CERTIFIED COPY STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PUBLIC UTILITIES REGULATORY AUTHORITY STATE WATER PLANNING COUNCIL Regular Meeting held Via Teleconference on December 7, 2021, beginning at 1:30 p.m. Held Before: JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN

1	Appearances:
2	WATER PLANNING COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
3	JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN (PURA)
4	MARTIN HEFT (OPM)
5	LORI MATHIEU (DPH)
6	GRAHAM STEVENS (DEEP)
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT (on record):
9	VIRGINIA de LIMA
10	ALICEA CHARAMUT
11	MARGARET MINER
12	DENISE SAVAGEAU
13	JOSH CANSLER
14	CAROL HASKINS
15	KAREN BURNASKA
16	DAVID KUZMINSKI
17	CORINNE FITTING
18	
19	Staff:
20	LAURA LUPOLI
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Good afternoon, everyone, and 2 welcome to the Water Planning Council meeting for 3 December 7, 2021. The first order of business 4 will be the approval of the November 3, 2021, 5 meeting transcript. 6 Do I have a motion to accept that? 7 MARTIN HEFT: So moved. 8 GRAHAM STEVENS: Seconded. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: Motion made and seconded. 10 Any questions on the motion? 11 12 (No response.) 13 14 THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by 15 saying, aye. 16 THE COUNCIL: Aye. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: Just for everybody with us today, we're 18 going to conduct the meeting -- we're going to 19 public comment at the beginning of the meeting, 20 and then we're going to offer up at the end of the 21 meeting if anybody has something additional. 22 But we'd like to mostly keep the agenda 23 itself for those giving reports, and if people 24 have questions they can ask later on, so to give 25 the Water Planning Council an opportunity to

1 interact. 2 So with that, is there any public comment? 3 Any public comment in the beginning? 4 MARTIN HEFT: Mr. Chairman, may I make a suggestion? 5 THE CHAIRMAN: Yes. 6 Sorry. It's that if anyone has public MARTIN HEFT: 7 comment now that it pertains to the items on the 8 agenda for the first set of public comment, and 9 then at the end of the meeting it would be 10 anything that's not on the agenda for people to 11 bring up under public comment. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. It sounds good. And I see --13 Karen Burnaska, are you raising your hand? 14 KAREN BURNASKA: No, I think I was just scratching my 15 head. Sorry. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: I see a hand raised in front of you. Ιt 17 must be my computer. 18 Anybody wish to speak to us before we began? 19 20 (No response.) 21 22 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Let's move onto correspondence, 23 correspondence received. We have one bit of 24 correspondence -- and Margaret Miner I believe is 25 with us, and Margaret had sent us an e-mail

1 relative to an issue that she had. 2 And we hope that Margaret's feeling better. 3 I thought Margaret was with us -- oh, there 4 she is. Okay. Margaret. 5 Margaret, I hope that you're feeling better 6 and we appreciate you being with us, but you had 7 sent some correspondence relative to a mold issue 8 in Danbury. 9 MS. LUPOLI: Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure she has audio. 10 I think she has the video. I don't see an audio 11 next to her name, so she may not be able to speak. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So why don't we hold off on that 13 until Lori gets on, because I think Lori had some 14 dialogue with Margaret about that issue. 15 can bring that up later on. 16 No other correspondence. Let's move on, 17 right on to Virginia de Lima and the 18 implementation workgroup update. 19 Virginia? 20 VIRGINIA de LIMA: Okay. Well, as you know, we have 21 three things that we're focused on at the moment. 22 The outreach and education group, Denise will 23 give us an update on that shortly. 24 Also we have moving the implementation 25 tracking and reporting group and they met their in first meeting and went over some of the background information, the statutory requirements for implementation and tracking, and what the state water plan says about it.

They are the process of setting another meeting date for the end of the month. Obviously, it's a challenge for the holidays but then they'll be really looking at some of the results from the brainstorming session that most of you participated in back a couple of months ago. And so they're off to a great start.

Also the workgroup that's looking at the water chief position had their initial meeting.

And again, it is off to a great start looking at background stuff.

And one of the things that we were focused on was there was a general consensus that the position should be at a fairly high level with responsibilities to really do something, as opposed to just being an administrative type of position. We agreed that we are going to look at other states and other agencies within Connecticut that had positions, perhaps had similar positions.

We've already reached out to some of the other states like Oregon to see what they can

share with us in terms of giving us guidance.

The group will be working individually off of a Google Drive document for the month of December, and then we plan to meet twice a month in January and February hoping to have completed a draft for review in February.

So that is our plan. The future meeting dates will be January 7th and 21st, and February 4th. Those are all Fridays and we will be meeting from 11 to 12:30, but as I said, the first stage of work we're going to be doing our own research coming up with our own ideas and sharing them on a on a Google Doc.

Dave, do you want to add anything to that?

Or Corinne if you're on the call?

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Just corroborate that we had a good first meeting. We've got good participation.

Some folks who expressed interest in participating like Maureen Westbrook, Steve Groupar and Dave Cobb couldn't make it, but we tried to schedule future meetings around their availability and I expect to have seven or eight participants through the process to wrap it up hopefully fairly quickly and get you something in the early part of the year.

1	VIRGINIA de LIMA: And Corinne, is there anything that
2	you want to add for the implementation tracking
3	and reporting group?
4	CORINNE FITTING: No, I don't think so. We're off to a
5	good start and we're, you know, just sort of
6	getting everybody up to speed for that first
7	meeting, and then we'll dive in for the next one.
8	But I'm looking forward it's a good group.
9	I'm looking forward to working with everybody.
10	VIRGINIA de LIMA: Great. Thank you.
11	Any questions?
12	THE CHAIRMAN: I think the tracking group is off to a
13	really good start. I participated in some of the
14	calls and we've got a good foundation moving
15	forward, and I thank everybody for their
16	participation so far.
17	Okay. You want to turn it over to Denise,
18	Virginia?
19	VIRGINIA de LIMA: Yeah. Denise, if you'll bring us up
20	to date on the outreach and education group,
21	please?
22	GRAHAM STEVENS: Can I just jump in here for a second?
23	Sorry, Jack. I just wanted to update everyone.
24	At the last meeting Lori and I had
25	volunteered to meet, to talk more about some of

the internal state logistics surrounding that water chief, and how we might potentially structure agreements and fund the position.

And I just did want to say that while we don't have anything formal to provide back to the Water Planning council at this time, we did have a couple of productive discussions. And I think that the one thing that -- I don't want to speak for Lori, but I think the one thing that we shared in the outcome of those discussions was some real optimism, you know that this might be the opportunity to really, really supercharge the Water Planning Council with the addition of this, this water chief.

And we've been keeping tabs on discussions that David and Virginia and others are having with respect to the duties and responsibilities, and we like what we see thus far, and certainly are hopeful that this will be something that, although just briefly mentioned in the state water plan, something that will be vitally important just for our success, our shared success going forward.

I don't know, Lori, if you had anything else you wanted to add?

