

# Options to grow federal grants

Eliminating self-imposed barriers<sup>+</sup>  
to bringing more federal resources  
into Connecticut

*Presented to the Advisory Commission on  
Intergovernmental Relations on October 7, 2022*

# Options

1. Simplify federal-state fund matching
2. Align federal and state definitions
3. Allow in-kind matches on federal grants
4. Set up a grant matching fund
5. Establish central federal funding office

*Note: these options support each other.  
(They are not mutually exclusive.)*

# Simplify federal-state fund matching

- + Many state grant programs (e.g., TOD, STEAP) bar using federal funds to meet non-state cost share requirements
- + This prohibition is neither in federal/state law but is administratively added by state agencies via grant program guidelines
- + Effects of this:
  - + Increased complexity when trying to use state funds to leverage federal funds (satisfying state-local AND federal-nonfederal matching requirements)
  - + Applicants who cannot fund a local match forego applying to federal grants  
→ fewer opportunities to bring in federal tax dollars to CT
- + **Solution: waiver lifting this restriction**

# Align federal and state definitions

- + Criteria in state grant eligibility/priority determinations do not align with federal criteria in same area. (E.G., EDA economic distress criteria conflict with DECD distressed municipality criteria)
- + In some cases, grant program criteria are set by state law; in others, they are determined administratively by state agencies
- + Effects:
  - + Projects solicited by state agencies for federal programs may not be competitive under those programs
  - + Projects developed for state grant programs may not be eligible or score high on federal grant programs → missed opportunity to bring in additional federal funds
  - + Additional overhead to develop federal grant applications (cannot reuse state ones)
- + **Solution: use federal definitions in state grant programs**

# Allow in-kind matches on federal grants

- + “In-kind” is the calculated value of real property, equipment, goods, or services
- + Many federal grant programs allow the use of in-kind expenditures (instead of cash) to meet non-federal cost share requirements
- + State agencies often attach a condition on federal programs that flow through them disallowing this option
- + Effects:
  - + Applicants cannot count existing in-kind expenditures towards federal grants
  - + Instead must find a cash match → limiting factor on going after federal funds (or increased burden on local taxpayers)
- + **Solution:**
  - + **Default to allowing in-kind matches unless there is a specific need to disallow them**
  - + **Track state in-kind expenditures so that they can be used as a match**
  - + **Create a uniform protocol for municipalities and COGs to use to account for in-kind**

# Set up a grant matching fund

- + Most federal grants require a non-federal cost share ("match")
- + Finding match is a major hurdle to overcome. Options:
  - + Use state grants (currently disallowed by CT)
  - + Use in-kind (currently disallowed by CT in some cases)
  - + Use local resources (increases local tax burden and may require bonding referendums)
- + A statewide grant matching pool or 'challenge fund' would eliminate this obstacle and encourage applications. Could cover all or part of non-federal share
  - + A protocol could be set up to encourage municipalities to be entrepreneurial in their grant hunting
- + State has interest in obtaining federal awards at all levels: more grants → more jobs, more state tax revenues (fund could partly pay for itself)
- + Force multiplier: if local investment in area X has a multiplier of 3, and a federal grant is 80/20, the effective multiplier is 15!
- + **Solution: establish a state grant matching fund**

# Establish central federal funding office

- + Approach to grants has been reactive (develop grant application after a solicitation has been announced – often leads to a rushed process)
- + Little coordination among applicants (many groups chasing the same grants, while other grants are ignored). # of awards CT gets under each grant realistically is limited so we are competing against ourselves – suboptimal use of resources
- + Solution: set up central office to coordinate on federal funding:
  - + Develop and implement strategy to maximize federal participation
    - + E.g. a state match fund for federal TOD grants, instead of a 100% state TOD grant
  - + (Re)design programs to maximize federal funding
  - + Identify future grants and determine priorities to apply to
  - + Standing interagency workgroups to disseminate information about opportunities and coordinate responses
  - + Develop projects, secure funds for these priorities in advance of solicitations