment shall fully resolve Respondent's obligations herein and the Commissioner shall provide a certificate of compliance as provided for in Section B.7. herein. The Commissioner shall use the funds for the investigation and remediation of the Site, and any funds remaining after the completion of the investigation and remediation of the Site shall, with the concurrence of the Governor and the Attorney General, be used for a public purpose. Within thirty (30) days of the Commissioner's issuance of such notice and certificate of compliance, Respondent shall provide a detailed accounting of any remedial costs incurred. Payment of the funds required by this section shall satisfy Respondent's obligations under this Consent Order.

19. No Effect on Rights of Other Persons. This order neither creates nor affects any rights of persons, entities (of any form or nature) or municipalities that are not parties to this order. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the parties expressly disclaim any intent to create any rights enforceable by any non-parties as third-party beneficiaries hereunder.
20. Notice to Commissioner of Changes. Within fifteen (15) days of the date Respondent becomes aware of a change in any information submitted to the Commissioner under this order, or that any such information was inaccurate or misleading or that any relevant information was omitted, Respondent shall submit the correct or omitted information to the Commissioner.
21. Notification of Noncompliance. In the event that Respondent becomes aware that it did not or may not comply, or did not or may not comply on time, with any requirement of this order or of any document required hereunder, Respondent shall immediately notify by telephone the individuals identified in the next section and shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that any noncompliance or delay is avoided or, if unavoidable, is minimized to the greatest extent possible. Within five (5) days of the initial notice, Respondent shall submit in writing the date, time, and duration of the noncompliance and the reasons for the noncompliance or delay and propose, for the review and written approval of the Commissioner, dates by which compliance will be achieved, and Respondent shall comply with any dates which may be approved in writing by the Commissioner. Notification by Respondent shall not excuse noncompliance or delay, and the Commissioner's approval of any compliance dates proposed shall not excuse noncompliance or delay unless specifically so stated by the Commissioner in writing.
22. Submission of Documents. Any document required to be submitted to the Commissioner under this order shall, unless otherwise specified in this order or in writing by the Commissioner, be directed to:

Gary Trombly, Jr.
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Storage Tank & PCB Enforcement Unit
79 Elm Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

And

Craig Bobrowiecki
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
Remediation Division
79 Elm Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06106

23. Effect of Order. Except as provided herein, as of the Effective Date, other than as may be necessary to compel Respondent's compliance with this Consent Order (i) this Consent Order fully resolves all matters alleged in Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 against Respondent at the Site, and all known claims of the Commissioner against Respondent related to environmental conditions at the Site, (ii) subject to Section B.15., upon such full compliance or in the event of payment by Respondent as provided for in Section B.18. and Section B.24., the Commissioner will issue to Respondent a certificate of compliance, which shall fully and finally conclude Respondent's obligations with respect to the Site and Respondent shall have no further obligation or liability for any matter within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner relating thereto, except in the event of Respondent's unlawful behavior or gross negligence, (iii) the Commissioner agrees to dismiss all claims, orders, demands, and allegations raised in Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 against Respondent in connection with environmental conditions at the Site. Nothing in this Consent Order shall prevent the Commissioner from maintaining Administrative Order No. AOWSPCB 13-001 and proceedings relating thereto, or initiating new proceedings or actions, with respect to environmental impacts at off-site locations, including, but not limited to, sediments, soil, groundwater or any contaminants that have emanated offsite from the Site.


24. Provisions Relating to the Cost of Compliance with this Order. If the total costs to Respondent of performing the obligations after the Effective Date of this Consent Order exceed \$30 million, the State, at Respondent's request, will discuss options for recovering or funding any costs above that amount, for example, through public funding or recovery from third parties, but is not bound to agree to or support any means of recovery or funding. Nothing in this section shall alter Respondent's obligation to fully comply with this Consent Order, including but not limited to, the time for compliance during any time that there are discussions about recovery of costs exceeding \$30 million. Respondent shall comply with this Consent Order even if the costs of such compliance exceed \$30 million, except in the event of payment by Respondent as provided for in Section B.18.