THE CHAIRMAN: Lori?

GRAHAM STEVENS: Sorry if I was speaking for you.

LORI MATHIEU: That's okay, Graham. Any time. Any time, but I'm glad you brought it up.

And yeah, Graham and I have been chatting quite a bit, as we always do, but this one in particular is of great interest -- and to our department, and I think it will provide the emphasis that we need to help us move forward as a council.

I think we have to -- The four of us really should chat about what we believe are some of the most basic important items. I know we never -- the four of us really never have time to do this, or we can't do this under executive session.

But for me, and I was there when the plan was being drafted, this became an item at the very end and. We really didn't have, you know, Jack, you were there -- we didn't have a lot of time at the very end of putting the plan together to put a lot of documentation about conversations we were having about the need for this particular position.

So we sort of ran out of time there. So there's not much in the state water plan, but there's a mention of how important this position

would be.

I would agree that the position needs to be high level. I would agree that the position does not -- I would say, should not really work for any one of our agencies.

I think that that's an important factor, that this person would be hired under some sort of mechanism yet to be determined, and funded by some sort of mechanism yet to be determined, but that not any one of us should really control this particular individual. And that it would be made clear that this person would work on behalf of the Council for the four of us.

You know I think that it's so important, those particular items that I just mentioned, that they are the cornerstones and the foundation of this, what this person would do and what this person -- who this person would be responsible to.

There's so many things that we all need to accomplish under the state water plan. So now is the time and I think I would like to thank

Virginia and David, and everyone who's worked on this. So I appreciate that.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Jack, may I suggest that this be an explicit item on your January agenda so that you

have the opportunity to have that discussion?

That would be perfect timing for the group,

because as I said, we're working independently and

our first meeting is the second week -- well, not

the second week of January, but would be

immediately after your January meeting.

And so to have heard your input at that point would be a great benefit to the group.

THE CHAIRMAN: Actually, it's going to come up later on today, is that we're going to discuss having a special meeting of the Water Planning Council to discuss the goals and objectives of the state water plan, and that's going to be the only thing, and we can put that on as well.

So it's going to be a special meeting. We can discuss who the water coordinators are, whatever you want to call it, and the goals and objectives.

Martin has a time commitment today. He's got to hop off at 2:30 -- but we really want to. I think we have the vote, and I agree that -- this was Martin's suggestion. I think it's a good one, that an entire meeting, that because certainly when you look at the goals and objectives of the state water plan, the water tzar, it could be an

integral part of that. So the two go hand in hand. So I see having a special meeting to deal with that, and we'll get that set up before 7th.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Excellent, thank you. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Okay. Any other questions on the comments? Thank you, Lori.

We're going to move over to Denise who sent us a nice --

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Hi, and I'm going to do a screen share. So you'll have that in front of you.

Okay, can everybody see that okay?

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: And what I started with is this is actually the state water plan full task list. So I just wanted -- one of the things we did before we put the work plan together was we looked and said, like, okay. So what's in the state water plan?

So we have this where it says, outreach education, and public engagement, and to create a new outreach, education and public engagement framework to align with the state water plan goals. So that's kind of, you know, and so there's a couple of items here.

So we looked at this and then we also just looked at this other little section down here outlined in blue where it says, the near-term goals was to begin in outreach programs. So I think it's important that, you know, this is in the state water plan and what we need to do.

So we're looking at that, the near-term goals is to keep it in their outreach plan. So with those, that in mind we've come up with a product that we have sent to you.

This has evolved. We've actually sent this to you several times, and have taken the comments now that you guys have made and also that the implementation world group has provided to us, and have updated that to reflect those comments.

Here pretty much the changes from the last time you saw this document was that we added in that this will be a standing committee. So because then there was that discussion, like, how do you do outreach and education? You don't do it in one fell swoop. It needs to be outgoing. If we're going to -- and some of the tasks that we outlined, for example, makes sense that way.

So for example, when we start looking at the tasks, we talked about that we wanted to utilize

existing outreach programs and capitalize on those.

So for example, World Water Day. The Water Planning Council always participates in World Water Day. There's a whole host of calendar things so that we can pick one every year and kind of focus on that as a theme, and we'll talk about that in a minute.

And in subsequent years, the long-term goal under that particular task would be in September establish the theme for the next year. So that you're always ahead and we can present that, the theme to the Water planning Council and get your approval moving forward, and then have time to plan the events.

Similarly, if we look at task number two,
we're looking there about -- and there's a couple
of things here that we're going to go into a
little bit more detail again in the next couple of
pages. But one is looking at branding and
developing a cohesive message so that when we're
talking about the state water plan, everything we
do looks the same. And that will really be, I
think, important for any kind of outreach
messaging that we do.

And you know, based on your discussion, for example, of having somebody who's, you know, going to be taking on the role of helping to coordinate that, having materials that really do brand the Water Planning Council -- not the Water Planning Council, but the state water plan and branding that messaging would be important.

And so again we've developed materials that have to do with that. And so what I added in here, again was some of those long-term objectives. So that, you know, we also talked about the materials, but also the establishment of a webpage. Well, you don't establish a webpage and then just abandon it. That has to be ongoing, and if you're going to do that, you need to continue it.

And one of the things we've also identified is that, you know, there's always maintenance for the web page, not just in terms of the web page format or anything like that, but just in terms of content and materials, and making sure that it's updated every year as new things come on board.

So that's kind of what we've added in, is those long-term ongoing actions that say, we're going to do this initially, and then we're going

to, you know, basically maintain it.

There could be some other long-term actions that eventually do get added in, but as a work plan to start with we thought that this went pretty well on what we needed to do.

And similarly, they were looking at establishing who our partners are, who the resource professionals that can be working with our education and outreach group, and then again, of course, maintaining that list of making sure, maintaining those partnerships, adding to the partnerships, identifying new partnerships, that will just -- that will be an ongoing process as we know.

So that's kind of how we look at the outreach plan. And like I said, it's the same plan that you've seen before and you gave us, you know, pretty positive feedback on. And what we did was just, you know, update it for the longterm.

So, to any questions about the plan itself?

(No response.)

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Oh, so let me just quickly talk about this. The plan does talk about using these

calendars. And the idea is we're only using this as a reference. We're not going to do all of these every year, but the idea is, is there's these calendars and which ones do we want to pick as a theme, and which ones do we want to identify every year?

So for the fiscal year -- and this is for the task one, for fiscal year 2022, what we've identified as a potential or a proposed theme is inland wetlands and watercourses. And the reason we selected this theme was first, the first date on the calendar happened to be World Wetland Day, which is February 2nd.

And that, so we said, well, should we think about that? And then of course, we found out that 2022 is the 50th anniversary of the Connecticut Inland Wetlands Watercourses Act. So being that it's the 50th anniversary, it made sense that we would talk about that, and how does that particular act fit into the state water plan?

And if you look at this preamble to the act, it's really interesting because it talks a lot about, you know, what we want to do protecting water quality and public drinking water supply.

So I think it's important that we, we look at

that.

Here it says, protecting the state's fresh water supplies from the dangers of drought, overdraft, pollution, misuse and mismanagement. So I think that fits right into the state water plan and you know, and what the role of wetlands and watercourses are there.

So that's what we're looking at as a proposed theme. And starting with World Wetlands Day, as I said, February 2nd, we have a draft of what we think a kickoff event may look like. And basically, you know, having folks saying, hey, it's world wetlands day. We're also celebrating the 50th anniversary of the State Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act, and kind of do a kickoff.

And looking out, you know, and we haven't put this all together yet, but the idea is to have possibly two moderated panels, one talking about the importance of the wetlands and watercourses as they relate to the state water plan. And then also one, kind of, on the history of the Connecticut Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act.

How did we get there? How did we decide we wanted inland wetlands? And just kind of celebrating that history of where we were and how

we got there.

And one of our newer members on the state water plan was looking at, hey. We could do a storyboard that talked about the history of inland wetlands, the Inland Wetlands and Watercourses

Act. So we're looking at doing that.

And then also we would announce plans at this event for May and June, because if you look up here -- and this is one of the other reasons -- if you look at that whole calendar, the month of May is American Wetlands Month, and the month of June is National Rivers Month.

So here we can really say, hey. We've got two months of celebration for inland wetlands and watercourses that we can just really do. And then winding up on September 25th with World Rivers Day.

So we've got wetlands in February, rivers on the 25th in September, and two full months of different things we could put out in terms of materials and whatever and, you know, plenty of time to plan with that. And you know, you can identify some of the partners already that would do this.

What we would do from a water -- we're pretty

sure there will be other groups and I know of some. I know Rivers Alliance has talked about this. The conservation districts are talking about what needs to be done to celebrate the Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act. So there will be other partners.

So it's a matter of what our thought process always has been for the outreach and education is to build on what's already being done and just make sure that we're relating it back to whether it be press releases of material relating it back to the state water plan; how does this relate to the state water plan?

So that's kind of the overview of task one.

Task two talks about, you know, materials and the web page and Allie Hibbert, DEP, kind of did a synopsis with us looking at what other states had on their web pages. And so we're in the process of analyzing those. I wanted to share this with you so you could look at what other states are doing in terms of the website.

We had a discussion on this at the implementation workgroup and you know, several folks really made some great comments; Bruce Witchen had some great comments on this.

Right now our state water plan webpage basically focuses on how the water plan was developed, and it kind of goes through the stages.

Here was a public hearing on the state water plan, or whatever, but it doesn't now provide any materials on how to implement the plan. And so that's what we're really doing is, like, what do people need to know if we want them to do better, let's say, water conservation? What kind of materials do we need on that page to do better water conservation?

So those are the -- and again, developing those over time based on different themes, this is what we would be looking at.

So this is really for information. We're going to be utilizing this, but we wanted to share that we're going to be really looking at this webpage. It is something we need to figure out.

One of the challenges on the webpage in the discussion that has come up is a similar one that you've had with the water chief, and that has to do with who's in charge of the webpage.

How do we make sure that we have a webpage?

And how do we make sure that we, you know, that
we're not overburdening, you know, staff that

already has a burden on it?

So we do need you to think about that, think about who's going to operate the webpage. How do we get materials on the webpage? And how, you know, how do we operate that so that it doesn't become obsolete -- and what you would like to see? And we're going to be taking it from there.

But as we develop materials, it would be really nice to have some place that we could launch. And one of the things, for example, would be from a Water Planning Council perspective is linking to, for example -- I'll pick on Graham Stevens -- but the DEP website on inland wetlands.

Should we be linking to all the work that they're doing on inland wetlands and watersheds so that we don't have to reinvent the wheel? What are all those other state pages?

The same thing with the Department of Public Health. They have a whole host of things on their website related to public drinking water supply. So one of the first things we'd like to do is get all those links. So that when people are talking about, if they go in and talk about the state water plan, we're going to give them a resource page that says, you can link to all these other

state agencies and everything they're doing for water supply where it doesn't just say, here's the plan and then you have to go find the stuff yourself that you can actually just get a link off of the page. That's one of the first tasks we want to do.

And then the third piece, which you didn't see because I hadn't received it yet when I sent this out, but we -- this is a sample that we had drafted. This was a press release. It never went out. It was just done as a sample, but National Rivers Day -- and actually we're hoping to do National Rivers Day next year.

But a simple branding, and this is a simple design that Dan Auburn came up with from the Department of Public Health, which we kind of like because it's just so basic where it says, state water plan, Connecticut. You know, it's just letting us know this is a Connecticut state water plan.

And we were looking at this type of format and the same thing, a state, a Connecticut state water plan initiative. So anything that came out would have something like this.

So we'd love your feedback on this. We think

it's very simple. We presented this to the implementation workgroup. They liked it and thought it was simple.

We didn't want to get into any detail. We didn't want to spend a lot on necessarily logo or whatever development. So we just wanted something that was saying, hey. Everything we do, could we use for -- so could we put this at either the top or the bottom and basically say, hey. We're developing a fact sheet? How does inland wetlands, for example, relate to the state water plan?

So if we're doing something on inland wetlands, we would just have this at the top. And we would have, you know, hey. Here's a fact sheet on inland wetlands from a state water plan perspective. Here's a fact sheet on water conservation, again from a state water plan perspective -- so that it always was saying here's the outreach and education we're doing from a state water plan perspective.

And that again is under task two. It's one of the tasks, under tasks, under our work plan, task two.

So I'm going to stop there, ask for any

1 questions and look for any feedback you have for 2 us. 3 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Denise. Lots of 4 good work there, for sure. I'll open it up to any 5 questions or comments. 6 DAVID KUZMINSKI: Hi, Jack. Dave Kuzminski here. 7 Hey --8 MARTIN HEFT: Point of order, please? Jack, it's 9 supposed to be only members, commission members 10 speaking at this time, not members of the public 11 so we can get through our stuff, please? 12 THE CHAIRMAN: All right, Dave? 13 DAVID KUZMINSKI: No worries. 14 THE CHAIRMAN: Hold until the end, Dave, please? 15 DAVID KUZMINSKI: No worries. 16 MARTIN HEFT: Sorry, Jack. 17 THE CHAIRMAN: That's okay. 18 MARTIN HEFT: If I may, to just start in then? 19 Thank you very much. This all looks great. 20 The one thing I see lacking on this is the Water 21 Planning Council, our council name on any of these 22 documents. 23 And if this is being put out by the Water Planning Council, it should state that it's from 24 25 the Water Planning Council. Although it states

the state water plan, all of this, you as a subgroup has been working terrifically on all of this material, this is all terrific stuff. I read it through over this past weekend. The plan that you just presented -- Denise and everything, which looks terrific, and it's a great theme and everything else.

But I noticed simple things, like even on the agenda. It mentions nothing about our Chair or the member of the Water Planning Council opening the meeting up. I mean, great having the Governor there and everything, but these are Water Planning Council items that are happening.