Respondent shall maintain an accounting of all of the costs incurred or accrued regarding compliance with this Consent Order. Upon issuance of a certificate of compliance pursuant to Section B.7. herein, to the extent that the costs incurred by Respondent under this Consent Order for the investigation and remediation of the Site after the Effective Date are less than \$30 million, then Respondent shall remit to the State the difference between such costs and \$30 million for a public purpose as determined in the discretion of the Governor, the Attorney General, and the Commissioner. Within thirty (30) days of a written demand by the Commissioner for the accounting of the costs incurred by Respondent regarding compliance with this Consent Order, Respondent shall provide a detailed accounting of such costs. Within thirty (30) days following a written demand by the Commissioner and the issuance by the Commissioner of a certificate of compliance resolving Respondent's liabilities regarding matters addressed in this

Consent Order, Respondent shall make payment of the difference between such costs (which shall include any costs incurred or accrued in relation to the cessation of activities) and \$30 million to the recipient identified by the Commissioner.

Respondent consents to the issuance of this Partial Consent Order without further notice. The undersigned certifies that JAMES P. TORGERMAN is fully authorized to enter into this Partial Consent Order and to legally bind Respondent The United Illuminating Company to the terms and conditions of the Consent Order.

Respondent The United Illuminating Company

BY: 

DATE: 9/16/15

ORDER NO. _____

Issued as a final order of the Commissioner of Energy and Environmental Protection.

BY: _____

Commissioner

DATE: _____

Exhibit A - English Station, 510 Grand Avenue, New Haven




EXHIBIT B TO PARTIAL CONSENT ORDER NUMBER COWSPCB 15-001

Project Management Consultant:

TRC Environmental Corporation
21 Griffin Road North
Windsor, Connecticut 06095
860-298-9692

Project Licensed Environmental Professional (LEP):

Sarah Trombetta, CPG, LEP, CHMM
TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Licensed Environmental Professional, Connecticut (#294, 1998)
Certified Professional Geologist, American Institute of Professional Geologist, (#8899, 1993)
Certified Hazardous Materials Manager (#15404, 2010)

Project Support LEP:

Marya Mahoney, LEP
TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Licensed Environmental Professional, Connecticut, (#478, 2007)

TRC Project Resources:

Ed Doubleday, Project Management and Project Performance
TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Certified Project Management Professional (2011)
FEMA Incident Command Station Certification (2008)
U.S. Naval Academy

Carl Stopper, P.E., Connecticut Professional Engineer, TRC PCB and Site Remediation Expert
TRC Windsor, Connecticut Office
Professional Engineer, Connecticut (#13255, 1984)

Eric Plimpton, P.E., Connecticut Professional Engineer, TRC Asbestos Materials Expert
TRC Windsor Connecticut Office
Professional Engineer, Connecticut (#20593) 1998
Certified Hazardous Materials Manager, Master Level (#11384) 2002
Certified Safety Management Practitioner (#14197) 2013
Asbestos Analyst, AIHA (#4554) 1992
Asbestos Project Monitor, Connecticut (#000082) 1993
Asbestos Management Planner, Connecticut (#000219) 2002
Asbestos Inspector, Connecticut (#000074/000219) 1993
Asbestos Project Designer, Connecticut (#000152) 1999
Lead Inspector/Risk Assessor, Connecticut (#001206) 1996
Lead Planner Project Designer, Connecticut (#001866) 1998

David Sullivan, TRC Indoor TSCA/PCB Expert
TRC Lowell, Massachusetts Office
Massachusetts Licensed Site Professional (#1488, 2004)
Extensive experience with USEPA Region I TSCA Office and Managers

Stacy McAnulty, P.E., TRC Site Remediation and PCB Sediment Expert
TRC Madison, Wisconsin, Office
Professional Engineer in Wisconsin, Maine, Colorado, and North Carolina