So we need to make sure that the Water

Planning Council is the one putting this on and
has our name on this stuff, that that's part of
what has to happen. So I just want to add that in
there -- but it's a terrific job of what the sub
workgroup has been putting together.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: All right. And thanks for that input. I guess one of the things that we wanted to make sure was that you were comfortable with it before we put your name all over it. And the other thing we need to -- so it is the state plan implementation workgroup, outreach and education.

So that's our focus.

And we weren't presuming to develop any branding for the Water Planning Council. We were doing it for the state water plan as a program.

So I just want to make sure that that was the clarification.

That said, certainly we would be looking at Water Planning Council, you know, folks being, you know, being part of that. And I know, for example, we were saying, you know, include the Water Planning Council reps here. So again, you know this is the agenda. It's like -- and we would decide, who's going to sponsor this?

Is it going to be the Water Planning Council, or do you want a bigger group? And how do we?

How do we do that?

So that was certainly something we take into consideration, but thank you for the feedback,
Martin, because I think it's important. It's an important discussion we need to have as we move forward.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I agree with what Martin is saying and take it a step further. Not only -- I mean,

I'm involved with lots of boards, and on the left hand side, not only the councilmembers, I would

like to see the water implementation group and the adviser groups so people know front and center;
Alicea Charamut from Rivers Alliance.

People look at the State -- and it happens to me. I'm on the board of a hospital. Oh, you're on the board of a hospital. So somehow we highlight, not only the Water Planning Councilmembers but people like the implementation group and the WPCAG who work hard to make this happen.

But Denise, this is an excellent outline moving forward. And you know one thing in October, we always have Imagine a Day Without Water, which we usually participate in as well -- but I think this is a great outline.

And I thank you and the group for moving this forward.

Lori, Graham?

LORI MATHIEU: Thank you, Jack.

Yeah, anything that I would say, anything
that -- I love that -- if you could go back up to
the to the logo there. I love the idea of some
sort of letterhead, but it should reflect we are
the Water Planning council to Martin's point, and

Yeah, I would agree. Denise, excellent work.

that we are state agencies that represent the Governor.

So all of our letterhead, we'd have to sort of look into this. And I think this is one thing that we should add to our list to talk about together very specifically because we have to be careful about logos. We have to be very careful about letterhead.

All of us use the letterhead that has the Governor's name on it, The Lieutenant Governor's name on it. Right? That's who our boss is.

That's how we work.

So you know someone might look at this and say, you guys are going rogue. What are you doing? You know?

So I want to be careful with that. And it's something that I'm always mindful of anything that we send out representing the State of Connecticut, that we do that correctly.

And I always love showing the logos. So we should have a letterhead with logos, our agencies, the Water Planning Council name. And we should get it approved through whoever approves such a thing at the state level. Right? Obviously, through our commissioners' offices, but also maybe

even go through OPM to the Governor's office.

So that everyone sees it and says, hey.

This, this makes sense to use, or, change this, change that, and then we're okay with it. So you know we all have bosses and bosses on top of bosses, and we want to make sure that we do the right thing here -- but I love the start of it. I love the start of it.

I do also, you know, near and dear wetlands to me, I've always been near and dear to my work and my work as a volunteer. So I will help you in any way possible, Denise, on this.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: We had your name down already, because we knew that, Lori.

LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, yeah. It's been a long time volunteering, and I thoroughly enjoy it, as a matter of fact. And I've learned a lot in all of that, and learned how valuable it is that wetlands have been protected for 50 years.

It's amazing in our state, and towns have done an excellent job working with DEEP to implement. So I think towns should be celebrated. You know the town government is really a key cog to how this moves forward. Because without towns to implement, this would not work and without DEEP

to have everybody's back, it would not work. You know? So I would love to work with you on that.

I would also add Drinking Water Week is the first week of May, usually. So if you want to add that to the calendar of items, I would love to work with everybody about Drinking Water Week. So just my thoughts, but I love it.

I love the idea, but we have to work on the letterhead and make it a very formal way forward. When we got an administrative person, that person would know this is the letterhead that we're going to use.

So, love it. Good. Thank you for your work.

GRAHAM STEVENS: And just to be brief?

THE CHAIRMAN: Graham?

GRAHAM STEVENS: I think, my colleagues covered it,

covered a bunch already, but I like Lori's

optimism about when we got a water chief, that was

great. But I too -- I'm very interested in the

branding, very interested in elevating that to the

Water Planning Council level.

And was really appreciative your thoughtful comments there Denise, with respect to trying to point to existing resources that are available, or existing events and adding to it and amplifying

the importance of the state water plan and what the Water Planning Council does.

I think that's totally the right approach and you guys have -- you and your group have done a bang-up job thus far, and looking forward to celebrating this entire upcoming year with some great outreach and activities.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: All right. Sounds good. I will take your feedback and have it at the discussion. I just want to -- and this is for thought, a response back to you, but it's some thoughts again.

In terms of the Water Planning Council letterhead, we weren't trying to create that. Again, we were looking at it more being programmatic, so for the state water plan.

And an example I think I had used when we first talked about branding -- and this is one of the reasons you kind of encouraged us to move forward with it was, for example, DEEP has the program on No Child Left Inside, and it had, like, a little branding just about that.

So when they put out information on the No Child Left Inside Program it had its own little logo and whatever. So we were kind of trying to

mimic that more programmatic, rather than the official letterhead for the Water Planning Council.

And then certainly it would be like, how
do -- where do you use water, and where do you use
the other? So that will be something we would
have as a discussion, but I just wanted to, you
know -- and feedback.

If we don't -- if we want to just start keep the branding about the Water Planning Council and not about the state water plan, that's something different -- but I just wanted to, you know, and we appreciate feedback from you on that as we move forward with this.

But I just wanted to reiterate that where we had -- what the thought process had been was not to create new letterhead at this point for you guys knowing you might have a chief for, or whatever that -- and you would need it at that time.

That said, if you would like us to look at how to do that as well as this branding for the state water plan, I think the outreach and education group would be more than happy to look at both of those if you so choose.

1 THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you, Denise. 2 LORI MATHIEU: And Jack one more point, just a quick 3 point. 4 I see it as one and the same. If we're going 5 to communicate in any way, they have to go hand in 6 hand. So we have to -- it's time to have 7 letterhead logos that we use consistently. If we 8 talk about the state water plan, it should be on 9 behalf of the Water Planning Council under state 10 law. 11 Because that's -- believe me, that comes from 12 experience myself as a state official. You don't 13 represent yourself or your program without logos, 14 without your agency, without the underpinnings of 15 state law. It's just the way that programs work. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Lori, in all due respect, we're in 17 trouble because I've been putting water 18 planning -- our names on Water Planning Council 19 stationary for years. The point, the four of 20 us --21 LORI MATHIEU: I know. I know, but we should 22 formalize. 23 THE CHAIRMAN: -- letterheads with the water planning 24 council. 25 LORI MATHIEU: We should formalize.

THE CHAIRMAN: We can formalize it. I think that we can put this over to Denise's group, yeah.

Graham?

GRAHAM STEVENS: I mean, I was just going to say it sounds like everyone is in agreement that we would appreciate if Denise's group did take on some of the branding at the higher level as well, Denise.

And I don't think that there's any issue with respect to having a programmatic brand.

And there is a logo -- and I'm not, you know, I wasn't a party to that discussion, but there is a logo on the State's webpage. I don't know if that is our standing logo for the Water Planning Council at this point.

But that might be something you look at as well, and it needs someone who may know the background on that, and may want to provide you that information.

DENISE SAVAGEAU: All right. Thanks. We'll take a look at that, and look at the background.

MARTIN HEFT: And Graham, I can tell you that the logo that's on the -- it's just a stock picture that was just chosen because we didn't have a logo. So we chose a stock picture to use there on the website.

1 GRAHAM STEVENS: Excellent. Excellent. Good choice. THE CHAIRMAN: Lori, I didn't want to cut you off. Do 2 3 you have anything more on this topic? 4 LORI MATHIEU: No, that's all. I just wanted to thank 5 Denise, because it's a great idea to have a 6 calendar and be organized. 7 THE CHAIRMAN: I love it. 8 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah, it's awesome. 9 THE CHAIRMAN: And you know, and I've always said we 10 maybe do some op-ed pieces. And we can do --11 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah. 12 THE CHAIRMAN: I think it can give us a framework 13 moving forward. 14 LORI MATHIEU: Yeah. 15 THE CHAIRMAN: The Council can go on the Sunday morning 16 news shows, you know. So many different versions. 17 You know, let's get water out there. 18 Yes, agreed. LORI MATHIEU: 19 THE CHAIRMAN: Listen, so. 20 Okay. Anything else on the implementation 21 workgroup, Virginia? 22 VIRGINIA de LIMA: No, I just want to thank the 23 committee. I just want to say the agency staff 24 people who were assigned to the committee and the 25 other folks who were on the committee, I just want

to thank everybody. Because every -- this has been a really joint work for everybody, and it's just amazing.

We do have two new people who come on the meeting, Rhonda Mercer from Aquarion and Kelsey Sudol from the -- oh, what was it? Lake Waramaug Watershed Group. I hope I said the right watershed group. She's also with the Northwest Conservation District.

So we have great folks who are on this committee. And again, thanks to everyone.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very, very much. Appreciate it.

Virginia, is there anything else? A lot of work coming out of your group. Thank you very much.

The Water Planning Council advisory group, we have Josh and Alecia.

Alecia, you have your cat all taken care of there, Alecia?

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Yes, she's a pest. So I'm going to pass it off first to Carol. We have some good news that we have some candidates that have stepped forward for our two vacant positions.

Carol, do you want to let us know what's

going on?

CAROL HASKINS: Yeah. So at the last Water Planning
Council advisory group meeting we had Darryl
Newman in attendance who is an employee with
Planters' Choice Nursery and on the board of the
Connecticut Nursery and Landscaping Association.

And we understand that he is the delegate that CNLA has selected, and that's been communicated through Jack, I believe.

So we need to formalize that, that representative as Darryl is a delegate. I believe I see Dustin Nelson here on the call today. And I think he is the new alternate. And the alternate doesn't need to be approved, but we'll have Darryl as the as the official representative for the water intensive business category.

So we'll be looking for the Water Planning
Council to approve that vacancy which is in the
group one cycle with a term expiring December 31,
2022. And then they would come up for renewal for
a new four year-term after that. So that's -we'll be looking for an action on that.

And then we have a warm, warm-ish reception from the Working Lands Alliance for a representative to fill the agricultural category

for representative. I'll be meeting with two individuals from that group next week to discuss the kind of time commitment requirements and 4 expectations for participating in the Water Planning Council advisory group. So hopefully come January we'll be looking for you guys to make an approval on that.

But just to give you a heads up, the Working Lands Alliance is kind of rising to the top continually as the best representative, as they're already linked in with a number of folks on the advisory group as well as folks outside of our usual periphery.

So not entirely the choir, but have some good choir members to help us recruit.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. That's great. And we're going to take Darryl up under a new business later on.

CAROL HASKINS: Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THE CHAIRMAN: But that's exciting news. Thank you.

CAROL HASKINS: You're welcome.

22 THE CHAIRMAN: Alecia?

> ALICEA CHARAMUT: Sure. Well, while we're still under nominating committee business, I just wanted to remind the Water Planning Council that today -- or

this month is Josh's last month as cochair of the advisory group. So I wanted to give you guys an opportunity to wish him well and thank him, if you so choose -- I see Graham clapping over there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I wish we were not virtual so we could have -- we could have had some kind of celebration, Josh. But thank you very much for your leadership. We appreciate it.

JOSH CANSLER: I'm sorry I couldn't do more of it with COVID and other things going on. It's been an interesting two years.

THE CHAIRMAN: We appreciate your service.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Interesting is an understatement,

Josh.

And we have a watershed lands workgroup meeting on Friday morning where the water utility coordinating committee's committee implementation group will be presenting to the watershed lands group what's been going on in implementation to get a broader stakeholder perspective on some of the items that they're working on.

Karen, did you want to add anything to that?

KAREN BURNASKA: No, just that it is this -- you're all welcome. Anyone is welcome to join in into the Zoom meeting. It's 9 a.m, this Friday the 10th.

Bruce Witchen has sent out the notice to a whole horde of people. So I hope we'll get a good attendance.

Yes, the presentation will be made by Dan Lawrence of Aquarion and Aaron Budris of the Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments.

The project came out of what the WUCC plans had said, and the WUCC plans had identified the need for guidance to municipalities; commissioners, developers and dealing with state water supply issues.

And what Dan and Aaron are going to provide us with is the report that had been approved at a meeting last month on how we can help use the, you know, planning to help protect watershed lands and the drinking water supply in the state.

So it should be a very -- that is a key. It opens up the meeting, and that's the key reason that we're getting together. There's a lot of other things on the agenda, but we hope everyone who has the time will participate.

It should be a good report.

THE CHAIRMAN: Excellent.

ALICEA CHARAMUT: Is there anything else from the watershed lands group?

1	KAREN BURNASKA: No, I think that's it right now. Just			
2	we're focusing right now on the Friday meeting.			
3	We do have other things on the agenda, some			
4	legislative issues kind of following up on the CGA			
5	land conveyance request questionnaire.			
6	But the, like I said, opening up the meeting			
7	and our key point of discussion will be the WUCC			
8	report.			
9	ALICEA CHARAMUT: Thank you, Karen.			
10	And thank you, Margaret.			
11	Josh, is there anything I'm missing from the			
12	last meeting reporting?			
13	JOSH CANSLER: No, I think that was it.			
14	ALICEA CHARAMUT: Okay.			
15	JOSH CANSLER: I don't have anything else.			
16	ALICEA CHARAMUT: All right. I believe that's it.			
17	THE CHAIRMAN: Again, Josh, thank you very much.			
18	Appreciate your support over the years.			
19	Thank you, Alecia.			
20	We'll move on to WUCC update. Lori?			
21	LORI MATHIEU: Thank you, Jack. And thank you to			
22	Karen.			
23	And you know the WUCC continues to be			
24	implemented. It's been an interesting time during			
25	COVID, but the work continues on under the WICC.			

We're emphasizing a number of different areas, as
Eric had come on last time. I think Eric might be
on to give us an update.

Eric if you are here, you can speak up now?

(No response.)

LORI MATHIEU: All right. Since he's not around, I can say that the meetings continue, implementation continues. Anyone -- these meetings are open to the public. Anybody who is interested, I would encourage you to attend.

There is a specific emphasis on interconnections and the review of interconnections and the need for emergency connections, and what have you.

To me it is really very important for everyone to participate in that discussion, and I understand there's a lot of great input which is good for the planning process to continue to move forward. So look for the invites for the next meeting. Again, everybody is welcome.

We're also -- you know one of the struggles that as an agency we have is a continuous approval of wells for our smallest public water systems

that serve a noncommunity population such as, say, a new business. And that new business is in a more rural area of the state connected to sewer, but there's no public water anywhere in the area.

So they look and do their best to place a well on their property. And as a commercial property, many commercial properties only have space for the well maybe in the middle of their parking lot.

So for us that work in siting wells in those kinds of locations, it causes great concern.

In the public health code, in our laws it's allowable because you're -- you know a lot of the separating distances in siting a new well; why bring that up? That's one of the items that the WUCC is looking at.

Is when you -- are we creating, or you have sewers and you don't have public water. Are you creating another Brookfield? The town of Brookfield has the highest -- or had the highest number of public water systems ever in the state of Connecticut, 180 public water systems up and down Federal Road.

And so what ends up happening in that type of situation? If anyone knows that area; you might

think when you drive past Stew Leonard's through
Danbury and you drive up Federal Road in
Brookfield, you say there's no way there's wells
that serve every one of these businesses. Well,
that's exactly what had happened.

And you say, why? Why is this allowed to happen? Because it just happens generically when there's sewers available and not public water.

And businesses, land starts to turn from residential -- from farmland to residential to then business, commercial/industrial lands. Those businesses want to have a chance to open, and they will put a well in the middle of anywhere just to meet the regulatory requirement.

But over time you end up with more
Brookfields, and that's not a good thing for
public health. The water quality in Brookfield,
every one of those wells was compromised because
of the nature of the setting, the nature of the
water quality, the ground water quality in the
ground, and so on and on. So those are the
things.

One of the items the WUCC is looking at; what to do, how to do this better, how to plan, do more planning with the more rural communities that are

in this type of situation. So that's just one thing that the WUCC is looking at.

We welcome your input, your insights, your involvement, your participation.

So thank you, Jack.

- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. And so I guess you're covered the private well update as well with that?
- LORI MATHIEU: More to come as the approvals continue to move forward and the questions are being answered. So more to come.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Lori.

We have here the water -- the fixture update. Graham, I know you received some correspondence from the DAS today relative to the infrastructure bill, and there's going to be money available for this.

GRAHAM STEVENS: And we hope. That's the collective hope of many different folks and programs. Is there money available?

But yeah, so DAS contacted me today. As it turns out they have a meeting later this week. They're going to be discussing WaterSense as it relates to the state building code and execution of WaterSense through the state building code

adoption process.

And they were interested if there were federal dollars available that could help with respect to that implementation. That's something that we're going to look into. It's an interesting inquiry.

It shows again DAS's commitment to trying to move forward with better water conservation standards in their state building code -- which I think, upon further analysis, would be an excellent way to implement water conservation.

And we're continuing our conversations as well with DCP, Department of Consumer Protection about the change to statute as well as regulation to again implement WaterSense; and continue to have conversations with our partners at DPH about other water conservation efforts and focuses.

And I think it's something that's incredibly important. I think that's shared amongst all the Water Planning Councilmembers. So hopefully we can continue to partner with DAS and DCP to achieve some progress there.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Graham.

Any questions for, Graham?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Interagency drought workgroup update.

Martin?

MARTIN HEFT: Thank you. Good afternoon, all.

The workgroup continues to meet, review the conditions. Additionally, this past Thursday we completed our first run through of all the recommendations of the drought sub workgroup, all four charges.

We will continue going through those in our upcoming meetings and kind of do our second run through of all of those, but we did get through all of them, as I said last Thursday.

I did want to note that there's two of the recommendations from the sub workgroup that are strictly for the Water Planning Council. And these probably should get added into this ever-growing special meeting agenda for our goals and objectives, which were -- two of them at 4.30 and 4.31.

Both of those deal with, in short, the GC3, our Governor's climate pieces and the state hazard mitigation plan of coordinating our plans with those. And that is a charge to the Water Planning

1	Council. So we should add those two into our list		
2	of goals and objectives as we review for our		
3	upcoming future meetings.		
4	And that's what I have for a report.		
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Very good. I know that you're tight on		
6	time.		
7	MARTIN HEFT: That's Okay.		
8	THE CHAIRMAN: So any questions for Martin?		
9			
10	(No response.)		
11			
12	THE CHAIRMAN: So I'd like to entertain a motion that		
13	we nominate Darryl Newman to fill the slot, the		
14	nursery association slot and the Water Planning		
15	Council advisory group.		
16	I'd entertain a motion to that effect?		
17	MARTIN HEFT: So moved.		
18	GRAHAM STEVENS: Second.		
19	THE CHAIRMAN: Moved and seconded.		
20	Any questions?		
21			
22	(No response.)		
23			
24	THE CHAIRMAN: If not, all those in favor signify by		
25	saying aye.		

THE COUNCIL: Aye.

THE CHAIRMAN: The motion is carried. Thank you very much. I will let him know.

Under new business, we wanted to -- we'll talk about setting up a special meeting where we'd like to do a meeting before the holiday.

What's people's preference? I can have Allie, my Allie, do a Doodle poll and see what's available. I'd kind of like to get it done -- I mean, between Christmas and New Year's, forget it -- but maybe we could sneak something in before.

What's the consensus?

MARTIN HEFT: So Mr. Chair, if I may? A, I think it's going to take more than one meeting for us to go through everything. I think, you know, having just gone through, although it was a much longer list, but we have a number of items here at least under, you know, 6.8 next steps as well as other items there to go through.

We kind of -- our process was creating a spreadsheet being like, have we accomplished this? Do we need to do this? What is our reaction? And kind of go through each one of those steps.

And it took us almost four or five meetings

to go through all the four charges just as a run-through. You know? It would be ambitious to try to get it all done in one meeting, especially if we've got to talk about some other items as well for that.

So I think if we could get in a meeting prior to the holidays and then possibly another follow up right after the holidays, that for us to talk about it; see how far we get in that first meeting, but I would recommend that we try to get started on this and maybe we cull out.

You know we know some of the priority things that our work groups are working on that we should address first, and then kind of hit the other items -- would be my recommendation.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Martin. We want to get input to the water tzar group before January 7th. So we probably -- I think that's one of the items we would take up at this meeting before the holiday.

So we'll get some date, hold the dates out.

Allie and I will do that so you can let us know when, when you're available. And we'll get a couple of dates on the calendar, which would be above and beyond our January 4th date.

Okay. Anything else come before us? Any

other public comment?

David Kuzminski, you had a comment before?

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Yeah. Thanks, Jack. I appreciate

it. And great job, Virginia on your report. I

just wanted to -- I know as far as in relation to

your outreach, do you envision a targeted

audience?

Is it professionals? Is it academia? Is it, you know, as far as what you're going to focus your outreach for?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: The group identified that there are really two audiences, one of the sort of the professional folks that are knowledgeable and involved in water, and then there's a separate audience of the general public.

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Okay.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: And they acknowledged that the approach and the materials appropriate for each of those two audiences might be quite different.

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Yes. Yes, and that's my question as far as -- now, did you envision there it would kind of be, maybe a three-legged or two-legged stool as far as what audience that you're trying to, you know, market to on the webpage, or other forms of media?

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Denise, do you want to have input to that?

My personal feeling is that it would have to be very clear if there -- what materials were targeted at which groups so that people wouldn't waste their time with an inappropriate set.

But Denise, you want to enlarge on that?

DENISE SAVAGEAU: Yeah. So one of the things we're going to be doing is reviewing materials. So for example, if there are needs, if we find out, for example -- let's just say water utilities. Do they have a need about the state water plan?

Most of them all know about it. So do they have a need? But how about municipalities? They have a very specific need.

So we're going to say what's out there, where is the specific need? And try to take care of that first. You know, so it's going to be dual looking at -- again, looking at what's already out there, and then what can we capitalize on?

And also part of that looking at the partners is looking at groups like AWWA. What are you doing? If you're already doing it, we don't need to do it. So how do we basically direct people to where they need to get training, or whatever they

need to do particularly from a more professional perspective?

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Great. Great. Yeah, I think one of the things that Jack and Lori and I did -- it was about a year ago. We did a podcast focusing on the state water plan and so forth. And I think, you know, that could possibly be another vehicle, you know, as far as getting our message out.

And you can gear that, you know, to both segments as far as that goes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Great idea.

VIRGINIA de LIMA: Jack. I had just a comment about the special meeting. The original purpose of the special meeting, as I understand it, was to look at the priorities that the individual agencies had set.

And I just want to comment that when we did that in 2018 each of the agencies worked independently, and then we compiled the information that came from them into that orange sheet that was shared with you.

And then the Water Planning Council discussion was just looking at that spreadsheet and saying, okay. This, these ones got the most points, let's -- and choosing which ones to go

ahead with the actual work of it, though, was done by the agency's independently with each agency being asked to pick their five top priorities.

I will comment that when we were going back looking at the state water plan for what it said about having a water director, one of the things that came up repeatedly was the looking at different basins and identifying which basis were stressed, and which might be candidates for further modeling.

You may recall that there was an accounting model done on the Quinnipiac as part of the, part of the water plan.

That had not ranked high on any of the agency's list of five, but it occurred to me, having been the chair of the Science and Technical Committee, that our priorities did not in any way look at the scientific aspect of things.

And I just throw that out there as something to consider when you're setting new priorities.

DAVID KUZMINSKI: Thank you, Virginia.

THE CHAIRMAN: Any other public comment?

(No response.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. If not, we will have Allie get to everyone in terms of some dates for the next meeting, next regular -- for a special meeting, but --

MARGARET MINER: Jack, this is Margaret. Can you hear me?

THE CHAIRMAN: Now I can, Margaret. How are you feeling, Margaret?

MARGARET MINER: Well, I've had more jolly days, but I feel pretty good.

I had written in a letter to the Council because I've been trying to help someone who has a -- she's a renter and there's a dramatically bad mold situation in the rental property. Also some water quality issues.

I've certainly read about this as an environmental equity issue, particularly in the Midwest, and teachers unions have raised mold issues in our schools.

But when I went to try to find out for where someone who is living in a bad mold situation could turn, there seemed to be very few clear standards, either federal, state or local. The possibility of who would be responsible to respond to a complaint is not clear.

My friend's experience is that you just get sort of moved around from agency to agency, or department to department.

I'm thinking that with the type of precipitation we have coming on in Connecticut and the likelihood that, as in other places where there's been flooding when the waters recede, you have a serious problem, serious health risks -- this is a young family, by the way.

You have serious health risks with the mold, and I don't know that there's any place where someone who is dependent as a renter on a property being maintained or cleaned, which is very difficult to do, what recourse there is for someone in that, in that situation.

I know that in the case that I'm thinking of, the tenant has tried the Department of Public Health, has tried the school nurse, has tried the building code. The building inspectors actually seem to have some standards, but they only apply when you're doing new building or a renovation.

They don't come in and look at a situation in a building that's just being allowed to deteriorate. In this case the attic fell down into the ceiling -- fell down into the bathroom,

so I get a good look at the black mold there. But there's also white and green mold in the apartment, which is being cleaned up as well as possible, but it keeps coming back.

Not surprisingly, I think this property also has, I suspect, a groundwater well. And I don't -- I think that the problem of non-maintained private wells on this kind of property are also a concern.

But I'm looking just at the mold, and wondering. I know it's sort of new for the Water Planning Council, but if perhaps someone, some agency, some group could be assigned to take a look at, do we have any consistent standards for mold, toxics from mold hazards, from mold risk?

Do we have tests for mold toxicity if children are affected, which I haven't been able to find out? And is there really any way to hold someone who owns a property and is allowing mold to grow, is there any way to hold that person accountable?

Or can that landlord just keep collecting rent until the tenants eventually move out, or the building is condemned? I -- as I say, I think that with the rise in water levels and the

effort -- the lack of good housing for people to turn to, when this may be just about the only option a person has, I'm thinking I believe that this is a water climate-related problem that somebody ought to be looking at rather than, okay. In one place, the school does. In another place maybe the Department of Health says something -- and a general lack of clarity and ability to respond to the problem.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. I think you had indicated -
Lori, did you two have some dialogue with Margaret

about this -- and Martin? He had to leave, but he

just texted something, too.

Lori?

LORI MATHIEU: So I know, Margaret, you've reached out to me and we're trying to get together. There is a statute on mold, so we should chat.

When you mentioned the Department of Public Health, were you mentioning the State Department of Public Health, or the local? Both of which have a roll.

There are protocols that are written on mold abatement. It's a major issue when it comes to asthma and some other health, adverse health outcomes. So the State Department of Health and

under our new Commissioner, Commissioner Juthani has emphasized this type of issue that we see -- and it's a health equity issue.

MARGARET MINER: I see it.

LORI MATHIEU: So if we want to chat offline, I think we should, because we do get a lot of these calls and we are able and capable of talking to the individual directly.

MARGARET MINER: Right.

LORI MATHIEU: And part of this is the lack of a property maintenance code.

MARGARET MINER: Correct.

LORI MATHIEU: There's was a lot of initiatives in

Connecticut on green and healthy homes, and a lot

of people talk about healthy homes this, healthy

homes that.

There is very little money for this type of initiative. There are no staff at the state level, but that doesn't mean that we aren't trying to get something moving forward.

So to that end, we're certainly aware. We certainly have protocols. We certainly can help in this case, but it is a bigger issue and it is widely recognized that it's part of the bigger picture of property maintenance in general, but

also a health equity item for people who are renters that deal with landlords that do not want to help them.

MARGARET MINER: Correct.

LORI MATHIEU: So, yeah. There's a lot of concerns there in what you said.

So let's -- you and I should chat.

MARGARET MINER: Okay. And I'm not sure that we have anywhere in the regulations or statutes in place to respond to this. So let's talk.

And it definitely is an equity issue, because it hits hardest people who do not have many choices or much money.

Although you know, the rent, this -- this, in this case the landlord raised the rent three times -- well, maybe during this, twice in the year that the ceiling fell in.

So I hope -- I hope that we will.

This tenant went to the local health district and they did send out an inspector who almost -- who just said there were mouse feces that ought to be cleaned up.

I mean, there is a lot of visible mold and it could be that the health inspector felt she didn't -- I think it was a she. She didn't have

any authority to do anything about the mold. So yes, let's talk, but particularly if you're getting a lot of calls I'm not surprised, because I think it's an emerging problem of interest and maybe it would be a good thing for one of the health equity or social equity groups to take up and work on.

LORI MATHIEU: So that is one of our projects, as a matter of fact, on the property maintenance code, to work with the other agencies about who's doing what and what should be done in addition.

So another issue is, as you're aware, there's all kinds of environmental issues that people suffer from and this is one of them. So we also have lead. We also have asbestos. We also have radon. So it's one of many things that we're looking at.

So in the state statute that I referenced, it's only for the Health Department to write guidelines for mold abatement, and to write a protocol. And this law was developed in 2006. So it's not something that's new, but I think it's something that needs to be sharpened and to help people that are in most need.

So thank you for bringing that forward.

1 MARGARET MINER: Thank you, and let's work on this. 2 It's a health issue. I feel sorry for the kids. 3 Eventually it can have cardiac implications, 4 too. 5 Anyway, thank you, Lori. I'm glad it's up on 6 your radar and then maybe we can really get some 7 help to these people. 8 LORI MATHIEU: And I mean, sadly what we've seen Yes. 9 during COVID is that the same people that suffer 10 from this type of situation have also suffered 11 immensely due to COVID and other, and other issues 12 as well as lead, lead poisoning of children. 13 So it is an overall issue that we're looking 14 closely at. So thank you for bringing it forward. 15 MARGARET MINER: Thank you. Look forward to talking. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Margaret. 17 Thank you, Lori. 18 Margaret, hope you feel better. 19 MARGARET MINER: I will. Thank you. 20 THE CHAIRMAN: Anything else to come before us? 21 other public comment? 22 DENISE SAVAGEAU: Jack, I just wanted to comment quickly on that and what Lori and Martin said is 23 24 right in terms of really looking at property 25 maintenance. But I just want to bring the

attention that it also is about properly siting properties and the importance, again, of our Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Act and not putting people in flood plains.

And we're having a lot of challenges to that, especially around the discussion of affordable housing and we need to really -- so I think when we look at the GC3 and start putting those comments together with some of the work we're doing, there may be a place for us to take a look at that -- but again, in that -- in that context of the GC3, floodplains, wetlands, how that works, too, with the state water plan, because there are some real challenges.

I'm just going to give you a real quick one I looked at many years ago. I was asked to look at an affordable housing complex in Hartford. I went in there with the state soil scientist, Tim Kolzinskis at the time, and we provided recommendations.

Don't do what they were going to do because they were siting an affordable housing complex on slabs. So all the house we're going to be on slabs, which meant that they were all going to have, you know, concrete basements -- not the way

they -- not basements, concrete slabs. That's mold heaven. And we weren't really paying attention back then. We told them not to do it, and it was one of those things where they didn't want to hear the proper land use.

So I think it's a discussion that needs to be happening and I just wanted to put in that the proper property maintenance is about the plumbing and the insides and whatever, but there's also this proper siting that needs to be part of the discussion. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Any other questions, comments?

LORI MATHIEU: I do want to thank Josh for his service.

So I didn't say that previously, but Josh, thank
you for your time and your endless energy and
moving forward and volunteering. So much
appreciated.

Sorry, Jack. Thank you for that.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's all right. I was going to thank him again as well.

We'll have our next meeting on January 4th, and we will schedule a couple of special meetings as well.

If there's nothing else to come before us, I

1 wish everyone a happy and healthy and safe holiday 2 season, And may 2022 be the year of water. Let's 3 make it the year of water in the state of 4 Connecticut. 5 Let's really pound the payment. And when we 6 look at what Denise and her team has put together, 7 I think we have the foundation for a great year 8 and I'm looking forward to it. 9 So thank you all. Thank you, all the people 10 that volunteered on the various committees. 11 You're the backbone of our organization. Thank 12 you, and be safe. 13 Motion to adjourn? 14 LORI MATHIEU: So moved. 15 GRAHAM STEVENS: Second. 16 THE CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned. 17 18 (End: 2:48 p.m.) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing 67 pages are a complete and accurate computer-aided transcription of my original verbatim notes taken of the Regular Meeting of the WATER PLANNING COUNCIL, which was held before JOHN W. BETKOSKI, III, CHAIRMAN, and PURA VICE-CHAIRMAN, via teleconference, on December 7, 2021.

Robert G. Dixon, CVR-M 857

Notary Public

BCT Reporting Service
55 Whiting Street, Suite 1A

Plainville, CT 06062

,		
1	INDEX	
2		
3	VOTES TAKEN	
4	(Unanimous Approval) Description	Page
5	11/3/'21 Transcript Approval	3
6	D. Newman to WPCAG and Nursery Association	51
7	Adjournment	67
	Adjournment	67
8		
9	TOPICS OF DISCUSSION DESCRIPTION	PAGE(s)
10		, ,
11	V. del Lima: IWG update	5-8
12	G. Stevens: Water chief discussions	8-9
13	D. Savageau: State water plan task list	13-26
14	Council discussion Re: Branding discussion	26-33 33-37
15	C. Haskins: WPCAG update	39-40
16	K. Burnaska: Dec. 10 presentation	41-42
17	L. Mathieu: WUCC update (and private wells)	43-47
18	G. Stevens: WaterSense implementation	47-48
19	M. Heft: IDWG update	49-50
	The Chair: Special meeting	51-52
20	Public comment	
21	<pre>D. Kuzminski: Audience outreach</pre>	53 53-55
22	<pre>V. de Lima: Special meeting M. Miner: Mold and renters</pre>	55-56 57
23	Discussion D. Savageau: Mold, siting	57-64 64-65
24	2. Savageau. Mora, Sterny	01.05
25		
l		